

**2000 ANNUAL MONITORING REPORT
BRUNEAU HOT-SPRING SPRINGSNAIL
(*PYRGULOPSIS BRUNEAUENSIS*)**

by

**Amanda T. Rugenski
and
G. Wayne Minshall**



**Idaho Technical Bulletins can be accessed through the Idaho BLM website as follows:
www.id.blm.gov (click on Information, scroll down to and click on Technical Bulletins)**

**Further information is available from the BLM, Idaho State Office,
1387 S. Vinnell Way, Boise, ID 83709. Telephone (208) 373-4018.**

2000 ANNUAL MONITORING REPORT

Bruneau Hot-spring Springsnail (*Pyrgulopsis bruneauensis*)

by
Amanda T. Rugenski
and
G. Wayne Minshall

**Stream Ecology Center
Department of Biological Sciences
Idaho State University
Pocatello, Idaho 83209**

**Prepared for
Bureau of Land Management
Lower Snake River District
Boise, Idaho 83705**

February 5, 2001

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Appendices	ii
List of Figures.....	iii
List of Tables.....	iv
Summary	1
Introduction	2
Methods.....	3
Site description	3
Springsnail size distribution	6
Springsnail population fluctuations	6
Discharge, temperature, and water chemistry fluctuations	7
Periphyton.....	7
Habitat assessment at Hot Creek	8
Discharge monitoring at the rockface seeps.....	8
Intensive search for relict populations of <i>P. bruneauensis</i> in and around Hot Creek.....	9
Results.....	9
Springsnail size distribution	9
Comparison of average monthly snails sizes among sites	27
Discharge, temperature, and water chemistry fluctuations	27
Periphyton.....	36
Habitat assessment at Hot Creek	37
Discharge monitoring at the rockface seeps.....	37
Intensive search for relict populations of <i>P. bruneauensis</i> in and around Hot Creek.....	37
Discussion	42
Conditions at Indian Bathtub and Hot Creek.....	42
Conditions at the rockface seeps.....	42
Recommendations.....	43
Literature Cited.....	44

APPENDICES

A. Springsnail density, wetted rockface, and springflow measurement locations at the
rockface seeps 47

B. Idaho Department of Health and Welfare's Habitat Assessment
Field Data Sheets 48

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1	Locations of the springsnail study sites	4
Figure 2	Location of the temperature data loggers at the three study sites.....	5
Figures 3a-k	Annual size histograms for snail populations from the three study sites	10-20
Figures 4a-c	Annual size histograms for snail population at Site 3 new seep.....	21-23
Figures 5a-b	Average monthly size histograms at the study sites	24-25
Figure 6	Estimated springsnail growth rates based upon average monthly size at the study sites	28
Figure 7	Snail density at the study sites.....	29
Figure 8	Discharge and maximum temperature at Site 1 (Hot Creek)	31
Figure 9	Maximum and minimum water temperatures at the study sites.....	32
Figure 10	Daily mean temperatures at study sites.....	33
Figure 11	Water chemistry at the monitoring sites	34
Figure 12	Percent springflow-covered rockface and percent rockface wetted, but lacking flow at the rockface seeps	35
Figure 13	Chlorophyll-a of periphyton for the study sites.....	38
Figure 14	Periphyton biomass (as AFDM) for the study sites.....	39
Figure 15	Discharge for weirs at rockface seep sites	41

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Habitat assessment scores for Site 1 (Hot Creek)..... 40

SUMMARY

This report presents the 2000 monitoring results from four sites near the Indian Bath tub in southwestern Idaho that contain, or have contained, populations of the Bruneau Hot-spring springsnail (*Pyrgulopsis bruneauensis*) and compares them with results from previous years. Three of these sites were monitored in 1990 and 1991 by Mladenka (1992), in 1992 by Robinson et al. (1992), in 1993 by Royer and Minshall (1993), in 1994, 1995, 1997 by Varricchione and Minshall (1995a, 1996, 1997), Varricchione et al. (1998), and in 1998 and 1999 by Myler and Minshall (1999). An additional seep at Site 3 (New Seep) was included in the 1994, 1995, and 1997-2000 springsnail monitoring efforts. Monitoring was conducted monthly throughout the year from 1990-1999. Starting in 2000, monitoring was conducted June through October.

Springsnails continue to be absent from Hot Creek proper since a flood in July 1991. A relict population of springsnails was found within a 1.80 m seep that drained into Hot Creek (Site 1). Experiments conducted in 1997 and 1998 indicated that springsnail movement rate could not account for the lack of recolonization in Hot Creek. In 1998, field measurements showed a thermal barrier, potentially preventing the springsnails from reaching Hot Creek. Experiments conducted in 1999 by Cary Myler bypassed the thermal barrier with a segment of pipe which acted as a bridge for snail movement (Myler and Minshall 1999).

In 1998, a controlled fish-feeding experiment showed that *Tilapia zilli* were able to recognize *P. bruneauensis* as a food resource both when the fish were starved and when other food was present. A fish enclosure was constructed to eliminate possible predation from *Tilapia* (Myler and Minshall 1999). As of November 1999, springsnails were found upstream and downstream of the confluence of the small seep but within the fish enclosure. During the 2000 survey the fish enclosure was removed and springsnails were no longer found in Hot Creek.

Estimates of springsnail populations at Sites 2 and 3 (including Original Seep and New Seep) are within the range of past years, but are some of the lowest densities of the past decade.

The rockface at Site 2 dried up completely in the month of September and was wetted again in the month of October, but springsnails failed to recolonize.

INTRODUCTION

Pyrgulopsis bruneauensis is an endemic snail inhabiting a complex of related hot springs near the Bruneau River south of Mountain Home, Idaho. Hershler (1990) provided a complete taxonomic description of *P. bruneauensis*. Mladenka (1992) focused on the life history of *P. bruneauensis*, providing the groundwork on which this monitoring study is based. Mladenka (1992) found only two studies addressing the biology of *P. bruneauensis*: Taylor (1982) described the taxonomy of the snail and Fritchman (1985) studied its reproduction in the laboratory.

Mladenka (1992) found temperature to be the most important factor affecting the distribution of *P. bruneauensis*. Experiments showed the thermal tolerance range for the snails to be 11°C-35°C. Reproduction occurred between 20°C and 35°C. Snail growth and reproduction were retarded at temperatures < 24°C. The study also found that under suitable conditions, recruitment and growth may occur at all times of the year, sexual maturity could occur within two months of hatching, maximum size could be reached within four months (both under suitable temperature conditions), and the sex ratio of spring snails was 1:1. In laboratory experiments, springsnails were found to survive on all types of substrate, although higher numbers were found on gravel and silt than on sand (Mladenka 1992). Rockface seeps had highly variable temperatures, but never exceeded thermal maximum temperatures. Hot Creek maintained temperatures that were less variable, but often above the springsnail thermal maximum temperature (35°C) (Mladenka 1992).

A flood in the summer of 1991 contributed much silt, sand, and gravel to Hot Creek. In particular, Indian Bathtub was reduced to less than one-half its size before the flood because of sediment addition. Available habitat in the immediate vicinity of Indian Bathtub was reduced because of this and other sedimentation events (Mladenka 1992). The springsnail's habitat throughout its known range along the Bruneau River has diminished considerably in recent years because of agriculture-related groundwater mining in the area (Berenbrock 1993). The Indian Bathtub population apparently has been reduced to zero (Mladenka 1992) as a result of reduction of hot water inputs and other habitat alterations.

