FORM 1

Documentation of BLM Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Findings from Previous Inventory on Record

1. Is there existing BLM wilderness characteristics inventory information on all or part of this area?

No \square (Go to Form 2) Yes \boxtimes (If yes, and if more than one area is within the area, list the unique identifiers for those areas.):

- a) Inventory Source: June 2006, Wilderness Characteristics Evaluation
- b) Inventory Area Unique Identifier(s): ORRB02 (Canton Creek)
- c) Map Name(s)/Number(s):
- d) BLM District(s)/Field Office(s): Roseburg OR, Swiftwater Field Office

2. BLM Inventory Findings on Record:

Existing inventory information regarding wilderness characteristics (if more than one BLM inventory area is associated with the area, list each area and answer each question individually for each inventory area):

Inventory Source: June 2006 Evaluation of Wilderness Characteristics

Unique Identifier	Sufficient Size? Yes/No (acres)	Naturalness? Yes/No	Outstanding Solitude? Yes/No	Outstanding Primitive & Unconfined Recreation? Yes/No	Supplemental Values? Yes/No
OR09-OR103	No	No	No	No	No

FORM 2

Current Conditions: Presence or Absence of Wilderness Characteristics

-	ifier: ORRB02 Acreage ea consists of subunits, l		and evaluate each separately).
In completing steps	s (1)-(5), use additional s	space as necessary.	
,	ifficient size? (If the are id describe the exception		eptions to the size criterion, below),
	Yes □	No ⊠	
etc.): 2006: "BLI primarily consisting mile by ¼ mile with unit on the west, so sufficient size." 2012: The acreage of the unit parcels which total and well-maintaine creating the wilder values or potential Management Plan)	Ms portion of the unit is g of lands on the Umpqu h section line boundarie buth, and southeast sides Canton Creek unit acrea at 54 acres. Umpqua Na 7,188 acres for a total out BLM roads. These romess boundary. The adja (verified through meeting. The BLM parcels are in the section of the se	28 acres, however, the an National Forest (UN son the north and north. In and of itself, the 2 age has been adjusted stational Forest (UNF) last f7,242 acres. The unit ads are considered will cent UNF lands were many with UNF and the Unot of sufficient size to	ands are adjacent to the BLM is bounded by UNF ownership derness inventory roads thereboot found to have wilderness
2) Does the area a	ppear to be natural?		
	Yes ⊠	No □	N/A □
Description (include	le land ownershin locati	ion tonography vegets	ition and summary of major

Description (include land ownership, location, topography, vegetation, and summary of major human uses/activities): **2006**: "There is no history of logging activities in this unit. The stand is 145 year old Douglas-fir."

2012: The unit is steep and thickly forested and appears to be in a natural condition. The 54 acres of BLM adjoin UNF property that has been harvested in areas. The unit is located immediately to the east of the China Saddle trailhead. The trailhead includes a sign board, a parking area, vehicle barriers, and a ramp for unloading wheelchairs. There are no cherry stem roads within the BLM unit.

3)	Does the area (or the remainder of the area if a portion has been excluded due to unnaturalness and the remainder is of sufficient size) have outstanding opportunities for solitude?			for	
		Yes □	No ⊠	N/A □	
with man were opposed treating of 1 from the wish size.	Description (describe the area's outstanding opportunities for solitude): 2006: "The unit lies within consolidated lands of federal ownership—USFS and BLM. BLM and USFS timber management activities occur to the east, west, and south of the unit. Paved access roads lie to the west and south. Opportunities for solitude may exist in the unit, but due to its small size, opportunities are less than "outstanding." Visitors could not isolate themselves more than 1,000 feet from any boundary. It is not a destination location to experience solitude. Recreation use trends are typical for the area. In the center of the unit, it may be possible to be secluded from influences of other visitors or outside industry, however, outside effects of the sights and sounds of logging activities on adjacent timber land or motorized recreation vehicle use, could be noted from within the proposed unit. 2012: Visitors to the area may experience solitude as experienced through a field visit. The units geographic location is far from any population center and few obvious signs of visitation were noted. However, it is not an "outstanding" opportunity for solitude and the small size of the unit would render most nearby activities evident, even from the interior of the unit. 4) Does the area (or the remainder of the area if a portion has been excluded due to unnaturalness and the remainder is of sufficient size) have outstanding opportunities for				
	primitive and u	unconfined recreati Yes □	on? No ⊠	N/A □	
	te: If "No" is cl eck "NA" for qu	necked for both 3 a		have wilderness characterist	ics;
Description (describe the area's outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation): 2006: "Opportunities for primitive recreation may exist in the central or north east portion of this unit. The area is not known to be a destination area for primitive uses as it contains no draw due to the lack of outstanding characteristics. Dispersed, non-motorized recreation uses are typical. Cross-country travel would be difficult due to the terrain and heavy underbrush. Overall, opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation in this unit are less than "outstanding." 2012: Opportunities for primitive recreation have not changed substantially since the 2006 evaluation. Along the northern end of the boundary, but excluded from the unit, the Bohemia Trail (#1407) would provide opportunities for on-trail recreation. Elsewhere, the steep terrain and thick vegetation would make cross country travel challenging.					
(5) Does the area have supplemental values (ecological, geological, or other features of scientific, educational, scenic or historical value)?					
		Yes □	No ⊠	N/A □	

Summary of Analysis*

Area	Unique	Identifier:	ORRB02

Summary	7
---------	---

Results of analysis:

(Note: explain the inventory findings for the entirety of the inventory unit. When wilderness characteristics have been identified in an area that is smaller than the size of the total inventory unit, explain why certain portions of the inventory unit are not included within the lands with wilderness characteristics (e.g. the inventory found that certain parts lacked naturalness).

1.	Does the area meet any of the size requirements	s? Yes □	No ⊠	
2.	Does the area appear to be natural? Yes ⊠	No □	N/A □	
3.	Does the area offer outstanding opportunities for type of recreation? Yes \square No \boxtimes	or solitude or a prin N/A □	nitive and unconfined	
4.	Does the area have supplemental values? Ye	es □ No ⊠	N/A □	
Check	one:			
	e area, or a portion of the area, has wilderness characteristics.	aracteristics and is	identified as lands with	
☑ The area does not have wilderness characteristics.				
Prepared by (team members): (Name, Title, Date) Ariel Hiller, Outdoor Recreation Planner, December 12, 2012 Erik Taylor, Supervisory Outdoor Recreation Planner; December 12, 2012				
Reviewed by (District or Field Manager):				

Name: Abbie Jossie Title: Roseburg District Manager

This form documents information that constitutes an inventory finding on wilderness characteristics. It does not represent a formal land use allocation or a final agency decision subject to administrative remedies under either 43 CFR parts 4 or 1610.5-3.