

November 23, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
John Doebl  
261 SE Kamilche Shores Rd  
Shelton, WA 98584

National  
Wildlife  
Refuge  
Assoc.

November 23, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Lance Runion  
1421 N University Ave  
Little Rock, AR 72205

November 23, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

I would ask that you read and consider each communication you receive. There is much at stake in the Arctic for the health of the area and the world.

Liz Williams  
6688 Potter Lane  
Foresthill, CA 95631

Sincerely,  
Liz Williams  
6688 Potter Lane  
Foresthill, CA 95631

November 23, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Ann Gulbransen  
2234 S. Medina Line Rd  
Wadsworth, OH 44281



November 23, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Marian Baker Gierlach  
8897 E Walnut Trl  
Pearce, AZ 85625

November 23, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Nancy Brown  
5056 Lido Lane  
Houston, TX 77092

November 23, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Chadd Charland  
4141 Riverlook Pkwy SE Unit 207  
Marietta, GA 30067

November 23, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Amanda Smock  
469 Eastern Pkwy  
Brooklyn, NY 11216

November 23, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Rhonda Marrone  
939 Somerset Dr  
Charleston, WV 25302

November 23, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Please preserve our natural resources for future generations. Save our wildlife! The Arctic ceases to be a wildlife refuge once seismic exploration or oil and gas development begins to take place. The environment will be destroyed for any wildlife and humans who inhabit these areas.

Sincerely,  
Karen Curran  
1397 Buckingham Circle  
Franklin, TN 37064

November 23, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

The Arctic National Wildlife Refuge belongs to the people of the United States of America! It does not belong to Donald Trump and his administration to sell off to Corporate interests. Further information below for supporting evidence that this is a bad idea.

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Sonya Berg  
HC 69 Box 50A  
Rociada, NM 87742

November 23, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Jeanne Alford  
PO Box 44603  
Kamuela, HI 96743



November 23, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my strong opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. The current process is not following guidelines for Environmental Impact Statements and proper scientific study to ensure the preservation of this rare wilderness land. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development. The purpose of being more conservative and cautious with this unparalleled wild resource is so that future generations can also benefit from the many gifts its presence provides to the health of our planet.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Sarah Haavind  
460 River Rd Apt 404  
Andover, MA 01810

November 23, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Allyn Schneider  
74 Peninsula Dr  
Hilton Head Island, SC 29926

November 23, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Susan Welch  
PO Box 151  
Marion, IL 62959

November 23, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am Janna Kepley, a high school biology teacher with a masters in Environmental Science, a parent, and a voter,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Janna Kepley  
105 N 3rd Ave  
Highland Park, NJ 08904

November 23, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese. You do realize that the price of oil is quite low. Putting more oil on the market is going to lower it even further. No one will make any money. I certainly wouldn't invest in it.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
geraldine theobald  
706 S LYNN ST  
URBANA, IL 61801

November 23, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Amanda Briggs  
2536 Eastern Blvd  
York, PA 17402

November 23, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my intense opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Anje' Waters  
14945 Christmas Tree Lane  
Grass Valley, CA 95945

November 23, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Janice Beglinger  
6287 Transit Rd  
Elba, NY 14058



November 24, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

There are so few pristine wild areas left in this world. Opening the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge to oil and gas development will remove one of these. It is our duty as stewards of this earth to protect as much of the remaining wilderness that we can. I urge you to not allow any seismic exploration or drilling in the wonderful wilderness.

Sincerely,  
Mike Krehbiel  
7020 Veranda Rd NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87110

November 23, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Jarrett Rogers  
14605 n 42 st apt 62  
Tampa, FL 33613

November 23, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

I have personally visited the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge, and it was the absolute travel highlight of my lifetime. I have seen the splendor of this magnificent place first-hand, and can speak to the beauty and ecological integrity from experience. Somewhere on our planet must remain unspoiled for all time, and not be degraded for all time for short-term gains. Please protect the Arctic Refuge from drilling. For this you will be remembered. Thank you.

Sincerely,  
Clay Sutton  
129 Bucks Ave, CMCH, NJ  
Cape May Court House, NJ 08210

November 23, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Mary Weber  
1260 Meridian Ranch Dr  
Reno, NV 89523

November 23, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Alicja Nichols  
48600 Hiland Ranch Dr  
Oakridge, OR 97463

November 24, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

It seems senseless to proceed with attempting to open ANWR to fossil fuel development at this time as President-Elect Biden has pledged to reverse Trump's ill considered attempt to open ANWR. This planned opening and development of ANWR is NOT going to happen. It will be reversed by President Biden and any leases will forthwith be cancelled and nullified. And Chad, frankly, as a political appointee you are not even going to be around much longer to muck up our public land. Bye bye!

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
John Koenig  
3968 Brae Burn Dr  
Eugene, OR 97405

November 23, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

LEAVE THE REFUGE ALONE. Us humans have done enough damage and turmoil on this planet. We need to be searching and developing other ways of energy use. For years this land has been threatened by this very plan to extract without doing enough research or even the fact that this land is one of the few left that are untouched by human damage.

Leave it for the animals, plants, & natural ways it has for its entire existence.

Sincerely,  
Hannah Prenger  
P.O. Box 81838  
Fairbanks, AK 99708

November 23, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Julia N Allen PhD DVM  
Bell St  
Edmonds, WA 98020



November 23, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

In addition, if you proceed with this obvious political stunt, I will never vote for a Republican again, nor will I purchase anything from the companies who submit bids. Every item I purchase is in effect a vote for the system that produced that item. I intend to be a very careful voter, and will help my friends and family to do the same.

