### **Round 18 Preliminary Recommendation**

Expenditure of the Special Account for

The Southern Nevada Public Land Management Act

**February 26, 2021** 

#### INTRODUCTION

The Southern Nevada Public Land Management Act of 1998, (SNPLMA, Public Law 105-263, as amended) authorizes specific uses for revenues from the sale of public lands identified for disposal in the Las Vegas Valley. The SNPLMA created an account with the U.S. Department of the Treasury (SNPLMA Special Account) into which 85 percent of the revenue generated by eligible land sales are deposited. The SNPLMA authorizes the Secretary of the Interior (Secretary) to expend the revenue in the SNPLMA Special Account for projects that fall into particular expenditure categories, which are the subject of this recommendation. Under the SNPLMA the remaining 15 percent of revenue is distributed to the State of Nevada's general education program (5 percent) and to the Southern Nevada Water Authority (10 percent) for water treatment and transmission facility infrastructure in Clark County, Nevada.

The SNPLMA and subsequent amendments authorize the Secretary to expend without further appropriation amounts deposited in the SNPLMA Special Account for the following purposes:

- Acquisition of environmentally sensitive lands and interests in land in Nevada, with priority given to lands within Clark County;
- Capital Improvements at the Lake Mead National Recreation Area, the Desert National
  Wildlife Refuge, the Red Rock Canyon National Conservation Area, the Great Basin National
  Park, and other areas administered by the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) and the USDA
  Forest Service (FS) in Clark, Lincoln, and White Pine Counties, and the Spring Mountains
  National Recreation Area:
- Development and implementation of a multi-species habitat conservation plan (MSHCP) in Clark County, Nevada;
- Development of parks, trails, and natural areas (PTNA) in Clark, Lincoln, and White Pine Counties in Nevada, and Carson City, Nevada (subject to a limitation that land acquired for PTNA projects must be adjacent to the Carson River or within the floodplain of the Carson River), pursuant to a cooperative agreement with units of local government or regional governmental entities;
- Conservation Initiatives on federal land in Clark, Lincoln, and White Pine Counties, Nevada, and Carson City, Nevada (subject to the limitation that the land must be adjacent to the Carson River or within the 100-year floodplain of the Carson River) administered by the Department of the Interior or the Department of Agriculture;
- Environmental Improvement Program projects pursuant to the Lake Tahoe Restoration Act (LTRA, Public Law 106-506) which authorized funding up to \$300 million for environmental improvement program projects;
- Development and implementation of multi-jurisdictional hazardous fuels reduction and wildfire prevention plans (Hazardous Fuels Reduction and Wildfire Prevention) for the Lake Tahoe Basin, the Carson Range in Douglas and Washoe Counties and Carson City, and the Spring Mountains in Nevada;

- Implementation of the Eastern Nevada Landscape Restoration Project (ENLRP) in White Pine and Lincoln Counties; and
- Reimbursement of certain implementation costs.

The Secretary has charged the BLM with implementation of the SNPLMA. The BLM, in collaboration with the National Park Service (NPS), Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS), Bureau of Reclamation (BOR), FS, the State of Nevada, and affected local governments throughout the states of Nevada and California, has developed a funding recommendation process that identifies projects in eight expenditure categories. The *SNPLMA Implementation Agreement* (November 20, 2018) documents this process.

#### **BACKGROUND**

The Preliminary Recommendation is an interim product in the process of identifying and selecting projects for funding in seven of the eight categories: PTNA; Capital Improvements; Conservation Initiatives; Environmentally Sensitive Land Acquisitions; Hazardous Fuels Reduction and Wildfire Prevention; ENLRP; and MSHCP.<sup>1</sup> The list of projects was generated from a call for nominations that was open from July 16, 2020 through September 15, 2020. Seventy-seven (77) projects across seven categories were received at a total requested amount of \$516,731,598.

