Intended for

U.S. Bureau of Land Management and Environmental Management and Planning Solutions, Inc. (EMPSi)

Prepared by

Ramboll

July 2023

# BLM WESTERN US PHOTOCHEMICAL AIR QUALITY MODELING FOR 2032



### CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	6	
1.1	Air Quality Standards and Air Quality Related Values		
1.2	Document Outline		
2.	Photochemical Modeling Overview		
2.1	Modeling Domains	9	
2.1.1	Horizontal Domain	9	
2.1.2	Vertical Domain	10	
2.2	Model Inputs	10	
2.2.1	Meteorology	10	
2.2.2	Initial and Boundary Conditions	12	
2.2.3	Ancillary Inputs	12	
2.3	Photochemical Modeling Configuration	12	
2.4	Model Performance Evaluation	14	
2.5	Analysis Areas	14	
2.5.1	Colorado Analysis Area	15	
2.5.2	Montana Analysis Area	16	
2.5.3	New Mexico Analysis Area	17	
2.5.4	North Dakota Analysis Area	19	
2.5.5	South Dakota Analysis Area	20	
2.5.6	Utah Analysis Area	21	
2.5.7	Wyoming Analysis Area	22	
3.	Emissions Applied In Photochemical Modeling	23	
3.1	Coal Mining Emissions Inventory	23	
3.1.1.1	Coal Mining Emissions in North Dakota	23	
3.1.1.2	Coal Mining Emissions in Montana and Wyoming	24	
3.1.1.3	Coal Mining Emissions in Colorado, New Mexico, and Utah	25	
3.2	Coal-fired EGU Emissions Inventory	26	
Emissions f	rom Coal Combustion Sources other than EGUs	28	
3.3	Oil and Gas Development Emissions	29	
3.3.1	Geographical Area and Temporal Scale	29	
3.3.2	Sources and Pollutants	29	
3.3.3	Approach	29	
3.3.4	Results	31	
3.4	Other Anthropogenic Emissions	32	
3.5	Natural Emissions	32	
3.6	Development of CAMx-ready Emission Inputs	32	
3.6.1	Processing of Emissions for the 36 km CONUS and 12 km Domain	33	
4.	Photochemical Modeling Approach	35	
4.1	Source Apportionment Modeling Approach and Source Groups/Regions	35	
4.1.1	Overview of CAMx Source Apportionment Tools	35	
4.1.2	Source Apportionment Groups and Regions	36	
4.2	Comparison of Modeled Concentrations to Ambient Air Standards	37	
4.3	Analysis at Class I Areas and other Areas	37	
4.4	Visibility Analysis	37	
4.5	Sulfur and Nitrogen Deposition Analysis	39	
5.	Modeling Results for Colorado	42	
5.1	Colorado	42	

5.1.1	Ambient Air Quality Estimates from Photochemical Modeling	42
5.1.1.1	Ozone NAAQS Analysis	42
5.1.1.2	NO <sub>2</sub> NAAQS Analysis	47
5.1.1.3	PM <sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS Analysis	53
5.1.1.4	PM <sub>10</sub> NAAQS Analysis	62
5.1.1.5	SO <sub>2</sub> NAAQS Analysis	69
5.1.1.6	CO NAAQS Analysis	77
5.1.2	Atmospheric Deposition Estimates from Photochemical Modeling	79
5.1.2.1	Nitrogen Deposition	79
5.1.2.2	Sulfur Deposition	84
5.1.3	Visibility Impacts Estimates from Photochemical Modeling	88
6.	References	91

#### **APPENDICES**

Appendix A: Oil and Gas Emissions Inventory Supporting Information Appendix B: Modeling Results Spatial Plots

#### **TABLE OF TABLES**

Table 1.1-1.	National ambient air quality standards.	7
Table 2.1-1.	Projection parameters for the modeling domains.	9
Table 2.1-2.	Grid definitions for modeling domains.	
Table 2.2-1.	Vertical domain definition of the 35 layers shared by the WRF and	
	CAMx models.	11
Table 2.3-1.	CAMx modeling configuration.	13
Table 2.5-1.	List of Class I areas selected for impact analysis in CO analysis area.	15
Table 2.5-2.	List of Class I areas selected for impact analysis in MT analysis area.	16
Table 2.5-3.	List of Class I areas selected for impact analysis in NM analysis area	. 18
Table 2.5-4.	List of Class I areas selected for impact analysis in ND analysis area.	. 19
Table 2.5-5.	List of Class I areas selected for impact analysis in SD analysis area.	20
Table 2.5-6.	List of Class I areas selected for impact analysis in UT analysis area.	21
Table 2.5-7.	List of Class I areas selected for impact analysis in WY analysis area	. 22
Table 3.1-1.	List of coal mines in North Dakota included in modeling and projecte	d
	federal, non-federal, and total coal production in circa 2032.	24
Table 3.1-2.	List of coal mines in Montana included in modeling and projected	
	federal, non-federal, and total coal production in circa 2032.	24
Table 3.1-3.	List of coal mines in Wyoming included in modeling and projected	
	federal, non-federal, and total coal production in circa 2032.	25
Table 3.1-4.	List of coal mines in Colorado included in modeling and projected	
	federal, non-federal, and total coal production in circa 2032.	26
Table 3.1-5.	List of coal mines in New Mexico included in modeling and projected	
	federal, non-federal, and total coal production in circa 2032.	26
Table 3.1-6.	List of coal mines in Utah included in modeling and projected federa	Ι,
	non-federal, and total coal production in circa 2032.	26
Table 3.2-1.	List of Coal-fired Electrical Generating Units included in the 2032	
	modeling.	27
Table 3.3-1.	Source classification codes for coal combustion sources other than	
	EGUs included in the "Other Coal Combustion" source apportionmen	t
	group for modeling.	28

Table 4.1-1.	CAMx source apportionment groups.	36
Table 4.5-1.	Nitrogen deposition critical loads (CL) at areas of interest. All critical	
	loads values are for the herbaceous plants and shrubs ecosystem	
	except those noted in the footnotes.	40
Table 5.1-1.	Modeled maximum 8-hour ozone cumulative concentrations and	
	corresponding contributions by emissions group at areas of interest i	n
	2032 (standard = 70 ppb).	45
Table 5.1-2.	Modeled maximum 8-hour ozone concentrations across areas of	
	interest for each emissions group in 2032 (standard = 70 ppb).	46
Table 5.1-3.	Maximum 8 <sup>th</sup> highest daily NO <sub>2</sub> cumulative concentrations and	
	corresponding contributions by emissions group at areas of interest	
	(standard = 100 ppb).	51
Table 5.1-4.	Maximum 8 <sup>th</sup> highest daily NO <sub>2</sub> cumulative concentrations across are	as
	of interest for each emissions group (standard = 100 ppb)	52
Table 5.1-5.	Maximum 8 <sup>th</sup> highest daily PM <sub>2.5</sub> cumulative concentrations and	
	corresponding contributions by emissions group at areas of interest	
	(standard = $35 \ \mu g/m^3$ ).	56
Table 5.1-6.	Maximum 8 <sup>th</sup> highest daily PM <sub>2.5</sub> concentrations across areas of	
	interest for each emissions group (standard = $35 \ \mu g/m^3$ ).	57
Table 5.1-7.	Maximum annual PM <sub>2.5</sub> cumulative concentrations and corresponding	I
	contributions by emissions group at areas of interest (standard = $12$	
	μg/m <sup>3</sup> ).	60
Table 5.1-8.	Maximum annual PM <sub>2.5</sub> concentrations across areas of interest for ear	ch
	emissions group (standard = $12 \mu g/m^3$ ).	61
Table 5.1-9.	Maximum 2 <sup>nd</sup> highest daily PM <sub>10</sub> cumulative concentrations and	
	corresponding contributions by emissions group at areas of interest	
	$(standard = 150 \ \mu g/m^3).$	67
Table 5.1-10.	Maximum 2 <sup>nd</sup> highest daily PM <sub>10</sub> concentrations across areas of intere-	est
	for each emissions group (standard = $150 \ \mu g/m^3$ ).	68
Table 5.1-11.	Maximum 1-hour SO <sub>2</sub> cumulative concentrations and corresponding	
	contributions by emissions group at areas of interest (standard = $75$	
	ppb).	71
Table 5.1-12.	Maximum 1-hour SO <sub>2</sub> cumulative concentrations across areas of	
	interest for each emissions group (standard = 75 ppb).	72
Table 5.1-13.	Maximum 3-Hour SO <sub>2</sub> cumulative concentrations and corresponding	
	contributions by emissions group at areas of interest (standard = $0.5$	5
	ppm). Values shown are in ppb.	75
Table 5.1-14.	Maximum 3-Hour SO <sub>2</sub> cumulative concentrations across areas of	
	interest for each emissions group (standard = $0.5$ ppm). Values show	wn
	are in ppb.	76
Table 5.1-15.	Maximum CO cumulative concentrations at areas of interest(ppm).	78
Table 5.1-16.	Modeled average annual nitrogen deposition (kg N/ha-yr) and	
	corresponding contributions by emissions group at areas of interest.	82
Table 5.1-17.	Modeled maximum annual nitrogen deposition (kg N/ha-yr) and	
	corresponding contributions by emissions group at areas of interest.	83
Table 5.1-18.	Modeled average annual sulfur deposition (kg S/ha-yr) and	
	corresponding contributions by emissions group at areas of interest.	86
Table 5.1-19.	Modeled maximum annual sulfur deposition (kg S/ha-yr) and	
	corresponding contributions by emissions group at areas of interest.	87

Table 5.1-20. Future (circa 2032) cumulative visibility impacts to the 20 percent clearest and most impaired days in deciview and corresponding light				
extinction.	88			
Table 5.1-21. Modeled cumulative light extinction (Mm <sup>-1</sup> ) and corresponding				
contributions by emissions group at areas of interest for the 20				
percent clearest days.	89			
Table 5.1-22. Modeled cumulative light extinction (Mm <sup>-1</sup> ) and corresponding				
contributions by emissions group at areas of interest for the 20 most	:			
impaired days.	90			
Appendix A - Table 1. Modeled oil and gas activity by mineral designation for eac	:h			
state: circa 2032	93			
Appendix A - Table 2. Modeled federal existing and new oil and gas activity for				
each state: circa 2032.	94			
Appendix A - Table 3. Scaling parameter for nonpoint oil and gas source				
categories.	95			
Appendix A - Table 4. Colorado (CO) modeled oil and gas emissions (short				
tons/year) in circa 2032 by mineral designation.	96			
Appendix A - Table 5. Modeled circa 2032 (new plus existing) oil and gas				
emissions by state and mineral designation.	106			
Appendix A - Table 6. Modeled circa 2032 "new" oil and gas emissions: federal				
(excluding Tribal), Tribal, and combined.	107			

### **TABLE OF FIGURES**

Figure 2.1-1.	Western US 12 km horizontal resolution modeling domain used in the	he
	BLM circa 2032 photochemical modeling.	10
Figure 2.5-1.	Overview of all air quality analysis areas	14
Figure 2.5-2.	Colorado analysis area for air quality impact analysis	15
Figure 2.5-3.	Montana analysis area for air quality impact analysis	16
Figure 2.5-4.	New Mexico analysis area for air quality impact analysis	17
Figure 2.5-5.	North Dakota analysis area for air quality impact analysis	19
Figure 2.5-6.	South Dakota analysis area for air quality impact analysis	20
Figure 2.5-7.	Utah analysis area for air quality impact analysis	21
Figure 2.5-8.	Wyoming analysis area for air quality impact analysis	22
Figure 4.1-1.	Source Apportionment regions reported in analysis	37
Figure 5.1-1.	Modeled cumulative 4 <sup>th</sup> highest daily maximum 8-hour ozone in	
	Colorado	43
Figure 5.1-2.	Modeled cumulative 8th highest daily maximum NO2 concentrations	in
	Colorado	48
Figure 5.1-3.	Modeled $8^{th}$ highest daily maximum NO <sub>2</sub> contribution from non-feder	ral
	oil and gas development in Colorado.	50
Figure 5.1-4.	Modeled cumulative $8^{th}$ highest daily $PM_{2.5}$ in Colorado and	
	corresponding contribution from wildfire emissions.	55
Figure 5.1-5.	Modeled cumulative 2 <sup>nd</sup> highest daily PM <sub>10</sub> concentrations in Colorad	063
Figure 5.1-6.	Modeled $2^{nd}$ highest daily average $PM_{10}$ contribution from natural	
	sources in Colorado.	64
Figure 5.1-7.	Modeled 2 <sup>nd</sup> highest daily average PM <sub>10</sub> contribution from non-federa	al
	oil and gas source in Colorado.	66

Figure 5.1-8. Modeled cumulative annual nitrogen deposition (kg N/ha-yr) in	
Colorado	79
Figure 5.1-9. Modeled cumulative annual sulfur deposition (kg S/ha-yr) in Colorad	o.84
Appendix A - Figure 1. Modeled circa 2032 active oil wells in the selected states i	for
Federal (excluding Tribal; left), Non-Federal (middle), and Tribal	
(right) mineral estate (Source: Data from BLM).	97
Appendix A - Figure 2. Modeled circa 2032 active gas wells in the selected states	
for Federal (excluding Tribal; left), Non-Federal (middle), and Tribal	
(right) mineral estate (Source: Data from BLM).	98
Appendix A - Figure 3. Modeled circa 2032 active CBM wells in the selected state	S
for Federal (excluding Tribal; left), Non-Federal (middle), and Tribal	
(right) mineral estate (Source: Data from BLM).	99
Appendix A - Figure 4. Modeled circa 2032 drilled wells in the selected states for	
Federal (excluding Tribal; left), Non-Federal (middle), and Tribal	
(right) mineral estate (Source: Data from BLM).	100
Appendix A - Figure 5. Modeled circa 2032 gas production from oil wells in the	
selected states for Federal (excluding Tribal; left), Non-Federal	
(middle), and Tribal (right) mineral estate (Source: Data from BLM).	101
Appendix A - Figure 6. Modeled circa 2032 gas production from gas wells in the	
selected states for Federal (excluding Tribal; left), Non-Federal	
(middle), and Tribal (right) mineral estate (Source: Data from BLM).	102
Appendix A - Figure 7. Modeled circa 2032 gas production from CBM wells in the	
selected states for Federal (excluding Tribal; left), Non-Federal	
(middle), and Tribal (right) mineral estate (Source: Data from BLM).	103
Appendix A - Figure 8. Modeled circa 2032 oil production from oil wells in the	
selected states for Federal (excluding Tribal; left), Non-Federal	
(middle), and Tribal (right) mineral estate (Source: Data from BLM).	104
Appendix A - Figure 9. Modeled circa 2032 oil production from gas wells in the	
selected states for Federal (excluding Tribal; left), Non-Federal	
(middle), and Tribal (right) mineral estate (Source: Data from BLM).	105

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

The United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management (BLM) has developed a photochemical modeling platform to assess the impacts of oil and gas development and coal production and other cumulative sources on air quality and air quality related values (AQRV) in BLM-administered lands. The modeling platform developed for circa 2032 provides vital information to guide the management of public lands and interests in the US intermountain west states. The modeling results will inform BLM decisions that may impact key features related to air resources in BLM-administered lands and have special air quality protections under federal law. These include national parks and wilderness areas designated as the mandatory federal Class I areas under the federal Clean Air Act (CAA) and other areas re-designated as Class I at the request of a state or Indian Tribe.

Regional air quality is assessed by comparing the concentrations of air pollutants in the atmosphere to regulatory standards and other nonregulatory thresholds that are protective of human health and the environment. In addition to criteria pollutants, this study discloses impacts to AQRV (visibility and atmospheric deposition), considered important by federal Land Managers. The potential impacts are estimated using the Comprehensive Air Quality Model with Extensions (CAMx, 2022), a well-established photochemical grid model. CAMx is a state-of-the-science photochemical grid model with a "one-atmosphere" treatment of tropospheric air pollution (ozone, particulates, and precursors) over spatial scales ranging from neighborhoods to continents. CAMx has been used to analyze air quality impacts in other modeling studies in the U.S., including State Implementation Plans (SIPs) and other actions related to EISs by BLM and other agencies under NEPA and programmatic NEPA assessments, and by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to support federal rulemaking. CAMx also implements Ozone and Particulate Source Apportionment Technology (OSAT/PSAT) which efficiently allows the model to track emission contributions to predicted ozone and particulate matter (PM) species concentrations by source region and category.

The photochemical modeling was conducted using a scenario that included coal, oil and gas development, natural and other anthropogenic emissions, representative of the cumulative sources around the year 2032. The modeling builds upon a previously vetted modeling database developed by the United States Environmental Protection Agency. Details of the modeling setup and emissions are provided in Sections 3 and 4.

#### 1.1 Air Quality Standards and Air Quality Related Values

The EPA has defined National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for six Criteria Air Pollutants (CAPs): particulate matter (PM), sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>), nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>), ozone (O<sub>3</sub>), carbon monoxide (CO), and lead (Pb) (40 CFR 50). The NAAQS for PM are defined separately for coarse particles (diameters less than 10 micrometers [PM<sub>10</sub>]) and fine particles (diameters less than 2.5 micrometers [PM<sub>2.5</sub>]). The standard for NO<sub>2</sub> is designed to account for both nitric oxide (NO) and NO<sub>2</sub>, which are referred to collectively as nitrogen oxides (NOx) (EPA 2011). The NAAQS include primary standards that are protective of public health, including the health of sensitive segments of the population, and secondary standards that are protective of public welfare, such as protection against visibility impairment and damage to animals, vegetation, crops, and buildings. As shown in Table 1.1-1, the NAAQS averaging period for several pollutants is based on measurements over 3 years; since photochemical modeling is performed in this study for one year, the modeling analysis provides an approximate representation of NAAQS impacts based on best available science and data.

Pollutant	Averaging Period	National Ambient Air Quality Standards <sup>1</sup>
O <sub>3</sub>	8 hours	0.70 ppm <sup>2</sup>
NO <sub>2</sub>	1 hour	100 ppb <sup>3</sup>
NO <sub>2</sub>	Annual	53 ppb
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	24 hours	35 <sup>4</sup> μg/m <sup>3</sup>
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	Annual	12.0 <sup>5</sup> μg/m <sup>3</sup>
PM <sub>10</sub>	24 hours	150 <sup>6</sup> μg/m <sup>3</sup>
SO <sub>2</sub>	1 hour	75 ppb <sup>7</sup>
SO <sub>2</sub>	3 hours	0.5 ppm <sup>8</sup>
СО	1 hour	35 ppm <sup>8</sup>
СО	8 hours	9 ppm <sup>8</sup>
Pb	3 months	0.15 <sup>9</sup> μg/m <sup>3</sup>

#### Table 1.1-1. National ambient air quality standards.

Source: 40 CFR 50; NDCC 33.1-15-02

 $^{1}\mu$ g/m3 = micrograms per cubic meter of air; ppb = parts per billion by volume; ppm = parts per million by volume; N/A = not applicable

<sup>2</sup>Annual 4th highest daily maximum 8-hour average concentration, averaged over 3 years

<sup>3</sup>98th percentile (8th highest) of 1-hour daily maximum concentrations, averaged over 3 years <sup>4</sup>98th percentile, averaged over 3 years.

<sup>5</sup>Annual mean, averaged over 3 years.

<sup>6</sup>Not to be exceeded more than once per year on average over 3 years

<sup>7</sup>99th percentile (4th highest) of 1-hour daily maximum concentrations, averaged over 3 years

<sup>8</sup>Not to be exceeded more than once per year.

<sup>9</sup>Maximum 3-month rolling arithmetic mean within a 3-year period

AQRVs are resources that may be adversely affected by changes in air quality. Federal land managers are responsible for protecting AQRVs in Class I areas. FLAG provided recommendations for evaluating the effects of air emissions from new or modified sources on AQRVs (FLAG 2010). The FLAG guidance is primarily meant to evaluate the impacts of individual projects and using it for this study is for information purposes only and does not have a regulatory implication. The condition of AQRVs in Class I areas is an indicator of local and long-range air quality impacts in this study because these areas could be affected by local and distant emission sources.

Visibility is the "degree of perceived clarity when viewing objects at a distance" (42 CFR 51.301). Visibility impairment is caused by the absorption or scattering of light by small particles or gases emitted from both natural and human sources. Atmospheric deposition is the process by which air pollutants are removed from the atmosphere and transferred to the earth's surface on, for example, buildings, waterbodies, soil, and vegetation. Deposition can occur both in the presence and absence of precipitation (referred to as wet deposition and dry deposition). It has widespread impacts that can occur far from the source of pollutants. There are no federal standards for deposition, but critical loads are often used as indicators of potential cumulative impacts from atmospheric deposition at Class I areas. Critical loads represent the total level of atmospheric deposition below which no harmful effects on an ecosystem are expected.

#### 1.2 Document Outline

Section 2 describes the regional photochemical modeling approach including the modeling configuration and inputs to the model. Section 3 describes the emissions modeled for each source sector. Section 4 provides the photochemical modeling approach and the source apportionment methodology. The photochemical modeling results including the estimated impacts for air quality and air quality related values for the circa 2032 modeling are presented in Section 5.

### 2. PHOTOCHEMICAL MODELING OVERVIEW

The BLM circa 2032 photochemical modeling used an available dataset developed by the EPA as the basis for this study (EPA2016v2<sup>1,2</sup>). The EPA2016v2 modeling platform was developed by the EPA and has been used extensively to support the Good Neighbor Plan for 2015 Ozone NAAQS<sup>3</sup> by EPA as well as other state and federal agencies for conducting several air quality analyses. The platform is supplemented with additional oil and gas development and coal mining data provided by the BLM. The following sections give more detail on how the modeling configuration, ancillary inputs, and emission inputs were adapted from the EPA modeling platform for this study.

#### 2.1 Modeling Domains

#### 2.1.1 Horizontal Domain

The BLM circa 2032 modeling was performed using a single modeling I domain with a horizontal resolution of 12 km. An ancillary simulation was performed using the EPA 36US3 36 km modeling domain to provide lateral boundary concentrations to the BLM 12 km domain. The 36 km resolution domain covers the continental United States (CONUS) and is typically used by Regional Planning Organizations (RPOs) to set national boundary conditions for regional modeling. These domains are based on a Lambert Conic Conformal (LCC) map projection with parameters specified in Table 2.1-1 and Table 2.1-2. Figure 2.1-1 shows the spatial extent of the standalone 12km modeling I domain used for the BLM circa 2032 modeling. Several subsets of the 12km domain were defined as analysis areas and they are presented in further detail in Section 2.5.

Parameter	Value
Projection	Lambert-Conformal Conic
1 <sup>st</sup> True Latitude	33 degrees N
2 <sup>nd</sup> True Latitude	45 degrees N
Central Longitude	97 degrees W
Central Latitude	40 degrees N

#### Table 2.1-1. Projection parameters for the modeling domains.

#### Table 2.1-2. Grid definitions for modeling domains.

Grid	Origin (Southwest) (km)* Number of grid cells in X direction (NX)		Number of grid cells in Y direction (NY)	
36 km (36US3)	(-2952, -2772)	172	148	
12 km Western US	(-2376, -1224)	225	213	

\*Coordinates shown are relative to the central longitude and latitude in Table 2.1-1

<sup>3</sup> EPA Good Neighbor Plan for 2015 ozone NAAQS. Accessed in June 2023: <u>https://www.epa.gov/csapr/good-neighbor-plan-2015ozone-naags</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>EPA 2016v2 Modeling platform. Accessed in June 2023: <u>https://www.epa.gov/air-emissions-modeling/2016v2-platform</u> <sup>2</sup>EPA 2016v2 Technical Support Document. Accessed in June 2023: <u>https://www.epa.gov/air-emissions-modeling/2016-version-2-</u> <u>technical-support-document</u>



Figure 2.1-1. Western US 12 km horizontal resolution modeling domain used in the BLM circa 2032 photochemical modeling.

#### 2.1.2 Vertical Domain

The vertical layer structure is identical to the EPA 2016v2 modeling platform. The CAMx modeling is performed with all 35 vertical layers in the meteorological model with no layer collapsing. Table 2.2-1 shows this vertical domain structure.

#### 2.2 Model Inputs

This section describes the model inputs except for the emission inputs which are described in Chapter 3.

#### 2.2.1 Meteorology

The meteorological inputs to CAMx were previously developed by the EPA for the 2016v2 modeling platform<sup>4</sup> using the Weather Research and Forecasting model (WRF) version 3.8 (Skamarock et al., 2008). EPA developed meteorological data for the year 2016 for both a 36 km and 12 km modeling domains. This meteorological data has been used extensively in support of EPA's Good Neighbor Plan for 2015 Ozone NAAQS. Ramboll requested and obtained from the EPA a copy of the WRF dataset used in this study.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Air Quality Modeling for the 2016v2 Emissions Platform TSD. Accessed on June 2023:

https://gaftp.epa.gov/Air/aqmg/2016v2\_Platform\_Modeling\_Data/AQ%20Modeling%20TSD\_2016v2%20Platform\_rev\_2022\_0119 a.pdf

WRF/CAMx Model Laver Structure					
Layer Sigma Pressure Height Thickness (mb) (m) (m)					
35	0.0000	50.00	20576	4279	
34	0.0500	98.16	16297	2532	
33	0.1000	146.33	13766	1804	
32	0.1500	194.49	11961	1406	
31	0.2000	242.65	10555	1184	
30	0.2500	290.81	9372	1034	
29	0.3000	338.98	8337	921	
28	0.3500	387.14	7416	833	
27	0.4000	435.30	6583	761	
26	0.4500	483.46	5822	702	
25	0.5000	531.62	5120	652	
24	0.5500	579.79	4467	610	
23	0.6000	627.95	3857	573	
22	0.6500	676.11	3284	541	
21	0.7000	724.27	2743	412	
20	0.7400	762.80	2331	298	
19	0.7700	791.70	2033	290	
18	0.8000	820.60	1744	189	
17	0.8200	839.86	1555	185	
16	0.8400	859.13	1370	182	
15	0.8600	878.40	1188	178	
14	0.8800	897.66	1010	175	
13	0.9000	916.92	835	87	
12	0.9100	926.56	748	86	
11	0.9200	936.19	662	85	
10	0.9300	945.82	577	84	
9	0.9400	955.46	493	84	
8	0.9500	965.09	409	83	
7	0.9600	974.72	326	82	
6	0.9700	984.35	243	82	
5	0.9800	993.99	162	41	
4	0.9850	998.80	121	41	
3	0.9900	1003.62	81	40	
2	0.9950	1008.43	40	20	
1	0.9975	1010.84	20	20	
Surface	1.0000	1013.25	0		

# Table 2.2-1.Vertical domain definition of the 35 layers shared by the WRF and CAMx<br/>models.

#### 2.2.2 Initial and Boundary Conditions

EPA also developed lateral boundary and initial concentrations for the 36 km modeling domain as part of the 2016v2 modeling platform. These boundary conditions (BC) and initial conditions (IC) were derived from the Hemispheric version of the Community Multi-scale Air Quality Model (H-CMAQ) version 3.1.1—a three-dimensional global atmospheric chemistry model. The BC derived from H-CMAQ were used to generate three-dimensional concentrations for the ancillary one-way 36 km CAMx simulation. These air quality concentrations provide the boundary and initial concentrations for the Western US 12km simulations. CAMx was initialized for ten days (spin-up period) to remove the effects of the initial concentrations.

#### 2.2.3 Ancillary Inputs

Additional data used in the air quality modeling include ozone column data from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) which continues the Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer (TOMS) record for total ozone and other atmospheric parameters related to ozone chemistry (OMI officially replaced the TOMS ozone column satellite data on January 1, 2006). OMI data are available every 24-hours and are obtained from the TOMS ftp site. The CAMx O3MAPprogram reads the OMI ozone column text file data, interpolates to fill gaps and generates daily gridded ozone column input data. The OMI data were also used in the CAMx (TUV) radiation models to calculate photolysis rates.

