

# Medford's Messenger

*Fall 2016*



Medford District Office



BLM

# Medford BLM's Mission

*Working together to sustain and enhance resilient  
landscapes and quality of life in southwest Oregon.*

**Bureau of Land Management  
Medford District Office  
3040 Biddle Road  
Medford, Oregon 97504**



# **Medford's Messenger**

a publication of

**Bureau of Land Management  
Medford District Office**

**Fall 2016**

Dear Public Land User:

**W**elcome to the Fall 2016 edition of the *Medford's Messenger*. The purpose of our publication is to provide you with information on proposed and ongoing activities on the Medford District. It contains listings of projects by resource area that have been recently completed and identifies newly proposed projects.

We are seeking ideas and comments from those who may be affected by our multiple-use management programs. Your comments, opinions, and suggestions are appreciated and very important to us. They help us make informed decisions, take sound land management actions, and improve our projects. Our intent is to increase the public's awareness of BLM issues, activities, and opportunities.

This planning update provides a brief description of activities occurring in various programs across the Medford District, as well as descriptions of new projects we are considering. Additional project information can be obtained from the contacts listed in the descriptions. These contacts are familiar with their projects and can answer your questions and provide more details. We are interested in receiving information you wish to share concerning any of the projects or project areas listed in this planning update.

Our practice is to make comments, including the names and addresses of respondents, available for public review. Unless you specifically request us to withhold your name and address, it will be made available to the public on request.

Thank you for your continued interest in our management of your public lands. We would appreciate any comments or suggestions you may have regarding this planning update including how it could be improved to make it more useful to you.

If you have any questions on information in this planning update, please stop by our office at 3040 Biddle Road, Medford, Oregon, call us at 541-618-2200, or send us an e-mail at [BLM\\_OR\\_MD\\_Mail@blm.gov](mailto:BLM_OR_MD_Mail@blm.gov).

Sincerely,

Elizabeth R. Burghard  
Medford District Manager

## National Environmental Policy Act Analysis and Documentation

The review of the environmental effects of a proposed management action can occur in one of four ways: categorical exclusion, administrative determination, environmental assessment, or environmental impact statement.

1. A *categorical exclusion* is used when a proposed activity does not individually or cumulatively have significant environmental effects and may be exempt from requirements to prepare an environmental analysis. The purpose of a categorical exclusion is to eliminate the need for unnecessary paperwork under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). Specifically, CEQ regulations for NEPA at 40 CFR § 1508.4 state,

Categorical exclusion means a category of actions which do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment and which have been found to have no such effect in procedures adopted by a Federal agency in implementation of these regulations (§ 1507.3) and for which, therefore, neither an environmental assessment nor an environmental impact statement is required. . . . Any procedures under this section shall provide for extraordinary circumstances in which a normally excluded action may have a significant environmental effect.

When developing a categorical exclusion, the BLM demonstrates through rulemaking procedures how the action does not typically result in significant environmental effects and sets forth the methodology and criteria used to define the category of actions. These rulemaking procedures include extensive public involvement and input, and Council of Environmental Quality (CEQ) review, regarding appropriate limits on the use of the categorical exclusion to assure that any categorically excluded action would remain within the effects of actions covered in the analysis for the rulemaking.

Departmental policy and CEQ regulations require that categorical actions be subjected to sufficient environmental review to determine whether any of the extraordinary circumstances apply, as listed in 43 CFR § 46.215, that would preclude the use of a categorical exclusion. An “extraordinary” circumstance is one that could have significant environmental effects beyond the “ordinary” circumstances already described and considered as applicable to the excluded actions in the rulemaking procedure.

The BLM has transitioned to a new NEPA register for all projects, categorical exclusions, and related decisions. Old projects are still available on the Medford District website at <http://www.blm.gov/or/districts/medford/plans/index.php>. All new projects as well as some older documents that will have decisions after October 1, 2015 will be posted on the National Register for Land Use Planning and NEPA at: [https://eplanning.blm.gov/epl-front-office/eplanning/nepa/nepa\\_register.do](https://eplanning.blm.gov/epl-front-office/eplanning/nepa/nepa_register.do). On this site, you can search for documents by searching an interactive map or through a text search.