Springsnail populations apparently were eliminated in Hot Creek (Site 1) by a major runoff event in July 1992 (Royer and Minshall 1993), and have not been found in the creek except for a brief period in 1999. Observations made in 1998 identified a thermal barrier to potential recolonists that exceeded the thermal maximum of the springsnail (Myler and Minshall 1999). Myler and Minshall (1999) postulated that temperatures (> 35°C) reduced springsnail survival in Hot Creek. Addition of protruding substrate, bypass of the thermal barrier, and a fish enclosure enabled the springsnail to recolonize Hot Creek proper (Myler and Minshall 1999). The enclosure was removed June of 2000 and no springsnails were found in Hot Creek during the observations made in June through October 2000.

Gut analyses performed on two Hot Creek fish, *Gambusia* and *Tilapia*, showed that their diets consisted of organic matter and insects, but not of *P. bruneauensis*. However, these analyses were performed in 1995, a year when springsnails were not observed in Hot Creek (Varricchione and Minshall 1995b). In 1998, a fish-feeding experiment was performed, using *Tilapia zilli* taken from Hot Creek and *P. bruneauensis* taken from Site 2. The fish were shown to ingest the springsnail, both when the fish were starved and when they were fed generously. Other experiments indicate that *Tilapia* do negatively impact springsnail populations in Hot Creek including the enclosure experiment mentioned above (Myler and Minshall 1999).

This report presents the results from continued biomonitoring of four springsnail sites near Indian Bathtub for June through October 2000.

METHODS

Site Description

Mladenka (1992) described in detail the three original springsnail study sites (1, 2, and 3 Original Seep). Figure 1 shows the locations of the three study sites with respect to the Bruneau River. Figure 2a shows a map view of Site 1 at Hot Creek and an adjacent rockface seep. Figures 2b and 2c show front views of the hot-spring study areas (Sites 2 and 3 respectively). These sites have been monitored each month during eleven months (January-November) from 1990-1999, with the exception of January-May 1996. Starting in 2000, monitoring will only be conducted June-October.

Royer and Minshall (1993) recommended that Site 3 be divided into two sub-sites: the Original Seep (right side) and a New Seep (left side) (Fig. 2c). These two seeps are approximately 4 m apart from each other and each has a distinct spring-flow. Their populations have been monitored separately from 1994 through 2000. In 1994, springsnail size distributions, densities, and eventually temperatures (beginning November 1996) at Site 3-New Seep began to be monitored. These data were kept separate from Site 3-Original Seep, so that it could be determined if the snail population was under different constraints and behaving differently than the population at Site 3-OS.

Size distribution data, life history patterns, densities, and habitat conditions have since been found to be noticeably different between the two sites. Therefore, the data continue to be kept separate. Site 2 also is comprised of two "seeps", but their population data have been combined since the first monitoring year. The purpose of the division of Site 3 was to allow the 1994-2000 Original Seep data to remain consistent with data from previous years and to allow for the incorporation of the New Seep springsnail population and habitat into monitoring efforts. The remainder of this report will refer to Site 3 (Original Seep) as Site 3-OS and Site 3 (New Seep) as Site 3-NS.

Both spring-rockface and stream habitats were examined for *P. bruneauensis* at Site 1. Spring-rockface habitats were monitored at Sites 2, 3-OS and 3-NS. "Spring-




Figure 1. Map showing the locations of the Bruneau Hot-spring Springsnail study sites. Hot Creek is shown as it existed in 2000, emerging over 400 m downstream of Indian Bathtub.




Figure 2. Temperature data logger locations for each of the study sites. Data loggers are represented by "x". A. Map view of Site 1 (Hot Creek). B. Front view of Site 2 rockface. C. Front view of Site 3 rockface (Original and New Seeps).

flow-covered rockface", or "SFC rockface", was defined as madicolous habitat (rockface covered by a thin layer of running water). "Rockface wetted but lacking flow", or "rockface W/LF", was defined as moist rockface adjacent to spring-flow-covered rockface. Springsnails occur in both types of habitats.

Study quadrats (Appendix A) were established at each site for monitoring purposes. To estimate *P. bruneauensis* size-distribution and density-fluctuation inside a study quadrat, a meter stick (baseline) was positioned flush against the rockface and parallel to the direction of spring-flow. Ten transects, each perpendicular to the meter stick, were established at 10 cm intervals along the baseline. Random number lists were used to determine rockface-sampling locations for springsnail size and density monitoring. The random numbers were used to determine the distance across a transect to locate each sample.

Environmental conditions were monitored at the study quadrat (\pm m) of each site on a monthly basis from June through October. These factors included discharge and stream habitat at Hot Creek (Site 1), amount of flow-covered- and wetted-rockface (Sites 2, 3-OS, and 3-NS), water chemistry, water temperature, and food availability (periphyton abundance).

Springsnail Size Distribution

To determine if the Site 1 springsnail population was recovering from previous flood events, arbitrary creek substrate and spring-rockface locations within a 50-m reach of Hot Creek (Site 1 \pm 25 m) were examined, without magnification, for the presence of *P. bruneauensis*.

Within the sampling quadrats at Sites 2, 3-OS, and 3-NS, springsnails were washed from random locations into a standard petri dish using streams of water from a squirt bottle. The sizes of the snails were determined on site using a Bausch and Lomb dissecting microscope. The microscope ocular was marked with 0.14 mm units (under 7x magnification). Snail lengths were rounded to the nearest 0.14 mm unit (i.e. a snail whose length was 8.8 units long was noted as being in the 9-unit, or 1.26 mm, size class). Sample size was 100 for both Sites 2 and 3. Beginning in 1994, population monitoring at Site 3 was divided between the Original Seep (n=50) and the New Seep (n=50).

Springsnail Population Fluctuations

Although springsnails recolonized Hot Creek in November 1999, density was not measured routinely at Site 1 because the snail occurred in low numbers (300-400). Springsnail density was measured at the rockface sites (Sites 2, 3-OS, and 3-NS). Densities were estimated as the number of springsnails present within the circumference of a petri dish (8.5 cm diameter) at 10 random locations within the sampling quadrat. Densities were reported as the number of snails per m².

Discharge, Temperature, and Water Chemistry Fluctuations

Stream water velocities were measured across a permanent transect at Site 1 (Hot Creek) using a small Ott or Marsh McBirney current meter. This transect was moved slightly upstream or downstream (1 or 2 m) if instream vegetation was too thick to allow proper operation of the current meter. Stream discharge (calculated from the measured velocities) was determined using the methods described in Platts et al. (1983). Estimates of springflow and wetted-rockface area at the study quadrats adjacent to Site 1 were not possible, in general, because of the large amount of vegetation (primarily sedges) obscuring the rockface.

In 1994, maximum/minimum recording thermometers were replaced with miniature temperature data loggers at all sites. Internal sensor loggers (Onset Hobo-Temp HTI-05+37) were used from 18 February 1994 to 26 September 1994, and then replaced with external sensor data loggers (Onset StowAway-Temp STEB02-05+37) on 26 September 1994 at Sites 1, 2, and 3-OS. Beginning in November 1996, an additional logger was installed at Site 3-NS. In 2000, data loggers were launched in June and downloaded at the end of the monitoring season (October), in the laboratory, using Boxcar Pro for Windows v. 4.0 software (Onset Instrument Corp.).

