

# United States Department of the Interior BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

Grand Junction, Colorado 81506 https://www.blm.gov



#### **DECISION DOCUMENT**

### **ROUND 18 - Southern Nevada Public Land Management Act**

The Secretary of the Interior hereby approves the estimated expenditures from the Southern Nevada Public Land Management Act, as amended (SNPLMA), Public Law 105-263, special Treasury account and other authorizations outlined below, with the provisos as stated.

1. Authorize the total expenditure of \$348,138,850 from the SNPLMA special Treasury account for Round 18, including estimated expenditures of:

| Expenditure Category                              | Projects | Total Recommended<br>Funding |
|---|----------|------------------------------|
| Parks, Trails, and Natural Areas                  | 19       | \$128,851,488                |
| Capital Improvements                              | 10       | \$117,442,106                |
| Conservation Initiatives                          | 8        | \$17,207,549                 |
| Environmentally Sensitive Land<br>Acquisitions    | 1        | \$323,385                    |
| Hazardous Fuels Reduction and Wildfire Prevention | 15       | \$50,686,549                 |
| Eastern Nevada Landscape Restoration<br>Project   | 7        | \$15,986,773                 |
| Multi-species Habitat Conservation Plan           | 2        | \$7,641,000                  |
| Category Totals                                   | 62       | \$338,138,850                |
| Special Account Reserve                           |          | \$10,000,000                 |
| Total Round 18 Recommendation                     |          | \$348,138,850                |

Attachment A lists the projects recommended for funding by the SNPLMA Executive Committee (EC).

- a. All project funds not expended to complete the projects will be returned to the SNPLMA special Treasury account to be used for future rounds, the Special Account Reserve (SAR), and other authorized purposes. No reallocation of excess funds to previously approved projects is authorized.
- b. Authorization for expenditures for projects submitted in Round 18 as set forth in this Decision Document will not be construed as a final approval for any expenditure. The amounts authorized herein are not approved for expenditure unless and until all requisite procedures are followed as outlined in this document and in the SNPLMA Implementation Agreement.
- c. The estimated cost for land acquisitions under both the Environmentally Sensitive Land Acquisition and Parks, Trails, and Natural Area categories reflects the owner's asking price plus estimated costs for other necessary expenses such as appraisals and case management but does not reflect the actual value of any property or the actual price to be paid for any property. The actual purchase price will be based on the appraised fair market value determined consistent with appraisal requirements outlined in the SNPLMA Implementation Agreement. Acquisitions of land and interests in land using funds from the SNPLMA special Treasury account will be made in all cases from willing sellers and will comply with the land acquisition authorities of the acquiring agency or entity. Acquisitions by the United States will also comply with the guidance for such acquisitions established by the Department of Justice. Final purchase of any property, including final determination of the purchase price, will depend upon successful completion of the acquisition process under these authorities, as well as the SNPLMA Implementation Agreement.
- d. For projects that will be performed by Federal agencies, no amount authorized herein will be an amount approved for expenditure until the following have been met:
  - i. A notice of availability of funding has been issued.
  - ii. A work plan has been submitted by the proponent and accepted by the Bureau of Land Management (BLM). The work plan will set forth a schedule of milestones with associated expenditures.
- e. For projects that will be performed by non-federal entities, no amount authorized herein will be an amount approved for expenditure until all the following have been met:
  - i. A notice of availability of funding has been issued.
  - ii. A cooperative agreement or grant between the recipient entity and the BLM or other Federal agency has been fully executed.

