# **2019 Monitoring Report**

# North Umpqua Wild & Scenic River



Cooperative Effort Between

# Bureau of Land Management, Roseburg District & & Umpqua National Forest

# **Table of Contents**

Nor	h Umpqua Wild & Scenic River	. 1
Um	qua National Forest	. 1
I.	Background Information	.4
А	Designation of the North Umpqua River	.4
В	North Umpqua River Management Plan	.4
C	Boating Management Area	.4
D	Management Guidelines	.4
Ε	Methods of Collecting Information	.5
F	Objectives of River Monitoring	.5
II.	Methodology and River-Use Statistics	.7
А	Observed Boating Use	.7
В	Reported Boating Use	.9
С	Adjusted Boating Use	0
D	Craft and Boat Launch Use	12
Ε	Boating Summary Error! Bookmark not define	d.
F	Observed Fishing Use	4
G	Congestion at Parking Areas and Launch Sites	6
III.	Outstandingly Remarkable Values	8
А	Fisheries	8
В	Water Quality	9
С	Cultural Resources	23
D	Scenery	24
IV.	2019 Staff	24

# Tables, Graphs, & Maps

Map 1: North Umpqua Wild & Scenic River Corridor	6
Table 1: Annual Comparison of Observed Boating Use.	
Table 2: Daily Comparisons of Boaters Observed by USFS and BLM	
Table 3: Observed Use and Reported Commercial Use	9
<b>Table 4:</b> Annual Comparison of Observed Watercraft Use	
Map 2: North Umpqua Wild & Scenic Rafting Segments	11
Table 5: Comparison of Watercraft Observed Per Month	
Table 6: Annual Comparison of Observed Watercraft Use	
Table 7: Launch Utilization	
Table 8: Observed Angler Use	14
Table 9: Daily Comparison of Anglers Observed and Reported Commercial Use	15
Table 10: Annual comparison of Observed Angler Use	15
Table 11: Number of Occasions Parking Capacity Exceeded Limit	
Table 12: Comments, Hazards, & Violations	17
Table 13: Annual Water Quality Statistics	19
Graph 3: North Umpqua Annual pH	
Graph 4: North Umpqua Annual Temperature (C)	
Graph 5: North Umpqua Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	
Graph 6: North Umpqua Annual Specific Conductance (uS/cm)	
Graph 7: North Umpqua Annual Mean Data for Discharge (cfs)	23

# I. Background Information

#### A. Designation of the North Umpqua River

The North Umpqua River was designated a recreational river in the National Wild and Scenic River System in the Omnibus Oregon Wild and Scenic River Act of 1988.

#### B. North Umpqua River Management Plan

In 1992, The US Forest Service (USFS), Bureau of Land Management (BLM), and Oregon Parks and Recreation Department cooperated with local, state, and federal agencies to complete the North Umpqua River Management Plan. The plan details a specific management direction and resource monitoring plan for each section of the river. The plan notes fisheries, water, recreation, scenery, and cultural resources as Outstandingly Remarkable Values (ORV's).

#### C. Boating Management Area

Boundaries include the North Umpqua River from Soda Springs Dam to its confluence with Rock Creek. Management of the lower section of the North Umpqua River (between mile markers 22 and 30 of Highway 138, 8.4 river miles) is the responsibility of the Roseburg BLM and management of the upper section (between mile marker 30 and <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> mile below Soda Springs Dam, 25.4 river miles) is the responsibility of the USFS. The two agencies work closely to jointly manage the North Umpqua Wild and Scenic River; the USFS administers special use permits for commercial fishing and rafting guides for the entire 33.8 miles and BLM is responsible for monitoring use.

