ChemTrack Alaska, Inc.
11711 South Gambell Street
Anchorage, Alaska 99515
(907) 349-2511
Email: info@chemtrack.net

Trusted for Remediation. Recognized for Demolition. Proven in Hazardous Waste Solutions. Built for Construction.

November 17, 2026

Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation
Attn: Anne Marie Palmieri
PO Box 1535
Haines, Alaska 99827

Re: BLM Contaminated Soil Landspread Area
Red Devil Mine, Red Devil, Alaska
ADEC File No. 2442.38.001; Hazard ID: 499
USDOI Red Devil Mine ID Number: AKD980495618

Subj: Final 2026 Landspread Monitoring Report
Project Ref: Red Devil Mine Soil Landspread Monitoring

This report presents the 2026 field activities, methods, and findings from work completed under the ADEC-approved work plan addendum prepared by ChemTrack, referenced as the April 2026 Final Red Devil Landspread Work Plan Addendum.

Executive Summary

ChemTrack Alaska, Inc. (ChemTrack) prepared this report for the U.S. Bureau of Land Management (BLM) under Contract No. 140L6325P0036 to document 2026 monitoring of petroleum-contaminated soil undergoing landspread treatment at Red Devil Mine, Alaska.

Monitoring focused on Decision Unit (DU) 5, the remaining petroleum-contaminated soil treatment unit identified in the 2026 Work Plan Addendum. Three incremental soil samples, consisting of a primary sample, duplicate, and triplicate, were collected from the approximately 100-foot by 100-foot DU. Each sample comprised 36 increments and was submitted to SGS North America Inc. (SGS) in Anchorage for diesel range organics (DRO) analysis by Alaska Method (AK) 102.

DRO concentrations were 261, 198, and 188 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg), with an arithmetic mean of approximately 216 mg/kg and a 95% upper confidence limit (UCL) of 282 mg/kg. Two sample results were below the ADEC cleanup criteria of 250 mg/kg for DRO; one result and the 95% UCL exceeded the cleanup level. The mean DRO concentration decreased by approximately 71.5% compared with the 2024 mean of 756 mg/kg.

The results indicate a decrease in DRO concentrations since 2024. However, one sample replicate and the 95% UCL remained above the ADEC cleanup criteria of 250 mg/kg.

Continued natural attenuation and subsequent monitoring of DU 5 are recommended, with the monitoring schedule coordinated with BLM and the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation.

1. Project Purpose and Objectives

ChemTrack prepared this report to document monitoring of the petroleum-contaminated soil landspread area at Red Devil Mine (Figure 1). The work was planned under the 2026 Work Plan Addendum dated April 27, 2026 (ChemTrack, 2026), which references the Maintenance and Monitoring Plan for Petroleum Contaminated Soil Treatment (Weston Solutions, Inc., with Marsh Creek, LLC, 2011) and subsequent amendments (EMI, 2019a, 2019b, 2023).

The monitoring objectives are to characterize remaining DRO concentrations in DU 5 using the previously established incremental sampling approach, compare the results with the ADEC cleanup criteria for DRO specified in the work plan, evaluate changes relative to prior monitoring events, and calculate a trend using past monitoring results to project the time estimate needed for DU 5 to reach the DRO cleanup criteria.

2. Sampling Methodology

The purpose of the Incremental Sampling Methodology (ISM) approach was to report statistically defensible mean analyte concentrations within designated areas or bulk quantities of material, known as decision units (DUs). To ensure representative and reproducible ISM sample results, the sample collection adhered to the Interstate Technology & Regulatory Council (ITRC) October 2020 ISM-2 Update Technical Regulatory Guidance (ITRC, 2020).

ISM samples consisted of composites made up of multiple increments collected from subdivided units of equivalent surface area and/or volume within each DU. Increment locations were selected using random methods to eliminate bias. ChemTrack collected triplicate ISM samples for DU 5 to assess method precision and calculate 95% upper confidence limits (UCLs).

2.1. Cleanup Criteria

Results were compared with the project cleanup criteria of 250 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) for DRO, established in the 2011 Maintenance and Monitoring Plan (Weston Solutions Inc., 2011). The M&M Plan identifies this criterion as the ADEC Method Two, Table B2, under-40-inch precipitation zone migration-to-groundwater soil cleanup level for DRO.

2.2. ISM Strategy

DU5 was divided into 36 grid cells of equal size, arranged in six rows and six columns. Randomly chosen locations were consistent across all grid cells within each replicate but varied between replicates to minimize procedural bias. All increments were composited per replicate to form a single representative sample

3. Field Activities

ChemTrack personnel mobilized to the project site on July 1st, 2026, to collect incremental soil samples from DU 5 and demobilized the same day. Transportation to and from the site was provided by Trail Ridge Air using a floatplane, which landed on the Kuskokwim River near the site. The field team then accessed the landspread area on foot. Photographs of site conditions and sampling activities are provided in Attachment 1. Field activities and observations were documented in the field notebook, included in Attachment 2.

Sampling was performed by Josh Solomon and Melissa Becker, ChemTrack staff considered qualified environmental professionals (QEPs) in accordance with 18 AAC 75.333 requirements. All samples were collected in accordance with regulations under 18 AAC 75 (ADEC, 2023).

3.1.DU Delineation and Sampling Locations

ChemTrack identified DU 5 within the landspread area and laid out a 6-by-6 sampling grid. Pin flags were placed along the grid boundaries at approximately 16.5-foot intervals and established interior sampling locations using evenly spaced pacing. A primary, duplicate, and triplicate incremental sample were collected, each comprising 36 increments, for a total of 108 increments. One pin flag was left at each corner of DU 5 following completion of sampling. The DU 5 sampling area and increment locations are shown in Figure 2.

3.2. Soil Sampling and Laboratory Analysis

Soil samples for chemical analysis were collected from 6 inches bgs. Samples were analyzed for DRO and were submitted to SGS in Anchorage for incremental sampling processing. Samples were kept chilled and transported in a cooler with a temperature blank and chain-of-custody documentation.

Samples were transported from the site to Anchorage by floatplane and then delivered by vehicle to SGS for analysis. SGS received the samples on July 2, 2026, under work order 1263078. A copy of the laboratory report is included in Attachment 3.

