Study Area Investigation

This chapter describes the field investigations performed at the RDM during the 2010 and 2011 field seasons. It includes descriptions of the number, type, location, and analytical requirements of samples collected; the location and methods used for soil boring and monitoring well installations; and deviations from the Work Plan. This chapter also identifies other studies that are used to characterize the site.

2.1 Surface Soil

Surface soil samples were collected for XRF field screening and for laboratory analyses. Surface soil samples were collected between September 12, 2010, and September 24, 2010, and between July 28, 2011, and August 20, 2011.

The objective of the visual inspection and in-situ XRF field screening of surface soils was to:

• Use visual characteristics and metals concentrations in surface materials to determine the lateral surface extent of tailings at the site

The objectives for the data resulting from the surface soil samples collected for laboratory analyses are:

- Characterization of the nature and extent of COPCs in surface soil.
- Provision of data supporting the delineation of the areal extent of tailings on the ground surface.
- Identification and characterization of possible tailings at the reservoir dam.
- Characterization of the soils within the area of surface mining and exploration.
- Characterization of soil characteristics that may affect contaminant fate, transport, and bioavailability.
- Characterization of chemical and physical characteristics of soils in background areas.
- Provision of data for the human health risk assessment (HHRA) to assess potential exposure to COPCs through direct contact, inhalation, and incidental ingestion. The HHRA will be provided under separate cover at a later date.

- Provision of data for the ecological risk assessment (ERA) to assess potential exposure of biota to COPCs through direct contact and ingestion. The ERA will be provided under separate cover at a later date.
- Characterization of geotechnical properties of tailings and soils that may be subject to excavation.
- Characterization of geotechnical properties of soils at a potential site for an onsite waste repository located within the area of surface mining approximately 700 feet north of the Dolly Shaft Collar.

2.1.1 XRF Field Screening Samples

In 2010, areas where tailings could be present at the surface based on historical data, historical photographs, and aerial imagery were evaluated in the field using a combination of visual observations and in-situ field screening for total metals using a portable XRF device. In-situ XRF field screening results from the 2010 Limited Sampling Event (LSE) (E & E 2010b) indicated that further characterization during the 2011 field season was necessary to fully characterize the lateral extent of tailings at the site. Table 2-1 summarizes the location, number, and objectives of the XRF screening locations.

General XRF Sample Loca- tion	Number of Samples XRF Screened	Objectives of Sam- ples
Main Processing Area Grid	45	Assess the presence of
Main Processing Area Tran-	106	tailings/waste rock and elevated concentrations
Sects	29	of metals in surface soil
Surface Mined Area Grid	38	
Surface Mined Area Transects	42	
Dolly Sluice	10	Assess the distribution of
Rice Sluice	12	metals in the sluice gul- lies
Roads and Abandoned Roads	81	Assess whether tailings material had been used as surface material on roads
Former Building Foundations	4	Assess the possibility that tailings were used as foundation material
Key: XRE v.ray fluorescence		•

Table 2-1 XRF Screening Sample Summary

XRF field screening was performed in-situ (on the soil surface) after removal of any surficial detritus. At each XRF field screening location, three XRF readings were taken at the corners of a one-meter equilateral triangle. The lateral coordinates of each field screening location were surveyed with global positioning system (GPS) instrumentation as described in Chapter 8 of the Field Sampling Plan (FSP), which is Appendix F of the Work Plan.

2 Study Area Investigation



Surface soil sampling for XRF field screening.

To evaluate the extent of tailings, to assist in characterizing the nature of the tailings, and to characterize elevated concentrations COPCs present at the site, grids were established in the main processing area down to the Red Devil Creek delta and in the surface mined area (Figure 2-1). One location within each grid square was field screened, and visual observations of soil characteristics were recorded.

To define the lateral surface extent of tailings or areas of elevated COPCs, a series of transects located around the perimeter of the expected edge of tailings was established (Figure 2-1). Each transect line was oriented perpendicular to the expected lateral limit of tailings, with one end point located within the expected lateral limit (Transect Station A) and the other end point located outside of the expected lateral limit of tailings (Transect Station B). Initially, field screening was performed at Station A and Station B along each transect. If tailings materials were identified at the Station B location along any transect, the transect line was extended outward from Station B and the soil was re-evaluated for the presence of tailings. Similarly, if it appeared that tailings were not present at the Station A position along a given transect, the transect line was extended inward from Station A. This process was repeated until the lateral extent of tailings at each transect location was identified.

XRF field screening was also performed to delineate and characterize metals concentrations at the Dolly Sluice, Rice Sluice, and roads within the site. XRF field screening was conducted along the apparent centerline of the Dolly Sluice and Rice Sluice areas and at locations on either side of the gully lateral to the centerline (Figure 2-2). Field screening of roads occurred along the apparent centerline of the road and on either side of the road outside of the apparent road surface (Figure 2-2).

Former building foundations in the residential area were XRF field screened to assess the possibility that tailings were used as foundation material (Figure 2-2). Review of historical information and photographs indicate that soils in this area have been disturbed as part of the building and road construction.

2.1.2 Laboratory Surface Soil Samples

Surface soil samples submitted for laboratory analysis are illustrated in Figures 2-3 and 2-4. Surface soil samples were collected from 0 to 6 inches bgs following removal of surficial detritus on the ground surface.

2 Study Area Investigation



All surface soil samples were analyzed for target analyte list (TAL) inorganic elements. A subset of these samples was selected for analysis for mercury selective sequential extraction (SSE), arsenic speciation, arsenic bioavailability, TAL metals synthetic precipitation leaching procedure (SPLP), RCRA metals toxicity characteristic leaching procedure (TCLP), semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs), PCBs, DRO, and RRO. In addition, selected soil samples were analyzed

for geotechnical parameters, including grain size/Atterburg limits, moisture content, compaction, direct shear, and permeability. Table 2-2 lists the laboratory surface soil samples and analytical parameters by general geographic area. In general, samples were selected for the additional analyses to achieve the following:

- Provide broad areal distribution of data.
- Obtain data for different tailings types (e.g., flotation tailings versus thermally processed tailings, including pre-1955 thermally processed tailings and post-1955 thermally processed tailings) that may be discernible based on chemical or physical characteristics and/or geographic position.
- Obtain data on disturbed soils within the area of surface mining.
- Obtain data for anticipated background locations.

Deviations from the Field Sampling Plan

The surface disturbance south of the power plant was found to be narrow, and the transects planned for the feature bracketed the area well. As a result, the grid point planned for the center of the area of surface disturbance south of the power plant was not collected.

Some abandoned roads in the surface mined area and the area of surface disturbance south of the power plant were not identifiable as roads due to overgrowth or bulldozing that occurred during later mining, or the possibility that the features identified in the FSP as roads were not roads but rather bulldozer paths. The decision was made in the field to not field screen road points unless the identified features were clearly a road that was either currently used or abandoned when surveyed in the field. Roads within the cleared portions of the main processing areas were not sampled because characterization would not reflect road material but rather the tailings material found in the main processing area.

Surface soil samples 11MP80SS, 11MP81SS, 11MP82SS, 11MP86SS, and 11MP87SS were collected from locations discussed with the BLM in the field based on where transformers had been removed in the past.

Table 2-2 Surface Soil Sample Summary

General Geo-	Location Descrip-			Total TAL	Diesel Range	Moisture	Grain	Atterberg Lim- its Classifica-	Total	Mercury	Arsenic	L			Arsenic Bioa-	
graphic Area	tion	Sample ID	Date Sampled	Metals	Hydrocarbons	Content	Size	tion	Solids	SSE	Speciation	SVOCs	SPLP	TCLP	vailability	PCBs
	Red Devil Creek Alluvial Deposits Between Dam and Main Processing Area	10RD13SS	9/17/2010	X												
		10RD14SS Duplicate 10RD31SS	9/15/2010	х												
		10RD15SS	9/15/2010	Х												
	Red Devil Creek	10RD16SS	9/15/2010	Х												
	Alluvial Deposits	10RD17SS	9/15/2010	Х												
	Upstream of Dam	10RD18SS	9/15/2010	X					Х	Х	Х		х			
		11RD18SS Duplicate 11RD30SS	8/2/2011												х	
		10RD19SS	9/15/2010	Х					Х	Х	Х		х			
		10UP01SS	9/17/2010	Х												
		10UP02SS	9/23/2010	Х												
		10UP03SS	9/23/2010	X												
	Soil derived from	10UP04SS	9/23/2010	Х												
		10UP05SS	9/23/2010	Х												
	Soil derived from	10UP06SS	9/23/2010	х												
	(Kuskokwim Group)	10UP07SS	9/23/2010	х												
		10UP08SS	9/23/2010	х												
		10UP09SS Duplicate 10UP30SS	9/24/2010	х					Х	X	X		х			
		10UP10SS	9/24/2010	Х					Х	Х	Х		х			
		11UP09SS	8/2/2011												х	
	Red Devil Creek	10RD10SS	9/17/2010	Х												
	Alluvial Deposits	10RD11SS	9/17/2010	Х					Х	Х	Х		х			
	and Main Processing Area	10RD12SS	9/17/2010	х					х	x	х		Х			
	Dolly Shring Dolto	10DS01SS	9/19/2010	X					Х	Х	Х		х			
Dolly Sluice and	Dony Stuice Delta	10DS02SS	9/19/2010	X												
Delta	Gulley	10DS03SS	9/16/2010	X												
	Along Red Devil	11MP80SS	8/20/2011													Х
	Creek West of Gravel	11MP81SS	8/20/2011													Х
	Pad	11MP82SS	8/20/2011													Х
Pre 1955	Area between mine	10MP64SS	9/17/2010	X												
	access road and Red Devil Creek	10MP65SS	9/17/2010	x												
	Area between Pre-	10MP61SS	9/16/2010	X												

Table 2-2 Surface Soil Sample Summary

General Geo- graphic Area	Location Descrip- tion	Sample ID	Date Sampled	Total TAL Metals	Diesel Range Hydrocarbons	Moisture Content	Grain Size	Atterberg Lim- its Classifica- tion	Total Solids	Mercury SSE	Arsenic Speciation	SVOCs	SPLP	TCLP	Arsenic Bioa- vailability	PCBs
	1955 Retort and Red Devil Creek	10MP63SS	9/17/2010	x												
	Area between Pre- 1955 Retort and Red Devil Creek / Pre- 1955 Rotary Furnace Burnt Ore Disposal Pile	10MP62SS	9/20/2010	x		X	x	X								
	Area near Monofill	10MP45SS	9/21/2010	х	х							х				
	#1 / Former Shop	10MP46SS	9/21/2010	X	X							X				
	Pad / Tailings	10MP47SS	9/20/2010	X	X							Х				
		10MP48SS	9/16/2010	Х												
		10MP49SS	9/16/2010	X												
	Area near Monofill #1 / Shop Pad A / Tailings	11MP83SS Duplicate 11MP92SS	8/15/2011													х
	1 annigs	11MP84SS	8/15/2011													Х
		11MP85SS	8/15/2011													Х
		10MP5051525354SS	9/19/2010	X									Х	Х		
		10MP50SS	9/19/2010	X												
		10MP51SS	9/19/2010	Х												
	Area of Pre-1955	10MP52SS	9/19/2010	X					х	X	Х					
	Furnace Building /	11MP52SS	8/2/2011												Х	
	Tailings/Waste Rock	10MP53SS	9/19/2010	х												
		10MP54SS	9/19/2010	X												
D. 1055		11MP86SS	8/20/2011													Х
(cont'd)		11MP87SS	8/20/2011													Х
(cont d)		10MP55565758SS	9/18/2010	Х									х	Х		
		10MP55SS	9/18/2010	X												
	Area of Pre-1955 Retort Building	10MP56SS	9/18/2010	X												
	Retort Dununig	10MP57SS	9/19/2010	Х					х	X	Х					
		10MP58SS	9/19/2010	X												
	East of Pre-1955	10MP59SS Duplicate 10MP86SS	9/21/2010	X					х	X	Х		х	х		
	Refort Building	11MP59SS	8/2/2011												Х	
r D 1	Mine Access Road / Downgradient of Pre- 1955 Processing Ar- ea	10MP66SS	9/17/2010	x												
	Near spring in Red Devil Creek / Down- gradient of former	10MP60SS	9/20/2010	x		X	Х	x								

