RESOURCE NOTES DATE 1/5/00

NO. 10

An Evaluation of Water Velocities in **Culverts Designed** with Weirs and/or **Roughening Baffle**

by Mark Storzer - Colorado State Office Hydrologist and Shawn Simpson - Butte Falls Resource Area Hydrologist, Medford District

Background

Recently, a great deal of time and money has been spent, especially in the Pacific Northwest, installing culverts to provide passage to adult and juvenile salmonids. Conversely, little has been done to evaluate the success of these culverts. There is a good deal of discussion as to what constitutes a passable culvert. Many specialist agree that if a natural substrate is retained through stream simulation, the culvert is passable. However, in many situations, stream simulation is not an option due to site conditions that result in prohibitive water velocities. In these cases. alternative designs must be utilized. Weirs and/or roughening baffles have been installed in many culverts but it has been difficult to evaluate the ability of these culverts to pass fish, especially juveniles.

Since water velocity is a quantifiable parameter that is easily measured and is a limiting factor when designing culverts for fish passage it seemed to be a logical place to start. Most of the guidance that is readily available to designers is based on average velocities with no reference as to how or where the velocity is actually determined. Many programs and equations have been developed to

estimate average velocities in channels and culverts. However, most are based on conditions that rarely occur in natural channels or are for culverts that are extremely low gradient and do not contain structures, such as weirs and/or roughening baffles.

Discussion

Marsh-McBirney А Flowmate (Model 2000) flow meter was used to determine point velocities. Due to the turbulent flow conditions encountered in the culverts it was not possible to use a cup-type meter (Pygmy or Price AA). Velocity measurements were taken at various depths in the water column at cross sections through the entire length of three culverts and at key locations (ie. notches in weirs and baffles) to determine the extent of low velocity water in the culvert. The assumption is that the adults and especially the juveniles will locate the slower water and move up through the culvert. An extra effort was made to obtain velocities at or near the maximum design discharge, which is defined as the highest, mean monthly flow. The design flows are 55 cfs and 10 cfs for Marlow Creek and Cherry Creek respectively. Velocities were also taken over a range of flows to help determine if and/or when a culvert is no longer passable. A flow duration curve is then consulted to help determine the percentage of time a culvert is passable.

Conclusion

To date, velocity measurements have been mapped in three culverts on gradients ranging from 3.5% to 7.5%. Two of the culverts contain roughening baffles and the third contains both roughening baffles and weirs. The roughening baffles are 8 inch high, steel plates that are welded vertically to the culvert bottoms. The weirs are 18 inch high, steel plates that slope downstream with a

V-notch in the center. See Figure 1 for a typical installation pattern. A pool is created between each set of baffles or weirs and Tables 1 and 2 list the average velocities by pools from inlet to outlet.

The point velocities have ranged from -2.8 ft/s to 8.5. ft/s However, the average velocities taken 0.2 feet above the culvert bottom have been in the range of 1-2 ft/s with rather extensive areas of zero or eddy flow. It is not surprising that the velocities increase rapidly as measurements are taken higher in the water column. This is very apparent in Lower Marlow Creek as the average velocity at 0.2 feet from the culvert bottom is 1.2 ft/s at 55 cfs. While at the same discharge, but at a depth of 0.6 feet from the water surface, the average velocity increased to 2.5 ft/s.

Since the assumption is that the fish are moving near the culvert bottom and velocities under 2 ft/s are not a problem for most salmonids it is our conclusion, at this time, that these culverts are passable to both adult and juvenile salmonids up to and probably slightly exceeding the design flows. This evaluation is ongoing and will be continually updated as more data is collected. For further information please feel free to contact either.

Contact

Mark Storzer **BLM Colorado State Office** 2850 Youngfield Street Lakewood, CO 80215 (303) 239-3722 e-mail: mark_storzer@co.blm.gov Shawn Simpson **Butte Falls Resource Area** Hydrologist, Medford District 3040 Biddle Road Medford, OR 97504 (541) 770-2200 e-mail: shawn_simpson@blm.gov

Table 1. Lower Marlow Creek - Weirs and Baffles - 7.5%

Average Water Velocities (ft/s)												
	Flow (cfs)	Depth (ft)	Pool 1	Pool 2	Pool 3	Pool 4	Pool 5	Pool 6	Pool 7	Total Average		
Lower Marlow Creek	55	0.2	1.3	2.2	1.3	1.5	0.75	1.1	0.5	1.2		
	55	0.6	2.9	2.9	2.7	2	2	2.5	26	2.5		
	36	0.5	2.4	2.4	2.3	1.9	1.4	1.6	2.3	2		
	14	0.5	1.3	0.6	1	0.7	1.1	0.8	0.8	0.9		

Table 2. Upper Marlow Creek - 3.5% and Cherry Creek - 7.5% - Baffles only in both culverts

Average Water Velocities in pools (ft/s)															
	Flow	Depth	Pool1	Pool2	Pool3	Pool4	Pool5	Pool6	Pool7	Pool8	Pool9	Pool10	Pool11	Pool12	Total
Upper Marlow Creek	(cfs)	(ft)												I	Average
	46	0.2	2.2	1.8	1.7	1.2	1.9	1.8	2.5	3	1.9	2.1	2.3	2.8	2.1
	12	0.2	1.3	1.4	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.1	1.2	2.3	2.6	1.1	1.4	2.5	1.6
Cherry Creek	11	0.2	2.2	1.3	1.4	2	1.8	2.5	1.9	1.6	0.7	1.7	1		1.6



Scale

Figure 1. Typical installation pattern of roughening baffles and wiers. (-> Direction of flow)



RESOURCE NOTES are intended to be early announcements of technical and informational topics for Bureau of Land Management personnel and some of their customers. Information in this RESOURCE NOTE is based on the opinion and experience of the author and has not been peer-reviewed. Conclusions and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily represent those of BLM. Use of trade names does not imply U.S. Government endorsement of commercial products.

If you have received a copy of or found out about RESOURCE NOTES in an indirect way and would like to be included in future mailings, please send the following:

NAME, TITLE, MAILING ADDRESS and a list of the two or three subject areas that you are most interested in or that most directly relate to your job. Send this information to Phil Dittberner, BLM, RS-140, P.O. Box 25047, Denver, C.O. 80225-0047 or phil_dittberner@blm.gov or FAX 303-236-3508.

If you would like to prepare a RESOURCE NOTE for distribution, or you have an idea and author in mind for a good RESOURCE NOTE, please contact Phil Dittberner at 303-236-1833, FAX 303-236-3508 or phil_dittberner@blm.gov with the topic and the name of writer, including an address, phone number, and e-mail address.

Thank you for your interest in RESOURCE NOTES.