3.3.Investigation-Derived Waste

The field activities generated non-hazardous solid waste consisting of disposable sampling equipment, personal protective equipment (PPE), and general waste. General site rubbish and other non-hazardous waste were removed off-site and disposed of as solid waste at the Anchorage landfill.

3.4.Work Plan Deviations

No deviations from the 2026 Work Plan Addendum were documented during field activities.

4. Results and Trend Evaluation

All environmental samples were analyzed by SGS in Anchorage, Alaska, a laboratory accredited under the Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (ELAP) and approved by ADEC. Data validation and quality assurance was completed by Sustainable Earth Research LLC of Anchorage, Alaska, the laboratory data review checklist can be found in Attachment 4.

Soil analytical results were compared to ADEC Cleanup Levels presented in section 3.1 in accordance with 18 AAC 75.341, Table B2, Method Two Migration to Groundwater under 40-inch precipitation zone (ADEC, 2023). Soil analytical results with 95% UCL calculated values for DU 5 are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. DU 5 Soil Analytical Results

Sample ID or Statistic	Sample Type	DRO mg/kg	Cleanup Criteria mg/kg
DU5-26-1	Primary	**261**	250
DU5-26-2	Duplicate	198	
DU5-26-3	Triplicate	188	
Arithmetic mean	Three replicates	216	
95% UCL of mean	Student's t	**282**	

Notes:
261 = Bold values exceed the project ADEC cleanup criteria for DRO
DRO = diesel range organics
Mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram
UCL = upper confidence limit

The 2026 mean DRO concentration of 216 mg/kg was approximately 72% lower than the August 2024 mean of 756 mg/kg. The 95% UCL decreased from the previously reported 859 mg/kg to 282.39 mg/kg. Both events are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. DRO 2024 and 2026 Monitoring Event Concentrations

Sampling Date	Replicate DRO mg/kg			Mean Mg/kg	95% UCL mg/kg
	Primary	Duplicate	Triplicate		
August 14, 2024	756	695	817	756	859
July 1, 2026	261	198	188	216	282

4.1. Trend Estimate

A linear projection was calculated using the DU 5 DRO 95% UCLs of 859 mg/kg from August 14, 2024, and 282.39 mg/kg from July 1, 2026. The decrease over the 686-day interval corresponds to an average UCL decrease of approximately 0.84 mg/kg per day. Assuming this rate continues, the projected UCL will reach the 250 mg/kg cleanup criteria approximately 39 days after July 1, 2026. This date is an estimate calculated based on two sampling events.

Table 3. Trend Projection to ADEC Cleanup Criteria

Parameter	Value
DRO cleanup level (mg/kg)	250
Interval between sampling events (days)	686

UCL decline (mg/kg/day)	0.84
Days from July 1 to criterion (days)	39
Projected date to reach criterion	Early/mid-August 2026

5. Conclusions and Recommendations

The results demonstrate a DRO decrease in concentrations since the last sampling event in 2024. The 2026 mean concentration of 216 mg/kg for DRO was below the ADEC cleanup level of 250 mg/kg and approximately 71.5% lower than the 2024 mean. However, one replicate and the 95% UCL of 282 mg/kg exceeded the ADEC cleanup criteria.

Based on the two DRO 95% UCL concentrations from 2024 and 2026, it was estimated that the UCL would reach a concentration of 250 mg/kg or lower by early or mid-August 2026, assuming a decline of 0.841 mg/kg per day since the July 1, 2026, sampling event. Continued natural attenuation and subsequent DU 5 monitoring are recommended.

6. References

- Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (ADEC). 2023. 18 AAC 75, Oil and Other Hazardous Substances Pollution Control. Amended as of October 18, 2023.
- ADEC. 2024. Field Sampling Guidance. Amended as of August 2024.
- ChemTrack Alaska Inc. 2026. BLM Contaminated Soil Landspread Area, Red Devil Mine, Red Devil, Alaska. 2026 Work Plan Addendum. April 2027, 2026.
- Environmental Management, Inc (EMI). 2019a. BLM Contaminated Soil Landspread Area Red Devil Mine, Red Devil, Alaska. 2019 Maintenance and Monitoring Report.
- EMI. 2019b. BLM Contaminated Soil Landspread Area Red Devil Mind, Red Devil, Alaska. 2019 Sampling Plan Rev. 1.
- EMI. 2023. BLM Contaminated Soil Landspread Area Red Devil Mine, Red Devil Alaska. 2023 Work Plan Addendum.
- EMI. 2024. BLM Contaminated Soil Landspread Area Red Devil Mine, Red Devil, Alaska 2023 Monitoring Report.
- Interstate Technology & Regulatory Council (ITRC). 2020. Incremental Sampling Methodology (ISM) Update ISM-2. Washington, D.C.: Interstate Technology & Regulatory Council, ISM-2 Team. www.itrcweb.org.
- Weston Solutions, Inc. with Marsh Creek, LLC. March 2011. Maintenance and Monitoring Plan for Petroleum Contaminated Soil Treatment, Red Devil Mine, Alaska.

Attachments

Table 1 – DU 5 Soil Analytical Results (inset)
Table 2 – DRO 2024 and 2026 Monitoring Event Concentrations (inset)
Table 3 – Trend Projection to ADEC Cleanup Criteria (inset)
Figure 1 – Site Location and Vicinity Map
Figure 2 – Sampling Area Location
Attachment 1 – Photographs
Attachment 2 – Field Logbook

Attachment 3 – SGS Analytical Laboratory Report
Attachment 4 – Laboratory Data Review Checklist


If you have any questions or wish to discuss this project further, please contact Melissa Becker at (907) 230-7696.

