Evaluation of Current Conditions:

1) Document and review any existing BLM wilderness characteristics inventory findings on file regarding the presence or absence of individual wilderness characteristics, using Form 1, below.

2) Consider relevant information regarding current conditions available in the office. Identify and describe any changes to the existing inventory information. Use interdisciplinary team knowledge, aerial photographs, field observations, maps, etc. and document the findings on Form 2, below. Document current conditions regarding wilderness characteristics, as opposed to potential future conditions.

Conduct field reviews as necessary to verify information and to ascertain current conditions. Reach conclusions on current conditions including boundaries, size of areas and presence or absence of wilderness characteristics. Fully explain the basis for each conclusion on Form 2, including any critical differences between BLM and citizen information.

Document the findings regarding current conditions for each inventoried area. Describe how the present conditions are similar to, or have changed from, the conditions documented in the original wilderness characteristics inventory. Document the findings on Form 2 for each inventory area. Cite to or attach data considered, including photographs, maps, GIS layers, field trip notes, project files, etc.
Documentation of BLM Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Findings from Previous Inventory on Record

1. Is there existing BLM wilderness characteristics inventory information on all or part of this area?

No ☐ (Go to Form 2)  Yes ☒ (If yes, and if more than one area is within the area, list the unique identifiers for those areas.):

  a) Inventory Source: 2006 WOPR
  b) Inventory Area Unique Identifier(s): OR11-44-49
  c) Map Name(s)/Number(s): Burton-Ninemile_WCI_20130124.pdf
  d) BLM District(s)/Field Office(s): Medford/Ashland

2. BLM Inventory Findings on Record:

Existing inventory information regarding wilderness characteristics (if more than one BLM inventory area is associated with the area, list each area and answer each question individually for each inventory area):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unique Identifier</th>
<th>Sufficient Size? Yes/No (Acres)</th>
<th>Naturalness? Yes/No</th>
<th>Outstanding Solitude? Yes/No</th>
<th>Outstanding Primitive &amp; Unconfined Recreation? Yes/No</th>
<th>Supplemental Values? Yes/No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OR11-44</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR11-49</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Current Conditions: Presence or Absence of Wilderness Characteristics

Area Unique Identifier **OR11-44** and **OR11-49** (BURTON BUTTE - NINEMILE PEAK)
Acreage (from 2006) = 3,028 and 3,535 respectively; current area of combined Burton-Ninemile unit = 6,103 acres.

Field inspections, review of the area using Google Earth, and review of BLM road and timber records brought changes to the original inventory unit boundary. Route analyses were conducted. The Ladybug Gulch (39-4-23) road once separated the Burton Butte and the Ninemile Peak units, but has since been decommissioned. The Burton Butte and Ninemile Peak units separately each did not meet the minimum size criteria, but with the decommissioning of this road, the units are combined and the resulting Burton-Ninemile unit meets the criteria (6,103 acres total). The northern portion of the former Ninemile Peak unit remains isolated by a system road; this portion does not meet the size criteria and has been dropped from the final unit.

(If the inventory area consists of subunits, list the acreage of each and evaluate each separately).

In completing steps (1)-(5), use additional space as necessary.

1) Is the area of sufficient size? (If the area meets one of the exceptions to the size criterion, check “Yes” and describe the exception in the space provided below),
   Yes ☒ No ☐
Note: If “No” is checked the area does not have wilderness characteristics; check “NA” for the remaining questions below.

Description (describe the boundaries of the area--wilderness inventory roads, property lines, etc.):
Field inspections, review of the area using Google Earth, and review of BLM road and timber records brought changes to the original inventory unit boundary. Route analyses were conducted on several roads. Unit boundary was edited to match land line inventory, topography where appropriate, and exclude roads with 20 ft buffer.

2) Does the area appear to be natural?
   Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A ☐
Note: If “No” is checked the area does not have wilderness characteristics; check “NA” for the remaining questions below.

Description (include land ownership, location, topography, vegetation, and summary of major human uses/activities):
The BURTON-NINEMILE WCI unit consists of a rare contiguous block of public lands within the Applegate watershed. It includes the southern slopes of Mt. Baldy (4962 feet elevation at its peak). The area is steep and rugged. Vegetation varies from dense old-growth mixed conifers and hardwoods to open grass and shrublands.
3) Does the area (or the remainder of the area if a portion has been excluded due to unnaturalness and the remainder is of sufficient size) have outstanding opportunities for solitude?
   Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A ☐

Description (describe the area’s outstanding opportunities for solitude):
Topographic and vegetative features provide ample screening from roads, private lands, and management activities on surrounding lands.