#### **D.** Management Guidelines

Commercial rafters, anglers, and agency personnel have discussed user conflicts that can occur on the North Umpqua River. The various user groups agreed that conflicts could be reduced by using the river at different times. Anglers noted that they used the Steamboat area more extensively than other segments and boaters noted that they did not generally use the river during the early morning hours and late evening hours. As a result, certain segments have been placed under voluntary boater restrictions for both non-commercial and commercial boaters during certain hours of the day and certain seasons of the year. Since implementation in 1992, the number of conflicts between boaters and anglers have been reduced. Voluntary guidelines for each segment are as follows:

Soda Springs to Gravel Bin Open to boating year-round Voluntary boating closures - 6 p.m. to 10 a.m. from 7/1 through 10/31

Gravel Bin to Bogus Creek Open to boating 11/1 through 6/30 Boating closure - 6 p.m. to 10 a.m. from 7/1 through 7/14 Voluntary boating closure – All times, 7/15 through 10/31

Bogus Creek to Susan Creek Open to boating year-around Voluntary boating closure - 6 p.m. to 10 a.m. from 7/1 through 10/31

Susan Creek to Rock Creek Open to boating year-round Voluntary boating closure - 6 p.m. to 10 a.m. from 7/1 through 10/31 Seven commercial whitewater guide/outfitters have a Special Use Permit, which authorizes them to conduct trips on the river between May 20th and September 15th. Stipulations for commercial users exist: commercial trips are not allowed to use Apple Creek campground as a lunch stop; they are restricted from launching from the undeveloped campsites at Eagle Rock campground prior to July 15th; and they may not run trips between September 15th and December 31st to protect spawning fish and their habitat; however, they are authorized to run trips between January 1st and May 20th without using any of their permit allotted days. Private boaters are not required to obtain permits to float the river.

Nine commercial fly-fishing guides are permitted to conduct trips on the river between January 1st and November 14th. Trips are not authorized between November 15th and December 31st in order to protect spawning Coho salmon.

#### E. Methods of Collecting Information

In the winter of 1991, the Roseburg District BLM funded a river manager position to manage and document use of the North Umpqua River. Since then, visual counting by river monitors has varied between two and four BLM and USFS employees per year. In 2019, three BLM seasonal employees oversaw the river monitoring.

#### F. Objectives of River Monitoring

- 1. Identify types of recreation use occurring on the river.
- 2. Document visitor use statistics on the river, including commercial and non-commercial use.
- 3. Provide a BLM/USFS presence on the river to contact, inform, and educate the public.
- 4. Coordinate river management issues between the BLM and the USFS.
- 5. Identify and mitigate safety hazards and minimize user conflicts.
- 6. Promote preservation of the five ORVs identified in the river management plan.
- 7. Provide recreational users a quality recreation experience.









# II. Methodology and River-Use Statistics

### A. Observed Boating Use

The use recorded by the USFS and BLM monitors is referred to as "observed use". The documented observed use indicates non-commercial use exceeded commercial use in 2019 (Table 1 & Graph 1). Non-commercial users accounted for 67% of the observed use and commercial users accounted for 33% of the observed use. (Note: This compares to 55% non-commercial observed use and 45% commercial observed use in 2018.)

In 2013, with permission from the USFS, commercial anglers, and commercial boaters, the BLM implemented a new monitoring technique using time-lapse cameras. In 2019, as in the previous season, monitoring cameras were placed in each of the five segments of the North Umpqua River. When cameras are operating, the photos were taken every 30 seconds between the hours of 10am-5pm. These cameras were able to observe use when no BLM or USFS monitors were present, as well as pick up boaters BLM and USFS monitors may have missed. The monitoring cameras accounted for 62% of non-commercial observed use and 55% of commercial observed use, compared to 80% of non-commercial and 85% commercial observed use in 2018.

1.	Non - Commercial Observed Use: (65% of all use)	
	Visual counts observed by BLM/USFS employees	
	Visual counts observed by monitoring cameras	1,233
	Guides observed	
	Total observed	2,265
2.	Commercial Observed Use: (35% of all use)	
	Visual counts observed by BLM/USFS employees	
	Visual counts observed by monitoring cameras	
	Total observed	

River monitoring, by person or camera, was present on the river 94 out of 119 days (78%). One to five monitoring cameras were active for each of these days during the season. An average of 6 hours was spent visually monitoring every Saturday and Sunday between the hours of 10am-5pm. One monitor was usually present, with occasionally two on Saturday.