The Subgroup for each expenditure category, except the MSHCP category, reviewed, scored, and ranked the nominations according to ranking criteria approved by the SNPLMA Executive Committee (EC) for use during the round between November 12, 2020, and November 20, 2020. Subgroups consist of representatives from the eligible entities for each category.

Clark County is the official nominating entity for SNPLMA funds in the MSHCP category. Clark County reviews MSHCP nominations under a separate evaluation and consultation process. The process includes working collaboratively with the FWS and its Permittees of the "Multiple Species Incidental Take Permit." Following a public comment period, MSHCP nominations are prioritized and forwarded by the Clark County Board of County Commissioners for submittal to the SNPLMA Division for funding consideration.

Subgroup and Clark County MSHCP recommendations were forwarded to the Partners Working Group (PWG) for consideration in developing this Preliminary Recommendation. The PWG includes representatives from BLM, NPS, FWS, BOR, FS, State of Nevada, local governments, and the Nevada Association of Counties. The Preliminary Recommendation includes a proposed budget and a list of projects for each of the seven expenditure categories in the tables of the Appendix. The projects outlined within the tables identify the Subgroup score rankings, but they are sorted in the order by which the PWG is recommending projects for funding.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The funding commitment of \$300 million (P.L. 106-506 and P.L. 108-108) for the eighth category, restoration projects at Lake Tahoe, was met in full in Round 12 of approved Lake Tahoe Restoration Projects. Funds authorized for primary projects which are not needed to complete the project may fund any project on a list of secondary projects approved for funding.

#### **Round 18 SNPLMA Executive Committee Direction**

The EC provided direction that was incorporated into the Round 18 call for nominations. This direction included:

- Nominations for SNPLMA Round 18 funding were limited to three per eligible agency/entity per category (except in the Hazardous Fuels category, where entities were limited to three per eligible agency/entity per legislative area (Lake Tahoe basin, Carson Range in Douglas and Washoe Counties and Carson City, and the Spring Mountains in Nevada) and in the PTNA category where Clark County was allowed a one-time exception to submit six nominations. Other direction includes nominations being limited to the best value option for a viable project and a desire to see projects that leave a legacy on the landscape.
- The EC emphasized that the SNPLMA Strategic Plan<sup>2</sup> is the guiding document for all nominations in Round 18. The EC has identified three values on which to focus SNPLMA implementation: sustainability, connectivity, and community.
  - o The above three values are addressed through two Goals in the Strategic Plan:
    - Goal 1: Sustain the quality of the outdoor environment by conserving, preserving, and restoring natural, cultural, and historical resources.
    - Goal 2: Improve the quality of life for all public in urban and rural communities by enhancing recreational opportunities that connect people with the outdoor environment.
- Past performance is another factor considered in the decision for funding recommendations. To be qualified to have projects recommended for Round 18 funding in a category, an entity may only have one project (with the exception of those in litigation or those experiencing a recent natural disaster) with a red level of concern<sup>3</sup> on the *Focus Projects for Closeout* list ("Focus List") or have less than or equal to 10 percent of active<sup>4</sup> projects in such status.

#### **PWG RECOMMENDATIONS**

The PWG recommends expenditures of \$213,424,614 across the seven eligible funding categories, plus \$5 million for a Special Account Reserve (SAR), for a total Round 18 Preliminary Recommendation of \$218,424,614. If additional funding is available, the PWG recommends secondary expenditures of \$59,979,742 in the PTNA, Conservation Initiatives, and Hazardous Fuels Reduction and Wildfire Prevention categories. In developing its recommendation, the PWG considered the Subgroup recommendations as well as the previously mentioned EC direction and the following specific PWG direction from the EC.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The *SNPLMA Strategic Plan* was signed by EC members on November 19, 2019 and is available on the SNPLMA website at https://www.blm.gov/snplma.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The Focus List is a subset of the *Projects of Concern* (POC) list that identifies projects with a color designation of green, yellow, orange, or red to indicate increasing levels of concern regarding the ability to complete the project as nominated, on time, and/or within budget.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Active projects are those that have not yet been completed and closed out financially or terminated.

