

December 09, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Emma Branch
N/A
Seattle, WA 98115

December 09, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Emi Kolde
6404 9th Ave ne
Seattle, WA 98115

December 09, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Jackie Wessel
1924 SE 12th Ave
Portland, OR 97214

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Patricia Rossi
1 Maplewood Dr
Levittown, PA 19056

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Josef Goldufsky
1550 S Blue Island Ave Unit 505
Chicago, IL 60608

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Victoria Mature
P.O. Box 706
Rancho Santa Fe, CA 92067

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Chad Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Eden Taylor
1001 Connecticut Ave NW
Washington, DC 20036

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Eden Taylor
1001 Connecticut Ave NW
Washington, DC 20036

December 09, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
M B
120 E 12th St
New York, NY 10003

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Ned Connelly
302 S Church St
Clifton Heights, PA 19018

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Vicki Matheny
114 Spoonbill Dr
Palm Coast, FL 32164

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Brian Reynolds
3 S Iowa Ave Apt 1A
Atlantic City, NJ 08401

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Bonnie Anderson
14300 SW Walnut Ln
Portland, OR 97223

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Pati Tomsits
42 Lakepines
Irvine, CA 92620

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Steve Henry
1895 Lynton Circle
Wellington, FL 33414

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Richard Pavak
20 Yellow Ferry Dock
Sausalito, CA 94965

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Eden Taylor
1001 Connecticut Ave NW
Washington, DC 20036

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
David Boyer
135 Mosher Way
Palo Alto, CA 94304

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

STOP THIS NOW!!!!!!!!!!!!

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Seth Brandes
PO Box 1177
Somis, CA 93066

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Abhijeet Kulkarni
3142 Bluebird Court
West Sacramento, CA 95691

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting. We have to stop these selfish, short-sighted, stupid Republicans while we still can.

Sincerely,
BRIAN FITZGERALD
1480 Rayburn Dr
Reno, NV 89503

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge.

At this time we as a country are moving away from oil and gas to clean energy. At this time we produce such a volume that companies are exporting oil and gas and making their own profit while negatively impacting our citizen owned lands. These companies have a history of negatively impacting land and water and animals while operating - not meeting regulations. These companies have a history of abandoning sites without effective cleanup.

Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Cynthia Collyard
29 Preserve Drive
Willoughby, OH 44094

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
John Moy
760 Windlass Way
Sanibel, FL 33957

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
John & Sue Ewan
401 E 8th St
Sioux Falls, SD 57103

November 24, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Steven Anschutz
311 South Culp Street
Russell, KS 67665

November 25, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Valerie Gebert
7857 S Southshore Dr
Chicago, IL 60649

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Steve Mattan
164 Burrs Mill Road
Southampton, NJ 08088

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Bryan Reiley
409 W. Vermont Ave
Urbana, IL 61801

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Janet Larson
21 Winding River Drive
Toms River, NJ 08755

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Stephen Price
P.O. Box 1433
Farmington, MO 63640

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development on water sources in the refuge or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Public land is for people, not for the destruction of habitat and ecosystems for a short supply of energy.

Sincerely,
Richard Powe
650 Balcones Ridgeway
Bertram, TX 78605

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Lisa Mazzola
1723 W Followthru Dr
Tampa, FL 33612

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Jana Singletary
9616 S. 67th E. Ave.
Tulsa, OK 74133

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my support for any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will not severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you continue this process.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species not impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands.

I am not very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the nature of this process, the BLM has examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly does not affect all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency continue this process. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the damage to this area will not be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Robert Eaton
P. O. Box 5056
Johnson City, TN 37602

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Kathryn Staponski
15890 Woodend Rd
Bonner Springs, KS 66012

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Kathy Hanson
5431 Meadow Cir
Huntington Beach, CA 92649

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
C. Scott Weidensaul
130 Park Pl
Milton, NH 03851

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat. The world and the USA is awash in oil and gas adding to the supply, and no need to increase carbon in the atmosphere.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
P. Sydney Herbert
13505 SE River Rd Apt 4201
Portland, OR 97222

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Ralph Webber
431 N Milnor Lake Rd
Troy, MT 59935

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing of my own volition to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting. There is no reason to destroy this habitat so oil companies can sell and make big dollars while Americans get nothing in return.

Sincerely,
Rich Collins
300 Post Rd
Greenland, NH 03840

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Michele Kolker
26369 Leos Trail
Menifee, CA 92586

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

My husband and I have volunteered for years on the Malheur Refuge, an important stop-over for many birds on their way to the Arctic to nest.

Therefore, I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Alice Elshoff
1454 NW 4th St
Bend, OR 97703

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Gail Falco
465 Burley Rd N
Rochester, NY 14612

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Connie Raper
2614 Woodmont Dr
Durham, NC 27705

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Charles Strasser
93 Twinbrooke Drive
Holden, CT 01520

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Kellie Roach
1235 Saint Andrew St
New Orleans, LA 70130

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

This is the only planet we have. We can't afford to screw this up as we have no place else to go!

Please think of the future, your kids' future... this is not the right thing to do. This ecosystem is so fragile, this will do irreparable damage.

