**WYOMING BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT**

**2022 Air Resource Monitoring Report**

**(Data through 2021)**

***Sheridan BLM-WARMS Site***

# Table of Contents

Table of Contents ..... 2
List of Tables ..... 4
List of Figures ..... 5
**1. Introduction** ..... 7
**2. Regulatory analysis** ..... 7
2.1. Regulations and Policy ..... 7
Clean Air Act ..... 7
Federal Land Policy and Management Act ..... 7
National Environmental Policy Act ..... 8
Additional Guidance ..... 8
2.2. Regulated Values ..... 8
2.2.1. Criteria Air Pollutants ..... 8
2.2.2. Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs) ..... 10
2.2.3. Air Quality Related Values ..... 11
2.2.4. Greenhouse Gases ..... 11
2.3. Air Resource Management ..... 11
2.4. Administrative Rules and Implementation Plans ..... 11
**2.5. Air Resource Developments** ..... 12
2.5.1. Guidance on Assessing GHG Emissions in NEPA ..... 12
2.5.2. NEPA Guidance ..... 12
**3. Air Quality Conditions and Trends** ..... 13
3.1. Air Pollutant Concentrations ..... 13
3.2. Air Quality Related Values (AQRV) ..... 15
3.2.1. Visibility ..... 15
3.2.2. Atmospheric Deposition ..... 21
**4. Climate and Climate Change** ..... 22
4.1. Climate Normals ..... 23
4.2. Climate Trends ..... 23
4.3. Climate Change and Climate Projections ..... 34
**5. BLM Monitoring Activities** ..... 34
References ..... 37
Appendix A (Climate Data) ..... 39
Buffalo Field Office ..... 39

Casper Field Office ..... 41
Cody Field Office ..... 44
Kemmerer Field Office ..... 46
Lander Field Office ..... 49
Newcastle Field Office ..... 52
Pinedale Field Office ..... 53
Rawlins Field Office ..... 56
Rock Springs Field Office ..... 61
Worland Field Office ..... 63

# List of Tables

Table 1. Primary Criteria Pollutant NAAQS. ..... 10
Table 2. County-level Design Value History for the $PM_{2.5}$ Annual NAAQS (12 µg/m3). ..... 13
Table 3. County-level Design Value History for the $PM_{2.5}$ 24-hour NAAQS (35 µg/m3). ..... 14
Table 4. County-level Design Value History for the $NO_2$ 1-Hour NAAQS (100 ppb). ..... 14
Table 5. County-level Design Value History for the $NO_2$ Annual NAAQS (53 ppb). ..... 14
Table 6. County-level Design Value History for the Ozone 8-hr NAAQS (0.070 ppm). ..... 15

# List of Figures

Figure 1. National Parks, Wilderness Areas, and National Parks in and surrounding Wyoming. 17
Figure 2. Visibility Trends at Yellowstone NP. 19
Figure 3. Visibility Trends at Bridger Wilderness. 19
Figure 4. Visibility Trends at North Absaroka Wilderness Area. 20
Figure 5. Visibility Trends at Wind Cave NP. 20
Figure 6. NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information Climate Divisions. 22
Figure 7. Wyoming Yellowstone Climate Division 1 Precipitation Trend. 24
Figure 8. Wyoming Snake Climate Division 2 Precipitation Trend. 24
Figure 9. Wyoming Green and Bear Climate Division 3 Precipitation Trend. 25
Figure 10. Wyoming Big Horn Climate Division 4 Precipitation Trend. 25
Figure 11. Wyoming Powder and Tongue Climate Division 5 Precipitation Trend. 26
Figure 12. Wyoming Bell Fourche Climate Division 6 Precipitation Trend. 26
Figure 13. Wyoming Cheyenne and Niobrara Climate Division 7 Precipitation Trend. 27
Figure 14. Wyoming Lower Platte Climate Division 8 Precipitation Trend. 27
Figure 15. Wyoming Wind River Climate Division 9 Precipitation Trend. 28
Figure 16. Wyoming Wind River Climate Division 10 Precipitation Trend. 28
Figure 17. Wyoming Yellowstone Climate Division 1 Temperature Trend. 29
Figure 18. Wyoming Snake Climate Division 2 Temperature Trend. 29
Figure 19. Wyoming Green and Bear Climate Division 3 Temperature Trend. 30
Figure 20. Wyoming Big Horn Climate Division 4 Temperature Trend. 30
Figure 21. Wyoming Powder and Tongue Climate Division 5 Temperature Trend. 31
Figure 22. Wyoming Bell Fourche Climate Division 6 Temperature Trend. 31
Figure 23. Wyoming Cheyenne and Niobrara Climate Division 7 Temperature Trend. 32
Figure 24. Wyoming Lower Platte Climate Division 8 Temperature Trend. 32
Figure 25. Wyoming Wind River Climate Division 9 Temperature Trend. 33
Figure 26. Wyoming Wind River Climate Division 10 Temperature Trend. 33
Figure 27. WARMS Monitor Locations. 35
Figure 28. Basin, Wyoming WARMS Daily Max 8-hour Ozone Concentrations. 36
Figure 29. Climate Normals (1991-2020) for Gillette. 39
Figure 30. Wind Rose for Gillette. 40
Figure 31. Climate Normals (1991-2020) for Casper. 41
Figure 32. Wind Rose for Casper. 42
Figure 33. Climate Normals (1991-2020) for Guernsey. 43
Figure 34. Climate Normals (1991-2020) for Cody. 44
Figure 35. Wind Rose for Cody. 45
Figure 36. Climate Normals (1991-2020) for Kemmerer. 46
Figure 37. Climate Normals (1991-2020) for Evanston. 47
Figure 38. Wind Rose for Evanston. 48
Figure 39. Climate Normals (1991-2020) for Lander. 49
Figure 40. Wind Rose for Lander. 50
Figure 41. Climate Normals (1991-2020) for Dubois. 51
Figure 42. Climate Normals (1991-2020) for Newcastle. 52
Figure 43. Climate Normals (1991-2020) for Jackson. 53
Figure 44. Climate Normals (1991-2020) for Pinedale. 54
Figure 45. Wind Rose for Big Piney. 55
Figure 46. Climate Normals (1991-2020) for Laramie. 56
Figure 47. Wind Rose for the Laramie. 57
Figure 48. Climate Normals (1991-2020) for Rawlins. 58
Figure 49. Wind Rose for Rawlins. 59

Figure 50. Climate Normals (1991-2020) for Saratoga. ..... 60
Figure 51. Climate Normals (1991-2020) for Rock Springs..... 61
Figure 52. Wind Rose for Rock Springs. ..... 62
Figure 53. Climate Normals (1991-2020) for Worland. ..... 63
Figure 54. Wind Rose for Worland..... 64

## 1. Introduction

The Wyoming Bureau of Land Management (BLM) has prepared this air monitoring report to present existing environment conditions for use in impact assessments. The BLM authorizes activities that can affect air resources by releasing pollutants into the atmosphere. The report assists the BLM in managing air resources by establishing current conditions and monitoring trends for National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) analysis. Additionally, the report is used to promote education, awareness, and transparency of air resources on public lands. Air pollution does not stop at government or jurisdictional boundaries and engaging the public, various levels of government, and tribes through cooperative airshed management is a key to protecting air quality.

## 2. Regulatory analysis

Congress gave the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) regulatory authority for cleaning up air pollution. Under the Clean Air Act (CAA), EPA sets limits on certain air pollutants, including setting limits on how much can be in the air anywhere in the United States. The CAA also gives EPA the authority to limit emissions of air pollutants coming from sources like chemical plants, utilities, and steel mills (EPA, 2007).

The Wyoming Department of Environmental Quality/Air Quality Division (WDEQ-AQD) is responsible to ensure that air in Wyoming meets health and safety standards established under the CAA. To fulfil this responsibility, the WDEQ-AQD is required by the federal government to ensure compliance with the EPA's National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) statewide. Additionally, the state ensures compliance with visibility standards through regional haze rules. The WDEQ-AQD enacts rules pertaining to air quality standards, develops plans to meet the federal standards, when necessary, issues preconstruction and operating permits to stationary sources, and ensures compliance with state and federal air quality rules (WDEQ, 2013).

EPA's Tribal Authority Rule gives Tribes the ability to develop air quality management programs, write rules to reduce air pollution and implement and enforce their rules in Indian Country. While state and local agencies are responsible for all CAA requirements, Tribes may develop and implement only those parts of the CAA that are appropriate for their lands (EPA, 2007).

While the EPA, State, and Tribes have regulatory authority to control air pollution emissions, it is the mission of the BLM to sustain the health, diversity, and productivity of the public lands for the use and enjoyment of present and future generations. Section 2.1 lists the laws, policy, and guidance that directs BLM how to achieve this mission with respect to air resources.

### 2.1. Regulations and Policy

#### Clean Air Act

The CAA of 1963 [42 U.S.C. § 1857 et seq.], as amended and recodified [42 U.S.C. § 7401 et seq.] is the primary Federal legislation and provides the framework for protecting and enhancing the quality of the Nation's air resources so as to promote the public health and welfare and the productive capacity of its population (Section 101(b)(1)). The Act focuses on reducing both criteria air pollutants and hazardous air pollutants. As required by the CAA, EPA has established NAAQS for criteria pollutants (Section 109 (a)(1)(A)). Compliance and enforcement of these Federal requirements is delegated to applicable Tribal, State and local regulatory agencies (Sections 107(a), 301(d), 302). The CAA also allows these agencies to establish regulations which are more, but not less, stringent than the Federal requirement (Section 116) (EPA, 2007). The BLM has no authority to determine how air quality standards will be achieved nor to determine area designations.