- iii. A work plan has been submitted by the proponent and accepted by the BLM or other Federal agency. The work plan must set forth a schedule of milestones with associated expenditures.
- iv. The starting point for an identified deliverable and its associated funding start date has been reached.
- 2. Authorize the establishment of a reserve within the SNPLMA special Treasury account in the amount of \$10,000,000 for expenditure at the discretion of the EC toward allowable expenditures under the SNPLMA and in accordance with the SNPLMA Implementation Agreement. The Round 18 SAR availability would expire when the Secretary approves Round 19. These SAR funds are authorized to address unexpected shortfalls between estimated and actual costs for approved projects and respond to unique opportunities or unanticipated circumstances that require immediate action. All expenditures from the SAR will be undertaken in compliance with established procedures and internal controls as well as all applicable laws, regulations, and policies. Funds in the SAR that are not obligated or allocated upon the approval of Round 19 would be returned to the SNPLMA special Treasury account for allocation in future rounds.
- 3. Simultaneously approve the use of financial assistance agreements in support of SNPLMA projects to meet any requirements for additional review by the Department of the Interior and/or the BLM. This review requirement would apply to the SNPLMA non-federal cooperative agreements for the Parks, Trails, and Natural Areas; Multi-Species Habitat Conservation Program; and Hazardous Fuels Reduction and Wildfire Prevention categories. Absent a simultaneous approval to release funds for projects that would be funded through grants or cooperative agreements, those projects may be required to undergo additional reviews. As such, non-federal partners with approved projects in one of the listed categories would likely experience unnecessary delays with the implementation of their projects.
- 4. The SNPLMA EC requests Secretarial approval of 20 new secondary list Lake Tahoe Restoration Act (LTRA), Public Law 106–506, projects under the "Reprogramming of Tahoe Funds from Primary to Secondary Approved Projects" authority pursuant to the SNPLMA Implementation Agreement. The projects on the secondary list are in four major categories: Forest Health; Science Stewardship and Accountability; Sustainable Recreation and Transportation; and Watersheds and Water Quality. The total SNPLMA funding allocated to LTRA projects would not be affected by approval of the 20 new secondary list projects and approval of this list will not impact the amount recommended for Round 18. The SNPLMA EC recommends approval of the projects on the secondary list because they implement the Lake Tahoe Basin Environmental Improvement Program Priorities. The secondary list of projects would be funded through the reallocation of unused funds returned from previously approved LTRA projects that have been completed and closed. Attachment B lists the projects to be funded.
- 5. Pursuant to 36 CFR 800.2(c)(4), the funding recipient entity is directed to initiate consultation with State Historic Preservation Officers, brief the BLM SNPLMA Program on all findings and determinations required under the National Historic

Preservation Act (NHPA), and ultimately demonstrate NHPA compliance to the BLM SNPLMA Program prior to initiation of project implementation. Project implementation cannot begin until the BLM SNPLMA Program confirms all or part of the project area NHPA compliance requirements have been completed. Project implementation may be phased if NHPA compliance requirements for each phase have been completed before implementation of that phase begins, and if the integrity of any historic property or property eligible for listing on the National Register within the larger project area is maintained. Non-compliance with this paragraph will result in part or whole project termination.

6. Reiterate the expectation that approved projects will be implemented in accordance with the project purpose and deliverables and within the timeframe specified in the project nomination, with appropriate time allocated for project startup and closeout as agreed upon in the work plan approved by the BLM. Requests for extension of time for project completion should be considered exceptions to the expected practice of timely implementation of projects and therefore limited in number and scope.

| <u> </u> | APPROVE Entire SNPLMA Round 18 Final Recommendation                    |
|----------|--|
|          | APPROVE Portions of Final Recommendation, including:                   |
|          | SNPLMA Round 18 Expenditures   |
|          | Secondary list of projects for the Lake Tahoe Restoration Act category |
|          | DISAPPROVE Entire Final Recommendation                                 |
| COMMI    | ENT:   |