4) Does the area (or the remainder of the area if a portion has been excluded due to unnaturalness and the remainder is of sufficient size) have outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation?
   Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A ☐

Note: If “No” is checked for both 3 and 4 the area does not have wilderness characteristics; check “NA” for question 5.

Description (describe the area’s outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation):
Topographic and vegetative features provide ample screening from roads, private lands, and management activities on surrounding lands. The area is otherwise undeveloped for recreation. The area’s proximity to settled areas of the Applegate Valley provides opportunities to many who seek primitive and unconfined recreational experiences close to home.

5) Does the area have supplemental values (ecological, geological, or other features of scientific, educational, scenic or historical value)?
   Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☒

Description: Unknown
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BURTON-NINEMILE (OR11-44-49)

Summary of Analysis

Area Unique Identifier: OR11-44-49  (BURTON - NINEMILE)

Summary

Results of analysis:
(Note: explain the inventory findings for the entirety of the inventory unit. When wilderness characteristics have been identified in an area that is smaller than the size of the total inventory unit, explain why certain portions of the inventory unit are not included within the lands with wilderness characteristics (e.g. the inventory found that certain parts lacked naturalness).

1. Does the area meet any of the size requirements?  Yes ☒  No ☐

2. Does the area appear to be natural?  Yes ☒  No ☐  N/A ☐

3. Does the area offer outstanding opportunities for solitude or a primitive and unconfined type of recreation?  Yes ☒  No ☐  N/A ☐

4. Does the area have supplemental values?  Yes ☐  No ☐  N/A ☐

Check one:
☒ The area, or a portion of the area, has wilderness characteristics and is identified as lands with wilderness characteristics.

☐ The area does not have wilderness characteristics.

Prepared by (team members):

Name  Title  Date

Jeanne Klein  District Supvy Outdoor Recreation Planner  2/12/13

Dennis Byrd  Ashland RA Outdoor Recreation Planner  2/12/13

Levi Dean  Recreation Technician  2/12/13

Reviewed by (District or Field Manager):

Field Manager  5/24/13

*This form documents information that constitutes an inventory finding on wilderness characteristics. It does not represent a formal land use allocation or a final agency decision subject to administrative remedies under either 43 CFR parts 4 or 1610.5-3.
No warranty is made by the Bureau of Land Management as to the accuracy, reliability, or completeness of these data for individual or aggregate use with other data. Original data were compiled from various sources and may be updated without notification.

MXD: W:\ArcMap\WCI_BND_EDITS_20130120_LS.mxd AMP
WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

APPENDIX C – ROUTE ANALYSIS

(Factors to consider when determining whether a route is a road for wilderness characteristics inventory purposes.)

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: OR11-44 and OR11-49 (BURTON BUTTE AND NINENILE PEAK)

Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: 39-4-3 (Tallowbox Ridge Rd) and 39-4-10 (Jim Mee Peak Rd)

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map Burton-Ninemile_Route_Analysis.pdf and BLM corporate data (GIS feature class WCI_2013). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log: WCI_Photo_Log_BURTON-NINEMILE.doc

Describe: BLM route number 39-4-3 is located predominantly in T39S R04W Section 10. It is a gravel surface route that splits from the Cantrall Access Road (38-3-33) at its east end and proceeds west/southwest to connect with 39-4-10 (also gravel surface) into section 16 to a dead end on Jim Mee Peak.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

The route separates the isolated northern polygon of the 2006 Ninemile Peak WCI unit (OR11-49) from the southern polygon of the 2006 Ninemile Peak WCI Unit. It also forms the north and western boundary of the southern polygon of the 2006 Ninemile Peak WCI unit.

Given the regular use and maintenance indicated, this route continues to separate the two polygons; the northern most polygon therefore does not meet the minimum size criteria and will be eliminated from the WCI inventory. The southern portion of the Ninemile Peak WCI unit, however, has been merged with the Burton Butte unit due to obliteration of the Ladybug Gulch road and does meet the minimum size criteria and is therefore retained as a WCI unit with a re-defined boundary that includes the Burton Butte WCI unit.

---

1 This form documents information that constitutes an inventory finding on wilderness characteristics. It does not represent a formal land use allocation or a final agency decision subject to administrative remedies under either 43 CFR parts 4 or 1610.5-3.