Table 2-2 Surface Soil Sample Summary

	-			Total				Atterberg Lim-	1							
General Geo- graphic Area	Location Descrip- tion	Sample ID	Date Sampled	TAL Metals	Diesel Range Hydrocarbons	Moisture Content	Grain Size	its Classifica- tion	Total Solids	Mercury SSE	Arsenic Speciation	SVOCs	SPLP	TCLP	Arsenic Bioa- vailability	PCBs
	mine openings / Tail- ings															
		10MP424344SS	9/19/2010	X									Х	Х		
	Surface of	10MP42SS	9/19/2010	X												
	Monofill #1	10MP43SS	9/19/2010	X												
		10MP44SS	9/19/2010	X												
	Area Upgradient of Monofill #2 / Post- 1955 Retort Building	10MP01SS	9/24/2010	X					х	X	X		х			
	Area of Surface Dis- turbance	11MP71SS	8/2/2011	x						x			x			
	Berm of Settling Pond #2	10MP35SS	9/17/2010	x												
	Berm of Settling	10MP37SS	9/17/2010	x												
	Pond #3	10MP68SS	9/19/2010	x												
Post 1955	Between Settling Ponds #1 and Red Devil Creek	10MP38SS	9/17/2010	x												
	Between Settling Ponds #2 and Red Devil Creek	10MP39SS	9/17/2010	x												
	Between Settling Ponds #3 and Red Devil Creek	10MP40SS	9/17/2010	X												
	Flotation Tailings,	10MP32SS	9/20/2010	X	Х	Х	Х		х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		
	Settling Pond #1	11MP32SS	8/2/2011												Х	
	Flotation Tailings,	10MP34SS	9/20/2010	х	Х	Х	Х		х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		
	Settling Pond #2	11MP34SS	8/2/2011												Х	
	Flotation Tailings, Settling Pond #3	10MP36SS Duplicate 10MP84SS	9/20/2010	x	х	Х	Х		x	x	Х	X	x	x		
	Setting Fond #5	11MP36SS	8/2/2011												Х	
		10MP23SS	9/18/2010	х												
Post 1955		10MP24SS	9/18/2010	х												
(cont'd)		10MP25SS	9/18/2010	х					х	Х	Х		Х	Х		
		11MP25SS	8/2/2011												Х	
	Gravel Pad	11MP76SS (Duplicate 11MP91SS)	8/15/2011													Х
		11MP77SS	8/15/2011													Х
		11MP78SS	8/15/2011													X
		11MP79SS	8/15/2011													Х

Table 2-2 Surface Soil Sample Summary

General Geo- graphic Area	Location Descrip- tion	Sample ID	Date Sampled	Total TAL Metals	Diesel Range Hydrocarbons	Moisture Content	Grain Size	Atterberg Lim- its Classifica- tion	Total Solids	Mercury SSE	Arsenic Speciation	SVOCs	SPLP	TCLP	Arsenic Bioa- vailability	PCBs
	Location where the single abandoned drum was identified during the 2010 lim- ited sampling effort	11MP70SS	8/15/2011	x	х							x				
	Monofill #3 Area/ Tailings	10MP22SS	9/16/2010	x												
	North of Monofill #2	10MP10SS	9/21/2010	х	Х							х				
	/ Post-1955 Retort Building / Drum Storage Area	10MP19SS	9/23/2010	x	х							х				
	Stockpiled ore up- gradient from the Ore Hopper	10MP02SS Duplicate 10MP81SS	9/18/2010	x									x			
		10MP20SS	9/23/2010	X	X							х				
		10MP21SS	9/23/2010	Х	X							х				
	Power Plant / Former	11MP72SS	8/15/2011													Х
	Drum Storage Area	11MP73SS	8/15/2011													X
	Drum Storage Area	11MP74SS	8/15/2011													X
		11MP75SS	8/15/2011													Х
	Red Devil Creek	10RD06SS	9/17/2010	X					х	Х	Х		Х			
	Alluvial Deposits and/or Soil	10RD07SS	9/17/2010	X												
		10MP11SS	9/19/2010	х												
		10MP12SS	9/18/2010	Х												
	Road below Monofill	10MP13SS	9/18/2010	Х												
	#2/ Post-1955 Retort Building	10MP14SS	9/17/2010	Х												
	6	10MP15SS	9/17/2010	Х												
		10MP18SS	9/16/2010	х												
		10MP06070809SS	9/17/2010	Х									х	Х		
	Slope Below Perime-	10MP06SS	9/17/2010	Х												
	ter of Monofill #2 / Post-1955 Retort	10MP07SS	9/17/2010	Х												
	Building	10MP08SS	9/17/2010	Х												
		10MP09SS	9/18/2010	Х												
		10MP030405SS	9/18/2010	Х									Х	Х		
	Surface of	10MP03SS	9/18/2010	х												
	Monofill #2	10MP04SS	9/18/2010	X												
		10MP05SS	9/18/2010	X												

Table 2-2 Surface Soil Sample Summary

General Geo-	Location Descrip-	O and La ID		Total TAL	Diesel Range	Moisture	Grain	Atterberg Lim- its Classifica-	Total	Mercury	Arsenic				Arsenic Bioa-	BOD -
graphic Area	tion	Sample ID	Date Sampled	Metals	Hydrocarbons	Content	Size	tion	Solids	SSE	Speciation	SVOCs	SPLP	ICLP	vailability	PCBs
		10MP26SS	9/18/2010	X					Х	Х	X		X	X		
		10MP28SS	9/18/2010	X												
	Tailings	10MP29SS	9/20/2010	X		X	Х		Х		X		X	X		
		10MP30SS	9/18/2010	X												
		10MP67SS	9/18/2010	X					Х	Х						
	Tailings Borrow Ar-	11MP17SS Duplicate 11MP90SS	8/2/2011												Х	
D 1055	ea	10MP27SS	9/18/2010	Х					Х	Х	Х		Х	Х		
Post 1955 (cont'd)		10OP01SS	9/18/2010	Х					Х		х		Х	Х		
(cont d)	Tailings borrow area,	10MP16SS Duplicate 10MP89SS	9/18/2010	х					Х	Х	х		х	х		
	near former chute	10MP17SS Duplicate 10MP82SS	9/20/2010	х		x	Х		Х	Х	Х		х	х		
	Upgradient of Set- tling Pond #1	10MP31SS	9/18/2010	х												
	Upgradient of Set- tling Ponds #2 and #3	10MP33SS	9/17/2010	х												
	Red Devil Creek	10RD05SS	9/17/2010	Х												
Red Devil Creek Delta	Alluvial Deposits Between Main Pro- cessing Area and delta	10RD20SS	9/17/2010	x												
Delta		10RD01SS	9/16/2010	Х												
	Red Devil Creek	10RD02SS	9/16/2010	Х												
	Delta	10RD03SS	9/16/2010	х												
		10RD04SS	9/16/2010	Х					х	Х	Х		х			
Reservoir Dam	Dam	10RD08SS Duplicate 10RD30SS	9/15/2010	х												
		10RD09SS	9/15/2010	х					Х	Х	Х		Х			
	Gulley	10RS03SS	9/16/2010	х												
	Dias Dalta	10RS01SS	9/19/2010	Х					Х	Х	Х		Х			
Rice Sluice and	Rice Delta	10RS02SS	9/19/2010	Х												
Rice Sluice and Delta	Trenched Area West of Residential Struc- tures	10SM30SS	9/19/2010	X												
		10SM13SS	8/2/2011	Х					х	Х	Х		X			
a a - - : -	Bulldozed Area	11SM13SS	9/24/2010												Х	
Surface Mined	Away from Known	10SM14SS	9/24/2010	х												
Alta	Ore Trend	10SM15SS	9/23/2010	х												
		10SM16SS	9/23/2010	Х												

Table 2-2 Surface Soil Sample Summary

General Geo- graphic Area	Location Descrip- tion	Sample ID	Date Sampled	Total TAL Metals	Diesel Range Hydrocarbons	Moisture Content	Grain Size	Atterberg Lim- its Classifica- tion	Total Solids	Mercury SSE	Arsenic Speciation	SVOCs	SPLP	TCLP	Arsenic Bioa- vailability	PCBs
		10SM17SS	9/24/2010	X												
		10SM18SS	8/2/2011	x					Х	Х	Х		х			
		11SM18SS	9/23/2010												Х	
		10SM19SS	9/23/2010	х					Х	Х	Х		Х			
	Central Surface	10SM20SS	9/19/2010	Х												
	Mined Area	10SM21SS	9/19/2010	х					Х	Х	Х		Х			
		10SM04SS	9/24/2010	х												
	Dolly Ore Zone	10SM05SS	9/24/2010	х					Х	Х	Х		Х			
		10SM06SS	9/24/2010	x												
	Northern Surface	10SM22SS	9/19/2010	X												
	Mined Area	10SM23SS	9/19/2010	X					Х	Х	Х		Х			
		10SM01SS	9/24/2010	X												
Or	Originally Mined Ore	10SM02SS	9/24/2010	х												
	Zone	10SM03SS Duplicate 10SM41SS	9/24/2010	х					х	х	Х		х			
	Potential Site of On-	10SM10SS	9/21/2010	х		Х	Х									
	Site Repository /	10SM11SS	9/21/2010	Х		Х	Х									
	Away from Known Ore Trend	10SM12SS Duplicate 10SM40SS	9/21/2010	х		х	Х	X	х	х	X		x			
		10SM07SS	9/24/2010	x					Х	Х	Х		х			
Surface Mined	Rice Ore Zone	10SM08SS	9/24/2010	x												
Area		10SM09SS	9/24/2010	Х												
(cont'd)		10SM24SS	9/21/2010	х												
	Trenched Area West	10SM25SS	9/21/2010	х												
	of Bulldozed Area	10SM26SS	9/21/2010	х												
		10SM27SS	9/23/2010	х					Х	Х	Х		Х			
	Trenched Area West	10SM28SS	9/19/2010	х					Х	Х	Х		Х			
Tr of Uj pi	of Residential Struc-	11SM28SS	8/2/2011												Х	
	tures	10SM29SS	9/19/2010	Х												
	Upslope of Pre-1955 processing facilities and Monofill #1	10MP41SS	9/19/2010	х					Х	х	X		х			

Key ID

identifier

PCBs polychlorinated biphenyls SPLP synthetic precipitation leaching procedure SSE selective sequential extraction

SVDserietive sequential extractionSVOCsemi-volatile organic compoundTALtarget analyte listTCLPtoxicity characteristic leaching procedure

2.2 Subsurface Soil

Subsurface soil samples were collected between August 3, 2011, and August 27, 2011.

Seventy-two boreholes were drilled within the study area. Twenty-six of the 72 boreholes were completed as monitoring wells. The location and identifiers of the boreholes and monitoring wells are shown in Figure 2-5, Figure 2-6, and Table 2-3. A total of 237 subsurface soil samples were collected from the boreholes, with sample collection guided by on-site XRF screening and geological logging, and the sample selection criteria described by the FSP. The data objectives of the soil investigation are summarized below:

- Determine the nature and extent of COPCs in subsurface soil, including tailings/waste rock and underlying native soil.
- Determine the depth of tailings/waste rock up to the total depth of the boring.
- Identify and characterize possible tailings/waste rock at the reservoir dam.
- Identify tailings/waste rock within alluvial deposits of Red Devil Creek, including its delta in the Kuskokwim River up to the total depth of the boring.
- Identify mining-related material (expected to consist of sluiced overburden) within alluvial deposits of the Dolly Sluice delta and possible Rice Sluice delta up to the total depth of the boring.
- Assess lithologic characterization of subsurface soils.
- Identify soil characteristics that may affect the fate and transport of COPCs.
- Provide data for the HHRA to assess potential exposure to COPCs through direct contact.
- Characterize the geotechnical properties of tailings/waste rock and soils that may be subject to excavation.
- Characterize the geotechnical properties of the subsurface for use in the FS.