Figure 2a shows the location of the temperature data logger submersed in Hot Creek. The logger was located 2 m upstream of the regularly-examined section at Site 1. Figures 2b and 2c show the locations of the temperature data loggers at Site 2 and Site 3, respectively. Water depth at the seep study sites was quite shallow. Therefore, small pits were excavated immediately below the seep outflows in order to submerge the temperature loggers in hot-spring water. The recorders were covered by cobble substrate or hillside talus. Data from temperature loggers in 1997-2000 were used to calculate average daily temperatures for each site (Fig. 10). 1997 was used as a starting point since it was the first year that temperature loggers monitored Site 3-NS.

Water chemistry parameters were measured for all the study sites. Specific conductance ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$) standardized to 25°C was measured in the field using an Orion conductivity meter (Model 126) and YSI 30. Water samples, for all sites, were collected in 250 ml plastic bottles, kept on ice until returned to the laboratory, and then frozen until processed. In the laboratory, samples were thawed and warmed to room temperature and then shaken by hand (approximately 30 sec) to redissolve any solids. Alkalinity and hardness were determined using procedures described in Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater (APHA 1992).

Periphyton

Periphyton samples were taken from rock substrata collected within 1 m of the study quadrats. For each sample, a modified syringe tube (3.14 cm^2) was placed on top of the substrate. Closed-cell foam, attached to the base of the modified syringe tube, formed a seal between the tube and the substrate to prevent the loss of periphyton sample.

Approximately 5 ml of spring or creek water was added to the tube. A modified toothbrush was used to dislodge periphyton from the rock and a dropper was used to extract the periphyton slurry from the tube. The periphyton slurry was concentrated onto Whatman GF/F glass microfibre filters held in a Nalgene filter holder (Nalge No. 310-4000). A Nalgene hand vacuum pump (Nalge No.6131-0010) was used to create the suction necessary to remove the water from the slurry. For each sample, this procedure was repeated three times to remove all periphyton from the substrate. Periphyton samples were placed on ice, returned to the laboratory, and kept frozen until processed. In the laboratory, periphyton filters were analyzed for the presence of chlorophyll-a (corrected for the presence of phaeophytin) on a Gilford Instruments spectrophotometer (Model 2600) using procedures described in Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater (APHA 1992). Methanol was substituted for acetone as the solvent used in the analyses (Marker et al. 1980). Chlorophyll-a, an indicator of the presence of algae was expressed as mg chlorophyll-a per m.

The remaining periphyton material from each sample was used in the determination of algal biomass (expressed as g ash-free dry mass (AFDM) per m²). The material was dried at 50°C for 24 h, cooled to ambient temperature in a desiccator, weighed on a Sauter balance (Model AR1014) to the nearest 10⁻⁴ g, combusted in a muffle furnace at 550°C for a minimum of 3 h, rehydrated, redried at 50°C, cooled to ambient temperature in a desiccator, and then reweighed. The difference in weights equaled the AFDM of the sample.

Habitat Assessment at Hot Creek

From March 1995 to November 1996, stream habitat assessment at Hot Creek (Site 1) was conducted monthly using the Idaho Department of Health and Welfare's Habitat Assessment Field Data Sheet for lowland streams (Appendix B; Robinson and Minshall 1995). In 1997-2000, habitat features were censused once a year. The parameters assessed include bottom substrate/instream cover, pool substrate characterization, pool variability, canopy covering, channel alteration, deposition, channel sinuosity, lower bank channel capacity, upper bank stability, bank vegetation protection, streamside cover, and riparian vegetative zone width. Values are given for each parameter measured and a total score is assigned for the year.

Discharge monitoring at the rockface seeps

The water emerging from these seeps is diffuse, making it difficult to monitor flow. Small 90° V-notch weirs were installed approximately 1 m from the rockface seeps on 17 October 1997. The weirs collected diffuse runoff coming from the rockface to permit estimation of spring-flow discharge. The approximate location of the weirs is shown in Figure 2. In 2000, volume (liters) per minute was determined for each of the weirs on a monthly basis from June through October. Stage height (cm) also was recorded monthly from a metal staff gauge permanently attached to the side of each weir.

Intensive search for relict populations of P. bruneauensis in and around Hot Creek

Since *P. bruneauensis* has not been found at the Hot Creek study site for the past several years (Myler and Minshall 1999; Varricchione and Minshall 1997, 1996, 1995a; Royer and Minshall 1993), it is important to determine if potential recolonists for Site 1 occur anywhere in, or adjacent to, the stream between Indian Bathtub and the Bruneau River. Robinson and others (1992) had described a small stream-side refugium that had retained < 10 springsnails after flooding and scouring events in the same year. As grazing pressure was lifted from the Hot Creek area, the growth of thick riparian vegetation near the creek and the seep made observation of this population difficult (Royer and Minshall 1993, Varricchione and Minshall 1997). An intensive search for relict populations of *P. bruneauensis* was conducted June 1998, May 1999, and June 2000 in and immediately adjacent to Hot Creek (between Indian Bathtub and the Bruneau River). The search was completed by examining (without magnification) Hot Creek sediments, emergent vegetation, and nearby rockface seeps for *P. bruneauensis*. Where springsnails were found, temperature were recorded using a Reotemp digital thermometer (model TM 99A).

RESULTS

Springsnail Size Distribution

Site 1 (Hot Creek)

Site 1 (Hot Creek) population density was reduced to zero from a flood in July 1991 (Robinson et al. 1992). Snails were not found in Hot Creek from June 1993 until June 1999. Observations made in 1999 revealed a thermal barrier that blocked movement into Hot Creek. A bypass for the thermal barrier, large protruding substrate, and a fish enclosure has enabled colonization in Hot Creek proper as well as recruitment (Myler and Minshall 1999). Snails recolonized the stream in June 1999 and populations increased each month during 1999. In November 1999, total springsnail population was estimated at 300-400 individuals. Size distribution was not determined in 1999 due to low population densities. The enclosure did not make it through the winter and was removed in June 2000. Since the removal of the enclosure no springsnails have been found in Hot Creek. The flood in July 1991 probably resulted in the death of younger snails and skewed the size distributions in July and September 1992 (Fig. 3c). Mean size distribution data suggest that when the springsnails were present (1990-1992), life histories were correlated with season and a single cohort of individuals moved from juvenile classes in the winter to mature classes in the summer (Fig. 5a).

Site 2 (Upper Rock Face)

The springsnail population at Site 2 maintained a size distribution that was relatively even across size classes between June and September 2000 (Fig 3k). There was no clear trend,




Figure 3a. Size histograms for the Bruneau Springsnail study sites for 1990. Horizontal tick marks represent 0.14mm size classes. Solid bars represent relative abundance of snails for a particular size class (n=100 for each sample).




Figure 3b. Size histograms for the Bruneau Springsnail study sites for 1991. Horizontal tick marks represent 0.14mm size classes. Solid bars represent relative abundance of snails for a particular size class (n=100 for each sample).




Figure 3c. Size histograms for the Bruneau Springsnail study sites for 1992. Horizontal tick marks represent 0.14mm size classes. Solid bars represent relative abundance of snails for a particular size class (n=100 for each sample). In July, 92% of the snails at Site 1 were found in the 2.66 mm size class (an out of range value for this figure).




Figure 3d. Size histograms for the Bruneau Springsnail study sites for 1993. Horizontal tick marks represent 0.14mm size classes. Solid bars represent relative abundance of snails for a particular size class (n=100 for each sample).