- The EC believes it is important to fund the best projects. This means that the PWG may recommend categories receive little or no funding and may not recommend projects for all eligible entities within a category. This includes taking advantage of high value, time-sensitive land acquisition opportunities when they arise. The PWG may consider high value land acquisition opportunities even if it means limiting funding for other projects in a Round. Land is considered to be high value when its acquisition will accomplish the preservation of natural, scientific, aesthetic, historical, cultural, watershed, wildlife and other values contributing to the public enjoyment and biological diversity; enhance recreational opportunities and public access and when those values are at risk of being lost to development. High value acquisition of land or interest in land can be submitted under three categories: Environmentally Sensitive Land Acquisitions, PTNA, and MSHCP.
- The EC asked the PWG to consider a funding recommendation for the Round that would allow for reliable and predicable funding levels based on out-year revenue projections for future rounds through 2031.

#### ROUND 18 PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATION

The following table shows the Preliminary Recommendation by category. In addition, detailed tables of nominated projects for each category can be found in the Appendix. Projects not approved and or fully funded as part of Round 18 may be re-nominated in full or part in a subsequent round at the discretion of the nominating entity.

#### ROUND 18 PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATION BUDGET

EXPENDITURE CATEGORY	PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATION			
Parks, Trails, and Natural Areas (PTNA)	\$56,310,604			
Capital Improvements	\$91,041,001			
Conservation Initiatives	\$10,043,495			
Environmentally Sensitive Land Acquisitions	\$323,385			
Hazardous Fuels Reduction and Wildfire Prevention	\$32,651,851			
Eastern Nevada Landscape Restoration Project (ENLRP)	\$15,413,278			
Multi-Species Habitat Conservation Plan (MSHCP)	\$7,641,000			
Category Total	\$213,424,614			
Special Account Reserve (SAR)	\$5,000,000			
TOTAL ROUND 18 BUDGET RECOMMENDATION	\$218,424,614			

#### ADDITIONAL PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDED BUDGET IF FUNDING IS AVAILABLE

EXPENDITURE CATEGORY	ADDITIONAL PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATION
Parks, Trails, and Natural Areas (PTNA)	\$38,878,844
Conservation Initiatives	\$6,426,974
Hazardous Fuels Reduction and Wildfire Prevention	\$14,673,924
ADDITONAL BUDGET RECOMMENDATION	\$59,979,742

#### Parks, Trails, and Natural Areas (PTNA)

Twenty-three project nominations were received in the PTNA expenditure category for a total of \$203,408,527. The PTNA Subgroup reviewed, scored, and ranked all projects and forwarded them to the PWG for consideration. The Subgroup's recommendation used the ranking results balanced with the nominating entities "best of the best" project proposals and needs of the different communities (both rural and urban) throughout the state. This resulted in the Subgroup recommending funding for the top two ranked projects for each entity; except for Southern Nevada Water Authority which only submitted one nomination, and Clark County receiving three projects because of the geographically diverse nature of their projects, for a total of 16 projects.

The PWG preliminary recommendation for the PTNA category is to fund the top ranked project nominations by the Subgroup from each entity (first, second, fourth, fifth, seventh, ninth, twelfth and eighteenth) for a total of eight project nominations totaling \$56,310,604. Additionally, the PWG recommends funding the second highest ranked nominations by the Subgroup for entities that submitted two or more projects (seven additional project nominations) for a total of \$38,878,844 if additional funding is available. A detailed table of all projects nominated in this category, sorted by recommended and not recommended for funding, can be found in the Appendix.

#### **Capital Improvements**

Fourteen project nominations were received in the Capital Improvements expenditure category totaling \$185,164,273. The Capital Improvements Subgroup reviewed, scored, and ranked all projects and forwarded them to the PWG for consideration. The Subgroup recommended funding the first, second, fourth through sixth, tenth, and eleventh projects for a total of seven nominations.