#### 2.3 Photochemical Modeling Configuration

The CAMx photochemical grid model configuration and science options used were based on the EPA 2016v2 modeling platform setup and summarized in Table 2.3-1. The bi-directional flux for in-line deposition was turned off in the BLM circa 2032 modeling because EPA noted that using bi-directional flux and a value of 1 for rescaling led to substantial nitrate over-predictions. EPA turned off bi-directional flux in the 2016 modeling platform.<sup>5</sup>

Science Options	САМх	Comment
Model Version	CAMx v7.20	
Horizontal Grid Mesh	36 and 12 km	
36 km grid	172 x 148 cells	EPA 2016v2 36US3 domain
12 km grid	225 x 213 cells	12 km Western US
Vertical Grid Mesh	35 vertical layers, defined by meteorological model	Layer 1 thickness 20 m. Model top at ~20 km above ground level
Grid Interaction	One-way grid nesting	
Initial Conditions	10-day model spin-up	
Boundary Conditions	H-CMAQ for 36 km domain 36 km CAMx 3D for 12 km domain	36km BC same as 2016v2 modeling platform
Sub-grid-scale Plumes	No Plume-in-Grid (PiG)	Large source apportionment applications cannot support added computational burden of PiG
Gas Phase Chemistry	Carbon Bond 6 mechanism release 5 (CB6r5)	Yarwood et al., (2010) chemical reactions and kinetic rates with halogen chemistry
Meteorological Processor	WRFCAMx	Converts WRF meteorology to CAMx-ready inputs
Horizontal Diffusion	Spatially varying	K-theory with K <sub>h</sub> grid size dependence
Vertical Diffusion	CMAQ-like diffusivity (K <sub>z</sub> )	Minimum $K_z 0.1$ to 1.0 m <sup>2</sup> /s
Diffusivity Lower Limit	$K_z \min = 0.1 \text{ to } 1.0 \text{ m}^2/\text{s or}$ 2.0 m <sup>2</sup> /s	Depends on urban land use fraction
Deposition Schemes		
Dry Deposition	Zhang dry deposition scheme	Zhang et. al, 2001; 2003
Bidirectional model	Turned off	Same as EPA 2016v2 modeling platform
Wet Deposition	CAMx-specific formulation	Rain, snow and graupel
Numerical Methods		
Gas Phase Chemistry Solver	Euler Backward Iterative (EBI)	EBI fast and accurate solver
Vertical Advection Scheme	Implicit scheme with vertical velocity update	Emery et al., (2011)
Horizontal Advection Scheme	Piecewise Parabolic Method (PPM) scheme	Colella and Woodward (1984)
Integration Time Step	Wind speed dependent	5 min (12 km), 5-15 min (36 km)

#### Table 2.3-1. CAMx modeling configuration.

#### 2.4 Model Performance Evaluation

The CAMx modeling system in this study has been previously evaluated for a 2016 base case simulation as part of EPA's Good Neighbor ozone rule. Results for this model performance evaluation are available as an appendix to the 2016v2 technical support document<sup>6</sup>.

#### 2.5 Analysis Areas

Air quality modeling results are disclosed in separate analysis areas, each including the state of interest and an additional area within approximately 60 kilometers (km) of the state. The analysis areas are defined as subdomains of the 12 km modeling domain and shown in Figure 2.5-1.



#### Figure 2.5-1. Overview of all air quality analysis areas

The maximum impacts to the NAAQS are assessed over each analysis areas and reported accordingly. Additionally, this assessment considers potential impacts to the lands with special air quality protections under federal law. These include national parks and wilderness areas designated as mandatory federal Class I areas under the federal Clean Air Act (CAA) and other areas re-designated as Class I at the request of a state or Indian Tribe. Tribal Class I areas are authorized in CAA Section 164(c) (EPA 2013). Federal Class I areas are listed in 40 CFR 81.400–81.437 and tribal Class I areas are listed by the National Park Service (NPS 2018). Individual sensitive areas for each state are listed below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Air Quality Modeling for the 2016v2 Emissions Platform TSD. Accessed on June 2023:

https://gaftp.epa.gov/Air/aqmg/2016v2\_Platform\_Modeling\_Data/AQ%20Modeling%20TSD\_2016v2%20Platform\_rev\_2022\_0119 a.pdf

#### 2.5.1 Colorado Analysis Area

Colorado's analysis area is presented in Figure 2.5-2 and the Class I areas selected for impact analysis are listed in Table 2.5-1.



Figure 2.5-2. Colorado analysis area for air quality impact analysis

#### Table 2.5-1. List of Class I areas selected for impact analysis in CO analysis area.

Class I Area Name	Agency
Arches NP	NPS
Black Canyon of the Gunnison Wilderness	NPS
Canyonlands NP	NPS
Eagles Nest Wilderness	USFS
Flat Tops Wilderness	USFS
Great Sand Dunes Wilderness	NPS
La Garita Wilderness	USFS
Maroon Bells-Snowmass Wilderness	USFS
Mesa Verde NP	NPS
Mount Zirkel Wilderness	USFS
Rawah Wilderness	USFS

Class I Area Name	Agency
Rocky Mountain NP	NPS
San Pedro Parks Wilderness	USFS
Weminuche Wilderness	USFS
West Elk Wilderness	USFS
Wheeler Peak Wilderness	USFS

#### 2.5.2 Montana Analysis Area

Montana's analysis area is presented in Figure 2.5-3 and the Class I areas selected for impact analysis are listed in Table 2.5-2.



Figure 2.5-3. Montana analysis area for air quality impact analysis

Table 2.5-2. List of Cla	ss I areas selected	for impact anal	ysis in MT analysis area.
--------------------------	---------------------	-----------------	---------------------------

Class I Area Name	Agency
Anaconda Pintler Wilderness	USFS
Bob Marshall Wilderness	USFS
Cabinet Mountains Wilderness	USFS
Gates of the Mountains Wilderness	USFS
Glacier NP	NPS
Medicine Lake Wilderness	USFS
Mission Mountains Wilderness	USFS

Class I Area Name	Agency
North Absaroka Wilderness	USFS
Red Rock Lakes Wilderness	USFS
Sawtooth Wilderness	USFS
Scapegoat Wilderness	USFS
Selway-Bitterroot Wilderness	USFS
Theodore Roosevelt NP	NPS
UL Bend Wilderness	USFS
Yellowstone NP	NPS
Fort Peck Reservation	USFS
Northern Cheyenne Reservation	n/a
Flathead Reservation	n/a

#### 2.5.3 New Mexico Analysis Area

New Mexico's analysis area is presented in Figure 2.5-4 and the Class I areas selected for impact analysis are listed in Table 2.5-3.



Figure 2.5-4. New Mexico analysis area for air quality impact analysis

Class I Area Name	Agency
Bandelier Wilderness	NPS
Bosque del Apache (Chupadera Unit)	USFWS
Bosque del Apache (Indian Well Unit)	USFWS
Bosque del Apache (Little San Pascual Unit)	USFS
Carlsbad Caverns NP	NPS
Chiricahua NM Wilderness-Designated Wilderness	NPS
Chiricahua Wilderness	USFS
Gila Wilderness	USFS
Great Sand Dunes Wilderness-nps	USFS
Guadalupe Mountains NP	NPS
La Garita Wilderness	USFS
Mesa Verde NP	NPS
Mount Baldy Wilderness	USFS
Pecos Wilderness	USFS
Petrified Forest NP	NPS
Salt Creek Wilderness	USFWS
San Pedro Parks Wilderness	USFS
Weminuche Wilderness	USFS
Wheeler Peak Wilderness	USFS
White Mountain Wilderness	USFS

#### Table 2.5-3. List of Class I areas selected for impact analysis in NM analysis area.

#### 2.5.4 North Dakota Analysis Area

North Dakota's analysis area is presented in Figure 2.5-5 and the Class I areas selected for impact analysis are listed in Table 2.5-4.



Figure 2.5-5. North Dakota analysis area for air quality impact analysis

#### Table 2.5-4. List of Class I areas selected for impact analysis in ND analysis area.

Class I Area Name	Agency
Fort Berthold Reservation	n/a
Fort Peck Reservation	n/a
Lostwood Wilderness	USFWS
Medicine Lake Wilderness	USFWS
Theodore Roosevelt NP	NPS

#### 2.5.5 South Dakota Analysis Area

South Dakota's analysis area is presented in Figure 2.5-6 and the Class I areas selected for impact analysis are listed in Table 2.5-5.



Figure 2.5-6. South Dakota analysis area for air quality impact analysis

 Table 2.5-5.
 List of Class I areas selected for impact analysis in SD analysis area.

Class I Area Name	Agency
Badlands/Sage Creek Wilderness 1	NPS
Badlands/Sage Creek Wilderness 2	NPS
Wind Cave National Park	NPS

#### 2.5.6 Utah Analysis Area

Utah's analysis area is presented in Figure 2.5-7 and the Class I areas selected for impact analysis are listed in Table 2.5-6.



Figure 2.5-7. Utah analysis area for air quality impact analysis

 Table 2.5-6.
 List of Class I areas selected for impact analysis in UT analysis area.

Class I Area Name	Agency
Arches NP	NPS
Bryce Canyon NP	NPS
Canyonlands NP	NPS
Capitol Reef NP	NPS
Grand Canyon NP	NPS
Jarbidge Wilderness	USFS
Zion NP	NPS

#### 2.5.7 Wyoming Analysis Area

Wyoming's analysis area is presented in Figure 2.5-8 and the Class I areas selected for impact analysis are listed in Table 2.5-7.



Figure 2.5-8. Wyoming analysis area for air quality impact analysis

#### Table 2.5-7. List of Class I areas selected for impact analysis in WY analysis area.

Class I Area Name	Agency
Bridger Wilderness	USFS
Fitzpatrick Wilderness	USFS
Grand Teton NP	NPS
Mount Zirkel Wilderness	USFS
North Absaroka Wilderness	USFS
Rawah Wilderness	USFS
Red Rock Lakes Wilderness	USFS
Rocky Mountain NP	USFS
Teton Wilderness	USFS
Washakie Wilderness	USFS
Wind Cave National Park	NPS
Yellowstone NP	NPS
Northern Cheyenne Reservation	n/a

### 3. EMISSIONS APPLIED IN PHOTOCHEMICAL MODELING

This section describes the emission inputs for the source apportionment modeling performed for the BLM circa 2032 Air Quality Modeling.

#### 3.1 Coal Mining Emissions Inventory

Emissions inventories of criteria air pollutants and precursors (NOx, CO,  $PM_{2.5}$ ,  $PM_{10}$ , SO<sub>2</sub>, and VOC) were prepared for coal mining that included emissions from stationary sources, non-road equipment and blasting, fugitive dust emissions from earth moving, coal processing and vehicle travel on unpaved roads.

Estimates of historical emissions of criteria air pollutants and precursors were compiled for each mine from previous NEPA studies (e.g., Environmental Assessments) and air quality permitting databases.<sup>7</sup> Using these historical data as appropriate, emissions intensities were estimated for each mine (tons emissions of pollutant per ton of coal) for all modeled pollutants. In the absence of an existing emissions inventory for a given mine, the maximum emissions intensities for all mines of the same type (e.g., surface mine) in that state were used. The mine-specific emission intensities were then multiplied by the projected coal production rate at each mine to estimate emissions for the future year modeling scenario. Forecasts of coal production at each mine were provided by the BLM. The forecasts of coal production rates in the projected emissions inventory is uncertain as well and would vary with actual coal production rates in the future.

The modeled production rates at each mine are discussed below; the detailed emissions inventories for these mines are available separately in spreadsheets.

#### 3.1.1.1 Coal Mining Emissions in North Dakota

There are currently four operating coal mines that produce thermal coal in North Dakota: BNI Center Mine, Coyote Creek Mine, Falkirk Mine, and Freedom Mine. All are surface mines that produce lignite and are located within three contiguous counties (i.e., Mercer, McLean, and Oliver) (BLM, 2022). Beulah Mine ceased mining coal in February 2022 and is undergoing reclamation (BLM, 2022), thus, it was not included in the modeling. The other four mines were included in the modeling.

Federal and non-federal coal production projections for North Dakota mines for circa 2032 used in the modeling were provided by the BLM Montana/Dakotas State Office. The projected production rates for North Dakota coal mines are provided in Table 3.1-1. The total federal and non-federal production rates are aligned with the BLM Reasonably Foreseeable Development (RFD) scenario of the BLM North Dakota Draft RMP/EIS (BLM 2022, 2023b) for the year 2032.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> For example, the Wyoming Department of Environmental Quality's IMPACT and Open Air System (https://deq.wyoming.gov/aqd/impact-and-open-air/)

Mine	Mine Type	FederalNon-federalProductionProduction(tons/year)(tons/year)		Total Production (tons/year)
BNI Center Mine	Surface	1,575,000	2,748,000	4,323,000
Coyote Creek Mine	Surface	259,000	2,241,000	2,500,000
Falkirk Mine	Surface	427,000	7,373,000	7,800,000
Freedom Mine	Surface	3,731,000	8,259,000	11,990,000
	Total	5,992,000	20,621,000	26,613,000

# Table 3.1-1.List of coal mines in North Dakota included in modeling and projected<br/>federal, non-federal, and total coal production in circa 2032.

Notes: Projected production rates for 2032 were provided by the BLM Montana/Dakotas State Office (email from J. Zeise of BLM on June 13, 2022). Note that this table contains only mines that are expected to be operational in 2032 per the information provided by BLM.

#### 3.1.1.2 Coal Mining Emissions in Montana and Wyoming

Historical emissions and coal production rates for mines in Montana and Wyoming were obtained from annual emissions inventory reports from the Montana Department of Environmental Quality (MT DEQ) and the Wyoming Department of Environmental Quality's IMPACT/Open Air System (https://openair.wyo.gov/), respectively. These emissions inventory reports do not include tailpipe emissions from nonroad equipment, and so mobile source emissions data from permit applications and NEPA studies was used, where available.

The federal and non-federal coal production projections used to develop the emissions inventories for Montana and Wyoming for 2032 were obtained from the BLM Montana/Dakotas State Office and BLM Wyoming High Plains District Office, respectively. The federal and non-federal coal mine production rates modeled for Montana and Wyoming in the modeling are provided in Table 3.1-2 and Table 3.1-3, respectively.

### Table 3.1-2.List of coal mines in Montana included in modeling and projected federal,<br/>non-federal, and total coal production in circa 2032.

Mine Mine Type		Federal Production (tons/year)	Non-federal Production (tons/year)	Total Production (tons/year)
Bull Mountains Mine	Underground	1,500,000	5,500,000	7,000,000
Rosebud Mine	Surface	3,500,000	3,500,000	7,000,000
Spring Creek Coal Company	Surface	8,400,000	3,600,000	12,000,000
	Total	13,400,000	12,600,000	26,000,000

Notes: Projected production rates for 2032 were provided by the BLM Montana/Dakotas State Office (email from J. Zeise of BLM on June 13, 2022). Note that this table contains only mines that are expected to be operational in 2032 per the information provided by BLM.

Mine	Mine Type	Federal Production (tons/year)	Non-federal Production (tons/year)	Total Production (tons/year)
Antelope Coal Mine	Surface	16,200,000	1,800,000	18,000,000
Black Butte and Leucite Hills	Surface	200,000	800,000	1,000,000
Black Thunder	Surface	22,770,000	2,530,000	25,300,000
Buckskin Mine	Surface	9,000,000	1,000,000	10,000,000
Caballo Mine	Surface	11,700,000	1,300,000	13,000,000
Coal Creek Mine	Surface	2,500,000	0	2,500,000
Cordero Rojo Mine	Surface	14,000,000	0	14,000,000
Dry Fork Mine	Surface	2,700,000	300,000	3,000,000
Eagle Butte Mine	Surface	18,000,000	0	18,000,000
Jim Bridger Mine	Surface	850,000	850,000	1,700,000
Kemmerer Mine	Surface	500,000	2,000,000	2,500,000
North Antelope Rochelle Mine	Surface	61,500,000	2,400,000	63,900,000
Rawhide Mine	Surface	8,800,000	0	8,800,000
Wyodak Mine	Surface	3,240,000	360,000	3,600,000
	Total	171,960,000	13,340,000	185,300,000

## Table 3.1-3.List of coal mines in Wyoming included in modeling and projected federal,<br/>non-federal, and total coal production in circa 2032.

Notes: Projected production rates for 2032 were provided by the BLM High Plains District Office (email from E. Vernon of BLM on May 9, 2022). Note that this table contains only mines that are expected to be operational in 2032 per the information provided by BLM.

#### 3.1.1.3 Coal Mining Emissions in Colorado, New Mexico, and Utah

Emissions from coal mining activities in Colorado, New Mexico, and Utah were estimated using previous NEPA studies (e.g., Environmental Assessments), air quality permitting databases, and prior BLM studies.

Emissions for Colorado mines were developed using decadal forecasts of total coal production from Stantec (2020) and additional data on active mines from BLM. These projections were provided for several multi-year periods starting in 2020, and the period 2030-2035 was used for Colorado. Updates were made by BLM to account for closures that were announced after the publication of the Stantec report. The emissions at each mine were allocated between federal and non-federal fractions using the federal fraction of production at each mine in 2019. This was estimated using the ratio of the federal production reported by the Office of Natural Resources Revenue (ONRR) and the total production (federal + non-federal) reported by the MSHA.

BLM provided the 2032 federal and non-federal coal production projections for the mines in New Mexico and Utah. Production from tribal estate in NM (specifically the Navajo Mine) is included under the federal category for modeling.

The federal and non-federal coal mine production rates modeled for Colorado, Utah, and New Mexico are shown in Table 3.1-4, Table 3.1-5, and Table 3.1-6, respectively.

# Table 3.1-4.List of coal mines in Colorado included in modeling and projected federal,<br/>non-federal, and total coal production in circa 2032.

Mine	Mine Type	Federal Production (tons/year)	Non-federal Production (tons/year)	Total Production (tons/year)
King II Mine	Underground	585,304	39,696	625,000
	Total	585,304	39,696	625,000

Notes: The production rates for 2032 are based on projections for 2030 to 2035 from Stantec (2020) with updates on more recent closures provided by BLM (emails from F. Cook of BLM on May 27, 2022, and July 7, 2022)

### Table 3.1-5.List of coal mines in New Mexico included in modeling and projected federal,<br/>non-federal, and total coal production in circa 2032.

Mine	Mine Type	Federal Production (tons/year)	Non-federal Production (tons/year)	Total Production (tons/year)
Navajo Mine	Surface	3,800,000	0	3,800,000
El Segundo Mine	Surface	0	2,588,411	2,588,411
	Total	3,800,000	2,588,411	6,388,411

Notes: The production rates for 2032 are based on projections for 2030 to 2035 from Stantec (2020) with updates on more recent closures provided by BLM (email from E. Vernon of BLM on May 9, 2022). Production from Tribal estate is included under federal.

## Table 3.1-6.List of coal mines in Utah included in modeling and projected federal, non-<br/>federal, and total coal production in circa 2032.

Mine	Mine Type	Federal Production (tons/year)	Non-federal Production (tons/year)	Total Production (tons/year)
Sufco	Underground	3,000,000	0	3,000,000
Skyline	Underground	1,260,000	2,000,000	3,260,000
Emery	Underground	0	750,000	750,000
Lila Canyon	Underground	150,000	2,500,000	2,650,000
Castle Valley	Underground	500,000	500,000	1,000,000
Fossil Rock	Underground	0	1,000,000	1,000,000
	Total	4,910,000	6,750,000	11,660,000

Notes: The production rates for 2032 are based on projections for 2030 to 2035 from Stantec (2020) with updates on more recent closures provided by BLM (email from E. Vernon of BLM on May 10, 2022)

#### 3.2 Coal-fired EGU Emissions Inventory

The coal-fired Electric Generating Units (EGUs) included in the circa 2032 modeling were selected following directions from BLM, other stakeholder inputs, and a literature review. The coal-fired EGU list from EPA's 2032 inventory was used as a starting point. This list was updated by first removing EGUs that had already retired in circa 2028 modeling, followed by incorporating stakeholder inputs, and reflecting changes based on a literature review. Table 3.2-1 provides the list of the coal-fired EGUs to be modeled in the circa 2032 scenario in the following western U.S. states: Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, North Dakota, Oregon, South Dakota, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming. Criteria air pollutant emissions for 2032 EGUs were obtained from the EPA 2032 inventory. EPA prepared the 2032 EGU inventory using the output of the Integrated Planning Model (IPM) model. The IPM model is a linear model that accounts for variables and information such as energy demand, planned unit retirements, and planned rules to forecast unit-level energy production and configurations.

State	Facility Name	Boilers
Arizona	CORONADO GENERATING PLANT	U1B, U2B
Arizona	TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER CO - SPRINGERVILLE	2, 4, TS3
California	Argus Cogen Plant	BLR25, BLR26
Montana	COLSTRIP STEAM ELECTRIC STATION	3, 4
Montana	ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER	U1
Nevada	TS POWER PLANT	1
New Mexico	Four Corners Power Plant	4, 5
North Dakota	Antelope Valley Station	B1, B2
North Dakota	Coyote Station	B1
North Dakota	Leland Olds Station	2
North Dakota	Milton R. Young Station	B1, B2
North Dakota	Spiritwood Station	1
South Dakota	Otter Tail Power Company	1
Utah	PacifiCorp- Hunter Power Plant	1, 2, 3
Utah	PacifiCorp- Huntington Power Plant	1, 2
Utah	Sunnyside Cogeneration Associates- Sunnyside Cogeneration Facility	1
Wyoming	Dry Fork Station	1
Wyoming	Jim Bridger Plant	BW73, BW74
Wyoming	Laramie River Station	1, 2, 3
Wyoming	Neil Simpson Two	1
Wyoming	WYGEN II	1
Wyoming	WYGEN III	1
Wyoming	WYGEN Station I	1
Wyoming	Wyodak Plant	BW91

#### Table 3.2-1. List of Coal-fired Electrical Generating Units included in the 2032 modeling.

# Emissions from Coal Combustion Sources other than EGUs

Emissions from coal combustion sources other than coal-fired EGUs for the 2032 modeling were obtained from the EPA 2032 inventory. These sources were identified by the source classification codes (SCC) listed in Table 0-1 and extracted from the 2016v2 2032 non-EGU stationary point source inventory.

# Table 0-1.Source classification codes for coal combustion sources other than EGUs<br/>included in the "Other Coal Combustion" source apportionment group for<br/>modeling.

Source classification codes (SCC) Description	SCC
Cement Manufacturing (Dry Process) Coal Kiln Feed Units	30500621
Cement Manufacturing (Wet Process) Coal Kiln Feed Units	30500721
Sodium Carbonate Manufacturing - Rotary Ore Calciner: Coal-fired	30102105
Iron Ore Processing Coal-fired Furnace	30302314, 30302357-62
Municipal Waste Incineration - Coal	50100115, 50100120, 50100135, 50100140, 50100158
Solid Waste Disposal - Industrial - Coal	50300137-43
Coal-fired External Combustion Boilers - Industrial	10200101, 10200104, 10200107, 10200117, 10200201-06, 10200210,10200212-13, 10200217-19, 10200221-26, 10200229, 10200300-07
Coal-fired External Combustion Boilers - Commercial/Institutional	10300101-03, 10300203, 10300205-09, 10300211, 10300214, 10300216-18, 10300221-26, 10300300, 10300305-09
Cement Manufacturing (Dry Process) Coal Kiln Feed Units	30500621
Cement Manufacturing (Wet Process) Coal Kiln Feed Units	30500721
Sodium Carbonate Manufacturing - Rotary Ore Calciner: Coal-fired	30102105
Iron Ore Processing Coal-fired Furnace	30302314, 30302357-62
Municipal Waste Incineration - Coal	50100115, 50100120, 50100135, 50100140, 50100158
Solid Waste Disposal - Industrial - Coal	50300137-43
Coal-fired External Combustion Boilers - Industrial	10200101, 10200104, 10200107, 10200117, 10200201-06, 10200210,10200212-13, 10200217-19, 10200221-26, 10200229, 10200300-07
Coal-fired External Combustion Boilers - Commercial/Institutional	10300101-03, 10300203, 10300205-09, 10300211, 10300214, 10300216-18, 10300221-26, 10300300, 10300305-09
Cement Manufacturing (Dry Process) Coal Kiln Feed Units	30500621
Cement Manufacturing (Wet Process) Coal Kiln Feed Units	30500721

#### 3.3 Oil and Gas Development Emissions

A circa 2032 forecast oil and gas (O&G) emission inventory was developed for several Intermountain West states. The basis of the forecast oil and gas emission inventory for nonpoint sources is (i) circa 2032 oil and gas activity estimates, and (ii) nonpoint well-site emissions and emission per unit of oil and gas activity from the future year WRAP Oil and Gas Working Group (OGWG) emission inventory (Grant et al., 2020)<sup>8</sup> or New Mexico Ozone Attainment Initiative Photochemical Modeling Study – Draft Final Air Quality Technical Support Document<sup>9</sup>. Point source emissions were taken from EPA's 2016v2 Modeling Platform<sup>10</sup> point sources emissions.

#### 3.3.1 Geographical Area and Temporal Scale

Emissions were estimated by county, and mineral estate (federal [excluding Tribal], Tribal, and non-federal) for Colorado, Montana, New Mexico, North Dakota, South Dakota, Utah, and Wyoming.

Annual O&G emissions were compiled for a circa 2032 future year.

#### 3.3.2 Sources and Pollutants

Emissions were estimated by County, and mineral estate (federal [excluding tribal], tribal, and nonfederal). The emissions inventory includes criteria air pollutants, volatile organic compounds (VOCs), hazardous air pollutants (HAPs) and greenhouse gases (GHGs). Inventoried criteria air pollutants include nitrogen oxides (NOx), sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>), carbon monoxide (CO), particulate matter less than or equal to 10 microns (PM<sub>10</sub>) and particulate matter less than or equal to 2.5 microns (PM<sub>2.5</sub>). Emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOC) are also included for use in photochemical grid modeling. Inventoried HAPs include those commonly included in oil and gas development studies, i.e., benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, n-hexane and formaldehyde. The GHGs inventoried include carbon dioxide, methane, and nitrous oxide. Annual oil and gas emissions were compiled for a circa 2032 future year.

The emission inventory documented herein includes wellsite, gathering, and processing subsectors. Emissions from well-site sources are classified as nonpoint sources and include exploration and production phase sources. The gathering and processing subsectors are collectively referred to as "midstream" sources; emissions from midstream sources are classified as point sources. The classification of well-site emissions as nonpoint and midstream emissions as point sources is consistent with oil and gas emission inventory classifications used in prior BLM studies such as the Colorado Air Resource Management Modeling Study (CARMMS)<sup>11</sup>.

#### 3.3.3 Approach

The circa 2032 oil and gas emission inventory was developed for nonpoint sources based on oil and gas activity and emissions per unit of oil and gas activity and for point sources based on 2016v2 2032 emissions for criteria air pollutants and GHGs. Speciation factors were applied to criteria air pollutant emission for HAPs. Circa 2032 nonpoint (well-site) source criteria air pollutant and GHG emissions were estimated as the product of 1) circa 2032 oil and gas activity forecasts and 2) future year WRAP/WAQS emission rates (i.e., emission factors). Circa 2032 nonpoint source HAPs emissions were estimated as the product of the 1) HAP to VOC weight ratio from the WRAP/WAQS modeling platform

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Grant, J., R. Parikh, A. Bar-Ilan, 2020. "Revised Final Report: 2028 Future Year Oil and Gas Emission Inventory for WESTAR-WRAP States - Scenario #1: Continuation of Historical Trends". Prepared for the Western Regional Air Partnership Oil and Gas Working Group. Prepared by Ramboll. October.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> https://www-archive.env.nm.gov/air-quality/wp-content/uploads/sites/2/2021/06/NM\_OAI\_2028\_AQTSD\_v8.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> https://www.epa.gov/air-emissions-modeling/2016v2-platform

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> <u>https://www.blm.gov/documents/colorado/public-room/data</u>

speciation profile associated with each SCC and 2) SCC-level VOC emissions. Circa 2032 point source criteria air pollutant and GHG emissions were assumed unchanged from EPA 2016v2 Modeling Platform estimates for 2032. Circa 2032 point source HAPs emissions were estimated by multiplying the 1) HAP to VOC weight ratio from the WRAP/WAQS modeling platform speciation profile associated with each SCC by 2) SCC-level VOC emissions.

