

**To:** Nikki Moore[nmoore@blm.gov]; Sally Butts[sbutts@blm.gov]  
**From:** Nelson, Britta  
**Sent:** 2017-05-26T17:36:55-04:00  
**Importance:** Normal  
**Subject:** Monthly Hot Topic Snapshot for Week of May 29  
**Received:** 2017-05-26T17:37:44-04:00  
[FY2017 Tracking Spreadsheet.xlsx](#)  
[Monthly Hot Topic Snapshot for week of May 29.docx](#)

Hi Nikki and Sally, the Monthly Hot Topic Snapshot for the week of May 29 and the WO410 tracking spreadsheet are attached. Please let me know if I should set up a coordination meeting to go over the Snapshot since Monday is a holiday or if I should go ahead and send it out. Thanks.

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (WO-410)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.236.0539

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AG	AH	AI	AJ	AK	AL	AM	AN	AO	AP	AQ	AR	AS	AT	AU	AV	AW	AX	AY	AZ	BA	BB	BC	BD	BE	BF	BG	BH	BI	BJ	BK	BL	BM	BN	BO	BP	BQ	BR	BS	BT	BU	BV	BW	BX	BY	BZ	CA	CB	CC	CD	CE	CF	CG	CH	CI	CJ	CK	CL	CM	CN	CO	CP	CQ	CR	CS	CT	CU	CV	CW	CX	CY	CZ	DA	DB	DC	DD	DE	DF	DG	DH	DI	DJ	DK	DL	DM	DN	DO	DP	DQ	DR	DS	DT	DU	DV	DW	DX	DY	DZ	EA	EB	EC	ED	EE	EF	EG	EH	EI	EJ	ЕК	EL	EM	EN	EO	EP	EQ	ER	ES	ET	EU	EV	EW	EX	EY	EZ	FA	FB	FC	FD	FE	FF	FG	FH	FI	FJ	FK	FL	FM	FN	FO	FP	FQ	FR	FS	FT	FU	FV	FW	FX	FY	FZ	GA	GB	GC	GD	GE	GF	GG	GH	GI	GJ	GK	GL	GM	GN	GO	GP	GQ	GR	GS	GT	GU	GV	GW	GX	GY	GZ	HA	HB	HC	HD	HE	HF	HG	HH	HI	HJ	HK	HL	HM	HN	HO	HP	HQ	HR	HS	HT	HU	HV	HW	HX	HY	HZ	IA	IB	IC	ID	IE	IF	IG	IH	II	IJ	IK	IL	IM	IN	IO	IP	IQ	IR	IS	IT	IU	IV	IW	IX	IY	IZ	JA	JB	JC	JD	JE	JF	JG	JH	JI	IJ	JK	JL	JM	JN	JO	JP	JQ	JR	JS	JT	JU	JV	JW	JX	JY	JZ	KA	KB	KC	KD	KE	KF	KG	KH	KI	KJ	KK	KL	KM	KN	KO	KP	KQ	KR	KS	KT	KU	KV	KW	KX	KY	KZ	LA	LB	LC	LD	LE	LF	LG	LH	LI	LJ	LK	LL	LM	LN	LO	LP	LQ	LR	LS	LT	LU	LV	LW	LX	LY	LZ	MA	MB	MC	MD	ME	MF	MG	MH	MI	MJ	MK	ML	MM	MN	MO	MP	MQ	MR	MS	MT	MU	MV	MW	MX	MY	MZ	NA	NB	NC	ND	NE	NF	NG	NH	NI	NJ	NK	NL	NM	NO	NP	NQ	NR	NS	NT	NU	NV	NW	NX	NY	NZ	OA	OB	OC	OD	OE	OF	OG	OH	OI	OJ	OK	OL	OM	ON	OO	OP	OQ	OR	OS	OT	OU	OV	OW	OX	OY	OZ	PA	PB	PC	PD	PE	PF	PG	PH	PI	PJ	PK	PL	PM	PN	PO	PP	PQ	PR	PS	PT	PU	PV	PW	PX	PY	PZ	QA	QB	QC	QD	QE	QF	QG	QH	QI	QJ	QK	QL	QM	QN	QO	QP	QQ	QR	QS	QT	QU	QV	QW	QX	QY	QZ	RA	RB	RC	RD	RE	RF	RG	RH	RI	RJ	RK	RL	RM	RN	RO	RP	RQ	RR	RS	RT	RU	RV	RW	RX	RY	RZ	SA	SB	SC	SD	SE	SF	SG	SH	SI	SJ	SK	SL	SM	SN	SO	SP	SQ	SR	SS	ST	SU	SV	SW	SX	SY	SZ	TA	TB	TC	TD	TE	TF	TG	TH	TI	TJ	TK	TL	TM	TN	TO	TP	TQ	TR	TS	TT	TU	TV	TW	TX	TY	TZ	UA	UB	UC	UD	UE	UF	UG	UH	UI	UJ	UK	UL	UM	UN	UO	UP	UQ	UR	US	UT	UU	UV	UW	UX	UY	UZ	VA	VB	VC	VD	VE	VF	VG	VH	VI	VJ	VK	VL	VM	VN	VO	VP	VQ	VR	VS	VT	VU	VV	VW	VX	VY	VZ	WA	WB	WC	WD	WE	WF	WG	WH	WI	WJ	WK	WL	WM	WN	WO	WP	WQ	WR	WS	WT	WU	WV	WW	WX	WY	WZ	XA	XB	XC	XD	XE	XF	YG	YH	YI	YJ	YK	YL	YM	YN	YO	YP	YQ	YR	YS	YT	YU	YV	YW	YX	YY	YZ	ZA	ZB	ZC	ZD	ZE	ZF	ZG	ZH	ZI	ZJ	ZK	ZL	ZM	ZN	ZO	ZP	ZQ	ZR	ZS	ZT	ZU	ZV	ZW	ZX	ZY	ZZ	AA	AB	AC	AD</
--	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	------

																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																														</
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	----

																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																						</
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	----

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]



**Call: 31**

**Comments:** Britta Nelson  
08/19/2016

NHRA and Peter, someone is recommended by OFDP 210A, NGS for the Southwestern States PMRA/PDES. WGSB received the RFP and there are no outstanding issues. They planning was contains the higher West Lighthouse OLAN/GSC, WGA, and NHTF. The WGSB ID can be found at: <https://www.google.com/>

Comments provided on the Federal Register Notice include the notice should cite the RMP obligations, National Total Management Guidelines for segments of the Potomac, National Heritage Series Trail and the Washington-Rochester-Revolutionary Route National Historic Trail, and suggested adding to the notice that it has other notice package materials.

The NMNCA program reviewed and haven't comments on the notice package. The NHTF program concurs with the FR comments, Cattle is an IY so I haven't heard on the WSA program but it should be fine with the language added to the FR in the objections described above. No sideways need the WSA or

Please let me know if additional information is needed.

**Call: 31**

**Comments:** Britta Nelson  
08/19/2016

To Shira, Heather, Ryan, NHM, Carol  
Thanks for the update!

I'm NHM included in the meeting with Eastern States? Since the DMS is a National Conservation Lands unit, NHM should be included in discussions re the ACDC camp.

Thanks.

**Call: 31**

**Comments:** Britta Nelson  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCLAS)  
Division of Land Management  
803.234.6156

On-Fr, Sep 13, 2016 at 1:30 PM, Asher, Britta Nelson<brittan@nps.gov> wrote:  
Hello Britta,

WeI comments were addressed and the bid was done. So, all Bidding took place. There were some issues regarding overlapping designations between recreation and AGC. Additionally making sure that the purpose and land statement captures the spirit and content is also critical. I think Eastern States is working internally w respect of where the federal Register packages, SO and we will make that the most direct in the world. We will meet and respond from AGC to work for the help regarding any further developments.

I will take you update.

All the best,

Shira

Shira is Asher, PhD  
Planning and Environmental Analysis  
BAW NSD 200 (Division of Creative Support, Planning & MPA)  
204 M Street, S.E. (Washington, DC 20003)  
[baw@nps.gov](mailto:baw@nps.gov)

On-Fr, Sep 13, 2016 at 3:11 PM, Nelson, Britta Nelson<brittan@nps.gov> wrote:

NHRA is helping you could provide some information on the Southwestern States PMRA/PDES. WGSB has the NGS for the Southwestern States PMRA/PDES in DTS. There are remaining issues that were to be worked out by Eastern States, WGSB, and WGSB ID but can not see if those discussions have not occurred?

Thanks!

Britta Nelson  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCLAS)  
Division of Land Management  
803.234.6156

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Nelson, Britta Nelson<brittan@nps.gov>  
Date: Tue, Sep 19, 2016 at 1:00 PM  
Subject: Check in on Southwestern States PMRA/PDES  
To: RyanHeathway<rheathway@nps.gov>

[REDACTED]

Hi Ryan, I hope you saw WGSB ID has the NGS for the Southwestern States PMRA/PDES in DTS. There are remaining issues that were to be worked out by Eastern States, WGSB, and WGSB ID but those discussions have not occurred. Should we set something up to discuss or is this something I'll do under [REDACTED]

Britta Nelson  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCLAS)  
Division of Land Management  
803.234.6156

[REDACTED]

**Call: 31**

**Comments:** Britta Nelson  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCLAS)  
Division of Land Management  
803.234.6156

NHRA and Peter, someone is recommended by OFDP 210A, NGS for the Southwestern States PMRA/PDES. WGSB received the RFP and there are no outstanding issues. They planning was contains the higher West Lighthouse OLAN/GSC, WGA, and NHTF. The WGSB ID can be found at: <https://www.google.com/>

Comments provided on the Federal Register Notice include the notice should cite the RMP obligations, National Total Management Guidelines for segments of the Potomac, National Heritage Series Trail and the Washington-Rochester-Revolutionary Route National Historic Trail, and suggested adding to the notice that it has other notice package materials.

The NMNCA program reviewed and haven't comments on the notice package. The NHTF program concurs with the FR comments, Cattle is an IY so I haven't heard on the WSA program but it should be fine with the language added to the FR in the objections described above. No sideways need the WSA or

Please let me know if additional information is needed.

**Call: 31**

**Comments:** Britta Nelson  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCLAS)  
Division of Land Management  
803.234.6156

To Shira, Heather, Ryan, NHM, Carol  
Thanks for the update!

I'm NHM included in the meeting with Eastern States? Since the DMS is a National Conservation Lands unit, NHM should be included in discussions re the ACDC camp.

Thanks.

**Call: 31**

**Comments:** Britta Nelson  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCLAS)  
Division of Land Management  
803.234.6156

Bureau of Land Management  
203.236.0139

On Fri, Sep 23, 2016 at 12:20 PM, Arden, Shira-sharon@blm.gov wrote:  
Hello Shira,

WFO comments were addressed and Pre-bid was done. So, all bidding took place. There were some issues regarding overlapping designations between recreation and ACEC. Additionally making sure that the purpose and need statement captures the spirit and content is also essential. I think Eastern States is working intensively w/ Inspector of where the Federal Register package is, to find an agreement that the issues raised at the AD briefings are addressed. We will reach out and support from AED to well We will keep you in the loop regarding any further developments.

I will keep you updated.

All the best,

Shira

-----  
Shira H. Arden, PhD  
Planning and Environmental Analyst  
BLM WFO-200 (Division of Decision Support, Planning & NEPA)  
2240 Canyon, S.E., Washington, DC 20040  
[https://twitter.com/shira\\_h](https://twitter.com/shira_h)

On Fri, Sep 23, 2016 at 1:11 PM, Nelson, Britta-sharon@blm.gov wrote:

Hi Shira, I was hoping you could provide some information on the Southwestern States PRMP/FEIS. WFO-20 has the NCA for the Southwestern States PRMP/FEIS in DTS. There are remaining issues that were to be worked out by Eastern States, WFO-20, and WFO-20 but I am not sure if those discussions have not occurred yet.

Thanks!

Britta Nelson  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands WFO-200  
Bureau of Land Management  
203.236.0139

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Nelson, Britta-sharon@blm.gov  
Date: Mon, Sep 19, 2016 at 12:04 PM  
Subject: Shira H. Arden - Southwestern States PRMP/FEIS  
To: Arden-sharon@blm.gov  
Cc: Nelson-sharon@blm.gov

Hi Shira, I hope you are well. WFO-20 has the NCA for the Southwestern States PRMP/FEIS in DTS. There are remaining issues that were to be worked out by Eastern States, WFO-20, and WFO-20 but those discussions have not occurred yet. Should we set something up to discuss or is that something you will handle.

Thanks!

Britta Nelson  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands WFO-200  
Bureau of Land Management  
203.236.0139

Call ID:  
Comment: Britta Nelson

-----  
In Reply: Decision space limited due to Settlement Agreement provisions. WFO-20 review of the AD-20 found:

**If a new package is submitted to the settlement:**  
The AD-20 review would be required under the AD-20 for the purpose and is not prohibited for protection to Alternative 10 (proposed). The AD-20 (drafted by the AD-20) was existing from the AD-20 (proposed) and will result in the AD-20 no longer having address characteristics. The existing AD-20 (proposed) will be addressed characteristics and development of that AD-20 is consistent with the settlement.

The AD-20 (proposed) AD-20 review would be required under the AD-20 for the purpose and is not prohibited for protection to Alternative 10 (proposed). The AD-20 (drafted by the AD-20) was existing from the AD-20 (proposed) and will result in the AD-20 no longer having address characteristics. The existing AD-20 (proposed) will be addressed characteristics and development of that AD-20 is consistent with the settlement.

**If a request for a new package is submitted by the AD-20 (proposed) AD-20 review:**  
The AD-20 (proposed) AD-20 review would be required under the AD-20 for the purpose and is not prohibited for protection to Alternative 10 (proposed). The AD-20 (drafted by the AD-20) was existing from the AD-20 (proposed) and will result in the AD-20 no longer having address characteristics. The existing AD-20 (proposed) will be addressed characteristics and development of that AD-20 is consistent with the settlement.

**Provisions of the AD-20 (proposed) AD-20 review:**  
The AD-20 (proposed) AD-20 review would be required under the AD-20 for the purpose and is not prohibited for protection to Alternative 10 (proposed). The AD-20 (drafted by the AD-20) was existing from the AD-20 (proposed) and will result in the AD-20 no longer having address characteristics. The existing AD-20 (proposed) will be addressed characteristics and development of that AD-20 is consistent with the settlement.

**Decision provided:**  
The AD-20 (proposed) AD-20 review would be required under the AD-20 for the purpose and is not prohibited for protection to Alternative 10 (proposed). The AD-20 (drafted by the AD-20) was existing from the AD-20 (proposed) and will result in the AD-20 no longer having address characteristics. The existing AD-20 (proposed) will be addressed characteristics and development of that AD-20 is consistent with the settlement.

for the AD-20 (proposed) AD-20 review.

Call ID:  
Comment: Britta Nelson

-----  
On 9/23/16

Hi Shira and Peter, welcome to the Southwestern States PRMP/FEIS. WFO-20 reviewed the BAP and there are no outstanding issues. The planning map contains the Agate River Light House (NANALC, WFO, and WFO). The WFO-20 BAP can be found at: <https://blm.gov/eng/>.

Comments provided on the Federal Register Notice include the notice should state the BAP available. National Trust Management Guidelines for segments of the Potomac, National Heritage Science Trail and the Washington-Rochester Revolution. National Historic Trail, and suggested adding to the notice that it is other notice package materials.

The NANALC program reviewed and doesn't have comments on the notice package. The BAP program concurs with the BAP comments. Call ID is 101 (not 100) based on the WFO program but it should be fine with the language added to the BAP in the objections described above. No substantive need the WFO at

Please let me know if additional information is needed.

Call ID:  
Comment: Britta Nelson

-----  
Nelson, Britta-sharon@blm.gov  
12:14 PM (7 minutes ago)

Hi Shira, Nelson, Arden, Shira, David

Thanks for the update!

Is NCA included in the meeting with Eastern States? Since the NCA is a National Conservation Lands unit, NCA should be included in discussions in the ACEC meeting.

Thanks!

Britta Nelson  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands WFO-200  
Bureau of Land Management  
203.236.0139

On Fri, Sep 23, 2016 at 12:20 PM, Arden, Shira-sharon@blm.gov wrote:  
Hello Shira,

WFO comments were addressed and Pre-bid was done. So, all bidding took place. There were some issues regarding overlapping designations between recreation and ACEC. Additionally making sure that the purpose and need statement captures the spirit and content is also essential. I think Eastern States is working intensively w/ Inspector of where the Federal Register package is, to find an agreement that the issues raised at the AD briefings are addressed. We will reach out and support from AED to well We will keep you in the loop regarding any further developments.

I will keep you updated.

All the best,

Shira





Britta Nelson  
Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-402)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.218.0519

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Nelson, Britta [britten@blm.gov]  
Date: Fri, Oct 15, 2016 at 2:06 PM  
Subject: Re: (b)(1) Feedback is requested - Notice of Proposed Supplementary Rule for Canyons of the Ancients National Monument in Montezuma and Dolores Counties, Colorado  
To: Robert Wick [rwick@blm.gov], "Steve, Steve W" [steve@blm.gov]  
Cc: NNM-Moore [nmoores@blm.gov], Peter Wolf [pwolf@blm.gov]

Thanks,

Britta Nelson  
Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-402)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.218.0519

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Nelson, Britta [britten@blm.gov]  
Date: Fri, Oct 15, 2016 at 2:07 PM  
Subject: Feedback is requested - Notice of Proposed Supplementary Rule for Canyons of the Ancients National Monument in Montezuma and Dolores Counties, Colorado  
To: Steve Cohen [scohen@blm.gov], Robert Wick [rwick@blm.gov]  
Cc: Peter Wolf [pwolf@blm.gov], NNM-Moore [nmoores@blm.gov]

Hi Steve and Bob, attached is a package for the Notice of Proposed Supplementary Rule for Canyons of the Ancients National Monument (hereinafter "NPS"). Please provide feedback using the "suggestions" mode in purple above or let me know you don't have feedback by Oct 20.  
  
The proposed supplementary rule would result in changes to currently authorized activities related to collecting geological and biological information, commercial filming and photography, recreational shooting activities, geocaching, climbing, camping in archaeological sites, and land management.

Thanks,

Canyons of the Ancients Supplementary Rule, NCL-402.docx

CAAM Supplementary Rule public review.docx

CAAM Supplementary Rule Canon Plan.docx

CAAM Supplementary Rule Social Media/Photo.docx

CAAM Briefing Paper.doc

CAAM Map.pdf

Britta Nelson  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-402)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.218.0519

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Nelson, Britta [britten@blm.gov]  
Date: Thu, Oct 13, 2016 at 1:10 PM  
Subject: DTS Assignment - Notice of Proposed Supplementary Rule for Canyons of the Ancients National Monument in Montezuma and Dolores Counties, Colorado  
To: aaron@blm.gov, helen@blm.gov, cclinton@blm.gov, abecker@blm.gov, shannon@blm.gov, nathan@blm.gov, kishara@blm.gov, murray@blm.gov, rhonda@blm.gov, nevada@blm.gov, dani@blm.gov

hello and welcome to the DTS automated email alert!

you have been assigned to the following task:

Task: Review the following URL, Address: <https://blm.gov/MyPage/LogIn.do?offAuth=4576> and review Document Control Number (DCN)\*\*\* 6189001128.

When you are in the meeting process, enter your surname information for your effort's task and save the record.

Task: Review the following URL, Address: <https://blm.gov/MyPage/LogIn.do?offAuth=4576> and review Document Control Number (DCN)\*\*\* 6189001128.

Action Required: 3 Surnames

Assigned by Office: WFO-001-002, DTS/DCN User: Andy Torrey

Call: 317

Comment: Britta Nelson

Commented on DTS/001-002 Transmission line Project FEA/ground amendments.

The WMA program provided a comment on page 12 of the NPS. Instead of citing that the agency preferred alternative in segment C's "smaller" the WMA stated (underline blue), the WMA states that the alternative "minimizes impacts" to it. As of the WFOC review, briefings, and discussions, it had been "smaller".

The updated documents are attached and have been reviewed.

Thank you for your input. Thanks,

Britta Nelson  
Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-402)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.218.0519

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Nelson, Britta [britten@blm.gov]  
Date: Fri, Nov 4, 2016 at 1:12 PM  
Subject: RE: (b)(1) Feedback is requested - Notice of Proposed Supplementary Rule for Canyons of the Ancients National Monument in Montezuma and Dolores Counties, Colorado  
To: "Tara, Deborah W" [deborah@blm.gov], "Kathy, Cathy W" [cathy@blm.gov]  
Cc: NNM-Moore [nmoores@blm.gov], Peter Wolf [pwolf@blm.gov], Robert Wick [rwick@blm.gov]

Please provide feedback ASAP as DTS/001-002 for the EIS Notice on the package can be submitted today. Please let me know when your review is complete.

Bob, thanks for reminding me you've completed your review!

6102

Britta Nelson  
Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-612)  
Bureau of Land Management  
203.236.0529

On Fri, Nov 4, 2016 at 6:21 AM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:  
National Conservation Lands, your expedited review is requested of 07/05/2011 Notice of Availability of the Final Environmental Impact Statement and Proposed Land-use Plan Amendments for the Boardman to Heringway Transmission Line Project, Oregon

Please provide feedback in the documents in drive using the "suggestions" mode and let me know when your review is complete. The drive link is: <https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/0B2H4tN5zVvD829Xt63dnRT9Gw>

Britta Nelson  
Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-612)  
Bureau of Land Management  
203.236.0529

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: OTIS@fas.gov  
Date: Fri, Nov 4, 2016 at 5:44 AM  
Subject: OTIS Assignment - Award to Hentleyway Transmission Line Project  
To: aazw@bin.gov, bishu@bin.gov, c13aay@bin.gov, dehu@bin.gov, dhenan@bin.gov, fcahu@bin.gov, f55dax@bin.gov, fmuax@bin.gov, fhuah@bin.gov, fwoctax@bin.gov, fduzz@bin.gov

Hello and welcome to the DTS automated email alert!

Your office (WO-450-NLCS DIVISION) has a task assigned.

Please log in to the Data Tracking System at the following URL Address: <https://dts.fws.gov/dts/preLogin.do?officeId=4576> and review Document Control Number [DCN]\*\* BLMR001311.

To move the document to the next office in the routing process, enter your surname information for your office's task and save the record.

Document Subject: Boardman to Hemingway Transmission Line Project

Action Required: 1-Surname

with 43.6%.

[illegible]

Updates were made to the NOI press release to list wilderness characteristics as an issue, and to the NOI briefing paper to state: "In 2017, the Battle Mountain District will update its wilderness characteristics inventories as part of revising the District's RMP. In the process, staff will review wilderness characteristics units and update inventory information will inform the NCPA analysis."

These documents have been uploaded into DTS and are attached. Please let me know if you need additional information. Thanks.

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-410)  
Bureau of Land Management  
203.236.0519

tal, Peter.qmail@lin.gsu.edu

Dave and I spoke, and attached are slightly revised versions of the news release and briefing paper for the proposed Deep South Expansion Project (NGL).

Dave and I spoke, and attached are slightly revised versions of the news release and briefing paper for the proposed Deep South Expansion Project (NCL).

In short, BLM NV will update the relevant wilderness characteristics inventories before writing the EIS for the mine expansion, and they will incorporate the updated info into the NEPA analysis.

Let me know if you have questions or would like to discuss further.

National Wilderness Program Lead

100

On Fri, Nov 25, 2016 at 1:54 PM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@bin.gsu.edu> wrote:

Hi Dave, Happy Friday! I have a follow up question for D16F. Is/was a wilderness characteristics inventory been completed for the expansion area? If not, will one be completed or does the area being impacted clearly not have wilderness characteristics?

(These questions have been noted in the DTS NOR listing paper and press release [attached] and uploaded in the DTS surname system. I can update them if you can let me know so we can keep the notice rolling.)

of the observed. (Hartley & Hartley, 1992)

Journal of Management Education 35(1)

On Thu, Nov 10, 2006 at 2:15 PM, Nelson, Billie <billie@tcl.com> wrote:  
Thanks Dave!

\_\_\_\_\_

### Program Analysis

National Conservation Lands (NCL-430)  
Bureau of Land Management

103.216.0510

On Thu, Nov 10, 2016 at 2:58 PM, David Mervin Britta; a quick lookup has shown that there are:

Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2016 12:24 PM

Cc: Nikki Moory; Peter Mall; Robert Wick; Bailey.

Subject: Question on D15# 1216—Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Proposed Deep South Expansion Project Amendment, Lander and Eureka Counties, Nevada

Hi Dave, I hope you are well. We received a NCI package (attached) for an EIS for the Proposed Deep South Expansion Project Amendment. Can you let us know if there are lands with wilderness characteristics or National Conservation Lands in the project area?



Hamdani, Fariba  
Dec 5 (7 days ago)

to Nikki, me, Heather, Sally  
Many thanks Nikki and Britta!

Nelson, Britta <bknelson@bim.gov>  
Dec 5 (7 days ago)

Hi Fariba, we wanted to let you know WDEB provided a comment in OPL.

(b)(5) DPP

Cell 026

Comment: Britta Nelson.

The Notice BP 88-212 states: "There are no national monuments, national conservation areas, or similar designations, national scientific or historic trails, wild and scenic rivers, wilderness study areas, wilderness areas or lands with Wilderness Characteristics located within the proposed project area. The Basin and Range National Monument is located approximately 10 miles northwest of the proposed project area in the Humboldt-Tahoe National Forest Study Ranger District. The remaining four wilderness areas located within 30 miles of the proposed project area include Shoshone, Bald Mountain, Current Mountain, and Red Mountain. Portions of two BLM-812 wilderness study areas (BSAs) are located

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NLD-612)

Feb 03

Hi Cathi, this is in regard to our asking Alaska to include in the FRN for the ROD for Eastern Interior that outstandingly remarkable values of the designated WSIs are being identified and suitability determinations are being made for eligible segments.

Does the language *jeanle* provided work?

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-632)  
Bureau of Land Management  
202.236.0519

Railey, Cathi  
Nov 29 (13 days ago)

no me, Nikki  
Nikki, Shona,

I don't quite remember the specifics of our request for the FRIs so I provide the following as a quick response:

The Proposed RM/FS considered five rivers to be eligible for potential designation as Wild and Scenic Rivers, but the RDRs do not determine them to be suitable for designation as Wild and Scenic Rivers, instead protecting them through other means. Thanks!

Cell 026

Way Ryan - WOFB has earned the NOA for DC-MCA.

Billy Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
[REDACTED] 412)  
Director of Child Support  
202.234.0519

..... *Forw*

From: Butts, Sally <sbutts@bion.gov>  
Date: Thu, Dec 1, 2016 at 1:15 PM  
Subject: Re: Feedback on DFEIS 1349 - NOA of ROD for the Dominguez-Escalante National Conservation Area Resource Management Plan Final Environmental Impact Statement

To: "Nelson, Britta" <bnelson@tbn.gov>  
cc: Deane, Williford <williford@tbn.gov>

610

by the good coordination on this.

Sally

<sup>1</sup> I updated the BP and FRN and added a comment in DTS that the notice materials should address the recreational target shooting closure and the public utility corridor along Unaweap Canyon/Highway 141.

Please let me know if there is anything else needed before summer. Thanks.

\_\_\_\_\_

**Source: California Department of Transportation.**

On Wed, Nov 30, 2016 at 7:27 AM, Moore, Nikki <nmoore@tln.com> wrote:

Seems like they should address both the utility corridor and the closure unless they have a compelling reason not to highlight it. Go ahead and upload with the recommendation to add it then I can co-sign. Thanks!

Nikki Moore

2000年12月29日 星期四

202.288.9114 (cell)

On Tue, Nov 28, 2016 at 12:29 PM, Nelson, B [mailto:[blnelson@bing.gov](mailto:blnelson@bing.gov)] wrote:

The Communication plan addresses: WSR, NISAT, wilderness, and other management of the MCA.

The FRN is clear on these areas so I added a comment: "Please include in the FRN that the Approved RMP makes suitability determinations for WSH, establishes a national trail management corridor for the Old Spanish NHT, and makes decisions on the protection of lands with wilderness characteristics."

The FRN does not address the target shooting closure but I am not sure if that is required. Let me know if it should be added.

The RP identifies all units except for NIST so I added a comment to "Please include in the briefing paper that a national trail

The only other item is none of the RCD notice materials; address the public utility corridor along Unaweep Canyon/Hwy 161 so won't cure if we should note that this should be included in the

The notice documents have been shared with you. I haven't uploaded them into QTS yet so I can make sure to include your feedback and the programs if routed. Let me know how you would like to proceed.



Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-412)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.226.0529

Call: 527  
Comment: Britta Nelson  
NCL-412 records show that under the proposed plan, a NCL stipulation would be applied to the sub-area NCL segments along the Colorado and Green Rivers (25,347 acres), and the Montezuma NCL Segment 3 along the Colorado River south of the Colorado (712 acres). Have there been changes for NCL-412?  
To: Patrick, me, Ryan, Sally, Niki, Caiti  
My understanding is that no changes will be made between the NCL-412/BLM documents and the NCL-412/BLM documents related to some of NCL and areas closed to mineral leasing. Pat, please correct me if I'm wrong.

To: Brent, Emily, me, Ryan, Sally, Niki, Caiti  
Correct, there are no changes to acreages between proposed and approved.

Call: 528  
Comment: Britta Nelson  
From: Britta Nelson <bnelson@blm.gov>  
Date: 9/12/2016 4:41 PM  
To: Peter, Robert, Niki, Sally  
Hi Peter, Robert, we received the NCL-412 for the NCL-412 for the Andover Mining District Access Project. There are no NCL-412 units but there are some with wilderness characteristics in the project area. The NCL-412 has indicated a border with wilderness characteristics inventory has been completed but this has not been said.  
Thanks.  
Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-412)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.226.0529  
Andover NCL-412 11-12-16.docx  
Andover NCL-412 12-16.docx  
Andover Conservation 11-12-16.docx  
Andover Mining Access NCL-412.pdf.docx  
Road to Andover Map.pdf  
----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Lauren Therpe <ltherpe@blm.gov>  
Date: Fri, Dec 9, 2016 at 10:12 AM  
Subject: Re: Question re: NCL-412 - Status of more to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Andover Mining District Industrial Access Project  
To: "Niki, Robert" <bnelson@blm.gov>  
Cc: "Therpe, Lauren" <ltherpe@blm.gov>, Peter, Robert <robert@blm.gov>, Niki, Lauren <lauren@blm.gov>, Sally, Robert <robert@blm.gov>

Hi Britta, the status of the NCL-412 is "Not". We are currently drafting alternatives for our AMP revision, in which we will make those decisions on NCL-412. But decisions on NCL-412 have not been made for this area at this point because our AMP is still (NCL-412) for the area of the Andover Road project.  
Call: 529  
From: Lauren Therpe <ltherpe@blm.gov>  
Date: Fri, Dec 9, 2016 at 10:12 AM  
Subject: Re: Question re: NCL-412 - Status of more to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Andover Mining District Industrial Access Project  
To: "Niki, Robert" <bnelson@blm.gov>  
Cc: "Therpe, Lauren" <ltherpe@blm.gov>, Peter, Robert <robert@blm.gov>, Niki, Lauren <lauren@blm.gov>, Sally, Robert <robert@blm.gov>

Hi Britta, the status of the NCL-412 is "Not". We are currently drafting alternatives for our AMP revision, in which we will make those decisions on NCL-412. But decisions on NCL-412 have not been made for this area at this point because our AMP is still (NCL-412) for the area of the Andover Road project.  
Call: 530  
From: Lauren Therpe <ltherpe@blm.gov>  
Date: Fri, Dec 9, 2016 at 10:12 AM  
Subject: Re: Question re: NCL-412 - Status of more to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Andover Mining District Industrial Access Project  
To: "Niki, Robert" <bnelson@blm.gov>  
Cc: "Therpe, Lauren" <ltherpe@blm.gov>, Peter, Robert <robert@blm.gov>, Niki, Lauren <lauren@blm.gov>, Sally, Robert <robert@blm.gov>

On Thu, Dec 8, 2016 at 5:15 PM, Lauren Therpe <ltherpe@blm.gov> wrote:  
Hi Britta,

I am the BLM Project Manager for the Andover DS.  
I have the NCL-412 for the Andover Mining District Access Project. I added the document you shared with me in the BLM DS, and also downloaded it as we have the current version as well. And then attached it to this email.  
Please let me know if you need a call or email.

Lauren Therpe  
BLM District  
Planning and Environmental Coordination

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Lauren Therpe <ltherpe@blm.gov>  
Date: Thu, Dec 8, 2016 at 5:15 PM  
Subject: Re: Question re: NCL-412 - Status of more to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Andover Mining District Industrial Access Project  
To: Lauren Therpe <ltherpe@blm.gov>

Hi Lauren - I got this note from NCL-412 yesterday. We may need to have a call with them to discuss.

Tim La Mart  
Central Idaho Field Office Manager  
Forklifts, BLM District Office  
303.226.0529  
Forklifts, ME 90708

907-474-2364

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
Date: Wed, Dec 7, 2016 at 8:14 AM  
Subject: Question re: 100-410 - Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Ambler Mining District Industrial Access Project  
To: Timothy Lohrey [timlohy@blm.gov](mailto:timlohy@blm.gov)  
Cc: WMBHawes [timlohy@blm.gov](mailto:timlohy@blm.gov), Kelly Batts [kellybatts@blm.gov](mailto:kellybatts@blm.gov), Robert Wick [robertwick@blm.gov](mailto:robertwick@blm.gov), Peter Nade [pnade@blm.gov](mailto:pnade@blm.gov)

Hi Tim, NPS did not send the NOI to prepare an EIS for the Ambler Mining District Industrial Access Project. For questions and how some follow-up questions, I am reaching out to you because your name is listed as the point of contact for the project in the NPS system.

Please let me know if we need to discuss.

Thanks,

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-410)  
Bureau of Land Management  
300-2104-0129  
AmblerMID-SP-11-0-04.docx

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: [chf@blm.gov](mailto:chf@blm.gov)  
Date: Tue, Dec 6, 2016 at 12:13 PM  
Subject: EIS Requirements: Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Ambler Mining District Industrial Access Project  
To: [timlohy@blm.gov](mailto:timlohy@blm.gov), [kellybatts@blm.gov](mailto:kellybatts@blm.gov), [robertwick@blm.gov](mailto:robertwick@blm.gov), [chf@blm.gov](mailto:chf@blm.gov), [timlohy@blm.gov](mailto:timlohy@blm.gov), [timlohy@blm.gov](mailto:timlohy@blm.gov), [timlohy@blm.gov](mailto:timlohy@blm.gov), [timlohy@blm.gov](mailto:timlohy@blm.gov), [timlohy@blm.gov](mailto:timlohy@blm.gov), [timlohy@blm.gov](mailto:timlohy@blm.gov)

Kelly and welcome to the EIS automated email alert!

Your office (NCL-410-0129) has a task assigned.

Please log in to the Data Tracking System at the following URL: <https://blm.gov/MyDataLog> and review Document Control Number (DCN) 100-410-0129.

To view the document to the next office in the routing process, enter your username information for your office's task and save the record.

Document Subject: Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Ambler Mining District Industrial Access Project  
Original: Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Control Number (CN) 100-410-0129, Title: Ambler, Alaska, intended to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Federal authorizations to construct and operate an industrial access road to the southern Brooks Range foothills of Alaska, originating at the Dalton Highway in the vicinity  
Action Required: EIS Review  
Assigned by Office: NCL-410-0129 (NCL-410-0129) User: Heather Bentley

\*\*\*Thank you\*\*\*

Call: 300-

Comment: Britta Nelson

From: Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
Date: 12/6/2016

Hi Tim, NPS did not send the NOI to prepare an EIS for the Ambler Mining District Industrial Access Project. There are no NCLs units but there are lands with wilderness characteristics in the project area. The FWS has indicated a lands with wilderness characteristics inventory has been completed but this has not been added.

Thanks,

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-410)  
Bureau of Land Management  
300-2104-0129

AmblerMID-SP-11-0-04.docx

Ambler Mining Access NCL-410-0129.pdf

Read to Ambler Map.pdf

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: [timlohy@blm.gov](mailto:timlohy@blm.gov)

Hi Tim, NPS did not send the NOI to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Ambler Mining District Industrial Access Project.

Hi Britta, The short answer to your follow-up question is "No". We currently have no alternatives for our BLM decision, in which we will make those decisions on (NCLs), but decisions on (NCLs) have not been made for this area at this point because our BLM's use (NCLs) for the area of the Ambler Road project.

Tim Lohrey

300-2104-0129

300-2104-0129

300-2104-0129

On Fri, Dec 9, 2016 at 1:04 AM, Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
Thanks, Kelly Batts Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
Title: Ambler, Alaska, intended to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Federal authorizations to construct and operate an industrial access road to the southern Brooks Range foothills of Alaska, originating at the Dalton Highway in the vicinity

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-410)  
Bureau of Land Management  
300-2104-0129

On Thu, Dec 8, 2016 at 6:16 PM, Peter Nade [pnade@blm.gov](mailto:pnade@blm.gov) wrote:  
Hi Britta,

I am the BLM Project Manager for the Ambler EIS.

Thank you for your questions and recommendations on the Andrus Road NEI Drafting Package. I edited the document you shared with me in the Share Drive, and also downloaded it so we have this current version as well. And I have attached it to this email.

If you have any questions, please feel free to give me a call or email.

Thank you,

Laura Therpa  
NEI Analyst  
Planning and Environmental Coordination  
907-273-4368  
907-734-0807 cell

Subject: Final Questions re: DSEP 2018 - Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Andrus Mining District Industrial Access Project  
To: Laura Therpa [ltherpa@blm.gov](mailto:ltherpa@blm.gov)

Hi Laura - I got this note from WD-402 yesterday. We may need to have a call with them to discuss.

Tia La Mar  
Central Valley Field Office Manager  
California BLM District Office  
222 University Avenue  
Oakland, CA 94612  
907-474-2354

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Nathan, Britta [britta@blm.gov](mailto:britta@blm.gov)  
Date: Wed, Dec 7, 2016 at 8:14 AM  
Subject: Question re: DSEP 2018 - Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Andrus Mining District Industrial Access Project  
To: Timothy Linder [tlinder@blm.gov](mailto:tlinder@blm.gov)  
Cc: NAB/Maureen [maureen@blm.gov](mailto:maureen@blm.gov), Tully/Kate [kate@blm.gov](mailto:kate@blm.gov), Robert Wick [robert@blm.gov](mailto:robert@blm.gov), Peter Mark [pmark@blm.gov](mailto:pmark@blm.gov)

Hi Tim, WD-402 received the NOI to prepare an EIS on the Andrus Mining District Industrial Access Project for review and has some follow-up questions. I am reaching out to you because your name is listed as the point of contact for the project in the DTS system.

The Andrus NEI (drafted) indicates that wilderness characteristics are a key issue. Can more information be provided about this including if the wilderness characteristics inventory is current, if the current MAP addresses lands with wilderness characteristics, and if the project is consistent with the plan?

Also are there National Conservation Lands units such as wildland scenic land or NCLs in the project area?

Please let me know if we need to discuss.

Thanks,

Britta Nathan, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-402)  
Bureau of Land Management  
300 J Hall Drive  
Helena, MT 59611-3146

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: [dlr@blm.gov](mailto:dlr@blm.gov)  
Date: Tue, Dec 6, 2016 at 2:23 PM  
Subject: DTS Assignment - Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Andrus Mining District Industrial Access Project  
To: [maureen@blm.gov](mailto:maureen@blm.gov), [tlinder@blm.gov](mailto:tlinder@blm.gov), [dlr@blm.gov](mailto:dlr@blm.gov), [robert@blm.gov](mailto:robert@blm.gov), [kate@blm.gov](mailto:kate@blm.gov), [pmark@blm.gov](mailto:pmark@blm.gov), [maureen@blm.gov](mailto:maureen@blm.gov), [robert@blm.gov](mailto:robert@blm.gov), [kate@blm.gov](mailto:kate@blm.gov), [pmark@blm.gov](mailto:pmark@blm.gov)

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: [dlr@blm.gov](mailto:dlr@blm.gov)

Hi Tim, I have assigned you the task of reviewing the NOI for the Andrus Mining District Industrial Access Project.

Working System in the following link. Address: <https://dts.blm.gov/dts/assign/4576> and review Document Control Number (DCN) 0000001333.

As you work on this task, please enter your summary information for your effort's task and save the record.

Document Subject: Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Andrus Mining District Industrial Access Project  
Summary: Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Central Valley Field Office, Fairbanks, Alaska, intends to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Federal authorizations to construct and operate an industrial access road in the southern Brooks Range foothills of Alaska, underlying on the Dalton Highway in the vicinity of Andrus National Monument, Alaska.  
Assigned By Office: WD-200 PLAN DIVISION User: Heather Bower

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: [dlr@blm.gov](mailto:dlr@blm.gov)

Dec 7 11:05 AM

To: Peter, Robert, NAB, Tully  
Hi Peter and Bob, we received DSEP 2018 NOI for an industrial access project. There are no NCL units but there are lands with wilderness characteristics in the project area. The FO has indicated a study with wilderness characteristics inventory has been completed but this has not been submitted.

Britta Nathan, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-402)  
Bureau of Land Management  
300 J Hall Drive  
Helena, MT 59611-3146

Andrus NEI DSEP 2018-11-16.docx

Andrus NEI DSEP 2018-11-16.docx

Andrus NEI DSEP 2018-11-16.docx

Andrus NEI DSEP 2018-11-16.docx

Andrus NEI DSEP 2018-11-16.docx

Andrus NEI DSEP 2018-11-16.docx

Andrus NEI DSEP 2018-11-16.docx

Andrus NEI DSEP 2018-11-16.docx

Andrus NEI DSEP 2018-11-16.docx

Andrus NEI DSEP 2018-11-16.docx

Andrus NEI DSEP 2018-11-16.docx

Andrus NEI DSEP 2018-11-16.docx

Andrus NEI DSEP 2018-11-16.docx

Andrus NEI DSEP 2018-11-16.docx

Andrus NEI DSEP 2018-11-16.docx

Andrus NEI DSEP 2018-11-16.docx

Andrus NEI DSEP 2018-11-16.docx

Andrus NEI DSEP 2018-11-16.docx

Andrus NEI DSEP 2018-11-16.docx

Andrus NEI DSEP 2018-11-16.docx

Andrus NEI DSEP 2018-11-16.docx

Andrus NEI DSEP 2018-11-16.docx

Andrus NEI DSEP 2018-11-16.docx

Andrus NEI DSEP 2018-11-16.docx

Andrus NEI DSEP 2018-11-16.docx

Andrus NEI DSEP 2018-11-16.docx

Andrus NEI DSEP 2018-11-16.docx

Andrus NEI DSEP 2018-11-16.docx

Andrus NEI DSEP 2018-11-16.docx

Andrus NEI DSEP 2018-11-16.docx

Andrus NEI DSEP 2018-11-16.docx

Andrus NEI DSEP 2018-11-16.docx

Andrus NEI DSEP 2018-11-16.docx

Andrus NEI DSEP 2018-11-16.docx

Andrus NEI DSEP 2018-11-16.docx

Andrus NEI DSEP 2018-11-16.docx

Andrus NEI DSEP 2018-11-16.docx

From: Lohr, Timothy <timlohr@bin.gov>  
Date: Fri, Dec 9, 2016 at 10:02 AM  
Subject: Re: Question re D18-1333 - Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Ambler Mining District Industrial Access Project  
To: "Nelson, Brett" <bnelson@bin.gov>  
Cc: "Thorne, Laurie" <lthorne@bin.gov>; Peter Matl <pmatl@bin.gov>; Robert Wick <rwick@bin.gov>; Nikki Moore <nmoore@bin.gov>; Sally Butts <sbutts@bin.gov>

Hi Bill! The short answer to your followup question is "no". We are currently drafting alternatives for our RMP revision, in which we will make those decisions on CWCs. But decisions on CWCs have not been made for this area at this point because our RMP is old (1995) for the area of the Amber Road proposal.

Tim La Marr  
Central Yukon Field Office Manager  
Fairbanks BLM District Office  
222 University Avenue

Thanks Laurel! A quick follow up, your updates to the NCI briefing paper state that a wilderness characteristics inventory has been completed for the project area. Have wilderness characteristics decisions been made in this area through the RMSP? Thanks, Britta

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-612)  
Bureau of Land Management  
203 Y&C 0530

On Thu, Dec 8, 2016 at 6:21 PM, Thorpe, Laurie <lthorpe@bim.gov> wrote:

I am the BLM Project Manager for the Ambler EIS.

Thank you for your questions and recommendations on the Ambler Road NCE Briefing Package. I edited the document you shared with me in the Share Drive, and I also downloaded it so we have this current version as well. And I have attached it to this email.

If you have any questions, please feel free to give me a call or email.

Thank you,

Laurie Thorpe  
 BLM Alaska  
 Planning and Environmental Coordination  
 907-271-4208  
 907-723-0807 cell

----- Forwarded message -----

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: LAMAV, Timothy <tlamav@slingshot>  
Date: Thu, Dec 9, 2010 6:47:47 AM  
Subject: Fwd: Question re DTSE 1333 -Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Ambler Mining District Industrial Access Project  
To: laurie Theriault <ltheriault@blm.gov>

Hi Laurie, I got this note from WO-452 yesterday. We may need to have a call with them to discuss.

Tien Li Maier  
Central Yukon Field Office Manager  
Fairbanks BLM District Office  
[REDACTED]  
Fairbanks, AK 99701  
907-476-2356

----- Forwarded message -----

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Nelson, B/1111-cknelson@blm.gov  
Date: Wed, Dec 7, 2016 at 8:14 AM  
Subject: Question re: DISE 1333--Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Amber Mining District Industrial Access Project  
Re: Emerald Lake National Antiquities Monument  
-----  
>, Sally Burtis <burtis@blm.gov>, Robert Wick <rick@blm.gov>, Peter Mall <mall@blm.gov>

\* NDI to prepare an EIS for the Ambler Mining District Industrial Access Project for curname and has some follow-up questions. I am reaching out to you because your name is listed as the point of contact for the project in the DITS system.

The Ambient NOI BP (attached) indicates that wilderness characteristics are a key issue. Can more information be provided about this including if the wilderness characteristics inventory is current, if the current RMP addresses lands with wilderness characteristics, and if the project is consistent with the plan?

Also are there National Conservation Lands units such as wildland scenic rivers or NCAs in the project area?

Please let me know if we need to discuss.

Journal of Management Inquiry 23(1) 3-15

Amble-VOC 89 11-2-16.docx

12/26/16 1:44 PM, CMC 6, 2016-01-12 12:44 PM

Subject: DTS Assignment-Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Ambler Mining District Industrial Access Project

Hello and welcome to the DTS automated email alert!

Your office (WO-410 NCLS DIVISION) has a task assigned

Please log in to the Data Tracking System at the following URL Address: <https://dts.fws.gov/dts/preLogin.do?officeId=4576> and review Document Control Number (DCN) \*\* BLMR001122.

To move the document to the next office in the routing process, enter your surname information for your office's task and save the record.

**Document Subject:** Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Ambler Mining District Industrial Access Project  
**Sponsoring Bureau of Land Management (BLM)** Central Yukon Field Office, Fairbanks, Alaska, intends to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Federal authorizations to construct and operate an industrial access road in the eastern Brooks Range foothills of Alaska, originating at the Dalton Highway in the vicinity of the Ambler Mine, and extending approximately 10 miles to the Ambler Mining District. The proposed road would provide access to the Ambler Mining District, which is located approximately 10 miles from the Dalton Highway. The road would be used to transport equipment and materials to the Ambler Mining District. The road would be constructed and operated in accordance with the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the Federal Land Management Policy Act (FLMPA). The road would be constructed and operated in a manner that minimizes impacts to the environment and to the Ambler Mining District. The road would be constructed and operated in a manner that is consistent with the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the Federal Land Management Policy Act (FLMPA). The road would be constructed and operated in a manner that minimizes impacts to the environment and to the Ambler Mining District. The road would be constructed and operated in a manner that is consistent with the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the Federal Land Management Policy Act (FLMPA).

\*\*\*Thank you\*\*\*

Call 112  
Comment: Britta Nelson:  
Nelson, Britta cnelson@blm.gov  
Dec 7 10:51 (Fri) (pt)

to Peter, Robert, Nikki, Sally  
Hi Peter and Bob, just a quick check on the NIS for the Andrus Mining District access project and if Alaska has indicated if it needs with wilderness characteristics will be addressed in project level NEPA. Alaska added to the DTS Briefing Paper that stands with wilderness characteristics inventory has been c  
<https://drive.google.com/ufoliar/g/1Wk2w6L0n7778623336820377g8HfQ2V5L8M1u0d9y6V/www/?usp=sharing>

[REDACTED]

DOI-21-01-09

On Mon, Dec 12, 2016 at 1:17 PM, Wink, Robert crosaw@blm.gov wrote:  
I have a call to the ANDRUS project. I discussed to the about the Wilderness inventory plan and will make sure to touch on Critical Habitat too.

On Mon, Dec 12, 2016 at 12:16 PM, Nelson, Britta cnelson@blm.gov wrote:  
Peter, Bob,

Based on CHC's direction, let's actually check in early with ME and find out what their plan is for addressing/extracting wilderness characteristics in the NHTs now that have completed the inventory.

Thanks!

Nikki Moore  
Division Chief, National Conservation Lands  
Bureau of Land Management, Washington D.C.  
202-912-1441 (office)  
202-384-8114 (cell)

On Mon, Dec 12, 2016 at 1:17 PM, Wink, Robert crosaw@blm.gov wrote:  
Hi Britta,

I made one generic edit on the BP, but otherwise the package looks good - We'll need to keep in touch with ME as they move forward to see how they are addressing wilderness characteristics.