Date 1, 200

SECREZARY'S DECISION

Deb Haaland

# APPENDIX A Southern Nevada Public Land Management Act of 1998 (Public Law 105-263, as amended) Round 18 Final Recommendation

| Priority<br>Ranking | Project Title                                      | Requesting Entity                  | Amount<br>Requested | Project<br>Duration<br>(Years) | Cooperative<br>Agreement<br>(Yes/No) |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------|--|------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
|                     | Parks, Trails, and Natural Areas Proposals         |                                    |                     |                                |                                      |  |  |  |  |
| 1                   | Drake Street Park                                  | Henderson                          | \$3,876,394         | 5                              | Yes                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 2                   | Kiel Ranch Historic Park Phase VI - Family Mesa    | North Las Vegas                    | \$5,281,600         | 5                              | Yes                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 3                   | Northern Beltway Trail                             | North Las Vegas                    | \$5,949,500         | 5                              | Yes                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 4                   | Carson River Trails Phase III - Prison Hill West   | Carson City                        | \$2,403,250         | 5                              | Yes                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 5                   | Slaughterhouse Shared-Use Trail                    | Lincoln County                     | \$778,695           | 5                              | Yes                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 6                   | Black Mountain Nature Preserve                     | Henderson                          | \$5,608,540         | 5                              | Yes                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 7                   | Southwest Ridge Bicycle Skills Park                | Clark County                       | \$6,554,836         | 5                              | Yes                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 8                   | Cheyenne Sports Complex Enhancements               | North Las Vegas                    | \$2,590,000         | 5                              | Yes                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 9                   | Heritage Park Acquisition and Construction         | White Pine County                  | \$24,606,829        | 8                              | Yes                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 10                  | Therapeutic Recreation and Inclusion Center        | Henderson                          | \$4,666,460         | 5                              | Yes                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 11                  | Nevada Northern Railway Phase III                  | White Pine County                  | \$10,168,421        | 5                              | Yes                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 12                  | Warm Springs Natural Area Trail Improvements       | Southern Nevada<br>Water Authority | \$800,000           | 5                              | Yes                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 13                  | Indian Springs Park Improvements                   | Clark County                       | \$5,531,316         | 5                              | Yes                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 14                  | Logandale Sports Complex                           | Clark County                       | \$13,450,305        | 5                              | Yes                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 15                  | Pioneer Park Phase III                             | Lincoln County                     | \$897,875           | 5                              | Yes                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 16                  | White Pine County Fairgrounds Upgrade Phase III    | White Pine County                  | \$12,955,275        | 5                              | Yes                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 17                  | Regional Pickleball Complex                        | Las Vegas                          | \$12,009,000        | 5                              | Yes                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 18                  | Regional Aquatic Complex                           | Las Vegas                          | \$8,839,317         | 5                              | Yes                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 19                  | Buzzy's Ranch Water Rights and Wetland Enhancement | Carson City                        | \$1,883,875         | 5                              | Yes                                  |  |  |  |  |
|                     | Parks, Trails, and Natural                         | Areas Proposals Total:             | \$128,851,488       |                                |                                      |  |  |  |  |

#### APPENDIX A – continued Southern Nevada Public Land Management Act of 1998 (Public Law 105-263, as amended) Round 18 Final Recommendation