Categorically excluded actions, by regulation, do not require the documentation necessary for an EA or EIS. No public notice of a federal agency’s use of a categorical exclusion is required. The public was provided a formal opportunity to participate in the agency’s review and approval of these categorically excluded activities. Given this context, the BLM may choose to proceed with these actions as part of the ordinary course of business in land management with the posting of a notice of decision on the

National Register for Land Use Planning and NEPA. This notice would advise the public of the formal administrative review process for these individual actions available under 43 CFR § 4.450. Depending on circumstances, however, the BLM may invoke, by publishing a notice in a local newspaper of record, the administrative review process under 43 CFR § 5003 for certain individual forest management actions. Categorical exclusions posted on the Web site will provide the individual administrative remedy process in the document.

If you are interested in any of these types of actions, more information can be obtained from our Web site at <http://www.blm.gov/or/districts/medford> or by contacting the Medford District office. We can give you information on current projects.

2. An ***administrative determination*** is a determination by the BLM that NEPA documentation previously prepared by the BLM fully covers a proposed action and no additional analysis is need. This procedure is often used in conjunction with a plan conformance determination. If an action is in full conformance with actions specifically described in the RMP and analyzed in the RMP/FEIS or a subsequent environmental assessment, a plan conformance determination may be made and no additional analysis would be needed. This determination is documented in a ***determination of NEPA adequacy*** or DNA.
3. An ***environmental assessment*** is prepared to assess the effects of actions that are not exempt from NEPA, categorically excluded, or covered by an existing environmental document. An environmental assessment is prepared to determine if a proposed action or alternative will significantly affect the quality of the human environment. In an effort to save paper and printing costs, environmental assessments will be available on our Web site for your review and comment. If you desire a paper copy, please contact us and we will send you a copy by mail.
4. An ***environmental impact statement*** is prepared if a proposed action will have a significant environmental impact and was not previously analyzed in an environmental impact statement.

## Medford District

### Schedule of Proposed Actions

**Fall 2016**

#### Recent Decisions or Projects Dropped

Title and Project Description	Location	Project Status	Contact
<b>Aquatic and Riparian Habitat Restoration EA</b>	Medford District	Decision Record signed April 17, 2014. A Determination of NEPA Adequacy will be signed for each project resulting from this EA.	Tony Kerwin
<b>Integrated Vegetation Management Programmatic EA</b>	Medford District	A Decision Record will be signed for each project resulting from this EA from 2013-2017.	Tony Kerwin

#### New and Ongoing Projects Being Considered

Title and Project Description	Location	Proposed				Contact
		Scoping	Analysis	Public Review	Decision Date	
<b>Vegetation Treatments Using Herbicides EA</b>	Medford District	Completed	Fall/Winter 2016	Winter 2016/2017	2017	Tony Kerwin

## Ashland Resource Area

### Schedule of Proposed Actions

**Fall 2016**

#### Recent Decisions

Map Number	Title and Project Description	Location	Project Status	Contact
1	<b>Jacksonville-to-Ashland Trail Project EA (Jack-Ash Trail)</b> Develop nonmotorized trail segments that would allow users to travel on foot, bicycle, or horseback from Ashland to Jacksonville.	Little Applegate River watershed	Decision Record Signed September 30, 2016	Zach Million/ Shanna McCarty

#### New and Ongoing Projects Being Considered

Map Number	Title and Project Description	Location	Proposed				Contact
			Scoping	Analysis	Public Review	Decision Date	
2	<b>Grazing Lease Authorization EA</b> Proposal to authorize the Deadwood grazing leases.	East and South of Howard Prairie Reservoir	Completed	Fall 2017	Spring 2018	Spring 2018	Jason Tarrant
3	<b>Grazing Lease Authorization EA</b> Proposal to authorize the Conde Creek grazing leases.	Little Butte Creek watershed	Completed	Winter 2016/2017	Spring 2017	Spring 2017	Jason Tarrant
4	<b>Grazing Lease Authorization EA</b> Proposal to authorize the Lake Creek Spring, Lake Creek Summer, and Deer Creek-Reno allotments grazing leases.	Little Butte Creek watershed	Completed	Fall 2017	Spring 2018	Spring 2018	Jason Tarrant
5	<b>Grazing Lease Authorization EA</b> Proposal to authorize the Lower Big Applegate allotment grazing lease.	Applegate watershed	Completed	Fall 2017	Spring 2018	Spring 2018	Jason Tarrant