On Fri, Dec 9, 2016 at 11:47 AM, Nelson, Britta cnelson@blm.gov wrote:  
Hi Peter and Bob, we received DOI-21-01-09 for an NIS for the Andrus Mining District Access Project. There are no NCLS units but there are lands with wilderness characteristics in the project area. The FO has indicated a lands with wilderness characteristics inventory has been completed but this has not been add

Thanks,

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-402)  
Bureau of Land Management

Call 114  
Comment: Britta Nelson:  
Nelson, Britta cnelson@blm.gov  
Dec 9 11:41 (Fri) (pt)

to Peter, Robert, Nikki, Sally  
Hi Peter and Bob, we received DOI-21-01-09 for an NIS for the Andrus Mining District Access Project. There are no NCLS units but there are lands with wilderness characteristics in the project area. The FO has indicated a lands with wilderness characteristics inventory has been completed but this has not been add

[REDACTED]

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-402)  
Bureau of Land Management  
DOI-21-01-09

Andrus NIS-21-01-09.docx

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Nelson, Britta cnelson@blm.gov  
Date: Fri, Dec 9, 2016 at 10:02 AM  
Subject: Re: Andrus Mining District Access Project  
[REDACTED] - that spirit@blm.gov, Robert Wink crosaw@blm.gov, Nikki Moore crosaw@blm.gov, Sally Burtis cnelson@blm.gov

[REDACTED]

Don La Mear  
Critical Values Field Office Manager  
Fairbanks, Alaska District Office  
202-316-0470 (office)

[REDACTED]

On Fri, Dec 9, 2016 at 7:54 AM, Nelson, Britta cnelson@blm.gov wrote:  
Thanks Laurel! A quick follow up, your update to the NIS briefing paper states that a wilderness characteristics inventory has been completed for the project area. When wilderness characteristics decisions have been made in this area through the BLM? Thanks, Britta

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-402)  
Bureau of Land Management  
DOI-21-01-09

On Thu, Dec 8, 2016 at 6:31 PM, Thompson, Laura ellthompson@blm.gov wrote:  
Hi Britta,

I am the BLM Project Manager for the Andrus GS.

Thank you for your questions and recommendations on the Andrus Road NIS Briefing Package. I edited the document you shared with me in the Brian Olson, and also downloaded it so we have this current version as well. And I have attached it to this email.

If you have any questions, please feel free to give me a call or email.

Thank you,

Laurie Thorpe  
RTM Alaska  
Planning and Environmental Coordination  
907-271-4208  
907-723-0802 cell

----- Forwarded message -----

Hi Laurie, I got this note from WO-450 yesterday. We may need to have a call with them to discuss

Tim La Mar  
Central Yukon Field Office Manager  
Fairbanks BLM District Office  
222 University Avenue  
Fairbanks, AK 99709

----- Forwarded message -----

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Nelson, Britta [cbknelson@blm.gov](mailto:cbknelson@blm.gov)  
Date: Wed, Dec 7, 2016 at 8:14 AM  
Subject: Question re: DISE 1333--Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Amber Mining Districts Industrial Access Project  
To: Timothy L.May [timmay@blm.gov](mailto:timmay@blm.gov)  
Cc: Nick Moore [nmoore@blm.gov](mailto:nmoore@blm.gov), Sally Batts [sbatts@blm.gov](mailto:sbatts@blm.gov), Robert Wick [rickw@blm.gov](mailto:rickw@blm.gov), Peter Mall [cmall@blm.gov](mailto:cmall@blm.gov)

Hi Tim, WO410 received the NOI to prepare an EIS for the Ambler Mining District Industrial Access Project for sunsane and has some follow-up questions. I am reaching out to you because your name is listed as the point of contact for the project in the DPS system.

The Ambler NCEP (attached) indicates that wilderness characteristics are a key issue. Can more information be provided about this including if the wilderness characteristics inventory is current, if the current RMP addresses lands with wilderness characteristics, and if the project is consistent with the plan?

Like the other National Conservation Lands with such a wild and scenic river or NWSR in the project area?

Please let me know if we need to discuss.

Thanks,

Gritta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-412)  
Bureau of Land Management  
203.236.0529  
Amblyw04@p 11-2-16.docx

.....founded 1999.....

\_\_\_\_\_

Subject: DTS Assignment—Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Ambler Mining District Industrial Access Project

Subject: DTS Assignment—Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Ambler Mining District Industrial Access Project

Hello and welcome to the DTS automated email alert!

Your office (WO-450 NLCS DIVISION) has a task assigned:

system at the following URL Address: <http://dts.fws.gov/dts/preLogin.do?officeId=4576> and review Document Control Number [DCN]\*\*\*BLM9001322.

To move the document to the next office in the routing process, enter your surname information for your office's task and save the record.

of Intert to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Ambler Mining District Industrial Access Project

management (BLM) Central Yukon Field Office, Fairbanks, Alaska, intends to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Federal authorizations to construct and operate an industrial access road in the southern Brooks Range foothills of Alaska, originating at the Dalton Highway in the vicinity

Action Required: 3. Economic

“Thank you”

© 2000 Blackwell Science Ltd

Copyright © 2004 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Hi Peter and Rob, just a quick check on the NDI for the GIS for the Ambler Mining District access project and if Alaska has indicated if lands with wilderness characteristics will be addressed in project level NEPA. Alaska added to the DTS Briefing Paper that a lands with wilderness characteristics inventory has been c

<http://drive.google.com/a/doi.gov/file/d/0B2uon5zlv.../1BfzcdW5Jy/view?usp=sharing>

© 2004 Blackwell Publishing Ltd, *Journal of Internal Medicine* 255: 111–118

David Nelson, M.P.A., Program Director  
National Conservation Lands Unit, BLM

National Conservation Lands (NCL-430)  
Bureau of Land Management

On: Mon, Dec 12, 2016 at 5:27PM, Wolk, Robert <robert@wolk.com>

On Mon, Dec 12, 2016 at 1:37 PM, Wick, Robert crick@ [REDACTED]  
I have a call in to the AISO lead (Tom Birkbauer) to talk [REDACTED] and will make sure to touch on Central Hub as well.

I have a call in to the ACSO lead [Tom Bickauskas] to talk

Based on Chris's direction, let's actually check in early with NE and find out what their plan is for addressing/protecting wilderness characteristics in the NEPA, now that we've completed the inventory.

Thanks!

Nikki Moore

Division Chief, National Conservation Lands  
Bureau of Land Management, Washington D.C.









## Exchange Overview:

- \* This exchange involves disposing of 2,560 acres of Federal land to the Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians in exchange of 1,471.24 acres of non-Federal lands.
- \* All of the lands involved in the exchange are located within the Santa Rosa and San Jacinto Mountains National Monument, Southern California Desert District.
- \* The Agua Caliente serial number is CA-4296SP1(SD).

Exchange Decision Phase Accomplishments:

Palm Springs Draft EIS (completed Mar 2014)  
CDD Review Draft EIS (completed April 2014)  
Palm Springs Draft EIS/NOA for SD review (completed April 2014)

100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0

- SOI/NDIA to WFO (completed Sept 2014)  
 Statement of Work (SOW) issued to ACRC (completed Oct 2014)  
 WFO NDIA published (comment period) (completed Dec 2014)  
 Private Appraisals received (completed Jan 2015)  
 Richmond Appraisals Consultation (completed Mar 2015)  
 Appraisal Review Committee (completed April 2015)  
 SOI Review/Approval Request (completed May 2015)  
 ACRC Acceptance of Value and/or to Exchange (completed June 2015)  
 Palm Springs/Neotoma/Casado WFO - Value Reconciliation/WFO Scheduling (completed Aug 2015)  
 Palm Springs - FES/NDIA/NOA-NDIA (completed Dec 2015)  
 CASO Review of FES/NDIA/NOA-NDIA (completed Dec 2015)  
 FES/Neotoma - Decision Package (completed Feb 2016)  
 CASO/Regional SOI - Review Decision Package (completed Mar 2016)  
 Main Analysis - (completed April 2016)  
 SOI Core review - Appraisal Exchange (completed April 2016)  
 Decision Package (RPSI) package to WFO (completed April 2016)  
 WFO Review/Approval (completed Oct 2016)  
 Binding Exchange Agreement

Please let me know if you have any questions.

## Cell: 046

Comment: Britta Nelson:  
02-12-17

Mail  
COMPOSE  
Labels  
Inbox (497)  
Starred  
Important  
Sent Mail  
Drafts (2)  
All Documents  
O365P  
Inbox/2018 Travel  
Inbox/WL Junk (1)  
Inbox/Conversion History  
Inbox/IM  
Inbox/Personal  
Settings  
Junk E-mail  
MAPI/Outbox  
Migrated/Outbox  
Western Oregon R&P  
More  
Expand/Google Calendar

[illegible][Print all in new window](#)

Attachments: 05 PM (2 minutes ago)

to Timothy, Mark, Sally, Peter

Hi Tim and Mark, I hope you are both well! WOEIO received the NDA for the FEIS of the Proposed Land Exchange with the Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians within the Santa Rosa and San Jacinto Mountains National Monument. With this action, the BLM would exchange four parcels totaling 2,540 acres of

1000

Bureau of Land Management  
201.216.0519

2025 RELEASE UNDER E.O. 14176

Subject: DITS Assignment--Notice of Availability of Final Environmental Impact Statement and Notice of Decision for Proposed Land Exchange between the Bureau of Land Management and Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians, California  
To: [awest@blm.gov](mailto:awest@blm.gov), [blwolson@blm.gov](mailto:blwolson@blm.gov), [clisley@blm.gov](mailto:clisley@blm.gov), [debutch@blm.gov](mailto:debutch@blm.gov), [kashen@blm.gov](mailto:kashen@blm.gov), [juppa@blm.gov](mailto:juppa@blm.gov), [kssdavis@blm.gov](mailto:kssdavis@blm.gov), [nmcconn@blm.gov](mailto:nmcconn@blm.gov), [rhawkins@blm.gov](mailto:rhawkins@blm.gov), [rwatson@blm.gov](mailto:rwatson@blm.gov), [stettin@blm.gov](mailto:stettin@blm.gov)

Hello and welcome to the DTS automated email alert!

Your office (WO-410-NLCS DIVISION) has a task assigned.

To move the document to the next office in the routing process, enter your surname information for your office's task and save the record.

Document Subject: Notice of Availability of Final Environmental Impact Statement and Notice of Decision for Proposed Land Exchange between the Bureau of Land Management and Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians, Calif  
Action Required: 2-Sortname  
Assigned By Office: WO-250 LAND TENURE User: Laurie Ford

Call: 514

Comment: Britta Nelson  
Nelson, Britta  
Subject: Recommended: USDA LARS Notice of Availability of Total Environmental Impact Statement and Notice of Decision for Proposed Land Exchange Between the Bureau of Land Management and Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians

1

Nelson, Britta Nelson@blm.gov  
Attachment: 2.11 (1 day ago)

to Sally, Peter, Timothy

Hi Sally and Peter, here's a recommendation of USDA LARS NOLA for the FDS of the Proposed Land Exchange with the Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians within the Santa Rosa and San Jacinto Mountains National Monument. No edits have been made to the notice package (attached). With this action, the BLM is approving this, and further review of the land management.

2) The current BLM lands being exchanged are isolated and the only way to gain access is through reservation lands and Agua Caliente permissions. The exchange will allow more consistent jurisdiction of the lands by the Agua Caliente, but do not change how the lands will be managed in the future. These lands are

3) The new BLM acquired lands will provide a larger tract of land considered as lands having wilderness character of within the monument. These lands will also have a more consistent recreation use and improves management of these lands as identified in the USFS/BLM LOP.

4) These new acquired lands will prevent any potential development within the monument boundary. There is a currently a road transecting these lands but no right of way.

5) The Monument Manager over the FDS can any negative long term impacts from this Land Exchange.

In addition Doug Herrera indicated that such an exchange was mentioned in the legislation that created Santa Rosa & San Jacinto Mountains National Monument in 2003.

Please let me know if additional information is needed.

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL) Unit  
Bureau of Land Management  
953.126.6100

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Fisher, Timothy <timfisher@blm.gov>  
Date: Thu, Feb 22, 2013, at 4:10 PM  
Subject: Fwd: Agua Caliente Exchange Status Report 2.22.2013  
To: "Nelson, Britta" <brittan@blm.gov>

Britta

Review of the Land Exchange and possible concerns:

1) The land exchange moves BLM lands to the Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians within their reservation boundary that is also within the USFS/BLM boundary. The land exchange provides key reservation lands outside the reservation boundary but within the monument boundary to the BLM providing a larger can

2) The current BLM lands being exchanged are isolated and the only way to gain access is through reservation lands and Agua Caliente permissions. The exchange will allow more consistent jurisdiction of the lands by the Agua Caliente, but do not change how the lands will be managed in the future. These lands are

3) The new BLM acquired lands will provide a larger tract of land considered as lands having wilderness character of within the monument. These lands will also have a more consistent recreation use and improves management of these lands as identified in the USFS/BLM LOP.

4) These new acquired lands will prevent any potential development within the monument boundary. There is a currently a road transecting these lands but no right of way.

5) The Monument Manager over the FDS can any negative long term impacts from this Land Exchange.

If you require any additional information or questions for the briefing

Timothy J Fisher, Program Lead  
National Monuments and Conservation Areas  
National Conservation Lands  
2010 Street S.E., (see FDS)  
202-464-6700 ext. 101  
202-464-6700 ext. 101

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Sally Bahr <sallyb@blm.gov>  
Date: Wed, Feb 22, 2013, at 4:10 PM  
Subject: Re: Agua Caliente Exchange Status Report 2.22.2013  
To: "Timothy, Fisher" <timfisher@blm.gov>, "Britta Nelson" <brittan@blm.gov>, "Timothy Fisher" <timfisher@blm.gov>

Hi Sally,

We should be able to coordinate tomorrow's report.

Britta or Tim, anything else I missed?

Sally

On Feb 22, 2013, at 4:03 PM, Herrera, Douglas <douglas@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Britta and Sally!

I hope this finds you both doing well. Just noticed that I might I'd reach out and ask if you had any questions about it or if there's anything holding it up. It's an exchange between BLM and the Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians right here in Palm Springs.

I'm also at the BLM, not USFS/BLM monument manager.

Thanks!

Best,

Doug

Douglas L. Herrera, L.D.





[illegible]



1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100	101	102	103	104	105	106	107	108	109	110	111	112	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	131	132	133	134	135	136	137	138	139	140	141	142	143	144	145	146	147	148	149	150	151	152	153	154	155	156	157	158	159	160	161	162	163	164	165	166	167	168	169	170	171	172	173	174	175	176	177	178	179	180	181	182	183	184	185	186	187	188	189	190	191	192	193	194	195	196	197	198	199	200	201	202	203	204	205	206	207	208	209	210	211	212	213	214	215	216	217	218	219	220	221	222	223	224	225	226	227	228	229	230	231	232	233	234	235	236	237	238	239	240	241	242	243	244	245	246	247	248	249	250	251	252	253	254	255	256	257	258	259	260	261	262	263	264	265	266	267	268	269	270	271	272	273	274	275	276	277	278	279	280	281	282	283	284	285	286	287	288	289	290	291	292	293	294	295	296	297	298	299	300	301	302	303	304	305	306	307	308	309	310	311	312	313	314	315	316	317	318	319	320	321	322	323	324	325	326	327	328	329	330	331	332	333	334	335	336	337	338	339	340	341	342	343	344	345	346	347	348	349	350	351	352	353	354	355	356	357	358	359	360	361	362	363	364	365	366	367	368	369	370	371	372	373	374	375	376	377	378	379	380	381	382	383	384	385	386	387	388	389	390	391	392	393	394	395	396	397	398	399	400	401	402	403	404	405	406	407	408	409	410	411	412	413	414	415	416	417	418	419	420	421	422	423	424	425	426	427	428	429	430	431	432	433	434	435	436	437	438	439	440	441	442	443	444	445	446	447	448	449	450	451	452	453	454	455	456	457	458	459	460	461	462	463	464	465	466	467	468	469	470	471	472	473	474	475	476	477	478	479	480	481	482	483	484	485	486	487	488	489	490	491	492	493	494	495	496	497	498	499	500	501	502	503	504	505	506	507	508	509	510	511	512	513	514	515	516	517	518	519	520	521	522	523	524
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----



[illegible]



	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AG	AH	AI	AJ	AK	AL	AM	AN	AO	AP	AQ	AR	AS	AT	AU	AV	AW	AX	AY	AZ	BA	BB	BC	BD	BE	BF	BG	BH	BI	BJ	BK	BL	BM	BN	BO	BP	BQ	BR	BS	BT	BU	BV	BW	BX	BY	BZ	CA	CB	CC	CD	CE	CF	CG	CH	CI	CJ	CK	CL	CM	CN	CO	CP	CQ	CR	CS	CT	CU	CV	CW	CX	CY	CZ	DA	DB	DC	DD	DE	DF	DG	DH	DI	DJ	DK	DL	DM	DN	DO	DP	DQ	DR	DS	DT	DU	DV	DW	DX	DY	DZ	EA	EB	EC	ED	EE	EF	EG	EH	EI	EJ	EK	EL	EM	EN	EO	EP	EQ	ER	ES	ET	EU	EV	EW	EX	EY	EZ	FA	FB	FC	FD	FE	FF	FG	FH	FI	FJ	FK	FL	FM	FN	FO	FP	FQ	FR	FS	FT	FU	FV	FW	FX	FY	FZ	GA	GB	GC	GD	GE	GF	GG	GH	GI	GJ	GK	GL	GM	GN	GO	GP	GQ	GR	GS	GT	GU	GV	GW	GX	GY	GZ	HA	HB	HC	HD	HE	HF	HG	HH	HI	HJ	HK	HL	HM	HN	HO	HP	HQ	HR	HS	HT	HU	HV	HW	HX	HY	HZ	IA	IB	IC	ID	IE	IF	IG	IH	II	IJ	IK	IL	IM	IN	IO	IP	IQ	IR	IS	IT	IU	IV	IW	IX	IY	IZ	JA	JB	JC	JD	JE	JF	JG	JH	JI	JJ	JK	JL	JM	JN	JO	JP	JQ	JR	JS	JT	JU	JV	JW	JX	JY	JZ	KA	KB	KC	KD	KE	KF	KG	KH	KI	KJ	KL	KM	KN	KO	KP	KQ	KR	KS	KT	KU	KV	KW	KX	KY	KZ	LA	LB	LC	LD	LE	LF	LG	LH	LI	LJ	LK	LL	LM	LN	LO	LP	LQ	LR	LS	LT	LU	LV	LW	LX	LY	LZ	MA	MB	MC	MD	ME	MF	MG	MH	MI	MJ	MK	ML	MM	MN	MO	MP	MQ	MR	MS	MT	MU	MV	MW	MX	MY	MZ	NA	NB	NC	ND	NE	NF	NG	NH	NI	NJ	NK	NL	NM	NN	NO	NP	NQ	NR	NS	NT	NU	NV	NW	NX	NY	NZ	OA	OB	OC	OD	OE	OF	OG	OH	OI	OJ	OK	OL	OM	ON	OO	OP	OQ	OR	OS	OT	OU	OV	OW	OX	OY	OZ	PA	PB	PC	PD	PE	PF	PG	PH	PI	PJ	PK	PL	PM	PN	PO	PP	PQ	PR	PS	PT	PU	PV	PW	PX	PY	PZ	QA	QB	QC	QD	QE	QF	QG	QH	QI	QJ	QK	QL	QM	QN	QO	QP	QQ	QR	QS	QT	QU	QV	QW	QX	QY	QZ	RA	RB	RC	RD	RE	RF	RG	RH	RI	RJ	RK	RL	RM	RN	RO	RP	RQ	RR	RS	RT	RU	RV	RW	RX	RY	RZ	SA	SB	SC	SD	SE	SF	SG	SH	SI	SJ	SK	SL	SM	SN	SO	SP	SQ	SR	SS	ST	SU	SV	SW	SX	SY	SZ	TA	TB	TC	TD	TE	TF	TG	TH	TI	TJ	TK	TL	TM	TN	TO	TP	TQ	TR	TS	TT	TU	TV	TW	TX	TY	TZ	UA	UB	UC	UD	UE	UF	UG	UH	UI	UJ	UK	UL	UM	UN	UO	UP	UQ	UR	US	UT	UU	UV	UW	UX	UY	UZ	VA	VB	VC	VD	VE	VF	VG	VH	VI	VJ	VK	VL	VM	VN	VO	VP	VQ	VR	VS	VT	VU	VV	VW	VX	VY	VZ	WA	WB	WC	WD	WE	WF	WG	WH	WI	WJ	WK	WL	WM	WN	WO	WP	WQ	WR	WS	WT	WU	WV	WW	WX	WY	WZ	XA	XB	XC	XD	XE	XF	YG	YH	YI	YJ	YK	YL	YM	YN	YO	YP	YQ	YR	YS	YT	YU	YV	YW	YX	YY	YZ	ZA	ZB	ZC	ZD	ZE	ZF	ZG	ZH	ZI	ZJ	ZK	ZL	ZM	ZN	ZO	ZP	ZQ	ZR	ZS	ZT	ZU	ZV	ZW	ZX	ZY	ZZ	AA	AB	AC	AD
--	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----





--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--



[illegible]











Britta Nelson  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands WDO  
Bureau of Land Management  
263-134-5530

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov>  
Date: Mon, Sep 19, 2016 at 12:04 PM  
Subject: Check in on Southeastern States PRMP/SES  
To: Ryan Hathaway <rhathaway@blm.gov>  
Cc: Nikki Moore <nmoore@blm.gov>

Hi Ryan, I hope you are well. W0410 has the NGA for the Southeastern States PMBP/FES in OTS. There are remaining issues that were to be worked out by Eastern States, W0210, and W0410 but those discussions have not occurred yet. Should we set something up to discuss or is that something J10 will coordinate?

Britta Nelson  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands WCMO  
Bureau of Land Management  
203.236.0529

## Cell H2S

Comments: Britta Nelson.

- [illegible]

## Cells F2:

Comments: Britta Nelson.

Review kick-off briefing held on 10-12-14

## Cell: 02

Comments: Britta Nelson

Nelson, Britta <[bknelson@blm.gov](mailto:bknelson@blm.gov)>  
12:21 PM (10 minutes ago)

to Shiva, Heather, Ryan, Nikki, Carol

to Shiva, Heather, Ryan, Nikki, Carol

Thanks for the update!

Thanks,

Britta Nelson  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands WFO  
Bureau of Land Management  
202.234.0539

On Fri, Sep 23, 2016 at 12:20 PM, Acher, Shiva <acher@bim.gao> wrote:  
Hello Britta,

WHO commu-

WO comments were addressed and Pre-brief was done. So, RD briefing took place. There were some issues regarding overlapping designations between recreation and NCEC. Additionally making sure that the purpose and need statement captured the spirit and content is also essential. I think Eastern States is working internally w

Irrespective of where the Federal Register package is, SO and we will ensure that the issues raised at the AD briefings are addressed. We will need help and support from 400 as well. We will keep you in the loop regarding any further developments.

☐ I will keep you updated!

All the D

Shiva  
Shiva H Achet, PhD  
Planning and Environmental Analyst  
BLM WFO 200 | Division of Decision Support, Planning & NEPA  
20 M Street, S.E. | Washington, DC 20003 |  
<https://twitter.com/achets>

On Fri, Sep 23, 2006 at 1:11 PM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@tln.gov> wrote:

Hi Shiva, I was hoping you could provide some information on the Southeastern States PRISMITEIS. WDC10 has the NOAA for the Southeastern States PRISMITEIS in OYS. There are remaining issues that were to be worked out by Eastern States, WDC210, and WDC402 but I am not sure if these discussions have not occurred.

Thanks!

Britta Nelson  
Management and Programs Analyst  
National Conservation Lands Wildlife  
Bureau of Land Management  
b.nelson@blm.gov

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Niklas, Britta [chiknelson@lin.gov](mailto:chiknelson@lin.gov)  
Date: Mon, Sep 19, 2016 at 12:04 PM  
Subject: Check in on Southeastern  
To: Ryan Hathaway [rthathaway@lin.gov](mailto:rthathaway@lin.gov)  
Cc: Nikki Moore [cmoore@lin.gov](mailto:cmoore@lin.gov)

Hi Ryan, I hope you are well. WFOH 10 has the NOA for

Manage-

National Conservation Lands WODS Bureau of Land Management 612-234-5128	
Call: 121	
Comment Entry Action:	
* Monitor: BLM BAP AISC/NGL, Farmington, New Mexico (OTSP 1322).	
o 09-09-16, Revised based upon OTSP meeting through WQ. Supplemented response requested by WQ100. WODS/NGL not listed on OTSP #1322 meeting although the OIS/Spansh NGL and wilderness characteristics are in planning area.	
o As the BAP AISC must forward job development, impact analysis, etc.) WQ-410 will participate to ensure that the National Conservation Lands units are adequately considered.	
o The BAP AISC proposes to make "various other" effects of gas, lands, and other "unrelated" impacts to the BAP AISC and the BAP AISC will be "unrelated" to the BAP AISC and the BAP AISC will be "unrelated" to the BAP AISC.	
o The BAP AISC is an amended and/or original rule published that BLM that opens a proposed project directed to identifying public concerns and issues associated with the BLM use of the BLM for its future mineral leasing decisions. The amended BLM does not result or alter the BLM's 2014 ongoing report/	
o The BLM AISC must forward the management plan/OTSP 1322 (must be submitted to 2008 BAP for review) for impacts not previously considered in the 2008 Resource Management Development (RMD).	
o The BAP AISC proposes to amend four decision types: oil and gas, lands and other, vegetation management, and lands with wilderness characteristics.	
o Land use planning/land decision for other resources are outside the scope of this BAP (a prior to 2004 NGL), however, all other affected resources and units will be analyzed (e.g., National Conservation Lands units that may be affected by amended oil and gas decisions).	
o Potential impacts to the OIS/Spansh NGL were identified during the ongoing period in 2014 (see ongoing Report).	
o The BAP AISC will assess for this accordingly through information development, impact assessment, etc.)	
o The 2004 amended NGL assumes that the BLM is joining the effort as a joint lead AND that the BLM intends to use the OIS to inform decisions related to BLM-managed interests.	
Call: 121	
Comment Entry Action:	
o 121. The mitigation measures are implementation level management actions such as law enforcement patrols, and other outreach other than measures to address mitigation of impacts.	
o It is not clear how BLM in the Desert Back Country Recreation Management Zone (BMCZ) would be protected in the preferred since no mineral target shooting was expected to move/advance there when the BLM/State de Area NGL NGL2 closed to shooting.	
o It is not clear how the original condition of the BLM from 2002 would be restored if they are still damaged as a result of the 121 closed area. How is the current damaged condition being restored? Is the assumption that the BLM would be able to restore the 121 that is closed, which is the action.	
o The rationale for leaving the area open to recreational target.	
Call: 121	
Comment Entry Action:	
Review kick off briefing held on 10-10-16.	
Call: 127	
Comment Entry Action:	
* Monitor: BLM BAP AISC/NGL, Farmington, New Mexico (OTSP 1322).	
o 09-09-16, Revised based upon OTSP meeting through WQ. Supplemented response requested by WQ100. WODS/NGL not listed on OTSP #1322 meeting although the OIS/Spansh NGL and wilderness characteristics are in planning area.	
o As the BAP AISC must forward job development, impact analysis, etc.) WQ-410 will participate to ensure that the National Conservation Lands units are adequately considered.	
o The BAP AISC proposes to make "various other" effects of gas, lands, and other "unrelated" impacts to the BAP AISC and the BAP AISC will be "unrelated" to the BAP AISC and the BAP AISC will be "unrelated" to the BAP AISC.	
o The BAP AISC is an amended and/or original rule published that BLM that opens a proposed project directed to identifying public concerns and issues associated with the BLM use of the BLM for its future mineral leasing decisions. The amended BLM does not result or alter the BLM's 2014 ongoing report/	
o The BLM AISC must forward the management plan/OTSP 1322 (must be submitted to 2008 BAP for review) for impacts not previously considered in the 2008 Resource Management Development (RMD).	
o The BAP AISC proposes to amend four decision types: oil and gas, lands and other, vegetation management, and lands with wilderness characteristics.	
o Land use planning/land decision for other resources are outside the scope of this BAP (a prior to 2004 NGL), however, all other affected resources and units will be analyzed (e.g., National Conservation Lands units that may be affected by amended oil and gas decisions).	
o Potential impacts to the OIS/Spansh NGL were identified during the ongoing period in 2014 (see ongoing Report).	
o The BAP AISC will assess for this accordingly through information development, impact assessment, etc.)	
o The 2004 amended NGL assumes that the BLM is joining the effort as a joint lead AND that the BLM intends to use the OIS to inform decisions related to BLM-managed interests.	
Call: 128	
Comment Entry Action:	
Review kick off briefing held on 10-10-16.	
Call: 133	
Comment Entry Action:	
* Monitor: BLM BAP AISC/NGL, Farmington, New Mexico (OTSP 1322).	
o 09-09-16, Revised based upon OTSP meeting through WQ. Supplemented response requested by WQ100. WODS/NGL not listed on OTSP #1322 meeting although the OIS/Spansh NGL and wilderness characteristics are in planning area.	
o As the BAP AISC must forward job development, impact analysis, etc.) WQ-410 will participate to ensure that the National Conservation Lands units are adequately considered.	
o The BAP AISC proposes to make "various other" effects of gas, lands, and other "unrelated" impacts to the BAP AISC and the BAP AISC will be "unrelated" to the BAP AISC and the BAP AISC will be "unrelated" to the BAP AISC.	
o The BAP AISC is an amended and/or original rule published that BLM that opens a proposed project directed to identifying public concerns and issues associated with the BLM use of the BLM for its future mineral leasing decisions. The amended BLM does not result or alter the BLM's 2014 ongoing report/	
o The BLM AISC must forward the management plan/OTSP 1322 (must be submitted to 2008 BAP for review) for impacts not previously considered in the 2008 Resource Management Development (RMD).	
o The BAP AISC proposes to amend four decision types: oil and gas, lands and other, vegetation management, and lands with wilderness characteristics.	
o Land use planning/land decision for other resources are outside the scope of this BAP (a prior to 2004 NGL), however, all other affected resources and units will be analyzed (e.g., National Conservation Lands units that may be affected by amended oil and gas decisions).	
o Potential impacts to the OIS/Spansh NGL were identified during the ongoing period in 2014 (see ongoing Report).	
o The BAP AISC will assess for this accordingly through information development, impact assessment, etc.)	
o The 2004 amended NGL assumes that the BLM is joining the effort as a joint lead AND that the BLM intends to use the OIS to inform decisions related to BLM-managed interests.	
Call: 131	
Comment Entry Action:	
Review kick off briefing held on 10-10-16.	
Call: 140	
Comment Entry Action:	
* Monitor: BLM BAP AISC/NGL, Farmington, New Mexico (OTSP 1322).	
o 09-09-16, Revised based upon OTSP meeting through WQ. Supplemented response requested by WQ100. WODS/NGL not listed on OTSP #1322 meeting although the OIS/Spansh NGL and wilderness characteristics are in planning area.	
o As the BAP AISC must forward job development, impact analysis, etc.) WQ-410 will participate to ensure that the National Conservation Lands units are adequately considered.	
o The BAP AISC proposes to make "various other" effects of gas, lands, and other "unrelated" impacts to the BAP AISC and the BAP AISC will be "unrelated" to the BAP AISC and the BAP AISC will be "unrelated" to the BAP AISC.	
o The BAP AISC is an amended and/or original rule published that BLM that opens a proposed project directed to identifying public concerns and issues associated with the BLM use of the BLM for its future mineral leasing decisions. The amended BLM does not result or alter the BLM's 2014 ongoing report/	
o The BLM AISC must forward the management plan/OTSP 1322 (must be submitted to 2008 BAP for review) for impacts not previously considered in the 2008 Resource Management Development (RMD).	
o The BAP AISC proposes to amend four decision types: oil and gas, lands and other, vegetation management, and lands with wilderness characteristics.	
o Land use planning/land decision for other resources are outside the scope of this BAP (a prior to 2004 NGL), however, all other affected resources and units will be analyzed (e.g., National Conservation Lands units that may be affected by amended oil and gas decisions).	
o Potential impacts to the OIS/Spansh NGL were identified during the ongoing period in 2014 (see ongoing Report).	
o The BAP AISC will assess for this accordingly through information development, impact assessment, etc.)	
o The 2004 amended NGL assumes that the BLM is joining the effort as a joint lead AND that the BLM intends to use the OIS to inform decisions related to BLM-managed interests.	
Call: 142	
Comment Entry Action:	
Review kick off briefing held on 10-10-16.	
Call: 146	
Comment Entry Action:	
* Monitor: BLM BAP AISC/NGL, Farmington, New Mexico (OTSP 1322).	
o 09-09-16, Revised based upon OTSP meeting through WQ. Supplemented response requested by WQ100. WODS/NGL not listed on OTSP #1322 meeting although the OIS/Spansh NGL and wilderness characteristics are in planning area.	
o As the BAP AISC must forward job development, impact analysis, etc.) WQ-410 will participate to ensure that the National Conservation Lands units are adequately considered.	
o The BAP AISC proposes to make "various other" effects of gas, lands, and other "unrelated" impacts to the BAP AISC and the BAP AISC will be "unrelated" to the BAP AISC and the BAP AISC will be "unrelated" to the BAP AISC.	
o The BAP AISC is an amended and/or original rule published that BLM that opens a proposed project directed to identifying public concerns and issues associated with the BLM use of the BLM for its future mineral leasing decisions. The amended BLM does not result or alter the BLM's 2014 ongoing report/	
o The BLM AISC must forward the management plan/OTSP 1322 (must be submitted to 2008 BAP for review) for impacts not previously considered in the 2008 Resource Management Development (RMD).	
o The BAP AISC proposes to amend four decision types: oil and gas, lands and other, vegetation management, and lands with wilderness characteristics.	
o Land use planning/land decision for other resources are outside the scope of this BAP (a prior to 2004 NGL), however, all other affected resources and units will be analyzed (e.g., National Conservation Lands units that may be affected by amended oil and gas decisions).	
o Potential impacts to the OIS/Spansh NGL were identified during the ongoing period in 2014 (see ongoing Report).	
o The BAP AISC will assess for this accordingly through information development, impact assessment, etc.)	
o The 2004 amended NGL assumes that the BLM is joining the effort as a joint lead AND that the BLM intends to use the OIS to inform decisions related to BLM-managed interests.	









National Conservation Lands, a WO admin review of the Cedar City DRMP/DEIS is scheduled for January 30th through February 4th with a kick-off briefing scheduled for January 18. After receiving the review files from WO210 I will share them along with a WO450 comment form on google drive. The WO450 Briefing Paper

Allison and Rob, can you provide answers to each of the following questions from your review of the Cedar City DRMP/DEIS to help guide WO410's review?

General

Are all National Conservation Lands units and other related areas that are located within the planning area identified and the management addressed in the BMP alternatives? If not, is there a stand-alone plan for that unit?

Are mitigation standards identified for future land use activities that impact National Conservation Lands units and other related special areas?

Are appropriate land use allocations and/or management actions identified in the BMP that limit or exclude land use activities that are incompatible with the management of National Conservation Lands units and other related special areas?

How does the State Director bring BLM alternatives and decisions regarding National Conservation Lands, lands with wilderness characteristics, eligible and suitable (see designation) Wild and Scenic River segments, and trails under study or recommended as suitable for designation?

1. Are there any **land with wilderness characteristics**?  
 Does the FO have a complete, updated inventory of lands with wilderness characteristics?  
 Is an inventory report included as an Appendix?  
 Are decisions made for all lands with wilderness characteristics within the planning area?  
 Is adequate notice for decisions to (a) emphasize other multiple uses, (b) emphasize other multiple uses, and (c) protect wilderness characteristics over other multiple uses?  
 Is a table included that contains one row for each of the following categories: wilderness characteristics, areas and the percentage of lands that fall with each of the categories identified in BLM Manual 5-93, which include: (1) protection of lands with wilderness characteristics on a priority over other uses, (2) emphasizing other multiple impacts to wilderness characteristics will occur and wilderness character will be maintained?

Eligible and Excluded WSR

Did the FO complete WSR eligibility inventories consistent with current policy requirements (BFS4400)?

Did the FO complete suitability evaluations and determinations for all eligible streams using factors and criteria from M5602?

Are suitable/unsuitable decisions made for all WSR eligible streams in the planning area?

Is the WSR Study Report including an Appendix and is it consistent with current policy?

For segments determined not suitable, do any resource allocations protect the river values; and/or is suitable provided for not protecting the river values especially? Implementation of the RMP will degrade or eliminate those river values?

**National Scenic and Historic Trails**  
Is the national trail management corridor allocation included in the alternatives?  
Does the plan include objectives and associated management actions, allowable uses, and restrictions to safeguard the nature and purposes of the trail?

**Other RMP Issues**  
Does the RMP include any unique issues (e.g., associated settlement agreements) or background (e.g., anomalies included in a National Monument proclamation) that affect the alternatives?  
How do the unique issues or background affect the alternatives?

Please let me know if you would like to discuss.

Thanks, Britta

Cedar City, IDAMPOGIS, W0410BP, 020015, 5

more details »

We Welcome Kick-off Briefing Cedar City DRMP (p/7)

The WDO admin review period for the Cedar City DRMP (p/7) will run from January 20 - February 4, 2017. To help inform your WDO admin review, please attend this briefing for an overview of the Cedar City Draft DRMP. I will send the DRMP and presentation material in subsequent emails. Please let me know if you have any questions.

-Faiba

Comment: 8/1/14 (74)

1)Since the W012121027 and W58 comments were a submission that I missed. I'm sorry, but "GSP" doesn't mean anything to me - I don't have a guide to all the program numbers and I've had to do one each with the associated program names for it to make sense to me and the rest of the MAP team (N017, W58). Many thanks to you for the clarification.

2)To summarize, here is the status where "T" means "continued" MS programs (but not new)

W017 just found the original MS comment and have provided to Tom Richardson (Steve W017 + the Lead)

N017 just found the original MS comment and have provided to Tom Richardson (Steve W017 + the Lead) and Kevin Fowler (James N017 lead)

W58 - we are sending our comment per our Steve Director's direction. As W017 and Tom Richardson could not find the original comment, it is worth to the G2 and this Workshop's lead. As a result, there will be no responses to these comments.

Cell: F76  
Comments: Britta Nelson:  
Review kick-off briefing held on 10-13-14

Comment: Chris Nelson:

[illegible]

Is a link with wilderness characteristics?

Does the FQ have a complete, updated inventory of lands with wilderness characteristics?

Is an inventory report included as an Appendix?

Are decisions made for all lands with wilderness characteristics within the planning area?

Is adequate included for decisions to: (a) emphasize other multiple uses; (b) emphasize other multiple use while applying management restrictions to reduce impacts to wilderness characteristics; and/or (c) protect wilderness characteristics over other multiple use?

Is a table included that summarizes the use found for lands with wilderness characteristics, areas and the percentage of lands that fall with each of the categories identified in BLM Manual 5-32, which include: (1) percentage of lands with wilderness characteristics in a priority over other uses; (2) emphasize other

Did the FO complete WSR eligible inventories consistent with current policy requirements (B1.6400)?

Did the FO complete suitability evaluations and determinations for all eligible streams using factors and criteria from M0400?

Are suitable/unsuitable decisions made for all WSR eligible streams in the planning area?

Is the WSR Study Report included as an Appendix and is consistent with current policy?

For segments determined not suitable, do the resource allocation decisions protect the river values; and/or is rationale provided for not protecting the river values especially if implementation of the RMP will degrade or eliminate those river values?

National Scenic and Historic Trails  
Is the national trail management corridor allocation included in the alternatives?



Call: 192  
Comment: Write below:  
Review Kick-off Briefing (January 10-15-16)

Call: 193  
Comment: Write below:  
National Conservation Lands, WFO admin review of the GSDM DMAP ADDS is scheduled for January 30 through February 20 with a Kick-off Briefing scheduled for January 11. After reviewing the review files from WOC2011 will share them along with a WOC2012 comment form on google drive. WOC2012 comment previously  
Allison and Rob, can you provide answers to each of the following questions from your review of the GSDM DMAP ADDS to help guide WOC2012's review?

General

Are all National Conservation Lands units and other related areas that are located within the planning area identified and the management addressed in the BMAP alternatives? If not, is there a stand alone plan for that unit?

Are mitigation standards identified for future land use activities that impact National Conservation Lands units and other related special areas?

Are appropriate land use alternatives and/or management actions identified in the BMAP that link or exclude land use activities that are incompatible with the management of National Conservation Land units and other related special areas?

Are all land use planning decisions in the BMAP consistent with the purpose and objectives of the designating proclamation or Act of Congress that established the NAC/NA?

Are new utility corridors or RPOs in the NAC/NA identified as exclusion or avoidance areas?

Land with wilderness characteristics

Does the FO have a complete, updated inventory of lands with wilderness characteristics?

Is an inventory report included in the BMAP?

Are decisions made for all lands with wilderness characteristics within the planning area?

Is criteria included for decisions to (1) designate other multiple use, (2) designate other multiple use while applying management restrictions to reduce impacts to wilderness characteristics, and/or (3) protect wilderness characteristics over other multiple use?

Is a table included that summarizes some inventory, some found to have wilderness characteristics, some and the percentage of lands that fall within each of the categories described in BLM Manual 6320, which include: (1) protection of lands with wilderness characteristics as a priority over other uses, (2) emphasizing other values impacts to wilderness characteristics, (3) all uses and wilderness character will be maintained?

Eligible and Excluded WMA

Did the FO complete WMA eligibility inventory consistent with current policy requirements (BLM 6400)?

Did the FO complete suitability determinations and determinations for eligible streams using factors and criteria from MMS20?

Are suitability/unsuitable decisions made for all WMA eligible streams in the planning area?

Is the WMA Study Report included in the BMAP with its components, with correct path?

For segments determined not suitable, do other resource allocations protect the four values, and/or is a table provided for not protecting the four values especially if implementation of the BMAP will degrade or eliminate those four values?

National Lands and Resource Trade

Is the National Land Management Council allocation included in the alternatives?

Does the plan include objectives and associated management actions, allowable uses, and restrictions to safeguard the nature and purpose of the land?

Other BMAP issues

Does the BMAP include any unique issues (e.g., associated settlement agreement or background (e.g., anomalies included in a National Monument proclamation) that affect the alternatives?

Examine how the values issue or background affect the alternatives.

Please let me know if you want to start discuss. Thanks. Rob

From details:  
WFO Review Kick-off Briefing GSDM DMAP ADDS  
The WFO Admin review period for the Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument (GSENM) Grazing DMAP Amendment (DMAP) will run from January 10 - February 20, 2017. To help inform your WFO admin review, please attend this briefing for an overview of the GSENM Grazing DMAP's, 1 and the DMAPs and present. Please let me know if you have any questions.

- Fabio

When  
To: Jan 11, 2017 9am - 12pm Mountain Time

(b) (5)  
WFO Review Kick-off Briefing Conference Room

Call: 194  
Comment: Write below:  
National Conservation Lands, WFO admin review of the Cedar City DMAP ADDS is scheduled for January 30 through February 20 with a Kick-off Briefing scheduled for January 10. After reviewing the review files from WOC2011 will share them along with a WOC2012 comment form on google drive. The WOC2012 Briefing Page  
Allison and Rob, can you provide answers to each of the following questions from your review of the Cedar City DMAP ADDS to help guide WOC2012's review?

General

Are all National Conservation Lands units and other related areas that are located within the planning area identified and the management addressed in the BMAP alternatives? If not, is there a stand alone plan for that unit?

Are mitigation standards identified for future land use activities that impact National Conservation Lands units and other related special areas?

Are appropriate land use alternatives and/or management actions identified in the BMAP that link or exclude land use activities that are incompatible with the management of National Conservation Land units and other related special areas?

Are all land use planning decisions in the BMAP consistent with the purpose and objectives of the designating proclamation or Act of Congress that established the NAC/NA?

Are new utility corridors or RPOs in the NAC/NA identified as exclusion or avoidance areas?

Land with wilderness characteristics

Does the FO have a complete, updated inventory of lands with wilderness characteristics?

Is an inventory report included in the BMAP?

Are decisions made for all lands with wilderness characteristics within the planning area?

Is criteria included for decisions to (1) designate other multiple use, (2) designate other multiple use while applying management restrictions to reduce impacts to wilderness characteristics, and/or (3) protect wilderness characteristics over other multiple use?

Is a table included that summarizes some inventory, some found to have wilderness characteristics, some and the percentage of lands that fall within each of the categories described in BLM Manual 6320, which include: (1) protection of lands with wilderness characteristics as a priority over other uses, (2) emphasizing other values impacts to wilderness characteristics, (3) all uses and wilderness character will be maintained?

Eligible and Excluded WMA

Did the FO complete WMA eligibility inventory consistent with current policy requirements (BLM 6400)?

Did the FO complete suitability determinations for eligible streams using factors and criteria from MMS20?

Are suitability/unsuitable decisions made for all WMA eligible streams in the planning area?

Is the WMA Study Report included in the BMAP with its components, with correct path?

National Lands and Resource Trade

Is the National Land Management Council allocation included in the alternatives?

Does the plan include objectives and associated management actions, allowable uses, and restrictions to safeguard the nature and purpose of the land?

Other BMAP issues

Does the BMAP include any unique issues (e.g., associated settlement agreement or background (e.g., anomalies included in a National Monument proclamation) that affect the alternatives?

Examine how the values issue or background affect the alternatives.

Please let me know if you would like to discuss.

Thanks, Rob

Cedar City DMAP ADDS, WFO Admin Review, 20170110, Final.docx

From details:  
WFO Review Kick-off Briefing Cedar City DMAP ADDS  
The WFO Admin review period for the Cedar City DMAP ADDS will run from January 10 - February 6, 2017. To help inform your WFO admin review, please attend this briefing for an overview of the Cedar City DMAP. I will send the DMAP and presentation material in subsequent emails. Please let me know if you have any questions.

- Fabio

Call: 195  
Comment: Write below:













Hi Molly, Steve, Anna, Sally, Peter, Robert, Deborah  
Hi Molly, Steve, and Anna - I thought I'd check in on the Maricao State RMP-A to see if there are any updates, since we last communicated about the RCD back in September 2016. We have in our records that The RMP-A proposes to attend four decision types, including lands with wilderness characteristics, and we

Thanked  
Bette Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCD-632)  
Bureau of Land Management

Cells W122

Cell: C123

**Comment:** Britta Nelson:

National Conservation Lands, a WO admin review of the GSENM DRMP A/DGS is scheduled for January 30 through February 20 with a kick-off briefing scheduled for January 31. After receiving the review files from WO2101 I will share them along with a WO430 comment form on google drive. WO101 commented previously that Nelson and Rob, can you provide answers to each of the following questions from your review of the GSENM DRMP A/DGS to help guide WO101's review?

General

Are all National Conservation Lands units, and other related areas that are located within the planning area identified and the management addressed in the RMP alternatives? If not, is there a stand alone plan for that unit?

Are existing standards identified for future land use activities that impact National Conservation Lands units, and other related special areas?

Are appropriate land use allocations and/or management actions identified in the RMP that limit or exclude land use activities that are inconsistent with the management of National Conservation Lands units and other related special areas?

How does the State Director base education and RMP alternatives and decisions regarding National Conservation Lands, lands with undesirable characteristics, eligible and suitable (non-designated) RMP and Scatter Road easements, and trails under study or recommended as suitable for designations?

Downloaded At: 11:53 11 September 2009

Does the BMAP identify the resources, objects, and values (ROVs) for the NM/NCA was designated, and how these ROVs will be managed?

1. *Study with us*

Is an inventory report included as an Appendix?

Are decisions made for all lands with wilderness characteristics within the planning area?

Is rationale included for decisions to (a) emphasize other multiple uses, (b) emphasize other multiple use, while applying management restrictions to reduce impacts to wilderness characteristics, and/or (c) protect wilderness characteristics over other multiple uses?

Is a table included that summarizes acre inventoried, acres found to have wilderness characteristics, acres and the percentage of lands that fall within each of the categories identified in BLM Manual/G20, which include: (1) protection of lands with wilderness characteristics as a priority over other uses, and (2) emphasizing other uses to wilderness characteristics? Will occur and wilderness character will be maintained?

English and Farsi WOL

Did the FO complete WOL eligibility inventories consistent with current requirements? (a5.40002)

Did the FO complete suitability evaluations and determinations for all eligible streamers using Factors and Criteria from M46000?

Are suitable/harmful decisions made for all WOL eligible streamers in the planning area?

Is the WOL Day Report included in an Appendix and is consistent with current policy?

If requests, determined not suitable, do other resource allocations exist for the area, why/and/or is rationale provided for not protecting the river-valley equally if implementation of the BMP will degrade or eliminate those river-valley?

Does the plan include objectives and associated management actions, allowable uses, and restrictions to safeguard the nature and purposes of the trail?

Does the RMI

Summarize how the unique issues or background affect the alterations.

FIGURE 10.10

[more details »](#)

1 \ 15

(b) (5) [REDACTED] FY 2005 Lowlands Conference Res

Dr. Anita Nelson

02-03-17: Helsinki, Finland: [christian@helsinki.fi](mailto:christian@helsinki.fi)  
6:17 PM (57 minutes ago)

Hi Molly, Shw

Thanks!  
Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-458)  
Bureau of Land Management  
363 AAA-A136

Cells 1012%

Comment: Britta Nelson

• Marcos Stein RMP-A/USNO, Farmington, New Mexico (DTS 1262).

• 08-09-14. Received today by DTS is routing through WFO. Signed-in request required by WFO. WFO494110 not listed on DTS # 1262 routing although the old Spanish NW7 and addresses characteristic are in planning area.

• 08-09-14. RMP used by the DTS is routing through WFO. Signed-in request required by WFO. WFO494110 not listed on DTS # 1262 routing although the old Spanish NW7 and addresses characteristic are in planning area.

in the 1980s

[illegible]

- The RMP-Ap

• The 2006 Amended NOI announces that the BIA is joining the effort as a joint lead AND that the BIA intends to use the GIS to inform decisions related to BIA-managed minerals.

[illegible]



The WO admin review period for the Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument (GSENM) Grazing Draft RMP Amendment (DRMPA) will run from January 30 – February 20, 2017. To help inform your WO admin review, please attend this briefing for an overview of the GSENM Grazing DRMPA. I will send the DRMPA and present. Please let me know if you have any questions.

- Fariba

When  
Tue Jan 31, 2017 9am - 10am Mountain Time

(b) (5) [REDACTED] ST RMDSOS Lowlands Conference Room

Cell: 0126  
Comment: Britta Nelson

02-08-17, Nelson, Britta <[bbnelson@bim.gov](mailto:bbnelson@bim.gov)>  
4:17 PM (17 minutes ago)

to Molly, Shiva, Anne, and  
in Molly, Shiva, and Annie

### Thanks!

National Conservation Lands (NCL-610)  
Bureau of Land Management

202.

Comments: Britta Nelson;  
• Marcos Shah

- o As the RMP-A/EIS moves forward (site development, impact analysis, etc.)

U.S. Forest Service | 2015-2016 National Forest Management Plan for the Oquirrh National Forest, Nevada | Final EIS | Appendix 10: Public Involvement and Outreach | 10-10

- The 2004 NOI announced the Farmington Field Office's (FFO) intent to amend the 2003 RMP to account for impacts not previously considered in the RMP.
- The RMP-A proposes to amend four decision types: oil and gas, lands and realty, vegetation management, and lands with wilderness characteristics.
- Land use planning decisions for other resources are outside the scope of this RMP-A. It refers to NEPA, NPS, and numerous other affected resources.