#### Federal Land Policy and Management Act

Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLPMA) of 1976 [43 U.S.C. §§ 1701-1785], often referred to as the BLM's "Organic Act," provides the majority of the BLM's legislated authority, direction policy, and basic management guidance. This Act outlines the BLM's role as a multiple use land management

agency and provides for management of the public lands under principles of multiple use and sustained yield. The Act directs public lands to be managed "in a manner that will protect the quality of scientific, scenic, historical, ecological, environmental, air and atmospheric, water resource, and archeological values" (Sec. 102. [43 U.S.C. 1701] (a) (8)). To meet this responsibility, the BLM is to require "compliance with applicable pollution control laws, including State and Federal air, water, noise, or other pollution standards or implementation plans" (Sec. 202. [43 U.S.C. 1712] (a)(8)). This means that the BLM can reasonably rely on compliance with existing air pollution control regulations to insure protection of regulatory air quality standards (e.g. NAAQS). In addition, BLM can reasonably rely on Federal or delegated State air pollution control agencies to determine compliance with these regulations, and to enforce these regulatory air quality standards. FLPMA also gives the BLM authority to halt any BLM authorized activity that is found in violation of state of Federal air quality regulations, thus ensuring that the BLM can provide compliance with applicable air quality standards, regulations, and implementation plans (Sec. 302. [43 U.S.C. 1732] (a)(c)).

#### National Environmental Policy Act

The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969 [42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.]: NEPA ensures that information on the potential environmental and human impact of Federal actions is available to public officials and citizens before decisions are made and before actions are taken. One of the purposes of the Act is to "promote efforts which will prevent or eliminate damage to the environment and biosphere," and to promote human health and welfare (Section 2). This Act requires that agencies prepare a detailed statement on the environmental impact of the proposed action for major Federal actions expected to significantly affect the quality of the human environment (Section 102 (C)). In addition, agencies are required, to the fullest extent possible, to use a "systematic, interdisciplinary approach" in planning and decision-making processes that may have an impact on the environment (Section 102(A)).

#### Additional Guidance

Other guidance and policy are useful for the BLM in managing air resources. While this guidance is not required by law it can be useful for managing and analyzing air resources. Such guidance includes, but is not limited to, Council on Environmental Quality guidance on NEPA analysis, the Federal Land Managers' Air Quality Related Values Work Group (FLAG), and BLM Guidance for Conducting Air Quality General Conformity Determinations (BLM IM2013-025, 2012) (BLM IB 2014-084, 2014).

## 2.2. Regulated Values

### 2.2.1. Criteria Air Pollutants

The EPA has established NAAQS for six common air pollutants (also known as "criteria air pollutants"). These pollutants are found all over the U.S. Concentrations of air pollutants greater than the national standards represent a risk to human health and the environment. Criteria pollutants include carbon monoxide, nitrogen dioxide, ozone, particulate matter, sulfur dioxide, and lead, and are discussed below. Periodically, the EPA reviews the latest science to ensure that NAAQS appropriately protect human health and safety and to update the standards when necessary. Indicators for assessing environmental impacts from criteria air pollutants include emissions (mass per unit of time) and concentrations (mass per volume, or number of molecules over total molecules).

#### Carbon Monoxide

Carbon monoxide (CO) is a colorless, odorless gas emitted from combustion processes. The greatest sources of CO to outdoor air are cars, trucks and other vehicles or machinery that burn fossil fuels. CO can cause harmful health effects by reducing oxygen delivery to the body's organs (like the heart and brain) and tissues. At extremely high levels, CO can cause death (EPA, 2018).

#### Nitrogen Oxides

Nitrogen oxides ($NO_x$) are a group of highly reactive gasses and include nitrogen dioxide ($NO_2$), nitrous acid, and nitric acid. While EPA's NAAQS cover this entire group of $NO_x$, $NO_2$ is the component of greatest interest and the indicator for the larger group of nitrogen oxides. $NO_2$ forms quickly from emissions from cars, trucks and buses, power plants, and off-road equipment. In addition to contributing

to the formation of ground-level ozone, and fine particle pollution, $NO_2$ is linked with a number of adverse effects on the respiratory system (EPA, 2018).

### Ozone

Ground-level ozone ($O_3$) is a secondary pollutant. It is formed by a chemical reaction between $NO_x$ and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in the presence of sunlight (photochemical oxidation). Precursor sources of $NO_x$ and VOCs include motor vehicle exhaust, industrial emissions, gasoline vapors, vegetation emissions (i.e., terpenes), wood burning, and chemical solvents. Abundant solar radiation drives the photochemical process and creates ground-level $O_3$. Primary health effects from $O_3$ exposure range from breathing difficulty to permanent lung damage. High concentrations of ground-level $O_3$ contributes to plant and ecosystem damage.

While ozone is generally considered a summertime air pollutant, in certain parts of the country it has become a wintertime issue due to highly concentrated precursor pollutants in low level temperature inversions and additional photochemical reaction from snow reflecting solar radiation back into the atmosphere.

Ozone and its precursors are a regional air quality issue due to possible transport hundreds of miles from origination, thus maximum $O_3$ levels can occur at locations many miles downwind from the sources.

### Particulate Matter ($PM_{10}$ AND $PM_{2.5}$)

Airborne particulate matter (PM) consists of tiny coarse-mode ($PM_{10}$) or fine-mode ($PM_{2.5}$) particles or aerosols combined with dust, dirt, smoke, and liquid droplets. $PM_{2.5}$ have diameters that are generally 2.5 micrometers or smaller and derive primarily from the incomplete combustion of fuel sources and secondarily formed aerosols. $PM_{10}$ have diameters that are generally 10 micrometers or smaller and derive primarily from crushing, grinding, or abrasion of surfaces. Sources of particulate matter include industrial processes, power plants, vehicle exhaust, fugitive dust, construction activities, home heating, and fires. Many scientific studies have linked breathing PM to serious health problems, including aggravated asthma, increased respiratory symptoms, difficult or painful breathing, chronic bronchitis, decreased lung function, and premature death. Particulate matter is a major cause of reduced visibility. It can stain and damage stone and other materials, including culturally important objects, such as monuments and statues (EPA, 2018).

### Sulfur Dioxide

Sulfur dioxide ($SO_2$) is one of a group of highly reactive gasses known as “oxides of sulfur.” The largest sources of $SO_2$ emissions are from fossil fuel combustion at power plants (73%) and other industrial facilities (20%). Smaller sources of $SO_2$ emissions include industrial processes such as extracting metal from ore, and the burning of high sulfur containing fuels by locomotives, large ships, and non-road equipment. $SO_2$ is linked with a number of adverse effects on the respiratory system (EPA, 2018).

### Lead

Lead (Pb) is a metal found naturally in the environment as well as in manufactured products. The major sources of lead emissions have historically been from fuels in on-road motor vehicles (such as cars and trucks) and industrial sources. As a result of EPA's regulatory efforts to remove lead from gasoline, emissions of lead from the transportation sector declined by 95% between 1980 and 1999, and levels of lead in the air decreased by 94% during the same period. Major sources of lead emissions to the air today are ore and metals processing and piston-engine aircraft using leaded aviation gasoline (EPA, 2018).

### National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS)

NAAQS have been established for the six criteria air pollutants to protect human health and welfare**Table 1**. The WDEQ-AQD is responsible to for ensuring compliance with the NAAQS within the state of Wyoming. Table 1 shows current NAAQS for the EPA designated criteria pollutants (EPA, 2018).

**Table 1. Primary Criteria Pollutant NAAQS.**

| Pollutant | Primary/ Secondary | Averaging Time | Level* | Form |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Carbon Monoxide (CO) | primary | 8 hours | 9 ppm | Not to be exceeded more than once per year |
| | | 1 hour | 35 ppm | |
| Lead (Pb) | primary and secondary | Rolling 3-month average | 0.15 μg/m$^3$ | Not to be exceeded |
| Nitrogen Dioxide ($NO_2$) | primary | 1 hour | 100 ppb | 98th percentile of 1-hour daily maximum concentrations, averaged over 3 years |
| | primary and secondary | 1 year | 53 ppb | Annual Mean |
| Ozone ($O_3$) | primary and secondary | 8 hours | 0.070 ppm | Annual fourth-highest daily maximum 8-hour concentration, averaged over 3 years |
| Fine Particulate Matter ($PM_{2.5}$) | primary | 1 year | 12.0 μg/m$^3$ | Annual mean, averaged over 3 years |
| | secondary | 1 year | 15.0 μg/m$^3$ | Annual mean, averaged over 3 years |
| | primary and secondary | 24 hours | 35 μg/m$^3$ | 98th percentile, averaged over 3 years |
| Coarse Particulate Matter ($PM_{10}$) | primary and secondary | 24 hours | 150 μg/m$^3$ | Not to be exceeded more than once per year on average over 3 years |
| Sulfur Dioxide ($SO_2$) | primary | 1 hour | 75 ppb | 99th percentile of 1-hour daily maximum concentrations, averaged over 3 years |
| | Secondary | 3 hours | 0.5 ppm | Not to be exceeded more than once per year |
| * Units of measure for the standards are parts per million (ppm) by volume, parts per billion (ppb) by volume, and micrograms per cubic meter of air (μg/m$^3$). | | | | |

### Volatile Organic Compounds

VOCs are any compound of carbon, excluding carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, carbonic acid, metallic carbides or carbonates and ammonium carbonate, which participates in atmospheric photochemical reactions, except those designated by EPA as having negligible photochemical reactivity (EPA, 2018). While there is no NAAQS for VOCs, these are regulated by the EPA to prevent the formation of $O_3$, a constituent of photochemical smog. VOCs in Wyoming originate mostly from biological sources such as vegetation and soils, chemical solvents, gasoline vapors, and oil and gas production. Many VOCs are also hazardous air pollutants.

### 2.2.2. Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs)

Hazardous air pollutants (HAP) are known or suspected to cause cancer or other serious health effects, such as birth defects, or adverse environmental impacts. The EPA has classified 187 air pollutants as HAPs. Examples of listed HAPs associated with the oil and gas industry include formaldehyde, benzene, toluene, ethyl benzene, isomers of xylene (BTEX) compounds, and normal-hexane (n-hexane). Indicators for assessing environmental impacts from HAPs include emissions (mass per unit of time) and concentrations (mass per volume).

