

To: Louter, David[david_louter@nps.gov]
Cc: Boone, Whitney[whitney_boone@nps.gov]; Steven Daron[steve_daron@nps.gov]
From: Haertel, Kirstie
Sent: 2017-06-01T17:52:37-04:00
Importance: Normal
Subject: Re: DOI Monument Review- cultural resources information requested for CRMO and PARA
Received: 2017-06-01T17:52:47-04:00
PWR CR Haertel Data Request Related to Review of National Monuments.docx

Hi Whitney,
Sorry this is a bit late. There were some topics I couldn't address very well. I think it is important to understand that neither CRMO or PARA are inventoried well for cultural resources. The numbers I provided are based on a small percentage of the monuments. Please call if you have further questions.

Sincerely,
Kirstie

Kirstie L. Haertel,
Regional Archaeology & Museum Program Manager

* * * * *

909 First Avenue, Suite 500
Seattle, WA 98104
Tel: 206-220-4136
cell: 206-849-0957

On Thu, Jun 1, 2017 at 11:06 AM, Louter, David <david_louter@nps.gov> wrote:

oops...I spoke with Wade and he said he thought it was being handled in WASO, sorry. Wade was also going back and forth between his several new parks that he's overseeing...so he may have missed the finer points.

We'll get on it.

d

On Wed, May 31, 2017 at 3:06 PM, Haertel, Kirstie <kirstie_haertel@nps.gov> wrote:

Hi Whitney,
I will hopefully have this completed for you tomorrow.

Kirstie L. Haertel,
Regional Archaeology & Museum Program Manager

* * * * *

909 First Avenue, Suite 500
Seattle, WA 98104

Tel: 206-220-4136
cell: 206-849-0957

On Wed, May 31, 2017 at 1:33 PM, Boone, Whitney <whitney_boone@nps.gov> wrote:

Hi Dave,
Just wanted to circle with you as our June 2 deadline draws near-- everything going all right? Please let me know if there's anything I can do to help.

Thanks again!

On Wed, May 24, 2017 at 6:35 PM, Louter, David <david_louter@nps.gov> wrote:

thanks, that helps!

Dave

On Wed, May 24, 2017 at 3:32 PM, Boone, Whitney <whitney_boone@nps.gov> wrote:

Hi Dave,
Thanks so much for your help with this.

Different monuments are answering the "what might have been" questions a little differently (and many have yet to decide how best to answer these questions), but the general approach looks to be to remain qualitative or simply state that there is insufficient information to address the questions.

I just spoke with the BLM point of contact for Grand Canyon-Parashant, and we discussed a response to the effect of: (b)(5) DPP

[REDACTED]

Open to your thoughts if you think there would be a better approach!

Thanks again,
Whitney

On Wed, May 24, 2017 at 5:52 PM, Louter, David <david_louter@nps.gov> wrote:

Hi Whitney,

We will take a look at what we have available to us to answer the questions. How are people addressing the "what might have been" questions for an area if it had not been established?

thanks,
Dave

On Wed, May 24, 2017 at 7:40 AM, Boone, Whitney <whitney_boone@nps.gov> wrote:

Hi David and Kirstie,

In response to the DOI review of designations under the Antiquities Act (EO 13792), NPS has been asked to compile a variety of data about Craters of the Moon and Grand Canyon Parashant. As part of its review, the Department is requesting information about cultural resources contained within and around each monument under review. I have highlighted the cultural resources related information in the attached data request.

Are you able to identify folks who could help compile this information for CRMO and PARA? Chad Corey and Wade Vagias have been given a heads up on the data request, and I just spoke with Steve Daron at Lake Mead, but I thought I would check with you to see whether there are regional office folks who could assist with data gathering.

The Department would like this information by June 2.

Thanks in advance for any information you can provide. Feel free to give me a call if you have any questions or would like to discuss.