Figure 3e. Size histograms for the Bruneau Springsnail study sites for 1994. Horizontal tick marks represent 0.14mm size classes. Solid bars represent relative abundance of snails for a particular class (n=100 for Site 2; n=50 for Site 3).




Figure 3f. Size histograms for the Bruneau Springsnail study sites for 1995. Horizontal tick marks represent 0.14mm size classes. Solid bars represent relative abundance of snails for a particular size class (n=100 for Site 2; n=50 for Site 3).




Figure 3g. Size histograms for the Bruneau Springsnail study sites 1996. Horizontal tick marks represent 0.14mm size classes. Solid bars represent relative abundance of snails for a particular size class (n=100 for Site 2; n=50 for Site 3).




Figure 3h. Size histograms for the Bruneau Springsnail study sites for 1997. Horizontal tick marks represent 0.14mm size classes. Solid bars represent relative abundance of snails for a particular size class (n=100 for Site 2; n=50 for Site 3).




Figure 3i. Size histograms for the Bruneau Springsnail study sites for 1998. Horizontal tick marks represent 0.14mm size classes. Solid bars represent relative abundance of snails for a particular size class (n=100 for Site 2; n=50 for Site 3).




Figure 3j. Size histograms for the Bruneau Springsnail study sites for 1999. Horizontal tick marks represent 0.14mm size classes. Solid bars represent relative abundance of snails for a particular size class (n=100 for Site 2; n=50 for Site 3).






Figure 3k. Size histograms for the Bruneau Springsnail study sites for 2000. Horizontal tick marks represent 0.14mm size classes. Solid bars represent relative abundance of snails for a particular size class (n=100 for Site 2; n=50 for Site 3; n=50 site 2 September 2000)

Site 3 New Seep


1994



1995



1996



J F M A M J J A S O N D


Month

— = 25 %


Figure 4a. Size histograms for the Bruneau Springsnail study site for 1994-1996 3 New Seep. Horizontal tick marks represent 0.14 mm size classes. Solid bars represent relative abundance of snails for a particular size class (n=50 for each sample).

Site 3 New Seep


1997



1998



1999




J F M A M J J A S O N D

Month

— = 25 %

Figure 4b. Size histograms for the Bruneau Springsnail study sites for 1997-1999 3 New Seep. Horizontal tick marks represent 0.14 mm size classes. Solid bars represent relative abundance of snails for a particular asize class (n=50 for each sample).

Site 3 New Seep
2000



Month
— = 25 %

Figure 4c. Size histograms for the Bruneau Springsnail study site for 2000 3 New Seep. Horizontal tick marks represent 0.14 mm size classes. Solid bars represent relative abundance of snails for a particular size class (n=50 for each sample).




Figure 5a. Size histograms for Bruneau Springsnail study sites 1 and 2 based upon data from 1990-2000. Horizontal tick marks represent 0.14 mm size classes. Error bars represent one standard deviation from the mean. Figures lacking error bars did not have enough sets of data to determine standard deviations.




Figure 5b. Size histograms for Bruneau Springsnail study sites 3 and 3 New Seep based upon data from 1990-2000. Horizontal tick marks represent 0.14-mm size classes. Error bars represent one standard deviation from the mean. Figures lacking error bars did not have enough sets of data to determine standard deviations.

although the population appeared to mature in September, which has not been seen in previous years. Size distribution trends for 2000 fall within the range of previous monitoring years. The right seep rock face was dry during the month of September (making $n = 50$). During the month of October the rock face was wetted but no springsnails had recolonized. No size distribution data were collected for the month of October, due to lack of individuals at this location. Mean size distribution data (Figure 5a) showed juveniles to be prevalent at all times of the year.

In 2000, springsnail density at Site 2 ranged from 4,471 snails/m² in June to 1,174 snails/m² in September (Fig. 7). These numbers fell within the range set by previous monitoring years; however, 2000 appears to have some of the lowest densities found in the last decade (Figure 7). Densities at Site 2, between 1990 and 1999, have generally been higher than those at the other study sites, although monthly estimates have exhibited great variability (Fig. 7). Typically, lower densities at Site 2 were found during colder months (September through February) (Fig. 7). With the right seep rockface becoming dry in September, densities in 2000 are the lowest recorded. Both the right and left seeps had dead snails present on the rockface, which were not included in the counts.

Site 3-OS (Lower Spring Rockface)

There were no clear size distribution trends between June and October 2000 (Fig. 3k). Mean size distribution data for the springsnail population at Site 3-OS did not show clear trends associated with season over the past eight years (Fig. 5b).

In 2000, Site 3-OS springsnail population maintained fairly constant densities between the months of June and October. With the exception of 1992 and 1996, densities were within the range of data from previous monitoring years (Fig. 7). In 2000, the highest snail density at this site was 3,445 snails/m² in July while the lowest density was 1,506 snails/m² in June (Fig. 7). Many snails appeared to be hidden in the algae, making them hard to count during the months of September and October, and some may have been missed.

Site 3-NS

Between June and October 2000, the springsnail population at Site 3-NS also lacked any clear trends in size distribution (Fig 4c). Previous mean size distribution data (Fig. 5b) suggested that the New Seep population maintained a fairly even distribution of individuals across the different size classes during all seasons and that the development of cohorts at both Site 3 seeps might not be a frequent occurrence.

Snail densities at Site 3-NS were generally lower than those at Sites 2 and 3-OS (Fig. 7). In 2000, the highest density, 1,982 snails/m², was recorded in August and the lowest density, 608 snails/m², was recorded in June. Densities in 2000 were slightly higher than those in 1999, which were among the lowest since 1994 (Fig. 7). Currently, Site 3-NS does not provide a habitat suitable for large populations of springsnails because of its small rockface area, large amount of shading, and diffuse groundwater flow. In October, many snails were

located in algae making exact counts of snails difficult. Still, this seep does support a viable population.

Comparison of Average Monthly Snail Sizes Among Sites

An analysis of the average monthly snail sizes, based upon data collected between 1990 and 2000 (Fig. 6), revealed distinct differences in population life histories among the study sites. The slopes of the linear regressions calculated in Figure 6 were used as estimates of site-specific population growth rates. Snails at Site 1 grow as a distinct cohort. The water temperatures at Site 1 were the warmest (often above the thermal maximum temperature of 35°C (Fig. 10; Mladenka 1992)). Recruitment probably only occurred in the cooler winter months, based upon the small average snail sizes found between January and March. The slope of the regression line for Site 1 (0.244; $p < 0.005$) (Fig. 6) was strongly positive and appeared to represent a gradual aging of the population between January and August. September was the month when another cohort appeared to begin its development in Hot Creek (Fig. 5a), so Figure 6 does not take the months of September through December into account. Site 1 also had the largest average snail size of all the study sites (Fig. 6). The populations at the other sites (2, 3-OS, and 3-NS) did not exhibit trends seen at Site 1 (analyzed between June and August for comparative purposes). Both Site 2 and Site 3-NS had significant regression lines ($p < 0.005$) with slightly positive slopes (0.046 and 0.073, respectively). Site 3-OS data were very scattered and even exhibited a slightly negative trend between January and August (slope = 0.008, $p = 0.972$).