#### Oil and Gas Activity

Oil and gas activity estimates were developed for Colorado, Montana, New Mexico, South Dakota, Utah, Wyoming (except the Newcastle Field Office) as described below.

- EIA 2022 Annual Energy Outlook (AEO2022)<sup>12</sup> oil and gas production estimates were disaggregated to state, basin, and well type based on 2032 oil and gas activity estimates from Ramboll (Ramboll, 2023)<sup>13</sup>.
- 2. Per BLM input<sup>14</sup> <sup>15</sup> <sup>16</sup> <sup>17</sup>, for each state, basin, and well type combination, oil and gas production were set at 1) an EIA AEO2022 forecast (e.g., reference, high or low) for circa 2032 or 2) at a production level specified by BLM.
- 3. Oil and gas production estimates were disaggregated to county and mineral designation based on Ramboll (2023) 2032 oil and gas production fractions.
- Existing active well counts were based on circa-2020 historical data and were unchanged from Ramboll (2023) estimates; BLM determined new active well counts based on the number of new wells required to achieve the selected 2032 oil and gas production levels<sup>18</sup>.
- 5. Drilling estimates were based on the average annual drilling rate required to achieve the new active well count estimate in #4 above.

For North Dakota, RMP/EIS<sup>19</sup> Alternative A oil and gas activity for 2032 was used.

For the Newcastle Field Office, which includes Crook, Niobrara and Weston counties, the Newcastle FO RMP/EIS reasonably foreseeable development scenario<sup>20,21</sup> for 2032 was used.

Appendix A shows circa 2032 state total oil and gas activity estimates by mineral designation (Table 1) and circa 2032 state total oil and gas activity estimates for federal existing and new activities (Table 2). Appendix A (Figure 1 to Figure 9) also shows maps of county-level circa 2032 O&G activity by well type and mineral designation for oil production, gas production, active well count, and spuds (drilled wells) in the seven Intermountain West states.

<sup>13</sup> Ramboll (2023). "BLM Western US Photochemical Air Quality Modeling for 2028". Prepared for EMPSi and BLM. March

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> <u>https://www.eia.gov/outlooks/archive/aeo22/</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Email communication from BLM Staff (Erik Vernon) to Ramboll (John Grant). May 17, 2022.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Email communication from BLM Staff (Erik Vernon) to Ramboll (John Grant). May 19, 2022.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Email communication from BLM Staff (Erik Vernon) to Ramboll (John Grant). May 23, 2022.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Email communication from BLM Staff (Erik Vernon) to Ramboll (John Grant). May 24, 2022.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Email communication from BLM Staff (Forrest Cook) to Ramboll (John Grant). June 29, 2022.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Email communication from EMPSi Staff (Francis Craig) to Ramboll (John Grant). May 23, 2022.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Email communication from BLM Staff (Ryan McCammon) to Ramboll (Krish Vijayaraghavan). November 24, 2020.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Email communication from BLM Staff (David Chase) to Ramboll (Krish Vijayaraghavan). December 1, 2020.

#### Nonpoint Source Criteria Air Pollutant and GHG Emission Rates

Nonpoint source circa 2032 oil and gas emissions per unit of oil and gas activity were estimated from the future year WRAP/WAQS oil and gas emissions inventory (Grant et al., 2020). The WRAP/WAQS oil and gas emission inventory includes criteria air pollutant and GHG emissions by County and source classification code (SCC) for nonpoint (well-site) sources and by SCC, facility, and unit for point sources. The 2032 WRAP/WAQS oil and gas emission inventory accounts for emission reductions resulting from on-the-books regulations as of August 2020. Controls per the federal Oil and Gas New Source Performance Standard (NSPS) were developed prior to August 2020 Final Policy and Technical Amendments<sup>22</sup>.

The WRAP/WAQS oil and gas emission inventory includes emissions by well type for most gas production-, oil production- and active well count-related source categories and across all well types for spud-related source categories. Therefore, estimates of emissions per unit of oil and gas activity were developed by well type for gas production, oil production and active well count-related source categories, and aggregated across well types for spud-related categories. The oil and gas activity emissions forecast parameter associated with each nonpoint (well-site) emission source category is shown in Appendix A Table 3.

In the 2017 Utah Air Agencies Uinta Basin Emission Inventory (version 1.86), wastewater (primarily produced water) pond emissions were estimated to be 4,901 tons per year VOC in Duchesne County and 72,057 tons per year in Uinta County. These 2017 wastewater pond emissions are much higher than wastewater pond emissions included in the WRAP O&G emission inventory. Wastewater pond emission rates for Uinta and Duchesne counties were estimated based on the ratio of 2017 Utah Air Agencies Uinta Basin Emission Inventory (version 1.86) values to 2017 oil production in each county. Colorado GHG emissions were not included in the future year WRAP O&G emission inventory. Colorado GHG emissions were added based on SCC specific ratios of criteria air pollutant to GHG emission rate ratios applied to SCC-level emissions.

#### 3.3.4 Results

The circa 2032 emission inventory estimates are summarized in Appendix A (Table 4 – Table 7) by state and mineral designation and by new and existing activity<sup>23</sup> for federal emissions. In Colorado, Montana, North Dakota, and South Dakota, emissions from non-federal activities were the largest source of emissions. In New Mexico, Utah, and Wyoming emissions from federal (excluding Tribal) mineral estate were the largest source of emissions. Emissions from Tribal mineral estate were smaller than federal and non-federal emissions in all states except Utah where emissions from Tribal mineral estate were higher than emissions from Non-federal mineral estate. For federal (including Tribal) mineral estate, emissions from existing wells were higher than emissions from new wells in Colorado, New Mexico, and Utah; emissions from new wells were higher in Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wyoming.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> https://www.epa.gov/controlling-air-pollution-oil-and-natural-gas-industry/epa-issues-final-policy-and-technical

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> "New" activity here refers to wells drilled from 2020+ for all regions except Crook, Niobrara, and Weston counties in Wyoming where "new" activity refers to wells drilled from 2024 per discussions with BLM Wyoming. "Existing" refers to wells drilled prior to new activity.

#### 3.4 Other Anthropogenic Emissions

The EPA 2016v2 modeling platform 2032fj emissions were the primary source of the 2032 future year anthropogenic emission inputs except for oil and gas and coal-related sources; the EPA 2016v2 modeling platform was the most current EPA database available at the time of initiation of this study. The modeling platform includes a full suite of the base and future year inventories, ancillary emissions data, and scripts and software for preparing emissions for air quality modeling. The 2016v2 platform updates emissions from the 2016v1 platform that incorporates emissions based on MOtor Vehicle Emission Simulator (MOVES3) model, the 2017 National Emissions Inventory (NEI) nonpoint inventory (both anthropogenic and biogenic), the Western Regional Air Partnership (WRAP) oil and gas inventory, and updated inventories for Canada and Mexico.

Prescribed burns and agricultural burning were included as part of the other anthropogenic source category and held constant at the 2016 levels. The 2016 prescribed burning and agricultural fire emissions were obtained from the EPA 2016v2 platform.

#### 3.5 Natural Emissions

Natural source categories were held constant at the 2016 level and obtained from the EPA 2016v2 platform. EPA developed pre-merged emission files for each source category in CMAQ-ready format, except for oceanic emissions. Oceanic emissions were generated using a CAMx preprocessor in CAMx-ready format. 2016v2 CMAQ-ready emissions for other categories were converted into CAMx format using the CMAQ2CAMX processor and the BLM 12 km domain data were extracted. Natural source categories included in the modeling are listed below.

- Biogenic: In the 2016v2 platform, biogenic emissions for the entire year 2016 were developed using the Biogenic Emission Inventory System version 3.7 (BEIS3.7) within SMOKE. BEIS3.7 used the Biogenic Emissions Landuse Dataset (BELD) version 5 as its landuse input.
- Ocean Sea Salt and DMS emissions: Sea-salt emissions were developed using an emissions processor that integrates published sea spray flux algorithms to estimate sea salt PM emissions for input to CAMx. The gridded input data for the sea salt emissions model is a land-water mask file that identifies each modeling domain grid cell as open ocean, surf zone, or land. Additional details on the development and evaluation of the sea salt emissions processor are available in the WestJumpAQMS Sea Salt memo (Morris et al., 2012). The CAMx sea salt emissions processor was used with the 2016 WRF data to generate sea salt emissions by EPA.
- 2016 emissions from open land fires (wildfires, prescribed burns, and agricultural burning) were obtained from the EPA 2016v2 platform. The 2016v2 fire emissions for Mexico and Canada were used without any changes.

#### **3.6 Development of CAMx-ready Emission Inputs**

The SMOKE modeling system was used to prepare 36 and 12 km domain CAMx-ready emissions for oil and gas, coal mining and coal EGUs, and other coal combustion sources. Note that, the latest version of SMOKE at the time of initiation of this study, namely 4.8.1, was used for emissions processing. Oil and gas emissions were processed using gridding surrogates for federal and non-federal mineral designation provided by BLM. GIS software was used to calculate the fraction of geospatial indicator coverage in each CAMx model grid cell. The county-specific gridding surrogates were developed from the data provided by BLM, and chemical speciation profiles were obtained from the WRAP/WAQS RH study for oil and gas emissions processing. The conventional gas (CG) and CBM gas speciation profile

were assigned to source categories associated with the respective well type. Gridding surrogates were developed for spatial allocation for each well type (i.e., conventional, CBM) and land type (federal, non-federal) combination. Ancillary data for the other source categories were obtained from the WRAP/WAQS RH study through the Intermountain West Data Warehouse (IWDW). SMOKE was used to allocate O&G, mining, industrial coal combustion sources, and EGU annual emissions to months and across diurnal cycle to account for seasonal, day-of-week, and hour-of-day effects.

EGUs were separated into coal and other EGUs for modeling to evaluate the indirect effects of coal . The EGU processing was performed using 2016v2 2032 hourly emissions for NOx and SO<sub>2</sub> and hourly heat inputs for other pollutants. Ramboll created a separate source apportionment category for other coal combustion by extracting data for specific SCCs related to coal combustion (see Table 0-1) from the EPA 2032 inventory. The modeling inventories were harmonized, so there is no double counting of emissions between area and point sources.

The modeled emissions inventory included the following pollutants: CO, NOx, VOC, NH3, SO<sub>2</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>, and PM2.5. Ramboll used SMOKE to convert inventoried VOC emissions into the CB6r4 mechanismspecific model species used in CAMx. Chemical speciation profiles were assigned to inventory sources using cross-referencing data that matched the speciation profiles and inventory sources using country/state/county (FIPS) and SCC. Ramboll used VOC and PM speciation profiles from the WRAP/WAQS RH study. Emission inputs also include the CB6r4 chemistry modeled local excess methane (ECH4) above background concentrations. SMOKE was used to apply source-specific speciation profiles to convert inventoried NOx emissions to NO, NO2, and HONO components. PM emissions were also speciated to model species, namely primary organic aerosol (POA), primary elemental carbon (PEC), primary nitrate (PNO3), primary sulfate (PSO4), primary other PM (FPRM), elemental metals, and coarse PM (CPRM or PM10-2.5). Similar to the WRAP/WAQS RH study, fugitive dust emissions (including fugitive dust emissions associated with oil and gas activities and coal mining) were adjusted after SMOKE processing to account for fugitive dust correction factors (also called fugitive dust transport factors, FDTF) that were derived from the Biogenic Emission Landuse Database version 4 (BELD4). The correction factors account for dust removal due to local vegetation scavenging and ensure that dust emissions are not transported downwind. These correction factors have typically been applied in prior photochemical modeling exercises.

#### 3.6.1 Processing of Emissions for the 36 km CONUS and 12 km Domain

Emission inventories for oil and gas, coal mining, and coal combustion sources were processed through SMOKE to generate 12-km resolution emission inputs for the 12-km domain. For all other source categories in the 12-km domain, 2032 model-ready emissions from EPA's 2016v2 platform were usedafter processing them into CAMx format using the CMAQ2CAMx processor. Emission data outside the 12 km domain and within the 36 km domain were obtained from the EPA 2016v2 modeling platform CMAQ-ready emission inputs for 2032 that were also processed through the CMAQ2CAMX processor. Each source category, for which separate ozone and particulate matter contribution is needed, was processed in a separate SMOKE processing stream.

Separate Quality Assurance (QA) and Quality Control (QC) were performed for each stream of emissions processing and processing step. SMOKE includes advanced quality assurance features, including error logs when emissions are dropped or added. SMOKE log files were carefully reviewed for error messages to ensure that appropriate source profiles are used. SMOKE input and output emissions were compared to verify that no emissions were lost or gained in the SMOKE processing. In addition, visual displays were used for QA/QC including:

- Spatial plots of emissions for each major species (e.g., NOX, VOC, SO<sub>2</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>, PM and CO)
- Summary tables of criteria air pollutant emissions by major source category

To prepare the final CAMx-ready emission inputs, emission files were merged for the biogenic, on-road, non-road, area, and low-level point sources for coal mining, coal combustion, oil and gas, and other sources. The point source and fire emissions were processed into the day-specific hourly speciated emissions in CAMx point source format.

### 4. PHOTOCHEMICAL MODELING APPROACH

The CAMx source apportionment tools (probing tools) were applied during the modeling. The following sections describe the proposed source apportionment modeling and the analysis approach.

#### 4.1 Source Apportionment Modeling Approach and Source Groups/Regions

The CAMx Anthropogenic Precursor Culpability Assessment (APCA) version of the Ozone Source Apportionment Technology (OSAT) tool and the Particulate Source Apportionment Technology (PSAT) tool were used to get separate air quality and AQRV contributions for specified source apportionment groups within specific regions.

#### 4.1.1 Overview of CAMx Source Apportionment Tools

The CAMx OSAT/APCA ozone and PSAT PM source apportionment tools use reactive tracers that are released for each source group of interest. These reactive tracers operate in parallel to the host photochemical grid model accessing the model's transport, dispersion, chemistry, and deposition algorithms. For example, the OSAT/APCA ozone source apportionment tools represent each source group's ozone contributions using four reactive tracers that represent VOC emissions (V),  $NO_X$ emissions (N) and ozone attributed to the source group formed under more VOC-limited (O3V) and  $NO_X$ -limited (O3N) conditions. At each time step and grid cell, ozone formed is allocated to the source groups based on their relative contribution of VOC or more  $NO_X$  emissions to the total VOC or  $NO_X$ concentrations after determination of whether ozone formation is more VOC-limited or more NO<sub>X</sub>limited. The APCA ozone source apportionment tool differs from OSAT in that it recognizes that some precursor emissions are not controllable, redirecting ozone formed from the uncontrollable to the controllable source group. For example, when ozone is formed under VOC-limited conditions due to the interaction between biogenic VOC and anthropogenic  $NO_X$  emissions, a case OSAT would assign the ozone formed to the biogenic emissions source group, APCA redirects the ozone formed to the anthropogenic emissions source group recognizing that biogenic VOC emissions are not controllable and without the anthropogenic NO<sub>x</sub> the ozone would not have been generated. In a CAMx APCA source apportionment run, the first source category specified in the run is assumed to be the uncontrollable source group (typically natural emissions) and ozone is allocated only to natural emissions when it is due to natural VOC and NO<sub>x</sub> emissions interacting with each other (e.g., ozone formed due to reactions between biogenic VOC and biogenic NOx). The modeled natural emissions source group typically includes biogenic emissions, fires (wildfires, prescribed burns, and agricultural burning), lightning, windblown dust and sea salt emissions. Emissions from wildfires usually dominate the fire emissions within the 12 km domain.

The CAMx PSAT PM source apportionment tool considers several families of PM source apportionment tracers that can track different components of PM. Each of these families has a different number of reactive tracers to track the pathway from the PM precursor emissions to the ultimate PM compounds. The five different families of PSAT source apportionment are (number of tracers in parenthesis): Sulfate-SO4 (2); Nitrate/Ammonium-NO3/NH4 (7); Primary PM (6); Secondary Organic Aerosol-SOA (20) and Mercury-Hg (3). For this study we use the SO<sub>4</sub>, NO<sub>3</sub>/NH<sub>4</sub> and Primary PM PSAT families of tracers so that 15 total reactive tracers are needed to track PM contribution for each source group. There are six SOA precursors treated in CAMx: benzene, toluene, and xylene (aromatics), isoprene, terpene and sesquiterpene with biogenic sources typically contributing over 50% of the SOA. Coal mining and oil and gas VOC emissions are dominated by light VOCs that do not form much SOA. Adding the SOA PSAT family more than doubles the number of tracers and hence significantly increases the computational time. Therefore, SOA is not included in the PM<sub>2.5</sub> and visibility impacts associated with
the source groups based on the PSAT source apportionment modeling results. However, SOA is included in the  $PM_{2.5}$  and visibility impacts due to total emissions.

#### 4.1.2 Source Apportionment Groups and Regions

The APCA version of the OSAT and the SO<sub>4</sub>, NO<sub>3</sub>/NH<sub>4</sub> and Primary PM families of PSAT source apportionment are used to track the contributions of the emission groups defined in Table 4.1-1. The groups are defined to understand the impacts on AQ and AQRV due to federal and non-federal coal and oil and gas sectors and other remaining source groups in each of the states analyzed in this document. The regions reported in each area analysis for each state are shown in Figure 4.1-1.

Group	ID	Description
1	Natural	Natural emissions (biogenic, lightning, sea salt, windblown dust)
2	Wildfire	Wildfire emissions
3	OilGas_ExistFed State <sup>a</sup>	Existing federal oil and gas development in individual region of a state
4	OilGas_NewFed State <sup>a</sup>	New federal oil and gas development in individual region of a state
5	OilGas_Fed_Other_States	Existing and New federal oil and gas development outside the state
6	OilGas_NonFed	Non-federal oil and gas development
7	OilGas_ExisTribal	Existing tribal oil and gas development
8	OilGas_NewTribal	New tribal oil and gas development
9	Coal_Fed	federal coal mining
10	Coal_NonFed	Non-federal coal mining
11	Coal_EGU WRAP states	Coal electric generating units in WRAP states (including individual state and others)
12	Coal_Comb WRAP states	Other (non-EGU) coal combustion sources in WRAP states (including individual state and others)
13	Other_EGU	All other EGU facilities (non-coal in WRAP states and non-WRAP EGU)
14	Anthro_Rest	Other anthropogenic sources inside and outside individual state

#### Table 4.1-1. CAMx source apportionment groups.

<sup>a</sup> State: Results are reported for the emissions group at individual regions within each state: CO, MT, ND, NM, SD, UT or WY



Figure 4.1-1. Source Apportionment regions reported in analysis

#### 4.2 Comparison of Modeled Concentrations to Ambient Air Standards

The CAMx modeling results are processed and evaluated against standards and thresholds to determine impacts AQ and AQRVs. The total (absolute or cumulative) concentrations predicted by CAMx for criteria air pollutants are compared to the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) listed in Table 1.1-1. The APCA and PSAT source apportionment results are used to analyze the contribution of emissions from each of the source groups listed in Table 4.1-1.

#### 4.3 Analysis at Class I Areas and other Areas

Air quality and AQRV impacts due to federal and non-federal and other cumulative contributions are estimated and reported at the Class I areas and Indian reservations shown in Figure 2.5-2 to Figure 2.5-8 and listed in Table 2.5-1 to Table 2.5-7.

#### 4.4 Visibility Analysis

Particulate matter concentrations in the atmosphere contribute to visibility degradation by both scattering and absorption of visible light. The combined effect of scattered and absorbed light is called light extinction. This study estimated the contributions to the light extinction from the groups defined in Section 2.5 at the Class I areas for each analysis area described in Section 4.1.2. The visibility metric

used in this analysis is called the Haze Index (HI), which is measured in deciview (dv) units and is defined as follows:

$$HI = 10 \text{ x ln } [b_{ext}/10]$$

Where  $b_{ext}$  is the atmospheric light extinction measured in inverse megameters (Mm<sup>-1</sup>) and is calculated primarily from atmospheric concentrations of particulates.

For this analysis, cumulative visibility design values were assessed using the Software for Model Attainment Test- Community Edition (SMAT-CE) version 2.1. SMAT-CE provides model-adjusted visibility design values that are consistent with the EPA's "Modeling Guidance for Demonstrating Air Quality Goals for Ozone, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and Regional Haze" (EPA 2018). Photochemical models are affected by biases (i.e., model results are a simplification of natural phenomena and tend to over- or underestimate particulate matter concentrations). Using SMAT-CE mitigates model bias for visibility calculations by pairing model estimates with reconstructed light extinction from measured concentrations.

SMAT-CE calculates baseline and future-year visibility levels for both the 20 percent best days and the anthropogenic most impaired days (MID) for each of the Class I areas in the US. SMAT-CE adjusts the modeled ambient concentrations based on measured concentrations to account for possible model bias using the relative response factor approach described below. Within SMAT-CE, model-predicted concentrations of chemical compounds that scatter or absorb light are converted to estimates of light extinction using the IMPROVE equation (Hand and Malm, 2006). The IMPROVE equation reflects empirical relationships derived between measured mass of PM components and measurements of light extinction at IMPROVE monitoring sites in Class I areas. The IMPROVE equation calculates light extinction as a function of relative humidity for large and small particulate matter. As a final step in SMAT-CE, light extinction values are converted into dv, a measure for describing the ability for the human eye to perceive changes in visibility.

The EPA guidance for estimating future-year visibility levels recommends using the photochemical grid model results in a relative sense to scale the visibility current design values (DVC). The visibility DVCs are based on a 5-year average of monitored IMPROVE data centered on the typical modeling year. For this analysis, the 5-year period centered on 2016 is 2014 through 2018.

Scaling factors, called relative response factors (RRFs), are calculated from the modeling results. RRFs are applied to the DVC to predict future-year design values (DVF) at a given IMPROVE monitor location using the following equation:

RRFs are the ratio between the model-predicted concentrations in the future-year modeling scenario and the typical year modeling scenario. RRFs are calculated for each individual chemical component that contributes to light extinction based on the model grid cells surrounding a monitoring site.

SMAT-CE depends on IMPROVE monitors to assess visibility impacts.

The contributions for the source groups defined in Section 2.5 to the DVF estimated by SMAT-CE were obtained from absolute modeling results. For each source group, the reconstructed daily light extinction using the IMPROVE equation was derived using the speciated PM model concentrations from PSAT. For each Class I area PM concentrations were extracted at the grid cell that represents the location of the

corresponding IMPROVE monitor. For areas with no monitor, concentrations were extracted from a centroid grid cell, and IMPROVE equation parameters of the monitor that SMAT-CE used to map areas with missing monitors were used. The daily light extinctions were averaged over the 20 percent best days and MID, specific to each IMPROVE monitor. A percentage contribution was then calculated using the model-derived average light extinction values and applied to the DVF (light extinction only) provided by SMAT-CE. For each sensitive area, the light extinction contributions for both the 20 percent best and the most impaired days are reported here.

#### 4.5 Sulfur and Nitrogen Deposition Analysis

Hourly wet and dry deposition fluxes of sulfur- and nitrogen-containing modeled species are processed to estimate total annual sulfur (S) and nitrogen (N) deposition values at each federal Class I area and other areas of interest. The maximum annual S and N deposition values at any grid cell within the area of interest are also used to represent deposition for that area in addition to the average annual deposition values over the entire area. Maximum and average predicted S and N deposition impacts for all the groups listed in Table 4.1-1 are estimated separately for each area of interest.

Nitrogen deposition impacts account for all the nitrogen containing species modeled in CAMx, including reactive gaseous nitrate species, RGN (NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>3</sub> radical, HONO, N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>), TPN (PAN, PANX, PNA), organic nitrates (NTR), particulate nitrate formed from primary emissions plus secondarily formed particulate nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub>), gaseous nitric acid (HNO<sub>3</sub>), gaseous ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) and particulate ammonium (NH<sub>4</sub>). CAMx species used in the sulfur deposition calculation are primarily sulfur dioxide emissions (SO<sub>2</sub>) and particulate sulfate ion from primary emissions plus secondarily formed sulfate (SO<sub>4</sub>).

FLAG (2010) recommends that applicable sources assess impacts of nitrogen and sulfur deposition at Class I areas. This guidance recognizes the importance of establishing critical deposition loading values or simply "critical loads" (CL). Critical loads are a level of atmospheric pollutant deposition below which negative ecosystem effects are not likely to occur. Here, annual nitrogen and sulfur deposition are compared against critical loads to assess total deposition impacts.

FLAG (2010) guidance does not include any critical loads for specific Class I areas and refers to sitespecific critical load information on FLM websites for each area of concern. The guidance recommends the use of deposition analysis thresholds (DATs) as Project-level thresholds; these are not relevant for this study. Critical loads were obtained from the EPA Critical Load Mapper Tool<sup>24</sup> which provides access to critical loads for terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems from the National Atmospheric Deposition Program's National Critical Loads Database<sup>25</sup>. There are a total of six critical loads in the Mapper Tool: forest and aquatic acidification from nitrogen and sulfur and empirical critical loads of nitrogen for forest ecosystems, herbaceous plants and shrubs, mycorrhizal fungi, and herbaceous species richness. Critical load for each ecosystem and are different for each Class I area. Table 4.5-1 presents a single critical load for each Class I area, chosen to be the lowest value among all ecosystems. In general, the lowest critical load corresponds to the "herbaceous plants and shrubs" ecosystem, but exceptions are indicated in the table's notes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> USEPA, 2021. Critical Load Mapper Tool. US Environmental Protection Agency, USDA Forest Service, and National Park Service, Washington, DC. CL Mapper ver. 2.2. https://clmapper.epa.gov/. Downloaded 9/2021

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> https://nadp.slh.wisc.edu/clad-national-critical-load-database/

For total sulfur deposition, the 5 kg/ha-yr threshold published by Fox et al. (Fox 1989) is used as critical load for each area of interest.

# Table 4.5-1.Nitrogen deposition critical loads (CL) at areas of interest. All critical loads<br/>values are for the herbaceous plants and shrubs ecosystem except those<br/>noted in the footnotes.

State	Analysis Area	Lowest Critical Load for the Analysis Area (kg N/ha-yr)
	Chiricahua National Monument	n/a
	Chiricahua Wilderness	n/a
AZ	Grand Canyon National Park	3
	Mount Baldy Wilderness <sup>1</sup>	7.54
	Petrified Forest National Park	3
	Black Canyon of the Gunnison	3
	Eagles Nest Wilderness	4
	Flat Tops Wilderness	4
	Great Sand Dunes National Park	3.18
	La Garita Wilderness	4
<u> </u>	Maroon Bells-Snowmass Wilderness	4
	Mesa Verde National Park	3
	Mount Zirkel Wilderness	4
	Rawah Wilderness	3.97
	Rocky Mountain NP	4
	Weminuche Wilderness	4
	West Elk Wilderness	4
	Sawtooth Wilderness	4
	Selway-Bitterroot Wilderness	4
	Anaconda Pintler Wilderness	4
	Bob Marshall Wilderness	4
	Cabinet Mountains Wilderness	4
	Flathead Indian Reservation	4
	Fort Peck Indian Reservation	5
	Gates of the Mountains Wilderness <sup>2</sup>	4
MT	Glacier National Park	4
	Medicine Lake National Wildlife Refuge	5
	Mission Mountains Wilderness	4
	Northern Cheyenne Indian Reservation	5
	Red Rock Lakes National Wildlife Refuge	4
	Scapegoat Wilderness	4
	UL Bend National Wildlife Refuge	5
	Fort Berthold Reservation	5
ND	Fort Peck Reservation	5
	Lostwood Wilderness	5

State	Analysis Area	Lowest Critical Load for the Analysis Area (kg N/ha-yr)
	Medicine Lake Wilderness	5
	Theodore Roosevelt National Park	5
	Bandelier National Monument	3.89
	Bosque Del Apache National Wildlife Refuge	3
	Carlsbad Caverns National Park	3
	Gila Wilderness <sup>1</sup>	7.54
NM	Pecos Wilderness	4
	Salt Creek Wilderness	3
	San Pedro Parks Wilderness	4
	White Mountain Wilderness <sup>1</sup>	7.54
	Wheeler Peak Wilderness	4
NV	Jarbidge Wilderness	3
CD.	Badlands National Park	5
50	Wind Cave National Park	4
ТΧ	Guadalupe Mountains National Park	3
	Arches National Park	3
	Bryce Canyon National Park	3.45
UT	Canyonlands National Park	3
	Capitol Reef National Park	3.01
	Zion National Park	3.02
	Bridger Wilderness	3.99
	Fitzpatrick Wilderness	3.99
	Grand Teton National Park	4
WY	North Absaroka Wilderness	4
	Teton Wilderness	4
	Washakie Wilderness	3.99
	Yellowstone National Park	4

<sup>1</sup> Critical load applied to Herb Species Richness – open canopy (Ecoregion) <sup>2</sup> Critical load applied to Empirical Forest (minimum) Source: USEPA, 2021

### 5. MODELING RESULTS FOR COLORADO

This Chapter discloses the potential impacts to AQ and AQRVs estimated with the photochemical modeling system for each analysis area. All figures mentioned below are provided under separate cover as part of Appendix B.