Thanks,
Whitney

--

Whitney Boone
Park Planning and Special Studies
National Park Service
202 354 6970

--

David Louter, Ph.D.
Chief, Cultural Resources Program
Pacific West Region
National Park Service
909 First Avenue, Fifth Floor
Seattle, WA 98104
206.220.4137 (v) 206.220.4159 (f)
206.947.0324 (c)

--

Whitney Boone
Park Planning and Special Studies
National Park Service

202 354 6970

--

David Louter, Ph.D.
Chief, Cultural Resources Program
Pacific West Region
National Park Service
909 First Avenue, Fifth Floor
Seattle, WA 98104
206.220.4137 (v) 206.220.4159 (f)
206.947.0324 (c)

--

Whitney Boone
Park Planning and Special Studies
National Park Service
202 354 6970

--

David Louter, Ph.D.
Chief, Cultural Resources Program
Pacific West Region
National Park Service
909 First Avenue, Fifth Floor
Seattle, WA 98104
206.220.4137 (v) 206.220.4159 (f)
206.947.0324 (c)

Call for Data Related to Review of National Monuments under EO 13792 (April 26, 2017)*-Updated 5/17-*

Please help us gather information about each of the items listed below, for each of the National Monuments listed below in Table 1. *All materials should be uploaded to the appropriate [Google Folder](#) by 6/2/2017.*

1. Documents Requested
 - a. Resource Management Plans/Land Use Plans
 - b. Record of Decision
 - c. Public Scoping Documents
 - d. Presidential Proclamation
2. Information on activities permitted at the Monument, including annual levels of activity from the **date of designation to the present**
 - a. Recreation - annual visits to site
 - b. Energy - annual production of coal, oil, gas and renewables (if any) on site; amount of energy transmission infrastructure on site (if any)
 - c. Minerals - annual mineral production on site
 - d. Timber - annual timber production on site (in board-feet, CCF, or similar measure)
 - e. Grazing - annual grazing on site (AUMs permitted and sold)
 - f. Subsistence - participation rates for subsistence activities occurring on site (fishing, hunting, gathering); quantities harvested; other quantifiable information where available
 - g. Cultural - list of cultural uses/values for site; number of sites; other quantifiable information where available

CRMO Monument and Preserve

- There are 238 known sites in the monument and preserve. However, it is estimated from previous survey reports that less than 15% of the monument and preserve has been surveyed for archaeology sites. Of the known and documented sites, many have in situ materials that make them regionally significant for the National Register of Historic Places. The importance of these sites is referenced in the Overview and Assessment for the Preserve as well as a Ph.D. dissertation by Lael Suzanne Henrickson. There are also known, undocumented sites associated with the nationally significant overland migration event (Goodale's Cutoff).
- There are 2 known significant historic events (Overland Migration and Mission 66) at CRMO. Further research and documentation is needed for both. These areas have not had Cultural Landscape Inventories completed yet.
- There are 12 historic structures at CRMO. None of them have adequate Historic Structure Reports.
- The monument and preserve has roughly 230 accessions with 4500 cataloged objects, archives and specimens. Non-governmental facilities are likely to house many more specimens.
- There are several areas within the park and preserve that are significant to the

-
- Shoshone-Bannock Tribe. One of these areas has been noted as sacred.

GRCA/PARA

- There are 832 known archaeology sites at PARA. There is one archaeological district within the monument.
- The museum collection for PARA is managed by and housed at LAKE. The collection totals 670 accessions (32 known to be PARA) with 9243 cataloged objects, archives and specimens.
- There are 24 historic structures at PARA. Of these, 20 have been determined eligible for listing in the National Register by the AZ SHPO.
- There are 3 Cultural Landscape Inventories conducted at PARA. Waring CLI has 44 contributing features, 8 contributing landscape characteristics. Tassi Ranch CLI has 16 contributing features and 7 contributing landscape characteristics. The other CL hasn't been fully documented.
- There are numerous landscape features that are important to tribes affiliated with the monument.

2. Information on activities occurring during the **5 years prior to designation**

- a. Recreation - annual visits to site
- b. Energy - annual production of coal, oil, gas and renewables (if any) on site; amount of energy transmission infrastructure on site (if any)
- c. Minerals - annual mineral production on site
- d. Timber - annual timber production on site (in board-feet, CCF, or similar measure)
- e. Grazing - annual grazing on site (AUMs permitted and sold)
- f. Subsistence - participation rates for subsistence activities occurring on site (fishing, hunting, gathering); quantities harvested; other quantifiable information where available
- g. Cultural - list of cultural uses/values for site; number of sites; other quantifiable information where available

CRMO: The Shoshone-Bannock Tribe would argue that prior to the establishment of the monument the area was used for traditional subsistence and expressions of their culture. The area of the preserve administered by the NPS has included activities associated with Shoshone-Bannock traditions, academic research and some interpretation.