Respectfully,



Melissa Becker
Senior Environmental Scientist, ADEC QEP

FIGURES



Legend:

- Red Devil Mine - Landspread Area
- Red Devil Village

0 1,000 2,000 4,000 Feet

ChemTrack
11711 South Gambell
Anchorage, Alaska 99515
(907) 349-2511

Project: 6530
PM: MB

Report:	2026 Landspread Monitoring Report Red Devil Mine Red Devil, Alaska
Client:	U.S. Bureau of Land Management
Drawing:	**Site Location and Vicinity Map**
Date: 08OCT2026	Figure: 1

Sources: Esri, TomTom, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community, Earthstar Geographics, Sources: Esri, TomTom, Garmin, (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community



Legend:

- Red Devil Mine - Landspread Area
- Decision Unit (DU) 5 (100 x 100 feet)
- DU5 Grid (16.5 x 16.5 feet)

N

0 50 100 200 Feet

ChemTrack
11711 South Gambell
Anchorage, Alaska 99515
(907) 349-2511

Project: 6530
PM: MB

Report: 2026 Landspread Monitoring Report
Red Devil Mine
Red Devil, Alaska

Client: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

Drawing: **Sampling Area Location**

Date: 08OCT2026

Figure: 2

Sources: Esri, TomTom, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community, Vantor

ATTACHMENT 1

PHOTOGRAPHS





Photograph 1. Access point and sign at the Red Devil Mine landspread area. July 1, 2026.



Photograph 2. Floatplane transportation to the project site. July 1, 2026.



Photograph 3. Pin flags marking sampling grid boundaries within DU5. July 1, 2026.



Photograph 4. Vegetation within DU5. July 1, 2026.



Photograph 5. Collection of soil increments within DU 5. July 1, 2026.



Photograph 6. Close-up view of soil increment collection. July 1, 2026.



Photograph 7. Pin flags marking the corners of DU5. July 1, 2026.



Photograph 8. Close-up view of DU5 corner marker. July 1, 2026.

ATTACHMENT 2

FIELD NOTEBOOK

7/1/26 6530 - Red Devil Mine
Landfarm Sampling

60's and Sunny ANC → Red Devil → ANC


0700 - Check-in at Trail Ridge Air at Lake Hood in Anchorage

0715 - Safety Briefing with pilot

0730 - Fly to Red Devil Mine on Beaver Float plane
1000 - Arrive at site, land on Kuskokwim River at small gravel bar just NE of site
1030 - Safety Tailgate with field team
Melissa Becker (QEP) ChemTrack
Josh Solomon (QEP) C.T.
Mykola (pilot) Trail Ridge Air

1045 - Walk up to site, followed road up then turned right up to the landfarm. Noted sign of "Petroleum contaminated soil"
1100 - Begin identifying Decision Unit 5 (DU5)
- Laid out the edges of the grid and placed pin flags every ~16.5ft, filled in center in lines of flags evenly spaced
1130 - Began collecting primary, duplicate, triplicates from each of the flags
- Used 3 trowels, 1 for each sample, 36x3 holes

DU5 Map



1400 - Complete Sampling, left 1 pin flag in each corner of DU5

1430 - Back at float plane, packing up
1700 - Back in ANC, de-kissing materials, unpacking
1800 - Off Clock

Rite in the Rain

ATTACHMENT 3

SGS ANALYTICAL LABORATORY REPORT

Laboratory Report of Analysis

To: ChemTrack
11711 South Gambell St
Anchorage, AK 99515
(410) 258-5650

Report Number: **1263078**

Client Project: **6530 - Red Devil Landfarm Moni**


Dear Josh Solomon,

Enclosed are the results of the analytical services performed under the referenced project for the received samples and associated QC as applicable. The samples are certified to meet the requirements of the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Conference Standards. Copies of this report and supporting data will be retained in our files for a period of ten years in the event they are required for future reference. All results are intended to be used in their entirety and SGS is not responsible for use of less than the complete report. Any samples submitted to our laboratory will be retained for a maximum of fourteen (14) days from the date of this report unless other archiving requirements were included in the quote.

If there are any questions about the report or services performed during this project, please call Curtis at (907) 562-2343. We will be happy to answer any questions or concerns which you may have.

Thank you for using SGS North America Inc. for your analytical services. We look forward to working with you again on any additional analytical needs.

Sincerely,
SGS North America Inc.



Curtis Whisman
2026.07.14
17:56:57 -08'00'

Curtis Whisman Date
Project Manager
curtis.whisman@sgs.com

Print Date: 07/14/2026 5:51:00PM

Results via Engage

How did we do today?
Your feedback helps us improve our service to you and takes less than a minute to complete.
START SURVEY

Case Narrative

SGS Client: **ChemTrack**
SGS Project: **1263078**
Project Name/Site: **6530 - Red Devil Landfarm Moni**
Project Contact: **Josh Solomon**

Refer to sample receipt form for information on sample condition.

*QC comments may be associated with the field samples found in this report. When applicable, comments will be applied to associated field samples.

SGS North America Inc.
200 West Potter Drive, Anchorage, AK 99518
t 907.562.2343 **f** 907.561.5301 www.us.sgs.com

Member of SGS Group

Laboratory Qualifiers

Enclosed are the analytical results associated with the above work order. The results apply to the samples as received. All results are intended to be used in their entirety and SGS is not responsible for use of less than the complete report. This document is issued by the Company under its General Conditions of Service accessible at <http://www.sgs.com/en/Terms-and-Conditions.aspx>. Attention is drawn to the limitation of liability, indenmification and jurisdiction issues defined therein.

Any holder of this document is advised that information contained hereon reflects the Company's findings at the time of its intervention only and within the limits of Client's instructions, if any. The Company's sole responsibility is to its Client and this document does not exonerate parties to a transaction from exercising all their rights and obligations under the transaction documents. Any unauthorized alteration, forgery or falsification of the context or appearance of this document is unlawful and offenders may be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law.

SGS maintains a formal Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) program. A copy of our Quality Assurance Plan (QAP), which outlines this program, is available at your request. The laboratory certification numbers are AK00971 (DW Chemistry (Provisional as of 6/30/2026) & Microbiology) & 17-021 (CS) for ADEC and 2944.01 for DOD ELAP/ISO17025 (RCRA methods: 1020B, 1311, 3010A, 3050B, 3520C, 3550C, 5030B, 5035A, 6020B, 7470A, 7471B, 8015C, 8021B, 8082A, 8260D, 8270E, 8270E-SIM, 9040C, 9045D, 9056A, 9060A, AK101 and AK102/103). SGS is only certified for the analytes listed on our Drinking Water Certification (DW methods: 200.8, 2130B (Provisional as of 12/29/25), 2320B, 2510B, 300.0, 4500-CN-C,E, 4500-H-B, 4500-NO3-F, 4500-P-E and 524.2) and only those analytes will be reported to the State of Alaska for compliance. Except as specifically noted, all statements and data in this report are in conformance to the provisions set forth by the SGS QAP and, when applicable, other regulatory authorities.

