

To: Wootton, Rachel[rwootton@blm.gov]
Cc: Timothy Fisher[tjfisher@blm.gov]
From: Mahoney, Kenneth
Sent: 2017-07-21T15:03:42-04:00
Importance: Normal
Subject: Re: Comments on NM analyses
Received: 2017-07-21T15:03:48-04:00

That looks fine to me. You may want to add some additional explanation about what is the source of these hazardous materials, i.e., old appliances and electronics people haul out for targets, bullets (lead) and shell casings, etc. Thanks for addressing that topic.
Ken

On Fri, Jul 21, 2017 at 9:46 AM, Wootton, Rachel <rwootton@blm.gov> wrote:

This is what I was thinking:
Some of the controversy surrounding target shooting relates to the potential for wild fire risk and buildup of hazardous materials.

I am including Tim for awareness.

Best,

Rachel

--

Rachel Wootton
Planning and Environmental Specialist
National Conservation Lands (WO-410)
Bureau of Land Management
20 M Street SE Washington, DC 20003
rwootton@blm.gov
desk - (202) 912-7398
cell - (202) 774-8791

[Visit us online!](#)

On Fri, Jul 21, 2017 at 12:42 PM, Wootton, Rachel <rwootton@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Ken,
We got the following comments on the reports from a socioeconomic specialist in Utah.
Unless you have an issue with it, I was going to add some language suggestions in the report pertaining to the comment on the Sonoran Desert (wildfire and hazardous materials impact from target shoot).

On a side note, I really enjoyed my trip out there with you and learned so much!!

Best,

Rachel

--

Rachel Wootton
Planning and Environmental Specialist
National Conservation Lands (WO-410)
Bureau of Land Management
20 M Street SE Washington, DC 20003
rwootton@blm.gov
desk - (202) 912-7398
cell - (202) 774-8791

[Visit us online!](#)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Julie Suhr Pierce** <jsuhrpierce@blm.gov>

Date: Thu, Jul 20, 2017 at 6:14 PM

Subject: Comments on NM analyses

To: rwootton@blm.gov

Cc: ajossie@blm.gov, aginn@blm.gov

Rachel,

I was given the opportunity to review eight National Monument economic values and economic contributions reports. I have some text-related comments and a few general comments.

On the following three reports, I have no specific comments:

Canyons of the Ancients
Carizzo Plain
Grand Canyon-Parashant
Ironwood

Specific comments:

Grand Staircase-Escalante

A remarkable amount of money, effort, and legislation has gone toward compensating those who had financial interests that were affected by the Monument designation. I think the report does a good job of bringing those to the reader's awareness.

Vermillion Cliffs

Table 1 needs to be reformatted.

Sonoran Desert

It might be helpful to add to the paragraph on the target shooting issue (page 5) a brief note that wildfire danger is increased by allowing target shooting. The paragraph mentions that target shooting is controversial but doesn't indicate why.

Mohave Trails

Typo on page 6, paragraph on grazing: "Since 2010, the number of AUMs billed increased from 1,20 in FY 2010..."

General comments:

In some of the reports, a statement is made (b)(5) DPP

I spoke about this with BLM economist Josh Sidon, who worked on some of the reports. He told me that when he requested minerals potential data, he was told that because of the withdrawal at the time of Monument designation, locatables were not an issue by definition (due to having been withdrawn from mineral entry).

(b)(5) DPP

I have no further comments.

I appreciate having the opportunity to provide feedback.

Best regards,
Julie Suhr Pierce

Julie A. Suhr Pierce, PhD
Great Basin Socioeconomic Specialist
U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management
440 W 200 S, Suite 500
Salt Lake City, UT 84101-1345
801-539-4290 (office)
801-597-2335 (cell)

Sent from my iPhone

Julie A. Suhr Pierce, PhD
Great Basin Socioeconomic Specialist
U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management
440 W 200 S, Suite 500
Salt Lake City, UT 84101-1345
801-539-4290 (office)
801-597-2335 (cell)

Sent from my iPhone