The CAA requires the EPA to regulate emissions of toxic air pollutants from a published list of industrial sources referred to as “source categories.” The EPA has developed a list of source categories that must meet control technology requirements for these toxic air pollutants. Under Section 112(d) of the CAA, the EPA is required to develop regulations establishing national emission standards for hazardous air pollutants (NESHAP) for all industries that emit one or more of the pollutants in major source quantities. These standards are established to reflect the maximum degree of reduction in HAP emissions through application of maximum achievable control technology (MACT). Source categories for which MACT standards have been implemented include oil and natural gas production and natural gas transmission and storage.

### 2.2.3. Air Quality Related Values

Air resources also encompass Air Quality Related Values (AQRVs). Air pollution can impact AQRVs through ambient exposure to elevated atmospheric concentrations, such as $O_3$ effects to vegetation, impairment of scenic views by PM in the atmosphere, and deposition of air pollutants, such as sulfur and nitrogen compounds on the earth's surface through dry and wet precipitation. AQRVs are identified and managed within the respective jurisdictions of several land management agencies in designated Class I areas. The requirement to assess impacts to AQRVs is established in the CAA Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) rules. PSD is a permitting program for new and modified major sources of air pollution that are located in attainment areas. The Federal land managers have the responsibility to consider whether new emissions from proposed major facilities (or modifications to major facilities) would have an adverse impact on AQRVs areas they manage. Impact indicators for visibility include changes to color and contrast for an exhaust or dust plume, or changes to light extinction (deciview) for a cumulative assessment. Indicators for deposition include the Deposition Analysis Threshold (DAT), and critical load thresholds, both measured as mass over area and time.

### 2.2.4. Greenhouse Gases

Greenhouse gases (GHGs) became regulated pollutants on January 2, 2011, under the PSD and Title V Operating Permit Programs (EPA, 2018) because of their contribution to global climate change effects. These gases absorb energy emitted from the earth's surface and re-emit a larger portion of the heat back to the earth, rather than allowing the heat to escape into space, than would be the case under more natural conditions. The EPA's GHG Tailoring Rule (40 CFR § 51, 52, 70, et al.) set initial emissions thresholds for PSD and Title V permitting based on carbon dioxide equivalent ($CO_2e$). These thresholds apply to stationary sources that emit greater than 100,000 tons $CO_2e$ per year (e.g., power plant, or landfill, etc.) or modifications of major sources with resulting emissions increase greater than 75,000 tons $CO_2e$ per year.

In addition to the Tailoring Rule, the EPA requires reporting of GHGs from facilities with stationary sources that emit 25,000 metric tons $CO_2e$ per year or more in the United States. The Mandatory Reporting Rule (40 CFR § 98, Subpart C) does not require control of GHGs, it only requires that sources above the threshold levels monitor and report emissions. Facilities used for injecting carbon dioxide for geological sequestration must report net emissions regardless of quantity (40 CFR § 98, Subpart RR). This provides a basis for future EPA policy decisions and regulatory initiatives regarding GHGs. Additional regulations and policies for GHG's are incorporated by reference from the BLM Specialist Report on Annual GHG Emissions and Climate Trends (BLM, 2021).

## 2.3. Air Resource Management

The airshed concept is a means for evaluating the local and regional air quality effects of a pollutant source. An airshed is a volume of air that is generally homogeneous with respect to atmospheric properties and the dispersion of air pollutants. In Wyoming, geographical and meteorological constraints often define an airshed's boundaries and limit the dispersion of pollutants away from a source. The size of an airshed can vary from small valleys that are a few miles across to larger urban or regional areas that can be tens or hundreds of miles across.

Pollutants move through an airshed by two processes: transport and dispersion. Transport is movement caused by a time-averaged wind flow, with pollutants moving on scales of miles per hour. Dispersion is much smaller movement, primarily caused by localized turbulence on the scale of inches or feet. The transportation and dispersion extent of pollutants is the main factor for the area covered by an airshed.

## 2.4. Administrative Rules and Implementation Plans

To protect public health, the CAA (42 U.S.C Section 7401) requires that federal standards be set to limit the maximum levels of pollutants in the outdoor air. Each state is responsible for developing plans to demonstrate how those standards will be achieved, maintained, and enforced. The Wyoming Air Quality Board enacts rules pertaining to air quality activities and approve State Implementation Plans (SIP) to attain and maintain NAAQS. The plans and rules associated with them are enforced by the State, and,

after federal approval, they are also federally enforceable. These plans are the framework for each state's program to protect the air. For NAAs outside of state air regulatory jurisdiction, a Federal Implementation Plan (FIP) or Tribal Implementation Plan (TIP) is developed.

## 2.5. Air Resource Developments

### 2.5.1. Guidance on Assessing GHG Emissions in NEPA

In 2019 the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) issued draft guidance on considering GHG emissions in NEPA documents (CEQ, 2019). Executive Order 13990 directs CEQ to rescind the 2019 Draft GHG Guidance and review, revise, and update its 2016 GHG Guidance. On February 19th, 2020, the CEQ rescinded (86 FR 10252) the 2019 Draft GHG Guidance. The withdrawal of this guidance does not change any law, regulation, or other legally binding requirement. CEQ will address in a separate action its review of and any appropriate revisions and updates to the 2016 GHG Guidance. In the interim, agencies should consider all available tools and resources in assessing GHG emissions and climate change effects of their proposed actions, including, as appropriate and relevant, the 2016 GHG Guidance.

### 2.5.2. NEPA Guidance

In 2020, the CEQ issued a final rule to update its regulations for Federal agencies to implement the NEPA. This guidance became effective on September 14, 2020. Secretarial Order 3399, Department-Wide Approach to the Climate Crisis and Restoring Transparency and Integrity to the Decision-Making Process (April 16, 2021), directs Bureaus/Offices to not apply the 2020 Rule in a manner that would change the application or level of NEPA that would have been applied to a proposed action before the 2020 Rule went into effect on September 14, 2020. On April 20, 2022, CEQ issued a final rule to amend certain provisions of its regulations for implementing NEPA, addressing the purpose and need of a proposed action, agency NEPA procedures for implementing CEQ's NEPA regulations, and the definition of "effects." The amendments generally restore provisions that were in effect for decades before being modified in 2020. Bureaus/Offices will continue to follow the Department's NEPA regulations at 43 C.F.R. Part 46, Department Manual procedures (516 DM Ch. 1-15), and guidance and instruction from the Office of Environmental Policy and Compliance until updated direction is provided.

### 2.5.3 Nonattainment Area (NAA)

#### $O_3$

On April 30, 2012, the EPA formally recognized Wyoming's UGRB as an ozone nonattainment area with a marginal classification. As a result of the nonattainment designation, the BLM must comply with General Conformity regulations in 40 CFR 93 Subpart B and Chapter 8, Section 3 of the Wyoming Air Quality Standards and Regulations (WAQSR). Per these regulations, the BLM must demonstrate that new actions occurring within the nonattainment area will conform with the Wyoming State Implementation Plan (SIP) by demonstrating that they will not: (1) cause or contribute to a new violation of the ozone standard; (2) interfere with provisions in the SIP for maintenance of any standard; (3) increase the frequency or severity of any existing violation; or (4) delay timely attainment of any standard or any required interim emissions reductions or other milestone. The BLM must first conduct an applicability analysis to determine if this Federal action will require a conformity determination. A conformity determination must be completed for a Federal action if the total of direct and indirect emissions from the proposed project exceeds the *de minimis* levels specified in 40 CFR 93.153(b) and WAQSR Chapter 8, Section 3. For a marginal nonattainment area, the *de minimis* threshold is 100 tons/year of $NO_x$ or VOCs (the precursor pollutants that form ozone in the atmosphere). Federal actions estimated to have an annual net emissions increase less than the *de minimis* levels are not required to demonstrate conformity under the General Conformity regulations.

In accordance with the Federal and State Conformity regulations, the General Conformity requirement does not apply to actions where the emissions are not reasonably foreseeable such as lease sales made on a broad scale followed by exploration and development plans. There are no direct effects from the proposed oil and gas lease sale because it is primarily an administrative action that only conveys the mineral rights to the potential lessee. Subsequent development proposals by lease holders will require to submittal of plans for any exploration or development that may occur and a site-specific EA or EIS would be prepared to identify mitigation measures necessary to avoid undue degradation to the environment prior to approval any development activities. General Conformity is addressed at the proposal stage when emission generating activities are reasonably foreseeable and can be quantified. Six (6) parcels are located within this non-attainment area (parcels WY-204Q-0817, -0823, -0824, -0827, -6960 and -6961).

On August 27, 2015, the EPA published a Federal Register Notice finding that the Upper Green is attaining the ozone standard as of July 20, 2015, attainment date (see http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2015-08-27/pdf/2015-21196.pdf). Formal re-designation of the area to attainment has not yet occurred.

## 3. Air Quality Conditions and Trends

### 3.1. Air Pollutant Concentrations

*Air Quality Design Values*

Design values can be used to further evaluate the air quality for areas with poor air quality. A design value describes the air quality of a location with respect to the NAAQS and are typically used to classify NAA and evaluate progress towards meeting the NAAQS. The EPA annually publishes the most recently computed design values (EPA, 2021). The $PM_{2.5}$, $NO_2$, and $O_3$ design values for Wyoming are presented in Table 2. to **Table 6**. Design values for $PM_{10}$, CO, $SO_x$, and lead are below the NAAQS and can be found on the EPA website (EPA, Air Quality Design Values, 2021). The most recent design values show that $O_3$ is the only pollutant exceeding the NAAQS in Sublette County.