- Potential impacts to the Old Spanish NHT were identified during the scoping period in 2014 (see Scoping Report).
- The RMP-A/US will account for this accordingly (through alternative development, impact assessment, etc.)

\* The 2004 amended NOI announces that the BIA is joining the effort as a participant AND that the BIA intends to use the EG to inform decisions related to BIA-managed materials.

with C137  
and 8/10/11

Allison and Paul

Are all National Conservation Lands units and other related areas that are located within the planning area identified and the management addressed in the BLM alternatives? If not, is there a stand-alone plan for that unit?

Was the State

National Monuments/National Conservation Areas and Similar Designations

Does the RMP identify the resources, objects, and values (ROVs) for which the NM/NCA was designated, and how those ROVs will be managed?

Are new utility corridors or ROWs in the NEA/NCA identified as exclusion or avoidance areas?

Does the FO have a complete, updated inventory of lands with wilderness characteristics?  
Is an inventory report included as an Appendix?

Are decisions made for all lands with wilderness characteristics within the planning area?  
Is rationale included for decisions to (1) emphasize other multiple uses; (2) emphasize wilderness; or (3) exclude the area from wilderness consideration?

reduce impacts to wilderness characteristics<sup>2</sup> will occur and wilderness character will be maintained.

Did the FO complete WSK eligibility inventories consistent with current policy requirements?

Are suitable/non-suitable decisions made for all WSR-eligible streams in the planning area?  
Is the WSR Study Report included as an Appendix and is it consistent with current policy?

**Keywords:** *environmental quality, environmental quality index, environmental quality index, environmental quality index, environmental quality index*

Does the plan include objectives and associated management actions, allowable uses, and

Does the RMP include any unique issues (e.g., associated settlement agreements) or laws?

Summarize how the unique issues or background affect the alternatives.

Please let me know if you would like to discuss. Thanks, Britta

[more details »](#)

Please let me know if you have any questions.

- Fariba

Tue Jan 21, 2017 9am - 10am Mountain Time

(b) (5) ST RMS00G Lowlands Conference Room

Call: 0140  
Comments: Britta Nelson

02-08-17, Nelson, Britta <bknelson@ucla.gov>  
6:17 PM (17 minutes ago)

Hi Molly, Shiva, and Annie

**Thanks!**

National Conservation Lands (NCL-410)  
Bureau of Land Management

101.216.0510



Thank you, Sally. I'm sharing your clarification with the Monument staff.

Nelson, Brian <brian@blm.gov>

Apr 14 (7 days ago)

To: Timothy, Sally

Looking like it is at the latest in GSDM's "incubation" language since our discussion on this yesterday. Sorry again for not copying Tim on my original note to Ryan.

Buffy, Sally

Apr 14 (7 days ago)

Yes, let the know if you have questions.

Buffy, Sally

Apr 14 (7 days ago)

To: Ryan, Andrew, Matthews, Cynthia, Joe, Nikki, Ryan, Timothy

Thanks, Ryan. Just noticed I don't used "incubation" in the closing of my email. I meant "incubate." Sorry about that...must be time for the weekend!

Have a good one, Sally

Matthew, Cynthia

Apr 14 (7 days ago)

To: Sally, Ryan, Andrew, Matthews, Joe, Nikki, Ryan, Timothy

All:

We would like to propose language for this briefing paper and section 3.6.1 of the EIS that would easily clarify the issue. This involves changing the words "incubation" to "incubation with". Throughout the document, including the Program and Found section 3.6.1 and section 3.6.2 we use the w

The statement in the BP and 3.6.1 would read:

Given that the Proclamation provides the BLM with the discretion to coordinate livestock grazing consistent with managing objects identified in the Proclamation, Alternative C provides a balance of livestock grazing that recognizes the importance of consulting to the local custom and culture with managers

Let me know if this will work with everyone.

Thanks!

Cindy Kasek

Monument Manager

Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument

800 S. 1000 W.

Alton, UT 84001

Phone: 435-444-1500

Fax: 435-444-1500

Buffy, Sally

Apr 14 (7 days ago)

To: Ryan, Nikki, Ryan, Timothy

Thanks for the update.

Call: 3141

Comments: 3/15/14

Call with Ryan Matthews

Friday, April 11, 2014 10:25 AM

Ryan Matthews

Hi Ryan, I'm going to go back to the field.

Thanks!

Ryan Matthews

Apr 11 (7 days ago)

To: Ryan Matthews

Do you think we will need to meet this afternoon or GSDM? It looks like there is just one item left but not sure we need to meet about it.

Ryan Matthews

I tried to call you, call the back whenever!

Ryan Matthews

on the line with Sally, will call after

Ryan Matthews

Hi, if you don't need a call and are good with it, I'm

Ryan Matthews

We are good with GSDM's responses. Thanks Ryan!

Ryan Matthews

no problems, meeting canceled!

Ryan Matthews

Call: 3142

Comments: 3/15/14

Call: "Ryan, BLM" -ryan@blm.gov

Date: April 18, 2017 at 5:57:07 PM EDT  
To: NINA Moore <moore@blm.gov>  
Subject: Re: WO-43, Comment MMFA Comment on Grazing Reductions Following Fire

NINA, meant to include you in this email. Call if you have any questions.  
Thanks,  
Eric

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Moore, Eric <moore@blm.gov>  
Date: Tue, Apr 18, 2017 at 11:02 AM  
Subject: Re: WO-43, Comment MMFA Comment on Grazing Reductions Following Fire  
To: "Terrell, Andrew" <andrew@blm.gov>  
Cc: Heather Johnson <blm.gov>, Eric Moore <moore@blm.gov>

[REDACTED]

Eric

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Moore, Eric <moore@blm.gov>  
Date: Mon, Apr 17, 2017 at 5:49 PM  
Subject: WO-43, Comment MMFA Comment on Grazing Reductions Following Fire  
To: Eric Johnson <moore@blm.gov>, NINA Moore <moore@blm.gov>  
Cc: Leah Quenberry <querry@blm.gov>

Heidi and I, < >  
I rearranged and edited the response to NINA's question on the 430 comments document. I don't know if this helps anyone understand our response, but I just felt the need to do it after the briefing today. I haven't sent it to Andrew or NINA.

2. We would like to better understand how over 50% of the soil can be damaged by wildfire and at a level to reduce the breeding habitat for sage grouse by 30%, but grazing is only being reduced in the preferred alternative by a few hundred AUMs. We would like to see stronger rationale on how the "no new and additional grazing?"

Regarding this, did not reply of "increasing wildlife response time" and "success rates of restoration efforts." These were goals in the original 2007 Plan and the National Fire Health Plan, and these are still in effect. But they are outside the scope of this currently focused plan amendment. Restoration efforts are usually local AUMs, reduction in livestock grazing is required to focus is not about our planning tool decision. Grazing management after a fire is a site specific mission, and is not appropriate for a broad open range LUP decision. This work is done under the guidelines of the agency's Restoration and Rehabilitation Plans and is outside the grazing strategy required after the restoration work and is not allowed on a broad area until desirable grasses and/or forbs have been re-established, typically two or more growing seasons. To date, previously burned areas have been seeded if the native plant community was not able to recover naturally. Once livestock is not White any of the plant communities of the Monument do not require, livestock grazing is not the causal factor for this. Removing livestock would not have the effect of restoring riparian. Please see the discussion on Vegetation Condition in Chapter 3 of the 430.

Planning efforts are always contingent on available funding. There is never any guarantee of funding, but there is an understanding there will be significant effort to implement any plan the BLM selects and publishes.

Heidi & I'm inaccurate on any of this.  
Thanks,  
Eric

-----  
Eric Moore  
NINFA Specialist  
BLM Idaho State Office  
208-374-6500 (x) 208-403-6088 (t)  
moore@blm.gov

[REDACTED]

\*\*\*\*\*Confidentially Excludes Privately Held Information\*\*\*\*\*  
This email may contain PROPRIETARY ACT or otherwise sensitive data which is intended for the addressee only. It may also contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise protected from disclosure under applicable laws.  
\*\*\*\*\*PLEASE HANDLE WITH DISCRETION\*\*\*\*\*

[REDACTED]

Eric Moore  
BLM Idaho State Office  
208-374-6500 (x) 208-403-6088 (t)  
moore@blm.gov

[REDACTED]

\*\*\*\*\*PLEASE HANDLE WITH DISCRETION\*\*\*\*\*

[REDACTED]

Eric Johnson  
NINFA Specialist  
BLM Idaho State Office  
208-374-6500 (x) 208-403-6088 (t)  
moore@blm.gov

[REDACTED]

Kate Elz  
Comments Section Editor  
NINFA, B-101-08000@blm.gov  
Apr 20 12:46 AM



Ch. 3 Special Status Species, § 115-116

Winding flow has resulted in a lack of asphragmites cover, and is largely responsible for habitat loss. The seasonal requirements for open-water in many areas of the Monument. Specifically the areas identified as unsuitable (% of unsuitable) for breeding habitat in the Monument, 73.1% of the sites were found to be unsuitable solely because asphragmites cover was lacking, and a lack of asphragmites was a contributing factor in 30% of the sites. Within the Monument identified as unsuitable for breeding habitat, 2.6% of the sites were solely due to low herbaceous cover, and a lack of herbaceous cover was a contributing factor on 27% of unsuitable sites, including the aforementioned 2.6%. Extensive grazing by domestic livestock during the late 1980s and early 2000s, coupled with severe drought, has significantly impacted asphragmites ecosystems (Jenick et al., 2008). Long-term effects from this overgrazing, including changes in plant community and soil, persist today (Jenick et al., 2008). Degradation continues erosion and spread of nonnative and invasive plants (Jenick & Smith, 2004).

livestock grazing "future anticipated trends" is in Ch. 4, 208-209. Future changes to livestock grazing in the Monument are likely, as both the BLM's and operators' management shift over time, based on resource considerations and business needs. Some reasonably foreseeable scenarios that may change livestock grazing for the operators are changes in precipitation, temperatures and wet upping, or changes that may need to occur due to monitoring and data collection.

Within the Monument, vegetation communities are influenced by livestock grazing management, wildfires, rehabilitation efforts, fuel break projects, and climate. All activities that affect vegetation communities indirectly affect livestock grazing. These influences all interact to affect changes in the vegetation communities, and thus the forage base for livestock grazing.

Appendix C. Idaho and Southwestern Montana Greater Sage-Grouse Approved Resource Management Plan Amendment Attachment 1- Chapter 2, and Required Design Features p. 153

Below is an excerpt from Chapter 2 of Attachment 1 of the Idaho and Southwestern Montana

vegetation and successful establishment of seeded species with/without buried CSR axes. All new seedlings of grasses and forbs should not be grazed until at least the end of the second growing season, or grasses are grazed by the following year after establishment in full. In the soil surface, the CSR axes should be checked for the presence of shoot, root, rhizome and other vegetative growth, and are to be removed if they are found. In other measures, CSR axes are to be removed, as are any other CSR axes that are found.

MO FWS 35: Adjust, as appropriate, livestock management on adjacent unburned areas to mitigate the effect of the burn on local GRSO populations.

MO LG 1: Maintain existing areas designated as available or unavailable for livestock grazing.

At the broad scale, though the number of AUMs available on an allotment may be adjusted based on site-specific conditions to meet management objectives during term permit renewal.

adjustments can be made annually to forecast numbers, the number of NABs, and season of use in accordance with applicable regulations.

01.02.17 Nelson, Britta christina@nbi.no

to Molly, Shiva, Anne, Sally, Peter, Robert, Deborah

Hi Molly, Shiva, and Anne - I thought I'd check-in at the Marasca Shiva RHP-A to see if there are any updates, since we last communicated about the NCI back in September 2016. We have in our records that the RHP-A proposes to amend four decision type, including toxic with wilderness characteristics and we

Thanks!  
Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst

Bureau of Land Management  
203.236.0529

Dr. Britta Nelson

© 2009 The Authors  
Journal compilation © 2009 British Ecological Society,  
*Journal of Animal Ecology*, **78**,  
1099–1109

```

@Brett Smith
From: "Mariusz, LSC" <mariusz@plm.gov>
Date: April 16, 2013 at 5:53:07 PM EDT
To: Matt Kasper <mattkasper@gmail.com>
Subject: Fwd: WFO-418 - Citrus Mistlebeetle on Grating Railroads Following Fire

Hello, I want to indicate you in this email. I did it for you here are your questions.

Name: -----
----- Forwarded message -----
From: Mariusz, LSC <mariusz@plm.gov>
Date: Sun, Apr 14, 2013 at 11:53 AM
Subject: Fwd: WFO-418 - Citrus Mistlebeetle on Grating Railroads Following Fire
To: "Matt Kasper" <mattkasper@gmail.com>
Cc: mariusz.lsc@plm.gov; kasper.mariusz@plm.gov

```

Hi Andrew,  
This is my re-write of the response to Nikh's comment on the briefing call yesterday. It says the same thing, just simplified a bit.  
Thanks,  
Edo

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Mayer, Eric <mayer@blm.gov>  
Date: Mon, Apr 17, 2017 at 6:59 PM  
Subject: WO 410 - Eastern MMPA Comment on Grazing Reductions Following Fire  
To: Lisa Croweall <croweall@blm.gov>, Holly Crawford <hcrawford@blm.gov>  
Cc: Leah Quisenberry <lquisenb@blm.gov>

[illegible]

While many of the plant communities of the Monument do lack sagebrush, livestock grazing is not the crucial factor for this. Removing livestock would not have the effect of returning sagebrush. Please see the discussion on Vegetative Condition in Chapter 3 of the FES.

While many of the plant communities of the Monument do lack sagebrush, livestock grazing is not the causal factor for this. Removing livestock would not have the effect of returning sagebrush. Please see the discussion on Vegetative Condition in Chapter 3 of the FEIS.

Planning efforts are always contingent on available funding. There is never any guarantee of funding, but there is an understanding there will be a good faith effort to implement any plan the BLM selects and publishes.

Holler if I'm inaccurate on any of this.

Thanks,  
Eric

Eric Mayers

[am2per@uic.edu](mailto:am2per@uic.edu)

\*\*\*\*\*Confidentiality Notice-Privacy Act Information\*\*\*\*\*

This email may contain PRIVACY ACT or otherwise sensitive data which is intended for the addressee only. It may also contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise protected from disclosure under applicable laws.

\*\*\*\*\*PLEASE HANDLE WITH DISCRETION\*\*\*\*\*

and, therefore, the

NAPA Spec:

BLM Idaho State Office

208-373-4060 (c) 208-831-6999 (c)

empires@dcn.gov

\*\*\*\*\*Confidentiality Notice-Privacy Act Information\*\*\*\*\*

This email may contain PRIVACY ACT or otherwise sensitive data which is intended for the addressee only. It may also contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise protected from disclosure under applicable laws.

\*\*\*\*\*PLEASE HANDLE WITH DISCRETION\*\*\*\*\*

Eric Mayers

NEPA Section 101

208-373-4060 (a) 208-831-6999 (c)

am2pe1@cs.cmu.edu

100

Case no. [REDACTED]

Nurhan, İritta cikirelton@bingo

I dug through the amendment and don't see a direct relationship between fire and AUMs (per Sally's question), but there are a few places where an association is described:

Ch. 3 Special Status Species, P. 115-116

Recent fires from 2014 burned approximately 46,800 acres of the Monument, including areas

identified as providing suitable components of seasonal sagegrouse habitat. Adjustments to habitat delineations as a consequence of these fires has not been completed. Adjustments to habitat delineations were not completed because BLM lacks the site-scale data assessments to substantiate changes in herbaceous components.

lack of sagebrush cover, and is largely responsible for habitats not

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

temperatures and wet springs, or changes that may need data collection.

are influenced by livestock grazing management,

Appendix C. Idaho and Southwestern Montana Greater Sage-Grouse Approved Resource Management Plan Amendment Attachment 1- Chapter 2, and Required Design Features p. 153

Below is an excerpt from Chapter 2 of Attachment 1 of the Idaho and Southwestern Montana  
601404 for reference purposes. The wildlife in this document is common to all Counties of the

for [REDACTED]

444

season, and longer as needed to allow plants to mature and develop robust root systems w

MO FHE 15. Adjust, as appropriate, livestock management on adjacent unburned areas to mitigate the effect of the burn on local GSGG populations.

**MO FIRE 16:** Following seedling establishment, modify grass seedling base area, vegetation, and habitat utilization.

MD LG 1: Maintain existing areas designated as available or unavailable for livestock grazing.

Existing active AUMs for livestock grazing within the planning area will not be changed on the Grand Staircase, although the number of AUMs available on an allotment may be adjusted.

based on site-specific conditions to meet management objectives during term permit renewal,





Unless you have further feedback, I will let WD2222 know we have no further comment on the prep plan. The response to WD comment, the updated prep plan, and the WD2222 BP have been shared with you.

Call: 5156  
Comment: Emma Nelson  
From: "Emma, LLC" <emna@bhm.gov>  
Date: April 16, 2017 at 1:51:07 PM EDT  
To: NRM-Maine <nrmmaine@bhm.gov>  
Subject: Fwd: WD-412, Coosco MAHFA Comment on Grading Reductions Following Fire  
NRM, I meant to include you in this email. Call if you have any questions.  
Thanks,  
Eric

----- Forwarded message -----

Hi Andrew,  
This is my re-write of the response to NRM's comment on the briefing call previously. It says the same thing, just simplified a bit.  
Thanks,  
Eric

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Emma, LLC <emna@bhm.gov>  
Date: Mon, Apr 17, 2017 at 1:51 PM  
Subject: RE: 412, Coosco MAHFA Comment on Grading Reductions Following Fire  
To: Lisa Croward <lcroward@bhm.gov>, Holly Croward <hcroward@bhm.gov>  
Cc: Leah Spensherry <spensherry@bhm.gov>

Holly and Lisa,

I reorganized and edited the response to NRM's question on the 412 comments document. I don't know if this helps anyone understand our response, but I just felt the need to do it after the briefing notes. I haven't sent it to Andrew or NRM.

2. We would like to better understand how over 50% of the soil can be damaged by wildfire and at a level to reduce the breeding habitat for vernal pools by 50%, but grading is only being reduced in the preferred alternative by a few hundred cubic feet. We would like to see stronger rationale on how the new "low" and "high" values funding?

Response: This 412 does not seek ways of "increasing wildlife response effort" and "various rates of restoration efforts." These were goals in the original 2007 Plan and the Natural Fire Mitigation Plan, and these are still in effect. But they are outside the scope of this narrowly focused plan amendment. Restoration efforts are usually more about reduction in backwash grading in response to fires is not a total one planning level decision. Grading management after a fire is a site-specific endeavor, and is not appropriate for a landscape-scale LUP decision. This work is done under the guidance of Emergency Stabilization and Restoration Plans and is outside the

Grading always restricts the fire stabilization work and is not allowed on a burned area until desirable grasses and/or forbs have been re-established, typically two or more growing seasons. To date, previously burned areas have been seeded if the native plant community was not able to recover naturally. Once backwash is not

While many of the plan amendments of the Amendment do such as right-of-way, backwash grading is not the causal factor for risk. Removing backwash would not have the effect of reducing right-of-way. Please see the discussion on Vegetation Condition in Chapter 1 of the FES.

Planning efforts are always contingent on available funding. There is never any guarantee of funding, but there is an understanding there will be a significant effort to implement any plan the BLM selects and publishes.

Hopeful if no occurrence in any of this.  
Thanks,  
Eric

--

Eric Mayes

NMFA Specialist

10100 N. 10th St., Suite 100  
PO Box 1000000, Reno, NV 89506-0000

emayes@bhm.gov

\*\*\*\*\*Confidentiality Notice/F Privacy Act Information\*\*\*\*\*

This document contains information that is exempt from release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) or otherwise sensitive data which is intended for the addressee only. It may also contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise protected from disclosure under applicable law.

\*\*\*\*\*

--

Eric Mayes

NMFA Specialist

NM NMFA State Office

10100 N. 10th St., Suite 100

PO Box 1000000, Reno, NV 89506-0000

emayes@bhm.gov

\*\*\*\*\*Confidentiality Notice/F Privacy Act Information\*\*\*\*\*

This document contains information that is exempt from release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) or otherwise sensitive data which is intended for the addressee only. It may also contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise protected from disclosure under applicable law.

\*\*\*\*\*

--

Eric Mayes

NMFA Specialist

NM NMFA State Office

10100 N. 10th St., Suite 100

PO Box 1000000, Reno, NV 89506-0000

emayes@bhm.gov

Call: 5156











BLM Idaho State Office  
208-374-4555 (x) 208-401-4999 (x)  
emay@blm.gov

\*\*\*\*\*add/remove/modify information\*\*\*\*\*  
  
This email may contain PRIVACY ACT or otherwise sensitive data which is intended for the addressee only. It may also contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise protected from disclosure under applicable laws.  
  
\*\*\*\*\*add/remove/modify information\*\*\*\*\*

Eric Mayes  
NAPA Specialist  
BLM Idaho State Office  
208-374-4555 (x) 208-401-4999 (x)  
emayes@blm.gov

Call: 3145

Comment letter to:

Nelson, Britta Nelson@blm.gov  
Apr 13 (1 day ago)

to Timothy  
I dug through the amendment and don't see a direct relationship between fire and Ashes (per SAF's question). But there are a few places where an association is described:

On 3/24/2024, Britta Nelson, FWS (3145)  
Revised the final 2023 EIS and approximately 60,000 acres of the monument, including areas identified as providing suitable components of rangeland vegetation habitat. Adjustments to habitat delineations as a consequence of these fires has not been completed. Adjustments to habitat delineations were not completed because BLM lacks the site-scale data assessments to substantiate changes in herbaceous components.

Wildfire has resulted in a loss of sagebrush cover, and is largely responsible for habitat not meeting the seasonal requirements for sage grouse in many areas of the monument. Specifically, the areas identified as suitable (5% of suitable) for breeding habitat in the monument, 73.5% of the area were found to be unsuitable solely because sagebrush cover was lacking, and a lack of sagebrush was a contributing factor in an area within the monument identified as suitable for breeding habitat. 2.4% of the area were solely due to low herbaceous cover, and a lack of herbaceous cover was a contributing factor in 27% of unsuitable area, including the aforementioned 2.4%. Seasonal grazing by domestic livestock during the late 1800s and early 1900s, coupled with severe drought, has significantly impacted sagebrush recovery (Jirassakuldech et al., 2002). Long-term effects from this overgrazing, including changes in plant community and soil, persist today (Jirassakuldech et al., 2002). Degradation costs establishment and spread of invasive and noxious plants (Jirassakuldech et al., 2002).

Livestock grazing "To have Antiquated model"  
In D.O. # 288-288, Factors changes to livestock grazing in the monument are likely as both the BLM's and operator's management shift over time, based on resource considerations and business needs. Some reasonably foreseeable scenarios that may change livestock grazing for the operators are changes in permitted use, temperatures and wet/dry cycles, or changes that may result from natural events to monitoring and data collection.

Within the monument, vegetation communities are influenced by livestock grazing management, wildlife rehabilitation efforts, fuel break projects, and climate. All activities that affect vegetation communities indirectly affect livestock grazing. These influences of interest to affect changes in the vegetation communities, and thus the longer term for livestock grazing.

On 3/24/2024, Britta Nelson, FWS (3145) and Eric Mayes, NAPA Specialist (3145) reviewed the monument's Resource Management Plan Amendment Attachment 1 - Chapter 2, and Required Design Features p. 333 Attachment 1 of the plan and monument description.

Guidance in this document is common to all Centers of the Mount National Monument Final Plan Amendment alternatives.

For vegetation and successful establishment of seeded species within burned/EIP areas. All new seedlings of grass and forbs associated with sagebrush or in the area of the burned grazing, erosion, and longer as needed to allow plants to mature and develop. All seedlings which will stabilize the site, compete effectively against invasive and other noxious species, and remain sustainable under long-term grazing management. Adjust other management activities, as appropriate, to meet EIS objectives.

NO 190-191. Adjust, as appropriate, livestock management on adjacent unburned areas to mitigate the effect of the burn on local EIP populations.

NO 190-191. Following seedling establishment, modify grazing management practices if needed to meet EIP objectives.

NO 190-191. Adjust, as appropriate, livestock management on adjacent unburned areas to mitigate the effect of the burn on local EIP populations.

NO 190-191. Adjust, as appropriate, livestock management on adjacent unburned areas to mitigate the effect of the burn on local EIP populations.

NO 190-191. Adjust, as appropriate, livestock management on adjacent unburned areas to mitigate the effect of the burn on local EIP populations.

NO 190-191. Adjust, as appropriate, livestock management on adjacent unburned areas to mitigate the effect of the burn on local EIP populations.

NO 190-191. Adjust, as appropriate, livestock management on adjacent unburned areas to mitigate the effect of the burn on local EIP populations.

Call: 3146

Comment letter to:

Check in re: response to WOI comment on Idaho-Chubb/Saltwater/Upper Snake group plan and updated group plan.

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL) 190-191  
Bureau of Land Management  
208-238-8539

On Tue, May 9, 2023 at 9:14 AM, Nelson, Britta Nelson@blm.gov

Programs, the response from Idaho on WOI comments on the Chubb, Saltwater, and Upper Snake group plan has been shared with you. Please let me know if you have any follow up to Idaho's responses to WOI's.

The WOI EIP on the group plan review has also been shared with you.

Thanks,

Chubb, Saltwater, and Upper Snake group plan review.

Chubb, Saltwater, and Upper Snake group plan review.

Chubb, Saltwater, and Upper Snake group plan review.

Chubb, Saltwater, and Upper Snake group plan review.

Chubb, Saltwater, and Upper Snake group plan review.

Chubb, Saltwater, and Upper Snake group plan review.

Chubb, Saltwater, and Upper Snake group plan review.

Chubb, Saltwater, and Upper Snake group plan review.

Chubb, Saltwater, and Upper Snake group plan review.

Chubb, Saltwater, and Upper Snake group plan review.

Chubb, Saltwater, and Upper Snake group plan review.

Chubb, Saltwater, and Upper Snake group plan review.

Chubb, Saltwater, and Upper Snake group plan review.

Chubb, Saltwater, and Upper Snake group plan review.

Chubb, Saltwater, and Upper Snake group plan review.

Chubb, Saltwater, and Upper Snake group plan review.

Chubb, Saltwater, and Upper Snake group plan review.

Chubb, Saltwater, and Upper Snake group plan review.

Chubb, Saltwater, and Upper Snake group plan review.

Chubb, Saltwater, and Upper Snake group plan review.

Chubb, Saltwater, and Upper Snake group plan review.

Chubb, Saltwater, and Upper Snake group plan review.

Chubb, Saltwater, and Upper Snake group plan review.

Chubb, Saltwater, and Upper Snake group plan review.

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-612)  
Bureau of Land Management  
202.216.0519

----- Forwarded message -----  
 From: Stanford, Andrew <stanad@tin.gao>  
 Date: Mon, May 8, 2017 at 1:28 PM  
 Subject: Comment resolution - Idaho Chalk/Saltman/Upper Snake Prep plan review and comment responses  
 To: BLM\_WO\_RMP\_RSWSW\_TEAM <blm\_wo\_rmp\_review\_team@tin.gao>

10 M STREET SE | WASHINGTON, DC 20003 | 202.812.7081

Government	Percentage
Current government	85%
Previous government	15%























	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AG	AH	AI	AJ	AK	AL	AM	AN	AO	AP	AQ	AR	AS	AT	AU	AV	AW	AX	AY	AZ	BA	BB	BC	BD	BE	BF	BG	BH	BI	BJ	BK	BL	BM	BN	BO	BP	BQ	BR	BS	BT	BU	BV	BW	BX	BY	BZ	CA	CB	CC	CD	CE	CF	CG	CH	CI	CJ	CK	CL	CM	CN	CO	CP	CQ	CR	CS	CT	CU	CV	CW	CX	CY	CZ	DA	DB	DC	DD	DE	DF	DG	DH	DI	DJ	DK	DL	DM	DN	DO	DP	DQ	DR	DS	DT	DU	DV	DW	DX	DY	DZ	EA	EB	EC	ED	EE	EF	EG	EH	EI	EJ	EK	EL	EM	EN	EO	EP	EQ	ER	ES	ET	EU	EV	EW	EX	EY	EZ	FA	FB	FC	FD	FE	FF	FG	FH	FI	FJ	FK	FL	FM	FN	FO	FP	FQ	FR	FS	FT	FU	FV	FW	FX	FY	FZ	GA	GB	GC	GD	GE	GF	GG	GH	GI	GJ	GK	GL	GM	GN	GO	GP	GQ	GR	GS	GT	GU	GV	GW	GX	GY	GZ	HA	HB	HC	HD	HE	HF	HG	HH	HI	HJ	HK	HL	HM	HN	HO	HP	HQ	HR	HS	HT	HU	HV	HW	HX	HY	HZ	IA	IB	IC	ID	IE	IF	IG	IH	II	IJ	IK	IL	IM	IN	IO	IP	IQ	IR	IS	IT	IU	IV	IW	IX	IY	IZ	JA	JB	JC	JD	JE	JF	JG	JH	JI	IJ	JK	JL	JM	JN	JO	JP	JQ	JR	JS	JT	JU	JV	JW	JX	JY	JZ	KA	KB	KC	KD	KE	KF	KG	KH	KI	KJ	KK	KL	KM	KN	KO	KP	KQ	KR	KS	KT	KU	KV	KW	KX	KY	KZ	LA	LB	LC	LD	LE	LF	LG	LH	LI	LJ	LK	LM	LN	LO	LP	LQ	LR	LS	LT	LU	LV	LW	LX	LY	LZ	MA	MB	MC	MD	ME	MF	MG	MH	MI	MJ	MK	ML	MM	MN	MO	MP	MQ	MR	MS	MT	MU	MV	MW	MX	MY	MZ	NA	NB	NC	ND	NE	NF	NG	NH	NI	NJ	NK	NL	NM	NN	NO	NP	NQ	NR	NS	NT	NU	NV	NW	NX	NY	NZ	OA	OB	OC	OD	OE	OF	OG	OH	OI	OJ	OK	OL	OM	ON	OO	OP	OQ	OR	OS	OT	OU	OV	OW	OX	OY	OZ	PA	PB	PC	PD	PE	PF	PG	PH	PI	PJ	PK	PL	PM	PN	PO	PP	PQ	PR	PS	PT	PU	PV	PW	PX	PY	PZ	QA	QB	QC	QD	QE	QF	QG	QH	QI	QJ	QK	QL	QM	QN	QO	QP	QQ	QR	QS	QT	QU	QV	QW	QX	QY	QZ	RA	RB	RC	RD	RE	RF	RG	RH	RI	RJ	RK	RL	RM	RN	RO	RP	RQ	RR	RS	RT	RU	RV	RW	RX	RY	RZ	SA	SB	SC	SD	SE	SF	SG	SH	SI	SJ	SK	SL	SM	SN	SO	SP	SQ	SR	SS	ST	SU	SV	SW	SX	SY	SZ	TA	TB	TC	TD	TE	TF	TG	TH	TI	TJ	TK	TL	TM	TN	TO	TP	TQ	TR	TS	TT	TU	TV	TW	TX	TY	TZ	UA	UB	UC	UD	UE	UF	UG	UH	UI	UJ	UK	UL	UM	UN	UO	UP	UQ	UR	US	UT	UU	UV	UW	UX	UY	UZ	VA	VB	VC	VD	VE	VF	VG	VH	VI	VJ	VK	VL	VM	VN	VO	VP	VQ	VR	VS	VT	VU	VV	VW	VX	VY	VZ	WA	WB	WC	WD	WE	WF	WG	WH	WI	WJ	WK	WL	WM	WN	WO	WP	WQ	WR	WS	WT	WU	WV	WW	WX	WY	WZ	XA	XB	XC	XD	XE	XF	YG	YH	YI	YJ	YK	YL	YM	YN	YO	YP	YQ	YR	YS	YT	YU	YV	YW	YX	YZ	ZA	ZB	ZC	ZD	ZE	ZF	ZG	ZH	ZI	ZJ	ZK	ZL	ZM	ZN	ZO	ZP	ZQ	ZR	ZS	ZT	ZU	ZV	ZW	ZX	ZY	ZZ
Minutes of the Section 34B Energy Corridor Project 2020	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor	Section 34B Corridor																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																				











Cheyenne, Wyoming 82009  
807 775 4124, ext 801 178 4121  
Cell 807 775 4124

"Surrender is not freedom from the enemy, but peace within the enemy"

Call: 03

Comment: Britta Nelson  
Revised final draft ROD for the Transborder Transmission Project and the WMA package sent for review by Sharon Knowlton on 05-18-16. Comments requested by CDB, 5-24-16. National Trails comments on ROD provided on 05-24-16. 05-26-17. Followed up on the Mitigation and Monitoring appendix which is missing details on project. It will meet with control several options including the purchase of riparianly designated wilderness. The WMA has drafted a plan and is now waiting on Director's approval. Once ready, it can be shared internally with the larger BLM group.

Call: 05

From: [REDACTED]

Call: 05

Comment: Britta Nelson  
On Fri, Sep 30, 2016 at 11:09 AM, Nelson, Britta <brittan@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Molly, Molly, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project BWP amendments/DO. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WMA as the draft is being prep.

Our records show the following project issues:

- 1) The project area includes eligible and suitable WMA resources including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande River. Section 7 of the WMA and Sonoran Desert Act requires building an "Accessway" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based on the project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real on Texas Adrenos & Old Spanish NAT). The project needs to be considered per BLM NAC200.
- 2) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's, Tucson Field Office's BWP Amendment of 2012. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible DO for this project.

Additional project information follows:

Call: 05

Comment: Britta Nelson  
10:08:16  
Arlene Garcia  
On 9/13/16 (Thu)

To me, Molly, Deborah, Terrence, Molly, Peter, Nikki, Carli, Robert  
Britta,

At this time, I'm not sure what our plan are relative to a WMA review of the draft DO. I'll consult with our local management team here in New Mexico and our WMA Coordinator and get back to you on that question.

Arlene Garcia  
Bridgette Garcia/Project Manager  
Bureau of Land Management  
BLM State Office  
(202) 624-2029

From: Nelson, Britta <brittan@blm.gov>  
Sent: Monday, October 03, 2016 3:43 PM  
To: Arlene Garcia  
Cc: Nikki Adams <nadams@blm.gov>, Terrence <terren@blm.gov>, Molly Collins, Peter Nicks, Nikki Wilson, Carli Boley, Robert Wick

Re: [REDACTED] Transmission Project BWP amendments/DO

[REDACTED] I'll make sure and include you in communications on the Verde project. Thanks for keeping me updated and letting me know what WMA support might be needed as you move forward. I do have a question - does this project is identified on the priority project transmission of

Thanks,

Britta Nelson  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands Division  
Bureau of Land Management

[REDACTED] Arlene Garcia <arlene@blm.gov> wrote:

Britta,

[REDACTED] From Molly Adams here at the BLM NM State Office. I wanted to let you know that I have been assigned as the Project Manager for the Verde Transmission Project. I'm also stationed here at the BLM NM State Office on work. Please ensure that you include me in all future e-mails re: the Verde DO.

Thank you

Arlene Garcia  
Bridgette Garcia/Project Manager  
Bureau of Land Management

[REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, October 03, 2016, 1:53 PM

To: Arlene Garcia  
Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project

[REDACTED] Being National Conservation Lands, National Program Land

BLM New Mexico State Office  
505-654-2124  
arlene@blm.gov

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Nelson, Britta <brittan@blm.gov>  
Sent: Fri, Sep 30, 2016 at 11:09 AM  
Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project BWP amendments/DO  
To: Molly Adams <madams@blm.gov>, Terrence <terren@blm.gov>, Peter Nicks <pennicks@blm.gov>, Nikki Wilson <nwilson@blm.gov>, Carli Boley <cboley@blm.gov>, Robert Wick <rwick@blm.gov>, Nikki Adams <nadams@blm.gov>, Ryan Harkway <rharkey@blm.gov>  
Glad, until before I'm not in my normal habitat.

On Fri, Sep 30, 2016 at 11:09 AM, Nelson, Britta <brittan@blm.gov> wrote:





Malia Adler  
Acting National Conservation Lands/Transit Program Lead  
Wild Area Management Office

505-954-3126  
madler@blm.gov

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Britta Nelson, Britta Nelson@blm.gov  
Date: Fri, Sep 20, 2024 at 11:18 AM

Subject: Re: Draft for the Verde Transmission Project RMP Amendment/ISL

To: Malia Adler <madler@blm.gov>; "Malia, Malia A" <madler@blm.gov>; "Terrence (Living Needs)" <theliving@blm.gov>; Peter Mal <pmal@blm.gov>; Niko Moore <nmoore@blm.gov>; Caito Bailey <cbaily@blm.gov>; Robert Wick <rwick@blm.gov>; "Tish, Deborah A" <deborah@blm.gov>; Ryan Hawthorne <rhawthor@blm.gov>

Cc: "Britta Nelson" <bnelson@blm.gov>

On Fri, Sep 20, 2024 at 11:09 AM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Malia, Malia, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP Amendment/ISL. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from W&M as the draft is being prep.

Our records show the following project team:

1) The project area includes eligible and suitable W&M resources including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande River. Section 7 of the W&M and Basic Needs Act requires looking at "Treatment" projects that are upstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based

2) The project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real de Tierra Adentro & Old Spanish NHT). The project needs to be considered per BLM NHTS.

3) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventory may have been conducted as part of the BLM's Tuss Dard Off-Road Amendment of 2012. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible ISL for this project.

Additional project information follows.

Thanks,

Britta Nelson  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands W&M  
Division of Land Management  
505-316-0106

Call 514

Comment: Britta Nelson

A BLM Overview of F&C (June 2024) presentation can be found at: <https://blm-washdc.github.io/VerdeTransit/VerdeTransitPresentation/VerdeTransitPresentation.html>

A BLM review file can be found at:

<http://www.blm.gov/verde-transit/VerdeTransitPresentation/VerdeTransitPresentation.html>

U.S. Department of the Interior

Bureau of Land Management

505-316-0106

Call 514

Comment: Britta Nelson

BLM W&M review period begins on 09-20-24 and ended on 07-18-24. Wilderness program comments provided on 09-20-24, submitted to Terrence Gerlach and Nemo Gerlach on 07-18-24. W&M comments submitted on 07-15-24. W&M comments submitted on 07-18-24.

Call 515

Comment: Britta Nelson

09-18-24

National Conservation Lands, your review of the final draft RMP for T&M, W&M, and NDA package is requested. Please provide feedback to me by 09-18-24. Additional information is found in Sharon's note below. This is the first of three emails from Sharon I will forward.

Thanks, Britta

From: Kowalski, Sharon <sharon.kowalski@blm.gov>

Sent: Friday, May 18, 2024 3:14 PM

To: Dylan Page <dylan.page@blm.gov>; Georgeann Gerlach <gerlachg@blm.gov>; Kelly Butler <kbutler@blm.gov>; Erika Larrabee <elarrabee@blm.gov>; Scott Whittemore <scott.whittemore@blm.gov>; Shanna Berkebile <shanna.berkebile@blm.gov>; Jennifer Whyte <jwhyte@blm.gov>; Perry Wickham <perry.wickham@blm.gov>; Dennis Davis <dennis.davis@blm.gov>; Deborah Simons <deborah.simons@blm.gov>; Michael Smith <michael.smith@blm.gov>; Lady Butts <lady.butts@blm.gov>; Britta Nelson <bnelson@blm.gov>

Cc: Malia <malia.adler@blm.gov>; Terrence Gerlach <terrence.gerlach@blm.gov>; Niko Moore <niko.moore@blm.gov>; Caito Bailey <caito.bailey@blm.gov>; Robert Wick <robert.wick@blm.gov>; Tish, Deborah A <tish.deborah@blm.gov>

Subject: Here are the Transient Project draft RMP, NDA, and NDA package for review. I email.

Hi everyone this is the first of 3 emails providing the draft package described above. We are pleased to provide the revised final draft RMP for the Transient Transmission Project and the NDA package for review. The body of the RMP is attached, along with the Mitigation and Monitoring appendices, which is a

gave, Appendix 1: Mitigation and Monitoring with the exception required above, Appendix 2: Response to Governor's consistency and F&C comments, Appendix 3: Alternatives Eliminated from further analysis and Appendix 4: NPS requirements for access to Dangle Road. Appendix 5 is the Plan of Development and

All comments received on the draft RMP and NDA govt that for have been addressed except those noted here or call highlighted in the document. For those who have previously reviewed documents, please verify we've adequately addressed your comments. The purpose of this review is to identify show changing

Our Wyoming External Affairs Office will be working directly with other states and the W&M to finalize the NDA package.

We anticipate finalizing the greater sage grouse and migratory bird mitigation after the technical advisory group completes its task in the first half of June. We'll add that mitigation then. When W&M provides the lands with wilderness characteristics details for inclusion in the mitigation and monitoring appendices,

In order to maximize our efficiency and allow for robust review and comment we are providing this package out now for final review and comment. Still pending completion are the final RMP and the PA.

Please respond with any suggested revisions by close of business May 18th, 2024. Subsequent emails will contain a copy of the T&M Plan of Development in 3 parts due to size limitations.

Regards,

Sharon

Sharon Kowalski

BLM Project Manager, Chippewa State Office

505-954-3126

Chippewa, Wyoming 82401

505-954-3126

"Sincerely is not French from the chest, but grace within the court"

Call 515

Comment: Britta Nelson

09-18-24

From: Kowalski, Sharon <sharon.kowalski@blm.gov>

Sent: Friday, May 18, 2024 3:14 PM

To: Dylan Page <dylan.page@blm.gov>; Georgeann Gerlach <gerlachg@blm.gov>; Kelly Butler <kbutler@blm.gov>; Erika Larrabee <elarrabee@blm.gov>; Scott Whittemore <scott.whittemore@blm.gov>; Shanna Berkebile <shanna.berkebile@blm.gov>; Jennifer Whyte <jwhyte@blm.gov>; Perry Wickham <perry.wickham@blm.gov>; Dennis Davis <dennis.davis@blm.gov>; Deborah Simons <deborah.simons@blm.gov>; Michael Smith <michael.smith@blm.gov>; Lady Butts <lady.butts@blm.gov>; Britta Nelson <bnelson@blm.gov>

Cc: Malia <malia.adler@blm.gov>; Terrence Gerlach <terrence.gerlach@blm.gov>; Niko Moore <niko.moore@blm.gov>; Caito Bailey <caito.bailey@blm.gov>; Robert Wick <robert.wick@blm.gov>; Tish, Deborah A <tish.deborah@blm.gov>

Subject: Here are the Transient Project draft RMP, NDA, and NDA package for review. I email.

Hi everyone this is the first of 3 emails providing the draft package described above. We are pleased to provide the revised final draft RMP for the Transient Transmission Project and the NDA package for review. The body of the RMP is attached, along with the Mitigation and Monitoring appendices, which is a

gave, Appendix 1: Mitigation and Monitoring with the exception required above, Appendix 2: Response to Governor's consistency and F&C comments, Appendix 3: Alternatives Eliminated from further analysis and Appendix 4: NPS requirements for access to Dangle Road. Appendix 5 is the Plan of Development and

All comments received on the draft RMP and NDA govt that for have been addressed except those noted here or call highlighted in the document. For those who have previously reviewed documents, please verify we've adequately addressed your comments. The purpose of this review is to identify show changing

Our Wyoming External Affairs Office will be working directly with other states and the W&M to finalize the NDA package.

We anticipate finalizing the greater sage grouse and migratory bird mitigation after the technical advisory group completes its task in the first half of June. We'll add that mitigation then. When W&M provides the lands with wilderness characteristics details for inclusion in the mitigation and monitoring appendices,

In order to maximize our efficiency and allow for robust review and comment we are providing this package out now for final review and comment. Still pending completion are the Final RCD and the PA. Please respond with any suggested revisions by close of business May 24th, 2016. Subsequent emails will contain a courtesy copy of the TWE Plan of Development in 2 parts due to size limitations.

Regards,  
Stacey

Stacey Knowlton  
BLM Project Manager, Chapparral State Office  
5454 Independence Road  
Chapparral, Wyoming 82908

Call: 531

Comment: 5/24/2016  
05:24:36  
Email 2 of 3

From: Knowlton, Stacey [mailto:staceyknowlton@blm.gov]  
Sent: Friday, May 21, 2016, 5:24 PM  
To: Dylan Page, Georgeann Green, Kelly Butler, Tigan Corralde, Christine Fancher, Stephen Frazier, Scott Whitely, Andrew Stratigaki, Shanna Dardavich, Jennifer Whelan, Corinne Wiggins, Perry Wickham, Buddy Green, Dennis Gaudin, Michael Vitek, Danielle Conway, Michael Smith, Lally Butts, Brexa Noma, C. Michael Anderson, Michael Farley, Steve A. Ruppert, Laine Hale, Scott Wells, James Whitlock, Larry O'Connell, Teresa Lantieri  
Subject: Here is the TransWest Project draft Final RCD Plan of Development appendices which is the 2nd of 3 emails

Attached is main body of the TransWest Plan of Development which is Appendix A to the TWE RCD. It is being sent in 3 emails due to its size. The third and final email will contain the appendices to this Plan of Development. This is being sent to you for a courtesy reference as you review BLM's draft.

Regards,  
Stacey

Stacey Knowlton  
BLM Project Manager, Chapparral State Office  
5454 Independence Road  
Chapparral, Wyoming 82908  
307.775.4314, Cell 901.578.6181  
Fax 907.775.4303

"Sincerely is not French from the chest, but given within the report"

Call: 531

Comment: 5/24/2016  
Review Draft draft RCD for the TransWest Transmission Project and the NHP package are for review by Stacey Knowlton on 05-18-16. Comments responded to 05-24-16. National Trails comments on RCD provided on 05-04-16. 05-24-17. Follow-up on the Mitigation and Monitoring appendices which is missing details on project. I will meet with current commenters including the purchase of intelligence in nearby engineering and others. The NHP has drafted a plan and is now waiting for Director's approval. Once ready, it can be shared internally with the larger BLM group.

Call: 531

Comment: 5/24/2016  
a Review conducted from the Wilderness Society  
a WOLF provided feedback on draft Final RCD, NHP, and NHP package 05-24-16.  
a WOLF drafted compensatory mitigation language. The issue was resolved and WOLF agreed to the mitigation language which was not about jurisdiction mitigation and not about mitigation. The compensatory mitigation package language, as modified by WOLF, was incorporated into an addendum to the RCD on 05-01-16.

Call: 531

Comment: 5/24/2016  
Review Draft draft RCD for the TransWest Transmission Project and the NHP package are for review by Stacey Knowlton on 05-18-16. Comments responded to 05-24-16. National Trails comments on RCD provided on 05-04-16. 05-24-17. Follow-up on the Mitigation and Monitoring appendices which is missing details on project. I will meet with current commenters including the purchase of intelligence in nearby engineering and others. The NHP has drafted a plan and is now waiting for Director's approval. Once ready, it can be shared internally with the larger BLM group.

Hi Molly, Mike, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment/IS. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WOLF as the draft is being prep.

Our records show the following project issues:  
1) The project area includes eligible and suitable WMA streams including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande near Section 7 of the WMA and because WMA's Act requires building a "fence" project that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based

2) The project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real de Tierra Adentro & Old Spanish NHT). The project needs to be consistent per BLM NHP 2005.

3) The project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real de Tierra Adentro & Old Spanish NHT). The project needs to be consistent per BLM NHP 2005.

4) The project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real de Tierra Adentro & Old Spanish NHT). The project needs to be consistent per BLM NHP 2005.

Additional project information follows:

10-05-16  
Adrian Garcia  
05-13 (day ago)  
To me, Mike, Deborah, Terrence, Molly, Peter, Mike, Celia, Robert  
Bella

To me, Mike, Deborah, Terrence, Molly, Peter, Mike, Celia, Robert  
Bella

To me, Mike, Deborah, Terrence, Molly, Peter, Mike, Celia, Robert  
Bella

Subject: 5/24/2016

Subject: 5/24/2016

Subject: 5/24/2016

Subject: 5/24/2016

Subject: 5/24/2016

Subject: 5/24/2016

Subject: 5/24/2016

Subject: 5/24/2016

Subject: 5/24/2016

Subject: 5/24/2016

Subject: 5/24/2016

Subject: 5/24/2016

Subject: 5/24/2016

Subject: 5/24/2016

Subject: 5/24/2016

Subject: 5/24/2016

Subject: 5/24/2016

Subject: 5/24/2016

Subject: 5/24/2016

Subject: 5/24/2016

Subject: 5/24/2016

Subject: 5/24/2016

Subject: 5/24/2016

Subject: 5/24/2016

Subject: 5/24/2016

Subject: 5/24/2016

Subject: 5/24/2016

Subject: 5/24/2016

Subject: 5/24/2016

Subject: 5/24/2016

Subject: 5/24/2016

Subject: 5/24/2016

Subject: 5/24/2016

Subject: 5/24/2016

Subject: 5/24/2016

Subject: 5/24/2016

Subject: 5/24/2016

Subject: 5/24/2016

Subject: 5/24/2016

Subject: 5/24/2016

Subject: 5/24/2016

Subject: 5/24/2016

Subject: 5/24/2016

Subject: 5/24/2016





Call 021  
Comment: Britta Nelson  
05-13-16

From: Knowlton, Sharon (sharon.knowlton@blm.gov)  
Sent: Friday, May 13, 2016 3:12 PM  
To: David Page; Michael Voth; Bradford Parry; Gwynne Gravel; Kirby Butler; Signa Larrabee; Christine Fletcher; Scott Whitlock; Andrew Stradling; Shaina Derphine; Janelle Wrigley; Jennifer Whyte; Perry Wickham; Buddy Green; Dennis Saele; Darinle Dismore; Michael Smith; Sally Butts; Britta Nelson; De  
C; Mary Jo Regard; Kristen Landwehr; Lucas Loomis; Larry Clappard; John Butts; Ruth Welch; Anne Whitlock; Tamara Gersbach  
Subject: New to the Transdev Project draft final EIS, NDA and PA package for review (3 items)

With respect to this is the first of several providing the draft final EIS package for review. We are pleased to provide the revised final draft EIS for the Transdev Transmission Project and the NDA package for review. The body of the EIS is attached, along with the Mitigation and Monitoring Appendix, which is a  
part, Appendix F: Mitigation and Monitoring with the respective explanation, Appendix D: Response to Governor's consistency and EIS comments, Appendix C: Alternatives Eliminated from Further Analysis and Appendix I: NPS requirements for access to Granddame Road. Appendix B is the PA of Development wh

[REDACTED]

In order to maximize our efficiency and allow for robust review and comment we are providing this package and new for final review and comment. Still pending completion are the final EIS and the PA.