Subsurface soil sampling was conducted with a CME 850 drill rig mounted to a Nodwell (mobile tracked vehicle) operated by Discovery Drilling, Inc. The drilling method varied depending on application and the type of subsurface material encountered. In general, direct push driven by a hydraulic hammer was utilized for soft, shallow soils near the surface; hollow stem augers were utilized for overburden soils deeper than approximately 15 feet, and a downhole hammer (air rotary) was utilized for weathered bedrock and competent bedrock. A 2-foot-long split spoon sampler was used for all sampling occurring during direct push and hollow stem auger drilling. Downhole hammer drilling is incompatible with split spoon sampling, and therefore subsurface materials were not sampled when using this drilling method.

All drill pipe, split spoon samplers, and augers were decontaminated prior to commencing drilling on a new hole. Typically, a hole began with a direct push

drilling method, using the hydraulic hammer to impact the split spoon sampler into the ground. If the hole extended beyond the depth at which the hole could be expected to stay open on its own, augers were then drilled in around the drill stem. During hollow stem auger drilling, the split spoon sampler was advanced out in front of the auger, and then after the sample was collected, an augercompatible tip was placed on the end of the drill string and advanced back to the position of the auger head. The combined drill string and auger assembly was then rotated downwards via a Kelly drive for 2 feet to the end of the split spoon borehole. This method was utilized for a majority of the boreholes that extended beyond approximately 15 feet in depth. For locations where a monitoring well was to be placed in the bedrock aquifer, the use of the downhole (air rotary) hammer was necessary. The downhole hammer attaches directly to the drill string and uses injected compressed air to power an impact slide hammer while the drill string is being rotated via the Kelly drive. The impact hammer has a face with buttons of tungsten carbide and channels allowing air to pass out of the hammer and into the annular space, effectively pulverizing the rock face and blasting the rock chips out of the borehole. Because the use of the downhole precludes the use of the split spoon sampler, efforts were made to pause the downhole hammer drilling and collect a split spoon sample within the projected screened interval of the monitoring well to be installed for lithological identification and geotechnical sample collection.

Once a split spoon sample was collected, it was opened on site and geologically logged by a geologist. Particular attention was paid to the presence of cursor minerals and lithologies that signified the presence of processed mine tailings. After geological logging was complete the sample was collected and analyzed with an XRF field screening instrument to further aid in the determination of potentially contaminated materials from undisturbed native soils and other uncontaminated soil types. Split spoon samplers were decontaminated between each use. Samples were processed and prepared for analysis in the field laboratory; any Investigation-Derived Waste (IDW) resulting from drilling or discarded sample intervals was disposed of onsite in accordance with the Work Plan.

Subsurface soil samples were analyzed for total TAL metals, mercury SSE, arsenic speciation, SPLP TAL metals, TCLP RCRA metals, SVOCs, DRO/RRO, grain size, and a combined test of Atterberg limits and moisture content. Table 2-3 provides a summary of the subsurface soil samples and analyses performed. In general, samples were selected for the various analyses to achieve the following:

- Provide broad areal distribution of data.
- Obtain data from multiple depth intervals within tailings/waste rock to assess vertical variability based on depth and/or lithologic characteristics.
- Obtain data for different tailings types (e.g., flotation tailings versus thermally processed tailings, including pre-1955 thermally processed tailings and post-1955 thermally processed tailings).

Deviations from the Field Sampling Plan

Several deviations from the FSP were made based upon field conditions and evolving data needs. The benefit of comparing groundwater movement through lithologies at different depths was realized in the addition of borehole MP91, adjacent to the existing borehole MP30. While paired shallow/deep wells were planned in other locations, creating this well pair allowed for a vertical comparison of hydrogeology to be made in the vicinity of the settling ponds, an area undergoing detailed examination. Additionally, this pairing benefited the subsurface soil sampling program by increasing the depth of geological logging and subsurface soil sampling in this location.

Opposingly, a lack of groundwater in shallower soils caused the removal of borehole MP89. The subsurface soils were logged and sampled during the drilling of the adjacent and deeper borehole MP41, so there was no need to drill the borehole for the benefit of the subsurface soils investigation. As a result, plans to drill MP89 were abandoned.

Groundwater study concerns caused boreholes MP12 and MP17 to be advanced as shallow borings for comparison to the deeper borehole MP14. These shallow boreholes were set based upon the groundwater study needs of a 20-foot gap between the deep monitoring well and shallow monitoring wells screened intervals. This was the primary deciding factor for the depth of borehole advancement, which deviates from the borehole drilling and monitoring well installation protocol described in the FSP. This deviation was performed at the request of the Bureau of Land Management in order to measure vertical hydraulic gradient between the three monitoring wells installed into boreholes MP12, MP17, and MP14. However, unlike a typical monitoring well pair, all three boreholes were geologically logged and sampled separately due to their spatial separation.

RD08 and RD09 were located on the dam of the reservoir. These were not sampled because it was determined that the dam was composed of native material rather than tailings. RD06 was not sampled because a new access road was built over the location, excessively redistributing the subsurface soil.

 Table 2-3 Subsurface Soil Collection Summary

General Geographic Area	Location Description	Soil Bor- ing Loca- tion	Depth (feet)	Sample ID	Total TAL Metals	Mercury SSE	Arsenic Speciation	SPLP TAL Metals	TCLP RCRA Metals	SVOCs	DRO/RRO	Particle Size / Atterberg Lim- its (ASTM D2487) and Moisture Con- tent	Grain Size (ASTM D422)
			2-4	11MP01SB04									
	Upgradient	MD01	8-10	11MP01SB10	3 (11MP01SB04, 11MP01SB12 (DUPLICATE: 11MP01SB18 @ 1203)	1 (All ND)	1(11MD01SB16)	1(11MD01SB16)					1 (11MD01SB10)
De als annound	Area	WIF 01	10-12	11MP01SB12	11MP01SB16)	I (All ND)	1 (11WF013B10)	1 (11MF013B10)					1 (11MF013B10)
Areas			14-16	11MP01SB16									
	Unland Area West of		2-4	11UP11SB04	2 (11110115004) and 11110115006								
	Surface Mined Area	UP11	4-6	11UP11SB06	11UP11SB08	1 (11UP11SB04)	1 (11UP11SB04)	1 (11UP11SB04)				1 (11UP11SB04)	
			6-8	11UP11SB08									
			2-4	11MP11SB04	2 (11MD11SD04 11MD11SD06								
		MP11	4-6	11MP11SB06	11MP11SB04, 11MP11SB00, 11MP11SB08)								
			6-8	11MP11SB08	,								
			4-6	11MP12SB06									
			6-8	11MP12SB08	3 (11MD12SB06, 11MD12SB12							1 (11MP12SB08)	2 (11MD12SB14
		MP12	10-12	11MP12SB12	11MP12SB16)							and Moisture	11MP12SB16)
			12-14	11MP12SB14	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	2 (11MD14SD04		2 (11MD14SD04	2 (11MD14SD04			content	,
			14-16	11MP12SB16		2(11)(143B04, 11)(143B06)	1 (11MP11SB04)	2(11MP14SB04, 11MP11SB04)	2(11)(145B04, 11)(145B04)				
		MP13	2-4	11MP13SB04	3 (11MP13SB04 11MP13SB06)								
		WH 15	4-6	11MP13SB06	5 (IIIII 15500 - , IIIII 155000)								
			2-4	11MP14SB04									
			6-8	11MP14SB08	2 (11) JD1 40D04 11) JD1 40D50							2 (11MP14SB08,	
	Road below Monofill #2	MP14	12-14	11MP14SB14	3 (11MP14SB04, 11MP14SB58, 11MP14SB14)							both with Mois-	2 (in bedrock)
	/ Post-1955		14-16	11MP14SB16								ture Content	
Post-1955	Retort Building		56-58	11MP14SB58									
Main Pro-			2-4	11MP15SB04									
cessing Area		MP15	4-6	11MP15SB06	3 (11MP15SB04, 11MP15SB06, 11MP15SB08)								
			6-8	11MP15SB08									
			2-4	11MP16SB04									
		MP16	6-8	11MP16SB08	3 (11MP16SB04, 11MP16SB08, 11MP16SB10)							1 (11MP16SB04)	
			8-10	11MP16SB10		2 (11MP18SB04,	1 (11) (01) (00) (0)	2 (11MP18SB04,	2 (11MP18SB04,				
			2-4	11MP17SB04	3 (11MP17SB14_11MP17SB04	11MP17SB14)	I (11MP18SB20)	11MP18SB20)	11MP18SB20)	11MP17SB30	11MP17SB30		2 (11MP17SB28)
		MP17	12-14	11MP17SB14	11MP17SB30 (DUPLICATE: 11MP17SB34					Sent due to diesel	Sent due to diesel		Dry well. Only
			28-30	11MP17SB30	@ 1642))					oder	oder		screened interval.
			2-4	11MP18SB04									
		MP18	8-10	11MP18SB10	3 (11MP18SB20, 11MP18SB04,								
			18-20	11MP18SB20	11MP18SB10)								
	NI		2-4	11MP10SB04						1 (No diesel odor	1 (No diesel odor		
	North of Monofill #2 / Post-1955 Retort Build- ing / Drum Storage Area	MP10	4-6	11MP10SB06	3 (11MP10SB04, 11MP10SB06)	2(11MP19SB06) De- tection on 1 only	1(11MP19SB04)	2 (11MP19SB04, 11MP10SB06)	2 (11MP19SB04, 11MP10SB06)	noted or water table encoun- tered)	noted or water table encoun- tered)		

 Table 2-3
 Subsurface Soil Collection Summary

	1(11MP19	
2-4 11MP19SB04	1(11MP19	
MP19 4-6 11MP19SB06 3 (11MP19SB04, 11MP19SB06)		SB06)
4-6 11MP27SB06		
$\frac{2.4 11MP26SB04}{3 (11MP26SB10, 11MP26SB04, 3)}$		
MP26 8-10 11MP26SB10 11MP26SB16 11MP26SB16)		
4-0 11MF285B00 3 (11MP28SB08, 11MP28SB08, 11MP28SB08, 11MP28SB12, 11M		
$\frac{1111205010}{8.10} = \frac{1111205010}{1111205010} = \frac{1111205010}{(0.001110000000000000000000000000000000$		
4-6 11MP29SB06		
6-8 11MP29SB08 1 (Not	(No diesel odor	
8-10 11MP29SB10 3 (11MP29SB16, 11MP29SB06 table encoun-	table encountrial 1 (11MP29	SB08) 2 (11MP29SB18,
Tailings / Waste Bock (DUPLICATE: TIMP29SB16 (DUPLICATE: TIMP29SB28 @ 1448), tered)	tered) with Moi	nt 11MP29SB22)
16-18 11MP29SB18		
20-22 11MP29SB22		
MP91 N/A N/A (not sam- pled)		
4-6 11MP30SB06		
6-8 11MP30SB08	1 (11) (12)	(TDOO)
MP30 10-12 11MP30SB12 3 (11MP30SB06, 11MP30SB16,	and mois	2 (11MP30SB14,
<u>12-14</u> 11MP30SB14 11MP30SB12)	conter	11MP30SB18)
14-16 11MP30SB16		
16-18 11MP30SB18		
8-10 11MP23SB10 12.14 11MP23SB20, 11MP23SB10, 3 (11MP23SB10, 10fc	l (No diesel odor noted or water	
$\frac{MP23}{table encount} = \frac{11MP23SB14}{11MP23SB14} = \frac{11MP23SB14}{11MP23SB14}$	table encoun-	
tered)	tered)	
2-4 IIMP24SB04 noted or water note	(No diesel odor noted or water	
$\frac{MP24}{MP24} = \frac{10-12}{11MP24SB12} = \frac{11MP24SB12}{11MP24SB18} = \frac{11MP24SB12}{11MP24SB18}$	table encoun-	
16-18 11MP24SB18 2 (11MP23SB20) High As and Hg in	tered)	
Gravel Pad Post-1955 2 (11MP23SB20, 1 (11MP23SB20) High As and Hg in DUPLICATE:		
Processing Tailing Borrow 11MP23SB24 @		
$\begin{array}{c c} Area \\ \hline 1748 \end{array} \\ \hline 3.(11) \\ \hline \end{array}$	3 (11MP30SB06.	7 70 (
$MP25 \qquad \frac{(cont d)}{11MP29SB16} \qquad MP27 \qquad MP27$	11MP28SB06, 2 (11MP30 11MP28S	SB06, B06)
16-20 11WF255D20 24.26 11MP255B26	11MP29SB10)	200)
$\frac{24 - 20}{28 - 30} = \frac{11 \text{MP} (23 \text{SB} 20)}{11 \text{MP} (23 \text{SB} 30)}$		
20-30 11WI 250130 32-34 11MP25SB34		