Discharge, Temperature, and Water Chemistry Fluctuations

Site 1 (Hot Creek)

Hot Creek discharge dropped after channel scouring and sediment loading in July 1992 (Fig. 8). Discharge after the start of 1993 fluctuated greatly, probably as a result of precipitation (Fig. 8). Reduced discharge in Hot Creek resulted in higher maximum water temperatures for 1992 (Mladenka 1992). This relationship did not hold as strongly between 1993 and 1996 (Fig. 8). Extreme temperatures at Site 1 prior to September 1994 (date when minimum-maximum thermometers were replaced with submersible temperature data loggers) may have been the result of thermometer exposure to air (Figs. 8, 9; Royer and Minshall 1993, Varricchione and Minshall 1997). Water temperatures in 2000 ranged from 32.1°C to 35.4°C, which is consistent with trends after September 1994 (Fig. 9). Mean temperatures appeared to remain constant in 2000 at 34°C (Fig. 10). There was no apparent change in water chemistry at Site 1 during 2000 (Fig. 11). Conductivity and alkalinity values fall within the range of previous monitoring years. Hardness values were lowest since 1994.




Figure 6. Estimated Springsnail growth rates based upon average monthly size (mm) at study sites 1, 2, 3-OS, and 3-NS. See text for explanation of months chosen for analyses. Years included in the analyses were 1990 - 1992 (Site 1), 1990 - 1999 (Sites 2 and 3-OS), and 1994 - 2000 (Site 3-NS).




Figure 7. Mean density of the Bruneau Springsnail at the four study sites. Error bars represent one standard deviation from the mean. Note the different Y-axis for Site 1.

Site 2

At the left seep in 2000, the percent springflow-covered (SFC) rockface ranged from 2 to 60% (Fig. 12 top). The percent rockface-wetted-but-lacking flow (W/LF) ranged from 10 to 100%, which was lower than previous years (Fig. 12 bottom). This is due to the rockface becoming dry in September. At the right seep, the percent SFC rockface in 2000 fluctuated between 30 and 40%, which was higher than previous years (Fig. 12 top). In 2000, percent rockface W/LF at the right seep ranged between 85 and 90%, which was consistent with previous years (Fig. 12 bottom). Very low water temperatures at Site 2 in 1993 were probably the result of thermometer exposure to air (Royer and Minshall 1993). Site 2 maintained relatively constant Min/Max temperatures during 2000 (Fig. 9). Minimum temperatures (20.5°C) were recorded in June and maximum temperatures (34.2°C) were recorded in September (Fig. 9). Site 2 maintained relatively constant daily average temperatures throughout 1997-2000 (Fig. 10). The sudden drops shown in Figure 10 are results of temperature data not being collected in December 1997 and 1998. There was a drop in temperature in June 2000 as a result of the temperature data logger falling over and being exposed to the air.

In July, daily averages were consistent with 1997-1999 data. Daily averages started to decline in September and October. Water chemistry values for 2000 were similar to those from previous years (Fig. 11).

Site 3

The percent SFC rockface for Site 3-OS in 2000 ranged from 30% in October to 70% in August and was consistent with previous years (Fig. 12 top). The percent rockface W/LF in 2000 ranged between 85 and 95%, which also agreed with data from previous years (Fig. 12 bottom). Very low water temperatures at Site 3-OS in 1993 probably were the result of thermometer exposure to air (Royer and Minshall 1993). In 2000, temperatures varied widely, as in other years, from 16.7°C to 37.3°C (Fig. 9). Highest temperatures for the last decade (37.3°C) were recorded in August 2000. However, average daily temperatures were relatively constant throughout 1997-2000 (Fig. 10). The sharp drops shown between 1997, 1998, and 1999 are due to lack of data recorded in December 1997 and 1998 (Fig. 10). Water chemistry values for 2000 were similar to values from other years (Fig. 11).

Site 3-NS

In 2000, the percent SFC at Site 3-NS ranged from 15 to 60% (Fig. 12), which was higher than previous years. Percent rockface W/LF ranged from 90 to 100% (Fig. 12), which was consistent with previous years. Water temperatures at Site 3-NS were the most variable of all the study sites, ranging from 11.3°C to 34.6°C (Fig. 9) in 1999. The temperature data logger malfunctioned in 2000 and daily minimum, maximum, and average temperatures were not recorded. During 2000, temperatures measured monthly




Figure 8. Discharge and maximum water temperatures for Site 1 (Hot Creek). Dashed horizontal lines indicate the maximum and minimum discharges measured at Hot Creek. Dotted horizontal line indicates thermal maximum temperature for *P. bruneauensis*.




Figure 9. Maximum and minimum water temperatures for the Bruneau Springsnail study sites. Dashed horizontal lines indicate maximum and minimum for each site as inferred from logger data. Dashed horizontal lines indicate thermal maximum temperature for *P. bruneauensis*. Dark bar under x-axis represents probable outlier period. See text for additional comments.




Figure 10. Daily mean temperatures for the Bruneau Springsnail study sites. The average daily temperatures collected from data loggers is plotted from 1997-2000 data. Data was not collected for December 1997 and 1998. Data collected June -October 2000.




Figure 11. Conductivity (a), hardness (b), alkalinity (c), and pH (d) for the Bruneau Springsnail study sites.




Figure 12. (Top) Percent springflow-covered rockface (SFC rockface) and (bottom) percent rockface, wetted, but lacking flow (rockface W/LF) for the Bruneau Springsnail study sites. Asterisks indicate that sampling occurred during rain events.

with a digital thermometer ranged from a low temperature of 24.1°C in September and a high temperature of 31°C in October. Slight drops in temperature between 1997, 1998, and 1999 are due to data not being collected in December 1997 and 1998 (Fig. 10). Water chemistry values remained consistent with data from previous years (Fig. 11).

Periphyton

Site 1 (Hot Creek)

In 2000, the highest value for chlorophyll-a (107.77 mg/m²) was obtained in July and the lowest value (27.92 mg/m²) was obtained in October (Fig. 13). The highest value for AFDM (22 g/m²), was obtained in June and the lowest value (3.8 g/m²) was obtained in October (Fig. 14). These values are within the range from previous monitoring years. Chlorophyll-a and AFDM values tended to be higher and much more variable at Site 1 than at any other study site (Figs. 13, 14).

Site 2 (Upper Spring Rockface)

In 2000, the highest value for chlorophyll-a at Site 2 (29.1 mg/m²), was obtained in September and the lowest value (7.8 mg/m²), in July (Fig. 13). The highest value for AFDM (10.6 g/m²) occurred in August, while the lowest value (5.4 g/m²) was obtained in October (Fig. 14). These values fell within the range of measurements from previous years.

Site 3-OS (Lower Spring Rockface)

Chlorophyll-a values for Site 3-OS were highest in August (23.1 mg/m²) and lowest in September (7.1 mg/m²) in 2000 and generally were lower than values from previous years (Fig. 13). The highest value for AFDM (11.8 g/m²) was obtained in June and the lowest value (3.9 g/m²) was obtained in August (Fig. 14). These values fell within the range of measurements from previous years, but were on the lower end of the range.

Site 3-NS

The highest value for chlorophyll-a (22.4 mg/m²) was obtained in August and the lowest value (7.3 mg/m²) was in October (Fig. 13). The highest value for AFDM (12.5 g/m²) was obtained in August and the lowest value (5.7 g/m²) was found in October (Fig. 14). In June 2000 the site was overgrown and no chlorophyll samples were taken. In general, these values from July through October were slightly lower than those from previous years.