| Priority<br>Ranking | Project Title  | Requesting Entity        | Amount<br>Requested | Project<br>Duration<br>(Years) | Cooperative<br>Agreement<br>(Yes/No) |
|---------------------|--|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
|                     | Capital Improvemen   | ts Proposals             |                     |                                |                                      |
| 1                   | Red Rock Canyon Legacy Trail   | BLM                      | \$34,640,296        | 6                              | No                                   |
| 2                   | Sloan Canyon Visitor Contact Station                                     | BLM                      | \$19,834,600        | 5                              | No                                   |
| 3                   | Upper Lake Dam Improvements on Pahranagat National Wildlife<br>Refuge    | USFWS                    | \$4,884,472         | 5                              | No                                   |
| 4                   | Garnet Hill Recreation Area  | BLM                      | \$9,580,040         | 5                              | No                                   |
| 5                   | Improvements for Upper Kyle Canyon Trails System and Parking             | USFS                     | \$4,712,863         | 5                              | No                                   |
| 6                   | Modernize Critical Interagency Communications Infrastructure and Systems | NPS                      | \$11,301,350        | 5                              | No                                   |
| 7                   | Hoover Dam Observation Areas Enhancements                                | BOR                      | \$10,864,434        | 5                              | No                                   |
| 8                   | Ward Mountain Bike Trail Project   | USFS                     | \$2,069,322         | 5                              | No                                   |
| 9                   | Ash Meadows Fish Conservation Facility Expansion                         | USFWS                    | \$2,413,409         | 5                              | No                                   |
| 10                  | Mountain Springs Fire Complex  | USFS                     | \$17,141,320        | 7                              | No                                   |
|                     | Capital Improve  | ements Proposals Total:  | \$117,442,106       |                                |                                      |
|                     | Conservation Initiativ   | ves Proposals            |                     |                                |                                      |
| 1                   | Protecting Wild Caves  | NPS                      | \$2,247,095         | 5                              | No                                   |
| 2                   | Arrow Canyon   | BLM                      | \$2,877,773         | 5                              | No                                   |
| 3                   | Butterfly Habitat Restoration  | USFS                     | \$4,390,000         | 5                              | No                                   |
| 4                   | Native Fishes Refugium   | USFWS                    | \$528,627           | 5                              | No                                   |
| 5                   | Muddy River Floodplain Restoration                                       | BLM                      | \$3,419,670         | 5                              | No                                   |
| 6                   | Cultural and Paleontological Resource Protection                         | USFS                     | \$955,381           | 5                              | No                                   |
| 7                   | Habitat Restoration, Protection, and Stewardship                         | USFWS                    | \$2,051,923         | 5                              | No                                   |
| 8                   | Riparian Restoration   | USFWS                    | \$737,080           | 5                              | No                                   |
|                     | Conservation Init  | iatives Proposals Total: | \$17,207,549        |                                |                                      |

### APPENDIX A – continued Southern Nevada Public Land Management Act of 1998 (Public Law 105-263, as amended) Round 18 Final Recommendation

| Priority<br>Ranking  | Project Title                                    | Requesting Entity                               | Amount<br>Requested | Project<br>Duration<br>(Years) | Cooperative<br>Agreement<br>(Yes/No) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|---------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Hazardous Fuels and Wildfire Prevention Proposals  1 Hazardous Fuels Planning - Spring Mountains West BLM \$875,362 6 No |  |   |                     |                                |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1  | Hazardous Fuels Planning - Spring Mountains West | BLM   | \$875,362           | 6                              | No                                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2  | Fuels Reduction - California Non-federal WUI     | California Tahoe<br>Conservancy                 | \$5,993,615         | 6                              | Yes                                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3  | Defense Zone Improvement                         | North Lake Tahoe Fire<br>Protection District    | \$1,222,527         | 6                              | Yes                                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4  | Prescribed Fire - FS Lake Tahoe Basin            | USFS  | \$2,444,225         | 6                              | No                                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5  | Fuels Reduction - North Tahoe WUI                | North Tahoe Fire<br>Protection District         | \$2,776,162         | 6                              | Yes                                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6  | Fuels Reduction - National Forest Priority WUI   | USFS  | \$10,489,750        | 6                              | No                                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7  | California Community Forestry                    | California Tahoe<br>Conservancy                 | \$4,159,180         | 6                              | Yes                                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8  | Utility Corridors Resilience - Zone 2 and Zone 3 | USFS  | \$7,575,350         | 6                              | No                                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9  | Fuels Reduction Planning - SMNRA Eastside        | USFS  | \$2,190,688         | 6                              | No                                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10   | Hazardous Fuels Reduction - Carson City          | Carson City Fire<br>District                    | \$2,036,493         | 6                              | Yes                                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11   | Fuels Reduction - Hwy 50                         | Tahoe Douglas Fire<br>Protection District       | \$432,470           | 6                              | Yes                                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12   | Fire Adapted Communities - Lake Tahoe Basin      | Tahoe Resource<br>Conservation District         | \$8,705,302         | 6                              | Yes                                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13   | Fuels Reduction - South Lake Tahoe               | South Lake Tahoe Fire and Rescue                | \$851,700           | 6                              | Yes                                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14   | Hazardous Fuels Collection - Carson City         | Carson City Fire<br>District                    | \$147,290           | 6                              | Yes                                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15   | East Mount Rose Corridor Non-federal             | Tahoe and Meeks Bay<br>Fire Protection District | \$786,435           | 6                              | Yes                                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Hazardous Fuels and Wildfire Pr                  | evention Proposals Total:                       | \$50,686,549        |                                |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### APPENDIX A – continued Southern Nevada Public Land Management Act of 1998 (Public Law 105-263, as amended) Round 18 Final Recommendation