The following descriptors or qualifiers may be found in your report:

*	The analyte has exceeded allowable regulatory or control limits.
!	Surrogate out of control limits.
B	Indicates the analyte is found in a blank associated with the sample.
CCV/CVA/CVB	Continuing Calibration Verification
CCCV/CVC/CVCA/CVCB	Closing Continuing Calibration Verification
CL	Control Limit
DF	Analytical Dilution Factor
DL	Detection Limit (i.e., maximum method detection limit)
E	The analyte result is above the calibrated range.
GT	Greater Than
IB	Instrument Blank
ICV	Initial Calibration Verification
J	The quantitation is an estimation.
LCS(D)	Laboratory Control Spike (Duplicate)
LLQC/LLIQC	Low Level Quantitation Check
LOD	Limit of Detection (i.e., 3/4 of the LOQ)
LOQ	Limit of Quantitation (i.e., reporting or practical quantitation limit)
LT	Less Than
MB	Method Blank
MS(D)	Matrix Spike (Duplicate)
ND	Indicates the analyte is not detected.
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
TNTC	Too Numerous To Count
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected.

Note: Sample summaries which include a result for "Total Solids" have already been adjusted for moisture content. All DRO/RRO analyses are integrated per SOP.

Sample Summary

Client Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Collected	Received	Matrix
DU5-26-1	1263078001	07/01/2026	07/02/2026	Soil/Solid (dry weight)
DU5-26-2	1263078002	07/01/2026	07/02/2026	Soil/Solid (dry weight)
DU5-26-3	1263078003	07/01/2026	07/02/2026	Soil/Solid (dry weight)

Method	Method Description
AK102	Diesel Range Organics (S)
MI-ITRC ISM (Feb 2012)	MI Sampling/Sieving
SM21 2540G	Percent Solids SM2540G

SGS North America Inc.
200 West Potter Drive, Anchorage, AK 99518
t 907.562.2343 f 907.561.5301 www.us.sgs.com

Member of SGS Group

Detectable Results Summary

Client Sample ID: **DU5-26-1**
Lab Sample ID: 1263078001

ITRC Incremental Samp Method (2012) Semivolatile Organic Fuels

Parameter	Result	Units
Multi-Incremental Sub Sampling	0.00	
Diesel Range Organics	261	mg/kg

Client Sample ID: **DU5-26-2**
Lab Sample ID: 1263078002

ITRC Incremental Samp Method (2012) Semivolatile Organic Fuels

Parameter	Result	Units
Multi-Incremental Sub Sampling	0.00	
Diesel Range Organics	198	mg/kg

Client Sample ID: **DU5-26-3**
Lab Sample ID: 1263078003

ITRC Incremental Samp Method (2012) Semivolatile Organic Fuels

Parameter	Result	Units
Multi-Incremental Sub Sampling	0.00	
Diesel Range Organics	188	mg/kg

SGS North America Inc.
200 West Potter Drive, Anchorage, AK 99518
t 907.562.2343 **f** 907.561.5301 www.us.sgs.com

Member of SGS Group

Results of **DU5-26-1**

Client Sample ID: **DU5-26-1**
Client Project ID: **6530 - Red Devil Landfarm Moni**
Lab Sample ID: 1263078001
Lab Project ID: 1263078

Collection Date: 07/01/26 14:00
Received Date: 07/02/26 12:00
Matrix: Soil/Solid (dry weight)
Solids (%):84.7
Location:

Results by **ITRC Incremental Samp Method (2012)**

Parameter	Date Analyzed
Multi-Incremental Sub Sampling	07/04/26 15:28

Batch Information

Analytical Batch: SPT12597
Analytical Method: MI-ITRC ISM (Feb 2012)
Analyst: ABK
Analytical Date/Time: 07/04/26 15:28
Container ID: 1263078001-A

SGS North America Inc.
200 West Potter Drive Anchorage, AK 95518
t 907.562.2343 **f** 907.561.5301 www.us.sgs.com

Member of SGS Group

Results of **DU5-26-1**

Client Sample ID: **DU5-26-1**
Client Project ID: **6530 - Red Devil Landfarm Moni**
Lab Sample ID: 1263078001
Lab Project ID: 1263078

Collection Date: 07/01/26 14:00
Received Date: 07/02/26 12:00
Matrix: Soil/Solid (dry weight)
Solids (%):84.7
Location:

Results by **Semivolatile Organic Fuels**

Parameter	Result	Qual	LOQ/CL	DL	LOD	Units	df	Allowable Limits	Date Analyzed
Diesel Range Organics	261		17.5	7.90	13.1	mg/kg	1		07/08/26 16:35
Surrogates									
5a Androstane (surr)	89		50-150			%	1		07/08/26 16:35

Batch Information

Analytical Batch: XFC17730
Analytical Method: AK102
Analyst: NGG
Analytical Date/Time: 07/08/26 16:35
Container ID: 1263078001-C

Prep Batch: XXX53498
Prep Method: SW3550C
Prep Date/Time: 07/06/26 12:38
Prep Initial Wt./Vol.: 30.272 g
Prep Extract Vol: 5 mL

SGS North America Inc.
200 West Potter Drive Anchorage, AK 95518
t 907.562.2343 **f** 907.561.5301 www.us.sgs.com

Member of SGS Group

Results of **DU5-26-2**

Client Sample ID: **DU5-26-2**
Client Project ID: **6530 - Red Devil Landfarm Moni**
Lab Sample ID: 1263078002
Lab Project ID: 1263078

Collection Date: 07/01/26 14:01
Received Date: 07/02/26 12:00
Matrix: Soil/Solid (dry weight)
Solids (%):84.8
Location:

Results by **ITRC Incremental Samp Method (2012)**

Parameter	Date Analyzed
Multi-Incremental Sub Sampling	07/05/26 16:38

Batch Information

Analytical Batch: SPT12599
Analytical Method: MI-ITRC ISM (Feb 2012)
Analyst: ABK
Analytical Date/Time: 07/05/26 16:38
Container ID: 1263078002-A

SGS North America Inc.
200 West Potter Drive Anchorage, AK 95518
t 907.562.2343 **f** 907.561.5301 www.us.sgs.com

Member of SGS Group

Results of **DU5-26-2**

Client Sample ID: **DU5-26-2**
Client Project ID: **6530 - Red Devil Landfarm Moni**
Lab Sample ID: 1263078002
Lab Project ID: 1263078

Collection Date: 07/01/26 14:01
Received Date: 07/02/26 12:00
Matrix: Soil/Solid (dry weight)
Solids (%):84.8
Location:

Results by **Semivolatile Organic Fuels**

Parameter	Result	Qual	LOQ/CL	DL	LOD	Units	df	Allowable Limits	Date Analyzed
Diesel Range Organics	198		23.5	10.6	17.6	mg/kg	1		07/08/26 16:44
Surrogates									
5a Androstane (surr)	89.1		50-150			%	1		07/08/26 16:44

Batch Information

Analytical Batch: XFC17730
Analytical Method: AK102
Analyst: NGG
Analytical Date/Time: 07/08/26 16:44
Container ID: 1263078002-C

Prep Batch: XXX53498
Prep Method: SW3550C
Prep Date/Time: 07/06/26 12:38
Prep Initial Wt./Vol.: 22.549 g
Prep Extract Vol: 5 mL

Results of **DU5-26-3**

Client Sample ID: **DU5-26-3**
Client Project ID: **6530 - Red Devil Landfarm Moni**
Lab Sample ID: 1263078003
Lab Project ID: 1263078

Collection Date: 07/01/26 14:02
Received Date: 07/02/26 12:00
Matrix: Soil/Solid (dry weight)
Solids (%):84.7
Location:

Results by **ITRC Incremental Samp Method (2012)**

Parameter	Date Analyzed
Multi-Incremental Sub Sampling	07/06/26 18:13

Batch Information

Analytical Batch: SPT12601
Analytical Method: MI-ITRC ISM (Feb 2012)
Analyst: ABK
Analytical Date/Time: 07/06/26 18:13
Container ID: 1263078003-A

Results of **DU5-26-3**

Client Sample ID: **DU5-26-3**
Client Project ID: **6530 - Red Devil Landfarm Moni**
Lab Sample ID: 1263078003
Lab Project ID: 1263078

Collection Date: 07/01/26 14:02
Received Date: 07/02/26 12:00
Matrix: Soil/Solid (dry weight)
Solids (%):84.7
Location:

Results by **Semivolatile Organic Fuels**

Parameter	Result	Qual	LOQ/CL	DL	LOD	Units	df	Allowable Limits	Date Analyzed
Diesel Range Organics	188		23.3	10.5	17.5	mg/kg	1		07/08/26 16:54
Surrogates									
5a Androstane (surr)	88.9		50-150			%	1		07/08/26 16:54

Batch Information

Analytical Batch: XFC17730
Analytical Method: AK102
Analyst: NGG
Analytical Date/Time: 07/08/26 16:54
Container ID: 1263078003-C

Prep Batch: XXX53498
Prep Method: SW3550C
Prep Date/Time: 07/06/26 12:38
Prep Initial Wt./Vol.: 22.821 g
Prep Extract Vol: 5 mL

SGS North America Inc.
200 West Potter Drive Anchorage, AK 95518
t 907.562.2343 **f** 907.561.5301 www.us.sgs.com

Member of SGS Group

Method Blank

Blank ID: MB for HBN 1931737 [SPT/12596]
Blank Lab ID: 1885334

Matrix: Soil/Solid (dry weight)

QC for Samples:
1263078001

Results by **SM21 2540G**

Parameter	Results	LOQ/CL	DL	LOD	Units
Total Solids	100				%

Batch Information

Analytical Batch: SPT12596
Analytical Method: SM21 2540G
Instrument:
Analyst: ABK
Analytical Date/Time: 7/4/2026 3:28:00PM

SGS North America Inc.
200 West Potter Drive Anchorage, AK 95518
t 907.562.2343 **f** 907.561.5301 www.us.sgs.com

Member of SGS Group

Duplicate Sample Summary

Original Sample ID: 1263054006
Duplicate Sample ID: 1885335
QC for Samples:

Analysis Date: 07/04/2026 15:28
Matrix: Soil/Solid (dry weight)

Results by **SM21 2540G**

Parameter	Original	Duplicate	Units	RPD (%)	RPD CL
Total Solids	97.0	97.1	%	0.11	(< 15)

Batch Information

Analytical Batch: SPT12596
Analytical Method: SM21 2540G
Instrument:
Analyst: ABK
Analytical Date/Time: 7/4/2026 3:28:00PM

Print Date: 07/14/2026 5:51:20PM

Duplicate Sample Summary

Original Sample ID: 1263054011
Duplicate Sample ID: 1885336
QC for Samples:
1263078001

Analysis Date: 07/04/2026 15:28
Matrix: Soil/Solid (dry weight)

Results by **SM21 2540G**

Parameter	Original	Duplicate	Units	RPD (%)	RPD CL
Total Solids	85.9	84.9	%	1.10	(< 15)

Batch Information

Analytical Batch: SPT12596
Analytical Method: SM21 2540G
Instrument:
Analyst: ABK
Analytical Date/Time: 7/4/2026 3:28:00PM

Print Date: 07/14/2026 5:51:20PM

Method Blank

Blank ID: MB for HBN 1931755 [SPT/12598]
Blank Lab ID: 1885400

Matrix: Soil/Solid (dry weight)

QC for Samples:
1263078002

Results by **SM21 2540G**

Parameter	Results	LOQ/CL	DL	LOD	Units
Total Solids	100				%

Batch Information

Analytical Batch: SPT12598
Analytical Method: SM21 2540G
Instrument:
Analyst: CJM
Analytical Date/Time: 7/5/2026 4:38:00PM