General Geographic Area	Location Description	Soil Bor- ing Loca- tion	Depth (feet)	Sample ID	Total TAL Metals	Mercury SSE	Arsenic Speciation	SPLP TAL Metals	TCLP RCRA Metals	SVOCs	DRO/RRO	Particle Size / Atterberg Lim- its (ASTM D2487) and Moisture Con- tent	Grain Size (ASTM D422)
	Monofil #3		2-4	11MP22SB04									
	Area / Tailings / Waste	MP22	6-8	11MP22SB08	3 (11MP22SB04, 11MP22SB08, 11MP22SB12)								
	Rock		10-12	11MP22SB12									
			2-4	11MP20SB04	3 (11MP20SB04, 11MP20SB12					1 (No diesel odor	1 (No diesel odor		2 (no samples
	Power Plant / Former	MP20	10-12	11MP20SB12	(DUPLICATE 11MP20SB16 @1747), 11MP20SB08)					noted or water table encoun- tered)	noted or water table encoun- tered)		below water table)
	Drum Storage Area		2-4	11MP21SB04						1 (No diesel odor	1 (No diesel odor		
		MP21	6-8	11MP21SB08	3 (11MP21SB04, MP21SB14, 11MP21SB08)					noted or water	noted or water		
			12-14	11MP21SB14	11Wii 215000)					tered)	tered)		
Upgradient of Settlin Pond #1 Flotation Tailings, Se tling Pond #1	Upgradient of Settling Pond #1	MP31	2-4	11MP31SB04	3 (11MP31SB04)								2 (no samples below water table)
			2-4	11MP32SB04									
	Flotation Tailings, Set- tling Pond #1	MP32	4-6	11MP32SB06	3 (11MP32SB04, 11MP32SB06, 11MP32SB08)	1 (11MP32SB08)	1 (11MP32SB06)	1 (11MP32SB06)	1 (11MP32SB06)	2 (11MP32SB04)	1 (11MP32SB04)	1 (11MP32SB04)	
tling F Upgradien Ponds #	ting I ond #1		6-8	11MP32SB08	11111 325 D 00)								
	Upgradient of Settling Ponds #2 and #3	MP33	2-4	11MP33SB04	3 (11MP33SB04) (DUPLICATE: 11MP33SB06 @ 1535)								2 (no samples below water table)
			2-4	11MP34SB04									
			4-6	11MP34SB06	3 (11MP34SB04,11MP34SB06) and					2/1110240004			
	flotation Tailings, Set- tling Pond #2	MP34	6-8	11MP34SB08	11MP34SB08 (DUPLICATE: 11MP34SB22	1 (11MP34SB04)	1 (11MP34SB06)	1 (11MP34SB06)	1 (11MP34SB06)	2(11MP34SB04, 11MP34SB12)	1 (11MP34SB14)	1(11MP34SB04)	
			10-12	11MP34SB12	@ 1715) (mid-range)					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Post-1955			12-14	11MP34SB14									
Main Pro-			4-6	11MP35SB06	3								
(cont'd)	Berm of Settling Pond #2	MP35	10-12	11MP35SB12	(11MP35SB12,11MP35SB16,11MP35SB06)								
(cont c)			14-16	11MP35SB16									
			2-4	11MP36SB04						2(11MP36SB08	1/11/02/0000		
	Flotation Tailings, Set-		6-8	11MP36SB08	3 (11MP36SB04, 11MP36SB08 and					(DUPLICATE: 11MP36SB18 @	(DUPLICATE:	1 (No Samples	
	tling Pond #3	MP36	14-16	11MP36SB16	11MP36SB16 (low-range)	1 (11MP36SB04)	1 (11MP36SB04)	1 (11MP36SB04)	1 (11MP36SB04)	1505) , No Sam- ples Above Wa- ter Table)	11MP36SB18 @ 1505))	Above water table)	
			4-6	11MP37SB06	3 (11MP37SB06 (DUPLICATE:					1 (11 MD278D1 ()	1 (11 MD278D1()		
	Berm of Settling Pond #3	MP37	6-8	11MP37SB08	11MP37SB24 @					Diesel Odor	Diesel Odor		
			14-16	11MP37SB16	1230),11MP3/SB08,11MP37SB16)								
Between S			8-10	11MP38SB10									
	Between Settling Pond	MP38	10-12	11MP38SB12	3 (11MP38SB10) and 11MP38SB14,	1 (11MP38SR10)	1 (11MP38SB10)	1 (11MP38SB10)					2 (11MP38SB12,
	#1 and Red Devil Creek	1,11 30	12-14	11MP38SB14	11MP38SB16 (mid and low-range)	· (1101 300D10)	I (IIIII 300010)	1 (1101 300010)					11MP38SB14)
			14-16	11MP38SB16									

General Geographic Area	Location Description	Soil Bor- ing Loca- tion	Depth (feet)	Sample ID	Total TAL Metals	Mercury SSE	Arsenic Speciation	SPLP TAL Metals	TCLP RCRA Metals	SVOCs	DRO/RRO	Particle Size / Atterberg Lim- its (ASTM D2487) and Moisture Con- tent	Grain Size (ASTM D422)
			4-6	11MP39SB06									
			6-8	11MP39SB08				1(11MD20SD06)					
	Between Settling Pond	MP39	8-10	11MP39SB10	3 (11MP39SB06, 11MP39SB08) and	1 (11MP39SB08)	1 (11MP39SB06)	(DUPLICATE					2 (11MP39SB10,
	#2 and Red Devil Creek		10-12	11MP39SB12	11MP39SB12 (low-range)			11MP39SB16 @1011)					11MP398B14)
			12-14	11MP39SB14									
			4-6	11MP40SB06									
	Between Settling Pond	MP40	6-8	11MP40SB08	3 (11MP40SB08, 11MP40SB06, 11MP40SP10)	1 (11MP40SB08)	1 (11MP40SB08)	1 (11MP40SB08)					2 (11MP40SB10,
	#5 and Ked Devil Cleek		8-10	11MP40SB10	11MF403B10)								11MF403B00)
		11MP60	2-4	11MP60SB04									
		(Shallow)	12-14	11MP60SB14	3 (11MP60SB14, 11MP60SB04,	1/(11) (DCOSD 14)	1 (11) (DCOCD 14)	1 (11 MD (0 CD 14)	1(11) (11) (DC0CD14)				2 (11) (05D24)
Nea Cree forn Ta Are Re	Near spring in Red Devil Creek / Downgradient of	(Paired with 11MP88)	22-24	11MP60SB24	11MP60SB24)	I (IIMP60SB14)	I (IIMP60SB14)	I (IIMP60SB14)	I (IIMP60SB14)				2 (11MP60SB24)
	former mine openings / Tailings/Waste Rock	11MP88 (Deep) (Paired with 11MP60)	N/A	N/A (not sam- pled)									
	Area between Pre-1955		2-4	11MP63SB04									
	Retort and Red Devil Creek	MP63	4-6	11MP63SB06	3 (11MP63SB04, 11MP63SB06)								
			4-6	11MP66SB06									
			8-10	11MP66SB10						0 (11MP66SB16)	0 (11MP66SB16)		
Dro. 1055	Mine Access Road / Downgradient of Pre-	MP66	14-16	11MP66SB16	3 (11MP66SB06, 11MP66SB18, 11MP66SB10 (DUPLICATE)					DUPLICATE	DUPLICATE		2 (11MP66SB20,
Main Pro-	1955 Processing Area	WII 00	16-18	11MP66SB18	11MP66SB24@1050))					11MP66SB26 @	11MP66SB26 @		11MP66SB22)
cessing Area			18-20	11MP66SB20						1039	1039		
(cont'd)			20-22	11MP66SB22									
			2-4	11MP45SB04	3 (11MD/55B0/ 11MD/55B10								
		MP45	8-10	11MP45SB10	11MP45SB12)					1 (11MP45SB04)	1 (11MP45SB04)		
			10-12	11MP45SB12									
	Former Shop Pad / Tail-	MP46	2-4	11MP46SB04	3 (11MP46SB04, 11MP46SB12) No major					1 (Petroleum	1 (Petroleum		
	ings/Waste Rock		10-12	11MP46SB12	variability					odor not noted)	odor not noted)		
			2-4	11MP47SB04	3 (11MP47SB04 11MP47SB22					1 (Petroleum	1 (Petrolaum		
		MP47	20-22	11MP47SB22	11MP47SB26)					odor not noted)	odor not noted)		
			24-26	11MP47SB26						^			
			2-4	11MP48SB04	3 (11MP48SB12 11MP48SB08								
	Area near Monofill #1 /	MP48	6-8	11MP48SB08	11MP48SB04)			1 (11MP48SB12	1 (11MP48SB08				
	Shop Pad A / Tail-		10-12	11MP48SB12				(DUPLICATE:	(DUPLICATE:				
	mgs/ waste Kock	MP49	4-6	11MP49SB06	3 (11MP49SB06,			111VIP405B10@1055))	1110114851818@1700))				
		/	8-10	11MP49SB10	11MP49SB14(DUPLICATE:								