## New and Ongoing Projects Being Considered

Map Number	Title and Project Description	Location	Proposed				Contact
			Scoping	Analysis	Public Review	Decision Date	
6	<b>Cascade-Siskiyou National Monument Transportation Management Plan EA</b> Comprehensive transportation management plan to evaluate the road network within the Cascade-Siskiyou National Monument and determine transportation, restoration, and protection needs.	Cascade-Siskiyou National Monument, southeast of Ashland to the California border	Completed	Completed	Fall 2016	Spring 2017	Kathy Minor
7	<b>Cascade-Siskiyou National Monument Land Exchange EA</b> Second of two land exchanges identified in P.L. 111-011-Land Tenure Actions (GLI).	East of Ashland, near Lincoln	Completed	Completed	Completed	Winter 2016/2017	Kathy Minor/ Mary Johnson
8	<b>Cascade-Siskiyou National Monument Pine Plantation Restoration EA</b> Restoration thinning on approximately 300 acres of pine plantations within the CSNM.	East of Ashland	Completed	Winter 2016/2017	Spring 2017	Summer 2017	Joel Brumm
9	<b>Grazing Lease Authorization EA</b> Proposal to authorize the Grizzly allotment grazing lease.	Little Butte Creek watershed	Fall 2017	Winter 2017/2018	Spring 2018	Spring 2018	Jason Tarrant
10	<b>Oregon Department of Transportation Lease Renewal EA</b> Renew communication lease (OR34999) on Soda Mountain.	Cascade-Siskiyou National Monument, southeast of Ashland	Spring 2017	Spring 2017	Summer 2017	Summer 2017	Joel Brumm/ Nicole Webb Smith
11	<b>US Cellular Lease Renewal EA</b> Renew communication lease (OR49604) on Soda Mountain.	Cascade-Siskiyou National Monument, southeast of Ashland	Completed	Fall 2016	Winter 2016/2017	Spring 2017	Joel Brumm/ Nicole Webb Smith



## New and Ongoing Projects Being Considered

Map Number	Title and Project Description	Location	Proposed				Contact
			Scoping	Analysis	Public Review	Decision Date	
12	<b>PacifiCorp Lease Renewal EA</b> Renew communication lease (OR 038053) on Soda Mountain.	Cascade-Siskiyou National Monument, southeast of Ashland	Spring 2017	Summer 2017	Summer 2017	Fall 2017	Joel Brumm/ Nicole Webb Smith
13	<b>East Applegate Ridge Trail Project EA</b> Construct a nonmotorized trail system in the Applegate Valley.	Bishop Creek drainage in the Middle Applegate River watershed	Completed	Fall 2016	Fall 2016	Winter 2016/2017	Zach Million/ Shanna McCarty



**Ashland Resource Area  
Fall 2016 Projects**

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1</b> Jacksonville-to-Ashland Trail Project EA (Jack-Ash Trail)</li> <li><b>2</b> Grazing Lease Authorization EA - Deadwood</li> <li><b>3</b> Grazing Lease Authorization EA - Conde Creek</li> <li><b>4</b> Grazing Lease Authorization EA - Lake Creek Spring/Summer, Deer Creek-Reno</li> <li><b>5</b> Grazing Lease Authorization EA - Lower Big Applegate</li> <li><b>6</b> CSNM Transportation Management Plan EA</li> <li><b>7</b> CSNM Land Exchange EA</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>8</b> CSNM Pine Plantation Restoration EA</li> <li><b>9</b> Grazing Lease Authorization EA - Grizzly</li> <li><b>10</b> ODOT Lease Renewal EA</li> <li><b>11</b> US Cellular Lease Renewal EA</li> <li><b>12</b> PacifiCorp Lease Renewal EA</li> <li><b>13</b> East Applegate Ridge Trail Project EA</li> </ul> |
|--|--|

## Butte Falls Resource Area

### Schedule of Proposed Actions

**Fall 2016**

#### Recent Desisions or Projects Dropped


Map Number	Title and Project Description	Location	Proposed				Contact
			Scoping	Analysis	Public Review	Decision Date	
1	<b>Grazing Lease Authorization Renewals</b> Proposal to authorize grazing lease renewals in the Bear Mountain, Crowfoot, Vestal Butte Moser Mountain, and Neil-Tarbell allotments.	Shady Cove-Rogue River and Big Butte Creek watersheds	Decisions signed on the following: Vestal Butte – July 21, 2016 Moser Mountain – July 22, 2016 Neil-Tarbell – August 3, 2016 Bear Mountain – August 5, 2016 Crowfoot – August 8, 2016				Jason Tarrant
2	<b>Bieber Salt Forest Management Project</b> Landscape scale forest management projects	Little Gutte Creek watershed (Salt Creek and Lower North Fork Little Butte Creek sub-watersheds)	Decision signed August 16, 2016				Stephanie Kelleher
3	<b>Lost Creek Forest Management EA</b> Landscape-scale forest management projects	Lost Creek-Rogue River watershed and the South Fork Rogue River watershed	Decision signed August 19, 2016				Stephanie Kelleher