#### 5.1 Colorado

The CAMx source apportionment absolute modeling results for the future year (circa 2032) simulations for the state of Colorado (CO) are analyzed and compared to the relevant thresholds in this section.

### 5.1.1 Ambient Air Quality Estimates from Photochemical Modeling

### 5.1.1.1 Ozone NAAQS Analysis

The ozone NAAQS is defined as the three-year average of the 4<sup>th</sup> highest daily maximum 8-hour (DMAX8) ozone concentration. Since in this study, we use only one year of modeling results, the modeled 4<sup>th</sup> highest DMAX8 ozone concentration is used as a representative value for comparison with the NAAQS<sup>26</sup>. The contributions of each source group to ozone are examined for the 4<sup>th</sup> highest DMAX8 ozone concentration in the circa 2032 future year modeling.

#### Cumulative Concentrations

Figure 5.1-1 (also shown in Figure CO.O3.1 in Appendix B) below shows a spatial isopleth of the 4<sup>th</sup> highest DMAX8 ozone centered in Colorado for the future year (circa 2032) simulation. The modeled results show that cumulative concentrations over this region will not lead to any ozone NAAQS exceedances. The highest ozone concentration of 69.3 ppb occurs in the Jefferson County and Denver area. Figure 5.1-1 shows there is generally a west to east gradient with larger ozone concentrations usually in the western and central parts of Colorado. Figures CO.O3.2 to CO.O3.17 (Appendix B) show spatial maps of the contributions from each source group to the cumulative 4<sup>th</sup> highest DMAX8 ozone concentrations. The figures follow the order of the source groups presented in Table 5.1-1. In general, the largest contributions to ozone are due to the modeled "rest anthropogenic" group (i.e., sources other than oil, gas, or coal source groups) followed by the natural source group. Non-federal oil and gas source is the largest contributions are from the EGU combustion sector followed by the combined WRAP states coal sources. At the location of peak (68.8 ppb), the ozone impacts due to federal oil and gas and coal production are 0.5 ppb or less. The impacts from each source group are discussed below.

<sup>26</sup> Other pollutants are modeled for one year as well.



#### 4th High Daily Max 8 Hour Avg Ozone Cumulative, CO

max(39,36) = 69.3 ppb
 min(65,37) = 45.8 ppb

#### Figure 5.1-1. Modeled cumulative 4<sup>th</sup> highest daily maximum 8-hour ozone in Colorado

#### Natural and Wildfire Source Groups

Figure CO.O3.2 presents the contributions from natural sources within Colorado which range between 0.3 and 7.8 ppb.

#### Oil and Gas Source Groups

Figures CO.O3.4 to CO.O3.7 show the contributions from existing and new federal oil and gas development in Western and Royal Gorge Colorado. The figures show varying spatial patterns with higher contributions from new and existing sources in Western than sources in Royal Gorge Colorado. The contributions from federal sources in Royal Gorge Colorado confine on the eastern side of the state whereas impacts from sources in Western Colorado spread across entire the state. The maximum contribution from existing federal oil and gas sources in Western Colorado (3.1 ppb in La Plata County/New Mexico's border) is slightly larger than the maximum contribution from the new federal sources (0.8 ppb in Garfield County). Other than a few grid cells both source groups have contributions that are below 2 ppb with the typical range between 0.1 and 1 ppb. Federal oil and gas sources in other

states (Figures CO.O3.8) show highest impacts on ozone in the northwest and southwest areas of Colorado adjacent to Utah and New Mexico, respectively.

Figures CO.O3.9, CO.O3.10 and CO.O3.11 show the contributions from non-federal oil and gas development, existing tribal and new tribal, respectively. The non-federal oil and gas show impacts throughout the state with contributions that range between 0.1 and 7.4 ppb, with the peaks modeled in Larimer and Weld counties. The new tribal contributions are lower than the existing tribal oil and gas sources. Both existing and new tribal contributions peak close to the border between Colorado (La Plata County) and New Mexico (Rio Arriba County) at 3.4ppb and 1.3ppb, respectively. Within Colorado, the new and existing tribal oil and gas sources show impacts ranging between 0.1 and 1 ppb.

#### Coal Source Groups

Figures CO.O3.12 to CO.O3.16 show the contributions from federal coal, non-federal coal in CO, WRAP states' EGU coal combustion, WRAP states' other coal combustion and all other EGU coal combustion (e.g., non-coal in WRAP states and non-WRAP EGU), respectively. The largest contribution is due to non-coal in WRAP states and non-WRAP EGU combustion, with impacts between 0.1 and 2.5 ppb (the maximum contribution grid cell is in Jefferson County). The contributions from both federal coal mining in Colorado and non-federal coal mining are small with impacts below 0.1 ppb.

#### Other Anthropogenic Sources

Figure CO.O3.17 shows the contributions from other anthropogenic activity both within and outside Colorado. Other anthropogenic sources have a large contribution to ozone in Colorado with impacts between 1.2 and 17.2 ppb. The largest impacts occur in Jefferson and its surrounding counties in Colorado with concentrations as large as 15 to 20 ppb.

#### Impacts at Class I and other areas in Colorado

Table 5.1-1 summarizes the maximum cumulative values at each of the areas of interest and the state of Colorado. The table also shows the contributions from each of the source emissions groups that correspond to these maximum cumulative values; therefore, the values within a single area represent the same location. In contrast, Table 5.1-2 shows the maximum possible concentrations from each source group across each area of interest, therefore these values need not be co-located.

The maximum cumulative value indicates there are no exceedances to the ozone NAAQS at any of the areas of interest. The contribution from the boundary conditions is very large and usually represents between 71% and 95% of the cumulative concentrations depending on the area of interest. The other anthropogenic sources represent between 3% to 11% of the peak ozone values. The other source groups generally represent individually less than 2% of the ozone peak values, except that oil and gas sources from other states contribute about 4% in San Pedro Parks Wilderness and Wheeler Peak Wilderness.

The two source groups most relevant to BLM authorizations (new federal oil and gas development and federal coal development) are shown in bold font in these and other tables.

	Colorado	West Elk Wilderness	Black Canyon of the Gunnison Wilderness	Maroon Bells- Snowmass Wilderness	Eagles Nest Wilderness	Flat Tops Wilderness	San Pedro Parks Wilderness	Wheeler Peak Wilderness	Weminuche Wilderness	Great Sand Dunes Wilderness-National Parkss	La Garita Wilderness	Canyonlands National park	Arches National Park	Rocky Mountain National Park	Mount Zirkel Wilderness	Rawah Wilderness	Mesa Verde National Park
Cumulative	69.3	59.8	59.3	59.2	58.4	59.5	62.8	59.4	62.3	60.0	61.4	58.0	58.0	59.5	58.1	58.6	58.5
Natural	1.2	1.5	2.2	2.2	1.6	1.6	2.0	1.0	2.3	1.6	2.0	0.9	3.1	3.2	1.8	1.2	2.9
Wildfire	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.1	1.3	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.6	1.9	0.0	0.2	0.2
OilGas_ExistFed_WesternCO	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.5
OilGas_ExistFed_RoyalGorge	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewFed_Western CO	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2
OilGas_NewFed_RoyalGor ge	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_Fed_Other_States	0.5	0.7	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.5	2.2	2.4	0.2	0.6	0.1	0.0	0.9	0.5	0.1	0.2	1.3
OilGas_NonFed	1.1	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.6	0.8	0.6	0.7	0.1	0.0	0.7	3.6	0.0	0.3	0.9
OilGas_ExistTribal	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.7	0.8	0.2	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.6
OilGas_NewTribal	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.3
Coal_Fed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_NonFed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_EGU WRAP states	0.0	0.2	1.0	0.6	0.1	1.0	0.3	0.1	0.8	0.1	0.5	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	1.0
Coal_Comb WRAP states	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other_EGU	0.6	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.1
Anthro_Rest	12.2	3.6	3.3	2.9	3.5	3.2	6.0	2.0	3.7	2.9	2.8	6.2	2.4	6.8	1.5	3.2	3.3
Boundary Conditions	52.8	52.3	52.3	52.2	52.0	52.3	48.7	51.4	53.7	52.5	55.6	50.5	48.9	42.3	54.4	52.9	47.1

### Table 5.1-1.Modeled maximum 8-hour ozone cumulative concentrations and corresponding contributions by emissions group<br/>at areas of interest in 2032 (standard = 70 ppb).

Table 5.1-2.	Modeled maximum 8-hour ozone concentrations across areas of interest for each emissions group in 2032
	(standard = 70 ppb).

	rado	t Elk Wilderness	k Canyon of the vison Wilderness	on Bells- vmass Wilderness	es Nest erness	Tops Wilderness	Pedro Parks erness	eler Peak erness	iinuche erness	t Sand Dunes erness-National ss	arita Wilderness	onlands National	es National Park	y Mountain onal Park	ıt Zirkel erness	ah Wilderness	Nerde National
	Colo	West	Blac Guni	Marc Snov	Eagle Wild	Flat	San Wild	Whe Wild	Wen Wild	Grea Wild Park	La G	Cany park	Arch	Rock Natio	Moui Wild	Raw	Mesa Park
Cumulative	69.3	59.8	59.3	59.2	58.4	59.5	62.8	59.4	62.3	60.0	61.4	58.0	58.0	59.5	58.1	58.6	58.5
Natural	6.7	2.8	2.4	2.5	2.1	2.1	2.9	2.8	2.9	2.7	2.1	3.3	3.1	3.5	3.9	3.3	2.9
Wildfire	14.7	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.4	1.4	0.5	10.7	0.4	0.1	4.0	0.6	3.0	4.6	4.9	0.2
OilGas_ExistFed_WesternCO	1.7	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.4	1.1	0.5	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.5
OilGas_ExistFed_RoyalGorge	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewFed_WesternCO	0.8	0.1	0.0	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.2
OilGas_NewFed_RoyalGorge	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_Fed_Other_States	3.7	0.7	0.1	0.3	0.3	1.3	2.8	2.4	1.4	0.6	1.0	1.2	1.0	0.5	0.5	0.4	1.3
OilGas_NonFed	7.4	0.4	0.1	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.0	0.8	2.0	0.8	0.8	0.5	0.7	3.6	0.5	0.5	0.9
OilGas_ExistTribal	2.4	0.4	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.4	1.0	0.8	1.1	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.6
OilGas_NewTribal	1.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3
Coal_Fed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_NonFed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_EGU WRAP states	1.3	0.3	1.0	0.7	0.4	1.0	0.3	0.1	0.8	0.1	0.5	2.0	1.1	0.8	0.4	0.2	1.1
Coal_Comb WRAP states	0.8	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.2	0.0
Other_EGU	2.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.7	0.2	0.2	0.1
Anthro_Rest	16.7	3.7	4.2	3.1	3.5	4.2	6.1	3.9	5.2	2.9	3.9	6.2	2.8	7.3	3.9	3.9	3.3
Boundary Conditions	58.5	54.3	52.3	54.6	54.2	54.2	48.7	51.3	56.9	52.5	58.3	52.5	51.4	54.4	54.4	54.0	47.3

#### 5.1.1.2 NO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS Analysis

There are two forms of the NO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS; the daily one is expressed as the 98<sup>th</sup> percentile of a 1-hour daily maximum concentrations averaged over 3 years. With a complete year of modeling results, the 98<sup>th</sup> percentile corresponds to the 8<sup>th</sup> highest 1-hour daily maximum. The other form of the NAAQS is expressed as an annual mean. In general, the analysis and spatial distributions of impacts observed for the annual form of the NO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS are like the 1-hour form described in this section. Additional figures for the annual average NO<sub>2</sub> are included in Appendix B.

#### Cumulative Concentrations

Figure 5.1-2 below shows a spatial isopleth of the 98<sup>th</sup> percentile daily maximum 1-hour NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations centered in Colorado for the future year (circa 2032) simulation. The figure indicates that cumulative concentrations over this region will not lead to any exceedances for the 1-hour NO<sub>2</sub> form of the NAAQS. It shows concentration peak at 60.0 ppb in La Plata/NM border with additional hotspots in Denver and Garfield/Mesa counties. Figures CO.NO2.1h.2 to CO.NO2.1h.17 show spatial maps of the contributions from each source group to the 98th percentile daily maximum 1-hour NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. The figures follow the order of the source groups presented in Table 5.1-3. In general, the largest contributions to 1-hour NO<sub>2</sub> are the other anthropogenic source group followed by the non-federal oil and gas development sources. Both these sources have their peaks located in the same region as the cumulative 1-hour NO<sub>2</sub> peak. Impacts for each source group are discussed below.



#### 98th percentile daily max NO<sub>2</sub> Cumulative, CO

max(18,12) = 60.0 ppb
 min(1,8) = 0.6 ppb

### Figure 5.1-2. Modeled cumulative 8th highest daily maximum NO2 concentrations in Colorado

#### Natural and Wildfire Source Groups

Figure CO.NO2.1h.2 shows contributions from natural sources are between 0.1 and 4.6 ppb and relatively higher in the eastern part of the state.

#### Oil and Gas Source Groups

Figures CO.NO2.1h.4 to CO.NO2.1h.7 show the contributions from existing and new federal oil and gas development in Western and Royal Gorge Colorado. The figures show peak concentrations in La Plata County (10.1 ppb) and Mesa (10.6 ppb) due to Western Colorado existing and new oil and gas sources, respectively. Oil and gas in Royal Gorge Colorado area show much smaller impacts that are peak in Weld County. Other than these peak grid cells, both new and existing federal oil and gas contributions show contributions between 0.1 and 4 ppb. Federal oil and gas sources in other states (Figures CO.NO2.1h.8) show highest impacts on  $NO_2$  in the northwest and southwest areas of Colorado adjacent to Utah and New Mexico, respectively.

Figures CO.NO2.1h.9, CO.NO2.1h.10 and CO.NO2.1h.11 show the contributions from non-federal, existing tribal and new tribal oil and gas development, respectively. The existing and new tribal exhibit a similar spatial distribution. The new tribal oil and gas sources show impacts between 0.1 and 4.6 ppb while existing tribal oil and gas sources show impacts between 0.1 and 10.4 ppb. The non-federal oil and gas group shows the largest contribution of 34 ppb in the northern part of the state as shown in Figure 5.1-3.

#### Coal Source Groups

Figures CO.NO2.1h.12 to CO.NO2.1h.16 show the contributions from federal coal, non-federal coal in CO, WRAP states' EGU coal combustion, WRAP states' other coal combustion and all other EGU coal combustion (e.g., non-coal in WRAP states and non-WRAP EGU), respectively. The largest contribution is due to non-coal in WRAP states and non-WRAP EGU combustion, with impacts between 0.1 and 1.5 ppb (the maximum contribution grid cell is in Jefferson County). The contributions from other coal source groups are small with impacts below 0.1 ppb.

#### Other Anthropogenic Sources

Figure CO.NO2.1h.17 shows that the contributions of other anthropogenic emissions are between 0.1 and 42.8 ppb. The highest concentrations correspond with the location of urban areas and populated areas such as Denver, Aurora, Grand Junction and Colorado Springs.

#### Impacts at Class I and other areas in Colorado

Table 5.1-3 summarizes the maximum cumulative values at each of the areas of interest and the state of Colorado. The table also shows the contributions from each of the source emissions groups that correspond to these maximum cumulative values, therefore the values within a single area represent the same location. Table 5.1-4 shows the maximum possible concentrations from each source group across each area of interest, therefore all these values need not be at the same location.

The maximum cumulative value indicates there are no exceedances to the 1-hour NO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS at any of the areas of interest including the entire state of Colorado. The other anthropogenic source group represents 1% to 96% of peak NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations depending on the area of interest and is the largest contributor overall followed by natural source group (up to 80%) contributions. New and existing federal oil-gas in Colorado contributions range between 0% to 19%. Federal oil and gas in other states contribute up to 50% of the peak NO<sub>2</sub> values in San Pedro Parks Wilderness. Other oil and gas contributions range between 0 to 25%. The coal source contributions range from 1% up to 7%.



# 98th percentile daily max NO<sub>2</sub> OilGas\_NonFed

max(48,42) = 34.0 ppb
 min(1,2) = 0.0 ppb

Figure 5.1-3. Modeled 8<sup>th</sup> highest daily maximum NO<sub>2</sub> contribution from non-federal oil and gas development in Colorado.

	Colorado	West Elk Wilderness	Black Canyon of the Gunnison Wilderness	Maroon Bells- Snowmass Wilderness	Eagles Nest Wilderness	Flat Tops Wilderness	San Pedro Parks Wilderness	Wheeler Peak Wilderness	Weminuche Wilderness	Great Sand Dunes Wilderness-National Parkss	La Garita Wilderness	Canyonlands National park	Arches National Park	Rocky Mountain National Park	Mount Zirkel Wilderness	Rawah Wilderness	Mesa Verde National Park
Cumulative	48.3	0.8	1.0	1.9	2.3	7.8	3.2	1.0	7.9	1.0	1.9	1.7	2.6	2.9	12.6	1.5	5.9
Natural	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.8	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.8
Wildfire	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.2	0.9	0.0
OilGas_ExistFed_WesternCO	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	1.5	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1
OilGas_ExistFed_RoyalGorge	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewFed_WesternCO	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3
OilGas_NewFed_RoyalGorge	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_Fed_Other_States	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.4	1.5	0.0	0.5	0.4	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2
OilGas_NonFed	6.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.2	2.5	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	1.5
OilGas_ExistTribal	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.2	1.5	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8
OilGas_NewTribal	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.5	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3
Coal_Fed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_NonFed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_EGU WRAP states	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_Comb WRAP states	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other_EGU	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
Anthro_Rest	41.4	0.2	0.7	1.4	2.3	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.2	1.9	2.2	0.1	0.1	0.2
Boundary Conditions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

### Table 5.1-3.Maximum 8<sup>th</sup> highest daily NO2 cumulative concentrations and corresponding contributions by emissions group<br/>at areas of interest (standard = 100 ppb).

Numbers may not add exactly due to rounding.

		less	the ness	erness		ness				ss onal	ness	tional	Park			SS	onal
	Colorado	West Elk Wilderr	Black Canyon of Gunnison Wilder	Maroon Bells- Snowmass Wilde	Eagles Nest Wilderness	Flat Tops Wilder	San Pedro Parks Wilderness	Wheeler Peak Wilderness	Weminuche Wilderness	Great Sand Dune Wilderness-Natio Parkss	La Garita Wilder	Canyonlands Nat park	Arches National	Rocky Mountain National Park	Mount Zirkel Wilderness	Rawah Wilderne	Mesa Verde Nati Park
Cumulative	48.3	0.8	1.0	1.9	2.3	7.8	3.2	1.0	7.9	1.0	1.9	1.7	2.6	2.9	12.6	1.5	5.9
Natural	3.1	0.6	0.8	0.7	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.8	0.3	1.0	0.7	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.8
Wildfire	24.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	7.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.2	0.9	0.0
OilGas_ExistFed_WesternCO	6.9	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.1	1.5	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1
OilGas_ExistFed_RoyalGorge	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewFed_WesternCO	10.6	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3
OilGas_NewFed_RoyalGorge	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_Fed_Other_States	5.4	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.4	1.5	0.1	0.5	0.8	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	1.2
OilGas_NonFed	34.0	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.2	2.5	0.1	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.9	0.1	0.5	1.7
OilGas_ExistTribal	10.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.2	1.5	0.0	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1
OilGas_NewTribal	4.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.5	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4
Coal_Fed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_NonFed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_EGU WRAP states	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0
Coal_Comb WRAP states	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other_EGU	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.1	0.0
Anthro_Rest	42.8	0.4	0.7	1.4	2.3	0.7	0.2	0.1	2.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	1.9	2.2	0.2	0.8	0.6
Boundary Conditions	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0

### Table 5.1-4.Maximum 8th highest daily NO2 cumulative concentrations across areas of interest for each emissions group<br/>(standard = 100 ppb)

#### 5.1.1.3 PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS Analysis

There are two PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS, one for a 24-hour averaging time that is expressed as a three-year average of the 98<sup>th</sup> percentile value in a year with a standard of 35  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> and an annual average over three-years with a standard of 12  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. We note that EPA proposes to strengthen the standard to a value between 9-10  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. With a complete year of modeling results, the 98<sup>th</sup> percentile corresponds to the 8<sup>th</sup> highest daily PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration in a year.

#### 24-hour PM2.5 NAAQS Analysis

#### Cumulative Concentrations

Figure 5.1-4. Modeled cumulative 8<sup>th</sup> highest daily PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Colorado and corresponding contribution from wildfire emissions.Figure 5.1-4 below shows a spatial isopleth of the 8<sup>th</sup> highest daily average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration centered in Colorado for the future year (circa 2032) simulation and the spatialtemporal corresponding contributions from wildfire emissions. The figures indicates that cumulative concentrations over this region do not lead to any PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS exceedances in Colorado except for isolated hotspots in Jackson, Rio Blanco, Fremont, and Custer Counties caused by wildfire emissions. The largest cumulative daily average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration of 123.3  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> occurs in an isolated grid cell in Fremont County from wildfires Excluding wildfires, the maximum cumulative daily average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration of 20  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> occur in and around Denver County. Figures CO.PM25.dly.2 to CO.PM25.dly.17 show spatial maps of the contributions from each source group to the cumulative 8<sup>th</sup> highest daily average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations. The figures follow the order of the source groups presented in Table 4.1.1. Besides wildfires, the largest contributors to PM<sub>2.5</sub> are the other anthropogenic sectors that are not oil and gas and coal combustions. Impacts for each source group are discussed below.

#### Natural and Wildfire Source Groups

Figure CO.PM25.dly.2 shows the contributions from natural sources are very small with maximum contributions up to 0.1 mg/m3. Figure 5.1-4 (also shown in Figure CO.PM25.dly.3) shows contributions from wildfires, the highest contributors to PM2.5 concentrations leading to several isolated PM2.5 NAAQS exceedances in Colorado. The highest impacts of wildfires are seen in Jackson, Rio Blanco, Fremont, and Custer Counties.

#### Oil and Gas Source Groups

Figures CO.PM25.dly.4 to CO.PM25.dly.7 show the contributions from existing and new federal oil and gas development in Western and Royal Gorge Colorado. All groups have contributions that are at most 0.3  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. CO.PM25.dly.8 show maximum contributions up to 1  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> from federal oil and gas development in other states.

Figures CO.PM25.dly.9, CO.PM25.dly.10 and CO.PM25.dly.11 show the contributions from non-federal, existing tribal and new tribal oil and gas development, respectively. The largest contributor to  $PM_{2.5}$  is the non-federal oil and gas group with concentrations between 0.1 and 2.9  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> with peak concentrations in Weld County. The new and existing tribal oil and gas sources show concentrations between less than 0.1  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>.

#### Coal Source Groups

Figures CO.PM25.dly.12 to CO.PM25.dly.16 show the contributions from federal coal, non-federal coal in CO, WRAP states' EGU coal combustion, WRAP states' other coal combustion and all other EGU coal combustion (e.g., non-coal in WRAP states and non-WRAP EGU), respectively. The largest contribution

is due to non-coal in WRAP states and non-WRAP EGU combustion, with impacts between 0.1 and 2.0  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. The contributions from other coal source groups are small with impacts below 0.8  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>.

#### Other Anthropogenic Sources

Figure CO.PM25.dly.17 shows concentrations from other anthropogenic sources ranging between 0.1 and 17.1  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> in Colorado. The largest impacts are in the Denver region.

#### Impacts at Class I and other areas in Colorado

As in the case of the other pollutants discussed above, Table 5.1-5 summarizes the maximum cumulative values at each of the areas of interest and the state of Colorado as well as contributions from each of the source emissions groups that correspond to these maximum cumulative values. Table 5.1-6 shows the maximum possible concentrations from each source group across each area of interest.

The maximum cumulative value indicates that when wildfire contributions are excluded, there are no exceedances to the 24-hour primary or secondary  $PM_{2.5}$  NAAQS at any of the areas of interest including the entire state of Colorado. The other anthropogenic sources represent 11% to 48% of the peak  $PM_{2.5}$  values across Colorado, the largest contribution from all source groups. Federal oil and gas in other states' contributions are up 11% of cumulative  $PM_{2.5}$  peak in Canyonlands National Park. The rest of oil and gas sectors' contributions are less than 5% of cumulative  $PM_{2.5}$  peaks. The contributions from all coal sectors are less than 8% of peak cumulative values.



### 8th highest daily average $\ensuremath{\text{PM}}_{2.5}$ concentration Cumulative

 $max(33,23) = 123.3 \ \mu g/m^3$  $O \min(21,22) = 1.6 \ \mu g/m^3$ 



8th highest daily average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration Wildfire

 $\bigotimes \max(33,23) = 120.4 \ \mu g/m^3 \ O \min(7,46) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 



	Colorado	West Elk Wilderness	Black Canyon of the Gunnison Wilderness	Maroon Bells- Snowmass Wilderness	Eagles Nest Wilderness	Flat Tops Wilderness	San Pedro Parks Wilderness	Wheeler Peak Wilderness	Weminuche Wilderness	Great Sand Dunes Wilderness-National Parkss	La Garita Wilderness	Canyonlands National park	Arches National Park	Rocky Mountain National Park	Mount Zirkel Wilderness	Rawah Wilderness	Mesa Verde National Park
Cumulative	123.3	2.4	2.2	2.4	2.6	48.9	2.2	2.1	3.0	2.2	2.3	2.6	3.0	3.8	61.7	7.2	3.0
Natural	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Wildfire	120.4	1.2	0.0	0.0	1.2	47.4	1.0	0.0	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.7	0.9	1.3	59.8	6.1	1.4
OilGas_ExistFed_WesternCO	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_ExistFed_RoyalGorge	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewFed_WesternCO	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewFed_RoyalGorge	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_Fed_Other_States	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NonFed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_ExistTribal	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewTribal	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_Fed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_NonFed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_EGU WRAP states	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_Comb WRAP states	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other_EGU	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Anthro_Rest	0.4	0.3	2.0	1.1	0.6	0.5	0.4	1.0	0.4	0.3	0.1	0.3	1.0	0.9	0.3	0.2	0.6
Boundary Conditions	2.4	0.8	0.2	0.9	0.8	1.0	0.7	0.7	1.1	0.5	0.7	0.5	1.0	1.5	1.6	0.9	0.9

Table 5.1-5. Maximum 8<sup>th</sup> highest daily PM<sub>2.5</sub> cumulative concentrations and corresponding contributions by emissions group at areas of interest (standard =  $35 \ \mu g/m^3$ ).

Numbers may not add exactly due to rounding.