Please respond with any suggested revisions by close of business May 19th, 2016. Subsequent emails will contain a working copy of the TNEP Plan of Development in 2 parts due to size limitations.

Regards,  
Sharon

Sharon Knowlton  
BLM Project Manager, Chappawap State Office  
3333 Yellowstone Road  
Chappawap, Wyoming 82409  
807 775-6334 cell 807 573-6321  
fax 807 775-6303

"Sincerely is not freedom from the state, but peace within the state"

Call 021  
Comment: Britta Nelson  
05-13-16  
Email of 1

From: Knowlton, Sharon (sharon.knowlton@blm.gov)  
Sent: Friday, May 13, 2016 3:12 PM  
To: David Page; Gwynne Gravel; Kirby Butler; Signa Larrabee; Christine Fletcher; Stephen Fuller; Scott Whitlock; Andrew Stradling; Shaina Derphine; Jennifer Whyte; Janelle Wrigley; Perry Wickham; Buddy Green; Dennis Saele; Michael Voth; Darinle Dismore; Michael Smith; Sally Butts; Britta Nelson  
C; Kristen Landwehr; Bradford Parry; Mary Jo Regard; John Butts; Ruth Welch; Anne Whitlock; Larry Clappard; Tamara Gersbach  
Subject: New to the Transdev Project draft final EIS, NDA and PA package for review (3 items)

Attached is main body of the Transdev Plan of Development which is Appendix B to the TNEP EIS. It is being sent in 2 emails due to its size. The third and final email will contain the Appendix to this Plan of Development. This is being sent to you for a courtesy reference as you review BLM's draft.

Regards, vL  
Sharon

Sharon Knowlton  
BLM Project Manager, Chappawap State Office  
3333 Yellowstone Road  
Chappawap, Wyoming 82409  
807 775-6334 cell 807 573-6321  
fax 807 775-6303

"Sincerely is not freedom from the state, but peace within the state"

Call 021  
Comment: Britta Nelson  
Revised final draft EIS for the Transdev Transmission Project and the NDA package sent for review by Sharon Knowlton on 05-13-16. Comments responded to 05-13-16. National Title comments on EIS 04-16, 05-26-07. Followed up on the Mitigation and Monitoring Appendix which is missing detailing  
project. It will meet the current standard options including the purchase of land to be developed as a wildlife refuge. The NDA has drafted a plan and it is now waiting for the Director's approval. Once ready, it can be shared internally with the larger BLM group.

Comment: [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] (Project reviewed from the Wilderness Society  
[REDACTED] on 05-13-16. EIS, NDA, and NDA package 05-13-16.  
[REDACTED] any mitigation language. The issue was resolved and NDA/EIS agreed to the mitigation language which was not ideal (somebody mitigate and not avoid mitigation). The compensatory mitigation package language, as modified by NDA/EIS, was incorporated into an addendum to the EIS on 05-13-16.

Call 021  
Comment: Britta Nelson  
05-14, May 14, 2016 at 1:09 PM, Nelson, Britta (britta.nelson@blm.gov) wrote:

Hi Mike, Mike, and Peter - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Transdev Transmission project BLM presentation (EIS). I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from BLM as the draft is being prep  
[REDACTED] The WMA owners including additional agencies of the BLM. The proposed route crosses the BLM-Gravel Run. Section 7 of the WMA and Section 401 requires building a "Tribune" project that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] If there are no back with additional characteristics per existing historic resources, may have been conducted as part of the BLM's Transdev EIS/PA Amendment of 2012. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible EIS for the project.

Additional project information follows.

Comment: [REDACTED]  
05-14-16

Adrian Garcia  
05-14-16 (Wed)

To me, Mike, Melissa, Terrence, Mike, Peter, NDA, Cally, Robert  
Britta,

At this time, I'm not sure what our plans are relative to [REDACTED] with our local management team here in New Mexico and our NDA Coordinator and get back to you on that question.

Adrian Garcia  
Boulder National Project Manager  
Bureau of Land Management  
BLM State Office  
(505) 654-1039

From: Nelson, Britta (britta.nelson@blm.gov)  
Sent: Monday, October 02, 2016 3:42 PM  
To: Adrian Garcia

CC: Malik Alder; Deborah Lutz; Terrence (Terri) Healy; Leahy, Peter; Malik, Nikki; Moore, Cate; Bailey, Robert; Wick

Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Translocation Project RMP amendment/DLS  
Hi Allison, thanks for the note. I will make sure and include you on communications on the Verde project. Thanks for keeping me updated and letting me know what WDCO support might be needed as you move forward. I do have a question - I saw this project is identified at the priority project translocation if  
Thanks,

Britta Nelson  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands WCA-08  
Bureau of Land Management

I have provided the email below from Malik Alder here at the BLM NM State Office. I wanted to let you know that I have been assigned as the Project Manager for the Verde Translocation Project. I've also stationed here at the BLM NM State Office as well. Please email or that you include me in all future e-mailing as we start the preparation of the Draft EIS.

Thank you

Allison Garcia  
Verde Specialized Project Manager  
Bureau of Land Management  
NM State Office  
(505) 844-2299

From: Alder, Malik (malik.ald@blm.gov)  
Sent: Monday, October 09, 2017 3:52 PM  
To: Allison Garcia  
Subject: Fwd: Check in on the Verde Translocation Project RMP amendment/DLS

Malik Alder  
Acting National Conservation Lands/Verde/NM Program Lead  
BLM New Mexico State Office  
505-844-2176  
malik@blm.gov

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Nelson, Britta (brittan@blm.gov)  
Date: Fri, Sep 29, 2017 at 11:11 AM  
Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Translocation Project RMP amendment/DLS  
To: Malik, Cate (catalin@blm.gov); "Alder, Malik" (malik@blm.gov); "Terrence (Terri) Healy" (thealy@blm.gov); Peter Malik (peter@blm.gov); Nikki Moore (nmoore@blm.gov); Cate Bailey (c.bail@blm.gov); Robert Wick (rwick@blm.gov); "Lutz, Deborah" (lutz@blm.gov); Ryan Hathaway (rhath@blm.gov)  
Cate, and before I do anything I need.

On Fri, Sep 29, 2017 at 11:09 AM, Nelson, Britta (brittan@blm.gov) wrote:

Hi Malik, Malik, and Terri - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Translocation project RMP amendment/DLS. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WDCO as the Draft is being prep.

For project review, we will include WDCO documents including additional segments of the Blue Grande River. Section 7 of the WMA and Section 8 requires building a "Treatment" project that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated area. Based

2) The project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real de Tierra Adentro & Old Spanish NHTs). The project needs to be considered per WMA 1602(b).

3) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's, Texas Forest (RMP) Amendment of 2013. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible DLS for this project.

Additional project information follows.

Malik Alder  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands WCA-08  
Bureau of Land Management

Cate

Call: 505

Comment: Britta Nelson

On 10/10/17

National Conservation Lands, your review of the Draft Draft RMP for TML, WCA, and NDA package is requested. Please provide feedback to me by 10/10. Additional information is found in Sharon's note below. This is the first of the results from Sharon will forward.

Malik Alder (malik.ald@blm.gov)

Subject: Re: Verde RMP amendment/DLS

Hi Malik, I have received your email regarding the Verde RMP amendment/DLS. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WDCO as the Draft is being prep.

For project review, we will include WDCO documents including additional segments of the Blue Grande River. Section 7 of the WMA and Section 8 requires building a "Treatment" project that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated area. Based

2) The project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real de Tierra Adentro & Old Spanish NHTs). The project needs to be considered per WMA 1602(b).

3) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's, Texas Forest (RMP) Amendment of 2013. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible DLS for this project.

Additional project information follows.

Hi Malik, I have received your email regarding the Verde RMP amendment/DLS. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WDCO as the Draft is being prep.

For project review, we will include WDCO documents including additional segments of the Blue Grande River. Section 7 of the WMA and Section 8 requires building a "Treatment" project that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated area. Based

2) The project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real de Tierra Adentro & Old Spanish NHTs). The project needs to be considered per WMA 1602(b).

3) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's, Texas Forest (RMP) Amendment of 2013. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible DLS for this project.

Malik

Sharon Kowalski  
BLM Project Manager, Chaco/Verde State Office  
505-844-2176  
Chaco/Verde State Office  
505-844-2176, ext. 2176





All comments received on the draft RSD and RSDM grant that for have been submitted except those noted here or call highlighted in the document. For those who have previously reviewed documents, please verify we've adequately addressed your comments. The purpose of this review is to identify show stopping Our Wyoming External Affairs Office will be working directly with other states and the RSD to finalize the RSD package.

We anticipate finalizing the grant review process and regulatory first mitigation after the technical advisory group completes its task in the first half of June. We'll add that mitigation then. When WYO provides the bonds with wilderness characteristics details for inclusion in the mitigation and monitoring appendix, in order to maximize our efficiency and allow for robust review and comment we are providing this package out now for final review and comment. Still pending completion are the Final RSD and the PA.

Please respond with any suggested revisions by close of business May 18th, 2016. Subsequent emails will contain a courtesy copy of the TNE Plan of Development in 2 parts due to size limitations.

Regards,  
Sharon

Sharon Knowlton  
DOI 175 0124, ext 801 170 4853  
Ex 801 170 4853

"Sensitivity is not freedom from the story, but grace within the story"

Call: 212  
Comment: Letter to Sharon  
05-18-16

From: Knowlton, Sharon [mailto:sharonk@blm.gov]  
Sent: Friday, May 13, 2016 3:26 PM  
To: Dylan Fager, Michael Vukobratovic, Bradford Purdy, Georgeann Louche, Kelly Butler, Tigris Larrabee, Christine Fletcher, Scott Whittemore, Andrew Stronigall, Shanna Derfapine, Jennifer Whyte, Corinne Whyte, Perry Wickham, Buddy Green, Dennis Gault, Danielle Simms, Michael Smith, Lally Burtz, Britta Nelson, Dr. Mary Jo Regan, Kristin Landwehr, Susan Lanning, Laura O'Connell, John Hahn, Ruth Woods, Steve Whitlock, Theresa Kephart  
Subject: Here are the Transmitted Project draft Final RSD, RSDM and RSDM package for review in 2 emails

Hi everyone this is the first of 2 emails providing the draft Final package described above. We are pleased to provide the revised final draft RSD for the Transverse Transmission Project and the RSD package for review. The body of the RSD is attached, along with the Mitigation and Monitoring appendix, which is at the end. Appendix 1: Mitigation and Monitoring with the regulatory appendix, Appendix 2: Response to Governor's comments and FWS comments, Appendix 3: Alternatives eliminated from further analysis and Appendix 4: NPS requirements for access to Benthic River. Appendix 5 is the Plan of Development and

All comments received on the draft RSD and RSDM grant that for have been submitted except those noted here or call highlighted in the document. For those who have previously reviewed documents, please verify we've adequately addressed your comments. The purpose of this review is to identify show stopping Our Wyoming External Affairs Office will be working directly with other states and the RSD to finalize the RSD package.

We anticipate finalizing the grant review process and regulatory first mitigation after the technical advisory group completes its task in the first half of June. We'll add that mitigation then. When WYO provides the bonds with wilderness characteristics details for inclusion in the mitigation and monitoring appendix, in order to maximize our efficiency and allow for robust review and comment we are providing this package out now for final review and comment. Still pending completion are the Final RSD and the PA.

Please respond with any suggested revisions by close of business May 18th, 2016. Subsequent emails will contain a courtesy copy of the TNE Plan of Development in 2 parts due to size limitations.

Regards,  
Sharon

Sharon Knowlton  
BLM Project Manager, Chapparral State Office  
5455 Yellowstone Road  
Chapparral, Wyoming 82424  
DOI 175 0124, ext 801 170 4853  
Ex 801 170 4853

"Sensitivity is not freedom from the story, but grace within the story"

Call: 212  
Comment: Letter to Sharon  
05-18-16  
Email 2 of 2

From: Knowlton, Sharon [mailto:sharonk@blm.gov]  
Sent: Friday, May 13, 2016 3:26 PM  
To: Dylan Fager, Michael Vukobratovic, Bradford Purdy, Georgeann Louche, Kelly Butler, Tigris Larrabee, Christine Fletcher, Stephen Fadden, Scott Whittemore, Andrew Stronigall, Shanna Derfapine, Jennifer Whyte, Corinne Whyte, Perry Wickham, Buddy Green, Dennis Gault, Michael Vukobratovic, Kristin Landwehr, Susan Lanning, Laura O'Connell, John Hahn, Ruth Woods, Steve Whitlock, Theresa Kephart  
Subject: Here are the Transmitted Project draft Final RSD, RSDM and RSDM package for review in 2 emails

Hi everyone this is the first of 2 emails providing the draft Final package described above. We are pleased to provide the revised final draft RSD for the Transverse Transmission Project and the RSD package for review. The body of the RSD is attached, along with the Mitigation and Monitoring appendix, which is at the end. Appendix 1: Mitigation and Monitoring with the regulatory appendix, Appendix 2: Response to Governor's comments and FWS comments, Appendix 3: Alternatives eliminated from further analysis and Appendix 4: NPS requirements for access to Benthic River. Appendix 5 is the Plan of Development and

Regards,  
Sharon

Sharon Knowlton  
BLM Project Manager, Chapparral State Office  
5455 Yellowstone Road

Call: 212  
Comment: Letter to Sharon  
05-18-16  
Email 2 of 2

From: Knowlton, Sharon [mailto:sharonk@blm.gov]  
Sent: Friday, May 13, 2016 3:26 PM  
To: Dylan Fager, Michael Vukobratovic, Bradford Purdy, Georgeann Louche, Kelly Butler, Tigris Larrabee, Christine Fletcher, Stephen Fadden, Scott Whittemore, Andrew Stronigall, Shanna Derfapine, Jennifer Whyte, Corinne Whyte, Perry Wickham, Buddy Green, Dennis Gault, Michael Vukobratovic, Kristin Landwehr, Susan Lanning, Laura O'Connell, John Hahn, Ruth Woods, Steve Whitlock, Theresa Kephart  
Subject: Here are the Transmitted Project draft Final RSD, RSDM and RSDM package for review in 2 emails

Hi everyone this is the first of 2 emails providing the draft Final package described above. We are pleased to provide the revised final draft RSD for the Transverse Transmission Project and the RSD package for review. The body of the RSD is attached, along with the Mitigation and Monitoring appendix, which is at the end. Appendix 1: Mitigation and Monitoring with the regulatory appendix, Appendix 2: Response to Governor's comments and FWS comments, Appendix 3: Alternatives eliminated from further analysis and Appendix 4: NPS requirements for access to Benthic River. Appendix 5 is the Plan of Development and

Call: 212  
Comment: Letter to Sharon  
05-18-16  
Email 2 of 2

From: Knowlton, Sharon [mailto:sharonk@blm.gov]  
Sent: Friday, May 13, 2016 3:26 PM  
To: Dylan Fager, Michael Vukobratovic, Bradford Purdy, Georgeann Louche, Kelly Butler, Tigris Larrabee, Christine Fletcher, Stephen Fadden, Scott Whittemore, Andrew Stronigall, Shanna Derfapine, Jennifer Whyte, Corinne Whyte, Perry Wickham, Buddy Green, Dennis Gault, Michael Vukobratovic, Kristin Landwehr, Susan Lanning, Laura O'Connell, John Hahn, Ruth Woods, Steve Whitlock, Theresa Kephart  
Subject: Here are the Transmitted Project draft Final RSD, RSDM and RSDM package for review in 2 emails









Our records show the following project issues:

1) the project area includes eligible and suitable WMA resources including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande River. Section 7 of the WMA and Sensitive Rivers Act requires looking at "increment" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based on the project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real on Tierra Adentro & Old Spanish NHT's). The project needs to be considered per BLM 16C263.

2) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's Tenth Division RMP Amendment of 2012. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible DSI for this project.

Additional project information follows:

Thanks,

Bertie Nelson  
Management and Program Analyst

Comments: Bertie Nelson  
On Fri, Sep 10, 2021 at 11:09 AM, Nelson, Bertie <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Molly, Maida, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment/DSI. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WCAID as the draft is being prep.

Our records show the following project issues:

1) the project area includes eligible and suitable WMA resources including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande River. Section 7 of the WMA and Sensitive Rivers Act requires looking at "increment" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based on the project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real on Tierra Adentro & Old Spanish NHT's). The project needs to be considered per BLM 16C263.

2) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's Tenth Division RMP Amendment of 2012. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible DSI for this project.

Additional project information follows:

Call: 514

Comments: Bertie Nelson  
10:08 AM  
Adrian Garcia

On 4/13 (last year)

to me, Maida, Deborah, Terrence, Molly, Peter, Nilda, Leticia, Robert  
Bertie,

At this time, I'm not sure what your plans are relative to a WCAID review of the draft DSI. I'll consult with our local management team here in New Mexico and our WCAID Coordinator and get back to you on that question.

Adrian Garcia  
Nately Tumbal/Project Manager  
Bureau of Land Management  
NM State Office  
(505) 624-2299

From: Nelson, Bertie <bnelson@blm.gov>  
Sent: Monday, October 12, 2021 3:43 PM  
To: Adrian Garcia  
Cc: Maida Adley <adley@blm.gov>, Terrence <terrence@blm.gov>, Molly <molly@blm.gov>, Peter <pete@blm.gov>, Nilda <nilda@blm.gov>, Leticia <leticia@blm.gov>, Robert <rob@blm.gov>

Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/DSI  
Hi Molly, Maida, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment/DSI. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WCAID as the draft is being prep.

Bertie Nelson  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands WCAID  
Bureau of Land Management  
505-224-2299

Comments: Bertie Nelson <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:

I have provided the email below from Maida Adley here at the BLM NM State Office. I wanted to let you know that I have been assigned as the Project Manager for the Verde Transmission Project. I'm also stationed here at the BLM NM State Office as well. Please ensure that you include me in all future e-meeting.

Adrian Garcia  
Nately Tumbal/Project Manager  
Bureau of Land Management  
NM State Office  
(505) 624-2299

Subject: Re: Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/DSI

Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/DSI

Hi Molly,

505-624-2299  
adrian@blm.gov

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Nelson, Bertie <bnelson@blm.gov>  
Sent: Fri, Sep 10, 2021 at 11:09 AM  
Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project  
To: Maida Adley <adley@blm.gov>, Terrence <terrence@blm.gov>, Molly <molly@blm.gov>, Peter <pete@blm.gov>, Nilda <nilda@blm.gov>, Leticia <leticia@blm.gov>, Robert <rob@blm.gov>, Ryan <ryanhawley@blm.gov>  
Hi Molly, Maida, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment/DSI. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WCAID as the draft is being prep.

Our records show the following project issues:

1) the project area includes eligible and suitable WSA streams including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande River. Section 7 of the WSA and Sonoran Desert Act requires looking at "increment" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based on the project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real on Tierra Adentro & Old Spanish NHTs). The project needs to be considered per BLM 16C203.

2) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's Tenth Division RMP Amendment of 2012. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible DSI for this project.

Additional project information follows:

Thanks,

Britta Nelson  
Management and Program Analyst

Comments: Britta Nelson  
On Fri, Sep 10, 2021 at 11:09 AM, Britta Nelson <brittan@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Molly, Made, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment/DSI. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WCAID as the draft is being prep.

Our records show the following project issues:

1) the project area includes eligible and suitable WSA streams including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande River. Section 7 of the WSA and Sonoran Desert Act requires looking at "increment" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based on the project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real on Tierra Adentro & Old Spanish NHTs). The project needs to be considered per BLM 16C203.

2) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's Tenth Division RMP Amendment of 2012. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible DSI for this project.

Additional project information follows:

Call: 1-815

Comments: Britta Nelson  
10/08/21

Adrian Garcia  
On 10/08/21 (Thu)

To: me, Molly, Deborah, Terrence, Molly, Peter, Nikki, Loret, Robert

Britta,

At this time, I'm not sure what our plan is relative to a WCAID review of the Draft DSI. I'll consult with our local management team here in New Mexico and our WCAID Coordinator and get back to you on that question.

Adrian Garcia  
Nativity Specialist/Project Manager  
Bureau of Land Management  
NM State Office  
(505) 624-2299

From: Nelson, Britta <brittan@blm.gov>  
Sent: Monday, October 11, 2021 3:43 PM  
To: Adrian Garcia  
Cc: WMAA Adm <adman@blm.gov>, Terrence <terren@blm.gov>, Molly <molly@blm.gov>, Peter <pete@blm.gov>, Nikki <nikki@blm.gov>, Loret <loret@blm.gov>, Robert <robert@blm.gov>

Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/DSI

I'm not sure what our plan is relative to a WCAID review of the Draft DSI. I'll consult with our local management team here in New Mexico and our WCAID Coordinator and get back to you on that question.

Britta Nelson  
Management and Program Analyst

Nativity Specialist/Project Manager  
Bureau of Land Management  
NM State Office  
(505) 624-2299

Comments: Britta Nelson <brittan@blm.gov> wrote:

I've provided the email below from Mike Allen here at the BLM NM State Office. I wanted to let you know that I have been assigned as the Project Manager for the Verde Transmission Project. I'm also stationed here at the BLM NM State Office as well. Please ensure that you include me in all future e-meeting (see below).

Adrian Garcia  
Nativity Specialist/Project Manager  
Bureau of Land Management  
NM State Office  
(505) 624-2299

Subject: Re: Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/DSI

Subject: I will check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/DSI

Britta Nelson

505-624-2174  
brittan@blm.gov

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Nelson, Britta <brittan@blm.gov>  
Sent: Fri, Sep 10, 2021 at 11:09 AM  
Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project  
To: Molly <molly@blm.gov>, Peter <pete@blm.gov>, Terrence <terren@blm.gov>, Nikki <nikki@blm.gov>, Loret <loret@blm.gov>, Robert <robert@blm.gov>, "Britta Nelson" <brittan@blm.gov>, Ryan Harkness <rharkn@blm.gov>  
Glad, and before I go to my personal email.

On Fri, Sep 10, 2021 at 11:09 AM, Britta Nelson <brittan@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Molly, Made, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment/DSI. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WCAID as the draft is being prep.

Our records show the following project issues:

1) The project was included eligible and suitable WSA streams including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande River. Section 7 of the WSA and Scenic Rivers Act requires looking at "Treatment" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based

2) The project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real on Tierra Adentro & Old Spanish NHT's). The project needs to be considered per BLM 16C203.

3) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's Ties Draft ORO-RMP Amendment of 2012. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible DSE for this project.

Additional project information follows:

Thanks,

Bertie Nelson  
Management and Program Analyst

Comments: Bertie Nelson

Re: D-1-1,  
Mojave, NM  
Jan 12 (2:49 a.m.)

To: Tansara, Stephen, Sally, Deborah, Christopher, Georganna, me

To meet the policy and purposes of the National Trails System Act (NTSIA Sec. 104), to prevent a project which will not substantially interfere with the nature and purposes of the trail (NTSIA Sec. 7)(1), and to safeguard the nature and purposes of the National Scenic and Historic Trails (NSHT), BLM MS-4388-1 (A.A. & L).

Analysis and consultation measures to mitigate impacts to National Trails System components, including the Oregon National Historic Trail (Oregon NHT), will be applied for the duration of the impacts from the Project. For residual (i.e., unavoidable) effects to the values and settings of the Oregon NHT, that were National Trails System components, and will be required to be applied for the duration of the impacts. Mitigation measures will be feasible, additional, timely, consistent, adaptive, integrated, and reported upon.

The terms and conditions within the permit will include all identified NHT-related avoidance, minimization, and compensatory mitigation measures, which may include, but are not limited to, proposed mitigation measures (e.g., design features), including the associated monitoring, adaptive management, and reporting requirements.

Please let me know if you have any questions!

Thanks,

Bertie Nelson  
Acting Deputy Assistant Director, National Conservation Lands and Community Partnerships  
Bureau of Land Management, Washington D.C.  
202-219-1388 (office)  
202-219-9141 (cell)

Gertie, Tansara  
Hi Steve, The attached RCD document has incorporated the NHT-4388-1 (A.A. & L) into the project.

Mojave, NM  
Thanks, Bertie  
Jan 12 (2:49 a.m.)

For: Stephen, Sally, Deborah, Christopher, Georganna, me  
Thanks, Bertie  
Jan 12 (2:49 a.m.)

Gertie, Tansara  
Jan 12 (2:49 a.m.)

Comments: Tansara, Stephen, Sally, Deborah, Christopher, Georganna, me

- Tansara

Tansara Gertie  
Bureau of Land Management  
5455 Independence Blvd  
Chaparral, NM 87919  
505-774-4115  
505-774-4115 (cell)  
tgertie@blm.gov

On Thu, Jan 12, 2017 at 1:49 PM, Mojave, NM - comments@blm.gov wrote:

Thanks, Bertie!

Bertie Nelson  
Acting Deputy Assistant Director, National Conservation Lands and Community Partnerships  
Bureau of Land Management, Washington D.C.  
202-219-1388 (office)  
202-219-9141 (cell)

The attached RCD document has incorporated the NHT-4388-1 (A.A. & L) into the project.

Additionally, we have added a new section to the DSE, titled "Mitigation Measures" and selected Alternative plan (Mojave-4388-1 (A.A. & L)).

Thanks much!

- Tansara

Tansara Gertie  
Bureau of Land Management  
5455 Independence Blvd  
Chaparral, NM 87919  
505-774-4115  
505-774-4115 (cell)  
tgertie@blm.gov



----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Nelson, Britta [mailto:britta.nelson@blm.gov]  
Date: Fri, Sep 26, 2025 at 11:14 AM  
Subject: Re: Check-in on the Verde Transmission Project BAPF assessment/CE  
To: Mark Gales [mailto:markgales@blm.gov], Julie, State of Colorado [mailto:julie@blm.gov], "Teresa" [mailto:Teresa.Hicks@blm.gov], Peter Hall [mailto:peterhall@blm.gov], Niki Moore [mailto:niki.moore@blm.gov], Chris Bailey [mailto:chris.bailey@blm.gov], Robert Wick [mailto:robert.wick@blm.gov], "Tad, Deborah" [mailto:tad@blm.gov], Ryan Hartman [mailto:ryan.hartman@blm.gov]  
Gaps, were before I could answer them.

On Fri, Sep 26, 2025 at 11:09 AM, Nelson, Britta [mailto:britta.nelson@blm.gov] wrote:  
Hi Mark, Julie, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a good week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project BAPF assessment/CE. I am hoping you can help me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from BLM or if the CE is being a big

If there are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories, inventories may have been conducted as part of the BAPF, Tule Creek (DPA) or BAPF Amendment of 2013. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible CE for this project.

Additional project information follows.

Thanks,

Britta Nelson  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands Division  
Bureau of Land Management  
950 J Street SW

Cell: 505  
Comments: Britta Nelson

On 10/1/25,  
Nelson, Britta

On 10/1/25 (Fri 9:41)

Hi Teresa, Stephen, Julie, Deborah, Christopher, Gregor, and  
Teresa, Julie, and Gregor - thank you for sending us the BLM BAPF for a quick review. We did notice that the BLM language used for other transmission projects appears to be missing. To meet policy requirements, we recommend the following language be added to the National Conservation Lands (NCL) section on  
To meet the policy and purpose of the National Trails System Act (NTSIA, 16 U.S.C. 1601) to permit a project which will not substantially interfere with the nature and purpose of the trail (NTSIA, 16 U.S.C. 1601), and to safeguard the nature and purpose of the National System and Historic Trails (NTSIA, 16 U.S.C. 1601),  
Avoidance and minimization measures to mitigate impacts to National Trails System components, including the Oregon National Historic Trail (ONHT), will be applied for the duration of the impacts from the Project. For avoided (i.e., unavoidable) effects to the values and settings of the Oregon NHT, that were  
National Trails System components, and will be required to be applied for the duration of the impacts. All mitigation measures will be feasible, additional, timely, monitored, adaptively managed, and reported upon.

The terms and conditions within the permit will include all identified NHT-related avoidance, minimization, and compensatory mitigation measures, which may include appropriate proposed mitigation measures (e.g., design, structure), including the associated monitoring, adaptive management, and reporting requirement.  
Please let me know if you have any questions!

Thanks,

Niki Moore  
Acting Deputy Assistant Director, National Conservation Lands and Community Partnerships  
Bureau of Land Management, Washington D.C.  
202.219.5330 (office)  
202.219.5114 (cell)

On 10/1/25 (Fri 9:41) [mailto:niki.moore@blm.gov] wrote:  
I have incorporated the BLM BAPF into the app. ...

Nelson, Britta

Thanks, Teresa

On 10/1/25 (Fri 9:41)

On 10/1/25 (Fri 9:41)

On 10/1/25 (Fri 9:41)

On 10/1/25 (Fri 9:41)

On 10/1/25 (Fri 9:41)

On 10/1/25 (Fri 9:41)

On 10/1/25 (Fri 9:41)

On 10/1/25 (Fri 9:41)

On 10/1/25 (Fri 9:41)

On 10/1/25 (Fri 9:41)

On 10/1/25 (Fri 9:41)

On 10/1/25 (Fri 9:41)

On 10/1/25 (Fri 9:41)

On 10/1/25 (Fri 9:41)

On 10/1/25 (Fri 9:41)

On 10/1/25 (Fri 9:41)

On 10/1/25 (Fri 9:41)

On 10/1/25 (Fri 9:41)

On 10/1/25 (Fri 9:41)

On 10/1/25 (Fri 9:41)

On 10/1/25 (Fri 9:41)

On 10/1/25 (Fri 9:41)

On 10/1/25 (Fri 9:41)

On 10/1/25 (Fri 9:41)

On 10/1/25 (Fri 9:41)

On 10/1/25 (Fri 9:41)

On 10/1/25 (Fri 9:41)

On 10/1/25 (Fri 9:41)

On 10/1/25 (Fri 9:41)

On 10/1/25 (Fri 9:41)

On 10/1/25 (Fri 9:41)

On 10/1/25 (Fri 9:41)

On 10/1/25 (Fri 9:41)

On 10/1/25 (Fri 9:41)

On 10/1/25 (Fri 9:41)

Tamara Gertsch  
R&M National Project Manager  
5152 Yellowstone Road  
Cheyenne, WY 82009  
307-775-6115  
307-287-3656 (cell)  
tgertsch@bin.gov

From: Maare, Nikki <nmaare@tbin.goo>  
Date: Thu, Jan 12, 2017 at 12:51 PM  
Subject: EDH R02 - Comments  
To: Tamara Gertsch <tgertsch@tbin.goo>, Stephan Füller <sfueller@tbin.goo>  
Cc: Sally Kett <skett@tbin.goo>, "Siti, Deborah K" <dsb@tbin.goo>, "Mickael, Christopher" <cmickael@tbin.goo>, Georgiana Iordă <giord@tbin.goo>, "Irenek, Britta K" <kbirenek@tbin.goo>

Awaras, Steve, and Georgetown—thank you for sending us the B2M ROD for a quick review. We did notice that the ROD language used for other transmission projects appears to be missing. To meet policy requirements, we recommend the following language be added to the National Conservation Lands (NCL) section of the ROD:

To meet the policy and purposes of the National Tribal System Act (NTSA) Sec. 70(c), to permit a project which will not substantially interfere with the nature and purposes of the trail (NTSA Sec. 75), and to safeguard the nature and purposes of the National System and Historic Trails (NSHT), 16M USC 4201-1, a.k.a., b.3.

Avoidance and minimization measures to mitigate impacts to National Tribal System components, including the Oregon National Historic Trail (ONHT), will be applied for the duration of the impacts from the Project. For residual (i.e., unavoidable) effects to the values and settings of the Oregon NHT, that was the National Tribal System components, and will be required to be applied for the duration of impacts. All mitigation measures will be developed, timely, monitored, adaptively managed, and reported upon.

The terms and conditions within the permit will include all identified NREI-related avoidance, minimization, and compensatory mitigation measures, which may include applicant proposed mitigation measures (e.g. design features), including the associated monitoring, adaptive management, and reporting requirements.

Thanks,  
Nikki Moore  
Acting Deputy Assistant Director, National Conservation Lands and Community Partnerships  
Bureau of Land Management, Washington D.C.  
202.219-3580 (office)  
202.288-9114 (cell)

**CALL #73**

Gammone, Britta Nelson:  
09-08-06  
Adrian Garcia  
CUI # 2 (Last Ago)

To me, Maile, Deborah, Terencia, Molly, Peter, Nikki, Carls, Robert  
*(Redacted Name)*

[REDACTED] phone are relative to a MD review of the draft DSU. I'll consult with our local manager [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] manager  
[REDACTED]

NAB States Office  
(502) 654-2399

From: Nelson, Britta [[mailto:brittan@blm.gov](#)]  
Sent: Monday, October 09, 2016 3:43 PM  
To: Adrian Garcia; [REDACTED]; Terence (Terri); Heidi; Mailey Collins; Peter Muller; Nikki-Memo; Carls; Bailey; Robert A. B.

Subject: R&D Call for the Verde transmission Project RFP amendment/54

Britta Nelson

203.236.0539

On Mon, Oct 3, 2016 at 2:21 PM, Adrian Garcia <agarcia@bim.gva> wrote

I was provided the e-mail below from Mike Adler and [REDACTED] and to let you know that I have been assigned as the Project Manager for the Verde Transmission Project. I'm also stationed here at the BLM NM State Office as well. Please ensure that you include me in all future e-mails regarding the project as we start the preparation of the Draft EIS.

Thank you

Adrian Garcia  
Realty Specialist/Project Manager  
Bureau of Land Management  
NM State Office  
(505) 954-2299

From: Adler, Arlin [mailto:arlin.adler@blm.gov]  
Sent: Monday, October 10, 2016 11:12 AM  
To: Arlin Garcia  
Subject: Final Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/DLS

Arlin Adler  
Acting National Conservation Lands/Verde/OTM Program Lead  
BLM New Mexico State Office

505-654-2174  
arlin@blm.gov

To: Marky Gibbs <maggibbs@blm.gov>, Arlin, Arlin A. <arlinadler@blm.gov>, Terrence [Terri] Healy <thel@blm.gov>, Peter Wahl <wahl@blm.gov>, Mike Moore <moore@blm.gov>, Cath Bailey <cbaily@blm.gov>, Robert West <west@blm.gov>, "Rob, Deborah" <robdeborah@blm.gov>, Ryan Hildreth <rhyld@blm.gov>  
Chaps, sent before I or I'm ever sent! Thanks!

On Fri, Sep 30, 2016 at 11:07 AM, Arlin Adler <arlinadler@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Marky, Mike, and Terri - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment/DLS. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WDOI in the short & long term.

Our records show the following project items:

1) The project area includes rights and interests WDOI owns including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande River. Section 7 of the WDOI and Bureau of Reclamation requires looking at "incidental" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based

2) The project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real de Tierra Adentro & El Camino Real de la Tierra Adentro). The project needs to be considered per BLM 506.202.

3) There are no trade with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's Two-Step Office RMP Amendment of 2013. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible DLS for this project.

Additional project information follows:

Thanks!

Arlin Adler  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands WDOI  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.234.0149

Call: 513

Comment: Arlin Adler  
On Fri, Sep 30, 2016 at 11:07 AM, Arlin Adler <arlinadler@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Marky, Mike, and Terri - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment/DLS. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WDOI in the short & long term.

Our records show the following project items:

1) The project area includes rights and interests WDOI owns including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande River. Section 7 of the WDOI and Bureau of Reclamation requires looking at "incidental" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based

2) The project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real de Tierra Adentro & El Camino Real de la Tierra Adentro). The project needs to be considered per BLM 506.202.

3) There are no trade with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's Two-Step Office RMP Amendment of 2013. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible DLS for this project.

Additional project information follows:

Thanks!

Arlin Adler  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands WDOI  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.234.0149

On Tue, Oct 4, 2016 at 11:38 AM, Arlin Adler <arlinadler@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Marky, Mike, and Terri - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment/DLS. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WDOI in the short & long term.

Our records show the following project items:

1) The project area includes rights and interests WDOI owns including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande River. Section 7 of the WDOI and Bureau of Reclamation requires looking at "incidental" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based

2) The project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real de Tierra Adentro & El Camino Real de la Tierra Adentro). The project needs to be considered per BLM 506.202.

3) There are no trade with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's Two-Step Office RMP Amendment of 2013. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible DLS for this project.

Additional project information follows:

Thanks!

Arlin Adler  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands WDOI  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.234.0149

On Tue, Oct 4, 2016 at 11:38 AM, Arlin Adler <arlinadler@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Marky, Mike, and Terri - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment/DLS. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WDOI in the short & long term.

Our records show the following project items:

1) The project area includes rights and interests WDOI owns including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande River. Section 7 of the WDOI and Bureau of Reclamation requires looking at "incidental" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based

2) The project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real de Tierra Adentro & El Camino Real de la Tierra Adentro). The project needs to be considered per BLM 506.202.

3) There are no trade with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's Two-Step Office RMP Amendment of 2013. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible DLS for this project.

Additional project information follows:

Thanks!

Arlin Adler  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands WDOI  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.234.0149

(b)(5) DPP, 2009

From: Adler, Maida [mailto:maida.adler@blm.gov]  
Sent: Monday, October 09, 2017 1:52 PM  
To: Maida Garcia  
Subject: Fuel Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/DIS

Maida Adler  
Acting National Conservation Lands/Verde/VRM Program Lead  
BLM New Mexico State Office

From: Nelson, Britta [mailto:britta@blm.gov]  
Date: Fri, Sep 29, 2017 at 11:11 AM  
Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/DIS  
To: Maida Garcia [mailto:maida.adler@blm.gov]; Maida, Maida P. [mailto:maida@blm.gov]; "Terrence (Terry) Healy" [mailto:terhealy@blm.gov]; Peter Matal [mailto:petermatal@blm.gov]; Carli Bailey [mailto:carlibailey@blm.gov]; Robert Wick [mailto:robertwick@blm.gov]; "Nat. Deliberat." [mailto:natdeliberat@blm.gov]; Ryan Hatheway [mailto:ryan.hatheway@blm.gov]  
Ciao, sent before 11:00 everymorning! Good.

On Fri, Sep 29, 2017 at 11:09 AM, Nelson, Britta [mailto:britta@blm.gov] wrote:

Hi Maida, Maida, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment/DIS. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WCEIS as the draft is being prep.

Our records show the following project team:

- 1) The project area includes eligible and suitable WCEIS stream including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande near Section 7 of the WCEIS and hence, Waters Act requires looking at "technical" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based
- 2) The project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real de Tierra Adentro & Old Spanish NHT). The project needs to be considered per NHPA 106.280.
- 3) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's Tenth Trail Office RMP Amendment of 2013. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible DIS for this project.

Additional project information follows.

Thanks,

Britta

Call: 711

Comment: Britta Nelson

10/09/17

Adrian Garcia

Britta Nelson/Project Manager

Division of Land Management

BLM State Office

(b)(5) DPP, 2017

From: Nelson, Britta [mailto:britta@blm.gov]  
Sent: Monday, October 09, 2017 1:52 PM  
To: Adler, Maida  
Cc: Maida Adler [mailto:maida.adler@blm.gov]; Terrence (Terry) Healy [mailto:terhealy@blm.gov]; Peter Matal [mailto:petermatal@blm.gov]; Carli Bailey [mailto:carlibailey@blm.gov]; Robert Wick [mailto:robertwick@blm.gov]

Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/DIS

Hi Maida, Maida, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment/DIS. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WCEIS as the draft is being prep.

Britta

Call: 711

Comment: Britta Nelson

10/09/17

Adrian Garcia

Britta Nelson/Project Manager

Division of Land Management

BLM State Office

(b)(5) DPP, 2017

From: Nelson, Britta [mailto:britta@blm.gov]  
Sent: Monday, October 09, 2017 1:52 PM  
To: Adler, Maida  
Cc: Maida Adler [mailto:maida.adler@blm.gov]; Terrence (Terry) Healy [mailto:terhealy@blm.gov]; Peter Matal [mailto:petermatal@blm.gov]; Carli Bailey [mailto:carlibailey@blm.gov]; Robert Wick [mailto:robertwick@blm.gov]

Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/DIS

Britta

Call: 711

Comment: Britta Nelson

10/09/17

Adrian Garcia

Britta Nelson/Project Manager

Division of Land Management

BLM State Office

(b)(5) DPP, 2017



To: Mally,Colby <mallycolb@blm.gov>; "Adrian, Mally" <mallyadrian@blm.gov>; "Terrence [Terri] Healy" <thelad@blm.gov>; Peter, Malt <pma@blm.gov>; Wills,William <wills@blm.gov>; Carli, Bailey <bailey@blm.gov>; Robert, Wick <robert@blm.gov>; "Liz, Delacruz" <ldelacruz@blm.gov>; Ryan, Reddaway <rredda@blm.gov>  
Chap, west <west@blm.gov> or "Newspaper" Reared.

On Fri, Sep 16, 2016 at 11:09 AM, Mally,Colby <mallycolb@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Mally, Mally, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment/DIS. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WDCIS as the draft is being prep.

Our records show the following project team:

1) The project area includes eligible and suitable WDCIS elements including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande River. Section 7 of the WMA and Section 10 requires looking at "increment" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based

[REDACTED]

Thanks,

Britta Nelson  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL) Office  
Bureau of Land Management  
202.219.6159

Call US:

Comment: Britta Nelson  
On Fri, Sep 16, 2016 at 11:09 AM, Mally,Colby <mallycolb@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Mally, Mally, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment/DIS. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WDCIS as the draft is being prep.

Our records show the following project team:

1) The project area includes eligible and suitable WDCIS elements including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande River. Section 7 of the WMA and Section 10 requires looking at "increment" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based

2) The project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real de Tierra Adentro & Old Spanish NHT). The project needs to be considered per BLM NHTS.

3) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's Two-Part O-600P Amendment of 2013. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible DIS for the project.

Additional project information follows.

Call US:

Comment: Britta Nelson  
On Fri, Sep 16, 2016 at 11:09 AM, Mally,Colby <mallycolb@blm.gov> wrote:  
Hi Adrian, I hope you are well. I thought I would check in to see if there is a status update on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment that you could share. I am updating WDCIS's tracking files and wanted to make sure updates are included. Thanks!

On Tue, Oct 4, 2016 at 5:38 AM, Adrian Garcia <agarcia@blm.gov> wrote:  
Britta,

At this time, I'm not sure what our plans are relative to a WD review of this draft DIS. I'll consult with our local management team here in New Mexico and our NHP Coordinator and get back to you on that question.

Adrian Garcia  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

New Mexico Office  
(505) 654-2159

From: Nelson, Britta [mailto:mallycolb@blm.gov]  
Sent: Monday, October 03, 2016, 4:43 PM

To: Adrian Garcia  
Cc: Mally,Colby <mallycolb@blm.gov>; Terrence [Terri] Healy <thelad@blm.gov>; Peter, Malt <pma@blm.gov>; Wills,William <wills@blm.gov>; Carli, Bailey <bailey@blm.gov>; Robert, Wick <robert@blm.gov>

Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/DIS

Hi Adrian, Thanks for this info. I will make sure and include you in communications on the Verde project. Thanks for keeping me updated and letting me know what WDCIS support might be needed as you move forward. I do have a question - I saw this project is identified on the priority project communication of

Britta Nelson  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL) Office  
Bureau of Land Management  
202.219.6159

Adrian Garcia <agarcia@blm.gov> wrote:

[REDACTED]  
I've provided you a list of recent projects that are either on or off the BLM NCL System. I updated for you know that those have been assigned to the Project Manager for the Verde Transmission Project. I've also attached here at the BLM NM State Office as well. Please ensure that you include me in all future e-mails re: [REDACTED]

Thanks you

Adrian Garcia  
Verde Transmission Project Manager  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

From: Adler, Mally [mailto:mallyadrian@blm.gov]  
Sent: Monday, October 03, 2016, 5:13 PM

To: Adrian Garcia  
Subject: Fwd: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project

Mally Adler  
Acting National Conservation Lands/Trans/HRM Program Lead  
BLM New Mexico State Office  
505-654-8126  
mallyad@blm.gov

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Nelson, Britta [britten@blm.gov]  
Date: Fri, Sep 20, 2024 at 11:28 AM  
Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/DLS  
To: Molly Cuddihy [mollyc@blm.gov], Julie Matis [jmat@blm.gov], Terrence [Terrence@blm.gov], Peter Mat [pmat@blm.gov], Nikki Moore [nmoore@blm.gov], Carli Bailey [c.bai@blm.gov], Robert Wick [rwick@blm.gov], "Liz, Deborah A." [ldeborah@blm.gov], Ryan Hathaway [rhath@blm.gov]  
Oops, sent before I cc'd everyone! Repeat.

On Fri, Sep 20, 2024 at 11:09 AM, Nelson, Britta [britten@blm.gov] wrote:  
Hi Molly, Julie, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment/DLS. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WSD as the draft is being prep

If there are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories, inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's Ties Field Office RMP Amendment of 2012. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible DLS for this project.

Additional project information follows.

Thanks,

Britta

Call: 515

Comment: 10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

10/1/2024

Thanks,

Bretta Nelson  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands WFO/DO  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.234.0539

Call: 3/7  
Comment: Bretta Nelson  
On Fri, Sep 16, 2016 at 11:09 AM, Nelson, Bretta <bretta@blm.gov> wrote:

2) The project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real de Tejas National & Old Spanish NHT's). The project needs to be considered per BLM 960200.

3) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's Ties Draft Office/BLM Amendment of 2013. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible DCE for the project.

Additional project information follows:

Call: 3/7  
Comment: Bretta Nelson  
On Fri, Sep 16, 2016 at 11:09 AM, Nelson, Bretta <bretta@blm.gov> wrote:  
Hi Adria, I hope you are well. I thought I would check in to see if there is a status update on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment that you could share. I am updating WFO/DO's tracking files and wanted to make sure updates are included. Thanks!

Bretta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands WFO/DO  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.234.0539

On Tue, Oct 4, 2016 at 6:04 AM, Adria Garcia <agarcia@blm.gov> wrote:  
Bretta,

As this takes, I'm not sure what our plans are relative to a WFO review of the Draft DCE. I'll consult with our local management team here in New Mexico and our WFO Coordinator and get back to you on that question.

Adria Garcia  
Verde Transmission Project Manager  
Bureau of Land Management  
BLM Area Office  
(202) 654-2299

From: Nelson, Bretta <bretta@blm.gov>  
Sent: Monday, October 10, 2016 3:43 PM  
To: Adria Garcia  
Cc: Mark Adler <adler@blm.gov>; Terrence Terry <terry@blm.gov>; Molly Cudde <molly@blm.gov>; Nikki Moore <nikki@blm.gov>; Carli Bailey <bailey@blm.gov>; Robert Wick <robert@blm.gov>  
Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/DCE

Hi Adria, thanks for the note. I will make sure and include you on communications on the Verde project. Thanks for keeping me updated and letting me know what WFO/DO support might be needed as you move forward. I do have a question - I saw this project is identified on the priority project transmission of

Thanks,

Bretta Nelson

303.234.0539  
bretta@blm.gov

On Mon, Oct 3, 2016 at 2:21 PM, Adria Garcia <agarcia@blm.gov> wrote:  
Bretta,

I was provided the e-mail below from Mark Adler here at the BLM New Mexico Office. I wanted to let you know that I have been assigned as the Project Manager for the Verde Transmission Project. I'm also stationed here at the BLM New Mexico Office as well. Please ensure that you include me in all future e-mails, reg-  
is we start the preparation of the Draft DCE.

Adria Garcia

303.234.0539  
agarcia@blm.gov

From: Adler, Mark <mark@blm.gov>  
Sent: Monday, October 10, 2016 3:33 PM  
Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/DCE  
Dear Project RMP amendment/DCE

BLM New Mexico State Office  
303-564-2176  
m.adler@blm.gov

From: Nelson, Bretta <bretta@blm.gov>

Sent: Fri, Sep 30, 2016 at 11:41 AM  
Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/DCE  
To: Molly Cudde <molly@blm.gov>; "Adria, Mark A" <mark@blm.gov>; "Terrence Terry" <terry@blm.gov>; Peter Wahl <wahl@blm.gov>; Nikki Moore <nikki@blm.gov>; Carli Bailey <bailey@blm.gov>; Robert Wick <robert@blm.gov>; "Tad, Deborah A" <tdab@blm.gov>; Ryan Harkaway <rhark@blm.gov>  
Oops, sent before I hit the "reply" button.

On Fri, Sep 16, 2016 at 11:09 AM, Nelson, Bretta <bretta@blm.gov> wrote:  
Hi Molly, Mark, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment/DCE. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WFO/DO as the draft is being prep-

Our records show the following project team:  
3) The project area includes eligible and suitable lands with respect to the National Conservation Lands Act. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande from "Section 2" of the WMA and Bureau. Bureau has requires looking at "Treatment" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based  
2) The project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real de Tejas National & Old Spanish NHT's). The project needs to be considered per BLM 960200.

If there are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories, inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's Tax Field Office/RMP Amendment of 2012. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible GIS for this project.

Additional project information follows:

Thank,

Cell: 557  
Comments: Britta Nelson:  
10-06-06.  
Adrian Garcia  
Oct 4 (3 days a

to me, Maile, Deborah, Terrence, Molly, Peter, Nikki, Cathi, Robert

Realty Specialist/Project Manager  
Bureau of Land Management  
NM State Office  
(505) 954-2299

From: Nelson, Britta [mailto:[britta@bin.gu](mailto:britta@bin.gu)]  
Sent: Monday, October 02, 2016 2:12 PM  
To: Adrian Garcia  
Cc: Malle Adler; Deborah Salt; TERENCE (Terry) H

Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/EO

Hi Adrian, thanks for the note. I will make sure and include you on communications on the Verde project. Thanks for keeping me updated and letting me know what WO#10 support might be needed as you move forward. I do have a question - I saw this project is identified on the priority project transmission d

Thanks,

Britta Nelson  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands WFO  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.236.0539

On Mon, Oct 3, 2016 at 2:21 PM, Adrian Garcia <agarcia@tin.gva.es> wrote

Bullock,

I was provided the e-mail below from Malle Adler here at the BLM NM State Office. I wanted to let you know that I have been assigned as the Project Manager for the Verde Transmission Project. I'm also stationed here at the BLM NM State Office as well. Please ensure that you include me in all future e-mails regarding the preparation of the Draft EIS.