Habitat Assessment at Hot Creek

Habitat assessment scores remained fairly constant between 1995 and 2000, with only seasonal changes in vegetation (in 1995 and 1996) being apparent (Table 1). Overall, scores for the riparian community were intermediate to high and substrate scores were low (Table 1).

Discharge monitoring at the rockface seeps

Discharge measurements at all of the weirs were made between October 1997 and November 1999. In 2000, discharge measurements were made between June and October. In 2000, weir discharge at Site 3-NS ranged between 0.3 in July to 0.45 L/min in October, Site 3-OS ranged between 2.7 in July to 4.2 L/min in September, and Site 2 Right Seep ranged between 4.8 in August and September to 7.5 L/min in June (Fig. 15). The drop that occurred in June 1998 was due to breakdown of plastic sheeting at Site 2. The plastic was in poor condition and an unknown quantity of water flowed through the plastic and under the weir. The plastic was replaced in June 1998. Weirs located at Sites 3-OS and 3-NS should be accurate since no plastic was used in these locations. In the 25 months that discharge in the weirs has been measured, expected highs in spring (January-March) were shown (Fig. 15) as well as a gradual dropping that occurred April through November (Fig. 15). In 2000, discharge values differed throughout the five monitoring months. There was no trend between high and low discharges from June through October. Although less than three years of data exist at the weirs, 2000 was shown to contain the lowest values recorded for sites 3-OS and 3-NS. Site 2 discharge was higher than 1999.

Intensive search for relict populations of *P. bruneauensis* in and around Hot Creek.

An intensive search along the length of Hot Creek (August 2000) revealed that there was still an apparent absence of springsnails in Hot Creek. Less than 50 springsnails were found on a small rockface seep, approximately 1.80 m out from Hot Creek in 1997 (Varricchione et al. 1998). In January 1998, less than 30 springsnails were found. In February through November of 1999, this rockface was dry and no springsnails were found. However, in 1999 less than 20 springsnails were found along the path of the small seep, which emerged below the rockface and trickled to Hot Creek.

In 2000, no springsnails were found along the path of the small seep. Due to thick vegetation along the path of the seep and little springsnail abundance, density sampling of the seep was not done.




Figure 13. Periphyton chlorophyll-a values for the Bruneau Springsnail study sites. The value for Site 1 in December 1992 was 742.7 mg/m². Error bars represent one standard deviation from the mean. (n = 5 for Sites 1 and 2; n = 3 for Site 3 and 3 New Seep).




Figure 14. Periphyton ash-free dry mass (AFDM) values for the Bruneau Springsnail study sites. Error bars represent one standard deviation from the mean. (n=5 for Sites 1 and 2; n=3 for Site 3 and Site 3 New Seep).

Table 1. Habitat assessment scores for Site 1 (Hot Creek).

Year	Month	Bottom Substrate	Pool Substrate	Pool Variability	Canopy Cover	Channel Alteration	Deposition	Channel Sinuosity	Channel Capacity	Bank Stability	Bank Vegetation	Streamside Cover	Riparian Width	Total Score	Percent of Maximum
Maximum score possible:		20	20	20	20	15	15	15	15	10	10	10	10	180	100
1995	March	4	5	5	16	12	2	10	9	8	8	6	5	90	50
	May	4	5	5	16	12	2	10	9	8	8	8	5	92	51
	June	4	5	5	15	12	2	10	9	9	9	5	5	90	50
	July	4	5	5	14	12	2	10	9	9	10	5	5	90	50
	August	4	5	5	14	12	2	10	9	9	10	5	5	90	50
	September	4	5	5	14	12	2	10	9	9	10	5	5	90	50
	October	4	5	5	15	12	2	10	9	9	10	5	5	91	51
	November	4	5	5	15	12	2	10	9	9	9	6	5	91	51
1996	June	4	5	5	15	12	2	10	9	8	9	5	5	89	49
	July	4	5	5	14	12	2	10	9	8	10	5	5	89	49
	August	4	5	5	14	12	2	10	9	8	10	5	5	89	49
	September	4	5	5	14	12	2	10	9	8	10	5	5	89	49
	October	4	5	5	15	12	2	10	9	8	10	5	5	90	50
	November	4	5	5	15	12	2	10	9	8	10	6	5	91	51
	December	4	5	5	16	12	2	10	9	8	9	6	5	91	51
1997	July	4	5	5	15	12	2	10	9	8	10	5	5	90	50
1998	June	4	6	5	15	12	2	10	9	8	10	5	5	91	51
1999	June	4	6	5	15	12	2	10	9	8	10	5	5	91	51
2000	October	4	6	5	15	12	2	10	9	8	10	5	5	91	51




Figure 15. Discharge from the weirs placed approximately 1 m below rockface seeps at site 2a, 3OS, and 3NS. Solid circles represent weir discharge (L/min) and open circles represent weir stage height.

DISCUSSION

Conditions at Indian Bathtub and Hot Creek

The Indian Bathtub and Hot Creek areas have been greatly impacted in recent years. A flood in the summer of 1991 contributed much silt, sand, and gravel to Hot Creek. In particular, Indian Bathtub was reduced to less than half its size before the flood because of sediment. Available habitat in the immediate vicinity of Indian Bathtub was reduced because of this and other sedimentation events (Mladenka 1992). Furthermore, springsnail habitat has diminished considerably in recent years because of agriculture-related groundwater mining in the area (Berenbrock 1993). As a result of these changes, the Indian Bathtub population has been eliminated (Myler and Minshall 1999). Hot Creek resurfaces over 450 m from Indian Bathtub. Springsnail populations downstream of the bathtub were reduced drastically in Hot Creek (Site 1) in July 1992 (Royer and Minshall 1993), but recovered in small numbers (300-400 individuals) in June of 1999 when the fish exclosures were present. The distance from Indian Bathtub to the Bruneau River is approximately 600 m. With the maximum snail movement rate of 1 cm per minute this distance could theoretically be traveled in one day. However, movement is impeded by the fact that Hot Creek is dry 450 m downstream of Indian Bathtub and springsnail movement is slowed when moving upstream against flow.

Other habitat parameters measured at Hot Creek (Site 1) (stream temperature, discharge, periphyton chlorophyll-a and biomass, and riparian habitat quality) in 2000 remained fairly consistent with data from previous years (at least after sedimentation events in 1991 and 1992). Elimination of livestock in the area has led to a recovery of riparian vegetation over the past few years.

The recolonization of *P. bruneauensis* in 1999 in Hot Creek demonstrates this springsnail's resilience to disturbance. Since Hot Creek is a geothermally heated stream, apparently no natural aquatic predators were present historically. Therefore, springsnails probably did not evolve in the presence of significant predators and competitors. *Tilapia zilla*, an exotic fish recognizes the springsnails as a food resource both in circumstances with abundant and with limiting food supplies. Springsnails were found in a fish exclosure that was built in 1999. With the removal of the exclosure in June 2000, springsnails were once again eliminated from Hot Creek. Anthropogenic disturbances have placed this species in danger of possible extinction. The most significant threat to this species remains the reduction of available habitat as a result of extensive groundwater mining. This has caused the once plentiful rockface habitat near Hot Creek to become virtually eliminated.

