RESOURCE NOTES

NO. 19

Ground Cover Dynamics in a Sagebrush Steppe Community

by Bruce P. Van Haveren, Ph.D., Senior Scientist BLM, National Science and Technology Center

Background

The Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, USDA Forest Service, operated the Stratton Sagebrush Study Area in southcentral Wyoming from 1967 to 1991 in cooperation with BLM. Past research at Stratton produced abundant new knowledge about sagebrush steppe ecology and hydrology. Of particular interest is a set of ground cover data collected from 1968 to 1981 (Sturges, 1986). Long-term data sets such as this one are rare and can be very valuable tools for land managers dealing with current resource management issues. For example, long-term ground cover data may reveal important information about the variability of different cover

parameters under relatively constant land-use situations.

The Stratton site is located about 30km west of Saratoga, Wyoming at an elevation of 2340 to 2370m. The area was grazed lightly to moderately by domestic sheep, antelope, and mule deer during its tenure as a study area. Average annual precipitation was 52.6cm, three-quarters of which was snow. The area is best described as late seral sagebrush steppe with mountain big sagebrush on deeper soils, Wyoming big sagebrush and black sage on shallower soils, and Idaho fescue, bluegrass, needlegrass, and sedge as understory species. Cushion plants are common on exposed ridgetops and summits. The average shrub canopy cover was 16 percent.

Discussion

Ground cover data were collected triennially, beginning in 1968 and ending in 1981, on the Loco Creek Watershed at Stratton (Sturges, 1986). Loco Creek was used as a control watershed for sagebrush eradication experiments. The ground cover data are portrayed in Figures 1 and 2. The present analysis



Figure 1. Average ground cover (%) data from Loco Creek Watershed.

DATE 06/26/00

is based on primary samples. Each primary sample consisted of 500 individual line-point observations read along five 100-ft transects, 100 observations per transect. The data were collected from mid-August to late September of each sampled year.

The amount of bare ground present on a site is a reliable predictor of site stability (Packer, 1951). Although bare ground appeared to decline gradually between 1968 and 1981 on the Loco Creek Watershed, there was no statistically significant (p=0.95) difference between the two years. Similarly, no statistically-significant differences were found for the remainder of the ground cover components. In spite of a snowmold fungus that killed sagebrush on the Loco Creek watershed (Sturges, 1986), there was no significant difference in sagebrush canopy cover between 1968 and 1981.

Figure 1 shows the correlation coefficients among ground cover components and sagebrush canopy cover. The highly significant inverse correlation between bare ground and litter (r=-0.83) indicates that there are direct tradeoffs between litter and bare ground on this site. The same, but to a lesser extent, may be said about the relationship between bare ground and grass/sedge cover (r=-0.53).

The relationship between sagebrush canopy cover and bare ground appeared to change between 1968 and 1981 at Stratton. The slopes of the linear regression relationships between these two variables were nearly identical but the intercept (amount of bare ground when sagebrush canopy cover is 0) decreased from 47 percent (1968) to 34 percent (1981).

Conclusion

Hydrologic cover¹ provides a good index of site stability. It is inversely related to runoff potential, in that a high hydrologic cover value would indicate low runoff potential. According to Packer (1951), reduced cover (vegetation and litter) and large bare openings are indicative of low site stability and high erosion potential. Hydrologic cover was quite stable over the 14 years of observations, ranging from 58 to 62 percent, and exhibiting a coefficient of variation of 34 percent. By comparison, bare ground ranged from 21 to 28 percent, with a coefficient of variation of 43 percent. A strong inverse relationship (r = -0.82) existed between bare ground and hydrologic cover.

Litter was the largest component of hydrologic cover, contributing an average of 82 percent. The contribution of litter to hydrologic cover increased from 74 percent in 1968 to nearly 90 percent in 1978 and 1981. However, this increase was not statistically significant. There is a highly significant correlation between litter and sagebrush aerial cover. The litter derived from sagebrush canopies tends to be larger, does not oxidize as rapidly as litter from grasses, sedges, and forbs, and thus persists longer in the harsh environment found at the Stratton

site. A linear regression analysis of the relationship between litter and sagebrush aerial cover showed that the relationship did not change over the measurement period.

Ground cover at Stratton was remarkably stable over the 14-year measurement period, in spite of a significant drought event during 1976-77. Hydrologic cover exhibited a low variability during the measurement period and should be evaluated further as a potential indicator of landscape stability and ecological condition.

Contact

Bruce P. Van Haveren, Ph.D., Senior Scientist BLM, NSTC, RS-140 Building 50, Denver Federal Center P.O. Box 25047 Denver, CO 80225-0047 phone (303) 236-0161 fax (303) 236-6450 email byanhaye@blm.gov

Literature Cited

Packer, Paul E. 1951. An approach to watershed protection criteria. *Journal of Forestry* 49(9):639-644.

Sturges, David L. 1986. Responses of vegetation and ground cover to spraying a high elevation, big sagebrush watershed with 2,4-D. *Journal of Range Management* 39(2):141-146.



Figure 2. Aerial cover (%) data from Loco Creek Watershed.

¹Hydrologic cover is defined here as the sum of litter, grass/sedge, forb, cushion plant, and shrub components of ground cover



RESOLIRCE NOTES are intended to be early announcements of technical and informational topics for Bureau of Land Management personnel and some of their customers Information in this RESOURCE NOTE is based on the opinion and experience of the author and has not been peer-reviewed. Conclusions and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily represent those of BLM. Use of trade names does not imply U.S. Government endorsement of commercial products. If you have received a copy of or found out about RESOURCE NOTES in an indirect way and would like to be included in future mailings, please send the following: NAME, TITLE, MAILING ADDRESS and a list of the two or three subject areas that you are most interested in or that most directly relate to your job. Send this information to Phil Dittberner, BLM, RS-140, P.O. Box 25047, Denver, CO. 80225-0047 or phil_dittberner@blm.gov or FAX 303-236-3508 If you would like to prepare a RESOURCE NOTE for distribution, or you have an idea and author in mind for a good **RESOURCE NOTE**, please contact Phil Dittberner at 303-236-1833, FAX 303-236-3508 or phil_dittberner@blm.gov with the topic and the name of writer, including an address, phone number. and e-mail address Thank you for your interest in RESOURCE NOTES.