Thank you

Adrian Garcia  
Realty Specialist/Project Manager  
Bureau of Land Management  
NM State Office  
(505) 954-2199

From: Alier, Maria Louisa [maulier@lan.gov](mailto:maulier@lan.gov)  
 [REDACTED] 5:29 PM

Subject: Fed: Check in on the Verde Travel

Male Adipose

Acting National/Conservation Lands/Youth/VRM Program Lead  
BLM New Mexico State Office

**DATE OF AWARD**

\_\_\_\_\_

----- Forwarded message -----

1:14 AM

To: Molly Cobb [mccobb@bin.gao.gov](mailto:mccobb@bin.gao.gov), "Julie, Mail #1" [cmaier@bin.gao.gov](mailto:cmaier@bin.gao.gov), "Taraene (Tary) Hedin" [thedin@bin.gao.gov](mailto:thedin@bin.gao.gov), Peter Mall [pmall@bin.gao.gov](mailto:pmall@bin.gao.gov), Nikki Moore [nmoore@bin.gao.gov](mailto:nmoore@bin.gao.gov), Crisli Bailey [cbailey@bin.gao.gov](mailto:cbailey@bin.gao.gov), Robert Wick [rwick@bin.gao.gov](mailto:rwick@bin.gao.gov), "Lat, Deborah A" [ldebaur@bin.gao.gov](mailto:ldebaur@bin.gao.gov), Ryan Hathaway [rhathaw@bin.gao.gov](mailto:rhathaw@bin.gao.gov)

Oops, sent before I cc'd everyone! Revised.

Copyright © 2004 John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

© 2006 Blackwell Publishing Ltd, *Journal of Internal Medicine* 260: 101–108

AM, Nelson,

well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment/EIS. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WO410 as the draft is being prep

\_\_\_\_\_

© 2006 The Authors  
Journal compilation © 2006 Blackwell Publishing Ltd

2) The project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real de Tierra Adentro & Old Spanish NHT's). The project needs to be considered per BLM MC280.

2) There are no lands with wilderness character.

100

Thanks,

Britta Nelson  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands WFO  
Bureau of Land Management

203.236.0539

Cell 059

On Fri, Sep 20, 2016 at 11:09 AM, Nekon, Britta <[brittanekon@protonmail.com](mailto:brittanekon@protonmail.com)> wrote:

of Seattle, Seattle, and Tacoma. I have reviewed

Hi Molly, Maile, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a great week - wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment/SIS. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WDFW as the draft is being prepared.

1) the project area includes eligible and sui

2) The project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Carolina Red to Texas Adventure & Old Spanish NHT). The project needs to be considered per BLM 16C260.  
3) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's Tenth Circuit OPA/BLM Amendment of 2012. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible OIS for this project.  
Additional project information follows.

Call ID#  
Comment: Britta Nelson:  
Hi Bob,  
Hi Adrian, I hope you are well. I thought I would check in to see if there is a status update on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment that you could share. I am updating WOFAR's tracking file and wanted to make sure updates are included. Thanks!

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL) Unit

At this time, I'm not sure what our plans are relative to a WOI review of this draft OIS. I'll consult with our local management teams here in New Mexico and our NEPA Coordinator and get back to you on that question.

Adrian Garcia  
Verde Special Project Manager  
Bureau of Land Management  
NM State Office  
(505) 854-2299

From: Nelson, Britta (britta.nelson@blm.gov)  
Sent: Monday, October 01, 2018 4:43 PM  
To: Adrian Garcia  
Cc: Maria Adler (dabaker@blm.gov); Terrence (Terri) Healy (terri.healy@blm.gov); Peter Wad (peter.wad@blm.gov); Nikki Moore; Carli Bailey; Robert Wick

Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/OIS

Hi Adrian, thanks for the note. I will make sure and include you in communications on the Verde project. Thanks for keeping me updated and letting me know what WOI/OIS support might be needed as you move forward. I do have a question - I saw this project is identified on the priority project transmission of  
Thanks,

Britta Nelson  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL) Unit  
Bureau of Land Management  
NM State Office

On Mon, Oct 1, 2018 at 2:21 PM, Adrian Garcia <agarcia@blm.gov> wrote:  
Britta,

I was provided the e-mail below from Maria Adler here at the BLM NM State Office. I wanted to let you know that I have been assigned as the Project Manager for the Verde Transmission Project. I'm also stationed here at the BLM NM State Office as well. Please ensure that you include me in all future e-mailing as we start the preparation of the Draft OIS.

Thank you

Adrian Garcia  
Verde Special Project Manager  
Bureau of Land Management  
NM State Office  
(505) 854-2299

From: Adler, Maria (maria.adler@blm.gov)  
Sent: Monday, October 01, 2018 4:52 PM  
To: Adrian Garcia  
Subject: Fwd: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/OIS

Hi Adrian,

adler@blm.gov  
Verde Special Project Manager  
Bureau of Land Management  
NM State Office  
(505) 854-2299

From: Nelson, Britta (britta.nelson@blm.gov)  
Sent: Tuesday, October 02, 2018 11:11 AM  
Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/OIS

To: Maria Garcia (maria.garcia@blm.gov); Terri, Healy (terri.healy@blm.gov); Terrence (Terri) Healy (terri.healy@blm.gov); Peter Wad (peter.wad@blm.gov); Nikki Moore (nikki.moore@blm.gov); Carli Bailey (carli.bailey@blm.gov); Robert Wick (rob.wick@blm.gov); "Carli, Bailey et al." (carli.bailey@blm.gov); Ryan Harkness (rharkness@blm.gov)

Group: Verde Transmission Project (Verde Transmission Project)

From: Adler, Maria (maria.adler@blm.gov)  
Sent: Tuesday, October 02, 2018 11:11 AM  
Subject: Fwd: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/OIS

Our research shows the following project items:  
1) The project area includes rights and interests within the public lands of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande River. Section 7 of the WMA and Section 7 of the WMA and Section 7 of the WMA requires looking at "feet" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based

on the project area, the project area is located within the public lands of the Rio Grande. The project needs to be considered per BLM 16C260.

2) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's Tenth Circuit OPA/BLM Amendment of 2012. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible OIS for this project.

Additional project information follows.

Thanks,

Call ID#  
Comment: Britta Nelson:  
Hi Bob,  
Hi Adrian, I hope you are well. I thought I would check in to see if there is a status update on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment that you could share. I am updating WOFAR's tracking file and wanted to make sure updates are included. Thanks!

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL) Unit

To: Maria Adler (dabaker@blm.gov); Terrence (Terri) Healy (terri.healy@blm.gov); Peter Wad (peter.wad@blm.gov); Nikki Moore; Carli Bailey; Robert Wick

Britta,

At this time, I'm not sure what our plans are relative to a WOI review of this draft OIS. I'll consult with our local management teams here in New Mexico and our NEPA Coordinator and get back to you on that question.

Adrian Garcia

Berly Spaulding/Project Manager  
Bureau of Land Management  
BLM Santa Office  
(202) 624-2299

From: Nathan, Britta [mailto:nathan@blm.gov]  
Sent: Monday, October 10, 2016 3:43 PM  
To: Adrian Garcia  
Cc: Maria Adley, Deborah Lutz, Theresa [Theresa] Healy, Wendy Collins, Peter McEl, Niki Moore, Carli Bailey, Robert Wick

Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/DLS

Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands Section  
Bureau of Land Management  
BLM 200-0109

On Mon, Oct 10, 2016 at 2:21 PM, Adrian Garcia <agarcia@blm.gov> wrote:  
Britta,

I was provided the e-mail below from Maria Adley here at the BLM NM Santa Office. I wanted to let you know that I have been assigned as the Project Manager for the Verde Transmission Project. I'm also stationed here at the BLM NM Santa Office as well. Please ensure that you include me in all future e-mailing as we start the preparation of the DLS.

Thank you

Adrian Garcia  
Berly Spaulding/Project Manager  
Bureau of Land Management  
BLM Santa Office  
(202) 624-2299

From: Adley, Maria [mailto:maria@blm.gov]  
Sent: Monday, October 10, 2016 3:52 PM  
To: Adrian Garcia  
Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/DLS

Maria Adley  
Acting National Conservation Lands/NM/BLM Program Lead  
BLM New Mexico State Office  
SOS-654-2124  
madel@blm.gov

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Nathan, Britta [mailto:nathan@blm.gov]  
Sent: Friday, Sep 30, 2016 at 11:41 AM  
Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/DLS  
To: Maria Adley <madel@blm.gov>, Nathan, Britta [mailto:nathan@blm.gov], Theresa [Theresa] Healy [mailto:thehealy@blm.gov], Peter McEl <pmcel@blm.gov>, Niki Moore <nmoore@blm.gov>, Carli Bailey <cbaily@blm.gov>, Robert Wick <rwick@blm.gov>, "Tali, Deborah A." <tdel@blm.gov>, Ryan Harkaway <rha@blm.gov>  
[mailto:ryan.harkaway@blm.gov]

On Fri, Sep 30, 2016 at 11:40 AM, Nathan, Britta <nathan@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Maria, Nathan, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a great week! I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment/DLS. I am hoping you can help me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WFOAS as the draft is being prep

Our records show the following project team:

Adrian Garcia <agarcia@blm.gov> is the lead on the DLS. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande. Section 7 of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act requires looking at "increment" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based on the historical trail (the Camino Real de Tierra Adentro & Old Spanish Natchez), the project needs to be considered per BLM 160260.

Additional characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's, Tucson Office's RMP Amendment of 2012. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible DLS for this project. Additional project information follows.

Thanks,

Britta Nathan  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands Section  
BLM 200-0109

CC: [redacted]

Comment: [redacted]

Hi Maria, Nathan, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a great week! I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment/DLS. I am hoping you can help me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WFOAS as the draft is being prep

Our records show the following project team:

Adrian Garcia <agarcia@blm.gov> is the lead on the DLS. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande. Section 7 of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act requires looking at "increment" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based on the historical trail (the Camino Real de Tierra Adentro & Old Spanish Natchez), the project needs to be considered per BLM 160260.

Additional characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's, Tucson Office's RMP Amendment of 2012. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible DLS for this project. Additional project information follows.

CC: [redacted]

Comment: Britta Nathan

Hi Adrian, I hope you are well. I thought I would check in to see if there is a status update on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment that you could share. I am updating WFOAS's tracking files and wanted to make sure updates are included. Thanks!

Britta Nathan, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL) Section  
Bureau of Land Management  
BLM 200-0109

On Tue, Oct 4, 2016 at 6:38 AM, Adrian Garcia <agarcia@blm.gov> wrote:  
Britta,

At this time, I'm not sure what our plans are relative to a WFO review of the draft EIS. I'll consult with our local management team here in New Mexico and our NEPA Coordinator and get back to you on that question.

Adrian Garcia  
Battis Specialist/Project Manager  
Bureau of Land Management  
New Mexico Office  
(505) 654-2199

From: Nathan, Britta (britta.nathan@blm.gov)  
Sent: Monday, October 20, 2014 4:14 PM  
To: Adrian Garcia  
Cc: Maria Adler; Deborah Lutz; Terrence (Terrence) Moody; Colleen Peters; Heidi Wink; Rebecca; Carrie Bailey; Robert Wick

Thanks,

Britta Nathan  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands WFO/EO  
Bureau of Land Management  
203-238-2539

On Mon, Oct 1, 2014 at 2:21 PM, Adrian Garcia <agarcia@blm.gov> wrote:  
Britta,

I just provided the e-mail below from Maria Adler here at the BLM NM State Office. I wanted to let you know that I have been assigned as the Project Manager for the Verde Transmission Project. I'm also stationed here at the BLM NM State Office as well. Please email or text me in if future e-mails ring as we start the preparation of the Draft EIS.

Thank you

Adrian Garcia  
Battis Specialist/Project Manager  
Bureau of Land Management  
New Mexico Office  
(505) 654-2199

From: Adler, Maria (maria.adler@blm.gov)  
Sent: Monday, October 20, 2014 1:52 PM  
To: Adrian Garcia  
Subject: Fwd: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/EIS

Maria Adler  
Acting National Conservation Lands/Trade/Trade/Program Lead  
BLM New Mexico State Office  
505-654-2176  
m.adler@blm.gov

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Nathan, Britta (britta.nathan@blm.gov)

Sent: Friday, Sep 26, 2014 10:43 AM  
To: Adrian Garcia

Subject: Fwd: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/EIS

Chaps, sent before I got my computer fixed.

On Fri, Sep 26, 2014 at 11:09 AM, Nathan, Britta (britta.nathan@blm.gov) wrote:

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Nathan, Britta (britta.nathan@blm.gov)

Sent: Friday, Sep 26, 2014 10:43 AM  
To: Adrian Garcia

Subject: Fwd: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/EIS

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Nathan, Britta (britta.nathan@blm.gov)

Sent: Friday, Sep 26, 2014 10:43 AM  
To: Adrian Garcia

Subject: Fwd: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/EIS

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Nathan, Britta (britta.nathan@blm.gov)

Sent: Friday, Sep 26, 2014 10:43 AM  
To: Adrian Garcia

Subject: Fwd: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/EIS

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Nathan, Britta (britta.nathan@blm.gov)

Sent: Friday, Sep 26, 2014 10:43 AM  
To: Adrian Garcia

Subject: Fwd: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/EIS

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Nathan, Britta (britta.nathan@blm.gov)

Sent: Friday, Sep 26, 2014 10:43 AM  
To: Adrian Garcia

Subject: Fwd: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/EIS

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Nathan, Britta (britta.nathan@blm.gov)

Sent: Friday, Sep 26, 2014 10:43 AM  
To: Adrian Garcia

Subject: Fwd: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/EIS

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Nathan, Britta (britta.nathan@blm.gov)

Sent: Friday, Sep 26, 2014 10:43 AM  
To: Adrian Garcia

Subject: Fwd: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/EIS

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Nathan, Britta (britta.nathan@blm.gov)

Sent: Friday, Sep 26, 2014 10:43 AM  
To: Adrian Garcia

Subject: Fwd: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/EIS

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Nathan, Britta (britta.nathan@blm.gov)

Sent: Friday, Sep 26, 2014 10:43 AM  
To: Adrian Garcia

Subject: Fwd: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/EIS

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Nathan, Britta (britta.nathan@blm.gov)

Sent: Friday, Sep 26, 2014 10:43 AM  
To: Adrian Garcia

Subject: Fwd: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/EIS

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Nathan, Britta (britta.nathan@blm.gov)

Sent: Friday, Sep 26, 2014 10:43 AM  
To: Adrian Garcia

Subject: Fwd: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/EIS

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Nathan, Britta (britta.nathan@blm.gov)

Sent: Friday, Sep 26, 2014 10:43 AM  
To: Adrian Garcia

Subject: Fwd: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/EIS

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Nathan, Britta (britta.nathan@blm.gov)

Sent: Friday, Sep 26, 2014 10:43 AM  
To: Adrian Garcia

Subject: Fwd: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/EIS

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Nathan, Britta (britta.nathan@blm.gov)

Sent: Friday, Sep 26, 2014 10:43 AM  
To: Adrian Garcia

Subject: Fwd: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/EIS

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Nathan, Britta (britta.nathan@blm.gov)

Sent: Friday, Sep 26, 2014 10:43 AM  
To: Adrian Garcia

Subject: Fwd: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/EIS

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Nathan, Britta (britta.nathan@blm.gov)

Sent: Friday, Sep 26, 2014 10:43 AM  
To: Adrian Garcia

Subject: Fwd: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/EIS

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Nathan, Britta (britta.nathan@blm.gov)

Sent: Friday, Sep 26, 2014 10:43 AM  
To: Adrian Garcia

Subject: Fwd: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/EIS

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Nathan, Britta (britta.nathan@blm.gov)

Sent: Friday, Sep 26, 2014 10:43 AM  
To: Adrian Garcia

Subject: Fwd: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/EIS

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Nathan, Britta (britta.nathan@blm.gov)

Sent: Friday, Sep 26, 2014 10:43 AM  
To: Adrian Garcia

Subject: Fwd: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/EIS





3) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's Tux Trawl Office's RMP Amendment of 2012. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible GIS for the project.

Additional project information follows:

Call: 505  
Comment: 5/15/2016

Hi Adam, I hope you are well! I thought I would check in to see if there is a status update on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment that you could share. I am updating WCA's tracking file and wanted to make sure updates are included. Thanks!

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-405)  
Bureau of Land Management

At this time, I'm not sure what our plans are relative to a WCA review of the draft EIS. I'll connect with our local management teams here in New Mexico and our NCL Coordinator and get back to you on that question.

Adam Garcia  
Bureau of Land Management  
Bureau of Land Management  
NCL State Office  
(202) 654-2199

From: Nelson, Britta (britta.nelson@blm.gov)

Sent: Monday, October 10, 2016 3:43 PM

To: Adam Garcia

Cc: Mark Allen; Catherine Lutz; Terrence (Terri) Healy; Wally Collier; Peter Wals; Nick Moore; Carli Bailey; Robert Wick

Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/GIS

Hi Adam, Thanks for the note. I will make sure and include you on communications on the Verde project. Thanks for keeping me updated and letting me know when WCA's support might be needed as you move forward. I do have a question - I saw this project is identified on the priority project transmission of

Thanks,

Britta Nelson  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-405)  
Bureau of Land Management  
202-219-2199

On Mon, Oct 10, 2016 at 2:21 PM, Adam Garcia <agarcia@blm.gov> wrote:

Britta,

I was provided the email below from Mike Allen here at the BLM NM State Office. I wanted to let you know that I have been assigned as the Project Manager for the Verde Transmission Project. I'm also stationed here at the BLM NM State Office as well. Please email me if all future e-mails reg

as we start the preparation of the draft EIS.

Thank you

Adam Garcia  
Bureau of Land Management  
Bureau of Land Management  
NCL State Office  
(202) 654-2199

From: Nelson, Britta (britta.nelson@blm.gov)

Sent: Monday, October 10, 2016 3:43 PM

To: Adam Garcia

Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/GIS

Hi Adam,

Acting National Conservation Lands/Verde/Program Lead

adam.garcia@blm.gov

adam@blm.gov

From: Garcia, Adam (adam.garcia@blm.gov)

Sent: Fri, Sep 30, 2016 at 11:18 AM

Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/GIS

To: Mark Allen; catherine.lutz@blm.gov; Terrence (Terri) Healy; Wally Collier; Peter Wals; adam@blm.gov; Nick Moore; carli.bailey@blm.gov; Robert Wick; mark@blm.gov; britta.nelson@blm.gov; Ryan.Hallway@blm.gov

Oops, sent before I hit my personal Record.

adam.garcia@blm.gov wrote:

Thanks,

Call: 505  
Comment: 5/15/2016

Hi Adam, I hope you are well! I thought I would check in to see if there is a status update on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment that you could share. I am updating WCA's tracking file and wanted to make sure updates are included. Thanks!

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-405)  
Bureau of Land Management

At this time, I'm not sure what our plans are relative to a WCA review of the draft EIS. I'll connect with our local management teams here in New Mexico and our NCL Coordinator and get back to you on that question.

Adam Garcia  
Bureau of Land Management



Sincerely great. Thanks for the update and updating the links.

Sally

Sent from my iPhone

Call: 2/28  
Comment: Britta Nelson  
On Fri, Sep 25, 2015 at 11:03 AM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Molly, Maile, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment/DLS. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WFO as the draft is being prep

2) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics pending inventory. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's Two Draft Office RMP Amendment of 2012. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible DLS for this project.

Additional project information follows:

Call: 2/28  
Comment: Britta Nelson  
On Fri, Sep 25, 2015 at 11:03 AM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:  
Hi Molly, Maile, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment/DLS. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WFO as the draft is being prep

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL) Unit  
Bureau of Land Management  
201-214-2539

On Tue, Oct 6, 2015 at 6:34 AM, Britta Nelson <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:

Britta,

As this DLS, I'm not sure what our plans are relative to a WFO review of this draft DLS. I'll consult with our local management team here in New Mexico and our WFO Coordinator and get back to you on that question.

Britta Nelson  
Bridgette Hunsicker/Project Manager  
Bureau of Land Management  
NCL State Office  
(202) 954-2295

From: Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov>

Sent: Monday, October 12, 2015 3:15 PM

To: Britta Nelson

Cc: Maile Adler; Britta Nelson; Terry; Veronica (Terry) Hunsicker; Maile Cudde; Peter Wink; WFO; Maile; Terry; Robert Wick

Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/DLS

Hi Britta, thanks for the info. I will make sure and include you on communications on the Verde project. Thanks for keeping me updated and letting me know what WFO/DLS support might be needed as you move forward. I do have a question - I saw this project is identified on the priority project transmission of

Thanks,

Britta Nelson  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL) Unit

On Mon, Oct 5, 2015 at 2:17 PM, Britta Nelson <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:

Britta,

I was provided the e-mail below from Maile Adler here at the BLM NM State Office. I wanted to let you know that I have been assigned as the Project Manager for the Verde Transmission Project. I'm also stationed here at the BLM NM State Office as well. Please ensure that you include me in all future e-mails re: as we start the preparation of the Draft DLS.

Thank you

Bridgette Hunsicker/Project Manager

From: Adler, Maile <maile.adler@blm.gov>

Sent: Monday, October 12, 2015 3:15 PM

To: Britta Nelson

Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/DLS

Following National Conservation Lands (NCL) Project Manager Lead

201-954-2136

maile@blm.gov

Forwarded message

Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/DLS

To: Maile Cudde <mcudde@blm.gov>; "Adler, Maile" <maile@blm.gov>; "Veronica (Terry) Hunsicker" <thunsick@blm.gov>; Peter Wink <pwink@blm.gov>; WFO; Maile; cmaile@blm.gov; Britta Nelson <bnelson@blm.gov>; Robert Wick <rwick@blm.gov>; "Tate, Deborah A." <dabate@blm.gov>; Ryan Harkaway <rharkaw@blm.gov>

Oops, sent before I hit "reply" button.

On Fri, Sep 25, 2015 at 11:03 AM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Molly, Maile, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment/DLS. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WFO as the draft is being prep

Our records show the following project items:

1) The project was included in the 2012 National Conservation Lands (NCL) Inventory including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande River. Section 7 of the WMA and BLM's River Act requires looking at "success" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based

2) The project would cross the National Historical Trail (the Camino Real de Tierra Adentro & Old Spanish NHT). The project needs to be considered per BLM NHTS.

3) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics pending inventory. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's Two Draft Office RMP Amendment of 2012. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible DLS for this project.



Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-615)  
Bureau of Land Management  
505 554-1000

On Thu, Mar 16, 2017 at 4:00 PM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:

National Conservation Lands Program, a few updates on the Section 308 Corridor review:  
a webinar for the review of the Section 308 Energy Corridor Region 1 Recommendations Report is scheduled for March 26.  
A three week review of the Draft Report by BLM/FDQ/State Management, WFO Program Leads and Stateholder Federal Agency Leads/Agencies planned for March 25th through April 14th.  
Following up on Bob's suggestion, I've reached out to 308 project folks for GIS layers of the corridor.  
Please let me know if you have any questions. Thanks.

Date: Thu, Mar 16, 2017 at 3:45 PM  
Subject: Question re: GIS layers for Section 668 Energy Corridor Region I draft review report  
To: "Smale, Georgeann" <gsma1e@tels.gov>; Michael Wildner <mhwildner@tels.gov>

Hi Georgmann and Michael, thanks again for meeting with me earlier this month to discuss WD410's review of the Section 368 Energy Corridor Region 1 draft review report. Following up, can WD410 get GIS layer(s) of the corridors so we can check against our layers to determine which units are affected? Thanks

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-432)  
Bureau of Land Management

----- Forwarded message -----  
 From: Nathan, Brita [brita@kshnco.com](mailto:brita@kshnco.com)  
 Date: Fri, Mar 3, 2017 at 12:47 PM  
 Subject: WD Review of Section 244 Energy Corridor Region 1 draft review report  
 To: Robert Wick [crack@bim.gov](mailto:crack@bim.gov), Timothy Fisher [tfisher@bim.gov](mailto:tfisher@bim.gov), "Sait, Deborah A" [dsait@bim.gov](mailto:dsait@bim.gov), "Bailey, Cathi M" [cbailey@bim.gov](mailto:cbailey@bim.gov)  
 Cc: Peter Mall [pmail@bim.gov](mailto:pmail@bim.gov), Sathykumar [csathy@bim.gov](mailto:csathy@bim.gov)

WDO review of the draft report is scheduled for March 15-30. A review kick-off webinar is supported for March 15. The review will be a simultaneous SGO-WDO review. Information has been gathered from the SoCoOs, other agencies, stakeholders, and tribes for the report. The draft review report includes a summary of public comments on policy issues and if the decisions or recommendations in the draft report are supported. The SoCo's with the notice package will follow the review.

As a refresher, here is a press release about the Section 348 Energy Corridor Regional Review: [https://www.bln.gov/wp/vt/en/info/newsroom/2016/september/se\\_09\\_02\\_2016.html](https://www.bln.gov/wp/vt/en/info/newsroom/2016/september/se_09_02_2016.html)

Please let me know if you have any questions at this point. Thanks,

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-632)  
Bureau of Land Management

On Fri, Sep 22, 2016 at 11:09 AM, Niekas, Britta <bniekas@bing.com> wrote:

Hi Mally, Maile, and Tanya, I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment/EIS. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WO410 as the draft is being prepared.

1) the project area includes eligible and suitable WSR streams including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande near Section 3 of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act requires looking at "increment" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Because the project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real de Tierra Adentro & Old Spanish NHT), the project needs to be considered per BLM M320.

2) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's Tax Delic Office RMP Amendment of 2012. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible GIS for this project.

Additional online information follows:

602-65-151

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] I thought I would check in to see if there is a status update on the Verde Transportation project amendment that you could share. I am updating WOEIS's tracking file and wanted to make sure updates are included. Thanks!

[REDACTED]

Kind regards,  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-418)  
Business Development  
803.226.6129

On Tue, Oct 4, 2016 at 6:34 AM, Adrian Garcia <agarcia@lin.gva.es> wrote:

submit to a 90-minute review of the draft DE. If you wish, you can consult with our form assessment team here in New Mexico and our NEPA Coordinator and get back to you on that as well.

2000

NM State Office  
 (505) 954-3289

From: Nelson, Britta [mailto:[britta.nelson@blm.gov](mailto:britta.nelson@blm.gov)]

\_\_\_\_\_

CC: Maura Auler, Deborah Sato, Terrence (1419) Heelin, Molly Cobble, Peter Mal, Nikki Moore, Candi Bailey, Robert Wick

Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project BPP amendment/US

Hi Adrian, thanks for the note. I will make sure and include you on communications on the Verde project. Thanks for keeping me updated and letting me know what WDOI support might be needed as you move forward. I do have a question - I saw this project is identified on the priority project transmission d

Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands WFO  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.236.0519

On Mon, Oct 3, 2016 at 2:21 PM, Adrian Garcia <agarcia@dm.unl.edu> wrote:

As we start the preparation of the Draft EIS.

Thank you

Adrian Garcia  
Nately Specialized Project Manager  
Bureau of Land Management  
BLM State Office  
(202) 534-2129

From: Adria, Maria [mailto:adriamaria@blm.gov]

Nately Adria  
Acting National Conservation Lands/Healthy/WRM Program Lead  
BLM New Mexico State Office  
505-654-2129  
nately@blm.gov

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Nately, Maria [mailto:nately@blm.gov]  
Sent: Fri, Sep 26, 2020 at 11:14 AM  
Subject: Re: Check to see the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/DO  
To: Nately, Adria [mailto:adriamaria@blm.gov]; Nately, Maria [mailto:adriamaria@blm.gov]; "Terrence [Terri] Healy" [mailto:thel@blm.gov]; Peter Healy [mailto:phealy@blm.gov]; Niki Moore [mailto:nmoore@blm.gov]; Carli Bailey [mailto:cbailey@blm.gov]; Robert Wick [mailto:rwick@blm.gov]; "Tad, Deborah A" [mailto:adob@blm.gov]; Ryan Harkness [mailto:rhark@blm.gov]  
Ciao, until before I go to my personal email.

On Fri, Sep 25, 2020 at 11:09 AM, Nately, Maria [mailto:nately@blm.gov] wrote:

Hi Nately, Adria, and Terri - I hope you are all well and have had a good week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment/DO. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WSD as the draft is being prep.

Our mission is to have the following project items:

- 1) The project area includes rights and interests WSD owns including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande River. Section 7 of the WMA and Section 9(a) requires looking at "historic" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based
- 2) The project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real de Tierra Adentro & Old Spanish NHT). The project needs to be considered per BLM NHTS.
- 3) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's, Tucson Field Office's RMP Amendment of 2012. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible EIS for this project.

Additional project information follows.

Thanks,

Calli F21

Comment: Nately Nately

5/2/2020  
Adrian Garcia  
Calli F21 (Reply)  
To: Maria, Nately, Terrence, Nately, Maria, Nately, Carli, Robert

Hi Nately, Adria, and Terri - I hope you are all well and have had a good week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment/DO. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WSD as the draft is being prep.

Adrian Garcia  
Nately Specialized Project Manager  
Bureau of Land Management  
BLM State Office  
(202) 534-2129

From: Adria, Maria [mailto:adriamaria@blm.gov]

5/2/2020

From: Nately, Adria [mailto:nately@blm.gov]; Terrence [Terri] Healy [mailto:thel@blm.gov]; Peter Healy [mailto:phealy@blm.gov]; Niki Moore [mailto:nmoore@blm.gov]; Carli Bailey [mailto:cbailey@blm.gov]; Robert Wick [mailto:rwick@blm.gov]; "Tad, Deborah A" [mailto:adob@blm.gov]; Ryan Harkness [mailto:rhark@blm.gov]

Subject: Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/DO

Hi Nately, Adria, and Terri - I hope you are all well and have had a good week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment/DO. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WSD as the draft is being prep.

Thanks,

Nately Nately

5/2/2020

Comment: Nately Nately

5/2/2020

I am providing the e-mail below from Maria Adria to you to let you know that I have been assigned as the Project Manager for the Verde Transmission Project. I'm also stationed here at the BLM New Mexico State Office as well. Please email me if I have e-mailing.

As we start the preparation of the Draft EIS.

Adrian Garcia  
Nately Specialized Project Manager  
Bureau of Land Management  
BLM State Office  
(202) 534-2129

From: Adria, Maria [mailto:adriamaria@blm.gov]  
Sent: Monday, October 19, 2020 at 3:57 PM  
To: Adrian Garcia  
Subject: Final Check to see the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/DO

Nately Adria  
Acting National Conservation Lands/Healthy/WRM Program Lead  
BLM New Mexico State Office

505-654-2124  
mvaldes@blm.gov

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Nelson, Britta (briannelson@blm.gov)  
Date: Fri, Sep 16, 2016 at 11:14 AM  
Subject: Re: Check to see the Verde Transmission Project BWP amendments/DLS  
To: Mark Gable (mgable@blm.gov), "John, Kelly" (jvaldes@blm.gov), "Thomas (Terry) Healy" (tvaldes@blm.gov), Peter Reid (preid@blm.gov), Nick Moore (nmoore@blm.gov), Chris Kelley (ckelley@blm.gov), Robert Webb (rwebb@blm.gov), "Tad, Deborah" (dvaldes@blm.gov), Ryan Harkway (rharkway@blm.gov)  
Cindy, can before I do a personal Recall.

[REDACTED]

Our records show the following project team:  
1) The project area includes eligible and suitable WCA streams including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande River. Section 7 of the WMA and Sonoran Waters Act requires looking at "Treatment" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based  
2) The project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real de Tierra Adentro & Old Spanish NHT's). The project needs to be considered per BLM 106280.  
3) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's, Tussock Ditch+RMP Amendment of 2012. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible GSI for this project.

Additional project information follows.

Thanks,  
Britta Nelson  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL) Unit  
Bureau of Land Management  
505-234-6519

Call 5:17  
Comments: Britta Nelson  
Follow up to update re: review of Section 108 Energy Corridor Region 1 Recommendations Report

Nelson, Britta (briannelson@blm.gov)  
9:11 AM (5 hours ago)

To: Kelly, Peter; Connelly, Robert; Curtis, Deborah  
National Conservation Lands Programs, another rough update on the Section 108 Energy Corridor Region 1 Recommendations Report follow. First, the 108 Corridor Team has indicated we can expect to see the draft report for review on March 21.  
Next, in response to a question about GSI maps, the corridors and National Conservation Lands units have been engaged on the on the 108 map(s) found at: <http://dmg.mn.us.gov/section108/pdfs/>, or we can request the GSI maps. If you would like the maps, please let me know and I will follow up.

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL) Unit  
Bureau of Land Management  
505-234-6519

On Thu, Mar 16, 2017 at 4:00 PM, Britta (briannelson@blm.gov) wrote:  
National Conservation Lands Programs, a key update on the Section 108 Corridor review:  
a website for the review of the Section 108 Energy Corridor Region 1 Recommendations Report is scheduled for March 20.  
A three week review of the Draft Report by BLM 108/108/108 Management, BLM Program units and stakeholder Related Agency Lands/Region is planned for March 20th through April 14th.  
A draft report will be submitted to the review team for review and to BLM project files for GSI maps of the corridors.  
Corridors, Thanks.

Britta  
----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Nelson, Britta (briannelson@blm.gov)  
Date: Thu, Mar 16, 2017 at 4:00 PM  
Subject: Question re: GSI Maps for Section 108 Energy Corridor Region 1 draft review report  
To: "Terry, Gregory" (ggregory@blm.gov), Michael (michael-mvaldes@blm.gov)  
mvaldes@blm.gov  
The map is available at the following link: <http://dmg.mn.us.gov/section108/pdfs/>

Hi Gregory and Michael: Thanks again for meeting with me earlier this month to discuss WCA/108's review of the Section 108 Energy Corridor Region 1 draft review report. Following up, can WCA/108 get GSI maps(s) of the corridors so we can check against our maps to determine which units are affected? Thanks!

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL) Unit  
Bureau of Land Management  
505-234-6519

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Nelson, Britta (briannelson@blm.gov)  
Date: Fri, Sep 16, 2016 at 11:14 AM  
Subject: Question re: GSI Maps for Section 108 Energy Corridor Region 1 draft review report  
To: "Terry, Gregory" (ggregory@blm.gov), "Tad, Deborah" (dvaldes@blm.gov), "Kelly, Chris" (ckelley@blm.gov)  
ckelley@blm.gov

[REDACTED]

National Conservation Lands Programs, a draft heads up about the 108 review of the Section 108 Energy Corridor Region 1 draft review report. The report focuses on review of energy corridors in southern California, southern Nevada, and western Arizona.  
WCA/108 review of the draft report is scheduled for March 20. The review will be a continuous 10/10/10 review. Information has been gathered from the 10/10/10, other agencies, stakeholders, and others for the report. The draft review report includes a summary of public comment on policy issues and the decision on recommendations.  
Function 108 Energy Corridor Regional Review: [http://www.blm.gov/wr/crs/crslr/wwwcom/2016/September/cr\\_108\\_2016.html](http://www.blm.gov/wr/crs/crslr/wwwcom/2016/September/cr_108_2016.html)

Please let me know if you have any questions at this point. Thanks,  
Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL) Unit  
Bureau of Land Management  
505-234-6519

Call 5:17  
Comments: Britta Nelson  
On Fri, Sep 16, 2016 at 11:09 AM, Nelson, Britta (briannelson@blm.gov) wrote:  
Hi Molly, Mike, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project BWP amendments/DLS. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WCA/108 as the draft is being prep.  
Our records show the following project team:  
1) The project area includes eligible and suitable WCA streams including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande River. Section 7 of the WMA and Sonoran Waters Act requires looking at "Treatment" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based  
2) The project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real de Tierra Adentro & Old Spanish NHT's). The project needs to be considered per BLM 106280.

i) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's Tax Field Office/RMP Amendment of 2012. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible GIS for this project.

Additional project information follows.

Col: D17

Comments: B17a Nelson:

02-07-07

Hi Adrian, I hope you are well! I thought I would check in to see if there is a status update on the Veda Transmission project RMP amendment that you could share. I am updating WCAHS's tracking file and wanted to make sure updates are included. Thanks!

Adrian Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-400)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.236.0109

Adrian Garcia  
Nealty Specialist/Project Manager  
Bureau of Land Management  
MD State Office  
(505) 654-2299

From: Nathan, Britta [mailto:bnathan@blm.gov]  
Sent: Monday, October 08, 2012 3:43 PM  
To: Adrian Garcia

CC: Mafie Arad, Deborah Salt, Terrence (Terry) Heald, Mully Collins, Peter Mill, Nikki Moore, Carli Bailey, Robert Wick

Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project WMP amendments/DIS

Hi Aaron, Thanks for the note. I will make sure to include you on communications for the Verde project. Thanks for helping me understand and getting me to know what HHS/DOI support might be needed as you move forward. If you have a question - I can give you the project ID on the priority project transmittal ID.

Thanks,

Betha Nelson  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands Division  
Bureau of Land Management  
901 274-6300

On Mon, Oct 3, 2016, at 2:21 PM, Ashton Garcia <agarcia@blm.gov> wrote:  
Betha,

I have provided this email from Betha Nelson from the BLM NM State Office. I wanted to let you know that I have been assigned as the Project Manager for the Verde Transmittal Project. I'm also stationed here at the BLM NM State Office as well. Please advise that you include me in all future email requests.

Adrian Garcia  
Realty Specialist/Project Manager  
Bureau of Land Management  
NM State Office  
(505) 654-3299

From: [REDACTED] (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(D) [mailto:[REDACTED]@hhs.gov]  
Sent: Monday, October 06, 2008 1:52 PM  
To: Adrian Garcia  
Subject: Ford. Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment, GSE

Male Adler  
Acting National Conservation Lands/Youth/Volld Program Lead

[REDACTED]@gmail.com  
 Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/OS  
 To: Mike Cobb [cobbmike@tlin.gov](mailto:cobbmike@tlin.gov), "John, Mike K" [kramer@tlin.gov](mailto:kramer@tlin.gov), "Teresa (Teri) Smith" [smith@tlin.gov](mailto:smith@tlin.gov), Peter Malt [pma@tlin.gov](mailto:pma@tlin.gov), Will Moore [moorew@tlin.gov](mailto:moorew@tlin.gov), Chris Bailey [cbaily@tlin.gov](mailto:cbaily@tlin.gov), Robert Wick [rwick@tlin.gov](mailto:rwick@tlin.gov), "Liz, Deborah K" [deborak@tlin.gov](mailto:deborak@tlin.gov), Ryan Hathaway [hathay@tlin.gov](mailto:hathay@tlin.gov)  
 Date: 11/11/2014 11:14 AM  
 Click, sent before I could anyone! Respond.

Hi Kevin, Matt, and Mary - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Yorke Transmission project RFP amendment (JLR). I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WDR II as the draft is being gmp

2) The project would cross two National Historical Trails, [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] (New Mexico & Old Spanish NHT's). The project needs to be considered per NHP 166280.

Thanks,

Calli (77)

Comment: Entry below:

10:40 AM

Adrian Garcia

Oct 13 (Wed ago)

to me, Madala, Delacruz, Terence, Mady, Felix, Nelli, Cami, Robert

Re: [REDACTED]

At this time, I'm not sure what our plans are related to the draft EIS. I'll consult with our local management team here in New Mexico and our NEPA Coordinator and get to you on that question.

Adrian Garcia

Re: [REDACTED]

Re: [REDACTED]

Re: [REDACTED]





Date: Thu, Mar 16, 2017 at 3:45 PM  
Subject: Question re G/L Report for Section 308 Energy Corridor Region 1 draft review report  
To: "Teresa, Suzanne" <sguard@blm.gov>, Michael Johnson <mjohnson@blm.gov>  
Cc: Sally Kucis <skucis@blm.gov>, Peter Mat <pmat@blm.gov>

Hi Suzanne and Michael, Thanks again for meeting with me earlier this month to discuss MCH2's review of the Section 308 Energy Corridor Region 1 draft review report. Following up, can WOLRD get G/L Report(s) if the corridor is we can check against our report to determine which units are affected? Thanks!

Krista Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-400)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.216.2518

Cc: Peter Mat <pmat@blm.gov>, Sally Kucis <skucis@blm.gov>

National Conservation Lands Programs, a quick heads up about the 901 review of the Section 308 Energy Corridor Region 1 draft review report. The report focuses on review of energy corridors in southern California, southern Nevada, and western Arizona.

WOLRD review of the draft report is scheduled for March 28-30. A review Web of website is planned for March 30. The review will be a continuous 10/2017 review. Information has been gathered from the G/L Report, other agencies, stakeholders, and others for the report. The draft review report includes a summary of public comment on public issues and the findings or recommendations in the draft review report are requested. The G/L Report will be the review package will follow the review.

As a reference, here is a press release about the Section 308 Energy Corridor Regional Review: [http://www.blm.gov/wolrd/press/pressroom/2016/06/06/20160606\\_06\\_20\\_2016.html](http://www.blm.gov/wolrd/press/pressroom/2016/06/06/20160606_06_20_2016.html)

Please let me know if you have any questions at this point. Thanks.

Krista Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-400)  
Bureau of Land Management

Call: 303

Comments: Krista Nelson:

in update WOLRD use with WOLRD on April 1 to address questions raised for how National Trails were addressed in the draft review report (information generated for conflict). Confirmation is provided that the draft review report uses WOLRD for information concerning actions from WOLRD 2012-2013. The screening criteria is used to assess the National policy, the BLM may provide one that not substantially interfere with the criteria and purpose of the National Trails. The final rule and WOLRD 2012-2013 (2012-2013) provides screening criteria for categorizing value and avoid applications. The final rule does not specifically include National Trails without rule and WOLRD because they are parts of the National Conservation Lands.

Comments: Krista Nelson:

On Fri, Sep 16, 2016 at 1:09 PM, Krista Nelson <knelson@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Molly, Molly, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment (G/L). I am hoping you can help me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WOLRD as the draft is being prep.

Our records show the following project items:

1) The project area includes WOLRD and includes WOLRD resources including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande near Section 7 of the WOLRD and Santa Maria Act requires looking at "increased" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated area. Based

2) The project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real de Tierra Adentro & Old Spanish NHT). The project needs to be considered per WOLRD 1962/80.

3) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's Tenth Forest (BMP) Amendment of 2013. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible G/L for this project.

Additional project information follows:

Call: 303

Comments: Krista Nelson:

Hi Suzanne, Krista Nelson: I would check in to see if there is a status update on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment that you could share. I am updating WOLRD's tracking files and wanted to make sure updates are included. Thanks!

Krista Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-400)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.216.2518

On Tue, Oct 4, 2016 at 9:50 AM, Krista Nelson <knelson@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Krista Nelson: I am interested in a WOLRD review of the draft G/L. If possible, we can discuss management plans here in New Mexico and our WOLRD Coordinator and get back to you on that question.

Mat Kucis <matkucis@blm.gov>

Mat Kucis Office

(303) 216-2518

From: Krista Nelson <knelson@blm.gov>

Sent: Monday, October 10, 2016 3:43 PM

To: Krista Nelson

Re: Krista Nelson (Crista) Nelson, Molly Gaddy, Peter Mat, WOLRD, Krista Nelson, Krista Nelson, Robert WOLRD

On Project RMP amendment/2012

Thanks.

Krista Nelson

Management and Program Analyst

303.216.2518

On Mon, Oct 3, 2016 at 2:21 PM, Krista Nelson <knelson@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Krista,

I was provided the e-mail before from Molly Nelson to let you know that I have been assigned as the Project Manager for the Verde Transmission Project. I'm also stationed here at the BLM NM State Office on work. Please ensure that you include me in all future e-mailing as we start the preparation of the draft G/L.

Thank you

Adrian Garcia

Verde Project Manager

Bureau of Land Management

NM State Office

(505) 654-2299

From: Adria, Maida [mailto:maida@blm.gov]  
Sent: Monday, October 28, 2019 1:52 PM  
To: Adria, Maida  
Subject: Final Check on the Verde Transmission Project RMP Amendment/DLS

Maida Adria  
Acting National Conservation Lands/Verde/RMP Program Lead  
BLM New Mexico State Office  
505-654-8124  
maida@blm.gov

[REDACTED]

Oops, sent before I hit my copy/paste button.

On Fri, Sep 20, 2019 at 11:09 AM, Nathan, Britta [mailto:nathan@blm.gov] wrote:  
Hi Maida, Maida, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP Amendment/DLS. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from NCEM as the DLS is being prep.  
Our recent show the following project issues:  
1) The project area includes eligible and suitable WMA resources including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande River. Section 7 of the WMA and Sonoran Desert requires looking at "Treatment" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based  
2) The project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real de Tierra Adentro & Old Spanish NHT). The project needs to be considered per BLM NCEM.  
3) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's Tucson Field Office RMP Amendment of 2012. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible DLS for this project.  
Additional project information follows:

Thanks,

Britta

Adria, Maida  
Adria, Maida  
On 11/1/19 (Thu AM)  
To me, Maida, Deborah, Terrence, Maida, Peter, Niki, Carol, Robert  
Britta,

At this time, I'm not sure what our plans are relative to a WMA review of the draft DLS. I'll consult with our local management team here in New Mexico and our NCEM Coordinator and get back to you on that question.

Adria, Maida  
Nathan, Britta [mailto:nathan@blm.gov]  
Bureau of Land Management  
New Mexico State Office  
(505) 654-8124

From: Nathan, Britta [mailto:nathan@blm.gov]  
Sent: Monday, October 28, 2019 1:52 PM  
To: Adria, Maida [mailto:maida@blm.gov]; Nathan, Britta [mailto:nathan@blm.gov]; Peter Maida, Niki [mailto:niki@blm.gov]; Carol Bailey, Robert Wick  
Subject: Re: Check on the Verde Transmission Project RMP Amendment/DLS

Hi Adria, thanks for the note. I will make sure and include you on communications on the Verde project. Thanks for keeping me updated and letting me know what NCEM support might be needed as you move forward. I do have a question - I saw this project is identified on the priority project transmission of  
Thanks,

[REDACTED]

Bureau of Land Management

Hi Maida, Adria, Maida, Nathan, Britta [mailto:nathan@blm.gov] wrote:

Britta,

I was provided the e-mail below from Maida Adria here at the BLM NM State Office. I wanted to let you know that I have been assigned as the Project Manager for the Verde Transmission Project. I'm also stationed here at the BLM NM State Office on work. Please ensure that you include me in all future e-mailing as we start the preparation of the Draft DLS.

Thanks you

[REDACTED]

Bureau of Land Management

From: Adria, Maida [mailto:maida@blm.gov]  
Sent: Monday, October 28, 2019 1:52 PM  
Subject: Final Check on the Verde Transmission Project RMP Amendment/DLS

Maida Adria  
Acting National Conservation Lands/Verde/RMP Program Lead  
BLM New Mexico State Office  
505-654-8124  
maida@blm.gov

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Nathan, Britta [mailto:nathan@blm.gov]  
Sent: Fri, Sep 20, 2019 at 11:09 AM  
Subject: Re: Check on the Verde Transmission Project RMP Amendment/DLS  
To: Maida, Maida [mailto:maida@blm.gov]; Nathan, Britta [mailto:nathan@blm.gov]; Terrence [mailto:terrence@blm.gov]; Peter Maida [mailto:pmaida@blm.gov]; Niki [mailto:niki@blm.gov]; Carol Bailey [mailto:carol@blm.gov]; Robert Wick [mailto:robert@blm.gov]; "John, Deborah" [mailto:john@blm.gov]; Ryan Highway [mailto:ryan@blm.gov]  
Oops, sent before I hit my copy/paste button.

On Fri, Sep 25, 2020 at 11:29 AM, Britta Olsen@nps.gov wrote:

Hi Molly, Molly, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project BMP amendments/EIS. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WDOI as the draft is being prep

Our records show the following project team:

- 1) the project area includes eligible and suitable WDOI streams including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande River. Section 7 of the WSD and Science Waters Act requires looking at "downstream" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based
- 2) The project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real de Tierra Adentro & Old Spanish NHT). The project needs to be considered per BLM NAC200.

- 3) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's Tenth Forest (TFL) amendment of 2013. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible EIS for this project.

Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303 334-0108

Call: 303

Comment: Britta Nelson

Follow up to update re: review of Section 308 Energy Corridor Region 1 Recommendations Report

Nelson, Britta Olsen@nps.gov  
9:31 AM (4 hours ago)

to Sally, Peter, Timothy, Robert, Emily, Deborah

National Conservation Lands Programs, another update on the Section 308 Energy Corridor Region 1 Recommendations Report follows. First, the NCL Corridor Team has indicated we can expect to see the draft report for review on March 31.

Next, in response to a question about NCL items, the corridor and National Conservation Lands units have been mapped on the air the NCL map posted at <http://hugoboa.org/projects/ncl/part4/> or we can request the NCL items. If you would like the maps, please let me know and I will follow up.

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst

National Conservation Lands (NCL)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303 334-0108

On Thu, Mar 19, 2021 at 4:00 PM, Britta Olsen@nps.gov wrote:

National Conservation Lands Programs, a few updates on the Section 308 Corridor review.

A review for the review of the Section 308 Energy Corridor Region 1 Recommendations Report is scheduled for March 28.

A review week review of the Draft Report for the Section 308 Energy Corridor Region 1 Recommendations Report is scheduled for March 28 through April 1, 2021.

Following up on the BLM's suggestion, I've reached out to NCL project leads for EIS, review of the corridor.

Please let me know if you have any questions. Thanks.

Britta

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Nelson, Britta Olsen@nps.gov

Date: Thu, Mar 18, 2021 at 4:00 PM

Subject: Question re: EIS report for Section 308 Energy Corridor Region 1 draft review report

To: "Timothy Thompson" <timothy@nps.gov>, Deborah Nelson <deborah@nps.gov>

Cc: Sally Watts <swatts@nps.gov>, Peter Mohr <pmohr@nps.gov>

----- Forwarded message -----  
for meeting with our review this month to discuss WDOI's review of the Section 308 Energy Corridor Region 1 draft review report. Following up, can WDOI get EIS report(s) if the corridor is not checked against our report to determine which units are affected? Thanks!

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst

National Conservation Lands (NCL)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303 334-0108

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Nelson, Britta Olsen@nps.gov

Subject: Section 308 Energy Corridor Region 1 draft review report

To: Sally Watts <swatts@nps.gov>, "Tim, Deborah" <timothy@nps.gov>, "Sally, Peter" <swatts@nps.gov>

Cc: Peter Mohr <pmohr@nps.gov>, Sally Watts <swatts@nps.gov>

National Conservation Lands Programs, a quick heads up about the WDOI review of the Section 308 Energy Corridor Region 1 draft review report. The report focuses on review of energy corridors in southern California, southern Nevada, and western Arizona.