The BLM agreed to reduce its funding request for the first ranked project (*Red Rock Canyon Legacy Trail*) from \$63,090,580 to \$34,640,296 (a total reduction of \$28,450,284). BLM agreed with the Subgroup's recommendation for phasing this project in an effort to fund more projects in this category. This phased approach will allow the BLM to fund expenses for planning the entire 28-mile trail, construct eight miles of the trail, and two of the eight trailheads. The eight miles of trail and two trailheads are the most critical segments of the overall Red Rock Canyon Legacy Trail project due to their anticipated high visitation and use for ingress and egress of the Red Rock Canyon scenic loop.

The third ranked project, *Upper Lake Dam Improvements on Pahranagat National Wildlife Refuge* was not recommended by the Subgroup in lieu of recommending the tenth ranked project, the *Ash Meadows Fish Conservation Facility*. The Subgroup recommended this change because the *Ash* 

Meadows Fish Conservation Facility project is currently a higher priority for the FWS to further protect the only existing captive population of the endangered Devils Hole pupfish.

The seventh ranked project, *Hoover Dam Observation Areas Enhancements* was not recommended by the Subgroup in lieu of recommending the eleventh rank project the *Colorado River Heritage Trail at Davis Dam*. The Subgroup recommended this change because the *Colorado River Heritage Trail at Davis Dam* project is the third and final phase of a multiple phase trail system that connects the Nevada and Arizona sides of the Colorado River Heritage Trail System.

The PWG preliminary recommendation for the Capital Improvement category is to fund the Subgroup first ranked project at a reduced funding amount of \$34,640,296, plus the Subgroup second, fourth, fifth, sixth, tenth, and eleventh ranked projects at their full funding levels for a total of \$91,041,001. A detailed table of all projects nominated in this category, sorted by recommended and not recommended for funding, can be found in the Appendix.

#### **Conservation Initiatives**

Eleven project nominations were received in the Conservation Initiatives expenditure category totaling \$37,627,511. The Conservation Initiatives Subgroup reviewed, scored, and ranked all projects and forwarded them to the PWG for consideration. The Subgroup recommended the top five ranked projects for funding.

The PWG preliminary recommendation for the Conservation Initiatives category is to fund the top four Subgroup ranked projects for a total of \$10,043,495. The rationale for this recommendation was to fund one nomination from each entity that submitted a nomination in this category. Additionally, the PWG recommends funding the Subgroup fifth through seventh ranked projects, in that order, for a total of \$6,426,974 if additional funding is available. A detailed table of all projects nominated in this category, sorted by recommended and not recommended for funding, can be found in the Appendix.

#### **Environmentally Sensitive Land Acquisitions**

Two project nominations were received in the Environmentally Sensitive Land Acquisition expenditure category totaling \$10,427,285. One nomination was for a conservation easement and one for a fee acquisition. The Subgroup recommended funding the top ranked project.

The PWG preliminary recommendation for the Environmentally Sensitive Land Acquisition category is to fund the Subgroup first ranked project for a total of \$323,385. A detailed table of all projects nominated in this category, sorted by recommended and not recommended for funding, can be found in the Appendix.

#### **Hazardous Fuels Reduction and Wildfire Prevention**

Sixteen project nominations were received in the Hazardous Fuels Reduction and Wildfire Prevention expenditure category totaling \$51,859,739. During the Subgroup meeting, the FS agreed to reduce its funding request for the eighth ranked project by \$1,575,350, since this project could be phased. The Subgroup reviewed, scored, and ranked all 16 projects and forwarded them to the PWG for consideration. The Subgroup recommended funding the top 12 ranked projects.

