

Conversation Contents

Third set of pictures

Attachments:

/179. Third set of pictures/1.1 IMAG0081.jpg
/179. Third set of pictures/1.2 IMAG0082.jpg
/179. Third set of pictures/1.3 IMAG0083.jpg
/179. Third set of pictures/1.4 IMAG0085.jpg
/179. Third set of pictures/1.5 FullSizeRender-4.jpg

<allison@jakesrun.com>

From: <allison@jakesrun.com>
Sent: Wed May 13 2015 19:27:31 GMT-0600 (MDT)
To: "Carter, Codey" <cdcarter@blm.gov>
CC: "Eddy, David" <deddy@blm.gov>, David Hawes <rhawes@blm.gov>, <ecofan@northlink.com>
Subject: Third set of pictures
Attachments: IMAG0081.jpg IMAG0082.jpg IMAG0083.jpg IMAG0085.jpg FullSizeRender-4.jpg

These are of Copper Basin Wash during flood. This is part of the Kirkland Mine claim area. Allison On 2015-05-12 16:27, Carter, Codey wrote: > Allison, > > The BLM Phoenix District did a riparian inventory in the late 80's and > early 90's. They inventoried all riparian areas that were 1/4 mile > in length or longer. There are numerous small seeps and springs > throughout the District that support riparian vegetation that weren't > inventoried and put in the database. These smaller riparian areas > are also considered high priority habitats in our resource management > plan, and they are addressed through NEPA whenever they may be > affected by a proposed action. > > I hope this answers your question. Let me know if you have any other > questions. > > Codey Carter > > On Thu, May 7, 2015 at 3:54 PM, <allison@jakesrun.com> wrote: > >> Hi Dave, >> >> Thanks for the response. How interesting that the area is not >> classified as riparian. What are the criteria? Who does the >> evaluation? Over what period of time? I have edited the website and >> added more pictures -- several with water -- if you are interested. >> >> Please provide the cost of duplication for the mining plan of >> operations. >> >> Alternatively, can it be scanned? Is there another source for this >> document? >> >> Who is the Field Manager? >> >> I do appreciate and value your time Dave, and will try not to >> pepper you with too many questions. I do want the website to be >> accurate. >> >> Best regards, >> Allison >> >> On 2015-05-07 16:33, Eddy, David wrote: >> >> Ms. Dixon, >> >> No part of the area has been classified as riparian based on the >> criteria utilized by the BLM. >> >> Yes, Kirkland Mining has submitted a plan of operations for removal >> of >> the stockpile. We do not have an electronic version of the >> document, >> but it is available for viewing at our office during normal office >> hours and copies can be made for the cost of duplication plus >> labor. >> The length of the document is approximately 70 pages. >> >> Dave >> >> On Wed, May 6, 2015 at 8:03 PM, Allison Dixon >> <allison@jakesrun.com> >> wrote: >> >> Great feedback and corrections Dave! Thank you, and I will make the >> website changes in the morning. >> >> As for NEPA, this is a riparian area. I have hiked and horsebacked >> there for 20 years. There is flowing water (tiny), and associated >> plant and wildlife. In fact the first time I ever had a horse go >> down in quicksand was this spot! >> >> I will study the information you provided and

follow up with >> questions. >> >> Is there a Mining Plan of Operation? >> >> Again, thank you.
>> >> Best regards, >> >> Allison Dixon >> 928-308-7876 (c) >> >> On May 6, 2015, at 4:23 PM, Eddy, David <deddy@blm.gov> wrote: >> >> Ms. Dixon, >> >> Thank you very much for providing me the link to the forum site. >> It looks well done. >> For accuracy's sake, there's a couple of things on the "Links" page >> that should be added/changed. >> The link "Click HERE for applicable CFRs (Code of Federal >> Regulations)" should actually point to the 43 CFR 3600 regulations >> rather than the 3830 regulations. The current application is >> being >> submitted under the Mineral Materials (also known as "saleable" >> minerals) Disposal regs (3600) and not as a 3809 Notice of Plan >> which is for mining claim related actions (also known as >> "locateable" minerals). There are currently no proposals for >> actions for the site under the 3800 or mining claim related >> regulations. >> Your link "Click HERE for BLM Mining Requirements" also links to >> locateable minerals information on our AZ State Office page which >> is >> not relevant to this situation. I looked and didn't find any >> saleable minerals information on our State Office page, but here is >> a URL for National BLM page which has some mineral materials >> information: >> http://www.blm.gov/wo/st/en/prog/more/non-energy_minerals.html [1] >> [1] >> >> And finally, your link "Click HERE for BLM Resource Management >> Plan" >> points to the Yuma Resource Management Plan (RMP) which is for the >> Yuma Field Office in the southwestern part of Arizona. The page >> which hosts all of the planning documents for the Bradshaw >> Harquahala RMP, which includes the Skull Valley area, is located >> here: >> >> >