Conditions at the Rockface Seeps

Springsnail size-distribution, periphyton chlorophyll-a and biomass, water temperature and chemistry are within the range found in previous years. However, springsnail densities at Sites 2 and 3 (OS, NS) and rockface flow were among the lowest of the past decade. In particular at Site 2 (right seep), densities were such that after the rockface became dry in

September, 50 snails could not be found to conduct size distribution analysis. This is the first reporting of the right seep rockface becoming dry and an absence of springsnails. The rockface was re-wetted in October and springsnails did not recolonize.

The rockface seeps had water temperatures that were consistently lower than those in Hot Creek (Site 1) and rarely exceeded the thermal tolerance temperature (35°C) (Mladenka 1992). However site 3-OS had the highest temperatures ever recorded for the months of June through September, exceeding the thermal tolerance temperature. Temperature variations clearly affect the *P. bruneauensis* populations. Average size and growth rates were smaller, but densities were greater at the rockface seeps than found in Hot Creek during 1990-1992. The rockface sites are probably more suitable for springsnail success than Hot Creek (Varricchione and Minshall 1998) because they provide a refuge from temperature extremes, predation, and flooding events and provide better habitat for egg-laying (Myler and Minshall 1999).

Although discharge measurements have only been recorded at the rockface seep sites (2, 3-OS, and 3-NS) for 30 months, it appears that there may be extensive variability, especially at Sites 1, 2 and 3-OS. The lowest discharge measurement (June-November) appears to coincide with the groundwater extraction for agriculture. Starting in 2000, measurements are only being conducted June through October. Some readings may be inconsistent because of weirs becoming clogged with sediment and vegetation. However, in 2000, weirs were cleared out before measurements were recorded. At Site 2, the weir was not collecting all of the flow for the months of September and October. The plastic tarp, which collects and directs flows to the weir needs to be replaced.

RECOMMENDATIONS

To properly manage *P. bruneauensis* populations in the Bruneau River drainage, the year to year variations in population density and age-class composition of these springsnails must be well understood. Mladenka (1992), Taylor (1982), and Fritchman (1985) made significant contributions to knowledge of the biology of *P. bruneauensis*. Recent population and habitat monitoring done by Idaho State University (Myler and Minshall 1999; Varricchione and Minshall 1997, 1996, 1995a, 1995b; Varricchione et al. 1998, Royer and Minshall 1993; Robinson et al. 1992) have made additional contributions. The most pressing question remaining regards the uniqueness of the springsnail populations at the different thermal streams and spring flows along the Bruneau River. Because of the different temperature regimes and the spatial separation of the populations, there is a good probability for the existence of unique gene pools and thus, different species or subspecies of the Bruneau Hot-spring springsnail at the various locations within the drainage. Experiments such as controlled growth-rate studies and population genetics studies would provide insight into whether these populations are closely related or not. This insight is needed before experiments or large-scale reintroductions in Hot Creek can be performed using *P. bruneauensis* from other locations.

A fish enclosure was built in Hot Creek and springsnails recolonized in low numbers (300-400) in 1999. The enclosure was removed in June 2000 and no springsnails have been seen since. Since *Tilapia zilla* has been shown to recognize the springsnails as a food source, we recommend that they be removed from Hot Creek. Until *Tilapia zilla* are removed from Hot Creek the enclosure should be rebuilt and suitable substrate placed inside. This will inhibit *Tilapia zilla* from feeding on the snails and large stable substrate will help recolonization of the springsnails.

The Bruneau Hot-springs Springsnail is dependent upon the thermal aquifer for its survival. The spring survey conducted in September 1998 shows that the number of thermal springs is rapidly declining (Myler and Minshall 1998). Hot Creek once came in contact and wetted several rockfaces in Indian Bathtub and adjacent areas of the Bruneau Canyon. The decrease of discharge of this stream and the increase of siltation have caused Hot Creek to lose contact with all but one rockface. Also, this is the first year that Site 2 (right seep) has been recorded as being dry with no snails. Since these rockfaces provide stable habitat for egg-laying, escaping temperature extremes, possible predation, and flood events; the current lack of this habitat may be a major reason for the lack of recolonization. Weirs should be replaced to ensure consistent monitoring of spring flows, which provides useful insight into the status of the local groundwater situation. Further studies are needed to determine the rate that springsnails could re-colonize rewetted rockfaces and the factors involved.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Many thanks to Cary Myler, Jeri Wood, Heather Ray, Jeff Barger and Annie Anderson for their assistance with field work in the 2000 field season.

LITERATURE CITED


- American Public Health Association (APHA). 1992. Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association, and Water Pollution Control Federation, Washington, D.C.
- Berenbrock, C. 1993. Effects of well discharges on hydraulic heads and spring discharges from the geothermal aquifer system in the Bruneau area, Owyhee County, southwestern Idaho. U. S. Geologic Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 93-4001. 58pp.
- Fritchman, H.K. 1985. The Bruneau Hot-spring snail: an unidentified, possibly endangered hydrobiid from Hot Creek and the Indian Bathtub, Owyhee Co., Idaho. Remarks on its biology. Unpublished report.

- Hershler, R. 1990. *Pyrgulopsis bruneauensis*, a new springsnail (Gastropoda: Hydrobiidae) from the Snake River Plain, southern Idaho. Proc. Biol. Soc. Wash. 103:803-814.
- Marker, A.F.H., E.A. Nusch, H. Rai, and B. Riemann. 1980. The measurement of photosynthetic pigments in freshwaters and standardization of methods: conclusions and recommendations. Arch. Hydrobiol. Beih. (Ergebn. Limnol.) 14:91-106.
- Mladenka, G.C. 1992. The ecological life history of the Bruneau Hot-springs snail (*Pyrgulopsis bruneauensis*). Unpublished M.S. Thesis, Idaho State University, Pocatello, Idaho.
- Myler, C.D., and G.W. Minshall. 1998. Report on the 1998 resurvey of the Bruneau Hot-spring snail sites. Typewritten report. Fish and Wildlife Service. Boise Idaho.
- _____, and _____. 1999. Report on the 1998 resurvey of the Bruneau Hot-spring snail sites. Typewritten report prepared for the BLM, Boise, Idaho.
- Platts, W.S., W.F. Megahan, and G.W. Minshall. 1983. Methods for evaluating stream, riparian, and biotic conditions. U.S. Forest Service General Technical Report INT-38. 70pp.
- Robinson, C.T., K. Sant, and G.W. Minshall. 1992. Annual Monitoring Report: Bruneau Hot-springs snail (*Pyrgulopsis bruneauensis*). Prepared for the U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Boise, ID.
- _____, and G.W. Minshall. 1995. Biological metrics for regional biomonitoring and assessment of small streams in Idaho. Final report submitted to the Idaho Division of Environmental Quality. 119pp.
- Royer, T.V., and G.W. Minshall. 1993. Annual Monitoring Report: Bruneau Hot-spring snail (*Pyrgulopsis bruneauensis*). Prepared for the U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Boise, ID.
- Taylor, D.W. 1982. Status report on the Bruneau Hot-springs snail. Conducted for the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Boise Office, Idaho.
- Varricchione, J.T., and G.W. Minshall. 1995a. 1994 Monitoring Report: Bruneau Hot-spring Springsnail (*Pyrgulopsis bruneauensis*). Technical Bulletin 95-14 (June). 23pp. (BLM/ID/PT-95/019+1150).
- _____, and _____. 1995b. Gut content analysis of wild *Gambusia* and *Tilapia* in Hot Creek, Bruneau, Idaho. Technical Bulletin 95-16 (September). 5pp. (BLM/ID/PT-95/023+1150).