Table 5.1-6.	Maximum μg/m <sup>3</sup> ).	ו 8 <sup>th</sup> hi	ghest	daily F	PM <sub>2.5</sub> C	oncen	tratio	ns acro	oss are	eas of	interes	t for e	each e	missio	ns gro	up (s	tandar	d = 35
																	-	

	Colorado	West Elk Wilderness	Black Canyon of the Gunnison Wilderness	Maroon Bells- Snowmass Wilderness	Eagles Nest Wilderness	Flat Tops Wilderness	San Pedro Parks Wilderness	Wheeler Peak Wilderness	Weminuche Wilderness	Great Sand Dunes Wilderness-National Parkss	La Garita Wilderness	Canyonlands National park	Arches National Park	Rocky Mountain National Park	Mount Zirkel Wilderness	Rawah Wilderness	Mesa Verde National Park
Cumulative	123.3	2.4	2.2	2.4	2.6	48.9	2.2	2.1	3.0	2.2	2.3	2.6	3.0	3.8	61.7	7.2	3.0
Natural	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Wildfire	120.4	1.2	1.0	0.9	1.6	47.4	1.0	0.0	1.6	1.6	1.4	1.7	1.1	2.7	59.8	6.1	1.4
OilGas_ExistFed_WesternCO	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_ExistFed_RoyalGorge	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewFed_WesternCO	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewFed_RoyalGorge	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_Fed_Other_States	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1
OilGas_NonFed	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_ExistTribal	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewTribal	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_Fed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_NonFed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_EGU WRAP states	0.8	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2
Coal_Comb WRAP states	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other_EGU	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0
Anthro_Rest	17.1	1.0	2.0	1.3	0.6	0.5	1.4	1.1	2.6	1.2	2.1	1.7	1.0	2.3	0.4	0.5	1.0
Boundary Conditions	1.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.1

#### Annual PM2.5 NAAQS Analysis

#### Cumulative Concentrations

Figure CO.PM25.ann.1 shows a spatial isopleth of the annual average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration centered in Colorado for the future year (circa 2032) simulation. The figure indicates that cumulative concentrations over this region does not lead to any PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS exceedances in Colorado except at a grid cell in Denver which shows concentrations less than 10  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. Regions around Denver shows concentrations between 4 to 10  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. Figures CO.PM25.ann.2 to CO.PM25.ann.17 show isopleths with the contributions from each source group to the cumulative annual average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations. Besides wildfires, the largest contributors to PM<sub>2.5</sub> are the other anthropogenic. The largest oil and gas contributions are from the non-federal and other states' federal sources. The contributions from the coal sector are very small. Impacts for each source group are discussed below.

#### Natural and Wildfire Source Groups

Figure CO.PM25.ann.2 shows the contributions from natural sources to be negligible (e.g., less than 0.1  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>).

#### Oil and Gas Source Groups

Figures CO.PM25.ann.4 to CO.PM25.ann.7 show the contributions from existing and new federal oil and gas development in Western and Royal Gorge Colorado. All groups have contributions that are at most 0.1  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. CO.PM25.ann.8 show negligible contributions from federal oil and gas development in other states.

Figures CO.PM25.ann.9, CO.PM25.ann.10 and CO.PM25.ann.11 show the contributions from non-federal, existing tribal and new tribal oil and gas development, respectively. The largest contributor to  $PM_{2.5}$  is the non-federal oil and gas group with concentrations between 0.1 and 0.7  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> with peak concentrations in Weld County. The new and existing tribal oil and gas sources show concentrations between less than 0.1  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>.

#### Coal Source Groups

Figures CO.PM25.ann.12 to CO.PM25.ann.16 show the contributions from federal coal, non-federal coal in CO, WRAP states' EGU coal combustion, WRAP states' other coal combustion and all other EGU coal combustion (e.g., non-coal in WRAP states and non-WRAP EGU), respectively. The largest contribution is due to non-coal in WRAP states and non-WRAP EGU combustion, with impacts between 0.1 and 0.4  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. The contributions from other coal source groups are small with impacts below 0.1  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>.

#### Other Anthropogenic Sources

Figure CO. PM25.ann.17 shows concentrations from other anthropogenic sources ranging between 0.1 and 8.0  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> in Colorado. The largest impacts are in the Denver region.

#### Impacts at Class I and other areas in Colorado

Table 5.1-7 and Table 5.1-8 summarize the maximum concentrations for annual  $PM_{2.5}$  similar to the other pollutants described above.

The maximum cumulative value indicates there are no exceedances to the annual primary or secondary  $PM_{2.5}$  NAAQS at any of the areas of interest. The peak  $PM_{2.5}$  cumulative concentration of 10.1  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> corresponds to location of a large contribution (89%) from wildfire emissions. The other anthropogenic sources represent 3% to 57% of the peak  $PM_{2.5}$  values across Colorado, the largest contribution from

all source groups followed by boundary contributions. The contributions from all oil and gas and coal sectors are negligible (less than 0.1%) of peak PM<sub>2.5</sub> cumulative values.

	Colorado	Mest Elk Wilderness	3lack Canyon of the Gunnison Wilderness	4aroon Bells- Snowmass Wilderness	Eagles Nest Wilderness	lat Tops Wilderness	San Pedro Parks Vilderness	Wheeler Peak Wilderness	<b>Neminuche</b> Nilderness	Great Sand Dunes Wilderness-National Parkss	.a Garita Wilderness	Canyonlands National oark	Arches National Park	Rocky Mountain Vational Park	4ount Zirkel Wilderness	3 awah Wilderness	Yesa Verde National Park
Cumulative	10.1	0.8	0.9	1.0	1.1	5.3	0.9	0.9	1.0	0.8	0.8	1.0	1.4	1.4	5.9	1.3	1.3
Natural	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Wildfire	9.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	4.6	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	5.0	0.4	0.1
OilGas ExistFed WesternCO	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_ExistFed_RoyalGorge	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewFed_WesternCO	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewFed_RoyalGorge	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_Fed_Other_States	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NonFed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_ExistTribal	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewTribal	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_Fed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_NonFed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_EGU WRAP states	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_Comb WRAP states	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other_EGU	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Anthro_Rest	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.7
Boundary Conditions	0.6	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.4

### Table 5.1-7.Maximum annual $PM_{2.5}$ cumulative concentrations and corresponding contributions by emissions group at areas<br/>of interest (standard = $12 \mu g/m^3$ ).

Numbers may not add exactly due to rounding.

	Colorado	West Elk Wilderness	Black Canyon of the Gunnison Wilderness	Maroon Bells- Snowmass Wilderness	Eagles Nest Wilderness	Flat Tops Wilderness	San Pedro Parks Wilderness	Wheeler Peak Wilderness	Weminuche Wilderness	Great Sand Dunes Wilderness-National Parkss	La Garita Wilderness	Canyonlands National park	Arches National Park	Rocky Mountain National Park	Mount Zirkel Wilderness	Rawah Wilderness	Mesa Verde National Park
Cumulative	10.1	0.8	0.9	1.0	1.1	5.3	0.9	0.9	1.0	0.8	0.8	1.0	1.4	1.4	5.9	1.3	1.3
Natural	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Wildfire	9.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	4.6	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	5.0	0.4	0.1
OilGas_ExistFed_WesternCO	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_ExistFed_RoyalGorge	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewFed_WesternCO	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewFed_RoyalGorge	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_Fed_Other_States	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NonFed	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_ExistTribal	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewTribal	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_Fed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_NonFed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_EGU WRAP states	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_Comb WRAP states	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other_EGU	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Anthro_Rest	8.0	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.7
Boundary Conditions	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

#### Table 5.1-8.Maximum annual $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations across areas of interest for each emissions group (standard = $12 \mu g/m^3$ ).

#### 5.1.1.4 PM<sub>10</sub> NAAQS Analysis

The primary form of the  $PM_{10}$  NAAQS is expressed as a 24-hour average value not to be exceeded more than once per year on average over 3 years. With a complete year of modeling results, this corresponds to the second highest daily  $PM_{10}$  concentration in a year reported below. Annual average  $PM_{10}$  NAAQS results are provided in Figures CO.PM10.ann.1 to CO.PM10.ann.17 but are not discussed in this section.

#### Cumulative Concentrations

Figure 5.1-5 and Figure 5.1-6 show spatial isopleth of the 2<sup>nd</sup> highest daily average PM<sub>10</sub> concentration centered in Colorado for the future year (circa 2032) simulation and the spatial-temporal corresponding contributions from wildfire emissions respectively. The figures indicates that cumulative concentrations over this region do not lead to any PM<sub>10</sub> NAAQS exceedances in Colorado except for isolated hotspots in Jackson, Rio Blanco, Fremont, and Custer Counties caused by wildfire emissions. The largest cumulative daily average PM<sub>10</sub> concentration of 390.2 0  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> occurs in an isolated grid cell in Fremont County due to wildfires. Excluding wildfires, the maximum cumulative daily average PM<sub>10</sub> concentration of 50  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> occur in and around Denver County. Figures CO.PM10.dly.2 to CO.PM10.dly.17 show spatial maps of the contributions from each source group from each source group to the cumulative PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations. Besides wildfires, the largest contributors to PM<sub>2.5</sub> are the other anthropogenic sectors that are not oil and gas and coal combustions. Impacts for each source group are discussed below.



### 2nd highest daily average $PM_{10}$ concentration Cumulative





## 2nd highest daily average PM<sub>10</sub> concentration Wildfire

 $max(33,23) = 384.9 \ \mu g/m^3$  $min(1,45) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

### Figure 5.1-6. Modeled 2<sup>nd</sup> highest daily average PM<sub>10</sub> contribution from natural sources in Colorado.

#### Natural and Wildfire Source Groups

Figure CO.PM10.dly.2 shows concentrations from the modeled natural source within Colorado range between 0.1 to 0.9  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> that are higher on the eastern side of the state. Figure 5.1-6 (also shown in Figure CO.PM10.dly.3) shows concentrations from wildfires with the maximum of 384.9  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> with hotspots coincide with PM<sub>10</sub> exceedance locations. Thus, the modeled PM<sub>10</sub> exceedances in Colorado are due to the wildfire group.

#### Oil and Gas Source Groups

Figures CO.PM10.dly.4 to CO.PM10.dly.7 show the contributions from existing and new federal oil and gas development in Western and Royal Gorge Colorado. All groups have contributions that are at most 0.3  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. CO.PM25.dly.8 show maximum contributions up to 1  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> from federal oil and gas development in other states.

Figures CO.PM10.dly.9, CO.PM10.dly.10 and CO.PM10.dly.11 show the contributions from non-federal, existing tribal and new tribal oil and gas development, respectively. The largest contributor to  $PM_{2.5}$  is the non-federal oil and gas group with concentrations between 0.1 and 4.8  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> with peak concentrations in Weld County as shown in Figure 5.1-7. The new and existing tribal oil and gas sources show concentrations between less than 0.1  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>.

#### Coal Source Groups

Figures CO.PM10.dly.12 to CO.PM10.dly.16 show the contributions from federal coal, non-federal coal in CO, WRAP states' EGU coal combustion, WRAP states' other coal combustion and all other EGU coal combustion (e.g., non-coal in WRAP states and non-WRAP EGU), respectively. The largest contribution is due to non-coal in WRAP states and non-WRAP EGU combustion, with impacts between 0.1 and 2.4  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. The contributions from other coal source groups are small with impacts below 0.6  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>.

#### Other Anthropogenic Sources

Figure CO.PM10.dly.17 shows concentrations from other anthropogenic sources ranging between 0.2 and 97.5  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> in Colorado. The largest impacts are in the Rio Blanco County.

#### Impacts at Class I and other areas in Colorado

Table 5.1-9 and Table 5.1-10 summarize the spatial maximum daily PM10 concentrations (in the form of the NAAQS) in Colorado similar to the other pollutants described above.

The maximum cumulative value indicates that when wildfire contributions are excluded, there are no exceedances to the 24-hour primary or secondary  $PM_{10}$  NAAQS at any of the areas of interest including the entire state of Colorado. The other anthropogenic sources represent 5% to 96% of the peak  $PM_{2.5}$  values across Colorado, the largest contribution from all source groups. All oil and gas and coal sectors' contributions are less than 4% of cumulative  $PM_{2.5}$  peaks.



## 2nd highest daily average $\text{PM}_{\text{10}}$ concentration OilGas\_NonFed

 $max(41,39) = 4.7 \ \mu g/m^3$  $min(7,4) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

Figure 5.1-7. Modeled 2<sup>nd</sup> highest daily average PM<sub>10</sub> contribution from non-federal oil and gas source in Colorado.

	Colorado	West Elk Wilderness	Black Canyon of the Gunnison Wilderness	Maroon Bells- Snowmass Wilderness	Eagles Nest Wilderness	Flat Tops Wilderness	San Pedro Parks Wilderness	Wheeler Peak Wilderness	Weminuche Wilderness	Great Sand Dunes Wilderness-National Parkss	La Garita Wilderness	Canyonlands National park	Arches National Park	Rocky Mountain National Park	Mount Zirkel Wilderness	Rawah Wilderness	Mesa Verde National Park
Cumulative	390.2	11.7	4.4	9.0	7.6	262.9	7.0	8.6	7.3	5.0	20.4	7.4	10.6	8.4	241.0	12.8	7.9
Natural	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0
Wildfire	384.9	0.0	2.0	0.0	5.9	259.2	0.6	0.0	0.0	3.5	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0	236.4	9.6	5.3
OilGas_ExistFed_WesternCO	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_ExistFed_RoyalGorge	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewFed_WesternCO	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewFed_RoyalGorge	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_Fed_Other_States	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NonFed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_ExistTribal	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewTribal	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_Fed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_NonFed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_EGU WRAP states	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_Comb WRAP states	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other_EGU	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Anthro_Rest	1.1	11.2	1.4	8.4	0.9	1.0	4.4	8.0	6.1	0.5	20.1	0.6	10.1	6.7	1.2	1.8	1.6
Boundary Conditions	4.1	0.4	1.0	0.5	0.8	2.7	1.3	0.5	1.1	1.0	0.3	0.4	0.4	1.0	3.2	1.3	0.8

### Table 5.1-9.Maximum $2^{nd}$ highest daily PM10 cumulative concentrations and corresponding contributions by emissions group<br/>at areas of interest (standard = 150 $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>).

Numbers may not add exactly due to rounding

## Table 5.1-10.Maximum $2^{nd}$ highest daily PM10 concentrations across areas of interest for each emissions group (standard = 150 $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>).

			s	SS		s					s	al	k				_
	Colorado	West Elk Wilderness	Black Canyon of the Gunnison Wildernes	Maroon Bells- Snowmass Wilderne	Eagles Nest Wilderness	Flat Tops Wildernes	San Pedro Parks Wilderness	Wheeler Peak Wilderness	Weminuche Wilderness	Great Sand Dunes Wilderness-Nationa Parkss	La Garita Wildernes	Canyonlands Nation park	Arches National Par	Rocky Mountain National Park	Mount Zirkel Wilderness	Rawah Wilderness	Mesa Verde Nationa Park
Cumulative	390.2	11.7	4.4	9.0	7.6	262.9	7.0	8.6	7.3	5.0	20.4	7.4	10.6	8.4	241.0	12.8	7.9
Natural	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0
Wildfire	384.9	2.1	2.4	6.7	5.9	259.2	0.6	0.0	2.6	3.5	2.2	6.3	2.4	4.6	236.4	9.6	5.3
OilGas_ExistFed_WesternCO	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_ExistFed_RoyalGorge	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewFed_WesternCO	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewFed_RoyalGorge	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_Fed_Other_States	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NonFed	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_ExistTribal	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewTribal	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_Fed	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_NonFed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_EGU WRAP states	0.6	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_Comb WRAP states	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other_EGU	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0
Anthro_Rest	97.5	11.2	1.4	8.4	6.0	1.1	5.6	8.0	6.1	0.6	20.1	3.5	10.1	6.7	12.8	1.8	1.6
Boundary Conditions	0.9	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.6	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.1

#### 5.1.1.5 SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS Analysis

The primary SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS is expressed as the 99th percentile of 1-hour daily maximum concentrations, averaged over 3 years. With one year of modeling that is presented here as the 4<sup>th</sup> highest 1-hour daily maximum concentrations. The secondary SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS is expressed as 3-hour averages not to be exceeded more than once per year. With one year of modeling that is presented here as the 2<sup>nd</sup> highest 3-hour average modeled concentration. Both primary and secondary NAAQS are described here.

#### 1-hour SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS Analysis

#### Cumulative Concentrations

Figure CO.SO2.1hr.1 shows a spatial isopleth of the 4<sup>th</sup> highest 1-hour daily maximum SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations centered in Colorado for the future year (circa 2032) simulation. The figure indicates that cumulative concentrations over this region will not lead to any SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS exceedances. Figure CO.SO2.1hr.1 shows concentrations over the state are at most 5 ppb, except in few locations where wildfire contributions shown by source apportionment (discussed below) are high (Figure CO.SO2.1hr.3). Figures CO.SO2.1hr.2 to CO.SO2.1hr.17 show spatial maps of the contributions from each source group to the 1-hour daily maximum SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. Besides wildfires, the larger contributions are from non-coal in WRAP states and non-WRAP EGU combustion followed by other anthropogenic sources, whereas contributions from the rest of source groups are small. Impacts for each source group are discussed below.

#### Natural and Wildfire Source Groups

Figure CO.SO2.1hr.2 and CO.SO2.1hr.3 present the contributions from natural sources and wildfires respectively. Concentrations from natural sources are negligible ( $\sim$  zero ppb) whereas wildfires contributed to more than 95% of SO<sub>2</sub> cumulative hotspots.

#### Oil and Gas Source Groups

Figures CO.SO2.1hr.4 and CO.SO2.1hr.8 show the contributions from existing and new federal oil and gas development in Western and Royal Gorge Colorado as well as federal oil and gas sources in other states. All figures show that concentrations from these sources are very low and generally less than 0.1 ppb.

Figures CO.SO2.1hr.9, CO.SO2.1hr.10 and CO.SO2.1hr.11 show the contributions from non-federal, existing tribal and new tribal oil and gas development, respectively. Like the other oil and gas source groups described above, their contributions are very low and generally less than 0.1 ppb.

#### Coal Source Groups

Figures CO.SO2.1hr.12 to CO.SO2.1hr.16 show the contributions show the contributions from federal coal, non-federal coal in CO, WRAP states' EGU coal combustion, WRAP states' other coal combustion and all other EGU coal combustion (e.g., non-coal in WRAP states and non-WRAP EGU), respectively. The largest contribution is due to non-coal in WRAP states and non-WRAP EGU combustion, with impacts between 0.1 and 7.9 ppb SO<sub>2</sub>. Other coal sources yield very small contributions that are less than 1 ppb.

#### Other Anthropogenic Sources

Figure CO.SO2.1hr.17 shows that the contributions other anthropogenic emissions are between 0.1 and 6.4 ppb. The highest concentrations correspond with the location of urban areas such as Denver and its surrounding counties.

#### Impacts at Class I and other areas in Colorado

Table 5.1-11 and Table 5.1-12 summarize the spatial maximum 1-hour  $SO_2$  concentrations (in the form of the NAAQS) in Colorado similar to the other pollutants described above.

The maximum cumulative value indicates there are no exceedances to the 1-hour primary  $SO_2$  NAAQS at any of the areas of interest in the state of Colorado. All areas of interest within Colorado experienced very small impacts from all anthropogenic source groups with cumulative  $SO_2$  concentrations less than 1 ppb.

	Colorado	West Elk Wilderness	Black Canyon of the Gunnison Wilderness	Maroon Bells- Snowmass Wilderness	Eagles Nest Wilderness	Flat Tops Wilderness	San Pedro Parks Wilderness	Wheeler Peak Wilderness	Weminuche Wilderness	Great Sand Dunes Wilderness-National Parkss	La Garita Wilderness	Canyonlands National park	Arches National Park	Rocky Mountain National Park	Mount Zirkel Wilderness	Rawah Wilderness	Mesa Verde National Park
Cumulative	25.7	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.4	13.8	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.8	0.5	0.3	0.5	12.6	1.1	0.4
Natural	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Wildfire	25.7	0.2	0.0	0.3	0.0	13.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	12.6	1.0	0.0
OilGas_ExistFed_WesternCO	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_ExistFed_RoyalGorge	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewFed_WesternCO	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewFed_RoyalGorge	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_Fed_Other_States	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NonFed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_ExistTribal	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewTribal	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_Fed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_NonFed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_EGU WRAP states	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4
Coal_Comb WRAP states	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other_EGU	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Anthro_Rest	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.5	0.0	0.8	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Boundary Conditions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

### Table 5.1-11. Maximum 1-hour SO2 cumulative concentrations and corresponding contributions by emissions group at areas of interest (standard = 75 ppb).

Numbers may not add exactly due to rounding
## Table 5.1-12.Maximum 1-hour SO2 cumulative concentrations across areas of interest for each emissions group (standard = 75 ppb).

			S	SS		S					5	al	k				_
	Colorado	West Elk Wilderness	Black Canyon of the Gunnison Wildernes	Maroon Bells- Snowmass Wilderne	Eagles Nest Wilderness	Flat Tops Wildernes	San Pedro Parks Wilderness	Wheeler Peak Wilderness	Weminuche Wilderness	Great Sand Dunes Wilderness-National Parkss	La Garita Wildernes	Canyonlands Nation park	Arches National Par	Rocky Mountain National Park	Mount Zirkel Wilderness	Rawah Wilderness	Mesa Verde Nationa Park
Cumulative	25.7	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.4	13.8	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.8	0.5	0.3	0.5	12.6	1.1	0.4
Natural	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Wildfire	25.7	0.2	0.0	0.3	0.0	13.7	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.4	12.6	1.0	0.0
OilGas_ExistFed_WesternCO	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_ExistFed_RoyalGorge	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewFed_WesternCO	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewFed_RoyalGorge	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_Fed_Other_States	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NonFed	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_ExistTribal	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewTribal	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_Fed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_NonFed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_EGU WRAP states	0.7	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4
Coal_Comb WRAP states	0.7	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0
Other_EGU	2.4	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Anthro_Rest	6.4	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.0	0.8	0.5	0.3	0.1	1.4	0.5	0.0
Boundary Conditions	0.5	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

#### **3-Hour SO2 NAAQS Analysis**

#### Cumulative Concentrations

Figure CO.SO2.3hr.1 shows a spatial isopleth of the 2<sup>nd</sup> highest 3-hour average SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations centered in Colorado for the future year (circa 2032) simulation. The figure indicates that cumulative concentrations over this region will not lead to any SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS exceedances. Figure CO.SO2.3hr.1 shows most of the state has concentrations below 5 ppb, except in few locations where wildfire contributions shown by source apportionment (discussed below) are high (Figure CO.SO2.3hr.3). Figures CO.SO2.3hr.2 to CO.SO2.3hr.17 show spatial maps of the contributions from each source group to the 1-hour daily maximum SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. Besides wildfires, the larger contributions are from non-coal in WRAP states and non-WRAP EGU combustion followed by other anthropogenic sources, whereas contributions from the rest of source groups are small. Impacts for each source group are discussed below.

#### Natural and Wildfire Source Groups

Figure CO.SO2.3hr.2 and CO.SO2.3hr.3 present the contributions from natural sources and wildfires respectively. Concentrations from natural sources are negligible ( $\sim$  zero ppb) whereas wildfires contributed to more than 95% of SO<sub>2</sub> cumulative hotspots.

#### Oil and Gas Source Groups

Figures CO.SO2.3hr.4 and CO.SO2.3hr.8 show the contributions from existing and new federal oil and gas development in Western and Royal Gorge Colorado as well as federal oil and gas sources in other states. All figures show that concentrations from these sources are very low and generally less than 0.1 ppb.

Figures CO.SO2.3hr.9, CO.SO2.3hr.10 and CO.SO2.3hr.11 show the contributions from non-federal, existing tribal and new tribal oil and gas development, respectively. Like the other oil and gas source groups described above, their contributions are very low and generally less than 0.1 ppb.

#### Coal Source Groups

Figures CO.SO2.3hr.12 to CO.SO2.3hr.16 show the contributions show the contributions from federal coal, non-federal coal in CO, WRAP states' EGU coal combustion, WRAP states' other coal combustion and all other EGU coal combustion (e.g., non-coal in WRAP states and non-WRAP EGU), respectively. The largest contribution is due to non-coal in WRAP states and non-WRAP EGU combustion, with impacts between 0.1 and 7.3 ppb SO<sub>2</sub>. Other coal sources yield very small contributions that are less than 1 ppb.

#### Other Anthropogenic Sources

Figure CO.SO2.3hr.17 shows that the contributions other anthropogenic emissions are between 0.1 and 14.7 ppb. The highest concentrations are in Archuleta County followed by areas near Denver.

#### Impacts at Class I and other areas in Colorado

Table 5.1-13 and Table 5.1-14 summarize the spatial maximum 3-hour  $SO_2$  concentrations (in the form of the NAAQS) in Colorado similar to the other pollutants described above.

The maximum cumulative value indicates there are no exceedances to the 3-hour primary  $SO_2$  NAAQS at any of the areas of interest in the state of Colorado. All areas of interest within Colorado experienced very small impacts from all source groups with cumulative  $SO_2$  concentrations less than 2.2 ppb.

Ramboll - Air Quality Technical Support Document

	Colorado	West Elk Wilderness	Black Canyon of the Gunnison Wilderness	Maroon Bells- Snowmass Wilderness	Eagles Nest Wilderness	Flat Tops Wilderness	San Pedro Parks Wilderness	Wheeler Peak Wilderness	Weminuche Wilderness	Great Sand Dunes Wilderness-National Parkss	La Garita Wilderness	Canyonlands National park	Arches National Park	Rocky Mountain National Park	Mount Zirkel Wilderness	Rawah Wilderness	Mesa Verde National Park
Cumulative	37.9	1.6	0.3	1.4	0.9	29.8	0.4	0.6	2.0	0.4	2.2	0.5	0.3	0.8	18.0	1.0	0.5
Natural	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Wildfire	37.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	29.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.7	18.0	1.0	0.4
OilGas_ExistFed_WesternCO	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_ExistFed_RoyalGorge	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewFed_WesternCO	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewFed_RoyalGorge	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_Fed_Other_States	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NonFed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_ExistTribal	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewTribal	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_Fed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_NonFed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_EGU WRAP states	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_Comb WRAP states	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other_EGU	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Anthro_Rest	0.0	1.5	0.2	1.4	0.9	0.0	0.1	0.5	2.0	0.0	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Boundary Conditions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

## Table 5.1-13. Maximum 3-Hour SO<sub>2</sub> cumulative concentrations and corresponding contributions by emissions group at areas of interest (standard = 0.5 ppm). Values shown are in ppb.

Numbers may not add exactly due to rounding.

	Colorado	West Elk Wilderness	Black Canyon of the Gunnison Wilderness	Maroon Bells- Snowmass Wilderness	Eagles Nest Wilderness	Flat Tops Wilderness	San Pedro Parks Wilderness	Wheeler Peak Wilderness	Weminuche Wilderness	Great Sand Dunes Wilderness-National Parkss	La Garita Wilderness	Canyonlands National park	Arches National Park	Rocky Mountain National Park	Mount Zirkel Wilderness	Rawah Wilderness	Mesa Verde National Park
Cumulative	37.9	1.6	0.3	1.4	0.9	29.8	0.4	0.6	2.0	0.4	2.2	0.5	0.3	0.8	18.0	1.0	0.5
Natural	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Wildfire	37.7	0.4	0.0	0.2	0.5	29.8	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.7	18.0	1.0	0.4
OilGas_ExistFed_WesternCO	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_ExistFed_RoyalGorge	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewFed_WesternCO	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewFed_RoyalGorge	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_Fed_Other_States	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NonFed	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_ExistTribal	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewTribal	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_Fed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_NonFed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_EGU WRAP states	0.7	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.4
Coal_Comb WRAP states	0.6	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0
Other_EGU	2.5	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0
Anthro_Rest	14.7	1.5	0.2	1.4	0.9	0.1	0.4	0.5	2.0	0.0	2.2	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.9	0.0
Boundary Conditions	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0

## Table 5.1-14.Maximum 3-Hour SO2 cumulative concentrations across areas of interest for each emissions group (standard =<br/>0.5 ppm). Values shown are in ppb.