General Geographic		Soil Bor- ing Loca-	Depth							01/0.0		Particle Size / Atterberg Lim- its (ASTM D2487) and Moisture Con-	Grain Size
Area	Location Description	tion	(feet)	Sample ID		Mercury SSE	Arsenic Speciation	SPLP TAL Metals	ICLP RCRA Metals	SVOCS	DRO/RRO	tent	(ASTM D422)
			12-14	11MP495D14	11MP49SB10@950), 11MP49SB10)								
			4-0	11MP89SB00									
	Area near Monofill #1 /	MD80	28.30	11MD20SB30	3 (11MP89SB30, 11MP89SB12,								2 (11MP89SB34,
	ings/Waste Rock	WII 07	32-34	11MP80SB3/	11MP89SB06)								11MP89SB37)
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		35-37	11MP89SB37									
			2-4	11MP55SB04									
		MP55	4-6	11MP55SB06	3 (11MP55SB04, 11MP55SB06)					11MP55SB06	11MP55SB07		
			2-4	11MP56SB04		-							
		MP56	4-6	11MP56SB06	3 (11MP56SB06, 11MP56SB10,								
			8-10	11MP56SB10	11MP56SB04)								
	Area of		2-4	11MP57SB04	2 (11MD57SD04 (DUDI ICATE.	3 (11MP56SB06,	2 (11MP56SB06, 11MP58SB04	3 (11MP58SB08	3 (11MP58SB08				
	Pre-1955 Retort Building	MP57	4-6	11MP57SB06	11MP57SB12@1450), 11MP57SB08,	11MP56SB10,	(DUPLICATE:	11MP56SB06)	11MP56SB06)	11MP57SB06	11MP57SB06		
			6-8	11MP57SB08	11MP57SB06)	111011 3051000)	11MP58SB16@1030)						
			2-4	11MP58SB04									
		1 (1) (0)	6-8	11MP58SB08	3 (11MP58SB04, 11MP58SB08,							1 (11) (550(5)10)	
		MP58	8-10	11MP58SB10	11MP58SB12 (DUPLICATE: 11MP58SB16@1025))							1 (11MP58SB10)	
		10-12	11MP58SB12										
		2-4	11MP59SB04										
	Burnt Ore	MD50	10-12	11MP59SB12	3 (11MP59SB12, 11MP59SB04, 11MP59SB14 (DUBLICATE)	1 (11MD50SD12)	1 (11MP59SB04	1(11MD50SD12)	1 (11 MD50 SD12)			1 (11MD50SD16)	
	near Pre-1955 Retort	MP 39	12-14	11MP59SB14	11MP59SB14 (DOPLICATE:	I (IIMP395B12)	(DUPLICATE: 11MP59SB18@1920))	I (IIMP 595B12)	I (IIMP395D12)			1 (11MP393B10)	
			14-16	11MP59SB16	,								
	Area between Pre-1955		2-4	11MP61SB04									2 (Well not indi-
	Retort and Red Devil Creek	MP61	4-6	11MP61SB06	3 (11MP61SB04 (DUPLICATE: 11MP61SB08@1525), 11MP61SB06)								cated on figure or groundwater table)
	Area between Pre-1955		2-4	11MP62SB04									
Pre-1955 Main Pro	Retort and Red Devil	MD67	12-14	11MP62SB14	3 (11MP62SB04, 11MP62SB24,								2 (11MP62SB20,
cessing Area	Furnace Burnt Ore Dis-	IVIF 02	18-20	11MP62SB20	11MP62SB14)								11MP62SB24)
(cont'd)	posal Pile		22-24	11MP62SB24									
		MP50	2-4	11MP50SB04	3 (11MP50SB04)								
			2-4	11MP51SB04									
		MP51	4-6	11MP51SB06	3 (11MP51SB04, 11MP51SB06,	3 (11MP51SB04,				11MP51SB08	11MP51SR08		
	Area of Pre-1955 Fur- nace Building / Tail-	1411 51	6-8	11MP51SB08	11MP51SB14)	11MP52SB10 (DUPLICATE)	2 (11MP52SB06,	3 (11MP51SB06, 11MP52SB10	3 (11MP51SB06, 11MP52SB10	1100 315000	11111 315000		
	ings/Waste Rock		12-14	11MP51SB14		11MP52SB28@1445),	11MP51SB06)	11MP52SB06)	11MP52SB06)				
			4-6	11MP52SB06	3 (11MP52SR06 11MP52SR10	11MP52SB06)							2 (Bedrock, No
		MP52	6-8	11MP52SB08	11MP52SB26)					11MP52SB26	11MP52SB26	1 (11MP52SB08)	samples from
			8-10	11MP52SB10	- /								screened inter-

General Geographic Area	Location Description	Soil Bor- ing Loca- tion	Depth (feet)	Sample ID	Total TAL Metals	Mercury SSE	Arsenic Speciation	SPLP TAL Metals	TCLP RCRA Metals	SVOCs	DRO/RRO	Particle Size / Atterberg Lim- its (ASTM D2487) and Moisture Con- tent	Grain Size (ASTM D422)
			24-26	11MP52SB26									val)
		14052	2-4	11MP53SB04	2 (11) (0520004 11) (0520000)								
		MP55	6-8	11MP53SB08	5 (11MP535B04, 11MP535B08)								
		MP54	2-4	11MP54SB04	3 (11MP54SB04 11MP54SB06)					0 (11MP54SB04)	0 (11MP54SB04)		
		WII 54	4-6	11MP54SB06	5 (11111 5+5B0+, 11111 5+5B00)					0 (11MI 345D04)	0 (11111 345004)		
			2-4	11DS01SB04									
		DS01	4-6	11DS01SB06	(DUPLICATE: 11DS01SB18 @ 1630).							1(11DS01SB04)	
Dolly Shuice		- ~ • • •	8-10	11DS01SB10	11DS01SB16 (mid and low-range)			1 (11DS01SB06 (DUPLICATE)				-(
and Delta	Dolly Sluice Delta		14-16	11DS01SB16		1 (11DS01SB06)	1 (11DS01SB06)	11DS01SB18 @					
		-	2-4	11DS02SB04	3 (11DS02SB04_11DS02SB10 (Duplicate			1630))					
		DS02	8-10	11DS02SB10	11DS02SB16 @ 1335), 11DS02SB14)								
			12-14	11DS02SB14									
		-	2-4	11RS01SB04									
		RS01	4-6	11RS01SB06	3 (11RS01SB12) and 11RS01SB08,							1 (11RS01SB06)	
Rice Sluice	Rice Sluice	-	6-8	11RS01SB08	11RS018B04								
and Delta	Delta		10-12	11RS01SB12		1 (11RS01SB12)	1 (11RS02SB04)	1 (11RS01SB12)					
			2-4	11RS02SB04	3 (11RS02SB04) and 11RS02SB08.								
		RS02	6-8	11RS02SB08	11RS02SB14								
			12-14	11RS02SB14									
		-	2-4	11SM10SB04									
		CD (10	4-6	11SM10SB06	3 (11SM10SB10) and 11SM10SB04,							3 (11SM10SB06,	
		SM10	6-8	11SM10SB08	11SM10SB12							11SM10SB08)	
	Potential Site of On Site	-	8-10	11SM10SB10									
	Repository / Bulldozed		10-12	11SM10SB12		1 (11CM10CD10)	1 (11CM10CD10)	1 (11 CM 10CD10)					
	Area Away from Known	-	2-4	115W115D04		1 (115M105D10)	1 (1151/1105010)	I (IISMI05D10)					
	Ore Trend	-	0-8 8 10	11SM11SB08								3 (11SM11SB10,	
		SM11	10.12	11SM11SD10	3 (11SM11SB04, 11SM11SB14 (Duplicate 11SM11SB20 @ 1325) 11SM11SB16)							11SM11SB08,	
Surface			12 14	11SM11SB12								11SM11SB12)	
Mined Area			12-14	11SM11SB14									
		SM31	4-6	11SM11SB16	3 (11SM31SB06) Only 1 sample	1 (11SM31SB06)	1 (11SM31SB06)	1 (11SM31SB06)					2 (No Water)
	U. 1. CD 1055	11SM32	4.0	11510151515000	5 (11510516566) Only 1 sumple	1 (115141511515000)	1 (115145155600)	1 (115/0315000)					2 (110 Water)
	Upslope of Pre-1955 processing facilities and Monofill #1	(Shallow) (Paired with 11SM31)	N/A	N/A (Not Sam- pled)									
	Upslope of Pre-1955 processing facilities and Monofill #1	MP41	2-4 4-6	11MP41SB04 (11SM41SB04) 11MP41SB06	3 (11MP41SB06) and 11MP41SB04 (Only 2 samples in borehole)	1 (11MP41SB06)	1 (11MP41SB06)	1 (11MP41SB06)					2 (No Water)

General Geographic Area	Location Description	Soil Bor- ing Loca- tion	Depth (feet)	Sample ID	Total TAL Metals	Mercury SSE	Arsenic Speciation	SPLP TAL Metals	TCLP RCRA Metals	SVOCs	DRO/RRO	Particle Size / Atterberg Lim- its (ASTM D2487) and Moisture Con- tent	Grain Size (ASTM D422)
				(11SM41SB06)							,		
		11MP90 (Deep) (paired with 11MP41)	N/A	N/A (not sam- pled)									
	Dam	11RD08	N/A	N/A (not sam- pled)									
	Dam	11RD09	N/A	N/A (not sam- pled)									
			4-6	11RD05SB06									
			6-8	11RD05SB08	3 (110D05SB08 110D05SB12							3 (11RD05SB06,	2 (no samples
		RD05	10-12	11RD05SB12	11RD05SB16)							11RD05SB08,	below water
			12-14	11RD05SB14								11KD055B14)	table)
			16-18	11RD05SB16									
Red Devil			2-4	11RD06SB04	3 (11RD06SB04 11RD06SB08								
Creek		RD06	6-8	11RD06SB08	11RD06SB12)	2 (1100205020	2 (11RD20SB20,	2 (1100205020					
	Red Devil Creek Alluvi-		10-12	11RD06SB12		11RD20SB20, 11RD20SB18,	(DUPLICATE:	Highest As and Hg in					
	al Deposits and/or Soil		2-4	11RD07SB04		11RD07SB12)	11RD05SB18 @	same sample)				3 (11PD07SB0/	
		RD07	6-8	11RD07SB08	3 (11RD07SB12) and 11RD07SB04,		1900)					11RD07SB08,	
			8-10	11RD07SB10	TIRD0/SB10)							11RD07SB12)	
			10-12	11RD07SB12									
			4-6	11RD20SB06									2 (11RD20SB12)
		RD20	10-12	11RD20SB12	3 (11RD20SB18, 11RD20SB20) and								Not enough vol-
			16-18	11RD20SB18	11RD205B00								samples
			18-20	11RD20SB20									•
		DD 01	2-4	11RD01SB04	3 (11RD01SB04, 11RD01SB10,								
		KD01	8-10	11RD01SB10	11RD01SB14)								
			12-14	11RD013B14									
		נטטע	2-4 1.6	11RD02SB04	3 (11RD02SB04) and 11RD02SB06,								
		KD02	4-0 8 10	11RD02SB10	11RD02SB10)								
Red Devil	Red Devil		0-10 1-6	11RD025B10		3 (11RD03SB06, 11RD03SB08	2 (11RD03SB06,	2 (11RD03SB06, Highest As and Hg in					
Area	Creek Delta		6-8	11RD03SB08	2 (110002600(1100026009	11RS03SB10)	11RD02SB04,)	same sample)					
		RD03	8-10	11RD03SB10	5(11RD03SB06, 11RD03SB08, 11RS03SB10)			•				3 (11RD03SB12)	
			10-12	11RD03SB10									
			2-4	11RD03SB12									
		RD04	6-8	11RD04SB08	3 (11RD04SB04, 11RD04SB08 (Duplicate:								
		1.207	10-12	11RD04SB12	11RD04SB16 @1545), 11RD04SB12)								
			10-12	11112075012			<u> </u>						

General Geographic Area	Location Description	Soil Bor- ing Loca- tion	Depth (feet)	Sample ID	Total TAL Metals	Mercury SSE	Arsenic Speciation	SPLP TAL Metals	TCLP RCRA Metals	SVOCs	DRO/RRO	Particle Size / Atterberg Lim- its (ASTM D2487) and Moisture Con- tent	Grain Size (ASTM D422)
			2-4	11RD13SB04			2 (110012000)						
	Red Devil Creek Alluvi-		4-6	11RD13SB06			3 (11RD13SB06, 11RD13SB04.						
Red Devil	al Deposits Between	PD12	6-8	11RD13SB08	3 (11RD13SB06, 11RD13SB04,	2 (A11 ND)	11RD13SB14	3 (11RD13SB10,					2 (11RD13SB08,
Creek	Dam and Main Pro-	KD15	8-10	11RD13SB10	11RD13SB14)	5 (All ND)	(DUPLICATE	Non-Detect					11RD13SB12)
	Creek Dam and Main Pro- cessing Area		10-12	11RD13SB12			11RD13SB18 @ 1545)						
			12-14	11RD13SB14			1545)						
		Totals		237	195	36	33	35	20	14	13	27	26
	Additional Duplicates			20	1	4	3	2	2	2	0	0	
Key: DRO diesel RCRA Resou	range organics arce Conservation Recovery Act	i.											