Year	*Non-commercial Observed	Commercial Observed	Total Observed Use
2009	2,889	1,401	4,290
2010	2,720	1,345	4,065
2011	1,939	1,436	3,375
2012	1,833	1,266	3,099
2013	1,776	1,093	2,869
2014	2,108	1,438	3,546
2015	1,380	1,256	2,636
2016	2,462	1,319	3,781
2017	1,661	1,145	2,806
2018	1,931	1,350	3,281
2019	2,265	936	3,201

Table 1: Annual Comparison of Observed Boating Use

\*Figures include the observed guides

Table 2 shows total commercial and non-commercial use by day of the week. Saturday was the busiest day in 2019 for both user groups. Monday was the slowest day for non-commercial users, Thursday for commercial groups. Monitoring took place every Saturday and Sunday, while relying on camera coverage Monday-Friday, with employees monitoring when available.

Table 2: Daily Compari	isons of Boaters Obse	erved by USFS and BLM
------------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------

Day	Non-Commercial	Commercial	Total
Monday	102	110	212
Tuesday	173	82	255
Wednesday	176	100	276
Thursday	185	57	242
Friday	243	185	428
Saturday	647	278	925
Sunday	450	124	574
Total	1,976	936	2,912

\*Figures exclude the 289 observed guides

#### **B.** Reported Boating Use

Reported use is the use that commercial outfitters reported to the USFS at the end of the use season. There is a difference between the number of visitors reported by commercial outfitters and the number observed in the field by the USFS and BLM monitors. Reasons for this discrepancy are:

- Evergreen trees and shrubs along the river continue to reduce the opportunity for observing boaters. Commercial trips were not seen, and some commercial trips may have been mistaken for non-commercial boaters.
- The river was not regularly monitored Monday-Friday by a USFS or BLM employee.
- Camera monitoring can make it difficult to distinguish between commercial users and non-commercial users.

### Table 3: Observed Use and Reported Commercial Use

Outfitter	People Observed by BLM/USFS*							People Reported -	
Outinter	May	June	July	Aug	Sep	Total	Camera**	Outfitters	
North Umpqua Outfitters	0	82	218	153	7	460	259	565	
Orange Torpedo Trips	0	22	89	33	5	149	73	220	
Oregon River Experiences	0	0	8	0	0	8	0	8	
Oregon Whitewater Adventures	0	36	66	14	4	120	75	160	
Ouzel Outfitters	0	4	84	1	0	99	45	197	
Sun Country Tours	0	14	32	15	0	61	32	83	
Total	0	158	497	226	16	897	484	1,233	

#### Data from May 26 to September 11, 2019

\*Figures exclude the 289 observed guides.

\*\*Total captured by camera. Number is included in the total observed column.

#### C. Adjusted Boating Use

Adjusted boating use is a method used to estimate total boating use based on what is seen and reported. To determine adjusted boating use, observed commercial use is first compared to reported commercial use. Once this ratio is determined, the same ratio is used to determine the non-commercial adjusted use based on observation.

Commercial observed	=	Non-commercial observed
Commercial reported		Non-commercial adjusted

The difference between commercial observed and commercial reported is 27%. This compares to 22% in 2018 and 16% in 2017. In other words, it is estimated that 27% of all boaters were not observed by river monitors or monitoring cameras.

**Total Adjusted Use** is calculated by summing the non-commercial adjusted use with the commercial reported as shown below.

Year	Non-commercial Adjusted Use	Commercial Reported Use	Total Adjusted Use
2009	3,518	1,706	5,224
2010	3,400	1,802	5,202
2011	2,501	2,005	4,506
2012	2,291	1,688	3,979
2013	2,433	1,750	4,183
2014	2,656	1,932	4,588
2015	1,711	1,655	3,366
2016	2,856	1,569	4,425
2017	2,076	1,527	3,603
2018*	2,356	1,467	3,823
2019	2,876	1,233	4,109

#### Table 4: Annual Comparison of Observed Watercraft Use

\*Does not include High Country Expeditions Observed/Reported use



Map 2: North Umpqua Wild & Scenic Rafting Segments

## **D.** Craft and Boat Launch Use

Data was queried to show watercraft used to float the river. During the 2019 boating season, rafts outnumbered other crafts on the river (table 5), accounting for 45% of all crafts used. Inflatable kayaks were second with 36% and hard side kayaks third with 19%. Canoe use remains low and represents less than 1% of total watercraft use in 2019.

