

To: Ginn, Allison[aginn@blm.gov]
Cc: Leah Peterson[leah.peterson@sol.doi.gov]; Ashcroft, Tyler[tashcrof@blm.gov]
From: Steiger, John
Sent: 2017-02-24T17:03:21-05:00
Importance: Normal
Subject: Re: ROV Table in Drive
Received: 2017-02-24T17:03:30-05:00

Let's talk soon about what you may expect from us. Maybe pull up our calendars and see what works next week, preferably in the afternoon? Thanks.

On Fri, Feb 24, 2017 at 2:46 PM, Ginn, Allison <aginn@blm.gov> wrote:

Leah-

Please find the current version of Utah's identification of objects and values here:

ROV_BENM_InternalDraft.docx

(Actual document text begins on page 10).

We would like to schedule a 1-2 hour call with UTSO Program Leads and Monticello's interdisciplinary team to review SOL's initial thoughts and impressions.

First, I'd like feedback on the pros and cons of clearly delineating "objects" versus "values" (as opposed to BLM's generally standard practice of listing them together without differentiation- see GSENM's ROV table in the [Grazing Amendment AMS](#) (p. 136).

Second, please provide feedback on the actual defined objects that were identified by staff. Based on previous conversations with Monticello, I expect that we will need quite a bit of discussion of the recreational aspects of the proclamation, as well as dark skies, natural quiet and grazing.

Third, we would like some guidance regarding coordination with USFS and the Tribal Commission on the identification of objects.

I am also including the notes I sent back on Monticello's first draft, for reference.

Please call me with any questions as you review. I'd like to have a call the week of March 6th, if possible. Thanks!

Regards,

Allison Ginn
National Conservation Lands Program Lead
BLM Utah State Office
801-539-4053

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Ginn, Allison <aginn@blm.gov>
Date: Wed, Feb 1, 2017 at 1:25 PM
Subject: ROV Table in Drive
To: Donald Hoffheins <dhoffhei@blm.gov>

Don-

Apologies- I had hoped to discuss the Resources, Objects and Values (ROVs) document in Google Drive during the already-scheduled call yesterday, but ran out of time.

Here is the task- I'd like your ID team to review the draft ROV table in concert with their UTSO counterparts (Cameron, Ashely and Diana are already working together as are Greg and Rebecca). These reflect research on my part for consistency with GSENM and also the conversations we had in Monticello last week with your ID team.

Please include any notes or thoughts you have directly into the document here:

ROV_BENM_InternalDraft_UTSO.docx

If you have remaining questions after you've reviewed the background section and the individual resources, please let me know. I'm also attaching some notes on the version that MtFO provided in January.

Identification of the objects from the proclamation is critically important to future land use planning and also to analyzing impacts to proposed actions in NEPA documents over the short term. Once we have a solid draft, our next step is to have a call with the Solicitor to review BLM-Utah's identified ROVs and allow them to provide feedback. I'd like to have that discussion in mid- to late February, if possible. What are your thoughts on completing ID Team review by 2/17? (I don't have the authority to assign workloads, but if you are alright with the suggested timeframe, then I can send more specific information to your ID team).

I did share the Google Drive document with Cameron, Rebecca Hunt Foster, Amber and Mandy. Please advise on additional points of contact.

Thanks!

Regards,

Allison Ginn
National Conservation Lands Program Lead
BLM Utah State Office
801-539-4053