| Priority<br>Ranking | Project Title   | Requesting Entity             | Amount<br>Requested | Project<br>Duration<br>(Years) | Cooperative<br>Agreement<br>(Yes/No) |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
|                     | Environmentally Sensitive Land                              | <b>Acquisitions Proposals</b> |                     |                                |                                      |
| 1                   | McCullough Springs Land Acquisition                         | \$323,385                     | 3                   | No                             |                                      |
|                     | Environmentally Sensitive Land Acqui                        | sitions Proposals Total:      | \$323,385           |                                |                                      |
|                     | Eastern Nevada Landscape F                                  | Restoration Proposals         |                     |                                |                                      |
| 1                   | Sage-Grouse Habitat Improvement                             | BLM                           | \$1,594,820         | 5                              | No                                   |
| 2                   | Vegetation Treatment Ely Ranger District                    | USFS                          | \$1,950,605         | 5                              | No                                   |
| 3                   | Ellison Meadows Restoration                                 | USFS                          | \$1,286,900         | 5                              | No                                   |
| 4                   | Active Management Using Fire                                | NPS                           | \$573,495           | 5                              | No                                   |
| 5                   | Egan and Johnson Basins Restoration                         | BLM                           | \$3,780,876         | 5                              | No                                   |
| 6                   | Habitat Improvement and Fuels Reduction - Overland Phase II | USFS                          | \$6,219,570         | 5                              | No                                   |
| 7                   | Vegetation Mapping Great Basin NP                           | NPS                           | \$580,507           | 5                              | No                                   |
|                     | Eastern Nevada Landscape Resto                              | oration Proposals Total:      | \$15,986,773        |                                |                                      |
|                     | Multi-Species Habitat Conserva                              | tion Program Proposals        |                     |                                |                                      |
| 1                   | Las Vegas Bearpoppy Conservation at Rainbow Gardens         | Clark County                  | \$3,878,000         | 5                              | Yes                                  |
| 2                   | Piute-Eldorado Restoration                                  | Clark County                  | \$3,763,000         | 5                              | Yes                                  |
|                     | Multi-Species Habitat Conservation Pr                       | \$7,641,000                   |                     |                                |                                      |
|                     |   | \$338,138,850                 |                     |                                |                                      |
|                     | Special Tro   | \$10,000,000                  |                     |                                |                                      |
|                     |   | Round 18 Total Budget         | \$348,138,850       |                                |                                      |

The following is a prioritized list of Lake Tahoe Restoration Act (LTRA) secondary projects that have been received and reviewed for possible funding under LTRA special account set-aside. The LTRA special account set-aside has funding remaining from completed or terminated projects and the funds are available for the Environmental Improvement Program priority list of projects until expended.