RRO SPLP

residual range organics synthetic precipitation leaching procedure selective sequential extraction SSE

SSEselective sequential extractionSVOCssemivolatile organic compoundsTALTarget Analyte ListTCLPtoxicity characteristic leaching procedure

2.3 Groundwater

Groundwater samples were collected between August 24, 2011, and September 1, 2011.

In total, 31 monitoring wells were installed at the RDM. Of those 31, 26 monitoring wells were installed in 2011. The remaining five monitoring wells were installed by Harding Lawson Associates/Wilder Construction Company Joint Venture in 2000. All monitoring wells are shown in Figure 2-7. Of the 31 monitoring wells onsite, 26 were successfully sampled. The five wells that were not sampled were either dry or were not productive enough to meet low-flow stabilization sampling requirements at the time of sampling. Results from the groundwater sampling will be used to:

- Characterize the nature and extent of COPCs in groundwater.
- Characterize the cation-anion signature of the groundwater to assess potential sources and migration patterns of groundwater and COPCs.
- Characterize groundwater depth, flow direction, gradient, and migration patterns of COPCs.
- Assess groundwater-surface water interactions, including the potential for COPCs in groundwater to enter surface water.
- Provide data for the HHRA to assess potential exposure to COPCs through ingestion of drinking water.

Monitoring wells were constructed with PVC pipe with an inside diameter of 2.5 inches. The screened interval was 10 feet for all wells. The screened interval was pre-constructed with a pre-pack of Colorado Silica Sand filling the annular space between the inner monitoring well pipe and an outer slotted PVC pipe with an outside diameter of 4 inches. Both the inner and outer pipes were slotted with 0.010-inch slots. A 1-foot-deep sump was added to the bottom of every pre-pack. The pre-pack was used to ensure that a layer of sand existed around the screened interval at depths that required the use of the downhole hammer, or whenever sloughing of the borehole occurred. The wells were then packed with additional Colorado Silica Sand to a height of 2 feet above the top of the screened interval, and then completed with bentonite chips to the top of the hole. The monitoring wells were completed with a lockable steel monument placed with concrete and 2 feet of stick up of the PVC pipe above the ground surface.



Groundwater sample collection.

Samples were collected with prescreened Teflon tubing connected to a peristaltic pump for wells with a sampling depth of less than 25 feet, or connected to a decontaminated positive pressure Fultz pump for wells of a greater depth. Low flow sampling methods were used, with a maximum purge rate of 0.5 liters per minute (L/min) and an attempted draw down of 0.1 meters or less. Purge water was passed through a Horiba flow-through cell and, with the exception of one hour time limit, were sampled only once certain criteria were met (see Table 2-4).

Parameter	Stabilization Criteria
pH	+/- 0.1
Specific Conductance	+/- 3%
Oxidation Reduction Potential	+/- 10 mV
Turbidity	+/- 10% (when turbidity is > 10 NTUs)
Dissolved Oxygen	+/- 0.3 mg/L
Temperature	+/- 1° C
Key: ° C degrees Celsius mg/L milligrams per liter mV millivolts NTU nephelometric turbidity unit	·

Table 2-4 Water Quality Parameters Stabilization Criteria

Samples were collected directly from the pre-screened Teflon tubing and placed into sampling vessels that were pre-preserved according to analysis by Columbia Analytical Services, where required. When specified, clean-hands/dirty-hands technique and double bags were used for ultraclean sampling. Teflon tubing was discarded after a single use, and the positive pressure Fultz pump was decontaminated externally and internally between uses. Samples were placed on ice for the duration of their residence time at the field site and during transportation from the field site to the analytical laboratory.

Samples were variously analyzed for total TAL metals, dissolved TAL metals with silicon, total low level mercury, dissolved low level mercury, methylmercury, arsenic speciation, inorganic ions, total dissolved solids (TDS), total suspended solids (TSS), nitrate and nitrite, carbonate and bicarbonate, SVOCs with TICs, DRO and RRO, gasoline range organics (GRO) and benzene toluene, ethylbenzene, xylenes (BTEX), and PCBs. Table 2-5 identifies the analyses conducted at each monitoring well.

Deviations from the Field Sampling Plan

Several deviations from the FSP were made based upon evolving data needs and the field conditions encountered. Two planned monitoring wells were not installed because they were both the shallower of a paired monitoring well station where the deeper well was successfully installed into a bedrock aquifer but the overlying soil did not contain viable amounts of groundwater. An additional monitoring well was installed to create a paired monitoring well station out of MW16. The additional well, MW17, was set as a deep well in the bedrock aquifer to complement the groundwater data collected from MW16, located in the overlying saturated soil aquifer.

In the area immediately downgradient of Monofill #2, an area of notable concern for the RDM investigation, a deviation was made at the request of the BLM to create the ability to measure vertical hydraulic gradient between wells. This involved installing MW11 and MW09 as shallow wells and MW10 as a deep well, with a 20-foot gap between the bottom of the screened intervals of MW11 and MW09 and the top of the screened interval of MW10. As a result, MW10 was installed well into the bedrock aquifer and MW11 and MW09 were installed into the overlying soils. Several wells were not productive enough to produce viable groundwater samples that conformed to the low flow sampling procedure described in the FSP.

Table 2-5 Ground Water Sample Collection Summary

General Geographic Area	Location De- scription	Sample Loca- tion ID (Exist- ing Monitoring Well or RI/FS Soil Boring)	Monitoring Well desig- nation	Sample Date	Total TAL Metals	Dissolved TAL Met- als with Silicon	Total Low Level Mercury	Dissolved Low Level Mercury	Methylmercury	Arsenic Speciation	Inorganic Ions (CI, F, SO4)	Total Dis- solved Solids	Total Sus- pended Solids	Nitrate / Nitrite	Carbonate, Bicarbonate	SVOCs with TICs	DRO / RRO	GRO / BTEX	PCBs
	Upgradient Area	11MP01	MW08	8/30/2011	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1				
Background Areas	Upland Area West of Sur- face Mined Area	11UP11	MW31	8/29/2011	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1				
	Downgradiant	11MP12	MW11	DRY															
	from Monofill	11MP14	MW10	8/29/2011	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1				
	#2 / Post-1955 Retort Building	11MP17	MW09	Recharge too slow to sample															
	TR 111 (11MP29	MW15	8/30/2011	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1				
	Waste Rock	11MP30	MW16	8/30/2011	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1				
		11MP91	MW17	8/30/2011	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1				
		11MP25 (MS/MSD)	MW14	8/31/2011	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1		1	1	
	Gravel Pad	11MP100 (Du- plicate of 11MP25)		8/31/2011	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1		1	1	
Post-1955 Main Pro- cessing Area	Gravel Pad / Downgradient from Monofill #3	MW-01 (Exist- ing well previ- ously referred to as MW-1)	MW01	8/24/2011	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Thea	Downgradient	11MP20	MW13	DRY															
	from Power Plant / Former Drum Storage Area	MW-07 (Exist- ing well previ- ously referred to as MW-7)	MW07	Recharge too slow to sample															
	Upgradient of Settling Pond #1	11MP31 (MS/MSD)	MW18	8/31/2011	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		
	Berm of Set- tling Pond #1	MW-03 (Exist- ing well previ- ously referred to as MW-3)	MW03	8/26/2011	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1				
	Upgradient of Settling Ponds #2 and #3	11MP33	MW19	9/1/2011	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		

Table 2-5 Ground Water Sample Collection Summary

General Geographic Area	Location De- scription	Sample Loca- tion ID (Exist- ing Monitoring Well or RI/FS Soil Boring)	Monitoring Well desig- nation	Sample Date	Total TAL Metals	Dissolved TAL Met- als with Silicon	Total Low Level Mercury	Dissolved Low Level Mercury	Methylmercury	Arsenic Speciation	Inorganic Ions (CI, F, SO4)	Total Dis- solved Solids	Total Sus- pended Solids	Nitrate / Nitrite	Carbonate, Bicarbonate	SVOCs with TICs	DRO / RRO	GRO/ BTEX	PCBs
	Berm / Down- gradient of Settling Pond #3	11MP40	MW22	8/31/2011	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1		
	Downgradient of Settling Pond #1	11MP38	MW20	8/31/2011	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		
	Downgradient of Settling Pond #1	11MP101 (Du- plicate of 11MP38)		8/31/2011	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		
	Downgradient of Settling Pond #2	11MP39	MW21	8/31/2011	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		
	Well pair near	11MP60	MW28	8/30/2011	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1				
	spring in Red Devil Creek / Downgradient of former mine openings / Tail- ings	11MP88	MW27	8/30/2011	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1				
	Near Shop Pad B	11MP89	MW25	8/30/2011	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1				
Dro. 1055	Downgradient of Former Shop Pad	MW-04 (Exist- ing well previ- ously referred to as MW-4)	MW04	8/22/2011	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1
Pre-1955 Main Pro- cessing Area	Possibly downdradient of Pre-1955 Retort Area	MW-06 (Exist- ing well previ- ously referred to as MW-6)	MW06	8/24/2011	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1				
	Possibly downdradient of Pre-1955 Retort Area	11MP66	MW23	8/30/2011	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1				
	Downgradient from Pre-1955 Retort and Pre- 1955 Rotary Furnace Burnt Ore Disposal Pile	11MP62	MW24	8/30/2011	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1				

Table 2-5 Ground Water Sample Collection Summary

General Geographic Area	Location De- scription	Sample Loca- tion ID (Exist- ing Monitoring Well or RI/FS Soil Boring)	Monitoring Well desig- nation	Sample Date	Total TAL Metals	Dissolved TAL Met- als with Silicon	Total Low Level Mercury	Dissolved Low Level Mercury	Methylmercury	Arsenic Speciation	Inorganic Ions (CI, F, SO4)	Total Dis- solved Solids	Total Sus- pended Solids	Nitrate / Nitrite	Carbonate, Bicarbonate	SVOCs with TICs	DRO / RRO	GRO / BTEX	PCBs
	Area of Pre- 1955 Furnace Building / Tail- ings	11MP52	MW26	8/30/2011	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1				
		11RD05	MW33	8/31/2011	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		
	Red Devil	11RD20	MW12	8/31/2011	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		
Red Devil	Deposits	11RD21 (Du- plicate of 11RD20)		8/31/2011	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		
Red Devil Creek	Red Devil Creek Alluvial Deposits Be- tween Dam and Main Pro- cessing Area	11RD10	MW32	8/31/2011	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		
	Well pair up-	11MP41	MW29	9/1/2011	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1				
V g Surface c	gradient from Main Pro- cessing Area	11MP90		Not In- stalled															
Mined Area	Well pair up-	11SM31	MW30	DRY															
Whiled Area	gradient from Main Pro- cessing Area	11SM32		Not In- stalled															
		Total	31	26	26	26	26	26	26	17	26	26	26	26	26	10	11	2	1
		Additional Duplicates	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	1	0

Key: BTEX benzene toluene, ethylbenzene, xylenes

Cl chlorine

DRO diesel range organic

F fluoride

GRO gasoline range organics identifier

ID

PCBs polychlorinated biphenyls RI/FS remedial investigation/feasibility study RRO residual range organic

SO4 sulfate

SVOCsemi-volatile organic compoundTALtarget analyte list

tentatively identified compound TIC

2.4 Surface Water

Surface water samples were collected in Red Devil Creek on September 22, 2010, and August 26–27, 2011.



Surface water sample collection in Red Devil Creek.