WDOI review of the draft report is scheduled for March 28-30. A review draft of the report is planned for March 31. The review will be a comprehensive WDOI review. Information has been gathered from the WDOI, other agencies, stakeholders, and others for the report. The draft review report includes a summary of public comment on the project and a list of recommendations for the draft review report is requested. The WDOI will be the review project and follow the review.

As a reference, here is a review release about the Section 308 Energy Corridor Region Review: [http://www.blm.gov/wy/whys/why/review/2013/section308\\_2013\\_0318.html](http://www.blm.gov/wy/whys/why/review/2013/section308_2013_0318.html)

----- Forwarded message -----  
for the public. Thanks.

----- Forwarded message -----  
for the public. Thanks.

Call: 303

Comment: Britta Nelson

Follow up to update re: review of Section 308 Energy Corridor Region 1 Recommendations Report

Nelson, Britta Olsen@nps.gov

Call: 303

Comment: Britta Nelson

On Fri, Sep 25, 2020 at 11:29 AM, Britta Olsen@nps.gov wrote:

Hi Molly, Molly, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project BMP amendments/EIS. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WDOI as the draft is being prep

Our records show the following project team:

- 1) the project area includes eligible and suitable WDOI streams including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande River. Section 7 of the WSD and Science Waters Act requires looking at "downstream" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based
- 2) The project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real de Tierra Adentro & Old Spanish NHT). The project needs to be considered per BLM NAC200.

- 3) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's Tenth Forest (TFL) amendment of 2013. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible EIS for this project.

Additional project information follows.

Call: 303

Comment: Britta Nelson

02-03-17,  
Hi Adrian, I hope you are well. I thought I would check in to see if there is a status update on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment that you could share. I am updating WOLFF's tracking files and wanted to make sure updates are included. Thanks!

Rhita Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL) Unit  
Bureau of Land Management  
800.334.6198

On Tue, Oct 3, 2016 at 6:34 AM, Adrian Garcia <agarcia@blm.gov> wrote:  
Rhita,

At this time, I'm not sure what our plans are relative to a NCL review of the BLM US. I'll consult with our local management teams here in New Mexico and our NHPA Coordinator and get back to you on that question.

From: Nelson, Rhita [mailto:rhita@blm.gov]  
Sent: Monday, October 03, 2016 4:43 PM  
To: Adrian Garcia  
Cc: Mark Alder [mailto:Mark.Alder@blm.gov], Terrence [mailto:Terrence@blm.gov], Peter Watt, [mailto:Peter.Watt@blm.gov], Niki Mousa, [mailto:Niki.Mousa@blm.gov], Carli Bailey, [mailto:Carli.Bailey@blm.gov], Robert Wick [mailto:Robert.Wick@blm.gov]

Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/DLS

Hi Adrian, thanks for the note. I will make sure and include you on communications on the Verde project. Thanks for keeping me updated and letting me know what WOLFF support might be needed as you move forward. I do have a question - I saw this project is identified on the priority project transmission of  
Thanks,

Rhita Nelson  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL) Unit  
Bureau of Land Management  
800.334.6198

On Mon, Oct 3, 2016 at 2:23 PM, Adrian Garcia <agarcia@blm.gov> wrote:  
Rhita,

I have provided the email address from Niki Alder here at the BLM NM State Office. I wanted to let you know that I have been assigned as the Project Manager for the Verde Transmission Project. I'm also stationed here at the BLM NM State Office as well. Please email or call me in all future e-mails as we will be preparing the Draft EIS.  
Thank you

Adrian Garcia  
NHPA Specialist/Project Manager  
Bureau of Land Management  
NM State Office  
(505) 654-2389

From: Alder, Niki [mailto:rhita@blm.gov]  
Sent: Monday, October 03, 2016 1:52 PM  
To: Adrian Garcia  
Subject: I will check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/DLS

Hi Rhita, I am currently working on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/DLS. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WOLFF as the Draft EIS is being prep

Adrian Garcia  
800.334.6198  
agarcia@blm.gov

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Nelson, Rhita [mailto:rhita@blm.gov]  
Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/DLS  
Hi Adrian, I am currently working on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/DLS. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WOLFF as the Draft EIS is being prep

Adrian Garcia  
800.334.6198  
agarcia@blm.gov

On Fri, Sep 30, 2016 at 1:09 PM, Rhita Nelson [mailto:rhita@blm.gov] wrote:

Hi Mark, Niki, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment/DLS. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WOLFF as the Draft EIS is being prep

Our records show the following project team:

Adrian Garcia, Niki Alder, and Niki Mousa including additional segments of the BLM lands. The proposed route crosses the BLM lands near Section 7 of the WMA and NHPA. Niki Mousa is currently looking at "Technical" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based on our records, the project team is currently working on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/DLS. The project needs to be considered per BLM NMUS.

Additional project information follows:

Thanks,

From: [mailto:rhita@blm.gov]

02-03-17,  
Hi Adrian,

Adrian Garcia  
NHPA Specialist/Project Manager  
Bureau of Land Management  
NM State Office  
(505) 654-2389

At this time, I'm not sure what our plans are relative to a NCL review of the BLM US. I'll consult with our local management teams here in New Mexico and our NHPA Coordinator and get back to you on that question.

Adrian Garcia  
NHPA Specialist/Project Manager  
Bureau of Land Management  
NM State Office  
(505) 654-2389

From: Nelson, Rhita [mailto:rhita@blm.gov]  
Sent: Monday, October 03, 2016 4:43 PM  
To: Adrian Garcia

CC: MARK AUBRY, Deborah Lutz, Terrence (Terry) Walsh, Kelly Lutz, Peter Wal, Niki Moore, Carli Bailey, Robert Wick

Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/DLS

Hi Adrian, Thanks for the note. I will make sure and include you on communications on the Verde project. Thanks for keeping me updated and letting me know what WDCIS support might be needed as you move forward. I do have a question - I saw this project is identified as the priority project transmission if

Thanks,

Krista Nelson  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands WCA&B  
Bureau of Land Management

I have provided the email below from Krista Adler here at the BLM NM State Office. I wanted to let you know that I have been assigned as the Project Manager for the Verde Transmission Project. I've also stationed here at the BLM NM State Office as well. Please email or that you include me in all future e-mailing as we start the preparation of the Draft EIS.

Thank you

Adrian Garcia  
Verde Canyon Project Manager  
Bureau of Land Management  
NM State Office  
(505) 854-2299

From: Adler, Krista (krista.madler@blm.gov)  
Sent: Monday, October 09, 2018 3:52 PM  
To: Adrian Garcia  
Subject: Fwd: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/DLS

Krista Adler  
Acting National Conservation Lands/NM/BLM Program Lead  
BLM New Mexico State Office  
505-854-2176  
madler@blm.gov

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Nelson, Krista (krista@blm.gov)  
Date: Fri, Sep 26, 2018 at 11:14 AM  
Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/DLS  
To: Mark Lutz, krista@blm.gov, "Adrian Garcia" <adgarcia@blm.gov>, Terrence (Terry) Walsh <twalsh@blm.gov>, Peter Wal, gwalt@blm.gov, Niki Moore <moore@blm.gov>, Carli Bailey <bailey@blm.gov>, Robert Wick <rwick@blm.gov>, "Lutz, Deborah" <deborah@blm.gov>, Ryan Halfway <halfway@blm.gov>  
Days, sent before 12:00 on September 26, 2018.

On Fri, Sep 26, 2018 at 11:09 AM, Nelson, Krista (krista@blm.gov) wrote:

Hi Mark, Krista, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment/DLS. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WCA&B as the Draft is being prep

For the project, we will be reviewing and updating the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment/DLS. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande River. Section 7 of the WMA and Section 7 of the WMA requires building a "Tributary" project that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based

2) The project would cross the National Historical Trail (the Camino Real de Tierra Adentro & Old Spanish NHT). The project needs to be considered per BLM NMS/DLS.

3) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's, Texas Field Office (RMP) Amendment of 2013. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible DLS for this project.

Additional project information follows:

Krista Nelson  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands WCA&B  
Bureau of Land Management

Call 507

Comment: Krista Nelson  
Follow up to update re: review of Section 108 Energy Corridor Region 1 Recommendations Report

Nelson, Krista (krista@blm.gov)

Hi,

Following up on the review of the Section 108 Energy Corridor Region 1 Recommendations Report. From the NM/BLM/BLM team has indicated we can expect to see the Draft report for review on March 27.

Section 108 Energy Corridor Region 1 Recommendations Report. From the NM/BLM/BLM team has indicated we can expect to see the Draft report for review on March 27.

Krista Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands WCA&B  
Bureau of Land Management

On Tue, Sep 26, 2018 at 4:05 PM, Nelson, Krista (krista@blm.gov) wrote:

National Conservation Lands Program, a new update on the Section 108 Corridor review

a website for the review of the Section 108 Energy Corridor Region 1 Recommendations Report is scheduled for March 29.

A final draft review of the Draft Report to the NM/BLM/BLM Management. RMP program tasks and stakeholders to assist Agency Lands/BLM is planned for March 29th through April 10th.

Following up on the BLM's (Lutz) question, the review needs to be completed by the NM/BLM/BLM team.

Please let me know if you have any questions. Thanks.

Krista

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Nelson, Krista (krista@blm.gov)  
Date: Thu, Sep 14, 2017 at 4:14 PM  
Subject: Question re: QIS Report for Section 108 Energy Corridor Region 1 draft review report  
To: "Terry Walsh" <twalsh@blm.gov>, Krista Nelson <krista@blm.gov>  
Cc: Sally Watts <swatts@blm.gov>, Peter Wal, gwalt@blm.gov



Apr 17 18:45:01

To me

Thanks, Britta. These look helpful, thanks for the time and effort spent.

Will let you know if we have questions.

Georganna

Georganna Seale  
Bureau of Land Management / BLM Corridor  
Bureau of Land Management

[REDACTED]

World Comments on Section BLM Corridor Region 1 Recommendations Report

Below

x

Neilson, Britta christen@blm.gov  
Attachments: 2 of 2 files sent

To: Jones, Georganna, Kelly, Peter, Robert, Cath, Deborah, Timothy

Hi Jan and Georganna, W0410 comments on the draft Section BLM Corridor Region 1 Recommendations Report are attached. Please let me know if you have questions on any of the comments or would like to meet to discuss. Thanks.

Britta Neilson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-410)  
Bureau of Land Management  
202-219-2536

On Mon, May 20, 2013 at 3:37 PM, Georanna, Jones (NCL) <jones@blm.gov> wrote:  
Section BLM Energy Corridor - Region 1 "Travel 2" Review.

This email forwards for the planned BLM, USFS and cooperating agency "Travel 2" Review, the attached DRAFT Section BLM Energy Corridor Region 1 Recommendations Report. This non-NEPA corridor resolution recommendation report product is the result of the recent Section BLM Western Energy Corridor - Region

Please provide your review comments and suggested revisions within the attached document comment review form by COB Friday, April 19th, 2013. This provides authors (2) week review period.

Identified Region 1 "Travel 2" reviewers include:  
Region 1 (A, C, G, H, M) BLM Field Office, District Office and State Office program and line management;  
Region 1 USFS Field, Southern Region program and line management or representative;  
BLM W04-100, W04-200 and W04-400 program staff;  
Region 1 cooperating agency program and line management within the BLM, BLM, NPS, BIA and DAO;  
The Section BLM Interagency Work Group.

You have been previously notified through a recent calendar event of a planned Region 1 "Travel 2" Review Webinar scheduled for this coming Wednesday, March 20th at 1:00 PM (PST) to 3:00 PM (PST) BLM PT. It will provide an overview of the Draft Region 1 Recommendation Report, address review concerns

It is important to note, that the Draft Report is comprised of two (2) separate file sets as follows:  
Draft Report in Microsoft Word (attached to BLM file link) or viewed on the BLM link below;  
Appendix A in Microsoft Excel (attached to BLM file link) or viewed on the BLM link below;  
Appendix B - Part 1 in a PDF file (BLM link to large to attach) to be viewed on the BLM link below;  
Appendix B - Part 2 in a PDF file (BLM link to large to attach) to be viewed on the BLM link below;  
Revised Corridor Abandonment Review file (link) to be viewed on the BLM link below.

For Level 1 reviewers who may experience email problems with receiving the large Draft Report attachment, office file sets can be accessed and downloaded using Argonne National Laboratory's Box Site. BLM Project file site at the following link:

[REDACTED] Region\_1\_Corridor\_Recommendations\_Report\_-\_For\_Level\_1\_Review

Review Corridor Abandonment for each Region 1 BLM State and the USFS Corridor accessed and downloaded using the BLM Box BLM Project file site as follows:  
[https://app.box.com/Share/07235342346932/Corridor\\_Abandonment](https://app.box.com/Share/07235342346932/Corridor_Abandonment)

To ease your review and comment effort, please first focus your initial attention on Section 2, Section 3 and respective BLM State or USFS Corridor Recommendations. If time allows, review Section 1.B which provides background on the 2009 Inc. BLM FWS and R20, subsequent lawsuit / settlement agreement

After you've had a chance to familiarize yourself with the Draft Report, I believe you'll see the very comprehensive and high quality product that Argonne and the Region 1 Core Team has developed that is intended for use by Region 1 BLM / USFS land use planners in the future. We look forward to the re

[REDACTED] reviewers using the attached comment form to the author Georganna Seale, W04 Transmission / Sec. BLM Program Lead by COB Friday, April 19th.

If you should need any questions or need further assistance, please contact me as provided below, or contact Georganna Seale at (202) 912-7120 or Rosalie Winquist, Project Manager, Argonne NS (342) 252-2788.

[REDACTED] assistance with the Level 2 Review.

Jan

Janet R. Kierstead  
National Forest Manager  
Sec. BLM Energy Corridor Regional Review Project  
BLM Washington Office (NCL-410)

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Call: 204

Comment: Britta Neilson  
On Fri, Sep 16, 2016 at 11:09 AM, Neilson, Britta christen@blm.gov wrote:  
I checked in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment/EIS. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from W0410 as the draft is being ramp

See below for some key findings of project:

1) The project area includes eligible and suitable WSM resources including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande River. Section 7 of the WSM and basic Rivers Act requires looking at "technical" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based

2) The project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real in Terra Antiqua & El Camino Real in the Rio Grande). The project needs to be considered per BLM 160200.

3) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics yet [REDACTED] have been conducted as part of the BLM's Tenth Field Office RMP Amendment of 2012. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible EIS for the project.

Additional project information follows:

Call: 204

Comment: Britta Neilson  
On Fri, Sep 16, 2016 at 11:09 AM, Neilson, Britta christen@blm.gov wrote:  
I checked in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment that you could share. I am updating W0410's tracking files and wanted to make sure updates are included. Thank!

Britta Neilson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-410)  
Bureau of Land Management  
202-219-2536



On Tue, Oct 4, 2016 at 6:34 AM, Adrian Garcia <agarcia@blm.gov> wrote:

Britta,

At this time, I'm not sure what our plans are relative to a WFO review of the draft EIS. I'll consult with our local management team here in New Mexico and our NEPA Coordinator and get back to you on that question.

Adrian Garcia  
Neville Spaulding Project Manager  
Bureau of Land Management  
NM State Office  
(505) 654-2295

From: Britta [mailto:britta@blm.gov]

Hi Adrian, thanks for the note. I will make sure and include you on communications on the Verde project. Thanks for keeping me updated and letting me know what WFO/EIS support might be needed as you move forward. I do have a question - I saw this project is identified on the priority project transmission if

Thanks,

Britta Nelson  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands/NMFLP  
Bureau of Land Management  
(505) 234-5276

On Mon, Oct 3, 2016 at 2:21 PM, Adrian Garcia <agarcia@blm.gov> wrote:

Britta,

I was provided the e-mail below from Mike Adler here at the BLM NM State Office. I wanted to let you know that I have been assigned as the Project Manager for the Verde Transmission Project. I'm also stationed here at the BLM NM State Office so well. Please ensure that you include me in all future e-mails re: as we start the preparation of the Draft EIS.

Thank you

Adrian Garcia  
Neville Spaulding Project Manager  
Bureau of Land Management  
NM State Office  
(505) 654-2295

From: Adler, Mike [mailto:mikeadler@blm.gov]  
Sent: Monday, October 03, 2016, 5:12 PM  
To: Adrian Garcia  
Subject: Final Check-in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/EIS

Mike Adler  
Acting National Conservation Lands/NeuFLP Program Lead  
BLM New Mexico State Office

505-654-2174  
m.adler@blm.gov

From: Mike Adler [mailto:mikeadler@blm.gov]

Sent: Fri, Sep 30, 2016 at 11:14 AM  
Subject: Re: Check-in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/EIS

To: Mike Gaddy <mkgaddy@blm.gov>, Mike Adler <m.adler@blm.gov>, Terrence [Terrence] <terrence@blm.gov>, Peter Webb <petwebb@blm.gov>, Mike Nelson <mike@blm.gov>, Britta Nelson <britta@blm.gov>, Robert Wick <robwick@blm.gov>, Ryan Harkway <rharkway@blm.gov>  
Chaps, want before I go to my computer?

From: Britta Nelson [mailto:britta@blm.gov]

Hi Mike, Mike, and Terrence - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment/EIS. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WFO/EIS as the draft is being prep

ing project issues.

Adrian was conducting WFO reviews including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande near Section 7 of the WMA and scenic views and requires looking at "technical" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based

on the project needs to have National Historical Tools (the Central Road to Taos Adobe & Old Spanish NHT). The project needs to be considered per BLM NEPA/EIS.

There are no hands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's, New Forest (NFF) RMP amendment of 2013. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible EIS for this project.

Additional project information follows:

Comments:

Adrian Garcia

Oct 4 (Fri) 4:04 PM

To: Mike Gaddy, Terrence, Mike Adler, Peter Webb, Britta Nelson, Robert Wick

At this time, I'm not sure what our plans are relative to a WFO review of the draft EIS. I'll consult with our local management team here in New Mexico and our NEPA Coordinator and get back to you on that question.

Adrian Garcia  
Neville Spaulding Project Manager  
Bureau of Land Management  
NM State Office  
(505) 654-2295

From: Britta Nelson [mailto:britta@blm.gov]  
Sent: Monday, October 03, 2016, 4:13 PM  
To: Adrian Garcia  
Cc: Mike Adler [mailto:mikeadler@blm.gov], Terrence [mailto:terrence@blm.gov], Mike Nelson, Britta Nelson, Robert Wick

Subject: Re: Check-in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/EIS

Hi Adrian, thanks for the note. I will make sure and include you on communications on the Verde project. Thanks for keeping me updated and letting me know what WFO/EIS support might be needed as you move forward. I do have a question - I saw this project is identified on the priority project transmission if



----- Forwarded message -----

From: Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov>  
Date: Fri, Mar 1, 2013 at 2:47 PM  
Subject: WFO Review of Section 108 Energy Corridor Region 1 draft review report  
To: Robert Wills <rwills@blm.gov>, Timothy Fisher <tfisher@blm.gov>, Tish, Deborah <tfisher@blm.gov>, Kelley, Cathi <kc@blm.gov>  
Cc: Peter Masi <pmasi@blm.gov>, Sally Burtz <sburtz@blm.gov>

National Conservation Lands Programs, a quick heads up about the WFO review of the Section 108 Energy Corridor Region 1 draft review report. The report focuses on review of energy corridors in southern California, southern Nevada, and western Arizona. WFO review of the draft report is scheduled for March 14-16. A review kick-off webinar is planned for March 15. The review will be a simultaneous WFO/WFO review. Information has been gathered from the WFO/O, other agencies, stakeholders, and others for the report. The draft review report includes a summary of public comment on public issues and the benefits or recommendations from the draft review report are supported. The WFO will be the main package will follow the review.

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL) Unit  
Bureau of Land Management

Call: 1-800-424-6726

Comment: Britta Nelson

Update: WFO/WFO review of the draft review report is scheduled for March 14-16. A review kick-off webinar is planned for March 15. The review will be a simultaneous WFO/WFO review. Information has been gathered from the WFO/O, other agencies, stakeholders, and others for the report. The draft review report includes a summary of public comment on public issues and the benefits or recommendations from the draft review report are supported. The WFO will be the main package will follow the review.

Call: 1-800-424-6726

Comment: Britta Nelson

Supplemental Exhibit

Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov>

4/1/2013

Configure all Print all in new window

WFO/O comments on Section 108 Energy Corridor Region 1 Recommendations Report

WFO/O

WFO/O

Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov>

Attachments: 14 (1/2/2013)

To: James, Georgeann, Sally, Peter, Robert, Cathi, Deborah, Timothy

Hi Jim and Georgeann, WFO/O comments on the draft Section 108 Energy Corridor Region 1 Recommendations Report are attached. Please let me know if you have questions on any of the comments or would like to meet to discuss. Thanks.

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL) Unit  
Bureau of Land Management

Call: 1-800-424-6726

On March 27, 2013 at 1:37 PM, Georgeann, James <james@blm.gov> wrote:

Section 108 Energy Corridor Region 1 Review

This email forwards for the personal BLM, USFS and cooperating agency "Tandem" Review, the attached Draft Section 108 Energy Corridor Region 1 Recommendations Report. This report is the result of the recent Section 108 Energy Corridor Region 1 Review.

This report is the result of the recent Section 108 Energy Corridor Region 1 Review and suggested revisions within the attached document comment review form by CDB Friday, April 19th, 2013. This provides a review of the review period.

Supplemental Exhibit

Region 1 (BLM, USFS, and cooperating agency) Review (Office and State Office program and line management)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Region 1 (USFS, Pacific Southwest Region program and line management in separate)

Gargano

Gargano State  
Randy Landolt, Transmission / B&E Coordinator  
Bureau of Land Management

20 M Street, SE, Room 2104M  
Washington, DC 20003  
Email: 202-853-7319  
cell: 202-853-2602  
gargan@blm.gov

Attachments (4) 14.17 days ago

To: James, Gargano, Kelly, Peter, Robert, Carol, Deborah, Timothy  
Blitz and Gargano, WDC comments on the draft Section 108 Corridor Region 1 Recommendation Report are attached. Please let me know if you have questions or would like to meet to discuss. Thanks.

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-410)  
Bureau of Land Management  
203.236.0526

On Mon, May 27, 2013 at 3:37 PM, Gargano, James (JMG) <jgargan@blm.gov> wrote:  
Section 108 Energy Corridor - Region 1 "Level 2" Review.

This email forwards for the planned BLM, USFS and cooperating agency "Level 2" Review, the attached DRAFT Section 108 Energy Corridor Region 1 Recommendation Report. This non-NRPA corridor analysis recommendation report product is the result of the recent Section 108 Westwide Energy Corridor - Region

Please provide your review comments and suggested revisions within the attached document comment review form by EOB Friday, April 19th, 2013. This provides a three (3) week review period.

Identified Region 1 "Level 2" review participants include:  
Region 1 (A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, K, L, M, N, O, P, Q, R, S, T, U, V, W, X, Y, Z) BLM Field Office, District Office and State Office program and line management;  
Region 1 (A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, K, L, M, N, O, P, Q, R, S, T, U, V, W, X, Y, Z) USFS District Office and line management as appropriate;  
BLM WDC, WDC, WDC and WDC-WDC program staff;  
Region 1 cooperating agency program and line management within the WDC, WDC, WDC, WDC and WDC;  
The Section 108 Energy Corridor Review

You have been previously notified through a recent calendar event of a planned Region 1 "Level 2" Review Webinar scheduled for this coming Wednesday, March 28th at 1:00 PM (ET/1:00 AM MT/12:00 AM PT) that will provide an overview of the Draft Region 1 Recommendation Report, address review

It is important to note that the Draft Report is composed of two (2) separate file sets as follows:

Draft Report is Microsoft Word document (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

Appendix A is a Microsoft Word document (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

Appendix B - Part 1 is a PDF file (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

Appendix B - Part 2 is a PDF file (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

Regional Corridor Abstracts (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

For Level 2 reviewers who may experience email problems with receiving the large Draft Report attachment, all file sets can be accessed and downloaded using Argonne National Laboratory's Box Site. BLM Project file site at the following link:

[https://app.box.com/Non/01232008000001/Region\\_1\\_Corridor\\_Recommendation\\_Report\\_-\\_For\\_Level\\_2\\_Review](https://app.box.com/Non/01232008000001/Region_1_Corridor_Recommendation_Report_-_For_Level_2_Review)

Regional Corridor Abstracts for each Region 1 BLM State and the USFS can be accessed and downloaded using the BLM Box BLM Project file site as follows:

[https://app.box.com/Non/01232008000001/Corridor\\_Abstracts](https://app.box.com/Non/01232008000001/Corridor_Abstracts)

For Level 2 reviewers who may experience email problems with receiving the large Draft Report attachment, all file sets can be accessed and downloaded using Argonne National Laboratory's Box Site. BLM Project file site at the following link:

Appendix A is a Microsoft Word document (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

Appendix B - Part 1 is a PDF file (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

Appendix B - Part 2 is a PDF file (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

Regional Corridor Abstracts (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

For Level 2 reviewers who may experience email problems with receiving the large Draft Report attachment, all file sets can be accessed and downloaded using Argonne National Laboratory's Box Site. BLM Project file site at the following link:

Appendix A is a Microsoft Word document (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

Appendix B - Part 1 is a PDF file (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

Appendix B - Part 2 is a PDF file (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

Regional Corridor Abstracts (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

For Level 2 reviewers who may experience email problems with receiving the large Draft Report attachment, all file sets can be accessed and downloaded using Argonne National Laboratory's Box Site. BLM Project file site at the following link:

Appendix A is a Microsoft Word document (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

Appendix B - Part 1 is a PDF file (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

Appendix B - Part 2 is a PDF file (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

Regional Corridor Abstracts (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

For Level 2 reviewers who may experience email problems with receiving the large Draft Report attachment, all file sets can be accessed and downloaded using Argonne National Laboratory's Box Site. BLM Project file site at the following link:

Appendix A is a Microsoft Word document (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

Appendix B - Part 1 is a PDF file (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

Appendix B - Part 2 is a PDF file (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

Regional Corridor Abstracts (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

For Level 2 reviewers who may experience email problems with receiving the large Draft Report attachment, all file sets can be accessed and downloaded using Argonne National Laboratory's Box Site. BLM Project file site at the following link:

Appendix A is a Microsoft Word document (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

Appendix B - Part 1 is a PDF file (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

Appendix B - Part 2 is a PDF file (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

Regional Corridor Abstracts (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

For Level 2 reviewers who may experience email problems with receiving the large Draft Report attachment, all file sets can be accessed and downloaded using Argonne National Laboratory's Box Site. BLM Project file site at the following link:

Appendix A is a Microsoft Word document (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

Appendix B - Part 1 is a PDF file (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

Appendix B - Part 2 is a PDF file (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

Regional Corridor Abstracts (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

For Level 2 reviewers who may experience email problems with receiving the large Draft Report attachment, all file sets can be accessed and downloaded using Argonne National Laboratory's Box Site. BLM Project file site at the following link:

Appendix A is a Microsoft Word document (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

Appendix B - Part 1 is a PDF file (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

Appendix B - Part 2 is a PDF file (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

Regional Corridor Abstracts (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

For Level 2 reviewers who may experience email problems with receiving the large Draft Report attachment, all file sets can be accessed and downloaded using Argonne National Laboratory's Box Site. BLM Project file site at the following link:

Appendix A is a Microsoft Word document (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

Appendix B - Part 1 is a PDF file (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

Appendix B - Part 2 is a PDF file (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

Regional Corridor Abstracts (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

For Level 2 reviewers who may experience email problems with receiving the large Draft Report attachment, all file sets can be accessed and downloaded using Argonne National Laboratory's Box Site. BLM Project file site at the following link:

Appendix A is a Microsoft Word document (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

Appendix B - Part 1 is a PDF file (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

Appendix B - Part 2 is a PDF file (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

Regional Corridor Abstracts (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

For Level 2 reviewers who may experience email problems with receiving the large Draft Report attachment, all file sets can be accessed and downloaded using Argonne National Laboratory's Box Site. BLM Project file site at the following link:

Appendix A is a Microsoft Word document (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

Appendix B - Part 1 is a PDF file (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

Appendix B - Part 2 is a PDF file (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

Regional Corridor Abstracts (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

For Level 2 reviewers who may experience email problems with receiving the large Draft Report attachment, all file sets can be accessed and downloaded using Argonne National Laboratory's Box Site. BLM Project file site at the following link:

Appendix A is a Microsoft Word document (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

Appendix B - Part 1 is a PDF file (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

Appendix B - Part 2 is a PDF file (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.

Regional Corridor Abstracts (a) and the Corridor Recommendation on the BLM Web below.



On Mon, Oct 5, 2016 at 2:21 PM, Adrian Garcia <agarcia@bim.gao.wisc.edu>

I was provided the e-mail below from Maile Adler here at the BLM NM State Office. I wanted to let you know that I have been assigned as the Project Manager for the Verde Transmission Project. I'm also stationed here at the BLM NM State Office as well. Please ensure that you include me in all future e-mails regarding the preparation of the Draft EIS.

Thank you

Adrian Garcia  
Realty Specialist/Project Manager  
Bureau of Land Management  
NM State Office

Sent: Monday, October 03, 2016 1:52 PM

To: Adrian Garcia  
Subject: Fwd: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment, US

Male Adler  
Acting National Conservation I  
BIM New Mexico State Office

505-954-2176  
madsen@bim.gov

----- Forwarded message -----  
 From: Nelson, Britta [britta@clinet.se](mailto:britta@clinet.se)  
 Date: Fri, Sep 20, 2016 at 11:14 AM

To: Marly Cobbitt [mcobbitt@bin.gov](mailto:mcobbitt@bin.gov), "Adrian, Male A" [amalea@bin.gov](mailto:amalea@bin.gov), "Teresa (Tary) Hackel" [thackel@bin.gov](mailto:thackel@bin.gov), Peter Mall [pmall@bin.gov](mailto:pmall@bin.gov), Nikki Moore [nmoore@bin.gov](mailto:nmoore@bin.gov), Chris Bailey [cb Bailey@bin.gov](mailto:cb Bailey@bin.gov), Robert Wick [rwick@bin.gov](mailto:rwick@bin.gov), "Jodi, Deborah A" [jodiba@bin.gov](mailto:jodiba@bin.gov), Ryan Hathaway [rhathaw@bin.gov](mailto:rhathaw@bin.gov)

Oops, sent before I cc'd everyone! Resend.

On Fri, Sep 20, 2016 at 11:09 AM, Niekun, Britta <bniekun@lin.gov> wrote:

Hi Molly, Maile, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment/EIS. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WO410 as the draft is being prepared.

Our records show the following project issues:

- 1) The project area includes eligible and outstate WSR streams including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande River, Section 7 of the WSD and State Water Act requires backing at "increment" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Backing is required.
- 2) The project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real de Tierra Adentro & Old Spanish NHT's). The project needs to be considered per BLM M200.

j) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's Tax Field Office RMP Amendment of 2012. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible SIS for this project.

Additional project information follows:

Thanks,

Britta Nelson  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands, WILDLIFE  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

Cell: D97  
Comment: Britta Nelson:  
Follow up to update re: review of Section 3618 Energy Corridor Region 1 Recommendations Report

Nelson, Britta <brittan@lin.gov>  
9:21 AM (4 hours ago)

[REDACTED] (PH), Deborah [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED], another couple updates on the Section 368 Energy Corridor Region 1 Recommendations Report follow. First, the 368 Corridor Team has indicated we can expect to see the draft report for review on March 27.

For more information about GIS layers, the corridors and National Conservation Lands units have been mapped on the on the BLM mapper found at: <http://bggl-evis.arl.gov/section/BLM/portal/>, or we can request the GIS layers. If you would like the layers, please let me know and I will follow up.

National Conservation Lands (NCL-4)  
Bureau of Land Management  
202.234.0539

On Thu, Mar 16, 2017 at 4:00 PM, Nelson, Krista <krista@lin.gov> wrote

Programs, a few updates on the Section 368 Corridor review:  
 Energy Corridor Region 1 Recommendations Report is scheduled for March 26.  
 M FQ/OQ/State Management, WQ Program Leads and Stakeholder Federal Agency Leads/Agent is planned for March 25th through April 14th.  
 Outreach to the public is planned for the 368 project talks for GIS users of the corridors.

1000

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Nelson, Britta [britta-clinton@lin.gov](mailto:britta-clinton@lin.gov)  
To: 'Sally Kett', [lskett@lin.gov](mailto:lskett@lin.gov), [gcraig@lin.gov](mailto:gcraig@lin.gov), Michael Wildner <[mwildner@lin.gov](mailto:mwildner@lin.gov)>  
Cc: Sally Kett <[skett@lin.gov](mailto:skett@lin.gov)>, Peter Mall <[pmall@lin.gov](mailto:pmall@lin.gov)>

Hi Georgeann and Michael, thanks again for meeting with me earlier this month to discuss WO410's review of the Section 368 Energy Corridor Region 1 draft review report. Following up, can WO410 get GIS layer(s) of the corridors so we can check against our layer to determine which units are affected? Thanks!

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-818)  
Bureau of Land Management  
203.236.0539

----- Forwarded message -----  
 From: Nathan, Britta [britta@knelson.com](mailto:britta@knelson.com)  
 Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2012 10:43:00 -0700

Subject: WO Review of Section 168 Energy Corridor Region 1 draft review report  
To: Robert Wick [rwick@bin.gov](mailto:rwick@bin.gov), Timothy Fisher [tfisher@bin.gov](mailto:tfisher@bin.gov), "Salt, Deborah A" [dsalt@bin.gov](mailto:dsalt@bin.gov), "Bailey, Cathi M" [ccbailey@bin.gov](mailto:ccbailey@bin.gov)  
Cc: Peter Mall [pmall@bin.gov](mailto:pmall@bin.gov), Sally Kuts [skuts@bin.gov](mailto:skuts@bin.gov)



Washington, DC 20002  
deck: 202-812-7219  
cell: 202-852-2602  
gsmale@bim.gov

W0410 Comments on Section 368 Corridor Region 1 Recommendations Report

1

Nelson, Britta <bknelson@bim.gov>  
Attachments: Apr 14 (7 days ago)

Bureau of Land Management

On Mon, Mar 27, 2017 at 1:37 PM, Grixwood, James [Jim] <jgrixwood@tbn.gov> wrote:  
Section 268 Energy Corridors - Region 1 "Level 2" Reviewers,

This email forwards for the planned BLM, USFS and cooperating agency "Level 2" Review, the attached DRAFT Section 368 Energy Corridor Region 1 Recommendations Report. This non-NBPA corridor revision recommendation report product is the result of the recent Section 368 West-wide Energy Corridor - Region

Please provide your review comments and suggested revisions within the attached document comment review form by COB Friday, April 14th, 2017. This provides authors a three (3) week review period.

Identified Region 1 "Level 2" reviewers include:  
 Region 1 (AZ, CA, NV) BLM Field Office, District Office and State Office program and line management;  
 Region 1 USFS Pacific Southwest Region program and line management as appropriate;  
 BLM WO-100, WO-300 and WO-400 program staff;  
 Region 1 cooperating agency program and line management within the FWS, BOR, NPS, BIA and DOD;

You have been previously notified through a recent calendar event of a planned Region 1 "Level 2" Review Webinar scheduled for this coming Wednesday, March 28th at 1:00 PM ET/1:00 AM MT/10:00 AM PT that will provide an overview of the Draft Region 1 Recommendation Report, address review coordinators' questions, and discuss the review process.

It is important note, that the Draft Report is comprised of five (5) separate file sets as follows:  
 Draft Report in Microsoft Word (attached 14-MB file size) or accessed via the Box link below;  
 Appendix A in Microsoft Excel (attached 12-MB file size) or accessed via the Box link below;  
 Appendix B - Part 1 as a PDF file (40-MB to large to attach) to be accessed via the Box link below;  
 Appendix B - Part 2 as a PDF file (84-MB to large to attach) to be accessed via the Box link below.

For Level 2 reviewers who may experience email problems with receiving the large Draft Report attachment, all five file sets can be accessed and download using Argonne National Laboratory's Box Sec. 368 Project file site at the following link:

[http://app.box.com/file/c9f722519932966/00-region\\_3\\_corridor\\_recommendations\\_report\\_-\\_for\\_level\\_2\\_review](http://app.box.com/file/c9f722519932966/00-region_3_corridor_recommendations_report_-_for_level_2_review)

Revised Corridor Abstracts for each Region 1 BLM State and the USFS can be accessed and downloaded using the ANL Box 3 GIS Project file site as follows:

[https://app.box.com/file/cy7j2252623e828/Corridor\\_Abstracts](https://app.box.com/file/cy7j2252623e828/Corridor_Abstracts)

To ease your review and comment effort, please first focus your initial attention on Section 2, Section 3 and respective BLM State or USFS Corridor Recommendations. If time allows, review Section 1.0 which provides background on the 2008 Sec. 368 PCE and RCD, subsequent lawsuit / settlement agreements.

After you've had a chance to familiarize yourself with the Draft Report, I believe you'll see the very comprehensive and high quality product that Argonne and the Region 1 Core Team has developed that is intended for use by Region 1 BLM / USFS land use planners in the future. We look forward to the next steps in the process.

Again, please provide your review comments using the attached comment form to me and/or Georgiann Smale, WD Transmission / Sec. 368 Program Lead by COB Friday, April 14th.

If you should have any questions or need further assistance, please contact me as provided below, or contact Georgann Smale at (202) 912-7919 or Kenna Wiscott, Project Manager, Argonne N6, (410) 252-5788.

\_\_\_\_\_ **DATE with the Level 2 Review.**

Save

James R. Galloway  
National Project Manager  
Sec. 268 Energy Corridor Regional Review Project  
BLM Washington Office (WO-250)  
201-824-4028 (Office Cell)

On Fri, Sep 20, 2014 at 11:09 AM, Niekun, Britta <bniekun@bim.gov> wrote

Hi Molly, Mike, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment/IS. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from W0410 as the draft is being prepared.

Our records show the following project issues:

1) the project area includes eligible and suitable Wild streams including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande. Section 7 of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act requires looking at "increment" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Basin

Central Trans (the Carrera Azul de Tierra Adentro y OM Spanish NHFs). The project needs to be considered per 2011 M2202.

Cell Costs

Comment: Britta Nelson

02-20-17.

Doris Nelson, M.P.A., Program Manager

Bureau of Land

BRETT,

As the

Adrian Garcia

Bureau of Land Management.

(505) 954-2299

Franc Nelson, Britta [malta@knelson@tlingo.com]



Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/IGS

Hi Adrian, thanks for the note. I will make sure and include you on communications on the Verde project. Thanks for keeping me updated and letting me know what WDEU support might be needed as you move forward. I do have a question - I saw this project is identified on the priority project transmission document. Thanks.

Britta Nelson  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands WD-830  
Bureau of Land Management

I was provided the e-mail below from Malle Adler here at the BLM NM State Office. I wanted to let you know that I have been assigned as the Project Manager for the Verde Transmission Project. I'm also stationed here at the BLM NM State Office as well. Please ensure that you include me in all future e-mails regarding the preparation of the Draft EIS.

Thank you

Adrian Garcia  
Realty Specialist/Project Manager  
Bureau of Land Management  
NM State Office  
(505) 954-3299

From: Adler, Maja [mailto:mjadler@bin.gov]  
Sent: Monday, October 03, 2016 1:52 PM  
To: Adrian Garcia  
Subject: Fed. Check in on the Verde Transportation Project RFP amendment/EO

Maile Adler  
Acting National Conservation Lands/Youth/VHM Program Lead  
BLM New Mexico State Office  
505-954-2176

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Nathan, Brett <nathan@tin.gov>  
Date: Fri, Sep 10, 2010 at 11:14 AM  
Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RFP amendment/US

To: Muly Cobb <mccobb@tin.gov>, "Jude, Mike R" <mrcade@tin.gov>, "Teresa (Terry) Hicks" <thicks@tin.gov>, Peter Mai <pmai@tin.gov>, Nikki Moore <nmoore@tin.gov>, Cris Bailey <cbaily@tin.gov>, Robert Wick <rwick@tin.gov>, "Salt, Deborah A" <dsalt@tin.gov>, Ryan Hathaway <rhathaw@tin.gov>

On Fri, Sep 20, 2014 at 11:09 AM, Niekas, Bitta <bittan@lin.gov> wrote:

Hi Molly, Maile, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment/EIS. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WOI10 as the draft is being prepared.

and suitable WSA streams include additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande River, Section 7 of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act requires looking at "intense" aspects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based on the project area we have reviewed

j) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's Tax Field Office-RMP Amendment of 2012. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible IIS for this project.

Additional project information follows:

Comments: Britta Nelson:

to rise, Maile, Deborah, Terrence, Molly, Peter, Nikki, Catti, Robert  
Baker

At this time, I'm not sure what our plans are relative to a WDO review of the draft EIS. I'll consult with our local management team here in New Mexico and our NEPA Coordinator and get back to you on that question.

100% **FREE** SERVICE

From: Nielson, Jolita [mailto:jolita@kinnelson@tlin.gov]  
Sent: Monday, October 02, 2016 2:42 PM  
To: Adrian Garcia  
Cc: Malie Adler; Deborah Salt; Tennessee (Terry) Hadlin; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; Carli Bailey; Robert Wick

Subject: RM: Check in on the Verde Transcussion Project RHP amendment/EO

Hi Adrian, thanks for the note. I will make sure and include you on communications on the Verde project. Thanks for keeping me updated and letting me know what WCD10 support might be needed as you move forward. I do have a question - I saw this project is identified on the priority project transmission d

Britta Nelson  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands WQBSO  
Bureau of Land Management

On Mon, Oct 5, 2016 at 2:21 PM, Adriaan Garcia <agarcia@tides.gov> wrote:  
Berta,

I was provided the e-mail below from Malle Adler here at the BLM NM State Office. I wanted to let you know that I have been assigned as the Project Manager for the Verde Transmission Project. I'm also stationed here at the BLM NM State Office as well. Please ensure that you include me in all future e-mails regarding the preparation of the Draft EIS.

Thank you

Alicia Garcia  
Bridley Landis/Project Manager  
Bureau of Land Management  
Mail Room Office  
(202) 512-2228

From: Bridley, Bridley (bri@blm.gov)  
Sent: Monday, October 08, 2018 3:52 PM  
To: Alicia Garcia

BLM New Mexico State Office  
505 654-2174  
bri@blm.gov

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Nelson, Britta (bri@blm.gov)

Sent: Fri, Sep 28, 2018 11:11 AM

Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Translocation Project RMP Amendment?

To: Mark Collins <mcc@blm.gov>; Taylor, Taylor <t@blm.gov>; "Tommy (Terry) Heck" <theck@blm.gov>; Peter Mat <p@blm.gov>; Will Moore <>wmoore@blm.gov>; Cindi Bailey <c@blm.gov>; Robert Webb <rwebb@blm.gov>; "Tim, Deborah et al" <d@blm.gov>; Ryan Halfway <rhalfway@blm.gov>

Oops, sent before I'd mentioned Heidi.

On Fri, Sep 28, 2018 at 3:50 PM, Nelson, Britta (bri@blm.gov) wrote:

Hi Bridley, Kelly, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Translocation project RMP amendment/IS. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WMA as the draft is being prep

Our review shows the following project issues:

- 1) the project would include eligible and suitable WMA areas including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande River. Section 7 of the WMA and BLM's Waters Act requires looking at "Treatment" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based
- 2) the project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real de Tierra Adentro & Old Spanish NHT). The project needs to be considered per BLM NHP.
- 3) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's, Tusas Draft Office RMP Amendment of 2013. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible GIS for this project.

Additional project information follows.

Thanks,

Britta Nelson  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands/NHP/IS  
Bureau of Land Management  
505 234-6100

Kelly (T) 505

Comments: Britta Nelson

Follow up to update on review of Section 308 Energy Corridor Region 1 Recommendations Report

----- Forwarded message -----

To: Kelly, Peter, Timothy, Robert, Cindi, Deborah

National Conservation Lands Program, another sought updates on the Section 308 Energy Corridor Region 1 Recommendations Report follow. First, the BLM Corridor Team has indicated we can expect to see the draft report for review on March 23.

Next, it requests to hold 3 questions about GIS, the corridors and National Conservation Lands units have been mapped on the on the BLM mapserver found at: <http://blm.gov/section308/section308/>, or we can request the GIS layers. If you would like the layers, please let me know and I will follow up

Britta Nelson, M.P., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands/NHP/IS

Hi Peter, Nelson, Britta (bri@blm.gov) wrote:

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Nelson, Britta (bri@blm.gov)

Sent: Fri, Sep 28, 2018 11:11 AM

Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Translocation Project RMP Amendment?

To: Mark Collins <mcc@blm.gov>; Taylor, Taylor <t@blm.gov>; "Tommy (Terry) Heck" <theck@blm.gov>; Peter Mat <p@blm.gov>; Will Moore <>wmoore@blm.gov>; Cindi Bailey <c@blm.gov>; Robert Webb <rwebb@blm.gov>; "Tim, Deborah et al" <d@blm.gov>; Ryan Halfway <rhalfway@blm.gov>

Oops, sent before I'd mentioned Heidi.

Thanks,

Britta Nelson  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands/NHP/IS  
Bureau of Land Management  
505 234-6100

Kelly (T) 505

Comments: Britta Nelson

Follow up to update on review of Section 308 Energy Corridor Region 1 Recommendations Report

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Nelson, Britta (bri@blm.gov)

Sent: Fri, Sep 28, 2018 11:11 AM

Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Translocation Project RMP Amendment?

To: Mark Collins <mcc@blm.gov>; Taylor, Taylor <t@blm.gov>; "Tommy (Terry) Heck" <theck@blm.gov>; Peter Mat <p@blm.gov>; Will Moore <>wmoore@blm.gov>; Cindi Bailey <c@blm.gov>; Robert Webb <rwebb@blm.gov>; "Tim, Deborah et al" <d@blm.gov>; Ryan Halfway <rhalfway@blm.gov>

Oops, sent before I'd mentioned Heidi.

Thanks,

Britta Nelson  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands/NHP/IS  
Bureau of Land Management  
505 234-6100

Kelly (T) 505

Comments: Britta Nelson

Follow up to update on review of Section 308 Energy Corridor Region 1 draft review report. Following up, can WMA get GIS layer(s) of the corridors so we can check against our maps to determine which units are affected? Thanks!





Thanks,

Krista Nelson  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands Division  
Bureau of Land Management  
303-218-2519

On Mon, Oct 8, 2018 at 2:21 PM, Ashton Garcia <agarcia@blm.gov> wrote:  
Krista,

I am providing the e-mail below from Mike Adler here at the BLM NM State Office. I wanted to let you know that I have been assigned as the Project Manager for the Verde Transmission Project. I'm also stationed here at the BLM NM State Office as well. Please ensure that you include me in all future e-mails re: so we start the preparation of the Draft EIS.

Bureau of Land Management  
NM State Office  
(505) 654-2199

From: Adler, Mike <mike.adler@blm.gov>  
Sent: Monday, October 22, 2018 1:51 PM  
To: Ashton Garcia  
Subject: Last Check-in on the Verde Transmission Project BMP amendments/EIS

Mike Adler  
Verde National Conservation Lands/Verde/OTM Program Lead  
BLM New Mexico State Office

505-654-2174  
m.adler@blm.gov

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Nelson, Krista <krista@blm.gov>  
Date: Fri, Sep 14, 2018 at 11:16 AM  
Subject: Re: Check-in on the Verde Transmission Project BMP amendments/EIS  
To: Mike Gable <mable@blm.gov>; John, State of <jordan@blm.gov>; Terrence (Terri) Neely <tneely@blm.gov>; Peter Wahl <wahl@blm.gov>; Mike Nelson <mnelson@blm.gov>; Cate Bailey <cbailey@blm.gov>; Robert Wick <rwick@blm.gov>; "Liz, Deborah A." <ldeborah@blm.gov>; Ryan Hathaway <rhath@blm.gov>  
Cate, want before I do anything? Thanks,  
Cate, want before I do anything? Thanks.

On Fri, Sep 14, 2018 at 11:07 AM, Nelson, Krista <krista@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Mike, Mike, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project BMP amendments/EIS. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WDCIS in the draft, being a map.

Our records show the following project items:

1) The project area includes rights and interests BLM resources including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande River. Section 7 of the WDCIS and Section 1000 requires looking at "outstanding" projects that are ongoing, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based

2) The project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real de Tierra Adentro & the Spanish Natchez Trail). The project needs to be considered per BLM NACIS.

3) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's Two-Step EIS/BMP Amendment of 2013. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible EIS for this project.

Thanks,

Cate Nelson

Comments: Krista Nelson

10/25/18

Ashton Garcia

On 10/25/18 10:21 AM

Mike, Peter, Mike, Cate, Robert

and your plans are relative to a WDCIS of the Draft EIS. I'll consult with our Social Management team here in New Mexico and our NEPA Coordinator and get back to you on that question.

Bureau of Land Management  
Management and Program Analyst  
Bureau of Land Management  
NM State Office  
(505) 654-2199

From: Nelson, Krista <krista@blm.gov>  
Sent: 10/25/18 10:21 AM

Mike, Peter, Mike, Cate, Robert

Hi Ashton, thanks for the note. I will make sure and include you in communications on the Verde project. Thanks for keeping me updated and letting me know what WDCIS support might be needed as you move forward. I do have a question - I use this project is identified on the priority project transmission of

Thanks,

Verde National Conservation Lands

Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands WDCIS  
Bureau of Land Management  
303-218-2519

On Mon, Oct 8, 2018 at 2:21 PM, Ashton Garcia <agarcia@blm.gov> wrote:  
Krista,

I am providing the e-mail below from Mike Adler here at the BLM NM State Office. I wanted to let you know that I have been assigned as the Project Manager for the Verde Transmission Project. I'm also stationed here at the BLM NM State Office as well. Please ensure that you include me in all future e-mails re: so we start the preparation of the Draft EIS.

Thank you

Ashton Garcia  
Verde National Conservation Lands  
Bureau of Land Management  
NM State Office  
(505) 654-2199

From: Adler, Mark (mark.adler@blm.gov)  
Sent: Monday, October 10, 2016 1:15 PM  
To: Action Goals  
Subject: Final Check in on the Verde Transmission Project BWP amendments/DLS

Mark Adler  
Biology Natural Conservation Lands/Verde/TM Program Lead  
BLM New Mexico State Office

Date: Fri, Sep 30, 2016 at 11:18 AM  
Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project BWP amendments/DLS  
To: Marky Cables <cables@blm.gov>; Tadeo, Mark A <marka@blm.gov>; "Terrence (Terry) Healy" <thealy@blm.gov>; Peter Wahl <wahl@blm.gov>; Mike Wilson <mike@blm.gov>; Cath Bailey <cbailey@blm.gov>; Robert Wisk <rwisk@blm.gov>; "Liz, Deborah A" <deborah@blm.gov>; Ryan Haskewy <rhaskew@blm.gov>  
Cable, sent before I could answer! Thanks!