2 Road: An access route which has been improved and maintained by mechanical means to insure relatively regular and continuous use. A way maintained solely by the passage of vehicles does not constitute a road.
   a. Improved and maintained – Actions taken physically by people to keep the road open to vehicle traffic. “Improved” does not necessarily mean formal construction. “Maintained” does not necessarily mean annual maintenance.
   b. Mechanical means – Use of hand or power machinery or tools.
   c. Relatively regular and continuous use – Vehicular use that has occurred and will continue to occur on a relatively regular basis. Examples are: access roads for equipment to maintain a stock water tank or other established water sources, access roads to maintained recreation sites or facilities, or access roads to mining claims.

3 If a portion of a route is found to meet the wilderness inventory road criteria (see Part III) and the remainder does not meet these criteria (e.g., a cherrystem road with a primitive route continuing beyond a certain point), identify each segment and explain the rationale for the separate findings under pertinent criteria.
A. **Current Purpose**\(^4\) (if any) of Route: (Examples: Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

**Describe**: Recreation, hunting, mining claim access, administrative access.

B. **Right-of-Way (ROW)**:

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?
   - Yes ☒
   - No ☐
   - Unknown ☒

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?
   - Yes ☐
   - No ☒
   - Unknown or N/A ☒

**Explain**:

**III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA**

A. **Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means**:
   - Yes ☒ (if either A.1 or A.2 is checked “yes” below) No ☐ (if both A.1 and A.2 are checked “no” below)

1. **Construction**: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes ☒
   - No ☐

   Examples: Paved ☐  Bladed ☒  Graveled ☒  Roadside Berms ☐  Cut/Fill ☒  Other ☐

   **Describe**: Since sideslopes are steep, the cut and fills were obviously constructed by machines.

2. **Improvements**: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes ☒
   - No ☐

   If “yes”: by Hand Tools ☐
   - by Machine ☒

**Examples**:

Culverts ☒  Hardened Stream Crossings ☐  Bridges ☐  Drainage ☒  Barriers ☐  Other ☐

---

\(^4\) The purpose of a route is not a deciding factor in determining whether a route is a road for wilderness characteristics inventory purposes. The purpose of a route does provide context for factors on which such a determination may be based, particularly the question of whether maintenance of the route ensures relatively regular and continuous use. The purpose also helps to determine whether maintenance that may so far have been unnecessary to ensure such use would be approved by BLM when the need arises.
**Describe**: culverts and road drainage features are constructed.

**B. Maintenance**: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure relatively regular and continuous use?):

Yes ☒ (if either B.1 or B.2 is checked “yes” below)  No ☐ (if both B.1 and B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery?
   Yes ☒  No ☐  If “yes”: by Hand Tools ☐ by Machine ☒

**Explain**: The road is open and passable, with no encroaching brush or fallen trees or rocks blocking.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable?
   Yes ☒  No ☐

**Explain**: Per road engineers, the road receives regular use and is needed for recreation and administrative access.

**C. Relatively regular and continuous use**: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes ☒  No ☐

**Describe evidence** (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a relatively regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route). Vehicle tracks, no brush, rocks, or fallen trees on road.

**IV. CONCLUSION:**

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes ☒ = Wilderness Inventory Road  No ☐ = Not a road for wilderness inventory purposes

**Explanation**: 

Evaluator(s)  Date

---

5. Good condition would be a condition that ensures regular and continuous use relative to the purposes of the route. Consider whether the route can be clearly followed in the field over its entire course and whether all or any portion of the route contains any impediments to travel.

6. Include estimate of travel rates for the stated purposes, e.g., trips/day or week or month or season or year or even multiple years in some facility maintenance cases.

7. If part of the route meets the wilderness inventory road definition and the remainder does not, describe the segment meeting the definition and any remaining portion not meeting the definition and why.

8. Describe and explain rationale for any discrepancies with citizen proposals.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dennis Byrd</td>
<td>Ashland RA Outdoor Recreation Planner</td>
<td>2/12/2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeanne Klein</td>
<td>District Sup Outdoor Recreation Planner</td>
<td>2/12/2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levi Dean</td>
<td>Recreation Technician</td>
<td>2/12/2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

APPENDIX C – ROUTE ANALYSIS

(Factors to consider when determining whether a route is a road for wilderness characteristics inventory purposes.)

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: OR11-44 and OR11-49 (BURTON BUTTE AND NINENILE PEAK)

Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: 39-4-23 (Ladybug Gulch)

(Include Transportation Plan Identifier, if known, and include route number supplied by citizen information, when available.)