The PWG preliminary recommendation for the Hazardous Fuels Reduction and Wildfire Prevention category is to fund the Subgroup top 12 ranked projects; however, projects ranked second, sixth, seventh, and twelfth were funded at 50 percent of the requested amount. The four projects recommended for reduced funding will also reduce their scope by approximately 50 percent. The total recommendation for the top 12 projects is \$32,651,851. Additionally, the PWG recommends restoring the funding and scope for the second, sixth, seventh and twelfth ranked projects, for an additional \$14,673,924 if additional funding is available. The rationale for a 50 percent budget and scope reduction is based on the ability of these projects to compete for other state and national funding, while making a recommendation within the overall funding level established by the EC. A detailed table of all projects nominated in this category, sorted by recommended and not recommended for funding and including original request amounts and recommended amounts, can be found in the Appendix.

#### **Eastern Nevada Landscape Restoration Project (ENLRP)**

Eight project nominations were received in the ENLRP expenditure category totaling \$19,453,763. The ENLRP Subgroup reviewed, scored, and ranked all projects and forwarded them to the PWG for consideration. The Subgroup recommended funding the top five ranked projects.

The PWG preliminary recommendation for the ENLRP category is to fund the Subgroup projects ranked first, second, third, fifth, sixth, and eighth for a total of \$15,413,278. The rationale for skipping over the fourth ranked project to fund the eighth ranked project is based on the need of the NPS to obtain comprehensive vegetation information by completing the mapping project, which would help inform landscape level decisions at Great Basin National Park for the next 20 years. In addition, the PWG decided to fund the sixth ranked project because it is the second phase of a landscape level project with a completed National Environmental Policy Act decision that can begin implementation once additional funding is received. A detailed table of all projects nominated in this category, sorted by recommended and not recommended for funding, can be found in the Appendix.

#### **Multi-Species Habitat Conservation Plan (MSHCP)**

Three project nominations were received from Clark County for the MSHCP expenditure category totaling \$8,790,500. The MSHCP category ranking process is managed by Clark County. The process Clark County follows was briefly described above, in the background section of this document, and is outlined in detail in the *SNPLMA Implementation Agreement*.

The PWG preliminary recommendation is to fund the Clark County top two ranked projects for a total of \$7,641,000. A detailed table of all projects nominated in this category, sorted by recommended and not recommended for funding, can be found in the Appendix.

### ROUND 18 PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATION BUDGET CONSIDERATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

• Conservation Initiatives: There is a statutory expenditure limitation for Conservation Initiatives of 10 percent of available funds since inception of the SNPLMA. The cost of already approved projects plus the Round 18 recommended projects are less than this spending cap.

- Capital Improvements: There is a statutory expenditure limitation for Capital Improvements of 25 percent of the amounts available to the Secretary from the fiscal year (determined without taking into account amounts deposited as a result of lands that are sold, leased, or otherwise conveyed per Airport Environs Overlay District Land Transfer). The Round 18 recommended projects are less than the fiscal year spending cap.
- Environmentally Sensitive Lands: The "anticipated cost" for land acquisitions reflects the owner's asking price, which has been determined to be reasonable based on market evidence, plus estimated costs for other allowable expenses such as appraisals and case management but does not reflect the actual value of any property or the actual price to be paid for any property. The actual purchase price will be based on the appraised fair market value determined by a federally obtained and approved appraisal.
- **Special Account Reserve (SAR):** The PWG recommends that the overall budget request for Round 18 include a provision for a \$5 million SAR to cover higher than expected costs for approved projects. This is consistent with the recommendation in previous rounds to allow a reserve fund to be spent at the discretion of the EC as described in the *SNPLMA Implementation Agreement*.

[The remainder of the page is intentionally blank.]