In 2010, surface water grab samples were collected from nine locations along Red Devil Creek between the creek's mouth at the Kuskokwim River and a point upstream of the reservoir south of the Main Processing Area. Surface water grab samples collected in 2011 were obtained from 12 locations along Red Devil Creek between the creek's mouth at the Kuskokwim River and a point upstream of the reservoir south of the Main Processing Area (Figure 2-8). Surface water sam-

ple locations between the Kuskokwim River and the reservoir were intended to characterize the contribution of COPCs from overland runoff from tailings and/or contaminated soil and from groundwater contribution. One surface water sample was collected from the seep in the Main Processing Area for characterization of mine shaft discharge, and one sample was collected upstream of the reservoir to characterize natural background, as shown in Figure 2-8. Surface water sample locations along Red Devil Creek were co-located with surface sediment sample locations. The additional 2011 surface water sample locations were added to characterize the water at the location of the drum that was removed in October 2010 and to gather more information about surface water in the main processing area. Sample results will be used to:

- Characterize the nature and extent of COPCs in the surface water of Red Devil Creek.
- Characterize the cation-anion signature of the surface water to assess contribution from groundwater sources.
- Characterize chemical attributes affecting contaminant fate and transport of COPCs in the surface water Red Devil Creek.
- Provide data for the HHRA to assess potential exposure to COPCs through direct contact and incidental ingestion.
- Provide data for the ERA to assess potential exposure of creek biota to COPCs through direct contact and ingestion.

To the greatest extent feasible, surface water samples were collected from middepth water in the creek at a single location. Surface water samples from Red Devil Creek were collected first from near the confluence of Red Devil Creek and the Kuskokwim River. Sampling proceeded upstream to avoid disturbing sediments that could impact turbidity and contaminant concentrations in downstream locations. Samples were collected using a battery-operated peristaltic pump with single-use silicone tubing and by hand-dipping the sample container directly into the creek water. Preserved samples were only collected using the peristaltic pump with single-use silicone tubing. Dissolved metals aliquots were collected following collection of the other aliquots using a dedicated in-line 0.45-micrometer filter.

All of the Red Devil Creek surface water samples were analyzed for total TAL inorganic elements, dissolved TAL inorganic elements, methylmercury, low-level total mercury, low-level dissolved mercury, inorganic ions, nitrate/nitrite, carbonate/bicarbonate, TDS, and TSS (Table 2-6). Selected surface water samples were also analyzed for arsenic speciation and SVOCs. Field measurements for pH, temperature, specific conductance, oxidation-reduction potential, dissolved oxygen, and turbidity were collected at each sample station.

No deviations from the FSP were necessary during surface water sampling.

Table 2-6 Surface Water Sample Summary

			Number of Samples											
Sub-Area	Location Description	Sample Loca- tion ID	Total TAL Metals	Dissolved TAL Metals	Methylmercury	Arsenic Speciation	Inorganic Ions (CI, F, SO4)	Total Low- Level Hg	Dissolved Low-Level Hg	Total Dis- solved Sol- ids	Total Sus- pended Solids	Nitrate/Nitrite	SVOCs with TICs	Carbonate, Bicarbonate
	50 feet upstream from res- ervoir	RD01	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011		2010 and 2011
Upstream from	50 feet downstream from reservoir dam	RD02	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011		2010 and 2011
Main Processing Area	Approximately 300 feet upstream from the Main Processing Area	RD03 (Duplicate 11RD21SW in 2011)	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011		2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011
	Downgradient from aban- doned drum	RD11	2011										2011	
	10 feet upstream from where the access road crosses Red Devil Creek	RD04	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011
	Seep on Left Bank of Red Devil Creek	RD05	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011
Main Processing	Near Settling Pond #2	RD09 (Duplicate 10RD20SW in 2010)	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011		2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011
Alea	Near Settling Pond #3	RD06	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011
	Upstream end of the Main Processing Area	RD10	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011
	Within Red Devil Creek, adjacent to Main Processing Area seep	RD12	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011
Downstream from Main Processing Area	250 feet upstream from con- fluence with Kuskokwim River	RD07	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011		2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011
	Confluence of Red Devil Creek and Kuskokwim Riv- er	RD08 (Duplicate 11RD20SW in 2011)	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011	2010 and 2011

Key: Cl

chlorine F

fluoride

ID identifier SO4 sulfate

SUPsuffactSVOCsemi-volatile organic compoundTALtarget analyte listTICtentatively identified compound

2.5 Sediment

Sediment samples were collected from Red Devil Creek, along the shoreline of the Kuskokwim River, and in off-shore locations in the Kuskokwim River.

2.5.1 Red Devil Creek Sediment Samples

Red Devil Creek sediment sampling was performed on September 24, 2010, and on August 20, 2011.

A total of 12 sediment samples were collected from Red Devil Creek. One sediment sample was collected adjacent to the seep in the Main Processing Area. One surface sediment sample was collected upstream of the reservoir. The locations are co-located with Red Devil Creek surface water locations and are shown in Figure 2-9. Results from samples collected from Red Devil Creek will be used to:

- Characterize the nature and extent of COPCs in Red Devil Creek sediment.
- Characterize chemical attributes affecting contaminant fate and transport of COPCs in surface sediment.
- Characterize grain size distribution of sediment.
- Provide data for the HHRA to assess potential exposure to COPCs through direct contact and incidental ingestion.
- Provide data for the ERA to assess potential exposure of creek biota to COPCs through direct contact and ingestion.



Sediment sample collection in Red Devil Creek.

Red Devil Creek sediment samples were collected from the top 3 inches of the sediment bed using a plastic scoop. During collection of the 2010 samples, a biologist described benthic macroinvertebrates encountered at the sampling locations. Each sample was then logged by a geologist, placed into a disposable plastic mixing container, and homogenized with the plastic scoop. The disposable plastic scoop was then used to place the sample into the appropriate lab container.

The exception to this method was mercury aliquots for selective sequential extraction analysis, which were collected prior to homogenization of the sediment in order to preserve any mercury vapors that may have been present.

Samples were selectively analyzed for total TAL metals, grain size, total organic content, methyl-mercury, mercury SSE, and arsenic speciation. Table 2-7 identifies the analyses conducted at each sample location.

Table 2-7 Summary of Red Devil Creek Sediment Samples

Location Description	Sample Location ID	Sample Date	Total TAL Metals	Methylmercury	Arsenic Specia-	Mercury SSF	Grain Size	SVOCs with TICs	Total Organic Carbon
Downgradient from abandoned drum identified during the 2010 limited sampling effort	11RD11	8/20/2011	X			002	x	х	X
Upstream end of the Main Processing Area	11RD10	8/20/2011	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Within Red Devil Creek, in mixing zone adjacent to Main Pro- cessing Area spring	11RD12	8/20/2011	Х	X	Х	Х	Х		Х
50 feet upstream from reservoir	10RD01	9/24/2010	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х
50 feet downstream from reservoir dam	10RD02	9/24/2010	Х	X	Х		х		Х
Approximately 300 feet upstream from the Main Processing Ar- ea	10RD03	9/24/2010	Х	X	Х	Х	Х		Х
10 feet upstream from where the access road crosses Red Devil Creek	10RD04	9/24/2010	Х	X	Х	Х	Х		Х
Seep on Left Bank of Red Devil Creek	10RD05 Duplicate 10RD21SD	9/24/2010	Х	X	Х	Х	Х		Х
Near Settling Pond #2	10RD09	9/24/2010	Х	Х	Х		Х		Х
Near Settling Pond #3	10RD06	9/24/2010	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х
250 feet upstream from confluence with Kuskokwim River	10RD07	9/24/2010	Х	X	Х		Х		Х
Confluence of Red Devil Creek and Kuskokwim River	10RD08 Duplicate 10RD20SD	9/24/2010	Х	X	Х	Х	Х		Х
Key: ID identifier RRO residual range organic SSE selective sequential extraction									

SSEselective sequential extractionSVOCsemi-volatile organic compound

TALtarget analyte listTICtentatively identified compound

2.5.2 Kuskokwim River Shoreline Sediment Samples

Sediment sampling of the Kuskokwim River shoreline was performed on September 23, 2010, and between September 21, 2011, and September 25, 2011.

A total of 17 sediment samples were collected from the shoreline of the Kuskokwim River adjacent to the RDM site; their location is shown on Figure 2-10. Results from samples collected from the Kuskokwim River will be used to:

- Characterize the nature and extent of COPCs in river sediment.
- Characterize chemical attributes affecting fate and transport of COPCs.
- Provide data for the HHRA to assess potential exposure to COPCs through direct contact, incidental ingestion, and consumption of fish.
- Provide data for the ERA to assess potential exposure of river biota to COPCs through direct contact and ingestion.



Kuskokwim River off-shore sediment sample collection.

pors that may have been present.

Shoreline samples were collected from the shore using a plastic scoop. Once acquired, a sample was then described by a geologist, placed into a disposable plastic mixing container, and homogenized with a disposable plastic stirrer. A disposable plastic scoop was then used to place the sample into the appropriate lab container. The exception to this method was mercury vapor samples (Hg SSE), which were collected prior to homogenization of the sediment in order to preserve any mercury va-

Samples were selectively analyzed for total TAL metals, grain size, total organic content, methyl-mercury, mercury SSE, and arsenic speciation. Table 2-8 identifies which analyses were conducted at each location.

						a y						
Station	TAL Metals	Grain size	тос	Methyl Hg	Hg SSE	As Spec						
11KR01SD	X	Х	Х	Х		Х						
11KR05SD	X	Х	Х	Х		Х						
11KR06SD	X	Х	Х	Х		Х						
11KR08SD	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х						
11KR09SD	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х						
11KR12SD	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х						
11KR14SD	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х						
11KR15SD	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х						
11KR16SD	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х						
11KR17SD	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х						
10KR02SD	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х						
10KR03SD	X	Х	Х	Х		Х						
10KR04SD	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х						
10KR07SD	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х						
10KR10SD	X	Х	Х	Х		Х						
10KR11SD	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х						
10KR13SD	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х						
Key:												
As Spec arser	As Spec arsenic speciation											
Hg mercury												
SSE selective sequential extraction												
TAL targe	t analyte list											
TOC total	organic compound											

 Table 2-8
 Kuskokwim River Shoreline Sediment Sample Summary

The Work Plan (E & E 2011) called for 10 shoreline samples to supplement the eight samples collected in the 2010 LSE (E & E 2010). All samples were collected as planned, and no deviations from the FSP were made.

2.5.3 Kuskokwim River Off-Shore Sediment Samples

Sediment sampling of the Kuskokwim River was performed between September 21, 2011 and September 25, 2011.

A total of 37 sediment samples were collected from the Kuskokwim River and its shore; their location is shown in Figure 2-11. Natural background samples were collected from the Holitna River, a tributary to the Kuskokwim located approximately 9 miles upstream from the Red Devil Mine. Other background samples were collected from the Kuskokwim River above and below the Holitna River to establish regional, human-influenced background. This background sampling scheme was designed to conform to the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) stream sediment sampling protocols (Shelton and Capel 1994). Figure 2-11 shows these samples, as well as the study area samples adjacent to the RDM site. Results from samples collected from the Kuskokwim River will be used to:

- Characterize the nature and extent of COPCs in river sediment.
- Characterize chemical attributes affecting fate and transport of COPCs.
- Provide data for the HHRA to assess potential exposure to COPCs through direct contact, incidental ingestion, and consumption of fish.
- Provide data for the ERA to assess potential exposure of river biota to COPCs through direct contact and ingestion.
- Develop estimates of the area and volume of tailings and/or contaminated sediment in the Kuskokwim River that require remediation.
- Evaluate site-specific remedial technologies on potentially contaminated sediment in the river.