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map Burton-Ninemile_Route_Analysis.pdf and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log: WCI_Photo_Log_BURTON-NINEMILE.doc

Describe: BLM route number 39-4-23 is located predominantly in T39S R04W Section 15. It was a gravel surface route that connected at its south end with Star Gulch Rd (39-3-28) and with the spur off Tallowbox Ridge Rd (39-4-3) that runs along the south slope of Tallowbox Mtn, along the north boundary of the Burton-Ninemile WCI Unit.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

The Ladybug road ran directly next to Ladybug Gulch drainage for much of its 1.8 mile length and presented management challenges with watershed/water quality and OHV use issues. This route was decommissioned in 2011 from Star Gulch Road up to a mining claim site in the SW ¼ NE ¼ section 15, and has been blocked at both ends with tank traps, logs, and boulders. (The portion from Tallowbox Road (39-4-3) south to the mining claim site remains open and driveable by all vehicles. This portion would be ‘cherry-stemmed’.)

---

This form documents information that constitutes an inventory finding on wilderness characteristics. It does not represent a formal land use allocation or a final agency decision subject to administrative remedies under either 43 CFR parts 4 or 1610.5-3.

Road: An access route which has been improved and maintained by mechanical means to insure relatively regular and continuous use. A way maintained solely by the passage of vehicles does not constitute a road.

a. Improved and maintained – Actions taken physically by people to keep the road open to vehicle traffic. “Improved” does not necessarily mean formal construction. “Maintained” does not necessarily mean annual maintenance.

b. Mechanical means – Use of hand or power machinery or tools.

c. Relatively regular and continuous use – Vehicular use that has occurred and will continue to occur on a relatively regular basis. Examples are: access roads for equipment to maintain a stock water tank or other established water sources, access roads to maintained recreation sites or facilities, or access roads to mining claims.

If a portion of a route is found to meet the wilderness inventory road criteria (see Part III) and the remainder does not meet these criteria (e.g., a cherrystem road with a primitive route continuing beyond a certain point), identify each segment and explain the rationale for the separate findings under pertinent criteria.
A. **Current Purpose*4 (if any) of Route**: (Examples: Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

The decommissioned portion is stable, replanted, revegetating, and provides scenic non-motorized opportunities along Ladybug Creek, as well as non-motorized access for hunting and access to mining claims along the creek (SEE PHOTOS BN_016-019). The remaining open portion at the north end provides drivable access for recreation and hunting, access to the mining claim (with cabin remains) (from the north, and administrative access (PHOTOS BN_20-22).

**Describe:**

B. **Right-of-Way (ROW):**

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?
   - Yes ☒
   - No ☐
   - Unknown ☐

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?
   - Yes ☐
   - No ☒
   - Unknown or N/A ☐

**Explain:**

**III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA**

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means:
   - Yes ☒ (if either A.1 or A.2 is checked “yes” below)  No ☐ (if both A.1 and A.2 are checked “no” below)

   1. **Construction**: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes ☒
   - No ☐

**Examples:** Paved ☐ Bladed ☐ Graveled ☐ Roadside Berms ☐ Cut/Fill ☐ Other ☐

**Describe:** Roadbed has been ripped, outsloped and planted.

---

*4 The purpose of a route is not a deciding factor in determining whether a route is a road for wilderness characteristics inventory purposes. The purpose of a route does provide context for factors on which such a determination may be based, particularly the question of whether maintenance of the route ensures relatively regular and continuous use. The purpose also helps to determine whether maintenance that may so far have been unnecessary to ensure such use would be approved by BLM when the need arises.
2. **Improvements**: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes ☐ No ☒ If “yes”: by Hand Tools ☐ by Machine ☒

**Examples**: Culverts ☐ Hardened Stream Crossings ☐ Bridges ☐ Drainage ☐ Barriers ☐

**Describe**: Culverts and crossings have been removed.

B. **Maintenance**: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure relatively regular and continuous use?):

Yes ☐ (if either B.1 or B.2 is checked “yes” below) No ☒ (if both B.1 and B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery?
   Yes ☐ No ☒ If “yes”: by Hand Tools ☐ by Machine ☒

**Explain**: Road has been obliterated.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable?
   Yes ☐ No ☒

**Explain**: Road has been obliterated.

C. **Relatively regular and continuous use**: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes ☐ No ☒

**Describe evidence** (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a relatively regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).³

IV. **CONCLUSION**: The lower 2/3 of the Ladybug Gulch Rd (39-4-23) has been obliterated, culverts pulled, roadbed ripped, outsloped, re-planted, and blocked at both ends with tank traps, logs, and boulders.

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes ☐ = Wilderness Inventory Road ☐
No ☒ = Not a road for wilderness inventory purposes

---

³ Good condition would be a condition that ensures regular and continuous use relative to the purposes of the route. Consider whether the route can be clearly followed in the field over its entire course and whether all or any portion of the route contains any impediments to travel.