| Priority<br>Ranking | Requesting<br>Entity | Project Title  | Project Description  | Amount<br>Requested |  |
|---------------------|----------------------|--|--|---------------------|--|
| Forest Health       |                      |  |  |                     |  |
| 1                   | USFS                 | Incline Hazardous Fuels Reduction & Healthy Forest Restoration | The USFS, Lake Tahoe Basin Management Unit, will implement approximately 250 acres of fuels reduction and forest health treatments around the community of Incline Village in Washoe County, Nevada. The project would fund the remaining unfunded treatment needs for the Incline Hazardous Fuels Reduction and Forest Health Project which authorized treatments on 3,200 acres of National Forest System lands in the wildland urban interface. | \$500,000           |  |
| 2                   | USFS                 | Nevada Energy<br>Resilience<br>Corridors                       | The USFS, Lake Tahoe Basin Management Unit, will implement approximately 1,400 acres of forest health and fuels reduction treatments along utility corridors on the Nevada side of the Tahoe Basin. The project is in Washoe and Douglas counties, and Carson City and would fund the highest priority treatment areas.  | \$5,000,000         |  |
| 3                   | USFS                 | Urban Forest<br>Defense Zone<br>Project                        | The USFS, Lake Tahoe Basin Management Unit, will implement approximately 2,400 acres of secondary treatments to reduce fuels and restore forest health on National Forest urban lots. The project is a Tahoe Basin-wide project, covering approximately 3,200 urban parcels located in El Dorado and Placer counties in California; and Washoe and Douglas counties and Carson City in Nevada.   | \$8,000,000         |  |
| 4                   | USFS                 | Aspen<br>Community<br>Restoration                              | The USFS, Lake Tahoe Basin Management Unit, will implement approximately 80 acres of conifer removal treatments in aspen stands at high risk of being lost and continue adaptive management monitoring and analyses for three years. This project would complete high priority treatments identified in the Aspen Community Restoration Project.   | \$250,000           |  |
|                     |                      |  | Forest Health Proposals Total:   | \$13,750,000        |  |

| Priority<br>Ranking | Requesting<br>Entity                   | Project Title  | Project Description   | Amount<br>Requested |  |
|---------------------|--|--|---|---------------------|--|
|                     | Science Stewardship and Accountability |  |   |                     |  |
| 1                   | U.S.<br>Geological<br>Survey           | Evaluating the impacts of road salts on stream water quality | The U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) will collect and analyze data on the contributions of road salt to stream water quality. The USGS has identified increasing trends in specific conductance at Lake Tahoe Interagency Monitoring Program gauges. Additional monitoring is needed to determine if road salt accumulation is occurring in forest soils and groundwater, potentially stressing vegetation and increasing salt loads to tributaries.   | \$400,000           |  |
| 2                   | U.S.<br>Geological<br>Survey           | TSAC Priority<br>Science                                     | The Tahoe Science Advisory Council (TSAC) will identify the priority science questions for the Lake Tahoe Basin and manage a competitive procurement process. The TSAC will oversee the request for proposals, technical evaluation, contracts/agreements, and completion of closeout of each sub-project. More than one sub-project is likely to result from this process. Each sub-project will have a discrete scope, budget, and timeframe for completion.  | \$1,200,000         |  |
| 3                   | U.S.<br>Geological<br>Survey           | Lake Tahoe<br>Website<br>Modernization                       | The Tahoe Hydromapper is an interactive website for the Lake Tahoe Basin that provides a modern and easy-to-use, one-stop location for a single, coordinated visual, and quantitative summary of the hydrologic conditions for the Lake Tahoe Basin. Initial funds from the USFS, Lake Tahoe Basin Management Unit provided the foundational support to develop the real-time and water year comparison tools for available hydrological data provided by Federal partners. This proposal is intended to supplement this tool to include remote sensing products providing data on soil moisture, vegetation health (e.g., Normalized Difference Vegetation Index), and lake clarity. Delivery of compiled data will serve to highlight interagency Federal partnerships, data collection and delivery, and scientific research in the Tahoe Basin. | \$200,000           |  |
|                     |  | •  | Science Stewardship and Accountability Proposals Total:   | \$1,800,000         |  |