On Fri, Sep 30, 2016 at 11:09 AM, Marky Cables <cables@blm.gov> wrote:  
Hi Marky, Mike, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project BWP amendments/DLS. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WDCD in the draft & being prep.  
Our records show the following project items:  
1) The project was initially eligible and suitable WMA areas including additional riparian of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande River. Section 7 of the WMA and BLM have not required looking at "incidental" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based  
2) The project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Gila River and the Santa Fe Trail). The project needs to be considered per BLM NACD-200.  
3) There are no data with additional characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's Two Field Office BWP Amendment of 2012. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible DLS for this project.  
Additional project information follows:  
Thanks,

Marky Cables  
Management and Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands WDCD  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.216.2519

Call: 2107  
Comment: Status Review  
Follow up to update re-review of Section 308 Energy Corridor Region 1 Recommendations Report

Marky Cables <cables@blm.gov>  
BLM New Mexico State Office

To: Kelly, Peter; Timothy, Robert; Cables, Marky; Deborah, Liz  
National Conservation Lands Program, another rough update on the Section 308 Energy Corridor Region 1 Recommendations Report follow. First, the BLM Corridor Team has indicated we can expect to see the draft report for review on March 21.

Marky Cables <cables@blm.gov>  
National Conservation Lands WDCD  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.216.2519

On Thu, Mar 16, 2017 at 4:00 PM, Marky Cables <cables@blm.gov> wrote:  
National Conservation Lands Program, a few updates on the Section 308 Corridor review.  
Marky Cables <cables@blm.gov>  
National Conservation Lands WDCD  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.216.2519

From: Marky, Marky (marky@blm.gov)  
Date: Thu, Mar 16, 2017 at 4:45 PM  
Subject: Section 308 Energy Corridor Region 1 draft review report  
To: "Timothy, Robert" <timothy@blm.gov>; Marky Cables <cables@blm.gov>  
Cc: Kelly, Robert <robert@blm.gov>; Peter Wahl <wahl@blm.gov>

Marky Cables <cables@blm.gov>  
National Conservation Lands WDCD  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.216.2519

Forwarded message

Subject: Section 308 Energy Corridor Region 1 draft review report  
To: Robert Wisk <rwisk@blm.gov>; Timothy Fisher <tfisher@blm.gov>; "Liz, Deborah A" <deborah@blm.gov>; "Bailey, Cath M" <cbailey@blm.gov>  
Cc: Peter Wahl <wahl@blm.gov>; Mike Wilson <mike@blm.gov>

National Conservation Lands Program, a quick heads up on Section 308 Energy Corridor Region 1 draft review report. The report focuses on review of energy corridors to southern California, southern Nevada, and western Arizona.  
WDCD review of the draft report is scheduled for March 21-22. A review of the draft report is scheduled for March 25. The review will be a continuous 10/10/10 review. Information has been gathered from the 10/10/10, other agencies, stakeholders, and others for the report. The draft review report includes a summary of public comment on policy issues and if the decision or recommendations in the draft review report are supported. The 10/10/10 with the review package will follow the review.  
As a reference, here is a press release about the Section 308 Energy Corridor Regional Review: [http://www.blm.gov/wr/whfo/newsroom/2016/september/30\\_08\\_2016.html](http://www.blm.gov/wr/whfo/newsroom/2016/september/30_08_2016.html)

Please let me know if you have any questions at this point. Thanks,

Marky Cables, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands WDCD  
Bureau of Land Management







On Mon, Oct 1, 2018 at 2:21 PM, Adrian Garcia <agarcia@blm.gov> wrote:  
Bette,  
  
I was provided the e-mail below from Maddy Adler here at the BLM NM State Office. I wanted to let you know that I have been assigned as the Project Manager for the Verde Transmission Project. I'm also stationed here at the BLM NM State Office as well. Please ensure that you include me in all future e-mailing as we start the preparation of the Draft EIS.

Thank you

Adrian Garcia  
Berty Specialist/Project Manager  
Bureau of Land Management  
NM State Office  
(505) 654-2129

To: Adrian Garcia  
Subject: Fwd: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project BWP amendment/EIS

Maddy Adler  
Acting National Conservation Lands/NMFL Program Lead  
BLM New Mexico State Office  
SG-654-2129  
madd@blm.gov

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Nathan, Bette <bethenath@blm.gov>  
Date: Fri, Sep 14, 2018 at 11:14 AM  
Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project BWP amendment/EIS  
To: Maddy Adler <madd@blm.gov>; Maddy, Bette <bethenath@blm.gov>; Peter Neal <pneal@blm.gov>; Nikki Moore <nmoore@blm.gov>; Carrie Bailey <cbailey@blm.gov>; Robert Wick <rwick@blm.gov>; "Tim, Technical" <tdubois@blm.gov>; Ryan Harkway <rharth@blm.gov>  
Ciao, and before I get to my personal agenda:

On Fri, Sep 14, 2018 at 11:09 AM, Nathan, Bette <bethenath@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Maddy, Maddy, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project BWP amendment/EIS. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WFO as the draft is being prep.  
Our records show the following project team:  
1) The project was initiated in 2016 and includes WFO resources including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande River. Section 7 of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act requires looking at "segment" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based  
2) The project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real de Tierra Adentro & Old Spanish NHTs). The project needs to be considered per BLM NAC200.  
3) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's Ten Year Draft EIS or BWP Amendment of 2012. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to complete a complete and defensible EIS for this project.  
Additional project information follows.

Thanks,

Kate Felt  
Comments: Bette Nathan

To: Maddy, Bette, Nathan, Bette, Peter, Nikki, Carrie, Robert

Bette,

At this time, I'm not sure what your plans are relative to a WFO review of the Draft EIS. If possible, with our local management team here in New Mexico and get back to you on that question.

Adrian Garcia  
Berty Specialist/Project Manager

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Maddy Adler <madd@blm.gov>  
Date: Fri, Sep 14, 2018 at 11:14 AM  
Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project BWP amendment/EIS

Hi Adrian, thanks for the info. I will make sure and include you on communications on the Verde project. Thanks for keeping me updated and letting me know what WFO support might be needed as you move forward. I do have a question - how this project is identified on the priority project transmission of

----- Forwarded message -----

Bureau of Land Management  
2018-2129-2129

On Mon, Oct 1, 2018 at 2:21 PM, Adrian Garcia <agarcia@blm.gov>

I was provided the e-mail below from Maddy Adler here at the BLM NM State Office. I wanted to let you know that I have been assigned as the Project Manager for the Verde Transmission Project. I'm also stationed here at the BLM NM State Office as well. Please ensure that you include me in all future e-mailing as we start the preparation of the Draft EIS.

Thank you

Adrian Garcia  
Berty Specialist/Project Manager  
Bureau of Land Management  
NM State Office  
(505) 654-2129

From: Adler, Maddy <madd@blm.gov>  
Sent: Monday, October 01, 2018 at 12:21 PM  
To: Adrian Garcia  
Subject: Fwd: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project BWP amendment/EIS



Comments to this Notice

Expand/Collapse Calendar

View in Outlook

4 of about 157

Click here to print all in new window

World's comments on Section 108 Corridor Region 1 Recommendations Report

info

1

Notice: Bette Robinson@blm.gov

[REDACTED]

Bette Robinson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-410)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.214.0109

On Mon, Mar 27, 2017 at 3:37 PM, Garmess, James (JH) (jgarmess@blm.gov) wrote:  
Section 108 Energy Corridor - Region 1 "Tunnel 2" Review,

This email forwards for the planned BLM, USFS and cooperating agency "Tunnel 2" Review, the attached DRAFT Section 108 Energy Corridor Region 1 Recommendations Report. This non-NEPA corridor revision recommendation report product is the result of the recent Section 108 West-slope Energy Corridor - Region 1

Please provide your review comments and suggested revision within the attached document comment review form by COB Friday, April 14th, 2017. This provides authors (3) week review period.

Identified Region 1 "Tunnel 2" reviewers include:  
Region 1 (BLM, CA, NV, NEPA, NEPA Office, District Office and District Office program and line management);  
Region 1 (USFS Pacific Southwest Region program and line management as appropriate);  
BLM WYO, NEPA and NEPA (BLM program staff);  
Region 1 cooperating agency program and line management within the FWS, NPS, NPS, BLM and BLM;  
The Section 108 Emergency Work Group

You have been previously notified through a recent calendar event of a planned Region 1 "Tunnel 2" Review Webinar scheduled for this coming Wednesday, March 29th at 1:00 PM (PST) 1:00 AM MT/12:00 AM PT that will provide an overview of the Draft Region 1 Recommendation Report, address review criteria

It is important note, that the Draft Report is completed off-line (i) separate the sets as follows:  
Draft Report in Microsoft Word (attached 10 MB file and/or scanned on the Box link below);  
Appendix A in Microsoft Word (attached 2 MB file and/or scanned on the Box link below);  
Appendix B - Part 1 as a PDF file (20 MB to large to attach) to be scanned on the Box link below;  
Appendix B - Part 2 as a PDF file (20 MB to large to attach) to be scanned on the Box link below;  
Revised Corridor Abstracts (attached file) to be scanned on the Box link below.

For "Tunnel 2" Review, we are requesting email problems with reviewing the Draft Draft Report attachments, off-line file sets can be accessed and download using Argonne National Laboratory's Box Site, 108 Project file site at the following link:  
[http://apple.box.com/s/0V722559996607?region=1\\_Corridor\\_Recommendations\\_Report\\_1\\_Fat\\_June\\_2\\_Review](http://apple.box.com/s/0V722559996607?region=1_Corridor_Recommendations_Report_1_Fat_June_2_Review)

Revised Corridor Abstracts for each Region 1 BLM State and the USFS can be accessed and downloaded using the BLM Box 108 Project file site as follows:  
[https://apple.box.com/s/0V722559996607?region=1\\_Corridor\\_Recommendations\\_Report\\_1\\_Fat\\_June\\_2\\_Review](https://apple.box.com/s/0V722559996607?region=1_Corridor_Recommendations_Report_1_Fat_June_2_Review)

To stop your review and comment effort, please first focus your initial attention on Section 1, Section 3 and respective BLM State or USFS Corridor Recommendations. If time allows, review Section 1.0 which provides background on the 2008 Site, 108(P) and (R), subsequent lawsuit / settlement agreement

After you've had a chance to familiar yourself with the Draft Report, I believe you have the very comprehensive and high quality product that Argonne and the Region 1 Core Team has developed that is intended for use by Region 1 BLM / USFS and our partners in the future. We had forward to the re

Again, please provide your review comments using the attached comment form to me and/or design details, 108 Transmission Line, 108 Project and/or BLM Policy, April 14th.

[REDACTED] and further assistance, please contact me as provided below, or contact Garmess, James at (202) 833-7019 or James Garmess, Program Manager, Argonne No. (202) 833-7019.

Thank you in advance for your assistance with the Draft 2 Review.

Jim

James K. Garmess  
National Project Manager  
Sec. 108 Energy Corridor Regional Review Project  
[jgarmess@blm.gov](mailto:jgarmess@blm.gov)

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Apr 17 (8 days ago)

To Jim

Thanks, Bette. These look helpful, thanks for the time and effort spent.

World's comments on Section 108 Corridor Region 1 Recommendations Report

info

1

Notice: Bette Robinson@blm.gov

Attachments (4) 14 (7 days ago)

To James, Garmess, Bette, Peter, Nelson, Carl, Deborah, Timothy  
Jim and Garmess, World's comments on the draft Section 108 Corridor Region 1 Recommendations Report are attached. Please let me know if you have questions or any of the comments or would like to meet to discuss. Thanks.

Bette Robinson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-410)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.214.0109

On Mon, Mar 27, 2017 at 3:37 PM, Garmess, James (JH) (jgarmess@blm.gov) wrote:



[illegible]









	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AG	AH	AI	AJ	AK	AL	AM	AN	AO	AP	AQ	AR	AS	AT	AU	AV	AW	AX	AY	AZ	BA	BB	BC	BD	BE	BF	BG	BH	BI	BJ	BK	BL	BM	BN	BO	BP	BQ	BR	BS	BT	BU	BV	BW	BX	BY	BZ	CA	CB	CC	CD	CE	CF	CG	CH	CI	CJ	CK	CL	CM	CN	CO	CP	CQ	CR	CS	CT	CU	CV	CW	CX	CY	CZ	DA	DB	DC	DD	DE	DF	DG	DH	DI	DJ	DK	DL	DM	DN	DO	DP	DQ	DR	DS	DT	DU	DV	DW	DX	DY	DZ	EA	EB	EC	ED	EE	EF	EG	EH	EI	EJ	EK	EL	EM	EN	EO	EP	EQ	ER	ES	ET	EU	EV	EW	EX	EY	EZ	FA	FB	FC	FD	FE	FF	FG	FH	FI	FJ	FK	FL	FM	FN	FO	FP	FQ	FR	FS	FT	FU	FV	FW	FX	FY	FZ	GA	GB	GC	GD	GE	GF	GG	GH	GI	GJ	GK	GL	GM	GN	GO	GP	GQ	GR	GS	GT	GU	GV	GW	GX	GY	GZ	HA	HB	HC	HD	HE	HF	HG	HH	HI	HJ	HK	HL	HM	HN	HO	HP	HQ	HR	HS	HT	HU	HV	HW	HX	HY	HZ	IA	IB	IC	ID	IE	IF	IG	IH	II	IJ	IK	IL	IM	IN	IO	IP	IQ	IR	IS	IT	IU	IV	IW	IX	IY	IZ	JA	JB	JC	JD	JE	JF	JG	JH	JI	JJ	JK	JL	JM	JN	JO	JP	JQ	JR	JS	JT	JU	JV	JW	JX	JY	JZ	KA	KB	KC	KD	KE	KF	KG	KH	KI	KJ	KK	KL	KM	KN	KO	KP	KQ	KR	KS	KT	KU	KV	KW	KX	KY	KZ	LA	LB	LC	LD	LE	LF	LG	LH	LI	LJ	LK	LM	LN	LO	LP	LQ	LR	LS	LT	LU	LV	LW	LX	LY	LZ	MA	MB	MC	MD	ME	MF	MG	MH	MI	MJ	MK	ML	MM	MN	MO	MP	MQ	MR	MS	MT	MU	MV	MW	MX	MY	MZ	NA	NB	NC	ND	NE	NF	NG	NH	NI	NJ	NK	NL	NM	NN	NO	NP	NQ	NR	NS	NT	NU	NV	NW	NX	NY	NZ	OA	OB	OC	OD	OE	OF	OG	OH	OI	OJ	OK	OL	OM	ON	OO	OP	OQ	OR	OS	OT	OU	OV	OW	OX	OY	OZ	PA	PB	PC	PD	PE	PF	PG	PH	PI	PJ	PK	PL	PM	PN	PO	PP	PQ	PR	PS	PT	PU	PV	PW	PX	PY	PZ	QA	QB	QC	QD	QE	QF	QG	QH	QI	QJ	QK	QL	QM	QN	QO	QP	QQ	QR	QS	QT	QU	QV	QW	QX	QY	QZ	RA	RB	RC	RD	RE	RF	RG	RH	RI	RJ	RK	RL	RM	RN	RO	RP	RQ	RR	RS	RT	RU	RV	RW	RX	RY	RZ	SA	SB	SC	SD	SE	SF	SG	SH	SI	SJ	SK	SL	SM	SN	SO	SP	SQ	SR	SS	ST	SU	SV	SW	SX	SY	SZ	TA	TB	TC	TD	TE	TF	TG	TH	TI	TJ	TK	TL	TM	TN	TO	TP	TQ	TR	TS	TU	TV	TW	TX	TY	TZ	UA	UB	UC	UD	UE	UF	UG	UH	UI	UJ	UK	UL	UM	UN	UO	UP	UQ	UR	US	UT	UU	UV	UW	UX	UY	UZ	VA	VB	VC	VD	VE	VF	VG	VH	VI	VJ	VK	VL	VM	VN	VO	VP	VQ	VR	VS	VT	VU	VV	VW	VX	VY	VZ	WA	WB	WC	WD	WE	WF	WG	WH	WI	WJ	WK	WL	WM	WN	WO	WP	WQ	WR	WS	WT	WU	WV	WW	WX	WY	WZ	XA	XB	XC	XD	XE	XF	XG	XH	XI	XJ	XK	XL	XM	XN	XO	XP	XQ	XR	XS	XT	XU	XV	XW	XX	XY	XZ	YA	YB	YC	YD	YE	YF	YG	YH	YI	YJ	YK	YL	YM	YN	YO	YP	YQ	YR	YS	YT	YU	YV	YW	YX	YZ	ZA	ZB	ZC	ZD	ZE	ZF	ZG	ZH	ZI	ZJ	ZK	ZL	ZM	ZN	ZO	ZP	ZQ	ZR	ZS	ZT	ZU	ZV	ZW	ZX	ZY	ZZ

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100	101	102	103	104	105	106	107	108	109	110	111	112	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	131	132	133	134	135	136	137	138	139	140	141	142	143	144	145	146	147	148	149	150	151	152	153	154	155	156	157	158	159	160	161	162	163	164	165	166	167	168	169	170	171	172	173	174	175	176	177	178	179	180	181	182	183	184	185	186	187	188	189	190	191	192	193	194	195	196	197	198	199	200	201	202	203	204	205	206	207	208	209	210	211	212	213	214	215	216	217	218	219	220	221	222	223	224	225	226	227	228	229	230	231	232	233	234	235	236	237	238	239	240	241	242	243	244	245	246	247	248	249	250	251	252	253	254	255	256	257	258	259	260	261	262	263	264	265	266	267	268	269	270	271	272	273	274	275	276	277	278	279	280	281	282	283	284	285	286	287	288	289	290	291	292	293	294	295	296	297	298	299	300	301	302	303	304	305	306	307	308	309	310	311	312	313	314	315	316	317	318	319	320	321	322	323	324	325	326	327	328	329	330	331	332	333	334	335	336	337	338	339	340	341	342	343	344	345	346	347	348	349	350	351	352	353	354	355	356	357	358	359	360	361	362	363	364	365	366	367	368	369	370	371	372	373	374	375	376	377	378	379	380	381	382	383	384	385	386	387	388	389	390	391	392	393	394	395	396	397	398	399	400	401	402	403	404	405	406	407	408	409	410	411	412	413	414	415	416	417	418	419	420	421	422	423	424	425	426	427	428	429	430	431	432	433	434	435	436	437	438	439	440	441	442	443	444	445	446	447	448	449	450	451	452	453	454	455	456	457	458	459	460	461	462	463	464	465	466	467	468	469	470	471	472	473	474	475	476	477	478	479	480	481	482	483	484	485	486	487	488	489	490	491	492	493	494	495	496	497	498	499	500	501	502	503	504	505	506	507	508	509	510	511	512	513	514	515	516	517	518	519	520	521	522	523	524	525	526	527	528	529	530	531	532	533	534	535	536	537	538	539	540	541	542	543	544	545	546	547	548	549	550	551	552	553	554	555	556	557	558	559	560	561	562	563	564	565	566	567	568	569	570	571	572	573	574	575	576	577	578	579	580	581	582	583	584	585	586	587	588	589	590	591	592	593	594	595	596	597	598	599	600	601	602	603	604	605	606	607	608	609	610	611	612	613	614	615	616	617	618	619	620	621	622	623	624	625	626	627	628	629	630	631	632	633	634	635	636	637	638	639	640	641	642	643	644	645	646	647	648	649	650	651	652	653	654	655	656	657	658	659	660	661	662	663	664	665	666	667	668	669	670	671	672	673	674	675	676	677	678	679	680	681	682	683	684	685	686	687	688	689	690	691	692	693	694	695	696	697	698	699	700	701	702	703	704	705	706	707	708	709	710	711	712	713	714	715	716	717	718	719	720	721	722	723	724	725	726	727	728	729	730	731	732	733	734	735	736	737	738	739	740	741	742	743	744	745	746	747	748	749	750	751	752	753	754	755	756	757	758	759	760	761	762	763	764	765	766	767	768	769	770	771	772	773	774	775	776	777	778	779	780	781	782	783	784	785	786	787	788	789	790	791	792	793	794	795	796	797	798	799	800	801	802	803	804	805	806	807	808	809	810	811	812	813	814	815	816	817	818	819	820	821	822	823	824	825	826	827	828	829	830	831	832	833	834	835	836	837	838	839	840	841	842	843	844	845	846	847	848	849	850	851	852	853	854	855	856	857	858	859	860	861	862	863	864	865	866	867	868	869	870	871	872	873	874	875	876	877	878	879	880	881	882	883	884	885	886	887	888	889	890	891	892	893	894	895	896	897	898	899	900	901	902	903	904	905	906	907	908	909	910	911	912	913	914	915	916	917	918	919	920	921	922	923	924	925	926	927	928	929	930	931	932	933	934	935	936	937	938	939	940	941	942	943	944	945	946	947	948	949	950	951	952	953	954	955	956	957	958	959	960	961	962	963	964	965	966	967	968	969	970	971	972	973	974	975	976	977	978	979	980	981	982	983	984	985	986	987	988	989	990	991	992	993	994	995	996	997	998	999	1000	1001	1002	1003	1004	1005	1006	1007	1008	1009	1010	1011	1012	1013	1014	1015	1016	1017	1018	1019	1020	1021	1022	1023	1024	1025	1026	1027	1028	1029	1030	1031	1032	1033	1034	1035	1036	1037	1038	1039	1040	1041	1042	1043	1044	1045	1046	1047	1048	1049	1050	1051	1052	1053	1054	1055	1056	1057	1058	1059	1060	1061	1062	1063	1064	1065	1066	1067	1068	1069	1070	1071	1072	1073	1074	1075	1076	1077	1078	1079	1080	1081	1082	1083	1084	1085	1086	1087	1088	1089	1090	1091	1092	1093	1094	1095	1096	1097	1098	1099	1100	1101	1102	1103	1104	1105	1106	1107	1108	1109	1110	1111	1112	1113	1114	1115	1116	1117	1118	1119	1120	1121	1122	1123	1124	1125	1126	1127	1128	1129	1130	1131	1132	1133	1134	1135	1136	1137	1138	1139	1140	1141	1142	1143	1144	1145	1146	1147	1148	1149	1150	1151	1152	1153	1154	1155	1156	1157	1158	1159	1160	1161	1162	1163	1164	1165	1166	1167	1168	1169	1170	1171	1172	1173	1174	1175	1176	1177	1178	1179	1180	1181	1182	1183	1184	1185	1186	1187	1188	1189	1190	1191	1192	1193	1194	1195	1196	1197	1198	1199	1200	1201	1202	1203	1204	1205	1206	1207	1208	1209	1210	1211	1212	1213	1214	1215	1216	1217	1218	1219	1220	1221	1222	1223	1224	1225	1226	1227	1228	1229	1230	1231	1232	1233	1234	1235	1236	1237	1238	1239	1240	1241	1242	1243	1244	1245	1246	1247	1248	1249	1250	1251	1252	1253	1254	1255	1256	1257	1258	1259	1260	1261	1262	1263	1264	1265	1266	1267	1268	1269	1270	1271	1272	1273	1274	1275	1276	1277	1278	1279	1280	1281	1282	1283	1284	1285	1286	1287	1288	1289	1290	1291	1292	1293	1294	1295	1296	1297	1298	1299	1300	1301	1302	1303	1304	1305	1306	1307	1308	1309	1310	1311	1312	1313	1314	1315	1316	1317	1318	1319	1320	1321	1322	1323	1324	1325	1326	1327	1328	1329	1330	1331	1332	1333	1334	1335	1336	1337	1338	1339	1340	1341	1342	1343	1344	1345	1346	1347	1348	1349	1350	1351	1352	1353	1354	1355	1356	1357	1358	1359	1360	1361	1362	1363	1364	1365	1366	1367	1368	1369	1370	1371	1372	1373	1374	1375	1376	1377	1378	1379	1380	1381	1382	1383	1384	1385	1386	1387	1388	1389	1390	1391	1392	1393	1394	1395	1396	1397	1398	1399	1400	1401	1402	1403	1404	1405	1406	1407	1408	1409	1410	1411	1412	1413	1414	1415	1416	1417	1418	1419	1420	1421	1422	1423	1424	1425	1426	1427	1428	1429	1430	1431	1432	1433	1434	1435	1436	1437	1438	1439	1440	1441	1442	1443	1444	1445	1446	1447	1448	1449	1450	1451	1452	1453	1454	1455	1456	1457	1458	1459	1460	1461	1462	1463	1464	1465	1466	1467	1468	1469	1470	1471	1472	1473	1474	1475	1476	1477	1478	1479	1480	1481	1482	1483	1484	1485	1486	1487	1488	1489	1490	1491
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------

[illegible]

Call 546

Comment Britta Nelson  
(1) (b) (5), Admin, Shiva  
9:25 AM (4 minutes ago)

To Mrs. Ryan, Anne  
Hi Britta,

Thanks and hope the same to you too!

All briefing was held on December 30th and Chris attended (from 400) and it was agreed to go ahead with Director's briefing and some suggestion were provided for fine tuning the presentation. Director's briefing request has been submitted but not yet scheduled.

All the best,

[REDACTED]

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-400)  
Bureau of Land Management  
300 3.04.019

Call 545

Comment Britta Nelson  
(1) (b) (5), Admin, Shiva  
9:25 AM (3 minutes ago)

To Mrs. Ryan, Anne  
Hi Britta,

Thanks and hope the same to you too!

All briefing was held on December 30th and Chris attended (from 400) and it was agreed to go ahead with Director's briefing and some suggestion were provided for fine tuning the presentation. Director's briefing request has been submitted but not yet scheduled.

All the best,

Shiva

Shiva A. Chak, PhD  
Planning and Environmental Analyst  
6042 WCL 400 (Director of District Support, Planning & NEPA)  
20 M Street, S.E. | Washington, DC 20003  
<https://twitter.com/shiva>

On Mon, Jan 9, 2023 at 10:53 AM, Nelson, Britta <brittan@blm.gov> wrote:  
Hi Ryan, Shiva, and Anne - I hope your week was off to a great start! Thank you for adding WCL400 to the routing for the Southeastern States nation (207). I am updating WCL400 tracking records and wanted to check it in on where we are with briefings on the plan. Have the AD and Director's briefs been held? If  
Thank!

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-400)  
Bureau of Land Management  
300 3.04.019

Comment [REDACTED]  
(1) (b) (5), Young, Richard  
9:25 AM (1 minute ago)

To Mrs. Ryan, Anne  
Hi Britta,

In mid December we briefed State Director Amy Lunder on the WCL response and we are making a few adjustments to the memo response. We are also close to completing the GRS and user estimate.

The holidays have slowed us down a bit but submitting this response to your office promptly remains high on the priority list.

[REDACTED] on your table.

[REDACTED]

On Fri, Jan 20, 2023 at 1:00 PM, Nelson, Britta <brittan@blm.gov> wrote:  
Hi Chad and Mandy, I hope January is off to a great start. [REDACTED] to see if there is an update on the Callidus information you have been working on.  
Thank!

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-400)  
Bureau of Land Management  
300 3.04.019

On Fri, Jan 18, 2023 at 1:19 PM, Young, Richard wrote:  
Hi Britta,

No further at all on asking about the status of our response. I appreciate you bringing it on your table.

We have decided to go ahead and include the cost and greenhouse gas emission (GHG) estimates into the RMP and this effort is taking some time due to the complexity involved in generating current and forecasted oil/gas production in our field office. We are close to having the production estimates sent to all

With the upcoming holiday I may be a few weeks before we are able to have this done.

If you have any other questions I would be glad to answer them.

Thanks,  
Chad

On Sun, Nov 13, 2006 at 7:37 AM, Nelson, @tiscali@tiscali.co.uk wrote:  
Hi Chad, sorry to keep bugging you since I know you are following up on this but I was wondering if there is an update on the Carlsbad information. Thanks!

Britta Nelson  
Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-612)  
Bureau of Land Management  
203.236.0539

Correction: We are anticipating having response ready to send back to your office by November 7, not December. My apologies for the confusion.

We are getting close to having our responses ready to send back to the WD. We are meeting today to further discuss the greenhouse gas end-user analysis. We are anticipating having responses ready to send back to your office by December 7.

Thanks for keeping this on your radar.

Q34

On Mon, Oct 21, 2016 at 9:01 AM, Nelson, Rikta-Giknelson@lin.gov wrote:  
Hi Chad, just a quick check to see if there is an update on the Caridad information

Britta Nelson  
Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-412)  
Bureau of Land Management  
203.236.0539

On Fri, Oct 21, 2016 at 1:53 PM, Neilson, Britta-Elise@lin.gov wrote:  
Hi Chad, thanks for the update. We appreciate your efforts especially with so much going on. Please let me know if anything changes on timelines so I can let folks know on our end.

We look forward to working with you to close this out. Thanks! Brian

Britta Nelson  
Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-612)  
Bureau of Land Management  
202.236.0509

On Fri, Oct 21, 2016 at 11:08 AM, Young, Richard <[ryoung@bin.goo](mailto:ryoung@bin.goo)> wrote:

We are still working on providing a thorough response. Some of this requires GIS analysis. Annual leave and attending meetings with cooperating agencies has slowed us down but we are getting close to having a response to several of the questions raised during the 9/27 briefing sent to your office. We are hoping to have a response to the remaining questions by the end of the month.

Thanks for your patience and I look forward to hearing your officer's thoughts once we provide these responses.

Chad

Cell: 058  
Comment: Britta Nelson

9:25 AM 14 min

**Journal of Management Inquiry**

1999

AD briefing was held on December 30th and Chris attended it from 400 and it was agreed to go ahead with Director's briefing and some suggestions were provided for fine-tuning the presentation. Director's briefing request has been submitted but not yet scheduled.

All the Best,

Shilpa H Achet, PhD  
[REDACTED] Analyst  
[REDACTED] Decision Support, Planning & NEPA  
[REDACTED] Washington, DC 20003  
<https://twitter.com/achetsh>

On Mon, Jan 9, 2017 at 10:58 AM, Nelson, Erika <erikn@bim.gov> wrote:

Hi Ryan, Silvia, and Annie - I hope your weeks are off to a great start! Thank you for adding WO400 to the routing for the Southeastern States notice in DTS. I am updating WO400 tracking records and wanted to check in on where we are with briefings on the plan. Have the AD and Director's briefs been held? R

100

\_\_\_\_\_

102.216.0519

and Britta Nelson:

\_\_\_\_\_

1946 Bulletin

In mid-December we briefed State Director Amy Iversen on the WID response and we are making a few adjustments to the memo response. We are also close to completing the GNG and user estimate.

The holidays have slowed us down a bit but submitting to *Journal of Interpersonal Violence* remains high on the priority list.

Thanks again for keeping this on your radar.

Happy New Year!

On Fri, Jan 20, 2017 at 1:09 PM, Nelson, Britta <[brittan@dingo.net](mailto:brittan@dingo.net)> wrote:

Hi Chad and Molly, I hope January is off to a great start for you both! I wanted to check in to see if there is an update on the Carlsbad information you have been working on.

Please let me know if you would like to discuss. Thank! Britta

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-412)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.224.8539

On Fri, Nov 14, 2014 at 12:18 PM, Young, Richard <young@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Britta,

No problem at all on adding about the status of our response. I appreciate you keeping it on your radar.

We have decided to go ahead and include the end-user greenhouse gas emissions (GHG) estimates into the RMP and this effort is taking some time due to the complexity involved in generating current and forecast oil/gas production in our field office. We are close to having the production estimates sent to all

Thanks,

Chad

On Sun, Nov 16, 2014 at 7:27 AM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Chad, sorry to keep bugging you since I know you are following up on this but I was wondering if there is an update on the Carlsbad information. Thank!

Britta Nelson  
Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-412)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.224.8539

On Mon, Oct 13, 2014 at 12:43 PM, Young, Richard <young@blm.gov> wrote:

Correction: We are anticipating having response ready to send back to your office by November 7, not December. My apologies for the confusion.

Chad

On Mon, Oct 13, 2014 at 9:35 AM, Young, Richard <young@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Britta,

We are getting close to having our responses ready to send back to the BLM. We are meeting today to further discuss the greenhouse gas end-user inputs. We are anticipating having responses ready to send back to your office by December 7.

Thanks for keeping this on your radar.

Chad

On Mon, Oct 13, 2014 at 9:02 AM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Chad, just a quick check to see if there is an update on the Carlsbad information. Thank!

Britta Nelson

Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-412)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.224.8539

On Fri, Oct 10, 2014 at 1:13 PM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Chad, thanks for the update. We appreciate your efforts especially with so much going on. Please let me know if anything changes on timeframes so I can let folks know on our end.

Hi Chad, I will be sure to let you know when to close this out. Thank! Britta

Britta Nelson

Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-412)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.224.8539

On Fri, Oct 21, 2014 at 11:08 AM, Young, Richard <young@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Britta,

Thorough response. Some of this requires GIS analysis. Annual base and extending meetings with cooperating agencies has slowed us down but we are getting close to having a response to several of the questions raised during the 9/27 briefing sent to your office. We are hoping

to have for your clients and look forward to hearing your office's thoughts since we provide these responses.

Chad

Chad

On Tue, Nov 11, 2014 at 11:08 AM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Chad, I will be sure to let you know when to close this out. Thank! Britta

Britta Nelson

Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-412)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.224.8539

On Fri, Oct 21, 2014 at 11:08 AM, Young, Richard <young@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Britta,

Thorough response. Some of this requires GIS analysis. Annual base and extending meetings with cooperating agencies has slowed us down but we are getting close to having a response to several of the questions raised during the 9/27 briefing sent to your office. We are hoping

to have for your clients and look forward to hearing your office's thoughts since we provide these responses.

Chad

Chad

On Tue, Nov 11, 2014 at 11:08 AM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Chad, I will be sure to let you know when to close this out. Thank! Britta

Britta Nelson

Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-412)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.224.8539

On Fri, Nov 14, 2014 at 12:18 PM, Young, Richard <young@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Britta,

No problem at all on adding about the status of our response. I appreciate you keeping it on your radar.

We have decided to go ahead and include the end-user greenhouse gas emissions (GHG) estimates into the RMP and this effort is taking some time due to the complexity involved in generating current and forecast oil/gas production in our field office. We are close to having the production estimates sent to all

With the upcoming holidays it may be a few weeks before we are able to have this done.

If you have any other questions I would be glad to answer them.

Thank,

Chad

On Sun, Nov 1, 2020 at 7:17 AM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Chad, sorry to keep bugging you since I know you are following up on this but I was wondering if there is an update on the Caribbead information. Thank!

Britta Nelson  
Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-402)

Chad

On Mon, Oct 19, 2020 at 9:19 AM, Young, Richard <ryoung@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Britta,

We are getting close to having our responses ready to send back to the BLM. We are meeting today to further discuss the greenhouse gas and user analysis. We are anticipating having responses ready to send back to your office by December 7.

Thanks for keeping this on your radar.

Chad

On Mon, Oct 19, 2020 at 9:01 AM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Chad, just a quick check to see if there is an update on the Caribbead information. Thank! Britta

Britta Nelson  
Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-402)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303-216-2519

On Fri, Oct 21, 2020 at 1:13 PM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Chad, thanks for the update. We appreciate your efforts especially with so much going on. Please let me know if anything changes on the timeline so I can let folks know on our end.

We look forward to working with you to close this out. Thank! Britta

Britta Nelson  
Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-402)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303-216-2519

On Fri, Oct 21, 2020 at 11:08 AM, Young, Richard <ryoung@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Britta,

We are still working on providing a thorough response. Some of the requests still require. Annual BLM and attending meetings with cooperating agencies has slowed us down but we are getting close to having a response to several of the questions raised during the BLM briefing sent to your office. We are hoping

Thanks for your patience and I look forward to having your office's thoughts once we provide these responses.

Britta

Comment: Britta Nelson

On 10/21/20, Young, Richard

1:13 PM (2 hours ago)

To: You, me, Sally, Molly, Christopher

Hi Britta,

Director Amy Lunden is on the BLM response and we are making a few adjustments to the memo response. We are also close to completing the GIS and user analysis.

The holidays have slowed us down a bit but submitting this response to your office promptly remains high on the priority list.

Thanks for your patience.

Happy New Year!

Chad

On Fri, Oct 26, 2017 at 1:09 PM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:

Britta is off so a great shout for you both!! I wanted to check in to see if there is an update on the Caribbead information you have been working on.

Thank! Britta

Bureau of Land Management

303-216-2519

On Fri, Nov 26, 2020 at 12:09 PM, Young, Richard <ryoung@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Britta, I am still working on your response. I appreciate you keeping it on your radar.

We have decided to go ahead and include the end user greenhouse gas emissions (GHG) estimates into the BLM and this effort is taking some time due to the complexity involved in generating current and forecast oil/gas production in our field office. We are close to having the production estimates sent to us

With the upcoming holidays it may be a few weeks before we are able to have this done.

If you have any other questions I would be glad to answer them.

Thank,

Chad

On Sun, Nov 1, 2020 at 7:17 AM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Chad, sorry to keep bugging you since I know you are following up on this but I was wondering if there is an update on the Caribbead information. Thank!

Britta Nelson  
Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-402)  
Bureau of Land Management





Chad

On Mon, Oct 11, 2010 at 9:01 AM, Nelson, Britta <brittan@blm.gov> wrote:  
Hi Chad, just a quick check to see if there is an update on the Caribbead information.  
Thanks! Britta

Britta Nelson  
Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-402)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303-226-2519

On Fri, Oct 15, 2010 at 1:07 PM, Nelson, Britta <brittan@blm.gov> wrote:  
[REDACTED]

Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-402)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303-226-2519

On Fri, Oct 21, 2010 at 1:08 AM, Young, Richard <ryoung@blm.gov> wrote:  
Hi Britta,

We are still working on providing a thorough response. Some of this requires GIS analysis. Annual lease and attending meetings with cooperating agencies has slowed us down but we are getting close to having a response to several of the questions asked during the 9/27 briefing were to your office. We are hoping  
Thanks for your patience and I look forward to hearing your officials' thoughts once we provide these responses.

Chad

Call: 375

Comment: Britta Nelson  
Follow up from Director & staff. Met with MMS, WISD, WISD on Oct 4 to discuss lands with wilderness characteristics issues. Thanks again for meeting to discuss the Caribbead briefing. As follow up, Chad will work with Sally and Matsuo on updating the briefing information related to lands with wilderness characteristics information related to NCL capability and also be included other entities on the management presentation. New Mexico to provide information in January for WISD review. Checked with M on 10-10-17 re status.

Call: 375

Comment: Britta Nelson  
On 10-17 Young, Richard  
1:07 PM (2 hours ago)  
to Tim, me, Sally, Mandy, Christopher  
Hi Britta,

In mid-December we briefed Core Director Amy Lunden on the NCL response and we are making a few adjustments to the memo response. We are also close to completing the GIS and user estimates.  
The holidays have slowed us down a bit but submitting this response to your office promptly remains high on the priority list.  
Thanks again for keeping this on your radar.  
Happy New Year!  
Chad

On Fri, Nov 26, 2010 at 1:00 PM, Nelson, Britta <brittan@blm.gov> wrote:  
[REDACTED] I'll be a great client for you both! I wanted to check in to see if there is an update on the Caribbead information you have been working on.  
[REDACTED] we are happy to discuss. Thanks! Britta

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-402)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303-226-2519

On Fri, Nov 26, 2010 at 12:33 PM, Young, Richard <ryoung@blm.gov> wrote:  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] status of our response. I appreciate you keeping it on your radar.  
[REDACTED] and include the end user greenhouse gas emission (GHG) estimates into the RMP and this effort is taking some time due to the complexity involved in generating current and forecast oil/gas production in our field office. We are close to having the production estimates sent to us  
[REDACTED] it may be a few weeks before we are able to have this done.

If you have any other questions I would be glad to answer them.

Thanks,  
Chad

Britta Nelson, Britta <brittan@blm.gov> wrote:  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] you are following up on this but I was wondering if there is an update on the Caribbead information. Thanks!

Britta Nelson  
[REDACTED]  
Bureau of Land Management  
303-226-2519

On Mon, Oct 11, 2010 at 12:05 PM, Young, Richard <ryoung@blm.gov> wrote:  
[REDACTED] and December. May appreciate for the confusion.  
[REDACTED]

On Mon, Oct 11, 2010 at 9:01 AM, Young, Richard <ryoung@blm.gov> wrote:  
Hi Britta,

We are getting close to having our responses ready to [REDACTED]ing today to further discuss the greenhouse gas and user analysis. We are anticipating having responses ready to send back to your office by December 1.  
Thanks for keeping this on your radar.  
Chad

On Mon, Oct 11, 2010 at 9:01 AM, Nelson, Britta <brittan@blm.gov> wrote:  
Hi Chad, just a quick check to see if there is an update on the Caribbead information.  
Thanks! Britta

Britta Nelson  
Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-402)

Bureau of Land Management  
202.219.0129

On Fri, Oct 21, 2016 at 1:13 PM, Britta-Steinhilber@blm.gov wrote:  
Hi Chad, thanks for the update. We appreciate your efforts especially with so much going on. Please let me know if anything changes or transforms so I can let Sally know as our end.

We look forward to working with you to close this out. Thanks! Britta

Britta Nelson  
Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-402)  
Bureau of Land Management  
202.219.0129

Thanks for your patience and look forward to hearing your efforts' thoughts once we provide these responses.

Chad

Call: 079

Comment: Britta Nelson

Follow up from Director's Staff. Meet with NWEL, WDC202 on Oct 4 to discuss lands with wilderness characteristics issues. Thanks again for meeting to discuss the Carlsbad Drafting. As follow up, Chad will meet with Sally and Britta on updating the briefing information related to lands with wilderness characteristics. Information related to WDC suitability will also be included either verbally or in the powerpoint presentation. New Mexico to provide information in January for WDC202 review. Checked with NM on 10-20-17 re status.

Call: 080

Comment: Britta Nelson

On 10-17, Thong, Richard  
1:43 PM (13 hours ago)

To: Tom, Rick, Mandy, Christopher  
cc: Britta

Hi mid-December we drafted State Director Amy Lunder's on the WDC response and we are making a few adjustments to the status response. We are also close to completing the GRS and user estimates.

The holidays have slowed us down a bit but submitting this response to your office promptly remains high on the priority list.

Thanks again for keeping this on your radar.

Happy New Year!  
Chad

On Fri, Jan 20, 2017 at 1:09 PM, Nelson, Britta-Steinhilber@blm.gov wrote:

Hi Chad and Mandy, hope January is off to a great start for you both!! I wanted to check in to see if there is an update on the Carlsbad information you have been working on.

Please let me know if you would like to discuss. Thanks! Britta

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-402)  
Bureau of Land Management  
202.219.0129

On Fri, Dec 16, 2016 at 10:12 AM, Thong, Richard <rpang@blm.gov> wrote:

No further action on asking about the status of our response. I appreciate you keeping it on your radar.

We have decided to go ahead and include the mid-year greenhouse gas emissions (GHG) estimate into the RMP and this effort is taking some time due to the complexity involved in generating current and forecast wildlife production in our field offices. We are close to having the production estimate sent to all

With the upcoming holidays it may be a few weeks before we are able to have this done.

If you have any other questions I would be glad to answer them.

On Fri, Dec 16, 2016 at 10:12 AM, Thong, Richard <rpang@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Britta, Nelson, Britta-Steinhilber@blm.gov wrote:  
Thank you since I know you are following up on this but I was wondering if there is an update on the Carlsbad information. Thanks!

Britta Nelson  
Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-402)  
Bureau of Land Management  
202.219.0129

On Fri, Dec 16, 2016 at 10:12 AM, Thong, Richard <rpang@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Chad, we are ready to send back to your office by November 7, not December. My apologies for the confusion.

Chad

Britta

Hi Britta,

We are getting close to having your responses ready to submit. We are anticipating having responses ready to send back to your office by December 7.

Chad

On Mon, Oct 13, 2015 at 9:01 AM, Nelson, Britta-Steinhilber@blm.gov wrote:

Hi Chad, just a quick check to see if there is any update on the Carlsbad information.  
Thanks! Britta

Britta Nelson  
Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-402)  
Bureau of Land Management  
202.219.0129

On Fri, Oct 16, 2016 at 1:13 PM, Nelson, Britta-Steinhilber@blm.gov wrote:

Hi Chad, thanks for the update. We appreciate your efforts especially with so much going on. Please let me know if anything changes or transforms so I can let Sally know as our end.

We look forward to working with you to close this out. Thanks! Britta

Britta Nelson



Thanks for your patience and look forward to hearing your efforts thoughts once we provide these responses.

Chad

Call: 514

Comment: 5/11/14 Nelson:

Follow up from Director & staff. Met with MMSD, WDFW on Oct 4 to discuss bonds with wilderness characteristics issues. Thanks again for meeting to discuss the Caribou briefing. As follow up, Chad will work with Sally and Melaine on updating the briefing information related to bonds with wilderness characteristics information related to WCA suitability will also be included either verbally or in the powerpoint presentation. New Mexico to provide information in January for WDFW review. Checked with MM on 01-09-17 re status.

Call: 514

Comment: 5/11/14 Nelson:

On 10/17, Young, Richard

In mid-December we drafted State Director Amy Lunden on the WCA response and we are making a few adjustments to the master response. We are also close to completing the GMS and user estimates.

The holidays have slowed us down a bit but submitting this response to your office promptly remains high on the priority list.

Thanks again for keeping this on your radar.

Happy New Year!

Chad

On Fri, Jan 20, 2017 at 1:08 PM, Nelson, Britta <brittan@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Chad and Molly, I hope January is off to a great start for you both! I wanted to check in to see if there is an update on the Caribou information you have been working on.

Please let me know if you would like to discuss. Thanks! Britta

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst

National Conservation Lands (NCL-410)

Bureau of Land Management

303.234.0139

On Fri, Nov 18, 2016 at 1:10 PM, Young, Richard <young@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Britta,

No bother at all in asking about the status of our response. I appreciate you keeping it on your radar.

We have decided to go ahead and include the end user greenhouse gas emission (GHE) estimates into the RMP and this effort is taking some time due to the complexity involved in generating current and forecast all the production in our field office. We are close to having the production estimate sent to us.

With the upcoming holidays it may be a few weeks before we are able to have this done.

If you have any other questions I would be glad to answer them.

Thanks,

Chad

On Sun, Nov 13, 2016 at 7:37 AM, Nelson, Britta <brittan@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Chad, sorry to keep bugging you since I know you are following up on this but I was wondering if there is an update on the Caribou information. Thanks!

Britta Nelson

National Conservation Lands (NCL-410)

Bureau of Land Management

303.234.0139

On Mon, Oct 10, 2016 at 12:48 PM, Young, Richard <young@blm.gov> wrote:

Correction. We are anticipating having response ready to send back to your office by November 7, not December. My apologies for the confusion.

Chad

On Mon, Oct 10, 2016 at 12:48 PM, Young, Richard <young@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Chad, we are getting close to having our responses ready to send back to the WCA. We are meeting today to further discuss the greenhouse gas end user analysis. We are anticipating having responses ready to send back to your office by December 7.

Thanks again for keeping this on your radar.

Chad

On Mon, Oct 10, 2016 at 12:48 PM, Nelson, Britta <brittan@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Chad, just a quick check to see if there is an update on the Caribou information.

Thanks! Britta

On Mon, Oct 10, 2016 at 12:48 PM, Nelson, Britta <brittan@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Chad, just a quick check to see if there is an update on the Caribou information. Thanks! Britta

On Mon, Oct 10, 2016 at 12:48 PM, Nelson, Britta <brittan@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Chad, just a quick check to see if there is an update on the Caribou information. Thanks! Britta

On Mon, Oct 10, 2016 at 12:48 PM, Nelson, Britta <brittan@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Chad, just a quick check to see if there is an update on the Caribou information.

Thanks! Britta

On Mon, Oct 10, 2016 at 12:48 PM, Nelson, Britta <brittan@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Chad, just a quick check to see if there is an update on the Caribou information.

Thanks! Britta

We are still working on providing a thorough response. Some of the response is in review. Around those and attending meetings with cooperating agencies has slowed us down but we are getting close to having a response to several of the questions stated during the WCA briefing sent to your office. We are happy

to provide your patience and look forward to hearing your efforts thoughts once we provide these responses.

Chad

Call: 514

Comment: 5/11/14 Nelson:

Follow up from Director & staff. Met with MMSD, WDFW on Oct 4 to discuss bonds with wilderness characteristics issues. Thanks again for meeting to discuss the Caribou briefing. As follow up, Chad will work with Sally and Melaine on updating the briefing information related to bonds with wilderness characteristics information related to WCA suitability will also be included either verbally or in the powerpoint presentation. New Mexico to provide information in January for WDFW review. Checked with MM on 01-09-17 re status.