Month	Rafts	Inflatable Kayaks	Hard Kayaks	Canoes	Monthly Total
May	0	0	0	0	0
June	131	75	59	0	265
July	243	188	122	0	553
August	162	145	53	2	362
Sept.	16	27	4	0	47
Total	552	435	238	2	1,227

 Table 5: Comparison of Watercraft Observed Per Month

Year	Rafts	I. Kayaks	H. Kayaks	Canoes	Total Crafts
2009	781	531	380	35	1,727
2010	771	342	427	68	1,608
2011	625	302	260	8	1,195
2012	557	327	241	17	1,142
2013	464	389	166	3	1,052
2014	642	407	210	1	1,260
2015	363	305	197	15	880
2016	707	435	357	28	1,527
2017	558	230	268	4	1,060
2018	586	461	225	9	1,281
2019	552	435	238	2	1,227

The data queried shows a breakdown of the put-in and take-out locations (see table 7). Boulder Flat was the most heavily used put-in location with 1,432 users (49%) and Gravel Bin was the most heavily used take-out location with 2,210 users (76%).

# Table 7: Launch Utilization

Site	Put-In	Take-Out
	Users	Users
Boulder Flat Boat Launch	1,432	0
Marsters Bridge	37	0
Horseshoe Bend	1,026	269
Gravel Bin	237	2,210
Bogus Creek	125	241
Susan Creek	55	131
Cable Crossing	0	61
Total	2,912	2,912

# E. Boating Summary

a)	Non-commercial Use – 67% of all use	
	1) Visual counts observed by BLM/USFS employees	
	2) Visual counts observed by monitoring camera	1,233
	3) Number of guides observed by BLM/USFS employees	
	4) Total visual counts observed	
	5) Number missed (factored using 27% of users missed)	611
	6) Adjusted non-commercial use	
1 \		
b)	<u>Commercial Use</u> – 33% of all use	
	1) Visual counts observed by BLM/USFS employees	
	2) Visual counts observed by monitoring camera	
	3) Total visual counts observed	
	4) Reported Counts by Outfitter/Guides	1,233
c)	Total Adjusted Use - Commercial and Non-commercial	4,109
d)	Observed Watercraft	
	1) Rafts	
	2) Hard Kayaks	
	3) Inflatable Kayaks	
	4) Canoes	2
	5) Total Watercrafts	

### F. Observed Fishing Use

Anglers were counted by drive-by observation, with very little contact being made. Outfitters were identified mainly by vehicle type, color, and license plate. Outfitters are required to display a tag in their vehicles identifying they are presently guiding. If an outfitter was spotted, monitors would stop and confirm if the tag was present. If anglers were not visible from the highway, parked vehicles that were not obviously involved in other activities were counted as having transported one and a half anglers.

	G (		Non-		
Month	Segment	Total	Commercial	Commercial	
	1	0	0	0	
	2	0	0	0	
May	3	0	0	0	
	4	0	0	0	
	5	0	0	0	
	1	5	5	0	
	2	24	22	2	
June	3	17	17	0	
	4	23	23	0	-
	5	23	23	0	
	1	37	37	0	
	2	25	25	0	
July	3	78	74	0	
	4	44	44	0	
	5	22	22	0	
	1	6	6	0	
	2	16	16	0	
Aug.	3	98	96	2	
0	4	33	31	2	
	5	6	6	0	
	1	5	5	0	
	2	14	14	0	
Sep.	3	39	39	0	
	4	20	20	0	
	5	27	25	2	
	1	53	53	0	Boulder Flat - Horsesh
	2	79	77	2	Horseshoe Bend - Grav
Total	3	232	226	6	Gravel Bin - Bogus Cre
	4	120	118	2	Bogus Creek-Susan Cr
	5	78	76	2	Susan Creek - Cable C
OVERALL TOT	'AL - 562	Ν	ON- 550	COMMERCIAL - 12	