#### New and Ongoing Projects Being Considered

Map Number	Title and Project Description	Location	Proposed				Contact
			Scoping	Analysis	Public Review	Decision Date	
4	<b>Flat Creek and Summit Prairie Allotments 10-year Grazing Authorization Renewal</b> Proposal to authorize grazing leases in the Flat Creek and Summit Prairie allotments.	Elk Creek, Big Butte Creek, Little Butte Creek, Lost Creek/ Rogue River, and South Fork Rogue River watersheds	Completed	Completed	Completed	On Hold	Jason Tarrant

### New and Ongoing Projects Being Considered

Map Number	Title and Project Description	Location	Proposed				Contact
			Scoping	Analysis	Public Review	Decision Date	
5	<b>Table Rocks ACEC Management Area Resource Management Plan Amendment EA</b> Amend the Medford District RMP to revise the ACEC boundary and establish the Table Rocks Management Area.	Gold Hill-Rogue River watershed	Completed	Completed	Completed	Fall 2016	Tony Kerwin
6	<b>Sam's Valley Reinforcement Project Right-of-Way EA</b> Proposal from Pacific Power to widen its existing right-of-way on BLM lands to accommodate an additional power line between Sam's Valley and Grants Pass.	Rogue River watershed	Completed	Spring/ Summer 2016	Fall 2016	Winter 2016/2017	Tony Kerwin
7	<b>Elk Camel Forest Management Project</b> Landscape-scale forest management projects	Elk Creek watershed, Shady Cover-Rogue River watershed, and Big Butte Creek watershed	Fall 2016	Winter 2016-2017	Spring 2017	Summer 2017	Stephanie Kelleher



**Butte Falls Resource Area  
Fall 2016 Projects**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <p><b>1</b> Grazing Lease Authorization Renewals—Bear Mountain, Crowfoot, Vestal Butte, Moser Mountain, and Neil-Tarbell</p> <p><b>2</b> Bieber Salt Forest Management Project</p> <p><b>3</b> Lost Creek Forest Management Project</p> <p><b>4</b> Grazing Lease Renewal EA—Flat Creek and Summit Prairie</p> | <p><b>5</b> Table Rocks ACEC Management Area Resource Management Plan Amendment EA</p> <p><b>6</b> Sam's Valley Reinforcement Project Right-of-Way EA</p> <p><b>7</b> Elk Camel Forest Management Project</p> |
|--|---|

## Grants Pass Resource Area

### Schedule of Proposed Actions

**Fall 2016**

#### Recent Decisions


Map Number	Title and Project Description	Location	Project Status	Contact
1	<b>Upper Cow LSR Project EA</b> Proposed forest management project to improve late-successional conditions within the LSR and attain the Aquatic Conservation Strategy objectives in riparian reserves.	Middle Cow Creek watershed	Timber Sale Decision Record #1 Released July 7, 2016. Second Decision Record, Beef Stew Stewardship expected in Spring of 2017	Ferris Fisher
2	<b>Cold Elk Forest Management Project EA</b> Landscape-scale forest management activities.	West Fork Cow Creek watershed	Timber Sale Decision Record #1 Released August 18, 2016. Second Timber Sale Decision Record expected in Spring of 2017	Ferris Fisher

#### New and Ongoing Projects Being Considered

Map Number	Project Name and Description	Location	Proposed Dates				Contact
			Scoping	Analysis	Public Review	Decision	
	<b>Road Right-of-way Agreements and Hauling Permits</b> Individual requests from other public agencies and private parties for access through BLM-managed lands.	Throughout the Grants Pass Resource Area	Ongoing as requests are received.				Ferris Fisher
3	<b>Section 13 Quarry Expansion EA</b> Proposal to extract mineral material in T37S, R6W, section 13.	Lower Applegate River watershed	Completed	Summer 2016	Winter 2016/2017	Spring 2017	Ferris Fisher
4	<b>Stray Dog Mining EA</b> Mineral material extraction in T35S, R8W, section 3.	Hellgate Canyon-Rogue River watershed	Completed	Completed	Completed	Spring/ Summer 2017	Ferris Fisher