Call: 508  
Comment: Britta Nelson  
On 10/15/2018, Young, Richard  
1:43 PM (2 hours ago)  
To: Tim, Mr. Galy, Ms. Moly, Christopher  
Hi Britta,  
In mid-December we briefed State Director Amy Lunder on the WQS response and we are making a few adjustments to the memo response. We are also close to completing the GMS and user estimates.  
The holidays have slowed us down a bit but submitting this response to your office promptly remains high on the priority list.  
Thanks again for keeping this on your radar.  
[REDACTED]  
On Fri, Jan 26, 2018 at 1:00 PM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:  
Hi Chad and Mally, I hope January is off to a great start for you both! I wanted to check in to see if there is an update on the Carlsbad information you have been working on.  
Please let me know if you would like to discuss. Thanks! Britta  
Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-402)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.234.8539  
On Fri, Nov 16, 2018 at 12:19 PM, Young, Richard <ryoung@blm.gov> wrote:  
Hi Britta,  
No bother at all on adding about the status of our response. I appreciate you keeping it on your radar.  
We have decided to go ahead and include the end-user greenhouse gas emissions (GHG) estimate into the MAP and this effort is taking some time due to the complexity involved in generating current and forecast oil/gas production in our field office. We are close to having the production estimates sent to all.  
With the upcoming holidays it may be a few weeks before we are able to have this done.  
If you have any other questions I would be glad to answer them.  
Thanks,  
Chad  
On Sun, Nov 11, 2018 at 7:27 AM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:  
Hi Chad, sorry to hear. Keeping you posted. Have you any following up on this but I was wondering if there is an update on the Carlsbad information. Thanks!  
Britta Nelson  
Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-402)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.234.8539  
On Mon, Oct 15, 2018 at 12:45 PM, Young, Richard <ryoung@blm.gov> wrote:  
Correction: We are anticipating having response ready to send back to your office by November 7, not December. My apologies for the confusion.  
Chad  
On Mon, Oct 15, 2018 at 10:45 AM, Young, Richard <ryoung@blm.gov> wrote:  
We are getting close to having our response ready to send back to the WQS. We are meeting today to further discuss the greenhouse gas and user analysis. We are anticipating having responses ready to send back to your office by December 7.  
Thanks for keeping this on your radar.  
Chad  
On Mon, Oct 15, 2018 at 9:51 AM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:  
[REDACTED] There is a update on the Carlsbad information.  
Britta Nelson  
Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-402)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.234.8539  
On Fri, Oct 13, 2018 at 1:03 PM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:  
Hi Chad, thanks for the update. We appreciate your efforts especially with so much going on. Please let me know if anything changes on timelines so I can let folks know as our end.  
We look forward to working with you to close this out. Thanks! Britta  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
On Fri, Oct 13, 2018 at 11:03 AM, Young, Richard <ryoung@blm.gov> wrote:  
Hi Britta,  
[REDACTED] response. [REDACTED] Annual Review and attending meetings with cooperating agencies has slowed us down but we are getting close to having a response to several of the questions posed during the WQS briefing sent to your office. We are hoping to get to your position and then submit. I am looking forward to hearing your office's thoughts once we provide these responses.  
Chad  
Call: 508  
Comment: Britta Nelson  
Hello we have Director's brief. Met with NMEL, WQS and [REDACTED] with wilderness characteristics issues. Thanks again for meeting to discuss the Carlsbad briefing. As follow up, Chad will work with Sally and Britton on updating the briefing information related to lands with wilderness characteristics. Information related to WQS liability will also be included. Next meeting to provide information in January for WQS/BLM review. Checked with NM on 10/20/18 re NMAS.  
Call: 508  
Comment: Britta Nelson  
On 10/17/2018, Young, Richard  
1:43 PM (3 hours ago)  
To: Tim, Mr. Galy, Ms. Moly, Christopher  
Hi Britta,  
In mid-December we briefed State Director Amy Lunder on the WQS response and we are making a few adjustments to the memo response. We are also close to completing the GMS and user estimates.

The holidays have slowed us down a bit but submitting this response to your office promptly remains high on the priority list.

Thanks again for keeping this on your radar.

Happy New Year!  
Chad

On Fri, Jan 25, 2013 at 1:00 PM, Nelson, Britta <brittan@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Chad and Molly, I hope January is off to a great start for you both! I wanted to check in to see if there is an update on the Carlsbad information you have been working on.

303.234.0539

On Fri, Nov 18, 2011 at 12:19 PM, Young, Richard <ryoung@blm.gov> wrote:  
Hi Britta,

No bother at all on adding about the status of our response. I appreciate you keeping it on your radar.

We have decided to go ahead and include the end user greenhouse gas emission (GHG) estimate into the RMP and this effort is taking some time due to the complexity involved in generating current and forecast all-gas production in our field office. We are close to having the production estimate sent to us.

With the upcoming holidays it may be a few weeks before we are able to have this done.

If you have any other questions I would be glad to answer them.

Thanks,  
Chad

On Sun, Nov 13, 2011 at 7:17 AM, Nelson, Britta <brittan@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Chad, sorry to keep bugging you even I know you are following up on this but I was wondering if there is an update on the Carlsbad information. Thanks!

Britta Nelson  
Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-410)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.234.0539

On Mon, Oct 17, 2011 at 12:43 PM, Young, Richard <ryoung@blm.gov> wrote:

Correction: We are anticipating having response ready to send back to your office by November 7, not December. My apologies for the confusion.

Chad

On Mon, Oct 17, 2011 at 9:19 AM, Young, Richard <ryoung@blm.gov> wrote:  
Hi Britta,

We are getting close to having our responses ready to send back to the BLM. We are meeting today to further discuss the greenhouse gas end user analysis. We are anticipating having responses ready to send back to your office by December 7.

Thanks for keeping this on your radar.

Chad

On Mon, Oct 17, 2011 at 9:19 AM, Nelson, Britta <brittan@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Chad, sorry to keep bugging you even I know you are following up on this but I was wondering if there is an update on the Carlsbad information.

Britta Nelson  
Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-410)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.234.0539

On Tue, Oct 18, 2011 at 12:43 PM, Nelson, Britta <brittan@blm.gov> wrote:

I appreciate your efforts especially with us much going on. Please let me know if anything changes on the timeline so I can let folks know on our end.

Hi! So glad to hear from you and we will work with you to close this out. Thanks! Britta

Britta Nelson  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-410)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.234.0539

On Fri, Oct 21, 2011 at 1:08 AM, Young, Richard <ryoung@blm.gov> wrote:  
Hi Britta,

We are anticipating having responses ready to send back to your office by November 7, not December. My apologies for the confusion.

We are getting close to having our responses ready to send back to the BLM. We are meeting today to further discuss the greenhouse gas end user analysis. We are anticipating having responses ready to send back to your office by December 7.

Thanks for keeping this on your radar.

Chad

Call: 303

Comment: Britta Nelson

On Tue, Oct 18, 2011, Young, Richard  
1:03 PM (2 hours ago)

To: Tim, Eric, Molly, Christopher  
Hi Britta,

In mid-December we drafted three Director Amy Loomis letters for the response and we are making a few adjustments to the status response. We are also close to completing the GHG end user estimate.

The holidays have slowed us down a bit but submitting this response to your office promptly remains high on the priority list.

Thanks again for keeping this on your radar.

Happy New Year!  
Chad

On Fri, Jan 20, 2017 at 1:09 PM, Britta Johnson@blm.gov wrote:

Hi Chad and Molly, I hope January is off to a great start for you both!! I wanted to check in to see if there is an update on the Caribbead information you have been working on.

Please let me know if you would like to discuss. Thanks!! Britta

Britta Nelson,  
Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-402)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.334.0139

On Fri, Nov 18, 2016 at 1:10 PM, Young, Richard <young@blm.gov> wrote:  
Hi Britta,

With the upcoming holiday it may be a few weeks before we are able to have this done.

If you have any other questions I would like glad to answer them.

Thanks,  
Chad

On Sun, Nov 13, 2016 at 7:27 AM, Britta Johnson@blm.gov wrote:

Hi Chad, sorry to keep bugging you since I know you are following up on this but I was wondering if there is an update on the Caribbead information. Thanks!!

Britta Nelson  
Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-402)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.334.0139

On Mon, Oct 11, 2016 at 1:43 PM, Young, Richard <young@blm.gov> wrote:

Correction: We are anticipating having responses ready to send back to your office by November 7, not December. My apologies for the confusion.

Chad

On Mon, Oct 11, 2016 at 9:10 AM, Young, Richard <young@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Britta,

We are getting close to having your responses ready to send back to the BLM. We are meeting today to further discuss the greenhouse gas and user analysis. We are anticipating having responses ready to send back to your office by December 7.

Thanks for helping this on your side.

Chad

On Mon, Oct 11, 2016 at 9:01 AM, Britta Johnson@blm.gov wrote:

Hi Chad, just a quick check to see if there is an update on the Caribbead information.

Thanks!! Britta

Britta Nelson  
Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-402)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.334.0139

On Mon, Oct 11, 2016 at 1:43 PM, Britta Johnson@blm.gov wrote:

Hi Chad, sorry to keep bugging you since I know you are following up on this but I was wondering if there is an update on the Caribbead information. Thanks!!

We look forward to working with you to close this out. Thanks!! Britta

Britta Nelson  
Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-402)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.334.0139

On Mon, Oct 11, 2016 at 1:43 PM, Young, Richard <young@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Britta,

We are getting close to having your responses ready to send back to the BLM. We are meeting today to further discuss the greenhouse gas and user analysis. We are anticipating having responses ready to send back to your office by December 7.

Thanks for your patience and I look forward to hearing your office's thoughts once we provide these responses.

Chad

Chad 11/17

Comment: Britta Nelson

Hi Chad, sorry to keep bugging you since I know you are following up on this but I was wondering if there is an update on the Caribbead information. Thanks!!

Comment: Britta Nelson

Britta

Hi Chad, sorry to keep bugging you since I know you are following up on this but I was wondering if there is an update on the Caribbead information. Thanks!!

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Hi Peter,

Last update with Don Buchanan, said for the (unknown) Draft BWP. He indicated the contractor is in the process of starting all the recommended changes for the WCA. He hopes to send the final draft version back to us for another review in approximately 2 to 3 weeks.

Let me know if you have questions.

Thanks,

John

John Thompson  
National Conservation Lands/WMA/TPA Program Lead

04/11/21

Comment: John Nelson

03/29/21 Young, Richard  
1:53 PM (2 hours ago)

To: Tim, Mr. Kelly, Molly, Christopher  
Hi Betsy,

In mid-December we drafted State Director Amy Lunden on the WCA response and we are making a few adjustments to the memo response. We are also close to completing the GLE and user estimate.

The holidays have slowed us down a bit but submitting this response to your office promptly remains high on the priority list.

Thanks again for keeping this on your radar.

Happy New Year!

Chat

On Fri, Jan 29, 2021 at 1:00 PM, Nelson, John <john@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Chad and Molly, I hope January is off to a great start for you both! I wanted to check in to see if there is an update on the Carlsbad information you have been working on.

Please let me know if you would like to discuss. Thanks! John

John Nelson  
M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL) (NCL-410)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.334.0339

On Fri, Jan 29, 2021 at 12:23 PM, Young, Richard <ryoung@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Betsy,

No further at all on adding about the status of our response. I appreciate you keeping it on your radar.

We have decided to skip ahead and include the end user greenhouse gas emission (GHE) estimate into the BWP and this effort is taking some time due to the complexity involved in generating current and forecasted oil/gas production in our field office. We are close to having the production estimate sent to all

With the upcoming holidays it may be a few weeks before we are able to have this done.

If you have any other questions I would be glad to answer them.

John

On Sun, Nov 1, 2020 at 7:27 AM, Nelson, John <john@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Chad, sorry to keep bugging you even I know you are following up on this but I was wondering if there is an update on the Carlsbad information. Thanks!

John Nelson  
Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL) (NCL-410)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.334.0339

On Mon, Oct 21, 2019 at 10:49 PM, Young, Richard <ryoung@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Betsy, I hope your response made it and back to your office by November 7, not December. My apologies for the confusion.

On Mon, Oct 14, 2019 at 9:10 AM, Young, Richard <ryoung@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Betsy,

We are getting close to having our response ready to send back to the WCA. We are meeting today to further discuss the greenhouse gas end-user analysis. We are anticipating having responses ready to send back to your office by December 7.

John

Thank! John

John Nelson

Program Analyst

303.334.0339

On Fri, Oct 21, 2018 at 1:23 PM, Nelson, John <john@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Chad, thanks for the update. We appreciate your efforts especially with so much going on. Please let me know if anything changes on timelines so I can let folks know on our end.

We look forward to working with you to close this out.

John Nelson  
Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL) (NCL-410)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.334.0339

On Fri, Oct 21, 2018 at 1:08 AM, Young, Richard <ryoung@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Betsy,

We are still working on providing a thorough response. Some of the major GLE analysis, Annual Review and attending meetings with cooperating agencies has slowed us down but we are getting close to having a response to several of the questions raised during the WOT briefing sent to your office. We are hoping



Thanks for your patience and look forward to having your efforts brought onto we provide these responses.

Chad

Call 1111  
Comment: Britta Nelson  
Hello we have received a lot of feedback with WSS, WSS10, WSS11 on Dec 1 to discuss birds with wilderness characteristics issue. Thanks again for meeting to discuss the Caribou Drafting. As follow up, Chad will work with Sally and Kristine on updating the drafting information related to birds with wilderness characteristics information related to WSS and ability will also be included either verbally or in the powerpoint presentation. New Mexico to provide information in January for WSS10 review. Checked with NM on 01-20-17 re status.

Call 1117  
Comment: Britta Nelson  
Hi Jil, I,  
Britta  
[REDACTED]

Peter

John M. B.  
Acting Deputy Director  
National Wildlife Program Unit  
National Conservation Lands  
Bureau of Land Management  
Office (202) 744-7174  
Mobile (202) 543-7660

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Terrell, John <john@blm.gov>  
Date: Thu, Apr 20, 2017 at 2:23 PM  
Subject: American Indian Reel Update  
To: Peter M. B. <pm@blm.gov>, Robert W. <rw@blm.gov>, "Tippett, Loren" <l@blm.gov>  
Cc: "Terrell, John" <john@blm.gov>

Hi Peter,

I just spoke with Don Bruckhiser, lead for the American Indian Reel. He indicated the contractor is in the process of meeting all the recommended changes for the WSS. He hopes to send the final draft version back to us for another review in approximately 2 to 3 weeks.

Let me know if you have questions.

Thanks,

John

John Terrell  
National Conservation Lands/WNM/Trust Program Unit

BLM MT/OWD Case Office  
2001 Southgate Dr  
Billings, MT 59501  
(406) 595-5051

Call 1118  
Comment: Britta Nelson  
Hi John, I, I hope January is off to a great start for you both. I wanted to check in to see if there is an update on the Caribou information you have been working on.  
[REDACTED]  
Hi Britta,

In mid-December we drafted a letter to the contractor on the WSS response and we are making a few adjustments to the review response. We are also close to completing the GSE and user estimate.

The holidays have slowed us down a bit but submitting this response to your office promptly remains high on the priority list.

Thanks again for keeping this on your radar.

[REDACTED] (P), Nelson, Britta <bn@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Chad and Mally, I hope January is off to a great start for you both. I wanted to check in to see if there is an update on the Caribou information you have been working on.

Please let me know if you would like to discuss. Thank! Britta

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL) Unit

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@blm.gov> wrote:

No further action is being taken at this time. I appreciate you keeping it on your radar.

We have decided to go ahead and include the end user estimate into the BAP and this effort is taking some time due to the complexity involved in generating current and forecast will be production in our field office. We are close to having the production estimate sent to it.

Thanks,

Chad

On Sun, Nov 12, 2016 at 7:17 AM, Nelson, Britta <bn@blm.gov> wrote:  
Hi Chad, sorry to keep nagging you since I know you are busy. I am being if there is an update on the Caribou information. Thank!

Britta Nelson  
Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL) Unit  
Bureau of Land Management  
2001 Southgate Dr

On Mon, Oct 10, 2016 at 10:58 PM, Terrell, John <john@blm.gov> wrote:  
Correction: We are anticipating having response ready to send back to your office by November 7, not December. My apologies for the confusion.

Chad

On Mon, Oct 11, 2016 at 8:18 AM, Young, Richard <young@blm.gov> wrote:  
Hi Betsy,  
  
We are getting closer to having our responses ready to send back to the WDC. We are meeting today to further discuss the greenhouse gas end-user analysis. We are anticipating having responses ready to send back to your office by December 7.  
  
Thanks for keeping this on your radar.  
  
Chad  
  
On Mon, Oct 11, 2016 at 9:03 AM, Nelson, Britta <bln@blm.gov> wrote:  
Hi Chad, just a quick check to see if there is an update on the Caribid information.  
Bretta Write  
  
[REDACTED]

On Tue, Oct 11, 2016 at 11:08 AM, Young, Richard <young@blm.gov> wrote:  
Hi Betsy,  
  
We are still working on providing a thorough response. Some of this requires GSI analysis. Annual lease and attending meetings with cooperating agencies has slowed us down but we are getting close to having a response to several of the questions asked during the 9/27 briefing sent to your office. We are hoping  
Thanks for your patience and look forward to having your office's thoughts once we provide these responses.  
  
Chad  
  
Call: 11/18  
  
Comment: Britta Nelson  
Follow up from Director's staff. Met with MMSL, WDCG, WDCG on Oct 6 to discuss trade with wilderness characteristics issue. Thanks again for meeting to discuss the Caribid briefing. As follow up, Chad will work with Betsy and Nelson on updating the briefing information related to trade with wilderness characteristics information related to WDCG. Liability will also be included either verbally or in the powerpoint presentation. New Mexico to provide information in January for WDCG review. Checked with NM on 10/20/17 as status.  
  
Call: 11/18  
Comment: Britta Nelson  
On 10/21/17  
Betsy  
  
Here's a word about the status of the WDCG issues that WDCG had raised in the Southwest DMRP. Field Office staff have directed the contractor to make the changes that WDCG had and later language of the MFCG had recommended.  
  
Let me know if you need anything else.  
  
Betsy  
  
Betsy Hall  
On 10/20/17 at 10:00 AM  
[REDACTED]  
Bureau of Land Management  
Office (202) 943-7178  
Mobile: (202) 943-7160  
  
----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Torgerson, Steve <torgerson@blm.gov>  
Date: Thu, Apr 20, 2017 at 2:22 PM  
Subject: [REDACTED]  
To: [REDACTED]  
Cc: [REDACTED]  
Subject: WDCG - [REDACTED], "Torgerson", [REDACTED]  
  
[REDACTED]

I just spoke with Steve Torgerson, lead for the Southwest Draft DMRP. He indicated the contractor is in the process of starting all the recommended changes for the WDCG. He hopes to send the final draft version back to us for another review in approximately 2 to 3 weeks.  
  
Let me know if you have questions.  
  
Thanks,  
  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
Steve Torgerson Sr  
Bldg, 10115021  
P.O. Box 2507  
  
Com: [REDACTED]  
On 10/19/17, Young, Richard  
1:02 PM (2 hours ago)  
Hi Tim, me, Betsy, Molly, Christopher  
Hi Betsy,  
  
In mid December we drafted Steve Director Amy Lumbert [REDACTED] setting a few adjustments to the money response. We are also close to completing the GSI and user estimate.  
  
The bid steps have slowed us down a bit but submitting this response to your office promptly remains high on the priority list.  
  
Thanks again for keeping this on your radar.  
  
Wishes New Year!  
  
Chad  
  
On Fri, Jan 20, 2017 at 1:08 PM, Nelson, Britta <bln@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Chad and Mike, I hope January is off to a great start for you both!! I wanted to check in to see if there is an update on the Caribbead information you have been working on.

Please let me know if you would like to discuss. Thanks! Britta

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-432)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.234.5539

On Fri, Nov 18, 2016 at 12:18 PM, Young, Richard <young@blm.gov> wrote:  
Hi Britta,

We further will be adding about the status of our response. I appreciate you keeping it on your radar.

If you have any other questions I would be glad to answer them.

Thanks,  
Chad

On Sun, Nov 13, 2016 at 7:27 AM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:  
Hi Chad, sorry to keep bugging you even I know you are following up on this but I was wondering if there is an update on the Caribbead information. Thanks!

Britta Nelson  
Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-432)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.234.5539

On Mon, Oct 11, 2016 at 12:43 PM, Young, Richard <young@blm.gov> wrote:  
Correction: We are anticipating having response ready to send back to your office by November 7, not December. My apologies for the confusion.

Chad

On Mon, Oct 11, 2016 at 8:10 AM, Young, Richard <young@blm.gov> wrote:  
Hi Britta,

We are getting close to having your responses ready to send back to the WFO. We are meeting today to further discuss the greenhouse gas and user analysis. We are anticipating having responses ready to send back to your office by December 7.

Thanks for keeping this on your radar.

Chad

On Mon, Oct 11, 2016 at 9:21 AM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:  
Hi Chad, just a quick check to see if there is an update on the Caribbead information.

Thanks! Britta

Britta Nelson  
Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-432)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.234.5539

On Fri, Oct 11, 2016 at 1:13 PM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:  
Chad, I will be adding about the status of our response your efforts especially with so much going on. Please let me know if anything changes on timelines so I can let folks know on our end.

I will be adding about the status of our response your efforts especially with so much going on. Please let me know if anything changes on timelines so I can let folks know on our end.

Britta Nelson  
Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-432)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.234.5539

On Fri, Oct 11, 2016 at 1:13 PM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:  
Chad, I will be adding about the status of our response your efforts especially with so much going on. Please let me know if anything changes on timelines so I can let folks know on our end.

We are still working on providing a thorough response. Some of this requires GIS analysis. Annual base and attending meetings with cooperating agencies has slowed us down but we are getting close to having a response to several of the questions listed during the 9/27 briefing sent to your office. We are hoping to have a response to the remaining questions by the end of the year.

We will be adding about the status of our response your efforts especially with so much going on. Please let me know if anything changes on timelines so I can let folks know on our end.

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Chad

Just update with Don backflow, had for the previous draft BWP. We indicated the contractor is in the process of starting all the recommended changes for the WIS. We hope to send the final draft version back to us for another review in approximately 2 to 3 weeks.  
Let me know if you have questions.

Thanks,

John

John Hargrave  
National Conservation Lands/WMA/TPA/TPM Program Lead

BLM-MT/DM State Office  
With best regards to

Don

On 12-17-2016, Richard Young, Richard.Young@blm.gov wrote:

Hi Don,  
To: Tim, Mrs. Sally, Molly, Christopher

In mid-December we drafted Core Director Amy Lunden's on the WIS response and we are making a few adjustments to the memo response. We are also close to completing the GIS and user estimates.

The holidays have slowed us down a bit but submitting this response to your office promptly remains high on the priority list.

Thanks again for keeping this on your radar.

Happy New Year!  
Richard

On Fri, Jan 29, 2017 at 1:08 PM, Nelson, Britta <brittan@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Chad and Molly, I hope January is off to a great start for you both! I wanted to check in to see if there is an update on the Caribou information you have been working on.

Please let me know if you would like to discuss. Thanks! Britta

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-410)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.236.0139

On Fri, Jan 28, 2016 at 12:13 PM, Young, Richard <richard@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Britta,

No further at all on adding about the status of our response. I appreciate you keeping it on your radar.

We have decided to go ahead and include the mid-year greenhouse gas emissions (GHG) estimates into the BWP and this effort is taking some time due to the complexity involved in generating current and forecast all-gas production in our field office. We are close to having the production estimates sent to all

With the upcoming holidays it may be a few weeks before we are able to have this done.

If you have any other questions I would be glad to answer them.

Thanks,

Richard

On 12-29-2016 at 12:13 PM, Nelson, Britta <brittan@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Chad, sorry to keep bugging you over I know you are following up on this but I was wondering if there is an update on the Caribou information. Thanks!

Britta Nelson  
Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-410)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.236.0139

On 12-29-2016 at 12:13 PM, Young, Richard <richard@blm.gov> wrote:

The response needs to send back to your office by November 7, not December. My apologies for the confusion.

On 12-29-2016 at 12:13 PM, Nelson, Britta <brittan@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Britta,

We are getting close to having our responses ready to send back to the WIS. We are meeting today to further discuss the greenhouse gas and user estimates. We are anticipating having responses ready to send back to your office by December 7.

Thanks for keeping this on your radar.

On 12-29-2016 at 12:13 PM, Nelson, Britta <brittan@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Chad, sorry to keep bugging you over I know you are following up on this but I was wondering if there is an update on the Caribou information. Thanks!

Britta Nelson  
Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-410)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.236.0139

On Fri, Oct 21, 2016 at 1:08 PM, Young, Richard <richard@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Chad, thanks for the update. We appreciate your efforts especially with so much going on. Please let me know if anything changes or transforms so I can let folks know on our end.

We look forward to working with you to close this out. Thanks! Britta

Britta Nelson  
Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-410)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.236.0139

On Fri, Oct 21, 2016 at 1:08 PM, Young, Richard <richard@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Britta,

We are still working on providing a thorough response. Some of this requires GIS analysis. Annual base and attending meetings with cooperating agencies has slowed us down but we are getting close to having a response to several of the questions raised during the WIS briefing sent to your office. We are hoping

Thanks for your patience and look forward to hearing your efforts through to close we provide these responses.

Chat

Call 1112

Comment Britta Nelson:

Follow up from Director & staff. Met with MMSL, WDCSD on Oct 6 to discuss birds with wilderness characteristics issue. Thanks again for meeting to discuss the Caribbea Drafting. As follow up, Chad will work with Sally and Kristine on updating the drafting information related to birds with wilderness characteristics information related to WCA suitability will also be included either verbally or in the powerpoint presentation. New Mexico to provide information in January for WDCSD review. Checked with NM on 10-20-17 re status.

Call 1118

Comment Britta Nelson:

Re 11-17.

Britta:

Here is good about the status of the WCA issue that WCA staff raised in the last meeting. Field staff staff have discussed the contractor to make the changes that WCA staff and some members of the MPTSD had recommended.

John Malt

Acting Deputy Director

National Wilderness Program Unit

National Conservation Lands

Bureau of Land Management

Office (202) 755-7175

Mobile (202) 531-7660

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Torgelson, John <torgelson@blm.gov>

Date: Thu, Apr 20, 2017 at 4:22 PM

Subject: Caribbea Drafting Update

To: Peter Malt <pma@blm.gov>, Robert Black <rblack@blm.gov>, "Torgelson, John" <torgelson@blm.gov>

Cc: "Torgelson, John" <torgelson@blm.gov>

Hi Peter,

Last update with Don Bruchhage, lead for the Caribbea Draft BMAP. He indicated the contractor is in the process of making all the recommended changes for the WCA. He hopes to send the final draft version back to us for another review in approximately 2 to 3 weeks.

Let me know if you have questions.

Thanks,

John

John Torgelson

National Conservation Lands/WMA/Trade Program Unit

BLM MT/CAZ Case Office

2001 Southgate Dr

Billings, MT 59501

(406) 594-5557

Call 1118

Comment Britta Nelson:

Re 10-17, Young, Richard

1:18 PM (1 hour ago)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Torgelson, John <torgelson@blm.gov>

Date: Thu, Apr 20, 2017 at 4:22 PM

Subject: Caribbea Drafting Update

To: Peter Malt <pma@blm.gov>, Robert Black <rblack@blm.gov>, "Torgelson, John" <torgelson@blm.gov>

Cc: "Torgelson, John" <torgelson@blm.gov>

Hi Peter,

Last update with Don Bruchhage, lead for the Caribbea Draft BMAP. He indicated the contractor is in the process of making all the recommended changes for the WCA. He hopes to send the final draft version back to us for another review in approximately 2 to 3 weeks.

Let me know if you have questions.

Thanks,

John

John Torgelson

National Conservation Lands/WMA/Trade Program Unit

BLM MT/CAZ Case Office

2001 Southgate Dr

Billings, MT 59501

(406) 594-5557

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Torgelson, John <torgelson@blm.gov>

Date: Thu, Apr 20, 2017 at 4:22 PM

Subject: Caribbea Drafting Update

To: Peter Malt <pma@blm.gov>, Robert Black <rblack@blm.gov>, "Torgelson, John" <torgelson@blm.gov>

Cc: "Torgelson, John" <torgelson@blm.gov>

Hi Peter,

Last update with Don Bruchhage, lead for the Caribbea Draft BMAP. He indicated the contractor is in the process of making all the recommended changes for the WCA. He hopes to send the final draft version back to us for another review in approximately 2 to 3 weeks.

Let me know if you have questions.

Thanks,

John

John Torgelson

National Conservation Lands/WMA/Trade Program Unit

BLM MT/CAZ Case Office

2001 Southgate Dr

Billings, MT 59501

(406) 594-5557

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Torgelson, John <torgelson@blm.gov>

Date: Thu, Apr 20, 2017 at 4:22 PM

Subject: Caribbea Drafting Update

To: Peter Malt <pma@blm.gov>, Robert Black <rblack@blm.gov>, "Torgelson, John" <torgelson@blm.gov>

Cc: "Torgelson, John" <torgelson@blm.gov>

Hi Peter,

Last update with Don Bruchhage, lead for the Caribbea Draft BMAP. He indicated the contractor is in the process of making all the recommended changes for the WCA. He hopes to send the final draft version back to us for another review in approximately 2 to 3 weeks.

Let me know if you have questions.

Thanks,

John

John Torgelson

National Conservation Lands/WMA/Trade Program Unit

BLM MT/CAZ Case Office

2001 Southgate Dr

Billings, MT 59501

(406) 594-5557

Hi Britta,

We are getting close to having our responses ready to send back to the WOI. We are meeting today to further discuss the greenhouse gas and water analysis. We are anticipating having responses ready to send back to your office by December 7.

Thanks for keeping this on your radar.

Chad

On Mon, Oct 15, 2018 at 9:55 AM, Britta Albentzen@blm.gov wrote:  
Hi Chad, just a quick check to see if there is an update on the Caribid information.  
Thanks! Britta

Britta Albentzen

On Fri, Oct 15, 2018 at 1:13 PM, Britta Albentzen@blm.gov wrote:  
Hi Chad, thanks for the update. We appreciate your effort especially with so much going on. Please let me know if anything changes on that timeline so I can let folks know on our end.

We look forward to working with you to close this out. Thanks! Britta

Britta Albentzen  
Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-405)  
Bureau of Land Management  
555.2.042578

On Fri, Oct 15, 2018 at 11:03 AM, Young, Richard <young@blm.gov> wrote:  
Hi Britta,

We are still working on providing a thorough response. Some of this requires GIS analysis. Annual lease and attending meetings with cooperating agencies has slowed us down but we are getting close to having a response to several of the questions raised during the 9/27 briefing sent to your office. We are hoping  
Thanks for your patience and look forward to hearing your officer's thoughts once we provide these responses.

Chad

Call: 10/19

Comment: Britta Albentzen  
Follow up from Director's Brief. Met with MMSL, WOI/DO to discuss trade with wilderness characteristics issue. Thanks again for meeting to discuss the Caribid briefing. As follow up, Chad will work with Sally and Britta on updating the briefing information related to trade with wilderness characteristics information related to WOI liability and include either verbally or in the presentation. New Mexico to provide information in January for WOI/DO review. Checked with NM on 10/19/17 on status.

Call: 10/19

Comment: Britta Albentzen  
National Conservation Lands, Utah is holding a pre-briefing on Tuesday May 22 at 2:00 pm EDT for WOI Program Reviewers to review the Cedar City DRRP presentation. Per Ryan's note that follows, this is the final opportunity to make adjustments to the presentation (not the plan document), make sure key points are address  
The WOI/DO RP for the Cedar City DRRP (DO) has been shared with you and shows no remaining issues. I will be on leave so won't be attending the pre-brief. Please let me know if there are follow up items from the pre-brief. Thanks.

Cedar City\_DRRP(DO)\_NCL405RRP\_20181017

Britta Albentzen, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-405)  
Bureau of Land Management  
555.2.042578

Forwarded message

From: Britta Albentzen@blm.gov  
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2017 10:05 AM  
To: Chad Young  
Subject: Cedar City DRRP Pre-brief @ Tue May 22, 2017

Hi Chad,

Cedar City WOI Pre-brief

Hi everyone,

Utah will be conducting a pre-briefing for WOI Program Reviewers to review the presentation for their Cedar City DRRP. This is the final opportunity to make adjustments to the presentation (not the plan document), make sure key points are addressed, and prepare for the Director's Briefing.

Additional supporting materials can be found at this SharePoint link (there may be updates in the next few days):

https://www.blm.gov/sites/default/files/2017-10/Utah\_Cedar\_City\_DRRP\_Presentation\_20171019.pdf

https://www.blm.gov/sites/default/files/2017-10/Utah\_Cedar\_City\_DRRP\_Presentation\_20171019.pdf

Look for all final briefing materials (DO) Friday 9/22/2017.

If there are any questions, please let me know. Thanks!

Please use the link for quality and not meeting before for notes.

Cedar City DRRP Presentation Office Pre-briefing

(b) (5)

Meeting @ Date: 04/15/2017

Meeting Time: 09:30 AM CST/MT, TNST

Meeting Location: [REDACTED]

(b) (5)

Meeting Host: [REDACTED]

Join Instructions for Remote Non-Conference:

- Join the meeting here: <https://www.meetings.com/join.php?highway=1001>
- Enter the required fields.
- Indicate that you have read the Privacy Policy.
- Click on Present.

When:

Tu May 22, 2017 9:30am - 10am Mountain Time

Where:

BLM-NO-MT-TN-UT-Event Training the Capacity 25

Call ID:

Comment: Britta Albentzen

On 10/11/17,  
Bette:

Here's an email about the status of the WGA issues that WO-010 had raised in the Southwest OBNAP. Field Office staff have directed the contractor to make the changes that WO-010 (and some Tompkins of the MTG) had recommended.  
Let me know if you need anything else.

Peter

Peter MAS  
Acting Deputy Division Chief  
National Wetlands Program Lead  
National Conservation Lands

From: Tompkins, Anne <atompkins@blm.gov>  
Date: Thu, Apr 25, 2017 at 2:12 PM  
Subject: Southwest Draft BMAP Update  
To: Peter MAS, anne@blm.gov, Robert Wells <rwells@blm.gov>, "Haggar, James" <jahaggar@blm.gov>  
Cc: "Smith, Gary" <gsmith@blm.gov>

Hi Peter,

I just spoke with Gary Smith/DNR, lead for the Southwest Draft BMAP. He indicated the contractor is in the process of starting all the recommended changes for the WGA. He hopes to send the final draft version back to us for another review in approximately 2 to 3 weeks.

Let me know if you have questions.

Thanks,

Anne  
-----  
Anne Tompkins  
National Conservation Lands/NMML/Health Program Lead

BLM MT/DNR State Office  
3000 Southgate Dr  
Billings, MT 16103  
(406) 696-1047

Cell: 316

Comment: Bette Nelson:  
02/05/17 Young, Richard  
1:15 PM (2 hours ago)

To: Tom, Mrs. Kelly, Molly, Christopher  
Hi Bette,

In mid-December we briefed State Director Amy Lueders on the WGA response and we are making a few adjustments to the memo response. We are also close to completing the GIS and user estimate.

The holidays have slowed us down a bit but submitting this response to your office promptly remains high on the priority list.

Thanks again for keeping this on your radar.

On 10/11/17 at 1:15 PM, Bette Nelson <bette@blm.gov> wrote:

On Fri, Oct 20, 2017 at 1:00 PM, Nelson, Bette <bette@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Chad and Molly, I hope January is off to a great start for you both! I wanted to check in to see if there is an update on the Caribou information you have been working on.

Please let me know if you would like to discuss. Thanks! Bette

On 10/11/17 at 1:15 PM, Bette Nelson <bette@blm.gov> wrote:

On 10/11/17 at 1:15 PM, Young, Richard <ryoung@blm.gov> wrote:

No further at all on adding about the status of our response. I appreciate you keeping it on your radar.

We have decided to go ahead and include the end user greenhouse gas emission (GHE) estimate into the BMAP and this effort is taking some time due to the complexity involved in generating current and forecasted village production in our field office. We are close to having the production estimates sent to all

With the upcoming holiday it may be a few weeks before we are able to have this done.

On 10/11/17 at 1:15 PM, Bette Nelson <bette@blm.gov> wrote:

On Sat, Nov 11, 2016 at 1:17 PM, Nelson, Bette <bette@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Chad, sorry to keep bugging you since I know you are following up on this but I am wondering if there is an update on the Caribou information. Thanks!

Bette Nelson

On 10/11/17 at 1:15 PM, Bette Nelson <bette@blm.gov> wrote:

On 10/11/17 at 1:15 PM, Young, Richard <ryoung@blm.gov> wrote:

On Mon, Oct 11, 2016 at 12:43 PM, Young, Richard <ryoung@blm.gov> wrote:  
Correction: We are anticipating having response ready to send back to your office by November 7, not December. My apologies for the confusion.

Chad

On Mon, Oct 11, 2016 at 9:10 AM, Young, Richard <ryoung@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Bette,

We are getting close to having our response ready to send back to the WGA. We are meeting today to further discuss the greenhouse gas end-user analysis. We are anticipating having responses ready to send back to your office by December 7.

Thanks for keeping this on your radar.

Chad

On Mon, Oct 11, 2016 at 9:10 AM, Nelson, Bette <bette@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Chad, just a quick check to see if there is an update on the Categorical information.

Thanks! Britta

Britta Nelson  
Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-402)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.234.0539

On Fri, Oct 21, 2017 at 1:03 PM, Britta Nelson <brittan@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Chad, thanks for the update. We appreciate your efforts currently with us though going on. Please let me know if anything changes on the timeline so I can let folks know at our end.

We look forward to working with you to clear this out. Thanks! Britta

303.234.0539

On Fri, Oct 21, 2017 at 11:03 AM, Young, Richard <ryoung@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Britta,

We are still working on providing a thorough response. Some of the inquiries GIC analysis, Annual Review and attending meetings with cooperating agencies has slowed us down but we are getting close to having a response to several of the questions raised during the VOT briefing sent to your office. We are hoping

Thanks for your patience and look forward to having your office's thoughts once we provide these responses.

Chad

Call: 3146

Comments: Britta Nelson

Follow up from Director & staff later with NMNCL, WOTNCL, WOTNCL on Oct 4 to discuss lands with wilderness characteristics issue. Thanks again for meeting to discuss the Categorical briefing. As follow up, Chad will work with fully and finalize on updating the briefing information related to lands with wilderness characteristics information related to WOTNCL and will also be included either verbally or in the powerpoint presentation. New Mexico to provide information in January for WOTNCL review. Checked with NM on 10/20/17 re status.

Call: 3146

Comments: Britta Nelson

National Conservation Lands, Utah is holding a public briefing on Tuesday May 23 at 2:00 pm EDT for WOT Program Reviewers to review the Cedar City OIRBP presentation. The State's note that follows, this is the final opportunity to make adjustments to the presentation (not the plan document), make sure key points are added

The WOTNCL BP for the Cedar City OIRBP (2017) has been shared with you and shows no remaining issues. I will be on leave so won't be attending the public brief. Please let me know if there are follow up items from the public brief. Thanks

Cedar City\_OIRBP(2017)\_WOTNCLBP\_20170517

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst  
National Conservation Lands (NCL-402)  
Bureau of Land Management  
303.234.0539

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Chad Nelson <chad@blm.gov>

Date: Wed, May 17, 2017 at 10:00 AM

Subject: Information: Cedar City WOTNCL BP

Dear Chad,

Cedar City WOTNCL BP

Hi Chad,

We will be conducting a public briefing for WOT Program Reviewers to review the presentation for Cedar City OIRBP. This is the final opportunity to make adjustments to the presentation (not the plan document), make sure key points are addressed, and prepare for the Director Briefing

and other supporting materials can be found at this SharePoint link (there may be updates to the next few days)

New Mexico WOTNCL BP, 2017-05-17 10:00 AM EDT (10:00 AM EDT) (10:00 AM EDT)

<http://www.blm.gov/pressroom/Planning/2017/05/17/cedar-city-wotncl-bp>

Please check the SharePoint site for all final briefing materials (2017-05-17/2017-05-17)

If there are any questions, please let me know. Thanks!

Chad Nelson <chad@blm.gov>

(b) (5)

You are invited to join a meeting hosted by: WOTNCL-402. Meeting details are listed below.

Meeting Date: 04/15/2017

Meeting Time: 09:30 AM (GMT-06:00)

(b) (5)

Join Instructions for Internet Web Conference

1. Join the meeting now:

<http://www.blm.gov/pressroom/Planning/2017/05/17/cedar-city-wotncl-bp>

2. Click on the link:

When:

Tue May 23, 2017 2:00 pm - 3:00 Mountain Time

Where:

BLM WOTNCL (NCL-402) - Screen Training Site Capacity 10



DTS #	Name	Date Rec'd by WO410
	Donation of Private Lands to the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) for Addition to the Sabinoso Wilderness Area	12/29/2016
BLM0009617	Response to SO 3342 on Tribal Partnerships & Cooperative Management	12/20/2016
BLM0009637	Proposed San Joaquin Wild and Scenic River Designation	4/14/2016
BLM0006244		
BLMR001342	Call for Nominations for GSENMAC	11/22/2016
	Awaiting signatures from other agencies - NATIONAL TRAILS SYSTEM MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING	11/28/2016
BLMR006696	WSR 50th Anniversary MOU	11/29/2016
BLM0008813	Write-in campaign: oppose potential Bears Ears Monument	12/15/2016
BLM0009609	Supports expansion of Cascade- Siskiyou National Monument	12/20/2016
BLM0009630	Donation of Private Lands to the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) for Addition to the Sabinoso Wilderness Area	12/29/2016
BLM0009617		
BLM0009667	Bears Ears	12/30/2016
BLM0009669	Bears Ears	12/30/2016
BLM0009670	Bears Ears and Gold Butte	12/30/2016
BLM0009671	Bears Ears	12/30/2016
BLM0009672	California Coastal National Monument	12/30/2016
BLM0009674	Bears Ears	12/30/2016
BLM0009679	Bears Ears	12/30/2016
BLM0009682	Bears Ears	12/30/2016
BLM0009683	Gold Butte National Monument proposal	12/30/2016
	FY 2017 National Monument & National Conservation Area Program Manager's Reports IM	1/5/2017
BLM0009709	2017 Interagency National Wilderness Leadership Training	1/26/2017
BLM0009814		
BLM0009796	Bears Ears National Monument	1/25/2017
BLM0009783	Bears Ears - thank you	1/23/2017

Cell: C3

Comment: Britta Nelson:

Cohen, Ilana

Dec 20 (9 days ago)

Hi Nikki,

I think this package looks good. In the initial BLM section of the Order (on page 2), I would like to request the following change:

Replace this:

"BLM's 32 million-acre National Conservation Lands System."

With this:

"BLM's 35 million-acre National Conservation Lands."

Thank you,

-Ilana

On Dec 20, 2016, at 6:43 PM, Salt, Deborah <debsalt@blm.gov> wrote:

Nikki, Britta:

Thanks for the opportunity to review the BLM's response/strategy to the Secretarial Order signed by Secretary Jewell in October.

I see no red flags in the response/strategy. Surname is recommended from my perspective.

There may be future opportunities for us to also formulate ways to work with Tribes leading up to the 50th anniversaries of the Wild and scenic Rivers Act and National System Act (2018) based on this SO; however, we are not prepared at this time to offer such an initiative in the response, given the imminent deadline. We could consider this idea as we develop our 50th strategies, as appropriate.

-Deb



**NATIONAL  
CONSERVATION  
LANDS**

**June 2017  
Monthly Hot Topic Snapshot  
WO-410 RMP and EIS Review  
Updated 05/30/17**

**Chris McAlear**  
Acting Assistant Director  
Assistant Director (WO-400)

**RMPS AND PROJECTS WITH ISSUES TO ELEVATE/DIRECTORS PRIORITIES**

- **Sonoran Desert National Monument PRMP-A/FEIS.** Arizona.
  - **Update:** WO410 submitted comments on May 19, 2017. **WO410 has not received the response to comments.**
  - Amendment is focused on target shooting. **This amendment has a court ordered deadline** (ROD by 9/30/17).
- **Challis, Salmon, Upper Snake RMP Prep Plan.** Idaho.
  - **Update:** WO410 provided follow up comments to the responses to WO feedback (May 19), requesting data and GIS funding needs for National Trails be identified in the prep plan and following up on a WSR comment that was not responded to re: if USFS and BLM coordination on joint WSR studies would be considered. **WO410 has not received the response to comments.**
- **Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument administrative draft MMP-A/DEIS.** Utah.
  - **No issues.**
  - **Update:**
    1. WO410 coordinated with the UTSO and WO210 about the use of "in balance" language and agreed the notice briefing paper and section 2.6.1 of the EIS would be updated to change the words "in balance" to "consistent with".
    2. GSENM clarified that per the MMP, nothing in the land use plan amendment prevents the use of livestock as a vegetation management tool.
  - WO410 submitted DTS# 1471, NOA for the GSENM MMP-A/DEIS, on April 14. **NOA package has not reached WO400.**
- **DTS# 1401. NOA of the Boardman to Hemingway ROD.** Oregon.
  - **NOA package has not reached WO400.** NOA not routed at Division level.
  - WO410 provided updated language for NSHT for the ROD to the Project Manager and WO210 (Jan 12, 2017).
- **DTS# 1608. NOI to Prepare an Environmental Assessment to Reconsider the ROD Approving Segments 8 and 9 for the Gateway West Transmission Line Project.** Idaho.
  - Submitted by WO410 on May 22. **NOA package has not reached WO400.**
- **DTS# 1527. NOA of the DRMP and DEIS for the Cedar City Field Office Planning Area.** Utah.
  - Submitted by WO410 on May 2. **NOA package has not reached WO400.**
- **DTS# 1542. NOI to Amend the RMP for the Ukiah Field Office, California and Prepare an Associated Environmental Assessment for the Berryessa Snow Mountain National Monument.** California.
  - Submitted by WO410 on May 2. **NOI package has not reached WO400.**

- **DTS# 1545. NOA of the Draft RMP and DEIS for the BLM Carlsbad Field Office, New Mexico.**
  - **Update:** WO210 is holding the NOA while the Carlsbad FO revisits the alternatives. Updates to the DRMP/DEIS will come to the WO for review. WO212 has indicated that New Mexico will request a schedule change of an additional 1-2 years for the RMP.
- **Section 368 Energy Corridor Region 1 draft review report.** Southern California, southern Nevada, and western Arizona.
  - **Update:** Checked in with WO301 to determine if follow up is needed re: WO410 comments (submitted April 14, 2017) or the updated conflict maps. WO301 indicated no follow up needed at this time.
  - **Issues:** The draft review report addresses National Trails in accordance with outdated policy. This issue has been addressed with WO301 with follow-up occurring.
- **Donlin Natural Gas Pipeline.** Alaska.
  - **No update.**
  - Meeting with BLM Alaska was held on February 27 to discuss State Patent lands of concern within the Donlin project, specific to the Iditarod National Historic Trail. Although it is not feasible to get a ROW reservation for affected townships, BLM Alaska is working to get comments into NEPA for the project, including mitigation for impacts to the NHT.
- **Verde Transmission ADEIS.** New Mexico.
  - **Issues:** Potential impacts to WSR and National Trails.
    - Proposed route crosses Rio Grande River. Eligible and suitable WSR streams in area including additional segments of the Rio Grande WSR.
    - Project would cross the Camino Real de Tierra Adentro NHT and Old Spanish NHT.
  - **Next milestone is review of ADEIS (TBD). Any review is to be coordinated through New Mexico as amendment is not on WO210 priority list for WO review.**
  - **Update:** Scoping Report is being prepared. Scoping indicates opposition to project.
- **Mancos Shale RMP-A NOI, Farmington, New Mexico.**
  - **Issues: Unknown** but WO410 is tracking because the RMP-A proposes to amend four decision types including lands with wilderness characteristics and will analyze potential impacts from oil and gas decisions to the Old Spanish NHT (RMP decisions for the NHT will not be made).
  - **As the RMP-A/EIS moves forward (alts development, impact analysis, etc.). WO410 will participate to ensure that the National Conservation Lands units are adequately considered.**
  - **Update:** draft alternatives will be completed this summer. Public release of the DRMP/DEIS is not anticipated until calendar year 2018. NMSO will coordinate with WO210 on WO review.

#### UPCOMING BRIEFINGS (NEXT 30 DAYS)

- **Cedar City DRMP/DEIS.** Utah.
  - **AD Brief TBD.** Staff pre-brief was held May 23.
  - **Update:** Coordinating with WO212 re: clarifying establishing WSA as ROW avoidance/exclusion area.
  - **No issues.** 259,975 acres contain wilderness characteristics (16 areas).

- Prioritize other uses on 190,545 acres (73%) while not protecting wilderness characteristics based on mining claims with anticipated development and other resource management priorities, mainly multiple vegetation treatments for restoring habitat from pinion/juniper encroachment.
  - 69,430 acres (27%) would be managed to protect wilderness characteristics as a priority over other multiple uses. Protective allocations include VRM class II, ROW exclusion, NSO for leasable minerals, recommended withdrawal for locatable minerals.
- **Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument administrative draft MMP-A/DEIS.** Utah.
  - **AD Brief TBD.** Staff pre-brief was held April 25.
  - **No issues.** 471,700 acres contain wilderness characteristics. The draft MMP did not specifically address management of lands with wilderness characteristics, however these lands receive incidental management in the following zones:
    - The primitive zone (281,800 acres) allows for an undeveloped, primitive, and self-directed visitor experience without mechanized or motorized access.
    - [REDACTED] developed, primitive, and self-directed visitor experience, while allowing motorized and mechanized access on designated routes. Facilities are rare and are provided only when needed for resource protection.
    - The remaining lands with wilderness characteristics (40,300 acres) are in the front country and passage zones which contain more facilities and are the focal points for visitation.
- **Lewistown DRMP/DEIS.** Montana.
  - **TBD.**
  - **No issues.** 202,730 acres contain wilderness characteristics.
    - Prioritize other uses on 70,000 acres while not protecting wilderness characteristics. Underlying prescriptions include open to mineral material sales, non-energy leasables, cross-country mechanized travel, fluid minerals with minor constraints (CSU), and livestock; limited to designated routes yearlong; 400 acres of ERMA prescription (potentially); and mostly VRM III.
    - 30,000 acres would be managed to minimize impacts to wilderness characteristics while managing for other uses (13,000 acres of ACECs and approximately 15,000-20,000 acres where actions are in place to protect GRSG).
    - 100,410 acres (49%) would be managed to protect wilderness characteristics as a priority over other multiple uses.
- **Carlsbad Field Office DRMP/DEIS.** New Mexico.
  - **TBD.**
    - **Update:** WO212 has indicated Carlsbad anticipates a 1-2 year delay and New Mexico is submitting a schedule change request for the plan.
    - **Issue:** Discrepancies in acreages for lands with wilderness characteristics was found in DRMP/DEIS and range from 24,072 to 41,772 acres. **Carlsbad FO is revisiting the alternatives.**
    - **WSR rationale:** The Delaware River segment was not included in the Preferred Alternative because this area has been identified as an area with high oil/gas development potential. Portions of the Delaware River segment are currently leased for oil and gas development and are surrounded by state lands. Much of the Delaware River segment carries protective allocations associated with the proposed Gypsum Soils ACEC which is included in the Preferred Alternative C.

- **Rio Puerco PRMP/FEIS.** New Mexico.
  - **TBD.**
  - **No issues.** There are seven areas totaling 37,524 acres of wilderness characteristics.
    - Prioritize other uses on 1,663 acres (4%) while not protecting wilderness characteristics.
    - 6,920 acres (18%) would be managed to minimize impacts to wilderness characteristics while managing for other uses. Travel limited to designated routes, closed to leasable minerals, avoid for saleable minerals and ROW, minimizing impacts and requiring use of existing routes for forest product removal, and VRM III.
    - 28,941 acres (77%) would be managed to protect wilderness characteristics as a priority over other multiple uses. Travel would be closed except as authorized, closed to leasable and saleable minerals, no new ROWs, closed to forest product removal, and VRM II.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]