# Table 8: Observed Angler Use

Day	Non-commercial	Commercial	Total
Monday	0	0	0
Tuesday	0	0	0
Wednesday	0	0	0
Thursday	0	0	0
Friday	41	0	41
Saturday	310	4	314
Sunday	199	8	207
Total	550	12	562

## Table 9: Daily Comparison of Anglers Observed by USFS & BLM

## Table 10: Annual Comparison of Observed Angler Use and Reported Commercial Use

Year	Observed Non-commercial	Observed Commercial	Total	Reported Commercial
2012	1,506	163	1,669	Not Available
2013	1,077	64	1,141	Not Available
2014	1,342	63	1,405	341
2015	773	68	*841	*364
2016	1,154	136	1,290	419
**2017	426	34	460	281
2018	303	49	303	241
2019	550	12	562	356

\*The 2015 figures in Table 10 are due to ODFW imposing a fishing ban July 18 through August 31. \*\*River segments 1-4 were closed from August 19 – September 30, 2017.



## G. Congestion at Parking Areas and Launch Sites

When parking capacity was exceeded, vehicles parked in unused campsites, overflow parking, staging areas, as well as double-parking with party members.

# **Table 11: Number of Occasions Parking Capacity Exceeded Limit**

When parking capacity was exceeded, vehicles parked in unused campsites, overflow parking, staging areas, as well as double-parking with party members. There were no observed occasions of exceeded parking capacity in 2019.

Boulder Flat - 6 Cars Max		Horseshoe Bend - 5 Cars Max	Gravel Bin - 30 Cars Max
Date	Vehicles Exceeding Capacity	Vehicles Exceeding Capacity	Vehicles Exceeding Capacity

# Table 12: Comments, Hazards, & Violations

	Issue
Comments/ Compliments	<ul> <li>Throughout the summer common inquiries were made about possible river hazards, regulations/restrictions, directions, brochure requests and campsite info/questions.</li> <li>Many visitors were appreciative of BLM/Forest Service presence at the boat ramps.</li> <li>The public appreciated the information boards, new river brochures, up-to-date weather and flow information, and river hazard postings.</li> <li>Users reported the desire to see boat ramps improved and/or more developed at Horseshoe Bend, Gravel Bin, and Susan Creek Day Use Area</li> </ul>
Hazards	<ul> <li>A strainer was reported on segment 1 about a half mile from Boulder Flat raft launch near "pop goes the weasel" rapid. BLM/Forest Service assessed the situation and determined that it was not a safety hazard.</li> <li>A bike was removed from the river near Horseshoe Bend in July.</li> <li>A car was removed from the river near mile marker 45 in July.</li> </ul>
User Conflicts/ Violations	• One report of a group openly urinating off the boat ramp at Horseshoe Bend
Weather	• 2019 had the same average temperature as 2018, but with more spikes of temperature in the beginning of the summer.
Fire	• No major fires affecting the North Umpqua River

Additional Information • Dry C • Orega boate Last I • Park Servi visito	Creek was often used as a put in site in 2019. On Mountain Guides was running a shuttle service for rs. They were advertising and operating out of Umpqua Resort. Crangers from the Bureau of Land Management and Forest ce conducted 4 interagency river patrol trips to monitor r use and river conditions.
---	---

# III. Outstandingly Remarkable Values

The North Umpqua River Management Plan notes that there are several components that make the North Umpqua Wild and Scenic River. These components are Outstandingly Remarkable Values (ORV's) and the plan recognizes fish, water quality, recreation, scenery and cultural resources as the ORV's within the North Umpqua Wild and Scenic Corridor. The plan also emphasizes the importance of protecting these resources through monitoring programs.

The monitoring being done for recreation is addressed in the first section of this report. The following information documents monitoring for fisheries, water quality, scenic value, and cultural resources.