Sincerely,  
Dave Miller  
3509 NW 3rd Ave  
Camas, WA 98607

November 23, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Julie Rice  
2507 Ridgeway Avenue  
Evanston, IL 60201

November 23, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Patricia Wilburn  
933 McMinn Ave  
Santa Rosa, CA 95407

November 25, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Mike Seyfried  
701 California Avenue  
Boulder City, NV 89005

November 23, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Pat Monacella  
2908 Hideaway Rd  
Fairfax, VA 22031

November 23, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
James Wilson  
3167 San Mateo Blvd NE # 119  
Albuquerque, NM 87110

November 23, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Robert Karges  
22175 180th St  
Lexington, OK 73051

November 23, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Maryann Smale  
37 Dyers Bay Rd  
Steuben, ME 04680



November 23, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Emily Stewart  
35 Lansing Dr  
Delmar, NY 12054

November 25, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Timothy Berg  
3896 Bellingham drive  
Reno, NV 89511

November 25, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Mary Miller  
6530 Stuart Ave  
Richmond, VA 23226

November 24, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Jennifer Cross  
629 Gravlee Ln  
Birmingham, AL 35206

November 25, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Jon Levin  
4160 s 6th st  
Emmaus, PA 18049

November 24, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Ginger Geronimo  
4225 Pebble Garden Way  
Birmingham, AL 35235

November 24, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Jerry Goodrich  
2502 Dijon Dr  
Cedar Park, TX 78613

November 24, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Stephanie Seymour  
41 Cheshire Ln  
Ringwood, NJ 07456



November 24, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

Dear Bureau of Land Management -

This letter is to inform you of my sincerest opposition to any and all seismic exploration and/or oil and gas leasing and/or development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. The development of future oil and gas infrastructure in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the National Wildlife Refuge, will severely impact wildlife, their habitats, and the pristine nature of this area for future generations. Additionally, any forward movement toward exploration and oil/gas development under this hurried process will set precedent that directly affects all National Wildlife Refuges and public lands.

I had a trip planned to the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge this year, but due to COVID19, it has been postponed to 2021/22. Upon hearing of the proposed seismic exploration and oil/gas development, I felt a sense of urgency to visit before the experience is tainted by human greed and a slimy administration.

Further, I am concerned about the impacts of drilling and exploration to the nesting birds and other flora and fauna of the Coastal Plain. Many of these species are already experiencing dramatic population declines, and this added stress to the nesting area is likely to exacerbate those declines. Due to the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and any forward movement under this hurried process will set precedent that directly affects all public lands in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I plead that the BLM halts this process if not indefinitely, at least until further research and required environmental impact assessments can be completed. The slow forces that have shaped the arctic are not easily mimicked and any development and damage that is done in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge will remain for eternity.

Sincerely,  
Bri Benvenuti

Sincerely,  
Bri Benvenuti  
80 Ridge Farm Road  
Strafford, NH 03884

November 24, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Pamela Kroutvoss  
27 Duffy Hill  
Enosburg, VT 05450

November 24, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Donald Barker  
23 13th Ave  
Kitty Hawk, NC 27949

November 24, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is incompatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough technology-based assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife, habitat and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Michael Baldwin  
9211 Parkwood Court  
Fort Myers, FL 33908

November 24, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Please do the right thing and cancel plans to lease lands in ANWR.

Phil Street

Sincerely,  
Phil Street  
9532 W Ringle Creek St  
Star, ID 83669

November 24, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Kay Lambert  
24973 County Road 23  
Glenwood, MN 56334

November 24, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Warren Hagenbuck  
2058 Rivers Edge Dr NE  
Rio Rancho, NM 87144

November 24, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Kim Hudyma  
103 BODAN RD  
MILLERSVILLE, MD 21108



November 24, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
CAROLE KLUMB  
w370 s9784 state road 67  
Eagle, WI 53119

November 24, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Deirdre MacDonald  
1327 W 20th St  
Yuma, AZ 86364

November 24, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Betsy Cushman  
101 Evans Rd  
Winthrop, WA 98862

November 24, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Kathryn Grabowski  
15 Putters Rd  
Succasunna, NJ 07876

November 24, 2020

**RE: Reject any seismic exploration or oil and gas development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**

Dear Director Padgett,

I am writing to express my opposition to any oil and gas leasing and development on the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Oil and gas development in this wilderness area is not compatible with the original purposes of the refuge, and will severely impact wildlife and refuge habitat.

I ask that you halt this process until enough scientific assessments can be done to ensure that the wildlife and peoples of this land are not negatively affected by development.

The indigenous peoples of this land in Alaska sustain their livelihoods from this land, and incredibly important wildlife species live and thrive here. Among the wildlife species impacted by oil and gas development or seismic monitoring are polar bears, which den during the winter months, the Porcupine caribou, which use the 1002 Coastal Plain as their birthing grounds, and an enormous number of birds, which in turn winter in the Lower 48 states, like tundra swan and snow geese.

An original purpose of the Arctic Refuge (as established in ANILCA) was to ensure water quality on these lands. The BLM has completed no new analyses of the impact of oil and gas development to water sources in the refuge, or even analyzed how much water is available in these areas.

I am very concerned about the impacts of drilling to the millions of birds that nest in the Coastal Plain and migrate to the Lower 48 states. Because of the hurried nature of this process, the BLM has not examined what drilling will do to these bird populations, and this directly affects all wildlife refuges in the Lower 48 as well as Alaska.

I ask that your agency halt this process until further research can be completed. Once we go down this path, it cannot be reversed, and the incredible damage to this area will be long-lasting.

Sincerely,  
Cindy Sweet  
3032 Stamford Place  
Fitchburg, WI 53711