| Priority<br>Ranking | Requesting<br>Entity | Project Title  | Project Description   | Amount<br>Requested |
|---------------------|----------------------|--|---|---------------------|
|                     |                      |  | Sustainable Recreation and Transportation   |                     |
| 1                   | USFS                 | Chimney Beach Parking Upgrades for Highway 28 Multi-Use Path | The USFS, Lake Tahoe Basin Management Unit will reconstruct the Chimney Beach parking area along the Highway 28 corridor, a key component of the Highway 28 Corridor Plan. The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) plan is complete and design funds are secured. Project would complete construction of the new parking area and increase parking capacity from the existing 21 spaces to a maximum of 160 spaces. The Project would provide parking capacity to accommodate existing roadside parking that is impacting roadside vegetation and is causing unsafe highway congestion. The project is located in Washoe County, Nevada. | \$2,400,000         |
| 2                   | USFS                 | Basin-Wide<br>Trails   | The USFS, Lake Tahoe Basin Management Unit, will complete 1.5 miles of new construction on the Fallen Leaf Bike Path project. This project is in a high use area and would provide a safe biking alternative to Fallen Leaf Lake Road and improve user access to key recreation destinations. The project is in El Dorado County, California.   | \$250,000           |
|                     |                      |  | Sustainable Recreation and Transportation Proposals Total:  | \$2,650,000         |

| Priority<br>Ranking | Requesting<br>Entity         | Project Title  | Project Description  | Amount<br>Requested |  |  |
|---------------------|------------------------------|--|--|---------------------|--|--|
|                     | Watersheds and Water Quality |  |  |                     |  |  |
| 1                   | Army Corps of<br>Engineers   | Upper Truckee<br>River<br>Restoration<br>Lake Valley<br>Reach Planning | The United States Army Corps of Engineers (ACOE) will initiate the planning phase of the Upper Truckee River (UTR) Golf Course project, which would involve completing the NEPA/California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) plan for the UTR Lake Valley restoration reach. The project will restore hydrological and geomorphic processes to the UTR along the California State Parks reach and improve natural function and connectivity between the river and floodplain, promote sediment deposition and ground water storage; while also increasing Stream Environment Zone (SEZ), fisheries, and wildlife habitat. When constructed this project will reduce water quality impacts from erosion, provide public recreational access and connectivity to the river and state park, and construct visitor day-use facilities.  | \$1,500,000         |  |  |
| 2                   | USFS                         | Upper Truckee<br>River<br>Restoration<br>Sunset Reaches<br>5 and 6     | The USFS, Lake Tahoe Basin Management Unit, will initiate planning and implementation for major stream restoration efforts on more than 3 miles of UTR: key actions include extensive in-channel modifications of Reach 6, fuels reduction in Reaches 5 and 6, adaptive management in Reach 5, and decommissioning of the Sunset Stables Staging Area. Of this request, approximately \$1.5M would go to USFS, Lake Tahoe Basin Management Unit staff for construction of restoration and adaptive management; and \$1M to California Tahoe Conservancy (CTC) for planning, permitting, and fuels reduction. Existing Participating Agreement under the Wyden Authority and completed NEPA/CEQA plan for USFS, Lake Tahoe Basin Management Unit-CTC joint planning and implementation of stream-meadow restoration of UTR Reaches 5 and 6 will be updated. The project is in El Dorado County, California. | \$2,500,000         |  |  |