PARA: Hopi are affiliated with the monument. More time is needed to gather the information to adequately address the cultural values of the monument to the Hopi. The literature on the sacred landscapes is vast. However, the importance of the Grand Canyon & Parachant to the Tribe is well documented. These lands are referenced in their genesis (entrance into the fourth world) and in many of their sacred songs.

3. Information on activities that likely would have occurred annually from the date of designation to the present **if the Monument had not been designated**

- a. Recreation - annual visits to site

- b. Energy - annual production of coal, oil, gas and renewables (if any) on site; amount of energy transmission infrastructure on site (if any)
- c. Minerals - annual mineral production on site
- d. Timber - annual timber production on site (in board-feet, CCF, or similar measure)
- e. Grazing - annual grazing on site (AUMs permitted and sold)
- f. Subsistence - participation rates for subsistence activities occurring on site (fishing, hunting, gathering); quantities harvested; other quantifiable information where available
- g. Cultural - list of cultural uses/values for site; number of sites; other quantifiable information where available. **This is difficult to address for cultural resources.**
 - It can be assumed that none of the activities currently taking place within CRMO or PARA would be supported unless the area is federal property. It can also be assumed that the preservation of our shared national heritage at these monuments would have been impacted since state historic preservation laws vary.

- 4. Changes to boundaries - dates and changes in size
- 5. Public Outreach prior to Designation - outreach activities conducted and opportunities for public comment
- 6. Terms of Designation
- 7. Any legislative language, including legislation in appropriations bills
- 8. Alternative options available for protection of resources applicable at each monument.
 - a. All preservation and federal protection laws apply for both CRMO and GRCA/PARA. These include NHPA (Section 101, Section 106, Section 110 in particular), Historic Sites Act, ARPA, NAGPRA, AHPA, AIRFA and Executive Order 13007 (Sacred Lands).
- 9. Designated wilderness areas (name, acreage), wilderness study areas (name if there is one, acreage, type), and/or areas managed to preserve wilderness or roadless characteristics but not formal study area. Please note if there are none in any given monument so there is no question
- 10. Outstanding RS 2477 claims within a monument – type of road claimed and history
- 11. Maps – details later, but please alert your map staff that requests will come, and feedback on whether your bureau or USGS is better map source
- 12. Cultural or historical resources, particularly Tribal, located near a monument but not within the boundary that might benefit from inclusion in the monument.
 - a. The Shoshone-Bannock Tribe benefits from the protection of CRMO (monument and preserve) because these are traditional lands.
 - b. PARA: Hopi Nation

Table 1. List of National Monuments Included in Review (per DoI Press Release dated May 5, 2017)

National Monument	Location	Managing Agency
Basin and Range	Nevada	BLM
Bears Ears	Utah	BLM, USFS
Berryessa Snow Mountain	California	USFS, BLM
Canyons of the Ancients	Colorado	BLM
Carrizo Plain	California	BLM
Cascade Siskiyou	Oregon	#N/A
Craters of the Moon	Idaho	NPS, BLM
Giant Sequoia	California	USFS
Gold Butte	Nevada	BLM
Grand Canyon-Parashant	Arizona	BLM, NPS
Grand Staircase-Escalante	Utah	BLM
Hanford Reach	Washington	FWS, DOE
Ironwood Forest	Arizona	BLM
Mojave Trails	California	BLM
Organ Mountains-Desert Peaks	New Mexico	BLM
Río Grande del Norte	New Mexico	BLM
Sand to Snow	California	BLM, USFS
San Gabriel Mountains	California	USFS
Sonoran Desert	Arizona	BLM
Upper Missouri River Breaks	Montana	BLM
Vermilion Cliffs	Arizona	BLM
Katahdin Woods and Waters	Maine	NPS
Marianas Trench	CNMI/Pacific Ocean	FWS
Northeast Canyons and Seamounts	Atlantic Ocean	NOAA, FWS
Pacific Remote Islands	Pacific Ocean	FWS
Papahānaumokuākea	Hawai'i/Pacific Ocean	NOAA, FWS
Rose Atoll	American Sāmoa/Pacific Ocean	FWS