## A. Fisheries

The BLM and the Partnership for the Umpqua Rivers (PUR) designed and secured funding for a stream restoration project in Pass Creek, a tributary to Canton Creek that is a tributary to the North Umpqua River. Once completed, this restoration project will restore over 2.5 miles of stream by pulling in riparian trees and adding logs and boulders to create important spawning and rearing habitat for summer and winter Steelhead, Cutthroat trout, and Pacific Lamprey. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife implemented approximately 1.3 miles of stream restoration work in Rock Creek, a major fish-bearing tributary to the North Umpqua River. The project involved adding logs, boulders, and opening upside channels to main stem Rock Creek. This project will provide much-improved habitat for juvenile salmonids in summer and winter and will provide some improved spawning areas for adult salmon and steelhead. Species benefiting from the restoration project include Spring Chinook salmon, Oregon Coast Coho salmon, Steelhead, Cutthroat trout, and the Pacific lamprey.

Additionally, ODFW monitored fish populations in Rock Creek and the North Umpqua. They conducted spawning surveys for adult Spring Chinook in September and October and Coho in November and December. They also conducted snorkel surveys in Rock Creek to count juvenile salmonids. These snorkel surveys were conducted in both summer and winter. Monitoring indicated significant increases in spawning adult salmon and Steelhead in restored reaches, and

increased numbers of juvenile salmonids near stream structures and in newly opened side channels.

\*\*Fish counts will no longer be produced in this report due to ODFW not conducting counts at Winchester Dam anymore.

### **B.** Water Quality

Water quality affects most of the other Outstandingly Remarkable Values. Table 13 shows some of the water quality parameters that have been consistently monitored over the past several years. The water samples were taken between Idleyld Park and Rock Creek at a USGS gaging station. Data is taken for the calendar year. The Discharge (cfs) data is taken from the Copeland Creek cage and is based on a monthly mean during the monitoring season months (May-September).

Year	Measurement	pH (units)	Temperature (°C)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	Specific Conductance (us/cm)	Discharge (CFS)
Desir	ed Conditions	6.5- 8.5	< 17.8	> 6.5	maintain	> 800
	Maximum	8.7	19.0	14.4	71	2340
2009	Minimum	7.1	0.7	9.3	32	822
	Mean	7.7	9.6	11.6	54	1288
	Maximum	8.6	20.5	14.8	70	2110
2010	Minimum	7.2	0.0	8.9	33	846
	Mean	7.7	9.6	11.7	55	1328
	Maximum	8.6	17.6	13.9	68	2730
2011	Minimum	6.7	2.1	9.3	28	1040
	Mean	7.6	9.1	11.6	51	1806
	Maximum	8.5	18.4	14.3	69	2536
2012	Minimum	7.1	2.0	9.2	29	983
	Mean	7.7	9.0	11.7	54	1553
	Maximum	8.9	20.5	15.0	72	1616
2013	Minimum	6.9	0.0	9.0	36	823
	Mean	7.6	9.6	11.6	56	1101
	Maximum	10.2	21.1	15.0	70	1880
2014	Minimum	7.0	0.0	8.8	32	801
	Mean	7.8	10.0	11.5	57	1100
	Maximum	8.7	22.4	13.7	74	1070
2015	Minimum	6.6	1.5	8.4	32	718
	Mean	7.6	10.9	11.0	61	854
	Maximum	8.4	17.6	13.8	71	1700

**Table 13: Annual Water Quality Statistics** 

2016	Minimum	6.9	0.7	9.0	31	863
	Mean	7.4	9.8	11.3	54	1125
	Maximum	8.5	18.5	14.5	71	2830
2017	Minimum	6.8	.2	9.2	34	996
	Mean	7.5	9	11	55	1595
	Maximum	8.7	20.3	14.7	72	1330
2018	Minimum	7.2	2.5	10.1	37	777
	Mean	7.7	10.9	11.5	60	962
	Maximum	8.5	20.6	14.4	72	1770
2019	Minimum	6.7	2	9	32	806
	Mean	7.5	10.9	11.5	57	1114

Graph 3: North Umpqua Annual pH



An acceptable pH range for the Umpqua Basin is between 6.5 and 8.5. It would be considered 'water quality limited' if greater than 10% of the samples exceed this standard (fall outside the acceptable range), and a minimum of at least two samples exceeded the standard during a season of interest. An acceptable pH range was maintained during 2019.