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Paula Richards
1900 Greystone Ranch Rd
Bertram, TX 78605

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Carla Hall
464 Sand Stone Dr
Wooster, OH 44691

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Jim Loveland
1410 Freemont St. S.
Gulfport, FL 33707

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Michelle Schumacher
18 paseo canos
san clemente, CA 92673

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swans and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

As a member of the American Ornithologists Society, I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling on the millions of birds that nest in the Alaskan Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the devastating damage to the ecosystem will be permanent.

Sincerely,
Marcia Watson
14207 Lakerun Ct
Bowie, MD 20720

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Dale Bonk
760 State Road 92
Belleville, WI 53508

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Mary Shabbott
10249 Acorn Trail
Punta Gorda, FL 33950

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Jim Neal
575 County Road 507
Nacogdoches, TX 75961

November 23, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic
National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. This priceless wilderness must be protected from activities that damage the environment, such as oil and gas drilling/exploration.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Christopher Benda
192 Krysher Road
Makanda, IL 62958

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Patricia Wolongevicz
102 Read Dr
Hanover, MA 02339

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Lynn Elliott
2614 Woodmont Dr
Durham, NC 27705

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
William Tarbox
33215 Colette St
Magnolia, TX 77355

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Cheryl Hart
20510 SW Roy Rogers Rd Unit 203
Sherwood, OR 97140

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing again, in opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. I feel like a broken record as I keep writing every year, to stop oil and gas development in this area.

I lived in Alaska from 1979 to 1986, from the Aleutian Islands to Kotzebue. You know as well as I do, that the indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process. Otherwise I'll donate more money to go to court to delay the process until President-elect Biden takes office and replaces you.

Sincerely,
Beverly Minn
2723 Lincoln Ave SW
Bandon, OR 97411

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Alan Robert
75 Main st
Richford, VT 05476

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Jill Baltes
344 E Jeffrey Place
Columbus, OH 43214

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

This must stop now. I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Melissa Ropke
6620 S 130th St
Seattle, WA 98178

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Melani King
500 Western Drive
Pt. Richmond, CA 94801

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska. As a birder, I'm horrified at the thought of a potential oil spill in this incredible nesting area.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Darlene Friedman
40944 Mooringside
Novi, MI 48375

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Laura Richards
4809 hells bellsxrd
Carson city, NV 89701

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Jessica Zern
PO Box 85
Brookings, OR 97415

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

Stop this process which will destroy this refuge.

Sincerely,
Helen Manning-Brown
6805 Lomitas Rd
Atascadero, CA 93422

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Danielle Firmenich
19 Alturas Rd.
Highland Lakes, NJ 07422

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Kevin O'Carroll
34 Doughty Point Rd
Harswell, ME 04079

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
wiliam mores
2110 oakland dr
norristown, PA 19403

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I would like to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas drilling in this wilderness area is incompatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and it will severely impact the wildlife which those of us who have visited this area love.

This process should be halted until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations. This also directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Joseph Stichter
18 Marsh Ave
Newbury, MA 01951

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting. Please, please do the right thing for our irreplaceable wildlife.

Sincerely,
Roger Stone
1276 Kuhn Rd
Boiling Springs, PA 17007

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Casey Pittman
501 Edinburgh Ln
Coppell, TX 75019

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Alex Vasquez
324 N 2nd St
Steelton, PA 17113

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Paul Riley
4148 Disston St # 19135
Philadelphia, PA 19135

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

As a retired, 31 year career U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service employee, I am writing to express my strong opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting. We must continue to protect these pristine lands for the continuing enjoyment of our children and generations to come.

Sincerely,
Stephen Parry
4220 Chardonnay Ct
Napa, CA 94558

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
William Smith
12604 Lower Monterey Circle
Blue Ridge Summit, PA 17214

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Mary-Jo Cosgrove
31 Brinkwood Road
Brookeville, MD 20833

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Daryl Rice
887 Deep Run Rd
Perkasie, PA 18944

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Julie Harris
4185 SW 167th Ave
Beaverton, OR 97078

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Leslie Calambro
1903 Barribbee Lane
Henrico, VA 23229

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Michael Bryant
101 Madeline Dr
Manteo, NC 27954

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.
long-lasting.

Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be irresponsible.

Sincerely,
Alexis DeCaprio
158 CR 969
Delano, TN 37325

November 24, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my strong opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

This battle has been on-going for many years and attempting to rush it through at the end of the Trump administration is shameful. The future of energy does not include continued fossil fuel extraction. Destroying this beautiful landscape for short term monetary gain for select large businesses is unacceptable. I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Kathy Whaley
664 Darryl Drive
Denison, TX 75021

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Thank you very much for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Richard Spotts
255 N 2790 E
Saint George, UT 84790

November 23, 2020

RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge

Dear Director Padgett,

Opening up the ANWR to oil and gas development is DISPICABLE! This is a DISGRACE!

If government wants to do something form the fossil fuel industry, help fund their R&D so they can play a bigger role in developing/deploying more renewable energy.

They need to shift to be "energy" companies, not fossil fuel companies.

It's flat out embarrassing to the entire USA to be in denial of the human-drive behind global warming. 7.7 BILLION people burning fossil fuels can affect ANYTHING.

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,
Lonn Sattler
5 Robinson Lane

Barrington, NH, NH 03825