#### **APPENDIX**

### PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATION TABLES FOR:

PARKS, TRAILS, AND NATURAL AREAS

**CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS** 

**CONSERVATION INITIATIVES** 

ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE LAND ACQUISITIONS

HAZARDOUS FUELS REDUCTION AND WILDFIRE PREVENTION

EASTERN NEVADA LANDSCAPE RESTORATION PROJECT

MULTI-SPECIES HABITAT CONSERVATION PLAN

## Southern Nevada Public Land Management Act of 1998 (Public Law 105 - 263) Round 18 Nominations - Conservation Initiatives Preliminary Recommendation

The following is a prioritized list of Conservation Initiatives project nominations that have been received and reviewed for possible funding under Round 18 of the Southern Nevada Public Land Management Act of 1998 (Public Law 105 - 263). The purpose of releasing these lists is to obtain input from interested parties. Beginning March 1, 2021, the BLM is accepting written comments on these nominations until close of business (4:30 PM Pacific Time) on April 14, 2021. Comments should be mailed to the SNPLMA Executive Committee Chair, BLM Southern Nevada District Office, 4701 N. Torrey Pines Dr., Las Vegas, NV 89130, faxed to (702) 515-5110, or emailed to snplma@blm.gov. The SNPLMA Executive Committee will meet following the comment period to review comments received to develop a final recommendation for consideration by the Secretary of the Interior in consultation with the Secretary of Agriculture. Questions may be addressed to the SNPLMA Division, BLM Southern Nevada District Office at (702) 515-5116.

Rank	Tab	Project Name	Requesting	Location	Nomination	Funding	Total	
	#	,	Entity		Request	Recommended	Recommendation	
1	9	Protecting Wild Caves	NPS	Caves in the South Snake Range (GRBA) and the North Snake, Schell Creek, Ruby Mountains, White Pine, and Egan Ranges (USFS) in White Pine County, NV	\$2,247,095	\$2,247,095	\$2,247,095	
2	2	Arrow Canyon	BLM	Northwest of Moapa, NV; Northeast of Las Vegas, NV	\$2,877,773	\$2,877,773	\$5,124,868	
3	3	Butterfly Habitat Restoration	USFS	Spring Mountains National Recreation Area	\$4,390,000	\$4,390,000	\$9,514,868	
4	8	Native Fishes Refugium	FWS	Pahranagat National Wildlife Refuge, Alamo, NV \$528,627		\$528,627	\$10,043,495	
			Tota	als for Round 18 Conservation Initiatives Recommended Primary Funding:	\$10,043,495	\$10,043,495		
Roun	d 18	<b>3 Conservation Initiatives Recom</b>	mended Sec	ondary Funding List				
Rank	Tab #	Project Name	Requesting Entity	Location	Nomination Request	Funding Recommended	Total Recommendation	
5	7	Muddy River Floodplain Restoration	BLM	North of Moapa Paiute Reservation; South of Moapa Valley National Wildlife Refuge				
6	4	Cultural and Paleontological Resource Protection	USFS	Mt. Moriah Unit of the Ely Ranger District, North Snake Range	\$955,381	\$14,418,546		
7	5	Habitat Restoration, Protection, and Stewardship	FWS	Desert National Wildlife Refuge, Corn Creek	\$2,051,923	\$2,051,923	\$16,470,469	
			Totals	for Round 18 Conservation Initiatives Recommended Secondary Funding:	\$6,426,974	\$6,426,974		
Roun	d 18	<b>3 Conservation Initiatives Not Re</b>	commended	Funding List				
Rank	Tab #	Project Name	Requesting Entity	Location	Nomination Request	Funding Recommended	Total Recommendation	
8	11	Riparian Restoration	FWS	Moapa Valley National Wildlife Refuge, Clark County, NV	\$737,080	\$0	\$16,470,469	
9	6	Historic Hoover Dam Railroad Tunnels	NPS	Lake Mead National Recreation Area near Boulder City, NV	\$17,045,740	\$0	\$16,470,469	
10	10	Recreation and Environmental Alliance	USFS	Spring Mountains National Recreation Area, Clark County, NV	\$1,856,542	\$0	\$16,470,469	
11	1	Abandoned Mine Land Closure - WPC	BLM	Throughout White Pine County, NV, public lands managed by the Bureau of Land Management, Ely District Office.	\$1,517,680	\$0	\$16,470,469	
				Totals for Round 18 Conservation Initiatives Not Recommended Funding:	\$21,157,042	\$0		
				Totals for Round 18 Prima	ry Funding Rec	ommendation:	\$10,043,495	
				Totals for Roun	d 18 Secondar	y Funding List:	\$6,426,974	
				Totals for F	Round 18 Reco	mmended List:	\$16,470,469	