SGS North America Inc.
200 West Potter Drive Anchorage, AK 95518
t 907.562.2343 **f** 907.561.5301 www.us.sgs.com

Member of SGS Group

Method Blank

Blank ID: MB for HBN 19317[S PT/ 251[6]] L
Blank ba8 ID: 1774SQ[

Matrix: Toil5Tolid (dry wsight)

Cm for Tap els0:
1[63] S7]] 3

Rs0ult0 8y **SM21 2540G**

/ arap stsr	Rs0ult0	bOC5mb	Db	bOD	Unit0
2otal Tolid0	1]]				%

Batch Information

Analytical Batch: T/ 21[6]]
Analytical Msthod: TM[1 [4Q] G
In0trup snt:
Analy0t: ABK
Analytical Dats52ip s: S565[ ] [6 6:13:]] / M

/ rint Dats:] S51Q5[ ] [6 4:41:Q[/ M

Duplicate Sample Summary

Original Sample ID: 1263054006
Duplicate Sample ID: 188A4y3
Cf R?r Sample/ :
1263048003

s nal7/ i/ Date: 04M06M2026 18:13
x atrio: SdilNSdli(w(r7 h eig) tR

b e/ ult/ Q7 SM21 2540G

%arameter	Original	Duplicate	L nit/	b %D wU R	b %D f <
Tdtal Sdli(/	84.A	85.0	U	1.40	w@ 1A R

Batch Information

s nal7tical Batc) : S%T12600
s nal7tical x et) d(: Sx 21 2Ay0G
In/ trument:
s nal7/ t: s BK
s nal7tical DateNTime: 4M2M2026 6:13:00%x

%rint Date: 04M1yM2026 A:A1:yy%x

Method Blank

Blank ID: MB for HBN 1931778 [XXX/53498]
Blank Lab ID: 1885538

Matrix: Soil/Solid (dry weight)

QC for Samples:
1263078001, 1263078002, 1263078003

Results by **AK102**

Parameter	Results	LOQ/CL	DL	LOD	Units
Diesel Range Organics	15.0U	20.0	9.00	15.0	mg/kg
Surrogates					
5a Androstane (surr)	90.9	60-120		0	%

Batch Information

Analytical Batch: XFC17730
Analytical Method: AK102
Instrument: Agilent 7890B F
Analyst: NGG
Analytical Date/Time: 7/8/2026 3:19:00PM

Prep Batch: XXX53498
Prep Method: SW3550C
Prep Date/Time: 7/6/2026 12:38:00PM
Prep Initial Wt./Vol.: 22.5 g
Prep Extract Vol: 5 mL

Blank Spike Summary

Blank Spike ID: LCS for HBN 1263078 [XXX53498]
Blank Spike Lab ID: 1885539
Date Analyzed: 07/13/2026 13:57

Spike Duplicate ID: LCSD for HBN 1263078 [XXX53498]
Spike Duplicate Lab ID: 1885540
Matrix: Soil/Solid (dry weight)

QC for Samples: 1263078001, 1263078002, 1263078003

Results by **AK102**

	Blank Spike (mg/kg)			Spike Duplicate (mg/kg)					
Parameter	Spike	Result	Rec (%)	Spike	Result	Rec (%)	CL	RPD (%)	RPD CL
Diesel Range Organics	1110	1150	104	1110	1120	101	(75-125)	2.60	(< 20)
Surrogates									
5a Androstane (surr)	22.2		105	22.2		101	(60-120)	3.80	

Batch Information

Analytical Batch: **XFC17733**
Analytical Method: **AK102**
Instrument: **Agilent 7890B F**
Analyst: **ARW**

Prep Batch: **XXX53498**
Prep Method: **SW3550C**
Prep Date/Time: **07/06/2026 12:38**
Spike Init Wt./Vol.: 22.2 mg/kg Extract Vol: 5 mL
Dupe Init Wt./Vol.: 22.2 mg/kg Extract Vol: 5 mL

SGS North America Inc.
200 West Potter Drive Anchorage, AK 95518
t 907.562.2343 f 907.561.5301 www.us.sgs.com

Member of SGS Group

SGS

SGS North America Inc.
CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

1263078

SGS LIMS Profile: 450166 GSW

Page ___ of ___

Instructions: Sections 1 - 5 must be filled out. Omissions may delay the onset of analysis.

Section 1

CLIENT:	ChemTrack		
CONTACT:	Josh Solomon	PHONE #:	(410) 258-5650
PROJECT NAME:	6530 - Red Devil Landfarm Monitoring	Project/Permit Number:	
		NPDL Number(DOD):	
REPORTS TO:		E-MAIL:	josh@chemtrack.net
INVOICE TO:		QUOTE #:	
		P.O. #:	

Section 2

RESERVED for lab use	SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION	DATE mm/dd/yy	TIME HH:MM	MATRIX/ MATRIX CODE	# CONTAINERS	Sample Type (Comp / Grab / MI)	Analysis*: None	AK-102 DRO ISM
1AD	DUS-26-1	07/01/26	1400	SO	1	ISM		X
2AD	DUS-26-2	↓	1401	↓	1	ISM		X
3AD	DUS-26-3	↓	1402	↓	1	ISM		X

Section 3 — Preservative

NOTE: *The following analyses require specific method and/or compound list: BTEX, Metals, PFAS

REMARKS/LOC ID

Comments: Petroleum landfarm; no mercury anticipated in response to Gilly's email

Section 4

DOD Project? YES NO

Data Deliverables Requested

DataView SEDD EQUIS

Level 4 ERPIMS Other:_____

Turnaround Time Requested

Standard

Rush

Requested Rush Report Date:_____

SGS Sample Receipt (Lab Use Only)

Delivery Method: Client Commercial

Did each cooler have a corresponding COC? Yes No

Chain of Custody Seal Condition: INTACT BROKEN ABSENT

COC Seal Location(s): LFLS

Section 5

RELINQUISHED BY:	DATE:	TIME:	RECEIVED BY:
[signature]	7/2/26	11:43	
	7/02/26	~~9:20~~ 12:00	[signature]

Laboratory Use Only

Cooler ID	Temperature (°C)	Therm. ID
1. 1	1.3	DS8
2.		
3.		