_____, and _____. 1996. 1995 Monitoring Report: Bruneau Hot-spring Springsnail (*Pyrgulopsis bruneauensis*). Technical Bulletin 96-8 (May). 40pp. (BLM/ID/PT-6/014+1150).

_____, and _____. 1997. 1996 Monitoring Report: Bruneau Hot-spring Springsnail (*Pyrgulopsis bruneauensis*). Prepared for the U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Boise, ID.

Varricchione, J.T., K.W. Sant, and G.W. Minshall. 1998. 1997 Monitoring Report: Bruneau Hot-spring Springsnail (*Pyrgulopsis bruneauensis*). Technical Bulletin 98-4 (March). 71pp. (BLM/ID/PT-98/008+1150).



Appendix A. Springsnail density, wetted rockface, and springflow measurement locations at the rockface seeps. Maps are not drawn to scale.

Habitat Assessment, Glide/Pool Prevalence (modified after Plafkin et al., 1989).

Stream Name: _____ Station _____ Date _____ Location Description _____

Idaho Department of Health and Welfare - Division of Environmental Quality HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET GLIDE/POOL PREVALENCE				
CATEGORY				
HABITAT PARAMETER	OPTIMAL	SUB-OPTIMAL	MARGINAL	POOR
1. Bottom substrate/instream cover	Greater than 50% mix of rubble, gravel, submerged logs, undercut banks, or other stable habitat. 16-20 _____	30-50% mix of rubble, gravel, or other stable habitat. Adequate habitat. 11-15 _____	10-30% mix of rubble, gravel, or other stable habitat. Habitat availability less than desirable. 6-10 _____	Less than 10% rubble, gravel or other stable habitat. Lack of habitat is obvious. 0-5 _____
2. Pool substrate characterization	Mixture of substrate materials with gravel and firm sand prevalent, root mats and submerged vegetation common. 16-20 _____	Mixture of soft sand, mud, or clay; mud may be dominant; some root mats and submerged vegetation present. 11-15 _____	All mud or clay or channelized with sand bottom; little or no root mat; no submerged vegetation. 6-10 _____	Hard-pan clay or bedrock; no root mat or vegetation. 0-5 _____
3. Pool variability	Even mix of deep/shallow/large/small pools present. 16-20 _____	Majority of pools large and deep; very few shallow. 11-15 _____	Shallow pools much more prevalent than deep pools. 6-10 _____	Majority of pools small and shallow or pools absent. 0-5 _____
4. Canopy cover (shading)	A mixture of conditions where some areas of water surface fully exposed to sunlight, and other receiving various degrees of filtered light. 16-20 _____	Covered by sparse canopy; entire water surface receiving filtered light. 11-15 _____	Completely covered by dense canopy; water surface completely shaded. OR nearly full sunlight reaching water surface. Shading limited to < 3 hours per day. 6-10 _____	Lack of canopy, full sunlight reaching water surface. 0-5 _____

Habitat Assessment, Glide/Pool Prevalence (modified after Plafkin et al., 1989).

Stream Name: _____ Station _____ Date _____ Location Description _____

Idaho Department of Health and Welfare - Division of Environmental Quality HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET GLIDE/POOL PREVALENCE				
CATEGORY				
HABITAT PARAMETER	OPTIMAL	SUB-OPTIMAL	MARGINAL	POOR
5. Channel alteration	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars, add/or no channelization. 12-15	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from coarse gravel; and/or some channelization present. 8-11	Moderate deposition of new gravel, coarse sand on old and new bars; and/or embankments on both banks. 6-10	Heavy deposits of fine material. Increased bar development; and/or extensive channelization. 0-3
6. Deposition	Less than 5% of bottom affected; minor accumulation of coarse sand and pebbles as snags and submerged vegetation. 12-15	5-30% affected; moderate accumulation of sand at snags and submerged vegetation. 8-11	30-50% affected; major deposition of sand at snags and submerged vegetation; pools shallow, heavily silted. 4-7	Channelized; mud, silt and/or sand in braided or nonbraided channels; pools almost absent due to deposition. 0-3
7. Channel sinuosity	Instream channel length 3 to 4 times straight line distance. 12-15	Instream channel length 2 to 3 times straight line distance. 8-11	Instream channel length 1 to 2 times straight line distance. 4-7	Channel straight; channelized waterway. 0-3
8. Lower bank channel capacity	Overbank (lower) flows rare. Lower bank W/D ratio < 7. (Channel width divided by depth or height of lower bank.) 12-15	Overbank (lower) flows occasional. W/D ratio: 8-15 8-11	Overbank (lower) flows occasional. W/D ratio: 15-25. 4-7	Peak flows not contained or contained through channelization. W/D ratio > 25 0-3

Habitat Assessment, Glide/Pool Prevalence (modified after Plafcin et al., 1989).

Stream Name: _____ Station _____ Date _____ Location Description _____

Idaho Department of Health and Welfare - Division of Environmental Quality HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET GLIDE/POOL PREVALENCE				
CATEGORY				
HABITAT PARAMETER	OPTIMAL	SUB-OPTIMAL	MARGINAL	POOR
9. Upper bank stability	Upper bank stable. No evidence of erosion or bank failures. Side slopes generally < 30°. Little potential for future problems. 9-10	Moderately stable. Infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. Side slopes up to 40° on one bank. Slight potential in extreme floods. 6-8	Moderately stable. Moderate frequency and size of erosional areas. Side slopes up to 60° on some banks. High erosion potential during extreme high flow. 3-5	Unstable. Many eroded areas. "Raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends. Side slopes 60° common. 0-2
10. Bank vegetation protection OR Grazing or other disruptive pressure	Over 90% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation. 9-10 Vegetative disruption minimal or not efficient. Almost all potential plant biomass in present stage of development remains. 9-10	70-89% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation. 6-8 Disruption evident but not affecting community vigor. Vegetative use is moderate, and at least one-half of the potential plant biomass remains. 6-8	50-79% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation. 3-5 Disruption obvious; some patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation present. Less than one half of the potential plant biomass remains. 3-5	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation. 0-2 Disruption of streambank vegetation is very high. Vegetation has been removed to 2 inches or less in average stubble height. 0-2

Habitat Assessment, Glide/Pool Prevalence (modified after Plafkin et al., 1989).

Stream Name: _____ Station _____ Date _____ Location Description _____

Idaho Department of Health and Welfare - Division of Environmental Quality HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET GLIDE/POOL PREVALENCE				
CATEGORY				
HABITAT PARAMETER	OPTIMAL	SUB-OPTIMAL	MARGINAL	POOR
11. Streamside cover	Dominant vegetation is shrub. 9-10 _____	Dominant vegetation is of tree form. 6-8 _____	Dominant vegetation is grass or forbes. 3-5 _____	Over 50% of the stream bank has no vegetation and dominant material is soil, rock, bridge materials, culverts, or mine tailings. 0-2 _____
12. Riparian vegetative zone width (least buffered side)	> 18 meters 9-10 _____	Between 12 and 18 meters. 6-8 _____	Between 6 and 12 meters. 3-5 _____	< 6 meters 0-2 _____
Column Totals	_____	_____	_____	_____
Score				

U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management
Idaho State Office
1387 S. Vinnell Way
Boise ID 83709

BLM/ID/PT-03/016+1150