http://www.blm.gov/az/st/en/info/nepa/environmental_library/arizona_resource_management/afria-bradshaw.html >> [2] >> [2] >> >> In regards to the questions you asked in your phone message, The >> current determination that the level of NEPA (National >> Environmental >> Policy Act) analysis required is a CX (Categorical Exclusion) is >> based on the BLM's Departmental Manual, which lists actions only >> requiring a CX and states in section 516 DM 11.5F(10), "Disposal of >> mineral materials such as sand, stone, gravel, pumice, pumicite, >> cinders, and clay, in amounts not exceeding 50,000 cubic yards or >> disturbing more than 5 acres, except in riparian areas." The >> current proposal for mining the existing stockpile covers less than >> four acres and is for 42,000 tons (volcanic tuff can be 1.5-2 tons >> per cubic yard, so 42,000 tons is 21,000-28,000 cubic yards). The >> level of analysis can be elevated to an EA (Environmental >> Assessment) based on special circumstances which includes >> significant public concern or controversy. The decision on >> whether >> or not to elevate the analysis level is the responsibility of the >> Field Manager. >> >> Hope this helps to answer your questions. If you have any more >> questions, feel free to contact me. >> >> Dave Eddy >> >> On Wed, May 6, 2015 at 8:25 AM, Allison Dixon >> <allison@jakesrun.com> >> wrote: >> Hello, >> >> The first iteration of the website www.kirklandmineforum.org [3] >> [3] is >> >> UP this morning. >> >> This is, and will continue to be, a work in process! I will update >> it regularly as additions, corrections, information, notices, >> documents, etc. become available. Don't hesitate to make input -- I >> am at your service. Next update is planned for 8:00 tonight. >> >> You may use this email address, or for website-specific things use >> webmaster@kirklandmineforum.org. >> >> News Flash: Community Meeting with Supervisor Rowle Simmons is >> scheduled for May 14 6:00 pm at the Skull Valley Community Center. >> >> Best regards, >> Allison Dixon >> 928-308-7876 (c) >> >> Allison >> >> -- >> >> David Eddy >> Geologist >> Bureau of Land Management >> Hassayampa Field Office >> 21605 North 7th Avenue >> Phoenix, AZ 85027 >> (623) 580-5517 (phone) >> (623) 580-5580 (fax) >> >> -- >> >> David Eddy >> Geologist >> Bureau of Land Management >> Hassayampa Field Office >> 21605 North 7th Avenue >> Phoenix, AZ 85027 >> (623) 580-5517 (phone) >> (623) 580-5580 (fax) >> >> Links: >> ----- >> [1] http://www.blm.gov/wo/st/en/prog/more/non-energy_minerals.html >> [1] >> [2] >> > http://www.blm.gov/az/st/en/info/nepa/environmental_library/arizona_resource_management/afria-bradshaw.html >> [2] >> [3] <http://www.kirklandmineforum.org> [3] > > -- > > Codey Carter > > Wildlife Biologist > > Hassayampa Field Office > > BLM, Phoenix District > > 21605 North 7th Avenue > > Phoenix, AZ 85027 > > (623)580-5678 > > cdcarter@blm.gov > > Links: > > ----- > [1] http://www.blm.gov/wo/st/en/prog/more/non-energy_minerals.html > [2] > http://www.blm.gov/az/st/en/info/nepa/environmental_library/arizona_resource_management/afria-bradshaw.html > [3] <http://www.kirklandmineforum.org>