#### 5.1.1.6 CO NAAQS Analysis

The primary standard of the CO NAAQS is expressed in two forms: a daily maximum of the 1-hour and 8-hour average concentrations, both not to be exceeded more than once a year. Figure CO.CO.1hr.1 shows the cumulative concentrations in the form of the 1-hour standard while Figure CO.CO.8hr.1 presents the cumulative concentrations in the form of the 8-hour standard. Source apportionment for CO is not implemented in the model and therefore is not reported as part of this analysis. Figures CO.CO.1hr.1 and CO.CO.8hr.1 show that there are no exceedances to the CO NAAQS in Colorado except for few isolated locations coinciding with wildfire spots as identified in the source apportionment analysis for other pollutants (discussed above) where 8-hour average concentration exceeds 9 ppm.

#### Impacts at Class I and other areas in Colorado

Table 5.1-15 summarizes the maximum cumulative values at each of the areas of interest and the state of Colorado for both the 1-hour and the 8-hour forms of the NAAQS. The maximum cumulative value indicates there are no exceedances to the CO NAAQS at any area of interests across the entire state of Colorado.

	Colorado	West Elk Wilderness	Black Canyon of the Gunnison Wilderness	Maroon Bells- Snowmass Wilderness	Eagles Nest Wilderness	Flat Tops Wilderness	San Pedro Parks Wilderness	Wheeler Peak Wilderness	Weminuche Wilderness	Great Sand Dunes Wilderness-National Parkss	La Garita Wilderness	Canyonlands National park	Arches National Park	Rocky Mountain National Park	Mount Zirkel Wilderness	Rawah Wilderness	Mesa Verde National Park
Cumulative 1-hour daily maximum (standard = 35 ppm)	17.3	0.6	0.2	0.5	0.3	13.8	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.3	1.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	8.4	0.6	0.3
Cumulative 8-hour average (standard = 9 ppm)	9.4	0.4	0.1	0.5	0.3	7.6	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.2	5.0	0.3	0.2

#### Table 5.1-15. Maximum CO cumulative concentrations at areas of interest(ppm).

# 5.1.2 Atmospheric Deposition Estimates from Photochemical Modeling5.1.2.1 Nitrogen Deposition

#### Cumulative Deposition

Figure 5.1-8 (also shown in Figure CO.NDEP.1) shows a spatial isopleth of annual nitrogen deposition centered in Colorado for the future year (circa 2032) simulation. Cumulative annual deposition over Colorado varies between 1 and 8 kg N/ha with the eastern side of the state showing higher deposition than the western side. Figures CO.NDEP.2 to CO.NDEP.17 show spatial distribution of the nitrogen deposition contributions from each source group to the cumulative deposition. In general, the largest contributors to nitrogen deposition are the non-fossil anthropogenic sources. Impacts for each source group are discussed below.



Figure CO.NDEP.1 Annual Total Nitrogen Deposition Cumulative

> ♦ max(64,8) = 9.7 kg N/ha 0 min(2,31) = 0.9 kg N/ha



#### Natural and Wildfire Source Groups

Figure CO.NDEP.2 presents the annual contributions from natural sources ranging between 0.0 and 0.1 kg N/ha. Figure CO.NDEP.3 presents the contributions from wildfires ranging from 0.0 to 0.5 kg N/ha.

#### Oil and Gas Source Groups

Figures CO.NDEP.4 to CO.NDEP.8 show the impacts on nitrogen deposition from existing and new federal oil and gas development in Western and Royal Gorge Colorado as well as federal oil and gas sources in other states. The annual contributions from these sources are less than 0.7 kg N/ha.

Figures CO.NDEP.9, CO.NDEP.10 and CO.NDEP.11 show the contributions from non-federal, existing tribal and new tribal oil and gas development, respectively. Of these three, the largest contributor to annual nitrogen deposition within Colorado is the non-federal oil and gas group with values of up to 1.7 kg N/ha. The maximum value occurs in the border of Morgan and Weld County. La Plata County also has values above 1.0 kg N/ha. The new and existing tribal oil and gas sources show smaller deposition rates of less than 0.5 kg N/ha inside Colorado.

#### Coal Source Groups

Figures CO.NDEP.12 to CO.NDEP.16 show the contributions from federal coal, non-federal coal in CO, WRAP states' EGU coal combustion, WRAP states' other coal combustion and all other EGU coal combustion (e.g., non-coal in WRAP states and non-WRAP EGU), respectively. Annual impacts from all four coal source groups are less than 0.25 kg N/ha throughout Colorado. The non-coal in WRAP states and non-WRAP EGU combustion impacts are greater than 0.25 kg N/ha on one grid cell in Jefferson County. The contributions from federal coal sources show zero contributions in Colorado.

#### Other Anthropogenic Sources

Figure CO.NDEP.17 shows the nitrogen deposition from other anthropogenic sources; these represent a large fraction of the cumulative deposition and ranges between 0.4 and 5.0 kg N/ha with higher values in the eastern side of the state. The largest impact of 8.1 kg N/ha is simulated outside Colorado. Inside Colorado, the largest impacts between 4 and 5 kg N/ha are modeled in Adams County and Denver County.

#### Impacts at Class I and other areas in Colorado

Table 5.1-16 summarizes the average nitrogen deposition over each area of interest and shows the contributions from each source emissions group that corresponds to the average deposition over the area. Table 5.1-17 shows similar information but the values are reported for the maximum nitrogen deposition in each area.

Critical loads for the Class I areas in the Colorado analysis area range from 3.0 to 4.0 kg N/ha as shown in Section 4.5.

As shown in Table 5.1-16, none of the cumulative average nitrogen deposition in the Class I areas exceeds the critical loads. The highest cumulative average deposition is 2.1 kg N/ha at Flat Tops Wilderness and the critical load at that location is 4.0 kg N/ha. The non-fossil anthropogenic sources account for up to 52% of total deposition at this studied area. Contributions from federal new oil and gas sectors to nitrogen deposition at all areas of interest are zero whereas existing oil and gas sectors in Western Colorado show maximum impacts of 0.1 kg N/ha at Weminuche Wilderness and Mesa Verde NP. Tribal and other state-federal oil and gas sectors show a maximum contribution of 0.1 to 0.2% of

the total deposition, respectively. Contributions from all coal combustion and EGU sectors are negligibly small.

As shown in Table 5.1-17, none of the maximum cumulative nitrogen deposition exceeds the critical loads in all Class I areas. The highest cumulative maximum deposition is 2.9 kg N/ha at Rocky Mountain NP where the critical load is 4.0 kg N/ha and the lowest cumulative maximum deposition is 1.2 kg N/ha at Arches NP for which the critical load is 3.0 kg N/ha. Non-fossil anthropogenic sources are the major contributors to cumulative nitrogen deposition at all areas of interest (e.g., account for 35% to 52% of total deposition). Coal and oil and gas sector contributions are much smaller than those from other anthropogenic sources.

	Arches NP	Black Canyon of the Gunnison Wilderness	Canyonlands NP	Eagles Nest Wilderness	Flat Tops Wilderness	Great Sand Dunes Wilderness-nps	La Garita Wilderness	Maroon Bells- Snowmass Wilderness	Mesa Verde NP	Mount Zirkel Wilderness	Rawah Wilderness	Rocky Mountain NP	San Pedro Parks Wilderness	Weminuche Wilderness	West Elk Wilderness	Wheeler Peak Wilderness
Cumulative	1.1	1.3	1.2	1.6	2.1	1.4	1.7	1.7	1.6	1.8	1.6	2.0	2.1	2.0	1.7	1.9
Natural	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Wildfire	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
OilGas_ExistFed_WesternCO	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_ExistFed_RoyalGorge	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewFed_WesternCO	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewFed_RoyalGorge	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_Fed_Other_States	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.1
OilGas_NonFed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0
OilGas_ExistTribal	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewTribal	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_Fed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_NonFed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_EGU WRAP states	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_Comb WRAP states	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other_EGU	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Anthro_Rest	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.9	1.1	0.7	1.0	1.0	0.7	1.0	0.9	1.2	1.1	1.1	0.9	1.1
Boundary Conditions	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.5

### Table 5.1-16. Modeled average annual nitrogen deposition (kg N/ha-yr) and corresponding contributions by emissions group at areas of interest.

Numbers may not add up exactly due to rounding.

	cky Mountain NP	it Tops Wilderness	eminuche ilderness	n Pedro Parks ilderness	ount Zirkel Ilderness	Garita Wilderness	nyonlands NP	heeler Peak ilderness	est Elk Wilderness	aroon Bells- owmass Wilderness	gles Nest ilderness	wah Wilderness	esa Verde NP	eat Sand Dunes ilderness-nps	ack Canyon of the Innison Wilderness	ches NP
	Rc	FI	33	Sa W	23	La	Ca	33	3	Σu	Ea V	Ra	Σ	້ອ >	Bl GL	Ar
Cumulative	2.9	2.4	2.4	2.2	2.1	2.1	2.0	2.0	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.8	1.7	1.6	1.3	1.2
Natural	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Wildfire	0.2	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
OilGas_ExistFed_WesternCO	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_ExistFed_RoyalGorge	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewFed_WesternCO	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewFed_RoyalGorge	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_Fed_Other_States	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NonFed	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_ExistTribal	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewTribal	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_Fed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_NonFed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_EGU WRAP states	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_Comb WRAP states	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other_EGU	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Anthro_Rest	1.7	1.1	1.3	1.1	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.0	0.6	0.9	0.7	0.7
Boundary Conditions	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3

## Table 5.1-17. Modeled maximum annual nitrogen deposition (kg N/ha-yr) and corresponding contributions by emissions group at areas of interest.

#### 5.1.2.2 Sulfur Deposition

#### Cumulative Deposition

Figure 5.1-9 (also shown in Figure CO.SDEP.1) presents a spatial isopleth of annual sulfur deposition centered in Colorado for the future year (circa 2032) simulation. Cumulative annual deposition over most of Colorado varies between 0.1 and 1.0 kg S/ha. The eastern side of the state shows a region of high sulfur deposition with values between 0.25 and 1.0 kg S/ha; the maximum of 1.3 kg S/ha occurs outside Colorado. This maximum corresponds with maximum of the "rest of the anthropogenic" source group. Figures CO.SDEP.2 to CO.SDEP.17 show spatial maps of the sulfur deposition contributions from each source group to the cumulative deposition. In general, the largest contributors to sulfur deposition in Colorado. Impacts for each source group are discussed below.



Figure CO.SDEP.1 Annual Total Sulfur Deposition Cumulative

 $\bigotimes \max(66, 14) = 1.3 \text{ kg S/ha}$  $\bigotimes \min(18, 29) = 0.1 \text{ kg S/ha}$ 



#### Natural and Wildfire Source Groups

Figures CO.SDEP.2 presents the annual contributions from natural sources. As expected, these sources have negligible contributions to sulfur deposition. Figure CO.SDEP.3 presents the contributions from wildfires ranging from 0.0 to 0.2 kg S/ha

#### Oil and Gas Source Groups

Figures CO.SDEP.4 to CO.SDEP.8 show the contributions from existing and new federal oil and gas development in Western and Royal Gorge Colorado as well as federal oil and gas sources in other states. The contributions from these sources are low with impacts less than 0.1 kg S/ha.

Figures CO.SDEP.9, CO.SDEP.10 and CO.SDEP.11 show the contributions from non-federal, existing tribal and new tribal oil and gas development, respectively. Among these, the largest contributor to sulfur deposition is the non-federal oil and gas group with values below 0.25 kg S/ha throughout Colorado. Both the new and existing tribal oil and gas sources show negligible impacts.

#### Coal Source Groups

Figures CO.SDEP.12 to CO.SDEP.16 show the contributions from federal coal, non-federal coal in CO, WRAP states' EGU coal combustion, WRAP states' other coal combustion and all other EGU coal combustion (e.g., non-coal in WRAP states and non-WRAP EGU), respectively. Annual impacts from all four coal source groups are less than 0.25 kg S/ha throughout Colorado. The non-coal in WRAP states and non-WRAP EGU combustion impacts are greater than 0.25 kg S/ha on one grid cell in Jefferson County. The contributions from federal coal sources show zero contributions in Colorado.

#### Other Anthropogenic Sources

Figure CO.SDEP.17 shows the sulfur deposition from other anthropogenic sources; these represent a large fraction of the cumulative deposition and ranges between 0.01 and 0.90 kg S/ha. The maximum impact of 0.9 kg S/ha occurs outside Colorado in northwestern Texas. Inside Colorado, the largest impacts are modeled in Adams County and Denver County.

#### Impacts at Class I and other areas in Colorado

Table 5.1-18 summarizes the average sulfur deposition over all areas of interest and shows the contribution for each source emissions group that corresponds to the average deposition. Table 5.1-19 shows similar information, but the values are reported for the maximum sulfur deposition in each area.

The cumulative average and maximum deposition values for all analysis areas do not exceed the 5 kg S/ha threshold. Federal fossil fuel development contributed from zero to 0.1 S/ha to average and maximum sulfur deposition for all areas. The non-fossil anthropogenic sources are the main contributors to both average and maximum sulfur deposition at all areas of interest (e.g., account for 52% to 60% of total deposition). All coal sources show zero contributions sulfur deposition at all areas.

	es NP	k Canyon of the nison Wilderness	onlands NP	es Nest erness	Tops Wilderness	it Sand Dunes erness-nps	arita Wilderness	oon Bells- vmass Wilderness	a Verde NP	nt Zirkel erness	ah Wilderness	ty Mountain NP	Pedro Parks erness	ninuche erness	t Elk Wilderness	eler Peak erness
	Arch	Blac Guni	Can	Eagle Wild	Flat	Grea Wild	La G	Mar	Mes	Mou Wild	Raw	Rock	San Wild	Wen Wild	Wes	Whe Wild
Cumulative	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
Natural	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Wildfire	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_ExistFed_WesternCO	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_ExistFed_RoyalGorge	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewFed_WesternCO	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewFed_RoyalGorge	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_Fed_Other_States	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NonFed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_ExistTribal	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewTribal	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_Fed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_NonFed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_EGU WRAP states	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_Comb WRAP states	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other_EGU	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Anthro_Rest	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Boundary Conditions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

# Table 5.1-18. Modeled average annual sulfur deposition (kg S/ha-yr) and corresponding contributions by emissions group at areas of interest.

Numbers may not add up exactly due to rounding.

	rkel ss	Wilderness	ountain NP	o Parks ss	Peak ss	che ss	ilderness	sells- ss Wilderness	nds NP	Wilderness	Wilderness	ss ss	de NP	nd Dunes ss-nps	4	iyon of the Wilderness
	Mount Zi Wilderne	Flat Tops	Βοςky Μα	San Pedro Wilderne	Wheeler Wilderne	Weminuc Wilderne	Rawah W	Maroon E Snowmas	Canyonla	West Elk	La Garita	Eagles Ne Wilderne	Mesa Ver	Great Sar Wilderne	Arches NI	Black Can Gunnison
Cumulative	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1
Natural	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Wildfire	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_ExistFed_WesternCO	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_ExistFed_RoyalGorge	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewFed_WesternCO	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewFed_RoyalGorge	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_Fed_Other_States	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NonFed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_ExistTribal	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OilGas_NewTribal	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_Fed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_NonFed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_EGU WRAP states	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal_Comb WRAP states	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other_EGU	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Anthro_Rest	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Boundary Conditions	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

### Table 5.1-19. Modeled maximum annual sulfur deposition (kg S/ha-yr) and corresponding contributions by emissions group at areas of interest.

#### 5.1.3 Visibility Impacts Estimates from Photochemical Modeling

This section presents the visibility impacts at the areas of interest in the Colorado analysis area. Table 5.1-20 shows the cumulative visibility design values calculated by SMAT-CE following the approach described in Section 4.4. The table only shows the future year design values (DVF) for both haze index (in deciview) and the corresponding light extinction (in inverse megameters). These values are provided for both the 20 percent clearest days and most impaired days (MID). Areas with the highest cumulative values in circa 2032 for the MID are Rocky Mountain NP, Arches NP, Canyonlands NP and Mesa Verde NP. However, all these areas have visibility design values for the most impaired days that are projected below the uniform rate of progress toward the 2064 visibility goals.

	20 Percent Cl	earest Days	20 Percent Most	Impaired Days
Assessment Area	Haze Index (dv)	Light Extinction (Mm <sup>-1</sup> )	Haze Index (dv)	Light Extinction (Mm <sup>-1</sup> )
Black Canyon of the Gunnison Wilderness	0.01	10.01	3.62	14.48
Mesa Verde NP	0.56	10.58	4.10	15.21
Mount Zirkel Wilderness	-0.89	9.16	2.38	12.83
Rawah Wilderness	-0.89	9.16	2.38	12.83
Rocky Mountain NP	0.03	10.04	5.74	18.20
San Pedro Parks Wilderness	-0.98	9.07	3.97	15.22
West Elk Wilderness	-1.41	8.69	1.55	11.77
Arches NP	0.22	10.23	4.24	15.44
Canyonlands NP	0.22	10.23	4.24	15.44
Eagles Nest Wilderness	-1.41	8.69	1.55	11.77
Flat Tops Wilderness	-1.41	8.69	1.55	11.77
Great Sand Dunes Wilderness NP	1.00	11.07	5.22	17.03
La Garita Wilderness	0.01	10.01	3.62	14.48
Maroon Bells-Snowmass Wilderness	-1.41	8.69	1.55	11.77
Weminuche Wilderness	0.01	10.01	3.62	14.48
Wheeler Peak Wilderness	-1.14	8.93	2.86	13.45

## Table 5.1-20. Future (circa 2032) cumulative visibility impacts to the 20 percent clearest and most impaired days in deciview and corresponding light extinction.

Table 5.1-21 and Table 5.1-22 provide the contribution from each group reported. to the cumulative design value (as light extinction) for both the 20 percent best and most impaired days respectively. During MID, the contributions of natural sources are small, while wildfires' contributions range between 2% and 16%. The maximum impacts are observed at Flat Tops Wilderness and Black Canyon of the Gunnison NP. The contributions from oil and gas sectors to visibility impacts are negligible with the contributions from states outside Colorado showing the largest impacts (2%) at Canyonlands NP and Mesa Verde NP. Existing federal oil and gas contributions from Western Colorado have a maximum impact of 1% at Mesa Verde NP. Among the coal source groups, coal EGUs affect Class I areas the most, with contributions between 2 and 5%. Impacts from other anthropogenic sources (both inside and outside the state) have significant impacts between 7 and 28%. This is not unexpected given the large number of urban and industrial emissions typically associated with this group. The maximum impact from this sector occurs at Rocky Mountain NP.

	Black Canyon of the Gunnison Wilderness	Mesa Verde NP	Mount Zirkel Wilderness	Rawah Wilderness	Rocky Mountain NP	San Pedro Parks Wilderness	West Elk Wilderness	Arches NP	Canyonlands NP	Eagles Nest Wilderness	Flat Tops Wilderness	Great Sand Dunes Wilderness NP	La Garita Wilderness	Maroon Bells- Snowmass Wilderness	Weminuche Wilderness	Wheeler Peak Wilderness	Black Canyon of the Gunnison Wilderness
Cumulative	10.01	10.58	9.16	9.16	10.04	9.07	8.69	10.23	10.23	8.69	8.69	11.07	10.01	8.69	10.01	8.93	10.01
Natural	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.06	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.03
Wildfire	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01
OilGas_ExistFed_WesternCO	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
OilGas_ExistFed_RoyalGorge	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
OilGas_NewFed_WesternCO	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01
OilGas_NewFed_RoyalGorge	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
OilGas Fed Other States	0.03	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.03	0.08	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.07	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.06	0.03
OilGas NonFed	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.08	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.02
OilGas ExistTribal	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.01
OilGas NewTribal	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00
Coal Fed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Coal NonFed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Coal EGU WRAP states	0.08	0.15	0.11	0.10	0.06	0.16	0.06	0.11	0.17	0.05	0.06	0.16	0.11	0.06	0.12	0.10	0.08
Coal Comb WRAP states	0.02	0.01	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02
Other EGU	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.06	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
Anthro Rest	0.61	0.90	0.50	0.62	0.54	0.52	0.31	0.86	0.68	0.36	0.31	1.08	0.44	0.32	0.46	0.48	0.61
Boundary Conditions	0.15	0.27	0.28	0.24	0.27	0.19	0.18	0.15	0.23	0.15	0.15	0.45	0.26	0.18	0.23	0.17	0.15
Rayleigh	9.00	9.00	8.00	8.00	9.00	8.00	8.00	9.00	9.00	8.00	8.00	9.00	9.00	8.00	9.00	8.00	9.00

# Table 5.1-21.Modeled cumulative light extinction (Mm<sup>-1</sup>) and corresponding contributions by emissions group at areas of<br/>interest for the 20 percent clearest days.

	Black Canyon of the Gunnison Wilderness	Mesa Verde NP	Mount Zirkel Wilderness	Rawah Wilderness	Rocky Mountain NP	San Pedro Parks Wilderness	West Elk Wilderness	Arches NP	Canyonlands NP	Eagles Nest Wilderness	Flat Tops Wilderness	Great Sand Dunes Wilderness NP	La Garita Wilderness	Maroon Bells- Snowmass Wilderness	Weminuche Wilderness	Wheeler Peak Wilderness	Black Canyon of the Gunnison Wilderness
Cumulative	14.48	15.21	12.83	12.83	18.20	15.22	11.77	15.44	15.44	11.77	11.77	17.03	14.48	11.77	14.48	13.45	14.48
Natural	0.09	0.12	0.15	0.15	0.25	0.14	0.14	0.09	0.12	0.13	0.07	0.13	0.15	0.14	0.13	0.15	0.09
Wildfire	1.47	0.53	0.29	0.28	0.95	1.19	0.45	0.13	0.21	0.36	1.93	1.32	0.86	0.41	0.88	0.37	1.47
OilGas_ExistFed_WesternCO	0.01	0.09	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.01	0.01
OilGas_ExistFed_RoyalGorge	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
OilGas_NewFed_WesternCO	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00
OilGas_NewFed_RoyalGorge	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
OilGas_Fed_Other_States	0.07	0.26	0.16	0.13	0.10	0.19	0.08	0.21	0.31	0.07	0.05	0.19	0.11	0.08	0.12	0.15	0.07
OilGas NonFed	0.04	0.20	0.11	0.17	0.59	0.12	0.07	0.11	0.17	0.07	0.04	0.15	0.08	0.07	0.09	0.11	0.04
OilGas ExistTribal	0.02	0.10	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.07	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.05	0.02	0.02
OilGas_NewTribal	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01
Coal_Fed	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Coal NonFed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Coal EGU WRAP states	0.41	0.54	0.27	0.26	0.26	0.28	0.27	0.61	0.83	0.20	0.15	0.45	0.51	0.22	0.46	0.23	0.41
Coal Comb WRAP states	0.04	0.06	0.20	0.12	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.08	0.10	0.04	0.05	0.09	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.04
Other EGU	0.07	0.13	0.18	0.17	0.55	0.17	0.08	0.09	0.11	0.08	0.08	0.19	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.16	0.07
Anthro Rest	2.20	3.07	2.33	2.32	5.18	3.24	1.48	4.00	3.06	1.75	0.80	3.45	1.89	1.52	2.02	2.59	2.20
Boundary Conditions	1.05	1.03	1.08	1.16	1.19	1.79	1.12	1.00	1.37	1.03	0.57	1.98	1.67	1.15	1.55	1.63	1.05
Rayleigh	9.00	9.00	8.00	8.00	9.00	8.00	8.00	9.00	9.00	8.00	8.00	9.00	9.00	8.00	9.00	8.00	9.00

# Table 5.1-22. Modeled cumulative light extinction (Mm<sup>-1</sup>) and corresponding contributions by emissions group at areas of interest for the 20 most impaired days.

### 6. **REFERENCES**

- BLM. 2022. Bureau of Land Management North Dakota Field Office Reasonably Foreseeable Development Scenario for Coal Development. North Dakota Field Office, Dickinson, North Dakota.
- BLM. 2023a. BLM North Dakota Draft Resource Management Plan (RMP) and Environmental Impact Statement (EIS): Air Quality Technical Support Document. North Dakota.
- BLM. 2023b. BLM North Dakota Draft RMP and EIS. Volume 1. January 2023.
- CAMx. 2022. Comprehensive Air quality Model with extensions. Internet website: <u>https://www.camx.com</u>.
- Colella, P., and P.R. Woodward. 1984. The Piecewise Parabolic Method (PPM) for Gas-dynamical Simulations. J. Comp. Phys., 54, 174-201.
- EIA (Energy Information Administration). 2019. Annual Coal Distribution Report 2019. U.S. Department of Energy. Internet website: <u>https://www.eia.gov/coal/distribution/annual/pdf/acdr\_fullreport2019.pdf</u>
- DEQ (North Dakota Department of Environmental Quality). 2019. Annual Report, North Dakota Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Program, Network Plan with Data Summary: 2019. Division of Air Quality, Ambient Air Monitoring Program, Bismarck, North Dakota. Internet website: https://deq.nd.gov/DataPDFs/AQ/Monitoring/Monitoring\_ Annual\_Reports/ARNP\_19.pdf.
- Emery, C., E. Tai, G. Yarwood, R. Morris. 2011. Investigation into approaches to reduce excessive vertical transport over complex terrain in a regional photochemical grid model. Atmos. Environ., 45, 7341-7351, doi: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.07.052.
- Fox, Douglas G.; Bartuska, Ann M.; Byrne, James G.; Cowling, Ellis; Fisher, Richard; Likens, Gene E.; Lindberg, Steven E.; Linthurst, Rick A.; Messer, Jay; f Nichols, Dale S. 1989. A screening procedure to evaluate air pollution effects on Class I wilderness areas. Gen. Tech. Rep. RM-168. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 36 p. https://doi.org/10.2737/RM-GTR-168
- Hand, J. L., and Malm, W. C.: Review of the IMPROVE equation for estimating ambient light extinction coefficients final report, Colorado State University, CIRA, 146, 2006.
- IPCC (International Panel on Climate Change). 2014. Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. 18 Contribution of Working Groups I, II, III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental 19 Panel on Climate Change. IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland.
- Morris, R., C. Emery, J. Johnson, and Z. Adelman. 2012. Technical Memorandum Number 12: Sea Salt and Lightning. ENVIRON International Corporation, Novato, California. June 25. (http://www.wrapair2.org/pdf/Memo\_12\_SeaSalt\_Lightning\_June25\_2012\_final.pdf).
- Ramboll, 2023. BLM Western US Photochemical Air Quality Modeling for 2028. Prepared for EMPSi and Bureau of Land Management. March 2023.
- Skamarock, W. C., Klemp, J. B., Dudhia, J., Gill, D. O., Barker, D., Duda, M. G., ... Powers, J. G.
   (2008). A Description of the Advanced Research WRF Version 3 (No. NCAR/TN-475+STR).
   University Corporation for Atmospheric Research. doi:10.5065/D68S4MVH

- Stantec. 2020. Coal market evaluation for Utah, Colorado, New Mexico, and Oklahoma. Prepared for Bureau of Land Management. Prepared by Stantec. July 1, 2020.
- Zhang, L., S. Gong, J. Padro, L. Barrie. 2001. A size-segregated particle dry deposition scheme for an atmospheric aerosol module, Atmospheric Environment, Volume 35, Issue 3, 2001, Pages 549-560.
- Zhang, L., J. R. Brook, and R. Vet. 2003. A revised parameterization for gaseous dry deposition in airquality models. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 2067–2082.

### APPENDIX A: OIL AND GAS EMISSIONS INVENTORY SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Appendix A - Table 1. Modeled oil and gas activity by mineral designation for each state: circa 2032

		Mineral De	esignation	
		Non-		
State	Federal	Federal	Tribal	Total
	Oil produ	uction (MM	IBbl/yr)	
CO	21	203	0	224
MT	20	30	2	51
ND	56	708	65	829
NM	133	123	3	259
SD	1	1	0	2
UT	17	7	8	32
WY	107	35	1	143
	Gas pro	CF/yr)		
CO	478	80	1,651	
MT	26	32	4	63
ND	115	1,458	134	1,707
NM	903	425	112	1,440
SD	1	1	0	2
UT	140	48	65	254
WY	1,404	393	53	1,850
	Well Coun	it (number	of wells)	
CO	14,812	52,996	1,877	69,685
MT	5,902	8,286	1,185	15,372
ND	2,688	34,151	3,144	39,983
NM	46,682	23,891	6,162	76,736
SD	140	184	-	324
UT	11,541	3,534	4,867	19,942
WY	37,777	11,372	749	49,897
	Spud Coun	t (number	of spuds)	
CO	223	829	22	1,074
MT	153	182	16	351
ND	150	1893	174	2,217
NM	1,001	325	238	1,564
SD	2	3	0	6
UT	214	92	113	420
WY	627	189	14	829

Appendix A - Table 2.	Modeled	federal	existing	and r	new o	oil and	gas	activity	for	each	state:	circa
2032.												