**Table 2. County-level Design Value History for the $PM_{2.5}$ Annual NAAQS (12 µg/m3).**

| County | 2010-2012 µg/m³ | 2011-2013 µg/m³ | 2012-2014 µg/m³ | 2013-2015 µg/m³ | 2014-2016 µg/m³ | 2015-2017 µg/m³ | 2016-2018 µg/m³ | 2017-2019 µg/m³ | 2018-2020 µg/m³ | 2019-2021 µg/m³ | Meets NAAQS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Albany | 5.0 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 4.9 | NA | Yes |
| Campbell | NA | NA | NA | 4.2 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 3.3 | NA | NA | Yes |
| Fremont | 8.3 | 7.8 | 7.4 | 6.9 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 2.4 | Yes |
| Laramie | 4.7 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 3.4 | 4.1 | Yes |
| Natrona | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 4.7 | NA | Yes |
| Park | 4.7 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 4.3 | Yes |
| Sheridan | 8.3 | 7.6 | 7.2 | 6.9 | 7.0 | 7.3 | 7.2 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 6.3 | Yes |
| Sublette | NA | NA | NA | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 4.7 | 3.8 | 3.5 | Yes |
| Sweetwater | 6.0 | 5.7 | 5.5 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 5.1 | NA | NA | Yes |
| Teton | 5.1 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.4 | Yes |

**Table 3. County-level Design Value History for the $PM_{2.5}$ 24-hour NAAQS (35 µg/m3).**

| County | 2010-2012 µg/m³ | 2011-2013 µg/m³ | 2012-2014 µg/m³ | 2013-2015 µg/m³ | 2014-2016 µg/m³ | 2015-2017 µg/m³ | 2016-2018 µg/m³ | 2017-2019 µg/m³ | 2018-2020 µg/m³ | 2019-2021 µg/m³ | Meets NAAQS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Albany | 14 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 21 | NA | Yes |
| Campbell | NA | NA | NA | 16 | 14 | 19 | 19 | 15 | NA | NA | Yes |
| Fremont | 29 | 28 | 27 | 25 | 23 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 28 | NA | Yes |
| Laramie | 12 | 12 | 13 | 16 | 17 | 15 | 11 | 11 | 18 | 15 | Yes |
| Natrona | 14 | 14 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 20 | 23 | Yes |
| Park | 13 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 17 | 23 | 22 | 17 | 20 | 22 | Yes |
| Sheridan | 23 | 20 | 18 | 24 | 26 | 24 | 23 | 21 | 24 | 27 | Yes |
| Sublette | NA | NA | NA | 13 | 13 | 16 | 20 | 18 | 17 | 18 | Yes |
| Sweetwater | 17 | 17 | 16 | 13 | 15 | 19 | 20 | 19 | NA | NA | Yes |
| Teton | 15 | 16 | 16 | 13 | 13 | 15 | 18 | 19 | 24 | 30 | Yes |

**Table 4. County-level Design Value History for the $NO_2$ 1-Hour NAAQS (100 ppb).**

| County | 2010-2012 (ppb) | 2011-2013 (ppb) | 2012-2014 (ppb) | 2013-2015 (ppb) | 2014-2016 (ppb) | 2015-2017 (ppb) | 2016-2018 (ppb) | 2017-2019 (ppb) | 2018-2020 (ppb) | 2019-2021 (ppb) | Meets NAAQS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Campbell | 32 | 32 | 35 | 49 | 31 | 30 | 29 | 30 | NA | NA | Yes |
| Carbon | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 29 | 29 | 29 | 30 | Yes |
| Converse | NA | NA | NA | NA | 35 | 4 | 31 | 31 | 13 | 15 | Yes |
| Fremont | NA | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 35 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Yes |
| Laramie | NA | 35 | NA | NA | 40 | 40 | 34 | 33 | 31 | 29 | Yes |
| Natrona | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 38 | 36 | 35 | 34 | Yes |
| Sublette | 8 | 30 | 22 | 19 | 20 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 19 | 18 | Yes |
| Sweetwater | 37 | 22 | 20 | 35 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 35 | 36 | 35 | Yes |
| Uinta | 13 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 14 | NA | NA | Yes |

**Table 5. County-level Design Value History for the $NO_2$ Annual NAAQS (53 ppb).**

| County | 2010-2012 (ppb) | 2011-2013 (ppb) | 2012-2014 (ppb) | 2013-2015 (ppb) | 2014-2016 (ppb) | 2015-2017 (ppb) | 2016-2018 (ppb) | 2017-2019 (ppb) | 2018-2020 (ppb) | 2019-2021 (ppb) | Meets NAAQS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Campbell | 8 | 9 | 10 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 1 | Yes |
| Carbon | 1 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 4 | Yes |
| Converse | NA | 3 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Yes |
| Fremont | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Yes |
| Goshen | NA | NA | NA | NA | 4 | NA | NA | 1 | NA | NA | Yes |
| Johnson | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 3 | 1 | 1 | Yes |
| Laramie | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | Yes |
| Natrona | 5 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 5 | Yes |

| County | 2010-2012 (ppb) | 2011-2013 (ppb) | 2012-2014 (ppb) | 2013-2015 (ppb) | 2014-2016 (ppb) | 2015-2017 (ppb) | 2016-2018 (ppb) | 2017-2019 (ppb) | 2018-2020 (ppb) | 2019-2021 (ppb) | Meets NAAQS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Sublette | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 2 | Yes |
| Sweetwater | 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | Yes |
| Uinta | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | NA | NA | Yes |

**Table 6. County-level Design Value History for the Ozone 8-hr NAAQS (0.070 ppm).**

| County | 2010-2012 (ppm) | 2011-2013 (ppm) | 2012-2014 (ppm) | 2013-2015 (ppm) | 2014-2016 (ppm) | 2015-2017 (ppm) | 2016-2018 (ppm) | 2017-2019 (ppm) | 2018-2020 (ppm) | 2019-2021 (ppm) | Meets NAAQS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Albany | NA | NA | 0.068 | 0.066 | 0.064 | 0.064 | 0.066 | 0.067 | 0.067 | 0.067 | Yes |
| Big Horn | NA | NA | NA | 0.059 | 0.060 | 0.063 | 0.061 | 0.060 | 0.059 | 0.060 | Yes |
| Campbell | 0.065 | 0.064 | 0.063 | 0.060 | 0.060 | 0.060 | 0.062 | 0.061 | 0.060 | 0.064 | Yes |
| Carbon | 0.064 | 0.062 | 0.062 | 0.060 | 0.059 | 0.061 | 0.063 | 0.064 | NA | NA | Yes |
| Converse | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.063 | 0.064 | NA | Yes |
| Fremont | 0.067 | 0.066 | 0.064 | 0.063 | 0.063 | 0.062 | 0.063 | 0.064 | 0.065 | 0.068 | Yes |
| Johnson | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.066 | Yes |
| Laramie | NA | 0.068 | 0.067 | 0.065 | 0.063 | 0.063 | 0.064 | 0.064 | 0.062 | 0.064 | Yes |
| Natrona | NA | NA | NA | 0.062 | 0.060 | 0.061 | 0.063 | 0.062 | 0.063 | 0.065 | Yes |
| Sublette | 0.080 | 0.076 | 0.064 | 0.062 | 0.063 | 0.063 | 0.065 | 0.072 | 0.070 | 0.074 | No |
| Sweetwater | 0.064 | 0.066 | 0.064 | 0.067 | 0.066 | 0.067 | 0.066 | 0.066 | 0.065 | 0.066 | Yes |
| Teton | 0.066 | 0.065 | 0.063 | 0.061 | 0.060 | 0.061 | 0.062 | 0.062 | 0.063 | 0.064 | Yes |
| Uinta | 0.065 | 0.065 | 0.063 | 0.063 | 0.061 | 0.062 | 0.062 | 0.065 | 0.067 | NA | Yes |
| Weston | NA | NA | NA | 0.062 | 0.060 | 0.061 | NA | NA | 0.062 | 0.064 | Yes |

### *Monitoring Data*

State, Federal, and Tribal agencies operate several air pollutant monitoring stations across the State of Wyoming. Air pollutant data from these stations is available on the EPA Air Data website (EPA, 2022). Most air monitors are situated to measure air quality in both neighborhoods and industrial areas. A few stations are in rural areas by various Federal agencies to monitor air quality conditions and trends at National Parks and other public lands, and to identify background concentrations away from major emission sources. The WDEQ 2022 Network Plan shows air pollutant trends for state run monitoring stations (WDEQ, 2022). Air monitoring data from the current year is not analyzed as data is incomplete for the year, in the process of being quality assured, and considered preliminary until May 1 of the following year.

## 3.2. Air Quality Related Values (AQRV)

### 3.2.1. Visibility

Pollution in the atmosphere can impair scenic views by degrading the contrast, colors, and distance an observer is able to see. Visibility can be assessed in terms of the distance that a person can distinguish a large dark object on the horizon and is measured as the standard visual range in miles. Visibility is monitored using methodologies established by the Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual

Environments (IMPROVE) Program. The particulates that contribute to haze are collected on filters at each IMPROVE site. Samples are then measured to determine how visibility is impacted over time and by which pollutants.

A deciview (dv) is a unit of measurement to quantify human perception of visibility. It is derived from the natural logarithm of atmospheric light extinction coefficient. A one deciview change is roughly the smallest perceptible change in visibility. Because visibility at any one location is highly variable throughout the year, it is characterized by three groupings: the clearest 20% days, average 20% days, and haziest 20% days. Visibility degradation is primarily due to sulfate, nitrate, and particulate matter in the atmosphere, with contributions from both anthropogenic and natural sources. Measuring progress in air pollution control can be challenging because natural sources largely beyond human control such as dust storms and wildfires can produce significant visibility impairment over large areas for days to weeks at a time. Under the auspices of the 2017 Regional Haze Rule revisions, the EPA proposed a new visibility tracking metric– most impaired days – to better characterize visibility conditions and trends. The most impaired days are those with the most impairment from anthropogenic sources while the haziest grouping now better represents days with haze from natural sources. Total haze on the most impaired days is used to track progress toward Regional Haze Rule goals. Comparing trends in the 20% haziest days with the 20% most impaired days provides a method to assess impacts from episodic events, like wildfires, which have greatly affected visibility throughout the western United States in recent years (Burke, Driscoll, Heft-Neal, Burney, & Wara, 2021). More information about the EPA's impairment framework can be found at: http://vista.cira.colostate.edu/Improve/impairment/.