If more than three coolers are received, or for documentation of non-compliant coolers, use form FS-0029.

Note: If temp. is outside 0-6° and samples were not taken <8 hours ago OR are waste samples, Client or PM should initial here or attach an email change order to proceed with analysis. If ice is present, note on form F102B.

Intials:_____

http://www.sgs.com/terms-and-conditions

12:00

DATE 7/02/26

1263078

SGS

SAMPLE RECEIPT FORM

Project Manager Completion				
Was all necessary information recorded on the COC upon receipt? (Temperature, COC seals, etc.?)	Yes	No	N/A	
Was temperature between 0-6° C?	Yes	No	N/A	If "No", are the samples either exempt* or sampled <8 hours prior to receipt?
Were all analyses received within holding time*?	Yes	No	N/A	
Was a method specified for each analysis, where applicable? If no, please note correct methods.	Yes	No	N/A	ISM DRO Soil
Are compound lists specified, where applicable? For project specific or special compound lists please note correct analysis code.	Yes	No	N/A	
If rush was requested by the client, was the requested TAT approved?	Yes	No	N/A	If "NO", what is the approved TAT?
If SEDD Deliverables are required, were Location ID's and an NPDL Number provided?	Yes	No	N/A	*If "NO", contact client for information.*
Sample Login Completion				
Do ID's on sample containers match COC?	Yes	No	N/A	
If provided on containers, do dates/times collected match COC?	Yes	No	N/A	Note: If times differ <1 hr., record details below and login per COC.
Were all sample containers received in good condition?	Yes	No	N/A	
Were proper containers (type/mass/volume/preservative) received for all samples? **See form F-083 "Sample Guide"*	Yes	No	N/A	*Note: If 200.8/6020 Total Metals are received unpreserved, preserve, and note HNO3 lot here: If 200.8/6020 Dissolved Metals are received unpreserved, log in for LABFILTER and do not preserve. For all non-metals methods, inform Project Manager.*
Were Trip Blanks (VOC, GRO, Low-Level Hg, etc.) received with samples, where applicable*?	Yes	No	N/A	
Were all VOA vials free of headspace >6mm?	Yes	No	N/A	
Were all soil VOA samples received field extracted with Methanol?	Yes	No	N/A	
Did all soil VOA samples have an accompanying unpreserved container for % solids?	Yes	No	N/A	
If special handling is required, were containers labelled appropriately? e.g. MI/ISM, foreign soils, lab filter, Ref Lab, limited volume	Yes	No	N/A	MI / ISM Soil
For Rush/Short Holding time, was the lab notified?	Yes	No	N/A	
For any question answered "NO", was the Project Manager notified?	Yes	No	N/A	PM Initials:
Was Peer Review of sample numbering/labelling completed?	Yes	No	N/A	Reviewer Initials: GNW
Additional Notes/Clarification where Applicable, including resolution of "No" answers when a change order is not attached:				

Sample Containers and Preservatives

Container Id	Preservative	Container Condition	Container Id	Preservative	Container Condition
1263078001-A	No Preservative Required	OK			
1263078001-B	No Preservative Required	OK			
1263078001-C	No Preservative Required	OK			
1263078001-D	No Preservative Required	OK			
1263078002-A	No Preservative Required	OK			
1263078002-B	No Preservative Required	OK			
1263078002-C	No Preservative Required	OK			
1263078002-D	No Preservative Required	OK			
1263078003-A	No Preservative Required	OK			
1263078003-B	No Preservative Required	OK			
1263078003-C	No Preservative Required	OK			
1263078003-D	No Preservative Required	OK			

Container Condition Glossary

Containers for bacteriological, low level mercury and VOA vials are not opened prior to analysis and will be assigned condition code OK unless evidence indicates than an inappropriate container was submitted. Due to the nature of VOAs they are not checked prior to analysis. VOA vials for water are by default preserved with HCl and should be pH <2. It will be noted during analysis if pH is not <2.

OK - The container was received at an acceptable pH for the analysis requested.
BU - The container was received with headspace greater than 6mm.
DM - The container was received damaged.
FR - The container was received frozen and not usable for Bacteria or BOD analyses.
IC - The container provided for microbiology analysis was not a laboratory-supplied, pre-sterilized container and therefore was not suitable for analysis.
NC- The container provided was not preserved or was under-preserved. The method does not allow for additional preservative added after collection.
PA - The container was received outside of the acceptable pH for the analysis requested. Preservative was added upon receipt and the container is now at the correct pH. See the Sample Receipt Form for details on the amount and lot # of the preservative added.
PH - The container was received outside of the acceptable pH for the analysis requested. Preservative was added upon receipt, but was insufficient to bring the container to the correct pH for the analysis

ATTACHMENT 4

LABORATORY DATA REVIEW CHECKLIST

ADEC Contaminated Sites Program Laboratory Data Review Checklist

Completed By:	Birgit Hagedorn	**CS Site Name:**	6530 Red Devil Landfarm Moni	**Lab Name:**	SGS North America Inc., Alaska
Title:	Chemist	**ADEC File No.:**		**Lab Report No.:**	1263078
Consulting Firm:	Sustainable Earth Research LLC	**Hazard ID No.:**		**Lab Report Date:**	7/14/2026

Note: *Any N/A or No box checked must have an explanation in the comments box.*

1. **Laboratory**

   a. Did an ADEC Contaminated Sites Laboratory Approval Program (CS-LAP) approved laboratory receive and perform all of the submitted sample analyses?
   Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A ☐
   Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

   b. If the samples were transferred to another "network" laboratory or sub-contracted to an alternate laboratory, was the laboratory performing the analyses CS-LAP approved?
   Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☐
   Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

2. **Chain of Custody (CoC)**

   a. Is the CoC information completed, signed, and dated (including released/received by)?
   Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A ☐
   Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

   b. Were the correct analyses requested?
   Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A ☐
   Analyses requested: AK102 DRO, ISM composite samples.
   Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

3. **Laboratory Sample Receipt Documentation**

   a. Is the sample/cooler temperature documented and within range at receipt (0° to 6° C)?
   Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A ☐
   Cooler temperature(s): 1.3 ° C
   Sample temperature(s): Click or tap here to enter text.

Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

b. Is the sample preservation acceptable – acidified waters, methanol preserved soil (GRO, BTEX, VOCs, etc.)?
Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A ☐
Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

c. Is the sample condition documented – broken, leaking, zero headspace (VOA vials); canister vacuum/pressure checked and no open valves, etc.?
Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A ☐
Comments: Nothing to report.

d. If there were any discrepancies, were they documented? For example, incorrect sample containers/preservation, sample temperature outside of acceptable range, insufficient or missing samples, canister not holding a vacuum, etc.?
Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A ☐
Comments: Nothing to report

e. Is the data quality or usability affected?
Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☐
Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

4. Case Narrative

a. Is the case narrative present and understandable?
Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A ☐
Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

b. Are there discrepancies, errors, or QC failures identified by the lab?
Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A ☐
Comments: Nothing to report all analyses were within QC limits.

c. Were all the corrective actions documented?
Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☒
Comments: See above.

d. What is the effect on data quality/usability according to the case narrative?
Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

5. Sample Results

a. Are the correct analyses performed/reported as requested on CoC?
Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A ☐
Comments: AK102 DRO

b. Are all applicable holding times met?
Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A ☐
Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

c. Are all soils reported on a dry weight basis?
Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A ☐
Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

d. Are the reported limits of quantitation (LoQ) or limits of detections (LOD), or reporting limits (RL) less than the Cleanup Level or the action level for the project?
Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A ☐
Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

e. Is the data quality or usability affected?
Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☐
Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

6. QC Samples

a. Method Blank

i. Was one method blank reported per matrix, analysis, and 20 samples?
Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A ☐
Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

ii. Are all method blank results less than LOQ (or RL)?
Yes ☒ No ☐
Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

iii. If above LoQ or RL, what samples are affected?
Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

iv. Do the affected sample(s) have data flags? If so, are the data flags clearly defined?
Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☐
Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

v. Data quality or usability affected?
Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☐
Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

b. Laboratory Control Sample/Duplicate (LCS/LCSD)

i. Organics – Are one LCS/LCSD reported per matrix, analysis and 20 samples? (LCS/LCSD required per AK methods, LCS required per SW846)
Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A ☐
Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

ii. Metals/Inorganics – Are one LCS and one sample duplicate reported per matrix, analysis and 20 samples?
Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☒
Comments: Metals were not analyzed.

iii. Accuracy – Are all percent recoveries (%R) reported and within method or laboratory limits and project specified objectives, if applicable? (AK Petroleum methods: AK101 60%-120%, AK102 75%-125%, AK103 60%-120%; all other analyses see the laboratory QC pages)
Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A ☐
Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

iv. Precision – Are all relative percent differences (RPD) reported and less than method or laboratory limits and project specified objectives, if applicable? Was the RPD reported from LCS/LCSD, and or sample/sample duplicate? (AK Petroleum methods 20%; all other analyses see the laboratory QC pages)
Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A ☐
Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

v. If %R or RPD is outside of acceptable limits, what samples are affected?
Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

vi. Do the affected sample(s) have data flags? If so, are the data flags clearly defined?
Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☐
Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

vii. Is the data quality or usability affected?
Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☐
Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

c. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD)

i. Organics – Are one MS/MSD reported per matrix, analysis and 20 samples?
Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☒
Comments: MS/MSDs were not required for this SDG.

ii. Metals/Inorganics – Are one MS/MSD reported per matrix, analysis and 20 samples?
Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☒
Comments: Metals were not analyzed for this SDG.1239

iii. Accuracy – Are all percent recoveries (%R) reported and within method or laboratory limits and project specified objectives, if applicable?
Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☐
Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

iv. Precision – Are all relative percent differences (RPD) reported and less than method or laboratory limits and project specified objectives, if applicable? RPD reported from MS/MSD, and or sample/sample duplicate.
Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☐
Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

v. If %R or RPD is outside of acceptable limits, what samples are affected?
Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

vi. Do the affected sample(s) have data flags? If so, are the data flags clearly defined?
Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☐
Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

vii. Is the data quality or usability affected?
Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☐
Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

d. Surrogates – Organics Only or Isotope Dilution Analytes (IDA) – Isotope Dilution Methods Only

i. Are surrogate/IDA recoveries reported for organic analyses – field, QC, and laboratory samples?
Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A ☐
Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

ii. Accuracy – Are all percent recoveries (%R) reported and within method or laboratory limits and project specified objectives, if applicable? (AK Petroleum methods 50-150 %R for field samples and 60-120 %R for QC samples; all other analyses see the laboratory report pages)
Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☐
Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

iii. Do the sample results with failed surrogate/IDA recoveries have data flags? If so, are the data flags clearly defined?

Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☐
Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

iv. Is the data quality or usability affected?
Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☐
Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

e. Trip Blanks

i. Is one trip blank reported per matrix, analysis, and for each cooler containing volatile samples? Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☒
Comments: Volatiles were not analyzed in this SDG.

ii. Are all results less than LoQ or RL?
Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☐
Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

iii. If above LoQ or RL, what samples are affected?
Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

iv. Is the data quality or usability affected?
Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☐
Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

f. Field Duplicate

i. Are one field duplicate submitted per matrix, analysis, and 10 project samples?
Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☒
Comments: ISM samples were collected and analyses of a FD was not necessary.

ii. Was the duplicate submitted blind to lab?
Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☐
Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

iii. Precision – All relative percent differences (RPD) less than specified project objectives? (Recommended: 30% water or air, 50% soil)

$$RPD\ (\%) = \left| \frac{R_1 - R_2}{\left(\frac{R_1 + R_2}{2}\right)} \right| X\ 100$$

Where R_1 = Sample Concentration

R_2 = Field Duplicate Concentration

Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☐

Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

iv. Is the data quality or usability affected? (Explain)
Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☐
Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

g. Decontamination or Equipment Blanks

i. Were decontamination or equipment blanks collected?
Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☒
Comments: Disposable equipment was used.

ii. Are all results less than LoQ or RL?
Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☐
Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

iii. If above LoQ or RL, specify what samples are affected.
Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

iv. Are data quality or usability affected?
Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☐
Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

7. Other Data Flags/Qualifiers (ACOE, AFCEE, Lab Specific, etc.)

a. Are they defined and appropriate?
Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☒
Comments: No further qualifiers were used.