

**To:** Nelson, Britta[bknelson@blm.gov]  
**From:** Timothy Fisher  
**Sent:** 2017-12-19T15:13:40-05:00  
**Importance:** Normal  
**Subject:** Re: Priorities for NM plans  
**Received:** 2017-12-19T15:13:55-05:00

Exactly - I call when we are done

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 19, 2017, at 3:02 PM, Nelson, Britta <[bknelson@blm.gov](mailto:bknelson@blm.gov)> wrote:

Just left a vm. I think you are on the leads call. Give me a ring when you are ready.

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (WO-410)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.236.0539

On Tue, Dec 19, 2017 at 12:42 PM, Fisher, Timothy <[tjfisher@blm.gov](mailto:tjfisher@blm.gov)> wrote:

I am ready when you are - I ended up grouping them towards the middle and end and put right on the document

Timothy J Fisher, Program Lead

National Monuments and Conservation Areas  
National Conservation Lands

20 M Street S.E. (wo-410)  
Washington DC 20003

202-912-7172 Office  
202-604-0706 Cell  
202-245-0050 Fax

[tjfisher@blm.gov](mailto:tjfisher@blm.gov)

On Tue, Dec 19, 2017 at 2:01 PM, Nelson, Britta <[bknelson@blm.gov](mailto:bknelson@blm.gov)> wrote:

Hi Tim, here is how I ranked the NMs for plan priorities. The highlights distinguish between highest, high, medium and low priority categories. The notes help explain my thinking behind the priorities including, for medium priorities, phase of planning process or year of current monument plan. Let me know when you're ready to discuss.

1. GSENM – no further action
2. BENM- potential additional action (tribal co-management)

3. OMDP – potential additional action (tribal co-management)
4. RGDN - potential additional action (tribal co-management)
5. CSNM – potential additional action (boundary)
6. GBNM – potential additional action (boundary)
7. Basin and Range. Plan needed. Reviewed but not in final report. Scoping Report
8. Berryessa. Plan needed. Reviewed but not in final report. NOI
9. Browns Canyon. Plan needed. Reviewed but not in final report. NOI
10. Mojave Trails. Plan needed. Reviewed but not in final report. NOI
11. Sand to Snow – Plan Needed. no further action. NOI
12. California Coastal. Needs amendment. Not reviewed. NOI.
13. Santa Rosa. Implementing Not reviewed/Congressional designation 2004
14. Kasha-Katuwe. Implementing Plan. Not reviewed. 2007
15. UMRB. Implementing plan. Reviewed but not in final report. 2008
16. Carrizo Plain. Implementing plan. Reviewed but not in final report. 2010
17. CANM. Implementing plan. Reviewed but not in final report. 2010
18. Agua Fria, Implementing. Not reviewed. 2010
19. Ironwood. Implementing plan. Reviewed but not in final report. 2013
20. Pompey's Pillar. Implementing Plan. Not reviewed. 2015
21. Prehistoric Trackways. Implementing Plan. Not reviewed. 2015
22. Fort Ord – not reviewed. Do RMP-A after munitions removed. safety
23. SDNM – awaiting ROD. This will be a new plan.
24. San Juan Islands. No plan. Not reviewed. Needs a plan.
25. GPNM. Implementing, reviewed but not in final report. 2008
26. VCNM. Implementing Plan. reviewed but not in final report. 2008
27. Craters. Implementing Plan. reviewed but not in final report. 2017

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
 National Conservation Lands (WO-410)  
 Bureau of Land Management  
 303.236.0539