| Priority<br>Ranking | Requesting<br>Entity         | Project Title   | Project Description   | Amount<br>Requested |  |  |
|---------------------|------------------------------|---|---|---------------------|--|--|
|                     | Watersheds and Water Quality |   |   |                     |  |  |
| 3                   | Army Corps of<br>Engineers   | Upper Truckee<br>River<br>Restoration<br>Lake Valley<br>Reach<br>Implementation                                     | The ACOE will initiate the design and construction phase of the UTR Golf Course project that will restore hydrological and geomorphic processes to the UTR along the California State Parks reach and improve natural function and connectivity between the river and floodplain, promote sediment deposition and ground water storage; while increasing Stream Environment Zone (SEZ), fisheries, and wildlife habitat. When constructed this project will reduce water quality impacts from erosion, provide public recreational access and connectivity to the river and state park, and construct visitor day-use facilities. | \$4,000,000         |  |  |
| 4                   | USFS                         | Taylor/Tallac<br>Restoration<br>Project Phase<br>2a - Aquatic<br>Invasive<br>Species<br>Removal and<br>Final Design | The USFS, Lake Tahoe Basin Management Unit, will complete Aquatic Invasive Species (AIS) removal implementation and complete final designs for restoration project phase. USFS personnel will provide contract oversight, community outreach, and topside support during AIS removal implementation. This effort is a critical link in the chain of sequenced events leading to full restoration over several years.  | \$750,000           |  |  |
| 5                   | USFWS                        | Fallen Leaf Lake Lahontan Cutthroat Trout Reintroduction and Suppression of Nonnative Trout                         | The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) will continue stocking and monitoring of Lahontan Cutthroat Trout (LCT) and suppress nonnative trout in Fallen Leaf Lake.  | \$150,000           |  |  |

| Priority<br>Ranking | Requesting<br>Entity                  | Project Title  | Project Description   | Amount<br>Requested |  |  |  |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|---------------------|--|--|--|
|                     | Watersheds and Water Quality          |  |   |                     |  |  |  |
| 6                   | Environmental<br>Protection<br>Agency | Community-<br>Based<br>Watershed<br>Strategy   | The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) will develop community-based watershed strategies on two additional watersheds: Marla Bay and Tahoe Keys. Watershed strategies achieve Lake Tahoe total maximum daily load pollution controls and other environmental improvements via areawide stormwater treatment and public-private partnerships. | \$250,000           |  |  |  |
| 7                   | USFS                                  | Taylor/Tallac<br>Restoration<br>Project Phase<br>2b - South<br>Shore Hwy 89<br>Corridor BMPs | Project will use/implement Best Management Practices (BMPs) to reduce erosion from National Forest parking areas and trails in the South Shore Hwy 89 Corridor. The NEPA plan is completed for this project. The project is in a high visitor use area with recreation access to Lake Tahoe and is in El Dorado County, California.             | \$1,000,000         |  |  |  |
| 8                   | USFWS                                 | Lahontan Cutthroat Trout Reintroduction and Performance Evaluation in Lake Tahoe             | The USFWS will continue stocking and monitoring LCT in Lake Tahoe for recreation and recovery. This project will continue with an additional two years of research in Lake Tahoe to determine habitat utilization of LCT and nonnative species.   | \$350,000           |  |  |  |
| 9                   | USFS                                  | Erosion<br>Control Grants  | The USFS, Lake Tahoe Basin Management Unit, would provide stormwater grant funding to local jurisdictions with assistance from the Stormwater Quality Implementation Committee. Erosion control grant projects will have a nexus to federally managed lands in the Lake Tahoe Basin.  | \$1,200,000         |  |  |  |
| 10                  | USFWS                                 | Tahoe<br>Tributary<br>Confluence<br>Assessment   | The USFWS will conduct an additional three years of assessing Lake Tahoe tributaries for restoration actions for lacustrine LCT.  | \$250,000           |  |  |  |

| Priority<br>Ranking          | Requesting<br>Entity | Project Title                                    | Project Description  | Amount<br>Requested |
|------------------------------|----------------------|--|--|---------------------|
| Watersheds and Water Quality |                      |  |  |                     |
| 11                           | USFS                 | Basin Resource<br>Inventories and<br>Evaluations | The USFS, Lake Tahoe Basin Management Unit, will conduct basin-wide resource inventories and post-implementation effectiveness evaluations on completed restoration projects. Primary focus for Fiscal Year (FY) 2021 through FY 2023 is on two Priority Watersheds: UTR and Ward Frontal. | \$350,000           |
|                              |                      |  | Watersheds and Water Quality Proposals Total:  | \$12,300,000        |
|                              |                      |  | All Categories Total   | \$30,500,000        |