Visibility information can be found at the Federal Land Managers Environmental Database (FLM, 2019).
**Figure 2** Figures 2-5




**Figure 5** illustrate visibility trends based on air monitoring data from three Wyoming sites and one South Dakota IMPROVE site for the clearest, haziest, and most impaired categories. The haziest days have shown little improvement due to many years with large wildfire smoke episodes. However, most impaired days and clearest days for all monitoring sites slowly improve over several years.

**Figure 1. National Parks, Wilderness Areas, and National Parks in and surrounding Wyoming.**


MONTANA
NORTH DAKOTA
SOUTH DAKOTA
IDAHO
WYOMING
NEBRASKA
UTAH
COLORADO
Butte
Miles City
Dickinson
Bozeman
Livingston
Billings
Sheridan
Cody
Rexburg
Idaho Falls
Pocatello
Gillette
Rapid City
Casper
Green River
Rawlins
Alliance
Salt Lake City
Laramie
Cheyenne
Fort Collins
Greeley
Denver
Lee Metcalf WA
Absaroka-Beartooth WA
Northern Cheyenne IR
Crow IR
Yellowstone NP
North Absaroka WA
Red Rock Lakes WA
Bighorn Canyon NRA
Washakie WA
Devils Tower NM
Mt Rushmore N.Mem
Jedediah Smith WA
Grand Teton NP
Teton WA
Cloud Peak WA
Black Elk WA
Badlands/Sage Creek WA
Jewel Cave NM
Fitzpatrick WA
Wind River Roadless Area
Wind Cave NP
Bridger WA
Popo Agie WA
Mount Naomi WA
Soldier Creek WA
Agate Fossil Beds NM
Fort Laramie National Historic Site
High Uintas WA
Dinosaur NM
Mount Zirkel WA
Rawah WA
Sarvis Creek WA
Rocky Mountain NP
Class I Area
Class II Area

**Figure 2. Visibility Trends at Yellowstone NP.**




**Figure 3. Visibility Trends at Bridger Wilderness.**



**Figure 4. Visibility Trends at North Absaroka Wilderness Area.**




**Figure 5. Visibility Trends at Wind Cave NP.**



### 3.2.2. Atmospheric Deposition

Sulfur and nitrogen compounds that can be deposited on terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems include nitric acid ($HNO_3$), nitrate ($NO_3^-$), ammonium ($NH_4^+$), and sulfate ($SO_4^{2--}$). Nitric acid ($HNO_3$) and nitrate ($NO_3^-$) are not emitted directly into the air, but form in the atmosphere from industrial and automotive emissions of nitrogen oxides ($NO_x$); and $SO_4^{2--}$ is formed in the atmosphere from industrial emission of sulfur dioxide ($SO_2$). Deposition of $HNO_3$, $NO_3^-$, and $SO_4^{2-}$ can adversely affect plant growth, soil chemistry, lichens, aquatic environments, and petroglyphs (ancient carvings and/or engravings on rock surfaces). Ammonium ($NH_4^+$) is volatilized from animal feedlots and from soils following fertilization of crops.

Wet atmospheric deposition (precipitation that deposits the aforementioned chemical compounds) is measured at National Atmospheric Deposition Program (NADP) sites: Pinedale, Sink's Canyon, South Pass, Newcastle, and Wind Cave. Wet deposition is characterized by the concentration of nitrate ion ($NO_3^-$), sulfate ion ($SO_4^-$), and ammonium ($NH_4^+$) ions in precipitation samples.

The National Atmospheric Deposition Program (NADP) was established in 1977 under State Agricultural Experiment Station (SAES) leadership to address the problem of atmospheric deposition and its effects on agricultural crops, forests, rangelands, surface waters, and other natural and cultural resources. The NADP is a public, nonprofit, unincorporated, interstate association of parties interested in atmospheric deposition and its effects. It is structured as a cooperative program that represents coordinated efforts of many individuals in federal, state, academic, and private organizations to operate monitoring sites, report data, and oversee research activities related to atmospheric deposition. (NADP, 2022).

Dry deposition (air that carries chemical compounds and deposits them on the earth's surface) is measured at three Clean Air Status and Trends Network (CASTNET) sites in Pinedale (Sublette County), Newcastle (Weston County), and Basin (Big Horn County). Information concerning dry deposition can be found at EPA's Clean Air Status and Trends Network monitoring program (EPA, CASTNET, 2022)

Current data indicate a decrease in sulfate and nitrate ions for all Wyoming NADP sites in precipitation samples. However, concentrations for the ammonium ion are either steady or slowly increasing at sites.

For the three Wyoming CASTNET sites, concentration data indicate a decrease for all pollutant species at Pinedale and Newcastle. However, the Basin concentrations increase from 2016 to 2017.

## 4. Climate and Climate Change

Climate is the composite of generally prevailing weather conditions, such as temperature and precipitation, of a particular region throughout the year, averaged over a series of years. Climate change is the long-term (several decades or longer) alteration of atmospheric weather patterns (temperature, precipitation, winds, etc.), but changes could also occur in other parts of the climate system such as the hydrosphere (water), cryosphere (ice), biosphere (living organisms, ecosystems), or lithosphere. One important way to track the causes and effects of climate change is through the use of indicators. Climate indicators show trends over time in key aspects of the environment. Many indicators are meteorological related. Other indicators include greenhouse gas emissions, sea level, growing season length, ecosystems, and others. Only climate indicators related to air resources are discussed in this document.

Wyoming climate is determined by its inland location, distance from the equator, elevation, wide range of topography, and location with respect to storm paths across the western United States. Elevations range from 3,100 feet in the southwest part of the state to 13,700 feet in the Wind River Range Mountains. Mountain ranges in western United States also influence climate in Wyoming. Pacific storms must cross these ranges before reaching Wyoming where much of the moisture in the storms falls as precipitation. Consequently, storms reaching Wyoming are relatively dryer and produce less precipitation (WRCC, 2018).

The National Center for Environmental Information (NCEI) divides Wyoming into ten climate divisions: Yellowstone, Snake, Green and Bear, Big Horn, Powder and Tongue, Bell Fourche, Cheyenne and Niobrara, Lower Platte, Wind River, and Upper Platte.**Figure 6** Wyoming Divisions are organized based on areas with similar terrain and weather stations observing the same general climate conditions. All climate divisions in Wyoming have some general similarities such as winter having the highest amount of monthly precipitation.

**Figure 6. NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information Climate Divisions.**



### 4.1. Climate Normals

Climate normals are three-decade averages of climatological variables including temperature and precipitation, updated every 10 years, with the 1991–2020 U.S. Climate normals dataset serving as the latest release. It contains average daily and monthly temperature, precipitation, snowfall, heating and cooling degree days, frost/freeze dates, and growing degree days calculated from observations at approximately 9,800 stations (NCEI, 2021). Climate normals representative for each field office are found in the climate normal section of Appendix A (Climate Data). Prevailing wind information is also presented in wind roses and monthly tables but are only available for airports with continuous measurements. Wind roses are a polar plot to visually present wind speed and direction.

### 4.2. Climate Trends

Trend analysis is a technique used to estimate future conditions based on historically observed trends. The main assumption behind trend analysis is that what happened in the past is expected to happen in the future. Average temperature and precipitation and trend information for each Wyoming climate division is compiled from the NCEI Climate at a Glance Website (NOAA/NCEI, 2020) and is shown in Figures 7-26.

**Figure 7. Wyoming Yellowstone Climate Division 1 Precipitation Trend.**




**Figure 8. Wyoming Snake Climate Division 2 Precipitation Trend.**



**Figure 9. Wyoming Green and Bear Climate Division 3 Precipitation Trend.**




**Figure 10. Wyoming Big Horn Climate Division 4 Precipitation Trend.**



**Figure 11. Wyoming Powder and Tongue Climate Division 5 Precipitation Trend.**




**Figure 12. Wyoming Bell Fourche Climate Division 6 Precipitation Trend.**



**Figure 13. Wyoming Cheyenne and Niobrara Climate Division 7 Precipitation Trend.**




**Figure 14. Wyoming Lower Platte Climate Division 8 Precipitation Trend.**



**Figure 15. Wyoming Wind River Climate Division 9 Precipitation Trend.**




**Figure 16. Wyoming Wind River Climate Division 10 Precipitation Trend.**



**Figure 17. Wyoming Yellowstone Climate Division 1 Temperature Trend.**




**Figure 18. Wyoming Snake Climate Division 2 Temperature Trend.**



**Figure 19. Wyoming Green and Bear Climate Division 3 Temperature Trend.**




**Figure 20. Wyoming Big Horn Climate Division 4 Temperature Trend.**



**Figure 21. Wyoming Powder and Tongue Climate Division 5 Temperature Trend.**




**Figure 22. Wyoming Bell Fourche Climate Division 6 Temperature Trend.**



**Figure 23. Wyoming Cheyenne and Niobrara Climate Division 7 Temperature Trend.**




**Figure 24. Wyoming Lower Platte Climate Division 8 Temperature Trend.**



**Figure 25. Wyoming Wind River Climate Division 9 Temperature Trend.**




**Figure 26. Wyoming Wind River Climate Division 10 Temperature Trend.**



### 4.3. Climate Change and Climate Projections

The Annual GHG report contains general background information on climate change and specific climate model projections for the State of Wyoming. Climate projections under a higher emissions scenario (RCP8.5) indicate that Wyoming could warm by as much as 15ºF above current levels by the end of the century, though the mean RCP8.5 increase for the state is about 10ºF hotter than recent temperatures. Under a lower emissions scenario, warming is projected to be about 2ºF to 5ºF above the 1991-2020 mean. Increases in average temperatures will be accompanied by increases in heat wave intensity and decreases in cold wave intensity.