Maximum temperature standard reflects a 7-day average maximum. For good spawning conditions, the 7-day maximum average temperature of the river should not exceed 17.8°C between June 1 and September 14, and the 7-day maximum average temperature should not exceed 12.8°C at other times of the year. There were a few instances over the course of the summer where river temperature thresholds were exceeded. The mean temperature remained the same as 2018. The temperature readings show an increase of the average temperature over the past several years.





Dissolved Oxygen (DO) is found in microscopic bubbles of oxygen that are mixed in the water and occur between water molecules. DO is a very important indicator of a water body's ability to support aquatic life. Fish "breathe" by absorbing dissolved oxygen through their gills. DO should have no less than 6.5mg/l or 90% saturation. If the 7-day minimum average for DO is less than this standard, water quality is considered limited. Dissolved oxygen levels were within acceptable levels during 2019.



Graph 6: North Umpqua Annual Specific Conductance (uS/cm)

Specific Conductance (SC) is a measure of how well water can conduct an electrical current and is an indirect measure of the presence of dissolved solids such as chloride, nitrate, sulfate, phosphate, sodium, magnesium, calcium, and iron that can be used as an indicator of water pollution. Although specific conductance has no standard, it is noted because SC for the North Umpqua River is uniquely low.

#### **Graph 7:** North Umpqua Mean Data for Discharge (cfs)

Discharge, Cubic Feet per Second (cfs), for the North Umpqua River is monitored daily during the monitoring season, May through September. Readings are taken from the Copeland Creek gage to get an idea of flows for the river and hazards that may become present throughout the year. Data presented in the graph represents monthly means to determine a maximum, minimum, and mean for the season.



#### **C.** Cultural Resources

The North Umpqua River has attracted people for thousands of years. Because of this longstanding attraction, cultural resources are considered an outstandingly remarkable value of the river.

Six archaeological sites were monitored during the year of 2019, including five sites that are eligible to be listed and one that is listed in the National Register of Historic Places. Archaeologists recorded two new historic archaeological sites

#### **D.** Scenery

The lands within the Wild and Scenic River Corridor will be managed to retain the visual quality objectives (VQO) as defined in the North Umpqua Management Plan. Retention is defined as "management activities that should not be evident to the casual visitor." The exception to this rule as written in the North Umpqua River Management Plan (pages 31-32) includes:

- a. The vegetation poses a safety hazard along the highway, the river, a trail, a powerline, or in a developed recreation area.
- b. The vegetation is located within an easement or right-of-way area, and a suitable alternate route cannot be found.
- c. The vegetation is in the way of a planned facility development or improvement project.
- d. The vegetation needs to be cut to enhance a significant or outstandingly remarkable value.
- e. A catastrophic natural event (such as wildfire, insect infestation, or blow down from a wind event) has left large numbers of dead, salvageable trees in the corridor.
- f. An insect infestation threatens adjacent timberlands outside the corridor.

There were no agency projects in 2019 that interfered with visual quality objectives within the corridor though the fires did impact the visual quality of the WSR corridor and will for many years.

# **IV. 2019 Staff**

BLM Monitor – Melissa Baechle, 1<sup>st</sup> year seasonal, Park Ranger
BLM Monitor – Timothy Emeritz, 1<sup>st</sup> year seasonal, Park Ranger
BLM Monitor – Jessica Tyra, 1<sup>st</sup> year seasonal, Park Ranger
BLM Recreation Staff – Suzanne Shelp, Jacob Holden
BLM Swiftwater Field Manager – Michael Korn
USFS Recreation Staff – Janie Pardo, Eric Figura, Jennifer Taylor
USFS North Umpqua District Ranger – Sherri Chambers
Report Preparers – Jacob Holden, Suzanne Shelp, Melissa Baechle, Timothy Emeritz