## New and Ongoing Projects Being Considered

Map Number	Project Name and Description	Location	Proposed Dates				Contact
			Scoping	Analysis	Public Review	Decision	
5	<b>Crooks Creek/Limestone Caves Mining Withdrawal EA</b> Proposal to withdraw 3,680.3 acres from mineral entry to protect geological, fisheries, and wildlife resources.	Deer Creek watershed	Completed	Completed	Completed	Summer 2016	Ferris Fisher
6	<b>Pickett West Forest Management Project</b> Landscape- scale forest management activities	Hellgate Canyon- Rogue River, Deer Creek and Lower Applegate watersheds	Winter 2016/2017	Spring 2017	Summer 2017	September 2017	Ferris Fisher
7	<b>Josephine County Crossing Plat EA</b> Josephine County is requesting the construction of 745 feet of new forest road on BLM administered lands.	Jumpoff Joe Creek watershed	Fall 2016	Winter 2016/2017	Spring 2017	Spring/ Summer 2017	Ferris Fisher
8	<b>4 Apples Association Mining Plan of Operation</b> Proposed mining of 0.5 acres of BLM managed land on an unnamed tributary of Starveout Creek.	Middle Cow Creek watershed	Winter 2016/2017	Spring 2017	Fall 2017	Spring 2018	Ferris Fisher



**Grants Pass Resource Area  
Fall 2016 Projects**

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1</b> Upper Cow LSR Project EA</li> <li><b>2</b> Cold Elk Integrated Vegetation Management Project EA</li> <li><b>3</b> Section 13 Quarry Expansion EA</li> <li><b>4</b> Stray Dog Mining EA</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>5</b> Crooks Creek/Limestone Caves Mining Withdrawal EA</li> <li><b>6</b> Pickett West Forest Management Project EA</li> <li><b>7</b> Josephine County Crossing Plat EA</li> <li><b>8</b> 4 Apples Association Mining Plan of Operation</li> </ul> |
|---|--|



## Acronyms and Definitions

---

**ACEC**—Area of Critical Environmental Concern

**CX** (Categorical Exclusion)—Actions that do not have significant effects on the environment and for which an Environmental Assessment (EA) or an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is not required.

**CSNM**—Cascade-Siskiyou National Monument

**EA** (Environmental Assessment)—A concise public document that briefly provides evidence and analysis of a proposed Federal action. It is used to determine whether further analysis needs to be completed in an environmental impact statement.

**EIS** (Environmental Impact Statement)—a detailed written statement as required by section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act.

**LUA** (Land Use Allocation)—The identification in a land use plan of the activities and foreseeable development that are allowed, restricted, or excluded for all or part of the planning area, based on desired future conditions.

**LSR** (Late-Successional Reserve)—A Federal land use allocation set forth in the *2016 ROD/RMP*. These reserves will maintain a functional, interactive, late-successional, and old-growth forest ecosystem.

**HLB** (Harvest Land Base)—Those lands on which the determination and declaration of the Annual Productive Capacity/Allowable Sale Quantity (ASQ) is based. The ASQ is based on implementing a set of specific timber management activities and assumes those practices will be repeated over time and results in a sustainable harvest level.

**NEPA** (National Environmental Policy Act)—A Federal law that sets the systematic interdisciplinary analysis to achieve integrated consideration of physical, biological economic, and other sciences to provide for an informed decision. The process provides for public participation in the development, review, and revision of certain activities on Federal lands.

**RMP** (Resource Management Plan)—A land use plan prepared by the BLM in accordance with the Federal Land Policy and Management Act.

**Scoping**—An early and open part of environmental analysis that allows interested public and other Federal, state, and local agencies the opportunity to provide issues, concerns, and opportunities relative to proposed project actions. Scoping is required by regulation for environmental impact statements.

<b>Resource Area</b>	<b>Project</b>

Please send me additional information on the following project(s):

---



---



---



---



Please remove my name from the Medford's Messenger mailing list.

**Bureau of Land Management  
 Medford District Office  
 3040 Biddle Road  
 Medford, OR 97504**