Climate models are not consistent in their projections of precipitation for Wyoming, including winter precipitation. However, projected rising temperatures will increase the average lowest elevation at which snow falls (the snow line). Continuing recent trends, this will increase the likelihood that precipitation will fall as rain instead of snow, reducing water storage in the snowpack, particularly at lower elevations that are currently on the margins of reliable snowpack accumulation. In addition, extreme precipitation is projected to increase, potentially increasing the frequency and intensity of floods.

Droughts, a natural part of Wyoming's climate, are expected to become more intense. Higher temperatures will amplify the effects of naturally occurring dry spells by increasing the rate of loss of soil moisture. Most of Wyoming's water is supplied by the snowpack, and changes to the snow/rain ratio could result in less water storage. Additionally, higher spring temperatures can cause early melting of the snowpack, decreasing water availability during the already dry summer months. The projected increase in the intensity of naturally occurring droughts will increase the occurrence and severity of wildfires.

Additional information on climate change projections for Wyoming can be found on NOAA's U.S. Climate Resilience Toolkit website (https:// https://statesummaries.ncics.org/chapter/wy/).

## 5. BLM Monitoring Activities

BLM Wyoming conducts air monitoring to evaluate on-the-ground air resource conditions to determine trends. Existing air monitoring networks do not always adequately cover areas managed by the. BLM does not conduct air monitoring to determine attainment status of an area under the requirements of the CAA, that being a function of the appropriate federal, state, or tribal regulatory agency.

The Wyoming Air Resource Monitoring System (WARMS) network is an air quality monitoring network maintained by Bureau of Land Management, Wyoming State Office (BLM-WSO). There are seven stations scattered throughout Wyoming, see Figure 27. The WARMS network began operation in 2000 to measure air quality parameters and particulate concentrations according to Clean Air Status and Trends Network (CASTNET) protocols. The WARMS sites formally became a part of the CASTNET network in 2012 and began participating in the National Atmospheric Deposition Program (NADP) Passive Ammonia Monitoring Network (AMON) in 2015. The WARMS network provides relevant air quality data to:

- Assess existing conditions.
- Evaluate long term trends in air quality conditions.
- Evaluate the effectiveness of prescribed mitigation measures and adaptive management strategies.
- Inform management decisions on public lands, particularly in wilderness study areas and areas of critical environmental concern.

- Provide readily available access to air quality data for BLM staff, other federal, state, and tribal agencies, BLM contractors, the scientific community, and the public.

**Figure 27. WARMS Monitor Locations.**




Figure 28 shows the maximum daily 8-hour Ozone concentrations for the Basin, Wyoming WARMS site. The 2010 to present data show no discernable trends.

**Figure 28. Basin, Wyoming WARMS Daily Max 8-hour Ozone Concentrations.**



## References


BLM. (2021). *2020 BLM Specialist Report on Annual Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Climate Trends from Coal, Oil, and Gas Exploration and Development on the Federal Mineral Estate.* Retrieved from https://www.blm.gov/content/ghg

BLM IB 2014-084. (2014). *Issuance of the Bureau of Land Management Fact Sheet on the Air Quality General Conformity Rule*. Retrieved from https://www.blm.gov/policy/ib-2014-084

BLM IM2013-025. (2012, 12). *Guidance for Conducting Air Quality General Conformity Determinations*. Retrieved from https://www.blm.gov/policy/im-2013-025

Burke, M., Driscoll, A., Heft-Neal, S., Burney, J., & Wara, M. (2021). The changing risk and burden of wildfire in the United States. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of Amaerica*.

CEQ. (2019, 6 26). *Draft National Environmental Policy*. Retrieved from https://ceq.doe.gov/guidance/ceq_guidance_nepa-ghg.html

Cooney, G., Jamieson, M., Marriott, J., Bergerson, J., Brandt, A., & Skone, T. (2017). Updating the U.S. Life Cycle GHG Petroleum Baseline to 2014 with Projections to 2040 Using Open-Source Engineering-Based Models. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 977-987. Retrieved from https://pubs.acs.org/doi/pdf/10.1021/acs.est.6b02819

EPA. (2007). *The Plain English Guide to The Clean Air Act*. Retrieved from https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-08/documents/peg.pdf

EPA. (2018). *Basic Information about Lead Air Pollution*. Retrieved from https://www.epa.gov/lead-air-pollution/basic-information-about-lead-air-pollution#how

EPA. (2018). *Basic Information about NO2*. Retrieved from https://www.epa.gov/no2-pollution/basic-information-about-no2#What is NO2

EPA. (2018). *Clean Air Act Permitting for Greenhouse Gases*. Retrieved from https://www.epa.gov/nsr/clean-air-act-permitting-greenhouse-gases

EPA. (2018, December). Code of Federal Regulations, 40: Chapter 1, Subchapter C, Part 51, Subpart F, 51100.

EPA. (2018). *National Ambient Air Quality Standards*. Retrieved from https://www.epa.gov/criteria-air-pollutants/naaqs-table

EPA. (2018). *Particulate Matter (PM) Pollution*. Retrieved from https://www.epa.gov/pm-pollution

EPA. (2018). *Sulfur Dioxide Basics*. Retrieved from https://www.epa.gov/so2-pollution/sulfur-dioxide-basics#what is so2

EPA. (2021, 02). *Air Quality Design Values*. Retrieved from https://www.epa.gov/air-trends/air-quality-design-values

EPA. (2022). *2017 AirToxScreen: Assessment Results*. Retrieved 3 21, 2022, from https://www.epa.gov/AirToxScreen/2017-airtoxscreen-assessment-results#emissions

EPA. (2022, 3 16). *Air Quality Data Collected at Outdoor Monitors Across the US*. Retrieved from https://www.epa.gov/outdoor-air-quality-data

EPA. (2022). *CASTNET*. Retrieved from https://www.epa.gov/castnet/castnet-site-locations

FLM. (2019). *AQRV Visibility Summaries*. Retrieved from http://views.cira.colostate.edu/fed/SiteBrowser/Default.aspx?appkey=SBCF_VisSum

IPCC. (2013). *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia,*. Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA : Cambridge University Press.

IPCC. (2014). *IPCC Fifth Assessment Report, Chapter 8 Anthropogenic and Natural Radiative Forcing.* Retrieved from https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/02/WG1AR5_Chapter08_FINAL.pdf

IPCC. (2021). *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth.* Retrieved from https://www.ipcc.ch/assessment-report/ar6/

Kleinfelder. (2015). *Kleinfelder. 2015. Air Quality Technical Support Document for the Proposed Monument Butte Oil and Gas Development Project.* .

NADP. (2022, 2). *NADP*. Retrieved from https://nadp.slh.wisc.edu/

NCEI. (2021). *Climate Normals*. Retrieved from https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/products/land-based-station/us-climate-normals

NOAA/NCEI. (2020, 1 15). *Climate at a Glance*. Retrieved from https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/cag/

Rogelj, J., Popp, A., Calvin, K. V., Luderer, G., Emmerling, J., Gernaat, D., . . . Tavoni, M. (2018, 03 05). *Nature Climate Change.* Retrieved from Scenarios towards limiting global mean: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0091-3

U.S. Forest Service, National Park Service, and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. (2010). *Federal Land Managers' Air Quality Related Values Work Group (FLAG): phase I report—revised (2010).* Denver, Colorado: Natural Resource Report NPS/. Retrieved from https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2010/10/27/2010-27211/federal-land-managers-air-quality-related-values-work-group-flag

UN. (2021). *Emissions Gap Report 2021.* Retrieved from https://www.unep.org/resources/emissions-gap-report-2021

USGCRP. (2018). *Impacts, Risks, and Adaptation in the United States: Fourth National Climate [Reidmiller, D.R., C.W. Avery, D.R. Easterling, K.E. Kunkel, K.L.M. Lewis, T.K.* Washington, DC, USA,: U.S. Global Change Research Program.

USGCRP. (2018). *Impacts, Risks, and Adaptation in the United States: Fourth National Climate [Reidmiller, D.R., C.W. Avery, D.R. Easterling, K.E. Kunkel, K.L.M. Lewis, T.K.* Washington, DS, USA: U.S. Global Change Research Program.

