FORM 1

Documentation of BLM Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Findings from Previous Inventory on Record

1. Is there existing BLM wilderness characteristics inventory information on all or part of this area?

   No □ (Go to Form 2) Yes ✗ (If yes, and if more than one area is within the area, list the unique identifiers for those areas):

   a) Inventory Source: June 2006, Wilderness Characteristics Evaluation
   b) Inventory Area Unique Identifier(s): ORRB02 (Canton Creek)
   c) Map Name(s)/Number(s):
   d) BLM District(s)/Field Office(s): Roseburg OR, Swiftwater Field Office

2. BLM Inventory Findings on Record:

   Existing inventory information regarding wilderness characteristics (if more than one BLM inventory area is associated with the area, list each area and answer each question individually for each inventory area):
   Inventory Source: June 2006 Evaluation of Wilderness Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unique Identifier</th>
<th>Sufficient Size? Yes/No (acres)</th>
<th>Naturalness? Yes/No</th>
<th>Outstanding Solitude? Yes/No</th>
<th>Outstanding Primitive &amp; Unconfined Recreation? Yes/No</th>
<th>Supplemental Values? Yes/No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OR09-OR103</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FORM 2

Current Conditions: Presence or Absence of Wilderness Characteristics

Area Unique Identifier: ORRB02 Acreage: 54 acres
(If the inventory area consists of subunits, list the acreage of each and evaluate each separately).

In completing steps (1)-(5), use additional space as necessary.

1) Is the area of sufficient size? (If the area meets one of the exceptions to the size criterion, check “Yes” and describe the exception in the space provided below),

Yes □ No ☒

Description (describe the boundaries of the area--wilderness inventory roads, property lines, etc.):

2006: “BLMs portion of the unit is 28 acres, however, the total size is 7,216 acres, primarily consisting of lands on the Umpqua National Forest (UNF). The BLM acres span ½ mile by ¼ mile with section line boundaries on the north and north east sides. Roads flank the unit on the west, south, and southeast sides. In and of itself, the 28 acre unit would not be of sufficient size.”

2012: The Canton Creek unit acreage has been adjusted since 2006. GIS verified acreage of the unit at 54 acres. Umpqua National Forest (UNF) lands are adjacent to the BLM parcels which total 7,188 acres for a total of 7,242 acres. The unit is bounded by UNF ownership and well-maintained BLM roads. These roads are considered wilderness inventory roads thereby creating the wilderness boundary. The adjacent UNF lands were not found to have wilderness values or potential (verified through meeting with UNF and the UNF Land and Resource Management Plan). The BLM parcels are not of sufficient size to contribute towards having outstanding opportunities for solitude or an opportunity for primitive and unconfined recreation.

2) Does the area appear to be natural?

Yes ☒ No □ N/A □

Description (include land ownership, location, topography, vegetation, and summary of major human uses/activities):

2006: “There is no history of logging activities in this unit. The stand is 145 year old Douglas-fir.”

2012: The unit is steep and thickly forested and appears to be in a natural condition. The 54 acres of BLM adjoin UNF property that has been harvested in areas. The unit is located immediately to the east of the China Saddle trailhead. The trailhead includes a sign board, a parking area, vehicle barriers, and a ramp for unloading wheelchairs. There are no cherry stem roads within the BLM unit.
3) Does the area (or the remainder of the area if a portion has been excluded due to unnaturalness and the remainder is of sufficient size) have outstanding opportunities for solitude?

Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A ☐

Description (describe the area’s outstanding opportunities for solitude): 

**2006:** “The unit lies within consolidated lands of federal ownership—USFS and BLM. BLM and USFS timber management activities occur to the east, west, and south of the unit. Paved access roads lie to the west and south. Opportunities for solitude may exist in the unit, but due to its small size, opportunities are less than “outstanding.” Visitors could not isolate themselves more than 1,000 feet from any boundary. It is not a destination location to experience solitude. Recreation use trends are typical for the area. In the center of the unit, it may be possible to be secluded from influences of other visitors or outside industry, however, outside effects of the sights and sounds of logging activities on adjacent timber land or motorized recreation vehicle use, could be noted from within the proposed unit.

**2012:** Visitors to the area may experience solitude as experienced through a field visit. The unit’s geographic location is far from any population center and few obvious signs of visitation were noted. However, it is not an “outstanding” opportunity for solitude and the small size of the unit would render most nearby activities evident, even from the interior of the unit.

4) Does the area (or the remainder of the area if a portion has been excluded due to unnaturalness and the remainder is of sufficient size) have outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation?

Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A ☐

Note: If “No” is checked for both 3 and 4 the area does not have wilderness characteristics; check “NA” for question 5.

Description (describe the area’s outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation): 

**2006:** “Opportunities for primitive recreation may exist in the central or north east portion of this unit. The area is not known to be a destination area for primitive uses as it contains no draw due to the lack of outstanding characteristics. Dispersed, non-motorized recreation uses are typical. Cross-country travel would be difficult due to the terrain and heavy underbrush. Overall, opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation in this unit are less than “outstanding.”

**2012:** Opportunities for primitive recreation have not changed substantially since the 2006 evaluation. Along the northern end of the boundary, but excluded from the unit, the Bohemia Trail (#1407) would provide opportunities for on-trail recreation. Elsewhere, the steep terrain and thick vegetation would make cross country travel challenging.

(5) Does the area have supplemental values (ecological, geological, or other features of scientific, educational, scenic or historical value)?

Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A ☐
Summary of Analysis

Area Unique Identifier: ORRB02

Summary
Results of analysis:
(Note: explain the inventory findings for the entirety of the inventory unit. When wilderness characteristics have been identified in an area that is smaller than the size of the total inventory unit, explain why certain portions of the inventory unit are not included within the lands with wilderness characteristics (e.g. the inventory found that certain parts lacked naturalness).

1. Does the area meet any of the size requirements? Yes ☐ No ☒

2. Does the area appear to be natural? Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A ☐

3. Does the area offer outstanding opportunities for solitude or a primitive and unconfined type of recreation? Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A ☐

4. Does the area have supplemental values? Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A ☐

Check one:

☐ The area, or a portion of the area, has wilderness characteristics and is identified as lands with wilderness characteristics.

☒ The area does not have wilderness characteristics.

Prepared by (team members): (Name, Title, Date)
Ariel Hiller, Outdoor Recreation Planner, December 12, 2012
Erik Taylor, Supervisory Outdoor Recreation Planner, December 12, 2012

Reviewed by (District or Field Manager):

Name: Abbie Jossie  Title: Roseburg District Manager

Date: 5-22-13

This form documents information that constitutes an inventory finding on wilderness characteristics. It does not represent a formal land use allocation or a final agency decision subject to administrative remedies under either 43 CFR parts 4 or 1610.5-3.