⁴ Include estimate of travel rates for the stated purposes, e.g., trips/day or week or month or season or year or even multiple years in some facility maintenance cases.

⁵ If part of the route meets the wilderness inventory road definition and the remainder does not, describe the segment meeting the definition and any remaining portion not meeting the definition and why.
Explaination:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluator(s)</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dennis Byrd, Ashland RA Outdoor Recreation Planner</td>
<td>2/12/2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeanne Klein, District Sup Outdoor Recreation Planner</td>
<td>2/12/2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levi Dean, Recreation Technician</td>
<td>2/12/2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annette Parsons, GIS</td>
<td>2/12/2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8 Describe and explain rationale for any discrepancies with citizen proposals.
## WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

### APPENDIX D – PHOTO LOG

Photographer(s): Levi Dean, Annette Parsons

Inventory Area Unique Identifier: **OR11-44_49  BURTON-NINEMILE**

*(*) Photo Point #s correspond to GPS locations shown in shapefile named Burton-Ninemile_Photo_Pts.shp located in Burton-Ninemile project folder. Attribute item in shapefile is “ident”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Frame #</th>
<th>Camera Direction</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>GPS/UTM Location</th>
<th>Town-ship</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Sec.</th>
<th>Photo Point #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12/12/12</td>
<td>1,2,3</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>3-shot pano starting at Baldy Pk and going S to Burton Butte</td>
<td>N42 11 52 W123 05 33</td>
<td>39S</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>BN1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4,5</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>2-shot pano from S to N to Tallowbox on N</td>
<td>N42 11 41 W123 07 52</td>
<td>39S</td>
<td>4W</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>BN2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>Shot of brushy opening (not a timber sale)</td>
<td>N42 11 41 W123 08 03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BN3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>Shot of boundary road-no clearcut; grassy slope</td>
<td>N42 11 41 W123 08 06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BN4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>S-SW</td>
<td>Looking into Ladybug Gulch from just below Tallowbox peak</td>
<td>N42 11 37 W123 08 58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BN5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Shot of unnamed dirt rd that runs on south side of Tallowbox Pk</td>
<td>@ rd jnctn</td>
<td>39S</td>
<td>4W</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>Shot of top end of Ladybug Gulch rd (39-4-23) from junction at top end with southside Tallowbox rd</td>
<td>@ jnctn</td>
<td>39S</td>
<td>4W</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>BN6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>View of Tallowbox comm site from southside Tallowbox rd</td>
<td>N42 11 28 W123 09 26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>E-SE</td>
<td>View of south end of Buncom unit from 38-3-33 on south side of Negro Ben Mtn</td>
<td>N42 10 43 W123 07 57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Shot of berm at south end of spur 39-4-13.1 from Star Gulch Rd</td>
<td>N42 10 26 W123 09 08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>E-NE</td>
<td>Looking upslope from 39-4-13.1</td>
<td>N42 10 43 W123 07 57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>View looking down road on 39-4-13.1</td>
<td>“</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tank trap at bottom of obliterated portion of Ladybug Gulch rd (39-4-2.3)</td>
<td>@ jnctn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>Looking up-trail on obliterated portion of Ladybug Gulch rd</td>
<td>N42 10 26 W123 09 08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>Looking down-trail on obliterated portion of Ladybug Gulch rd</td>
<td>“</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>Shot of mine claim tag on tree by Ladybug creek</td>
<td>N42 10 30 W123 09 19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>Shot of another claim sign</td>
<td>N42 10 43 W123 09 34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td>Shot of tank trap at N end of obliterated portion of Ladybug</td>
<td>“</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Frame #</td>
<td>Camera Direction</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>GPS/UTM Location</td>
<td>Township</td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Sec.</td>
<td>Photo Point #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot; &quot;</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td>Shot of old mining cabin remains</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BN10 (west)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot; &quot;</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Shot of forested peak outside N bnd of Ninemile unit. Can move bnd up to ridge?</td>
<td>N42 09 39 W123 10 39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BN11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot; &quot;</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>View of peak outside N bnd with older clearcut in foreground</td>
<td>N42 08 51 W123 10 58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BN12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot; &quot;</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Same as above, but zoomed in</td>
<td>&quot; &quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot; &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot; &quot;</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>W-NW</td>
<td>Looking down at lower Ninemile drainage</td>
<td>&quot; &quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot; &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot; &quot;</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>E-NE</td>
<td>Zoomed out shot showing Tallowbox Pk in background</td>
<td>&quot; &quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot; &quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Frame 3, BN1
Frame 6, BN3