USGS. (2018). *Federal lands greenhouse emissions and sequestration in the United States—Estimates for 2005–14: Merrill, M.D., Sleeter, B.M., Freeman, P.A., Liu, J., Warwick, P.D., and Reed, B.C.*

USGS. (2019). *National Climate Change Viewer* . Retrieved 05 22, 2019, from https://www2.usgs.gov/landresources/lcs/nccv/viewer.asp

WDEQ. (2022). *Wyoming Division of Air Quality 2022 Network Plan.* Retrieved from https://deq.wyoming.gov/aqd/monitoring/?wpcp_link=JTdCJTIyc291cmNlJTIyJTNBJTIyOGFiNGFlN2NlZWUwNzAxMzcxNDg3NmJhZDY0Njg5NTIlMjIlMkMlMjJhY2NvdW50X2lkJTIyJTNBJTIyMTEzMTQyMjM3OTUwMTUyNjI3MzcyJTIyJTJDJTIybGFzdEZvbGRlciUyMiUzQSUyMjFtYVk4STVUYnFNTmFRX1c5ekpqSWxOc

WMO. (2020, 11 23). *World Meteorological Organization.* Retrieved from Greenhouse Gas Bulletin: https://reliefweb.int/sites/reliefweb.int/files/resources/GHG-Bulletin-16_en.pdf

WRCC. (2018). *Climate of Wyoming*. Retrieved from https://wrcc.dri.edu/Climate/narrative_wy.php

# Appendix A (Climate Data)

## Buffalo Field Office

**Figure 29. Climate Normals (1991-2020) for Gillette.**




| Month | MAX TEMP (°F) | MIN TEMP (°F) | AVG TEMP (°F) | PRECIP (IN) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Jan | 36.1 | 14.3 | 25.2 | 0.33 |
| Feb | 37.3 | 14.9 | 26.1 | 0.43 |
| Mar | 48.0 | 23.3 | 35.6 | 0.83 |
| Apr | 55.3 | 29.8 | 42.5 | 1.60 |
| May | 65.1 | 39.1 | 52.1 | 2.63 |
| Jun | 77.0 | 47.9 | 62.5 | 2.03 |
| Jul | 87.0 | 55.7 | 71.3 | 1.52 |
| Aug | 85.7 | 53.8 | 69.8 | 0.97 |
| Sep | 74.7 | 44.2 | 59.4 | 0.98 |
| Oct | 58.5 | 32.4 | 45.5 | 1.38 |
| Nov | 45.5 | 21.8 | 33.6 | 0.52 |
| Dec | 36.2 | 14.7 | 25.4 | 0.36 |

**Figure 30. Wind Rose for Gillette.**



## Casper Field Office

**Figure 31. Climate Normals (1991-2020) for Casper.**




| Month | MAX TEMP (°F) | MIN TEMP (°F) | AVG TEMP (°F) | PRECIP (IN) | SNOW (IN) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Jan | 35.2 | 15.0 | 25.1 | 0.49 | 9.0 |
| Feb | 37.8 | 15.4 | 26.6 | 0.56 | 10.9 |
| Mar | 48.8 | 22.7 | 35.8 | 0.84 | 10.3 |
| Apr | 56.3 | 28.2 | 42.3 | 1.41 | 10.5 |
| May | 66.8 | 37.1 | 52.0 | 2.21 | 2.6 |
| Jun | 79.6 | 45.4 | 62.5 | 1.34 | 0.1 |
| Jul | 89.0 | 53.0 | 71.0 | 1.19 | 0.0 |
| Aug | 86.7 | 51.4 | 69.0 | 0.79 | 0.0 |
| Sep | 75.6 | 42.2 | 58.9 | 0.95 | 1.5 |
| Oct | 59.7 | 30.9 | 45.3 | 1.19 | 7.0 |
| Nov | 45.9 | 22.0 | 34.0 | 0.64 | 8.9 |
| Dec | 34.7 | 14.8 | 24.8 | 0.61 | 11.0 |

**Figure 32. Wind Rose for Casper.**



**Figure 33. Climate Normals (1991-2020) for Guernsey.**




| Month | MAX TEMP (°F) | MIN TEMP (°F) | AVG TEMP (°F) | PRECIP (IN) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Jan | 39.0 | 13.8 | 26.4 | 0.37 |
| Feb | 42.7 | 16.1 | 29.4 | 0.51 |
| Mar | 52.2 | 24.9 | 38.6 | 0.95 |
| Apr | 59.4 | 31.7 | 45.6 | 2.03 |
| May | 69.0 | 42.5 | 55.8 | 3.05 |
| Jun | 80.0 | 53.4 | 66.7 | 2.11 |
| Jul | 89.4 | 59.4 | 74.4 | 1.74 |
| Aug | 87.2 | 57.4 | 72.3 | 1.22 |
| Sep | 78.4 | 47.4 | 62.9 | 1.27 |
| Oct | 62.8 | 33.1 | 47.9 | 1.46 |
| Nov | 49.7 | 23.5 | 36.6 | 0.44 |
| Dec | 39.9 | 13.4 | 26.7 | 0.90 |

## Cody Field Office

**Figure 34. Climate Normals (1991-2020) for Cody.**




| Month | MAX TEMP (°F) | MIN TEMP (°F) | AVG TEMP (°F) | PRECIP (IN) | SNOW (IN) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Jan | 37.5 | 17.5 | 27.5 | 0.37 | 7.3 |
| Feb | 38.7 | 18.5 | 28.6 | 0.46 | 7.5 |
| Mar | 48.7 | 26.8 | 37.7 | 0.55 | 5.8 |
| Apr | 55.3 | 33.0 | 44.2 | 1.35 | 5.9 |
| May | 64.4 | 41.9 | 53.1 | 2.18 | 0.8 |
| Jun | 74.8 | 50.0 | 62.4 | 1.62 | 0.0 |
| Jul | 84.0 | 57.3 | 70.6 | 0.89 | 0.0 |
| Aug | 82.2 | 55.6 | 68.9 | 0.90 | 0.0 |
| Sep | 72.3 | 47.2 | 59.7 | 1.15 | 0.4 |
| Oct | 58.0 | 35.9 | 46.9 | 0.99 | 3.4 |
| Nov | 44.8 | 25.5 | 35.2 | 0.59 | 6.4 |
| Dec | 36.5 | 18.0 | 27.3 | 0.46 | 7.5 |

**Figure 35. Wind Rose for Cody.**



## Kemmerer Field Office

**Figure 36. Climate Normals (1991-2020) for Kemmerer.**




| Month | MAX TEMP (°F) | MIN TEMP (°F) | AVG TEMP (°F) | PRECIP (IN) | SNOW (IN) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Jan | 31.0 | 2.2 | 16.6 | 0.69 | 11.6 |
| Feb | 33.6 | 3.3 | 18.5 | 0.60 | 9.6 |
| Mar | 42.5 | 13.2 | 27.8 | 0.65 | 6.1 |
| Apr | 52.2 | 21.4 | 36.8 | 0.66 | 3.0 |
| May | 62.8 | 30.7 | 46.7 | 1.38 | 1.4 |
| Jun | 73.4 | 36.6 | 55.0 | 0.94 | 0.1 |
| Jul | 82.8 | 42.5 | 62.7 | 0.50 | 0.0 |
| Aug | 81.1 | 40.7 | 60.9 | 0.86 | 0.0 |
| Sep | 71.6 | 32.4 | 52.0 | 0.97 | 0.4 |
| Oct | 57.4 | 22.7 | 40.0 | 0.84 | 1.8 |
| Nov | 42.1 | 12.7 | 27.4 | 0.65 | 6.2 |
| Dec | 31.4 | 2.7 | 17.0 | 0.67 | 9.5 |

**Figure 37. Climate Normals (1991-2020) for Evanston.**




| Month | MAX TEMP (°F) | MIN TEMP (°F) | AVG TEMP (°F) | PRECIP (IN) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Jan | 29.8 | 13.6 | 21.7 | 0.41 |
| Feb | 31.5 | 14.8 | 23.1 | 0.53 |
| Mar | 40.7 | 22.0 | 31.4 | 0.45 |
| Apr | 50.2 | 28.0 | 39.1 | 0.99 |
| May | 60.8 | 36.6 | 48.7 | 1.75 |
| Jun | 71.8 | 44.4 | 58.1 | 1.06 |
| Jul | 82.0 | 52.9 | 67.5 | 0.55 |
| Aug | 80.1 | 51.1 | 65.6 | 0.83 |
| Sep | 69.9 | 42.8 | 56.3 | 1.01 |
| Oct | 55.7 | 32.1 | 43.9 | 0.99 |
| Nov | 40.6 | 21.6 | 31.1 | 0.56 |
| Dec | 29.7 | 13.8 | 21.8 | 0.38 |

**Figure 38. Wind Rose for Evanston.**



## Lander Field Office

**Figure 39. Climate Normals (1991-2020) for Lander.**




| Month | MAX TEMP (°F) | MIN TEMP (°F) | AVG TEMP (°F) | PRECIP (IN) | SNOW (IN) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Jan | 32.8 | 9.8 | 21.3 | 0.51 | 8.2 |
| Feb | 36.6 | 13.3 | 25.0 | 0.70 | 12.1 |
| Mar | 48.6 | 23.4 | 36.0 | 1.29 | 14.4 |
| Apr | 56.2 | 30.3 | 43.2 | 2.07 | 16.4 |
| May | 66.1 | 39.4 | 52.8 | 2.68 | 4.5 |
| Jun | 78.0 | 47.7 | 62.8 | 1.08 | 0.1 |
| Jul | 87.7 | 55.2 | 71.5 | 0.59 | 0.0 |
| Aug | 85.8 | 53.8 | 69.8 | 0.52 | 0.0 |
| Sep | 74.6 | 44.6 | 59.6 | 0.98 | 1.5 |
| Oct | 58.9 | 32.0 | 45.4 | 1.40 | 10.5 |
| Nov | 44.3 | 19.9 | 32.1 | 0.78 | 10.6 |
| Dec | 32.9 | 10.2 | 21.6 | 0.63 | 9.3 |

**Figure 40. Wind Rose for Lander.**



**Figure 41. Climate Normals (1991-2020) for Dubois.**




| Month | MAX TEMP (°F) | MIN TEMP (°F) | AVG TEMP (°F) | PRECIP (IN) | SNOW (IN) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Jan | 35.9 | 12.6 | 24.3 | 0.25 | 4.8 |
| Feb | 36.9 | 12.5 | 24.7 | 0.47 | 8.1 |
| Mar | 44.5 | 18.5 | 31.5 | 0.54 | 8.2 |
| Apr | 50.5 | 24.0 | 37.3 | 1.28 | 9.9 |
| May | 60.0 | 32.0 | 46.0 | 1.97 | 4.0 |
| Jun | 70.2 | 38.8 | 54.5 | 1.39 | 0.6 |
| Jul | 79.4 | 43.5 | 61.4 | 0.97 | 0.0 |
| Aug | 78.1 | 41.9 | 60.0 | 0.89 | 0.0 |
| Sep | 68.8 | 34.8 | 51.8 | 1.30 | 0.8 |
| Oct | 55.7 | 26.2 | 41.0 | 0.88 | 4.8 |
| Nov | 42.3 | 18.6 | 30.5 | 0.58 | 7.6 |
| Dec | 34.2 | 12.7 | 23.5 | 0.34 | 6.5 |