	Federal										
State	Existing	New									
Oil production (MMBbl/yr)											
CO	4	17									
MT	4	16									
ND	12	43									
NM	38	96									
SD	0	1									
UT	2	15									
WY	18	89									
	Gas product	tion (BCF/yr)									
CO	210	267									
MT	9	17									
ND	26	89									
NM	661	241									
SD	1	0									
UT	106	35									
WY	668	736									
We	ll Count (num	ber of wells)									
CO	11,918	2,894									
MT	3,908	1,993									
ND	1,247	1,441									
NM	33,663	13,019									
SD	110	30									
UT	8,757	2,784									
WY	28,729	9,047									
Spud	Count (numb	per of spuds)									
CO	-	223									
MT	-	153									
ND	-	150									
NM	-	1,001									
SD	-	2									
UT	-	214									
WY	-	627									

O&G Activity Scaling Parameter	Emission Source Category							
	Refracing							
	Water Pump Engines							
	Well Venting							
	Wellhead Engines (e.g., compressors, artificial lift)							
	Workover rigs							
Active Well Count	Blowdowns							
Active wen count	Heaters							
	Fugitive Leaks							
	Pneumatic Devices							
	Pneumatic Pumps							
	Well Venting							
	Recompletions							
Gas Production (i.e.,	Midstream Sources							
total, primary,	Produced Water Tanks							
associated, Coalbed	Dehydrators							
Methane (CBM)	Casinghead Gas							
Liquid Hydrocarbon	Oil Tanks							
Production (i.e., oil,	Condensate Tanks							
condensate)	Tank Truck/Railcar Loading							
	Completions							
Spud Count	Drill Rigs							
Spud Count	Hydraulic Fracturing Engines							
	Mud Degassing							

#### Appendix A - Table 3. Scaling parameter for nonpoint oil and gas source categories.

Pollutants	New federal	New + existing federal	New tribal	New + existing tribal	New + existing non-federal	Total (federal + tribal + non-federal)	New federal + new tribal
NO <sub>x</sub>	4,734	10,150	1,865	8,339	28,363	46,851	6,598
VOC	25,215	36,565	292	1,035	68,325	105,925	25,508
СО	3,701	8,456	1,509	6,863	28,615	43,934	5,210
SO <sub>2</sub>	103	199	4	15	290	504	108
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	150	246	22	82	835	1,164	172
PM <sub>10</sub>	152	250	23	87	863	1,200	175
CO <sub>2</sub>	887,431	1,661,290	164,717	637,098	5,486,150	7,784,538	1,052,149
CH <sub>4</sub>	30,084	119,080	69,168	337,885	345,519	802,484	99,251
N <sub>2</sub> O	30	103	53	269	322	694	83
CO <sub>2</sub> e (20-yr GWP)	3,422,375	11,691,170	5,988,817	29,090,444	34,594,826	75,376,440	9,411,193
CO <sub>2</sub> e (100-yr GWP)	1,737,718	5,022,798	2,115,475	10,169,148	15,246,059	30,438,004	3,853,193
Total HAPs	1,402	2,084	29	82	4,009	6,175	1,431

#### Appendix A - Table 4. Colorado (CO) modeled oil and gas emissions (short tons/year) in circa 2032 by mineral designation.

#### Spatial Maps of Modeled Oil and Gas Activity



Appendix A - Figure 10. Modeled circa 2032 active oil wells in the selected states for Federal (excluding Tribal; left), Non-Federal (middle), and Tribal (right) mineral estate (Source: Data from BLM).

Ramboll - Air Quality Technical Support Document



Appendix A - Figure 11. Modeled circa 2032 active gas wells in the selected states for Federal (excluding Tribal; left), Non-Federal (middle), and Tribal (right) mineral estate (Source: Data from BLM).

Ramboll - Air Quality Technical Support Document



Appendix A - Figure 12. Modeled circa 2032 active CBM wells in the selected states for Federal (excluding Tribal; left), Non-Federal (middle), and Tribal (right) mineral estate (Source: Data from BLM).



Appendix A - Figure 13. Modeled circa 2032 drilled wells in the selected states for Federal (excluding Tribal; left), Non-Federal (middle), and Tribal (right) mineral estate (Source: Data from BLM).

#### Ramboll - Air Quality Technical Support Document

Federal (excluding Tribal) gas production from oil wells circa 2032 Non-Federal gas production from oil wells circa 2032

Tribal active gas production from oil wells circa 2032



Appendix A - Figure 14. Modeled circa 2032 gas production from oil wells in the selected states for Federal (excluding Tribal; left), Non-Federal (middle), and Tribal (right) mineral estate (Source: Data from BLM).



Appendix A - Figure 15. Modeled circa 2032 gas production from gas wells in the selected states for Federal (excluding Tribal; left), Non-Federal (middle), and Tribal (right) mineral estate (Source: Data from BLM).



Federal (excluding Tribal) gas production from CBM wells circa 2032 Non-Federal gas production from CBM wells circa 2032 Tribal active gas production from CBM wells circa 2032

Appendix A - Figure 16. Modeled circa 2032 gas production from CBM wells in the selected states for Federal (excluding Tribal; left), Non-Federal (middle), and Tribal (right) mineral estate (Source: Data from BLM).

Ramboll - Air Quality Technical Support Document



Appendix A - Figure 17. Modeled circa 2032 oil production from oil wells in the selected states for Federal (excluding Tribal; left), Non-Federal (middle), and Tribal (right) mineral estate (Source: Data from BLM).

Ramboll - Air Quality Technical Support Document

Federal (excluding Tribal) oil production from gas wells circa 2032 Non-

Non-Federal oil production from gas wells circa 2032 Tribal active oil production from gas wells circa 2032



Appendix A - Figure 18. Modeled circa 2032 oil production from gas wells in the selected states for Federal (excluding Tribal; left), Non-Federal (middle), and Tribal (right) mineral estate (Source: Data from BLM).

#### Ramboll - Air Quality Technical Support Document

												Total HAPs	
	Criteri	a Air Pollu	tant Emiss	ions (sho	rt tons/v	vear)	Gree	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (short tons/year)					
				(	<i>_</i>			CO2e CO2e					
										(20-yr	(100-yr		
State	NOx	VOC	СО	<b>SO</b> <sub>2</sub>	PM2.5	<b>PM</b> 10	<b>CO</b> <sub>2</sub>	CH <sub>4</sub>	N <sub>2</sub> O	GWP) <sup>1</sup>	GWP) <sup>2</sup>		
Federal (excluding Tribal)													
CO	10,150	36,565	8,456	199	246	250	1,661,290	119,080	103	11,691,178	5,022,801	2,084	
MT	3,592	15,952	4,688	269	87	87	899,199	49,000	16	5,019,413	2,275,429	829	
ND	13,580	34,843	15,398	10,129	1,435	1,586	6,637,115	54,576	73	11,240,781	8,184,599	3,696	
NM	52,216	94,069	119,401	19,118	2,353	2,380	9,340,025	215,007	183	27,448,888	15,408,679	6,087	
SD	282	1,273	204	7	21	21	244,811	1,609	5	381,159	291,059	83	
UT	10,113	117,584	9,540	288	489	489	4,599,808	607,165	80	55,622,872	21,621,712	7,227	
WY	27,956	148,617	14,149	4,598	1,139	1,139	8,707,579	176,659	182	23,594,948	13,702,225	5,325	
Tribal													
CO	8,339	1,035	6,863	15	82	87	637,098	337,885	269	29,090,436	10,169,145	82	
MT	639	2,343	836	23	12	12	102,501	19,993	2	1,782,362	662,756	118	
ND	8,177	38,035	12,793	3,217	258	278	5,680,255	58,999	39	10,646,468	7,342,563	3,956	
NM	5,528	13,646	16,972	44	279	285	1,721,383	42,195	28	5,273,225	2,910,333	749	
SD	23	1	8	0	2	2	26,147	40	1	29,646	27,406	0	
UT	5,449	26,535	5,670	185	267	267	1,929,303	109,336	33	11,122,122	4,999,338	1,673	
WY	269	1,370	254	464	12	12	107,919	4,821	2	513,404	243,430	69	
		-				-	Non-federal	-					
CO	28,363	68,325	28,615	290	835	863	5,486,150	345,519	322	34,594,788	15,246,046	4,009	
MT	5,250	25,733	6,708	418	100	100	1,233,114	106,292	18	10,166,456	4,214,122	1,442	
ND	85,737	412,034	136,940	31,448	2,232	2,383	60,861,462	638,865	405	114,633,089	78,857,054	42,824	
NM	33,790	46,998	50,228	18,898	1,201	1,214	5,440,422	75,518	768	11,986,782	7,758,543	3,386	
SD	390	1,792	269	10	53	53	338,313	2,094	7	515,994	398,736	119	
UT	3,763	30,953	3,651	156	189	189	1,519,283	156,468	27	14,669,676	5,907,495	1,985	
WY	8,870	46,817	4,730	1,419	408	408	3,965,065	50,437	76	8,221,768	5,397,372	1,741	
							Total						
CO	46,851	105,925	43,934	504	1,164	1,200	7,784,538	802,484	694	75,376,402	30,437,992	6,175	
MT	9,482	44,027	12,232	711	199	199	2,234,813	175,286	36	16,968,231	7,152,307	2,389	
ND	107,494	484,912	165,131	44,794	3,925	4,247	73,178,832	752,439	517	136,520,338	94,384,216	50,477	
NM	91,533	154,713	186,601	38,059	3,833	3,880	16,501,831	332,720	979	44,708,896	26,077,555	10,222	
SD	695	3,066	482	18	77	77	609,271	3,744	12	926,798	717,202	203	
UT	19,325	175,071	18,861	629	944	944	8,048,394	872,969	140	81,414,669	32,528,545	10,885	
WY	37,096	196,804	19,133	6,481	1,559	1,559	12,780,563	231,917	260	32,330,119	19,343,027	7,136	

#### Appendix A - Table 5. Modeled circa 2032 (new plus existing) oil and gas emissions by state and mineral designation.

<sup>1</sup> 20-year time horizon global warming potentials (GWPs) applied are:  $CO_2 = 1$ ;  $CH_4 = 84$ ;  $N_2O = 264$  from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Fifth Assessment Report (AR5).

<sup>2</sup> 100-year time horizon global warming potentials (GWPs) applied are:  $CO_2 = 1$ ;  $CH_4 = 28$ ;  $N_2O = 265$  from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Fifth Assessment

Report (AR5).

	Criter	ia Air Pollu	tant Emiss	ions (sho	rt tons/y	/ear)	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (short tons/year)						
											CO₂e (20-yr	CO2e (100-yr	Total HAPs (short
State	NOx	VOC	СО	<b>SO</b> <sub>2</sub>	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	<b>PM</b> <sub>10</sub>	<b>CO</b> <sub>2</sub>	CH₄	N <sub>2</sub>	0	GWP) <sup>1</sup>	GWP) <sup>2</sup>	tons/year)
Federal (excluding Tribal)													
CO	4,734	25,215	3,701	103	150	152	887,431	30,08	34	30	3,422,375	1,737,718	1,402
MT	2,328	8,055	3,071	160	55	55	565,214	20,35	59	12	2,278,494	1,138,382	479
ND	8,705	24,805	11,250	6,447	912	1,008	4,238,179	36,24	ŀ7	51	7,296,384	5,266,597	2,727
NM	13,922	35,214	26,608	4,028	492	499	3,634,180	83,06	57	83	10,633,777	5,982,092	2,184
SD	169	491	84	4	13	13	143,104	43	88	3	180,691	156,140	37
UT	2,420	71,667	1,995	28	121	121	1,373,715	329,50	)6	26	29,059,069	10,606,752	5,113
WY	19,331	118,696	7,417	3,208	584	584	4,215,406	57,99	93 1	100	9,113,299	5,865,776	4,055
							Tribal						
CO	1,865	292	1,509	4	22	23	164,717	69,16	58	53	5,988,817	2,115,475	29
MT	208	765	329	11	5	5	46,065	3,86	56	1	371,052	154,569	44
ND	5,260	27,248	9,813	2,036	161	173	3,624,473	39,26	57	30	6,930,785	4,731,874	2,953
NM	2,305	6,975	5,477	20	78	80	1,014,104	24,32	20	15	3,060,846	1,698,925	326
SD	14	0	5	0	1	1	16,431	2	25	0	18,635	17,224	0
UT	1,904	15,803	1,925	34	90	90	665,361	65,13	32	12	6,139,485	2,492,122	1,137
WY	149	421	139	288	7	7	52,241	1,61	.5	1	188,170	97,722	24
					Con	nbined fe	ederal (includi	ng Tribal)					
CO	6,598	25,508	5,210	108	172	175	1,052,149	99,25	$\overline{51}$	83	9,411,193	3,853,193	1,431
MT	2,536	8,819	3,400	172	60	60	611,279	24,22	25	13	2,649,546	1,292,951	523
ND	13,965	52,053	21,063	8,483	1,073	1,181	7,862,652	75,51	.4	81	14,227,169	9,998,471	5,680
NM	16,227	42,190	32,084	4,048	569	579	4,648,284	107,38	38	98	13,694,622	7,681,016	2,510
SD	183	491	90	4	15	15	159,535	46	54	3	199,326	173,364	38
UT	4,324	87,470	3,920	62	212	212	2,039,076	394,63	38	38	35,198,554	13,098,874	6,249
WY	19,480	119,117	7,557	3,496	591	591	4,267,648	59,60	)8 1	101	9,301,469	5,963,498	4,079

#### Appendix A - Table 6. Modeled circa 2032 "new" oil and gas emissions: federal (excluding Tribal), Tribal, and combined.

<sup>1</sup> 20-year time horizon global warming potentials (GWPs) applied are: CO2 = 1; CH4 = 84; N2O = 264 from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Fifth Assessment

Report (AR5).

<sup>2</sup> 100-year time horizon global warming potentials (GWPs) applied are: CO2 = 1; CH4 = 28; N2O = 265 from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Fifth Assessment

Report (AR5)
Ramboll - Air Quality Technical Support Document

#### **APPENDIX B: MODELING RESULTS SPATIAL PLOTS**

## Figure CO.CO.8hr.1 2nd highest 8-hr daily max CO Cumulative



max(27,49) = 9.4 ppm
min(14,37) = 0.1 ppm

#### Figure CO.NO2.1h.1 98th percentile daily max NO<sub>2</sub> Cumulative, CO



max(18,12) = 60.0 ppbmin(1,8) = 0.6 ppb

#### Figure CO.NO2.1h.2 98th percentile daily max NO<sub>2</sub> Natural



max(64,12) = 4.6 ppb min(55,46) = 0.0 ppb Figure CO.NO2.1h.3 98th percentile daily max NO<sub>2</sub> Wildfire



max(33,23) = 24.3 ppb min(14,43) = 0.0 ppb





max(16,13) = 10.1 ppb min(1,48) = 0.0 ppb

#### Figure CO.NO2.1h.5 98th percentile daily max NO<sub>2</sub> OilGas\_ExistFed\_RoyalGorge



max(42,40) = 0.2 ppb min(6,51) = 0.0 ppb





max(20,33) = 10.6 ppb min(1,48) = 0.0 ppb

### Figure CO.NO2.1h.7 98th percentile daily max NO<sub>2</sub> OilGas\_NewFed\_RoyalGorge



max(41,37) = 3.6 ppb min(6,51) = 0.0 ppb

## Figure CO.NO2.1h.8 98th percentile daily max NO<sub>2</sub> OilGas\_Fed\_Other\_States



max(16,10) = 25.0 ppb min(1,2) = 0.0 ppb

### Figure CO.NO2.1h.9 98th percentile daily max NO<sub>2</sub> OilGas\_NonFed



max(48,42) = 34.0 ppb min(1,2) = 0.0 ppb

## Figure CO.NO2.1h.10 98th percentile daily max NO<sub>2</sub> OilGas\_ExistTribal



max(18,12) = 33.7 ppb min(57,3) = 0.0 ppb

## Figure CO.NO2.1h.11 98th percentile daily max NO<sub>2</sub> OilGas\_NewTribal



max(20,12) = 7.0 ppb min(57,3) = 0.0 ppb

## Figure CO.NO2.1h.12 98th percentile daily max NO<sub>2</sub> Coal\_Fed



Max(11,9) = 0.1 ppbMin(57,3) = 0.0 ppb

# Figure CO.NO2.1h.13 98th percentile daily max NO<sub>2</sub> Coal\_NonFed



 $\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} \max(15,1) = 0.1 \text{ ppb}$  $\min(57,3) = 0.0 \text{ ppb}$ 

### Figure CO.NO2.1h.14 98th percentile daily max NO<sub>2</sub> Coal\_EGU WRAP states



max(39,52) = 1.3 ppb min(57,3) = 0.0 ppb

## Figure CO.NO2.1h.15 98th percentile daily max NO<sub>2</sub> Coal\_Comb WRAP states



max(9,54) = 2.4 ppb min(57,3) = 0.0 ppb

#### Figure CO.NO2.1h.16 98th percentile daily max NO<sub>2</sub> OtherEGU



max(38,37) = 1.5 ppb min(35,54) = 0.0 ppb

#### Figure CO.NO2.1h.17 98th percentile daily max NO<sub>2</sub> Anthro\_Rest



max(40,36) = 42.8 ppb min(36,20) = 0.0 ppb

## Figure CO.NO2.ann.1 Annual Average NO<sub>2</sub> Cumulative, CO



max(18,12) = 23.9 ppb
min(1,8) = 0.1 ppb

#### Figure CO.NO2.ann.2 Annual Average NO<sub>2</sub> Natural



max(31,18) = 0.6 ppb min(32,1) = 0.0 ppb

## Figure CO.NO2.ann.3 Annual Average NO<sub>2</sub> Wildfire



max(30,48) = 0.5 ppb min(66,43) = 0.0 ppb

## Figure CO.NO2.ann.4 Annual Average NO<sub>2</sub> OilGas\_ExistFed\_WesternCO



max(19,12) = 3.9 ppb min(2,53) = 0.0 ppb

## Figure CO.NO2.ann.5 Annual Average NO<sub>2</sub> OilGas\_ExistFed\_RoyalGorge



Max(42,40) = 0.1 ppbMin(1,53) = 0.0 ppb

## Figure CO.NO2.ann.6 Annual Average NO<sub>2</sub> OilGas\_NewFed\_WesternCO



max(15,12) = 1.5 ppb min(2,53) = 0.0 ppb

## Figure CO.NO2.ann.7 Annual Average NO<sub>2</sub> OilGas\_NewFed\_RoyalGorge



max(44,38) = 1.3 ppb min(1,53) = 0.0 ppb Figure CO.NO2.ann.8 Annual Average NO<sub>2</sub> OilGas\_Fed\_Other\_States



max(16,10) = 10.0 ppb min(1,2) = 0.0 ppb

## Figure CO.NO2.ann.9 Annual Average NO<sub>2</sub> OilGas\_NonFed



 $\bigotimes \max(48,42) = 9.5 \text{ ppb}$  $\bigotimes \min(1,1) = 0.0 \text{ ppb}$ 

## Figure CO.NO2.ann.10 Annual Average NO<sub>2</sub> OilGas\_ExistTribal



max(18,12) = 11.9 ppb min(66,38) = 0.0 ppb

# Figure CO.NO2.ann.11 Annual Average NO<sub>2</sub> OilGas\_NewTribal



# Figure CO.NO2.ann.12 Annual Average NO<sub>2</sub> Coal\_Fed



# Figure CO.NO2.ann.13 Annual Average NO<sub>2</sub> Coal\_NonFed



max(2,37) = 0.0 ppb
min(38,12) = 0.0 ppb

# Figure CO.NO2.ann.14 Annual Average NO<sub>2</sub> Coal\_EGU WRAP states



max(2,38) = 0.2 ppbmin(51,1) = 0.0 ppb

## Figure CO.NO2.ann.15 Annual Average NO<sub>2</sub> Coal\_Comb WRAP states



max(9,54) = 0.2 ppbmin(6,2) = 0.0 ppb

## Figure CO.NO2.ann.16 Annual Average NO<sub>2</sub> OtherEGU



max(38,37) = 1.1 ppb min(22,20) = 0.0 ppb

#### Figure CO.NO2.ann.17 Annual Average NO<sub>2</sub> Anthro\_Rest



max(42,37) = 10.6 ppb min(27,20) = 0.0 ppb
# Figure CO.O3.1 4th High Daily Max 8 Hour Avg Ozone Cumulative, CO



max(39,36) = 69.3 ppb min(65,37) = 45.8 ppb

# Figure CO.O3.2 4th High Daily Max 8 Hour Avg Ozone Natural



max(65,9) = 7.8 ppb
min(63,16) = 0.3 ppb

## Figure CO.O3.3 4th High Daily Max 8 Hour Avg Ozone Wildfire



max(63,16) = 19.3 ppb min(61,29) = 0.0 ppb

# Figure CO.O3.4 4th High Daily Max 8 Hour Avg Ozone OilGas\_ExistFed\_WesternCO



max(17,13) = 3.1 ppb min(1,48) = 0.0 ppb

# Figure CO.O3.5 4th High Daily Max 8 Hour Avg Ozone OilGas\_ExistFed\_RoyalGorge



 $\bigcirc \max(43,43) = 0.1 \text{ ppb}$  $\bigcirc \min(3,48) = 0.0 \text{ ppb}$ 

# Figure CO.O3.6 4th High Daily Max 8 Hour Avg Ozone OilGas\_NewFed\_WesternCO



max(18,37) = 0.8 ppb min(1,48) = 0.0 ppb

# Figure CO.O3.7 4th High Daily Max 8 Hour Avg Ozone OilGas\_NewFed\_RoyalGorge



 $\bigcirc \max(44,41) = 0.5 \text{ ppb}$  $\bigcirc \min(3,47) = 0.0 \text{ ppb}$ 

# Figure CO.O3.8 4th High Daily Max 8 Hour Avg Ozone OilGas\_Fed\_Other\_States



max(7,43) = 6.6 ppbmin(3,14) = 0.0 ppb

# Figure CO.O3.9 4th High Daily Max 8 Hour Avg Ozone OilGas\_NonFed



 $\bigotimes \max(40,43) = 7.4 \text{ ppb}$  $\bigotimes \min(3,14) = 0.0 \text{ ppb}$ 

# Figure CO.O3.10 4th High Daily Max 8 Hour Avg Ozone OilGas\_ExistTribal



 $\bigotimes \max(18,13) = 3.4 \text{ ppb}$  $\bigotimes \min(1,14) = 0.0 \text{ ppb}$ 

# Figure CO.O3.11 4th High Daily Max 8 Hour Avg Ozone OilGas\_NewTribal



max(18,13) = 1.3 ppb min(2,48) = 0.0 ppb

# Figure CO.O3.12 4th High Daily Max 8 Hour Avg Ozone Coal\_Fed



 $\bigotimes \max(11,9) = 0.1 \text{ ppb}$  $\bigotimes \min(1,14) = 0.0 \text{ ppb}$ 

# Figure CO.O3.13 4th High Daily Max 8 Hour Avg Ozone Coal\_NonFed



max(17,54) = 0.1 ppbmin(1,14) = 0.0 ppb

### Figure CO.O3.14 4th High Daily Max 8 Hour Avg Ozone Coal\_EGU WRAP states



 $\bigotimes \max(1,37) = 3.0 \text{ ppb}$  $\bigotimes \min(3,48) = 0.0 \text{ ppb}$ 

# Figure CO.O3.15 4th High Daily Max 8 Hour Avg Ozone Coal\_Comb WRAP states



 $\bigotimes \max(9,54) = 1.5 \text{ ppb}$  $\bigotimes \min(39,1) = 0.0 \text{ ppb}$ 

### Figure CO.O3.16 4th High Daily Max 8 Hour Avg Ozone OtherEGU



max(64,44) = 2.5 ppb
min(50,18) = 0.0 ppb

# Figure CO.O3.17 4th High Daily Max 8 Hour Avg Ozone Anthro\_Rest



max(64,13) = 17.2 ppb min(32,46) = 1.2 ppb

# Figure CO.PM10.dly.1 2nd highest daily average PM<sub>10</sub> concentration Cumulative



 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \max(33,23) = 390.2 \ \mu g/m^3 \\ O\min(26,24) = 3.3 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

### Figure CO.PM10.dly.2 2nd highest daily average PM<sub>10</sub> concentration Natural



 $\sum_{i=1}^{3} \max(56,38) = 0.9 \ \mu g/m^3 \\ \min(42,1) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

## Figure CO.PM10.dly.3 2nd highest daily average PM<sub>10</sub> concentration Wildfire



 $\cos(33,23) = 384.9 \ \mu g/m^3 \ Omin(1,45) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

# Figure CO.PM10.dly.4 2nd highest daily average PM<sub>10</sub> concentration OilGas\_ExistFed\_WesternCO



 $\sum_{i=1}^{3} \max(16,12) = 0.6 \ \mu g/m^3 \ \min(1,36) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

# Figure CO.PM10.dly.5 2nd highest daily average PM<sub>10</sub> concentration OilGas\_ExistFed\_RoyalGorge



 $one max(43,40) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$  $one min(1,48) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

# Figure CO.PM10.dly.6 2nd highest daily average PM<sub>10</sub> concentration OilGas\_NewFed\_WesternCO



 $\sum_{i=1}^{3} \max(15,12) = 0.2 \ \mu g/m^3 \ \min(1,36) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

# Figure CO.PM10.dly.7 2nd highest daily average PM<sub>10</sub> concentration OilGas\_NewFed\_RoyalGorge



 $\sum_{i=1}^{3} \max(44,39) = 0.3 \ \mu g/m^3 \\ \min(23,39) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

# Figure CO.PM10.dly.8 2nd highest daily average PM<sub>10</sub> concentration OilGas\_Fed\_Other\_States



 $\sum_{i=1}^{3} \max(16,10) = 1.9 \ \mu g/m^3$  $\sum_{i=1}^{3} \min(1,8) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

# Figure CO.PM10.dly.9 2nd highest daily average PM<sub>10</sub> concentration OilGas\_NonFed



 $\bigcirc \max(43,40) = 4.8 \ \mu g/m^3$  $O \min(1,8) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

## Figure CO.PM10.dly.10 2nd highest daily average PM<sub>10</sub> concentration OilGas\_ExistTribal



 $\bigcirc \max(18,12) = 0.6 \ \mu g/m^3$  $O \min(1,4) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

## Figure CO.PM10.dly.11 2nd highest daily average PM<sub>10</sub> concentration OilGas\_NewTribal



 $\begin{array}{l} \diamondsuit \\ O \\ min(1,4) = \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0.2 \ \mu g/m^3 \\ min(1,4) = \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3 \end{array}$ 

# Figure CO.PM10.dly.12 2nd highest daily average PM<sub>10</sub> concentration Coal\_Fed



 $\begin{array}{l} \diamondsuit \\ O \\ min(2,12) = \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 2.1 \ \mu g/m^3 \\ min(2,12) = \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3 \end{array}$ 

# Figure CO.PM10.dly.13 2nd highest daily average PM<sub>10</sub> concentration Coal\_NonFed



 $\begin{array}{l} \diamondsuit \\ O \\ min(2,4) = \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0.5 \ \mu g/m^3 \\ min(2,4) = \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3 \end{array}$ 

# Figure CO.PM10.dly.14 2nd highest daily average PM<sub>10</sub> concentration Coal\_EGU WRAP states



 $\bigcirc \max(1,34) = 0.6 \ \mu g/m^3$  $O \min(1,48) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