Sediment sampling was performed using two different techniques. Samples collected from near the shore at shallow depths were collected using a hand auger operated from the deck of a sampling vessel. The sampling vessel was a 20footaluminum Grumman skiff rented locally and operated by Kinetic Laboratories, Inc. Sampling at greater depths was performed with a Van Veen surface sediment grab sampler lowered on a winch from a frame mounted to the bow of the boat. Both the hand auger and Van Veen were decontaminated with Alconox and a de-ionized water rinse between uses.

For both hand augering and Van Veen sampling, the vessel was typically anchored on location before sampling, but in areas of heavy armoring of the bottom that prevented the anchor from holding, the pilot would hold the vessel as stationary as possible against the river current. The Van Veen sampler is a surface sediment grab sampler that is lowered by a steel cable via a powered winch. When the Van Veen sampler strikes the bottom, the pre-sprung jaws clamp shut to capture approximately 20 centimeters (cm) of sediment (under ideal conditions). Irrespective of the collection method, once acquired a sample was then described by a geologist and placed into a disposable plastic mixing container and homogenized with a disposable plastic stirrer. A disposable plastic scoop was then used to place the sample into the appropriate lab container. The exception to this method was mercury samples (Hg SSE), which were collected prior to homogenization of the sediment in order to preserve any mercury vapors that may have been present.

Samples were selectively analyzed for total TAL metals, grain size, total organic content, methyl-mercury, mercury SSE, and arsenic speciation. Table 2-9 identifies which analyses were conducted at each location.

	Collection											
Station	Method	TAL Metals	Grain size	тос	Methyl Hg	Hg SSE	As Spec					
11KR18SD	HA	Х	Х	Х	Х							
11KR19SD	HA	Х	Х	Х								
11KR20SD	HA	Х	Х	X								
11KR21SD	HA	Х	Х	Х								
11KR22SD	HA	Х	Х	Х								
11KR23SD	HA	Х	Х	Х								
11KR24SD	HA	Х	Х	Х	Х							
11KR25SD	HA	Х	Х	Х								
11KR26SD	HA	Х	Х	Х								
11KR27SD	HA	Х	Х	Х								
11KR28SD	HA	Х	Х	Х	Х							
11KR29SD	HA	Х	Х	Х								
11KR30SD	HA	Х	Х	Х	Х							
11KR34SD	HA	Х	Х	Х	Х							
11KR35SD	HA	Х	Х	Х								
11KR36SD	VV	Х	Х	Х								
11KR37SD	VV	Х	Х	Х	Х							
11KR38SD	НА	Х	Х	Х								
11KR39SD	HA	Х	Х	Х								
11KR40SD	НА	Х	Х	Х	Х							
11KR41SD	HA	Х	Х	Х								
11KR42SD	НА	Х	Х	Х								
11KR43SD	НА	Х	Х	Х								
11KR44SD	HA	Х	Х	Х								
11KR45SD	НА	Х	Х	Х	Х							
11KR46SD	НА	Х	Х	Х								
11KR47SD	НА	Х	Х	X								
11KR48SD	VV	Х	Х									
11KR49SD	VV	Х	Х									
11KR50SD	VV	Х	Х									
11KR51SD	НА	Х	Х									
11KR53SD VV X X												
Key:	Key:											
As Spec arsen	As Spec arsenic speciation											
HA Hand auger												
SSE select	SE selective sequential extraction											
TAL target	TAL target analyte list											
TOC total	organic compour	nd										
VV Van	Veen grab sampl	er										

Table 2-9 Kuskokwim River Off-Shore Sediment Sample Summary

Deviations from the Field Sampling Plan

Several planned samples were not collected, as noted in Table 2-9. In some of the planned sampling areas, the bed of the Kuskokwim River exhibited heavy armor-

ing with coarse gravel and cobbles, occasionally to a sufficient degree that the vessel's anchor would not take. In these areas, several attempts were made before abandoning the station. In other locations, minor deviations to position had to be made in order to find an area of the river bottom that produced a sample.

2.6 Vegetation

Vegetation samples were collected between August 18, 2011, and August 23, 2011.

In total, 50 vegetation samples were collected. Seventeen of these samples were spruce, 13 were green alder, 11 were blueberry plants, one was blueberry fruit, and eight were pond vegetation. Blueberry plant occurrence is low in the surface mined area, and no blueberry plants were found in the processing areas. Due to seasonal environmental conditions, blueberry fruit was very limited, and only one sample location in the upland area had enough fruit available to sample.

Results from the vegetation sampling will be used to characterize the nature and extent of COPCs in the vegetation in the RDM area. All plant tissue samples have been analyzed for total TAL metals. In addition, approximately 50 percent of the plant samples were analyzed for methylmercury, and the single blueberry fruit sample were analyzed for arsenic speciation.

The vegetation samples were co-located with the surface soil samples (excluding pond vegetation samples) that were collected during the 2010 LSE (Figure 2-12). Target plant species were sampled within a 3-meter radius of these locations. Composite samples were collected from one to five individual plants, depending on availability, and combined into a single sample until the minimum required sample mass (50 to 100 grams) was reached. Pond vegetation samples were collected at locations within the target areas (the background reservoir on Red Devil Creek upgradient from the site and the settling ponds in the post-1955 area) where sufficient vegetation was available.

Samples were collected with stainless steel scissors, placed in two zipper-sealed plastic bags, and placed on ice. Samples were placed on ice for the duration of their residence at the field site and during transportation from the field site to the analytical laboratory. Samples were variously analyzed for total TAL metals, percent moisture, methylmercury, and arsenic speciation. Table 2-10 identifies which analyses were conducted on vegetation at each sample location.

Geographic Area	Target Plant	Sample Location	TAL Metals	Percent Moisture	Methvimercurv	Arsenic Species
	GAB	11MP44GA	X	Х		
Pre-1955 Main Pro-		11MP91WS				
cessing Area	WSN	(11MP66WS)	Х	Х		
		11MP34GA	Х	Х	Х	
	CAD	11MP38GA	Х	Х		
	GAB	11MP20GA	Х	Х		
		11MP27GA	Х	Х	Х	
		11MP34WS	Х	Х	Х	
	WON	11MP38WS	Х	Х		
D (1055 M)	WSN	11MP31WS	Х	Х		
Post-1955 Main		11MP20WS	Х	Х	Х	
Trocessing Area		11MP84PV	Х	Х	Х	
		11MP85PV	Х	Х		
		11MP86PV	Х	Х		
	PVH	11MP87PV	Х	Х		
		11MP88PV				
		(Duplicate of				
		11MP87PV)	Х	Х		
		11SM18GA	Х	Х		
		11SM11GA	Х	Х	Х	
	GAB	11SM81GA				
	UAD	(Duplicate of				
		11SM11GA)	Х	Х	Х	
		11SM07GA	Х	Х	Х	
Surface Mined Area		11SM18WS	Х	Х		
Surface Willieu Alleu		11SM11WS	Х	Х	Х	
	WSN	11SM82WS				
		(Duplicate of				
		TISMITWS)	X	Х	X	
		11SM07WS	X	Х	X	
	BBL	11SM18BL	X	Х	X	
		11SM24BL	X	Х	X	
		11RD11GA	X	Х	Х	
	GAB	11RD12GA	X	Х	Х	
	0.12	11RD14GA	X	Х	Х	
		11RD18GA	Х	Х	Х	
Background Area		11UP02WS	Х	Х	Х	
Buckground Andu		11UP01WS	Х	Х		
	WSN	11UP07WS	X	Х	Х	
	11011	11UP09WS	X	Х		
		11RD11WS	X	Х		
		11RD12WS	X	X	X	

Table 2-10 Vegetation Sample Summary

Geographic Area	Target Plant	Sample Location	TAL Metals	Percent Moisture	Methylmercury	Arsenic Species
		11RD14WS	Х	Х	Х	
		11RD18WS	Х	Х		
	BBF	11UP04BF	Х	Х	Х	Х
	BBL	11UP04BL	Х	Х	Х	
		11UP02BL	Х	Х		
		11UP07BL	Х	Х		
		11UP08BL	Х	Х	Х	
		11UP09BL	Х	Х		
		11RD12BL	Х	Х	Х	
		11RD14BL	Х	Х	Х	
		11RD18BL	Х	Х		
		11RD40BL				
		(Duplicate of				
		11RD18BL)	Х	Х		
	PVH	11RD81PV	Х	Х	Х	
		11RD82PV	Х	Х		
		11RD83PV	Х	Х		
Key:BBFblueberry fruitBBLblueberry leaves						
GAB green alder bark PVH pond vegetation, horsetail TAL target anglete list						
WSN white spruce needles						

Table 2-10 Vegetation Sample Summary

Deviations from the Field Sampling Plan

This sampling event included several deviations from the FSP, based upon evolving data needs and the field conditions encountered. Sample 11MP91WS was located approximately 6 meters northeast of location 11MP66. This location was adjusted to include a spruce tree, and it remained similar in soil material and characteristic (roadside) to 11MP66. Only one blueberry fruit sample was collected due to lack of available material. Additionally, not all blueberry plant samples were collected due to lack of blueberry plants available in the surface mined, pre-1955, and post-1955 areas. In another deviation from the FSP, black spruce (*Picea mariana*) was sampled when white spruce (*Picea glauca*) was not available at sample locations.

2.7 Other Studies

Several studies have been conducted at and near the RDM that supplement the RI field investigations discussed above. These studies have been used to supplement

characterization of the site in subsequent chapters of this report, and are summarized below.

2.7.1 2010 USGS Geophysical Study

As noted in Section 1.4.4, the USGS conducted a geophysical investigation at the RDM site in 2010 using direct-current resistivity and electromagnetic induction methods. Eight two-dimensional cross-sections and one three-dimensional grid of direct-current resistivity data, and 5.7 kilometers of electromagnetic induction data were obtained along the Red Devil Creek valley, from the Main Processing Area to Red Devil Creek's confluence with the Kuskokwim River. The results of the geophysical survey are used to augment the interpretation of subsurface conditions and groundwater dynamics in Chapters 4 and 5 of this report.

2.7.2 2010 BLM Fish Tissue Sampling

In 2010, the BLM, in coordination with the Alaska Department of Fish and Game, sampled forage fish and top-trophic-level fish species in the middle Kuskokwim River region and in eight tributaries, including Red Devil Creek. Fish tissue samples were analyzed for 19 inorganic elements, including total mercury and methylmercury. Tissue data from forage fish samples collected in Red Devil Creek are used in the HHRA and ERA (Chapter 6) to establish exposure levels for human and ecological consumers.







- Settling Pond
- Monofill
- Historical Structure

Red Devil, Alaska

Figure 2-3 Surface Soil Sample Locations Main Processing Area





Image Source: Aero-Metric, Inc 5/29/2001





Soil Boring Location

- Area of Surface Mining
- Dolly Sluice and Delta
- Red Devil Creek Downstream Alluvial Area and Delta
- Red Devil Creek Upstream Alluvial Area igodol
- Rice Sluice and Delta \bigcirc
- Settling Pond
- Monofill

Historical Structure

RED DEVIL MINE

Red Devil, Alaska

Figure 2-6 Soil Boring Locations Outside Main Processing Area



Image Source: Aero-Metric, Inc 5/29/2001





Settling Pond

Monofill

Historical Structure

Red Devil, Alaska

Figure 2-8 Surface Water Sample Locations







Ecology & Environment, Inc. GIS Department Project: R:\Red Devil Mine\GISWAPS\MXDs\2011_RI_Report\fig





- Blueberry Leaves and Stems
- V Blueberry Fruit
- Green Alder
- ▲ Horsetail Pond Vegetation
- White Spruce
 - Settling Pond
- Monofill
- Historical Structure

Image Source: Aero-Metric, Inc 5/29/2001

RED DEVIL MINE

Red Devil, Alaska

Figure 2-12 Vegetation Sample Locations