## Newcastle Field Office

**Figure 42. Climate Normals (1991-2020) for Newcastle.**




| Month | MAX TEMP (°F) | MIN TEMP (°F) | AVG TEMP (°F) | PRECIP (IN) | SNOW (IN) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Jan | 34.6 | 14.2 | 24.4 | 0.51 | 6.8 |
| Feb | 37.6 | 16.2 | 26.9 | 0.67 | 7.7 |
| Mar | 48.3 | 25.5 | 36.9 | 0.77 | 4.9 |
| Apr | 57.0 | 33.3 | 45.2 | 1.70 | 5.0 |
| May | 66.3 | 43.3 | 54.8 | 2.90 | 0.6 |
| Jun | 77.6 | 53.0 | 65.3 | 2.68 | 0.0 |
| Jul | 86.6 | 60.2 | 73.4 | 2.54 | 0.0 |
| Aug | 85.6 | 58.5 | 72.1 | 1.82 | 0.0 |
| Sep | 75.1 | 49.0 | 62.0 | 1.20 | 0.2 |
| Oct | 58.9 | 35.5 | 47.2 | 1.24 | 1.4 |
| Nov | 45.0 | 24.2 | 34.6 | 0.59 | 5.5 |
| Dec | 34.6 | 15.0 | 24.8 | 0.54 | 6.8 |

## Pinedale Field Office

**Figure 43. Climate Normals (1991-2020) for Jackson.**




| Month | MAX TEMP (°F) | MIN TEMP (°F) | AVG TEMP (°F) | PRECIP (IN) | SNOW (IN) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Jan | 26.1 | 6.3 | 16.2 | 1.45 | 19.1 |
| Feb | 30.4 | 9.3 | 19.9 | 1.43 | 15.6 |
| Mar | 40.2 | 18.2 | 29.2 | 1.33 | 9.2 |
| Apr | 50.2 | 25.8 | 38.0 | 1.46 | 4.2 |
| May | 60.3 | 31.5 | 45.9 | 1.83 | 0.6 |
| Jun | 69.8 | 37.8 | 53.8 | 1.61 | 0.0 |
| Jul | 79.1 | 41.4 | 60.2 | 1.10 | 0.0 |
| Aug | 78.0 | 39.8 | 58.9 | 1.14 | 0.0 |
| Sep | 68.1 | 32.8 | 50.5 | 1.59 | 0.1 |
| Oct | 54.5 | 24.9 | 39.7 | 1.57 | 2.0 |
| Nov | 37.6 | 15.8 | 26.7 | 1.37 | 11.0 |
| Dec | 26.2 | 6.8 | 16.5 | 1.76 | 16.4 |

**Figure 44. Climate Normals (1991-2020) for Pinedale.**




| Month | MAX TEMP (°F) | MIN TEMP (°F) | AVG TEMP (°F) | PRECIP (IN) | SNOW (IN) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Jan | 28.0 | 1.9 | 15.0 | 0.70 | 13.2 |
| Feb | 30.7 | 3.9 | 17.3 | 0.76 | 12.5 |
| Mar | 40.5 | 14.0 | 27.2 | 0.73 | 9.4 |
| Apr | 50.9 | 23.1 | 37.0 | 1.07 | 8.2 |
| May | 61.4 | 30.2 | 45.8 | 1.89 | 2.8 |
| Jun | 71.3 | 37.7 | 54.5 | 1.36 | 0.6 |
| Jul | 79.9 | 42.8 | 61.3 | 0.88 | 0.0 |
| Aug | 78.3 | 40.0 | 59.1 | 0.96 | 0.0 |
| Sep | 69.2 | 32.5 | 50.8 | 1.21 | 0.6 |
| Oct | 55.2 | 23.8 | 39.5 | 0.93 | 5.2 |
| Nov | 39.7 | 12.8 | 26.2 | 0.64 | 10.1 |
| Dec | 28.6 | 3.4 | 16.0 | 0.74 | 13.5 |

**Figure 45. Wind Rose for Big Piney.**



## Rawlins Field Office

**Figure 46. Climate Normals (1991-2020) for Laramie.**




| Month | MAX TEMP (°F) | MIN TEMP (°F) | AVG TEMP (°F) | PRECIP (IN) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Jan | 33.4 | 10.3 | 21.9 | 0.28 |
| Feb | 35.6 | 12.1 | 23.8 | 0.32 |
| Mar | 44.5 | 19.2 | 31.8 | 0.48 |
| Apr | 51.2 | 24.5 | 37.9 | 1.04 |
| May | 61.5 | 33.4 | 47.5 | 1.75 |
| Jun | 73.9 | 42.0 | 58.0 | 1.49 |
| Jul | 81.1 | 48.5 | 64.8 | 1.34 |
| Aug | 79.0 | 46.5 | 62.8 | 1.14 |
| Sep | 70.2 | 38.5 | 54.3 | 1.11 |
| Oct | 56.0 | 27.8 | 41.9 | 0.83 |
| Nov | 42.5 | 18.0 | 30.2 | 0.42 |
| Dec | 32.7 | 10.2 | 21.5 | 0.32 |

**Figure 47. Wind Rose for the Laramie.**



**Figure 48. Climate Normals (1991-2020) for Rawlins.**




| Month | MAX TEMP (°F) | MIN TEMP (°F) | AVG TEMP (°F) | PRECIP (IN) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Jan | 31.5 | 13.2 | 22.3 | 0.42 |
| Feb | 33.9 | 14.5 | 24.2 | 0.48 |
| Mar | 45.1 | 22.0 | 33.6 | 0.66 |
| Apr | 53.5 | 27.3 | 40.4 | 1.26 |
| May | 64.2 | 35.5 | 49.8 | 1.46 |
| Jun | 76.6 | 43.2 | 59.9 | 0.87 |
| Jul | 85.1 | 50.8 | 67.9 | 0.72 |
| Aug | 82.6 | 49.1 | 65.8 | 0.73 |
| Sep | 72.3 | 40.7 | 56.5 | 0.89 |
| Oct | 56.8 | 30.4 | 43.6 | 0.68 |
| Nov | 41.8 | 20.8 | 31.3 | 0.47 |
| Dec | 31.3 | 12.8 | 22.1 | 0.40 |

**Figure 49. Wind Rose for Rawlins.**



**Figure 50. Climate Normals (1991-2020) for Saratoga.**




| Month | MAX TEMP (°F) | MIN TEMP (°F) | AVG TEMP (°F) | PRECIP (IN) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Jan | 32.7 | 6.9 | 19.8 | 0.58 |
| Feb | 35.5 | 10.0 | 22.8 | 0.83 |
| Mar | 45.1 | 18.7 | 31.9 | 1.06 |
| Apr | 53.4 | 24.9 | 39.2 | 1.51 |
| May | 63.5 | 32.6 | 48.1 | 1.67 |
| Jun | 75.4 | 40.1 | 57.8 | 1.31 |
| Jul | 83.5 | 46.0 | 64.8 | 0.94 |
| Aug | 80.7 | 43.8 | 62.3 | 1.17 |
| Sep | 72.0 | 34.3 | 53.2 | 1.25 |
| Oct | 57.6 | 23.9 | 40.8 | 1.47 |
| Nov | 43.3 | 16.1 | 29.7 | 0.82 |
| Dec | 32.8 | 7.4 | 20.1 | 0.78 |

## Rock Springs Field Office

**Figure 51. Climate Normals (1991-2020) for Rock Springs.**




| Month | MAX TEMP (°F) | MIN TEMP (°F) | AVG TEMP (°F) | PRECIP (IN) | SNOW (IN) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Jan | 29.5 | 13.6 | 21.5 | 0.38 | 8.2 |
| Feb | 32.9 | 15.7 | 24.3 | 0.37 | 7.9 |
| Mar | 43.9 | 24.1 | 34.0 | 0.58 | 6.4 |
| Apr | 52.8 | 29.7 | 41.2 | 0.91 | 8.8 |
| May | 63.2 | 38.4 | 50.8 | 1.27 | 2.7 |
| Jun | 75.0 | 47.0 | 61.0 | 0.70 | 0.4 |
| Jul | 84.1 | 54.8 | 69.4 | 0.47 | 0.0 |
| Aug | 81.5 | 53.1 | 67.3 | 0.56 | 0.0 |
| Sep | 70.7 | 43.9 | 57.3 | 0.79 | 1.2 |
| Oct | 56.0 | 32.9 | 44.5 | 0.81 | 6.6 |
| Nov | 40.5 | 21.8 | 31.2 | 0.40 | 6.0 |
| Dec | 29.5 | 13.4 | 21.4 | 0.31 | 5.8 |

**Figure 52. Wind Rose for Rock Springs.**



## Worland Field Office

**Figure 53. Climate Normals (1991-2020) for Worland.**




| Month | MAX TEMP (°F) | MIN TEMP (°F) | AVG TEMP (°F) | PRECIP (IN) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Jan | 30.7 | 3.0 | 16.9 | 0.22 |
| Feb | 36.4 | 8.8 | 22.6 | 0.17 |
| Mar | 51.1 | 21.6 | 36.4 | 0.44 |
| Apr | 59.6 | 30.2 | 44.9 | 0.98 |
| May | 69.6 | 40.0 | 54.8 | 1.66 |
| Jun | 81.0 | 48.2 | 64.6 | 0.97 |
| Jul | 90.2 | 54.2 | 72.2 | 0.64 |
| Aug | 87.9 | 51.7 | 69.8 | 0.40 |
| Sep | 76.2 | 42.4 | 59.3 | 0.93 |
| Oct | 60.2 | 29.8 | 45.0 | 0.77 |
| Nov | 44.3 | 15.9 | 30.1 | 0.37 |
| Dec | 31.5 | 4.8 | 18.2 | 0.18 |

**Figure 54. Wind Rose for Worland.**