# Figure CO.PM10.dly.15 2nd highest daily average PM<sub>10</sub> concentration Coal\_Comb WRAP states



 $\bigcirc$  max(8,54) = 1.6  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> O min(30,11) = 0.0  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>

### Figure CO.PM10.dly.16 2nd highest daily average PM<sub>10</sub> concentration OtherEGU



 $\bigcirc$  max(66,44) = 2.4  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> O min(1,48) = 0.0  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>

# Figure CO.PM10.dly.17 2nd highest daily average PM<sub>10</sub> concentration Anthro\_Rest



 $\cos(20,39) = 97.5 \ \mu g/m^3 \ O(1,48) = 0.2 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

## Figure CO.PM10.ann.1 Annual average PM<sub>10</sub> concentration Cumulative



 $\sum_{i=1}^{1} \max(40,36) = 25.1 \ \mu g/m^3 \\ O \ \min(22,20) = 0.9 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

### Figure CO.PM10.ann.2 Annual average PM<sub>10</sub> concentration Natural



 $\sum_{i=1}^{3} \max(66,22) = 0.1 \ \mu g/m^3 \ \min(13,41) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$
### Figure CO.PM10.ann.3 Annual average PM<sub>10</sub> concentration Wildfire



 $\sum_{i=1}^{3} \max(30,48) = 10.4 \ \mu g/m^3 \\ \min(66,3) = 0.1 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

# Figure CO.PM10.ann.4 Annual average PM<sub>10</sub> concentration OilGas\_ExistFed\_WesternCO



 $Max(19,12) = 0.4 \ \mu g/m^3$  $Min(5,52) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

# Figure CO.PM10.ann.5 Annual average PM<sub>10</sub> concentration OilGas\_ExistFed\_RoyalGorge



 $\bigcirc \max(42,40) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$  $O \min(3,31) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

# Figure CO.PM10.ann.6 Annual average PM<sub>10</sub> concentration OilGas\_NewFed\_WesternCO



 $\bigcirc \max(15,12) = 0.1 \ \mu g/m^3$  $O \min(5,52) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

# Figure CO.PM10.ann.7 Annual average PM<sub>10</sub> concentration OilGas\_NewFed\_RoyalGorge



 $\bigcirc$  max(44,38) = 0.1  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>  $\bigcirc$  min(1,31) = 0.0  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>

## Figure CO.PM10.ann.8 Annual average PM<sub>10</sub> concentration OilGas\_Fed\_Other\_States



 $\sum_{i=1}^{3} \max(16,10) = 1.0 \ \mu g/m^3 \ \min(2,1) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

## Figure CO.PM10.ann.9 Annual average PM<sub>10</sub> concentration OilGas\_NonFed



 $\sum_{i=1}^{6} \max(43,41) = 0.7 \ \mu g/m^3 \ \min(1,51) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

# Figure CO.PM10.ann.10 Annual average PM<sub>10</sub> concentration OilGas\_ExistTribal



 $\bigcirc \max(18,12) = 0.4 \ \mu g/m^3$  $O \min(2,1) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

## Figure CO.PM10.ann.11 Annual average PM<sub>10</sub> concentration OilGas\_NewTribal



 $\bigcirc \max(18,5) = 0.1 \ \mu g/m^3$  $O \min(2,1) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

## Figure CO.PM10.ann.12 Annual average PM<sub>10</sub> concentration Coal\_Fed



 $\bigcirc \max(11,9) = 1.5 \ \mu g/m^3$  $O \min(1,48) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

## Figure CO.PM10.ann.13 Annual average PM<sub>10</sub> concentration Coal\_NonFed



 $\bigcirc$  max(15,1) = 0.2  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> O min(1,7) = 0.0  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>

## Figure CO.PM10.ann.14 Annual average PM<sub>10</sub> concentration Coal\_EGU WRAP states



 $\sum_{i=1}^{3} \max(12,10) = 0.4 \ \mu g/m^3 \ \min(1,1) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

# Figure CO.PM10.ann.15 Annual average PM<sub>10</sub> concentration Coal\_Comb WRAP states



 $\begin{array}{l} \diamondsuit \\ O \\ min(3,1) = \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0.1 \ \mu g/m^3 \\ min(3,1) = \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3 \end{array}$ 

### Figure CO.PM10.ann.16 Annual average PM<sub>10</sub> concentration OtherEGU



 $\sum_{i=1}^{3} \max(38,37) = 0.4 \ \mu g/m^3 \ \min(22,20) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

## Figure CO.PM10.ann.17 Annual average PM<sub>10</sub> concentration Anthro\_Rest



 $\sum_{i=1}^{1} \max(40,36) = 23.3 \ \mu g/m^3 \\ O \min(22,19) = 0.4 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

#### Figure CO.PM25.dly.1 8th highest daily average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration Cumulative



 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \max(33,23) = 123.3 \ \mu g/m^3 \\ O\min(21,22) = 1.6 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

### Figure CO.PM25.dly.2 8th highest daily average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration Natural



 $\sum_{i=1}^{6} \max(66,37) = 1.0 \ \mu g/m^3 \ \min(19,9) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

### Figure CO.PM25.dly.3 8th highest daily average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration Wildfire



 $\cos(33,23) = 120.4 \ \mu g/m^3 \ Omin(7,46) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

## Figure CO.PM25.dly.4 8th highest daily average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration OilGas\_ExistFed\_WesternCO



 $\sum_{i=1}^{3} \max(19,12) = 0.7 \ \mu g/m^3 \ \min(2,14) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

# Figure CO.PM25.dly.5 8th highest daily average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration OilGas\_ExistFed\_RoyalGorge



 $\sum_{i=1}^{3} \max(42,41) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3 \ \min(2,47) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

# Figure CO.PM25.dly.6 8th highest daily average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration OilGas\_NewFed\_WesternCO



 $\bigcirc \max(20,33) = 0.2 \ \mu g/m^3$  $O \min(2,2) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

# Figure CO.PM25.dly.7 8th highest daily average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration OilGas\_NewFed\_RoyalGorge



 $\sum_{i=1}^{3} \max(44,38) = 0.3 \ \mu g/m^3 \\ \min(4,31) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

## Figure CO.PM25.dly.8 8th highest daily average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration OilGas\_Fed\_Other\_States



 $\bigcirc \max(16,10) = 1.9 \ \mu g/m^3$  $O \min(1,2) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

## Figure CO.PM25.dly.9 8th highest daily average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration OilGas\_NonFed



 $\bigcirc \max(43,41) = 2.9 \ \mu g/m^3$  $O \min(2,2) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

# Figure CO.PM25.dly.10 8th highest daily average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration OilGas\_ExistTribal



 $\bigcirc \max(18,12) = 0.5 \ \mu g/m^3$  $O \min(2,2) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

# Figure CO.PM25.dly.11 8th highest daily average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration OilGas\_NewTribal



 $\begin{array}{l} \diamondsuit \\ O \\ min(1,2) = \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0.1 \ \mu g/m^3 \\ min(1,2) = \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3 \end{array}$ 

## Figure CO.PM25.dly.12 8th highest daily average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration Coal\_Fed



 $\begin{array}{l} \diamondsuit \\ O \\ min(2,2) = \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0.3 \ \mu g/m^3 \\ 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3 \end{array}$ 

## Figure CO.PM25.dly.13 8th highest daily average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration Coal\_NonFed



 $\bigcirc \max(15,1) = 0.1 \ \mu g/m^3$ O min(2,2) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3

## Figure CO.PM25.dly.14 8th highest daily average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration Coal\_EGU WRAP states



 $\begin{array}{l} \diamondsuit \\ O \\ min(2,47) = \end{array} \begin{array}{l} 0.8 \ \mu g/m^3 \\ min(2,47) = \end{array} \begin{array}{l} 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3 \end{array}$ 

### Figure CO.PM25.dly.15 8th highest daily average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration Coal\_Comb WRAP states



 $\sum_{i=1}^{3} \max(8,53) = 0.6 \ \mu g/m^3 \ \min(61,13) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

### Figure CO.PM25.dly.16 8th highest daily average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration OtherEGU



 $\sum_{i=1}^{6} \max(66,46) = 2.0 \ \mu g/m^3 \ \min(2,47) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

## Figure CO.PM25.dly.17 8th highest daily average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration Anthro\_Rest



 $\cos(39,36) = 17.1 \ \mu g/m^3 \ \sin(2,47) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

## Figure CO.PM25.ann.1 Annual average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration Cumulative



 $\sum_{i=1}^{3} \max(31,48) = 10.1 \ \mu g/m^3 \\ \min(23,23) = 0.7 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

### Figure CO.PM25.ann.2 Annual average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration Natural



 $\sum_{i=1}^{3} \max(66,22) = 0.1 \ \mu g/m^3 \ \min(13,41) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

### Figure CO.PM25.ann.3 Annual average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration Wildfire



 $\bigcirc \max(31,48) = 9.0 \ \mu g/m^3$  $O \min(66,3) = 0.1 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

# Figure CO.PM25.ann.4 Annual average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration OilGas\_ExistFed\_WesternCO



 $Max(19,12) = 0.4 \ \mu g/m^3$  $Min(5,52) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$
# Figure CO.PM25.ann.5 Annual average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration OilGas\_ExistFed\_RoyalGorge



 $Max(42,40) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$  $Min(3,34) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

# Figure CO.PM25.ann.6 Annual average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration OilGas\_NewFed\_WesternCO



 $\bigcirc \max(15,12) = 0.1 \ \mu g/m^3$  $O \min(5,52) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

# Figure CO.PM25.ann.7 Annual average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration OilGas\_NewFed\_RoyalGorge



 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \max(44,38) = 0.1 \ \mu g/m^3 \ \min(1,31) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

# Figure CO.PM25.ann.8 Annual average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration OilGas\_Fed\_Other\_States



 $\sum_{i=1}^{3} \max(16,10) = 1.0 \ \mu g/m^3 \ \min(2,1) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

# Figure CO.PM25.ann.9 Annual average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration OilGas\_NonFed



 $\sum_{i=1}^{6} \max(43,41) = 0.7 \ \mu g/m^3 \ \min(1,51) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

# Figure CO.PM25.ann.10 Annual average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration OilGas\_ExistTribal



 $\bigcirc \max(18,12) = 0.3 \ \mu g/m^3$  $O \min(2,1) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

# Figure CO.PM25.ann.11 Annual average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration OilGas\_NewTribal



 $\bigcirc$  max(18,5) = 0.1  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> O min(2,1) = 0.0  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>

# Figure CO.PM25.ann.12 Annual average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration Coal\_Fed



 $\bigcirc \max(11,9) = 0.3 \ \mu g/m^3$  $O \min(1,48) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

# Figure CO.PM25.ann.13 Annual average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration Coal\_NonFed



 $\bigcirc$  max(15,1) = 0.0  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> O min(1,8) = 0.0  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>

# Figure CO.PM25.ann.14 Annual average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration Coal\_EGU WRAP states



 $\sum_{i=1}^{3} \max(12,10) = 0.2 \ \mu g/m^3$  $\sum_{i=1}^{3} \min(1,1) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

# Figure CO.PM25.ann.15 Annual average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration Coal\_Comb WRAP states



 $\begin{array}{l} \diamondsuit \\ O \\ min(3,1) = \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0.1 \ \mu g/m^3 \\ min(3,1) = \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3 \end{array}$ 

## Figure CO.PM25.ann.16 Annual average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration OtherEGU



 $\sum_{i=1}^{3} \max(38,37) = 0.4 \ \mu g/m^3 \ \min(22,20) = 0.0 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

# Figure CO.PM25.ann.17 Annual average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration Anthro\_Rest



 $\bigcirc \max(40,36) = 8.0 \ \mu g/m^3$  $O \min(23,23) = 0.2 \ \mu g/m^3$ 

#### Figure CO.SO2.3hr.1 The 2nd highest 3hr average SO<sub>2</sub> concentration Cumulative



max(27,49) = 37.9 ppb min(23,31) = 0.2 ppb

# Figure CO.SO2.3hr.2 The 2nd highest 3hr average SO<sub>2</sub> concentration Natural



max(1,1) = 0.0 ppbmin(61,51) = 0.0 ppb

# Figure CO.SO2.3hr.3 The 2nd highest 3hr average SO<sub>2</sub> concentration Wildfire



max(27,49) = 37.7 ppb min(21,53) = 0.0 ppb

## Figure CO.SO2.3hr.4 The 2nd highest 3hr average SO<sub>2</sub> concentration OilGas\_ExistFed\_WesternCO



max(17,40) = 0.1 ppbmin(1,46) = 0.0 ppb

# Figure CO.SO2.3hr.5 The 2nd highest 3hr average SO<sub>2</sub> concentration OilGas\_ExistFed\_RoyalGorge



max(43,40) = 0.0 ppb min(23,53) = 0.0 ppb

## Figure CO.SO2.3hr.6 The 2nd highest 3hr average SO<sub>2</sub> concentration OilGas\_NewFed\_WesternCO



max(17,40) = 0.1 ppbmin(1,46) = 0.0 ppb

# Figure CO.SO2.3hr.7 The 2nd highest 3hr average SO<sub>2</sub> concentration OilGas\_NewFed\_RoyalGorge



max(43,40) = 0.0 ppb min(37,45) = 0.0 ppb

#### Figure CO.SO2.3hr.8 The 2nd highest 3hr average SO<sub>2</sub> concentration OilGas\_Fed\_Other\_States



max(8,25) = 0.6 ppbmin(1,11) = 0.0 ppb

# Figure CO.SO2.3hr.9 The 2nd highest 3hr average SO<sub>2</sub> concentration OilGas\_NonFed



max(8,25) = 0.3 ppbmin(1,9) = 0.0 ppb

# Figure CO.SO2.3hr.10 The 2nd highest 3hr average SO<sub>2</sub> concentration OilGas\_ExistTribal



max(8,25) = 0.3 ppbmin(1,9) = 0.0 ppb

## Figure CO.SO2.3hr.11 The 2nd highest 3hr average SO<sub>2</sub> concentration OilGas\_NewTribal



 $\bigotimes \max(8,25) = 0.1 \text{ ppb}$  $\bigotimes \min(1,11) = 0.0 \text{ ppb}$ 

## Figure CO.SO2.3hr.12 The 2nd highest 3hr average SO<sub>2</sub> concentration Coal\_Fed



max(37,52) = 0.0 ppb min(1,12) = 0.0 ppb

#### Figure CO.SO2.3hr.13 The 2nd highest 3hr average SO<sub>2</sub> concentration Coal\_NonFed



 $\bigotimes \max(16,54) = 0.0 \text{ ppb}$  $\bigotimes \min(1,12) = 0.0 \text{ ppb}$ 

## Figure CO.SO2.3hr.14 The 2nd highest 3hr average SO<sub>2</sub> concentration Coal\_EGU WRAP states



 $\bigotimes \max(2,38) = 4.5 \text{ ppb}$  $\bigotimes \min(1,46) = 0.0 \text{ ppb}$ 

#### Figure CO.SO2.3hr.15 The 2nd highest 3hr average SO<sub>2</sub> concentration Coal\_Comb WRAP states



max(9,54) = 4.5 ppb
min(22,11) = 0.0 ppb

#### Figure CO.SO2.3hr.16 The 2nd highest 3hr average SO<sub>2</sub> concentration OtherEGU



max(66,46) = 7.2 ppb min(21,11) = 0.0 ppb

# Figure CO.SO2.3hr.17 The 2nd highest 3hr average SO<sub>2</sub> concentration Anthro\_Rest



max(20,14) = 14.7 ppb
min(65,50) = 0.0 ppb

#### Figure CO.SO2.1hr.1 The 4th highest 1 hour daily max SO<sub>2</sub> concentration Cumulative



max(33,23) = 25.7 ppb min(21,29) = 0.2 ppb

# Figure CO.SO2.1hr.2 The 4th highest 1 hour daily max SO<sub>2</sub> concentration Natural



(0, 1,20) = 0.0 ppb(0, 1,20) = 0.0 ppb(0, 1,20) = 0.0 ppb

## Figure CO.SO2.1hr.3 The 4th highest 1 hour daily max SO<sub>2</sub> concentration Wildfire



max(33,23) = 25.7 ppb min(20,53) = 0.0 ppb

# Figure CO.SO2.1hr.4 The 4th highest 1 hour daily max SO<sub>2</sub> concentration OilGas\_ExistFed\_WesternCO



max(17,40) = 0.1 ppbmin(2,45) = 0.0 ppb

# Figure CO.SO2.1hr.5 The 4th highest 1 hour daily max SO<sub>2</sub> concentration OilGas\_ExistFed\_RoyalGorge



max(42,39) = 0.0 ppb
min(17,22) = 0.0 ppb

# Figure CO.SO2.1hr.6 The 4th highest 1 hour daily max SO<sub>2</sub> concentration OilGas\_NewFed\_WesternCO



max(17,40) = 0.1 ppb min(2,45) = 0.0 ppb
## Figure CO.SO2.1hr.7 The 4th highest 1 hour daily max SO<sub>2</sub> concentration OilGas\_NewFed\_RoyalGorge



max(42,39) = 0.0 ppb
 min(23,54) = 0.0 ppb

## Figure CO.SO2.1hr.8 The 4th highest 1 hour daily max SO<sub>2</sub> concentration OilGas\_Fed\_Other\_States



max(8,25) = 0.5 ppbmin(3,9) = 0.0 ppb

## Figure CO.SO2.1hr.9 The 4th highest 1 hour daily max SO<sub>2</sub> concentration OilGas\_NonFed



 $\bigotimes \max(8,25) = 0.3 \text{ ppb}$  $\bigotimes \min(1,11) = 0.0 \text{ ppb}$ 

## Figure CO.SO2.1hr.10 The 4th highest 1 hour daily max SO<sub>2</sub> concentration OilGas\_ExistTribal



 $\bigotimes \max(8,25) = 0.3 \text{ ppb}$  $\bigotimes \min(1,11) = 0.0 \text{ ppb}$ 

## Figure CO.SO2.1hr.11 The 4th highest 1 hour daily max SO<sub>2</sub> concentration OilGas\_NewTribal



 $\bigotimes \max(8,25) = 0.1 \text{ ppb}$  $\bigotimes \min(1,11) = 0.0 \text{ ppb}$ 

## Figure CO.SO2.1hr.12 The 4th highest 1 hour daily max SO<sub>2</sub> concentration Coal\_Fed



 $\bigcirc$  max(40,54) = 0.0 ppb O min(1,12) = 0.0 ppb

## Figure CO.SO2.1hr.13 The 4th highest 1 hour daily max SO<sub>2</sub> concentration Coal\_NonFed



 $\bigcirc$  max(16,54) = 0.0 ppb O min(1,13) = 0.0 ppb

## Figure CO.SO2.1hr.14 The 4th highest 1 hour daily max SO<sub>2</sub> concentration Coal\_EGU WRAP states



max(2,38) = 4.0 ppb
 min(66,46) = 0.0 ppb

## Figure CO.SO2.1hr.15 The 4th highest 1 hour daily max SO<sub>2</sub> concentration Coal\_Comb WRAP states



max(9,54) = 3.9 ppb min(66,46) = 0.0 ppb

## Figure CO.SO2.1hr.16 The 4th highest 1 hour daily max SO<sub>2</sub> concentration OtherEGU



max(66,46) = 7.9 ppb min(13,10) = 0.0 ppb

# Figure CO.SO2.1hr.17 The 4th highest 1 hour daily max SO<sub>2</sub> concentration Anthro\_Rest



max(42,37) = 6.4 ppb min(65,54) = 0.0 ppb

# Figure CO.SO2.ann.1 Annual average SO<sub>2</sub> concentration Cumulative



max(42,37) = 1.3 ppb
 min(29,29) = 0.0 ppb

## Figure CO.SO2.ann.2 Annual average SO<sub>2</sub> concentration Natural



Max(1,1) = 0.0 ppbMin(66,36) = 0.0 ppb

### Figure CO.SO2.ann.3 Annual average SO<sub>2</sub> concentration Wildfire



max(30,48) = 0.3 ppb min(66,54) = 0.0 ppb

# Figure CO.SO2.ann.4 Annual average SO<sub>2</sub> concentration OilGas\_ExistFed\_WesternCO



max(17,40) = 0.0 ppbmin(1,53) = 0.0 ppb

# Figure CO.SO2.ann.5 Annual average SO<sub>2</sub> concentration OilGas\_ExistFed\_RoyalGorge



max(42,39) = 0.0 ppb min(1,53) = 0.0 ppb

# Figure CO.SO2.ann.6 Annual average SO<sub>2</sub> concentration OilGas\_NewFed\_WesternCO



max(17,40) = 0.0 ppb min(66,1) = 0.0 ppb

# Figure CO.SO2.ann.7 Annual average SO<sub>2</sub> concentration OilGas\_NewFed\_RoyalGorge



max(42,39) = 0.0 ppb min(1,53) = 0.0 ppb

# Figure CO.SO2.ann.8 Annual average SO<sub>2</sub> concentration OilGas\_Fed\_Other\_States



max(8,25) = 0.1 ppb min(33,30) = 0.0 ppb

# Figure CO.SO2.ann.9 Annual average SO<sub>2</sub> concentration OilGas\_NonFed



max(8,25) = 0.1 ppbmin(1,49) = 0.0 ppb

# Figure CO.SO2.ann.10 Annual average SO<sub>2</sub> concentration OilGas\_ExistTribal



max(8,25) = 0.1 ppbmin(1,53) = 0.0 ppb

# Figure CO.SO2.ann.11 Annual average SO<sub>2</sub> concentration OilGas\_NewTribal



Max(16,10) = 0.0 ppbMin(1,51) = 0.0 ppb

## Figure CO.SO2.ann.12 Annual average SO<sub>2</sub> concentration Coal\_Fed



 $\bigotimes \max(52,54) = 0.0 \text{ ppb}$  $\bigotimes \min(1,1) = 0.0 \text{ ppb}$ 

# Figure CO.SO2.ann.13 Annual average SO<sub>2</sub> concentration Coal\_NonFed



 $\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} \max(15,1) = 0.0 \text{ ppb}$  $\min(1,10) = 0.0 \text{ ppb}$ 

# Figure CO.SO2.ann.14 Annual average SO<sub>2</sub> concentration Coal\_EGU WRAP states



max(2,38) = 0.8 ppb min(63,1) = 0.0 ppb

# Figure CO.SO2.ann.15 Annual average SO<sub>2</sub> concentration Coal\_Comb WRAP states



max(9,54) = 0.2 ppbmin(3,1) = 0.0 ppb

### Figure CO.SO2.ann.16 Annual average SO<sub>2</sub> concentration OtherEGU



max(50,39) = 0.4 ppb min(1,12) = 0.0 ppb

# Figure CO.SO2.ann.17 Annual average SO<sub>2</sub> concentration Anthro\_Rest



max(42,37) = 1.3 ppb min(21,19) = 0.0 ppb

## Figure CO.NDEP.1 Annual Total Nitrogen Deposition Cumulative



♦ max(64,8) = 9.7 kg N/ha 0 min(2,31) = 0.9 kg N/ha

### Figure CO.NDEP.2 Annual Total Nitrogen Deposition Natural



## Figure CO.NDEP.3 Annual Total Nitrogen Deposition Wildfire



# Figure CO.NDEP.4 Annual Total Nitrogen Deposition OilGas\_ExistFed\_WesternCO



# Figure CO.NDEP.5 Annual Total Nitrogen Deposition OilGas\_ExistFed\_RoyalGorge



 $omega{max}(42,40) = 0.0 \text{ kg N/ha}$ Omin(1,31) = 0.0 kg N/ha

# Figure CO.NDEP.6 Annual Total Nitrogen Deposition OilGas\_NewFed\_WesternCO



Max(15,12) = 0.2 kg N/ha
 O min(1,53) = 0.0 kg N/ha

# Figure CO.NDEP.7 Annual Total Nitrogen Deposition OilGas\_NewFed\_RoyalGorge



# Figure CO.NDEP.8 Annual Total Nitrogen Deposition OilGas\_Fed\_Other\_States



 $\bigotimes \max(16,10) = 1.7 \text{ kg N/ha}$  $\bigotimes \min(1,1) = 0.0 \text{ kg N/ha}$
# Figure CO.NDEP.9 Annual Total Nitrogen Deposition OilGas\_NonFed



common max(48,42) = 1.7 kg N/hacommon min(1,1) = 0.0 kg N/ha

# Figure CO.NDEP.10 Annual Total Nitrogen Deposition OilGas\_ExistTribal



# Figure CO.NDEP.11 Annual Total Nitrogen Deposition OilGas\_NewTribal



# Figure CO.NDEP.12 Annual Total Nitrogen Deposition Coal\_Fed



Max(11,9) = 0.0 kg N/haMin(1,1) = 0.0 kg N/ha

# Figure CO.NDEP.13 Annual Total Nitrogen Deposition Coal\_NonFed



Max(2,37) = 0.0 kg N/ha
Min(3,6) = 0.0 kg N/ha

# Figure CO.NDEP.14 Annual Total Nitrogen Deposition Coal\_EGU WRAP states



# Figure CO.NDEP.15 Annual Total Nitrogen Deposition Coal\_Comb WRAP states



♦ max(9,54) = 0.1 kg N/ha 0 min(9,2) = 0.0 kg N/ha

#### Figure CO.NDEP.16 Annual Total Nitrogen Deposition OtherEGU



# Figure CO.NDEP.17 Annual Total Nitrogen Deposition Anthro\_Rest



Max(64,8) = 8.1 kg N/ha
Min(2,31) = 0.4 kg N/ha

# Figure CO.SDEP.1 Annual Total Sulfur Deposition Cumulative



#### Figure CO.SDEP.2 Annual Total Sulfur Deposition Natural



# Figure CO.SDEP.3 Annual Total Sulfur Deposition Wildfire



# Figure CO.SDEP.4 Annual Total Sulfur Deposition OilGas\_ExistFed\_WesternCO



♦ max(47,5) = 0.0 kg S/ha O min(13,54) = 0.0 kg S/ha

# Figure CO.SDEP.5 Annual Total Sulfur Deposition OilGas\_ExistFed\_RoyalGorge



# Figure CO.SDEP.6 Annual Total Sulfur Deposition OilGas\_NewFed\_WesternCO



common max(17,40) = 0.0 kg S/hacommon min(5,53) = 0.0 kg S/ha

# Figure CO.SDEP.7 Annual Total Sulfur Deposition OilGas\_NewFed\_RoyalGorge



# Figure CO.SDEP.8 Annual Total Sulfur Deposition OilGas\_Fed\_Other\_States



Max(8,25) = 0.1 kg S/haMin(3,7) = 0.0 kg S/ha

# Figure CO.SDEP.9 Annual Total Sulfur Deposition OilGas\_NonFed



Max(58,3) = 0.1 kg S/haMin(3,7) = 0.0 kg S/ha

# Figure CO.SDEP.10 Annual Total Sulfur Deposition OilGas\_ExistTribal



Max(8,25) = 0.0 kg S/haMin(2,1) = 0.0 kg S/ha

# Figure CO.SDEP.11 Annual Total Sulfur Deposition OilGas\_NewTribal



Max(8,25) = 0.0 kg S/haMin(1,1) = 0.0 kg S/ha

# Figure CO.SDEP.12 Annual Total Sulfur Deposition Coal\_Fed



# Figure CO.SDEP.13 Annual Total Sulfur Deposition Coal\_NonFed



# Figure CO.SDEP.14 Annual Total Sulfur Deposition Coal\_EGU WRAP states



♦ max(2,38) = 1.0 kg S/ha O min(66,3) = 0.0 kg S/ha

# Figure CO.SDEP.15 Annual Total Sulfur Deposition Coal\_Comb WRAP states



common max(13,54) = 0.2 kg S/hacommon min(3,7) = 0.0 kg S/ha

# Figure CO.SDEP.16 Annual Total Sulfur Deposition OtherEGU



# Figure CO.SDEP.17 Annual Total Sulfur Deposition Anthro\_Rest