# Southern Nevada Public Land Management Act of 1998 (Public Law 105 - 263) Round 18 Nominations - Capital Improvements Preliminary Recommendation

The following is a prioritized list of Capital Improvements project nominations that have been received and reviewed for possible funding under Round 18 of the Southern Nevada Public Land Management Act of 1998 (Public Law 105 - 263). The purpose of releasing these lists is to obtain input from interested parties. Beginning March 1, 2021, the BLM is accepting written comments on these nominations until close of business (4:30 PM Pacific Time) on April 14, 2021. Comments should be mailed to the SNPLMA Executive Committee Chair, BLM Southern Nevada District Office, 4701 N. Torrey Pines Dr., Las Vegas, NV 89130, faxed to (702) 515-5110, or emailed to snplma@blm.gov. The SNPLMA Executive Committee will meet following the comment period to review comments received to develop a final recommendation for consideration by the Secretary of the Interior in consultation with the Secretary of Agriculture. Questions may be addressed to the SNPLMA Division, BLM Southern Nevada District Office at (702) 515-5116.

Rank	Tab #	Project Name	Requesting Entity	Location	Nomination Request	Funding Recommended	Total Recommendation		
1		Red Rock Canyon Legacy Trail	BLM	Red Rock Canyon National Conservation Area, Clark County, NV.	\$63,090,580	\$34,640,296	\$34,640,296		
2	12	Sloan Canyon Visitor Contact Station	BLM	Sloan Canyon National Conservation Area, in Henderson, Clark County, NV.	\$19,834,600	\$19,834,600	\$54,474,896		
4	4	Garnet Hill Recreation Area	BLM	BLM public lands along Hwy-50 northwest of Ely in White Pine County, NV.	\$9,580,040	\$9,580,040	\$64,054,936		
5	8	Improvements for Upper Kyle Canyon Trails System and Parking	USDA FS	Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forest, Spring Mountains National Recreation Area (25 miles NW of Las Vegas), in Clark County, NV.	\$4,712,863	\$4,712,863	\$68,767,799		
6		Modernize Critical Interagency Communications Infrastructure and Systems	NPS	Lake Mead National Recreation Area in Boulder City, Clark County, NV.					
10		Ash Meadows Fish Conservation Facility Expansion	FWS	Ash Meadows National Wildlife Refuge in Nye County, NV.	\$2,413,409	\$2,413,409	\$83,487,858		
11	l	Colorado River Heritage Trail at Davis Dam	BOR	Lake Mead National Recreation Area in Mohave County, AZ and Clark County, NV.	\$7,553,143	\$7,553,143	\$91,041,001		
			To	otals for Round 18 Capital Improvements Recommended Primary Funding:	\$119,491,285	\$91,041,001			
Roun	d 18	Capital Improvements Not Rec	ommended F	Funding List					
Rank	Tab #	Project Name	Requesting Entity	Location	Nomination Request	Funding Recommended	Total Recommendation		
3		Upper Lake Dam Improvements on Pahranagat National Wildlife Refuge	FWS	Pahranagat National Wildlife Refuge, in Lincoln County, NV.	\$4,884,472	\$0	\$91,041,001		
7	6	Hoover Dam Observation Areas Enhancements	BOR	te Mead National Recreation Area in Mohave County, AZ and Clark County, NV. \$10,864,434 \$0			\$91,041,001		
^				umboldt-Toivabe National Forest, Ely Ranger District, White Pine County, NV. \$2,069.322 \$0					
8	14	Ward Mountain Bike Trail Project	USDA FS	Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forest, Ely Ranger District, White Pine County, NV.	\$2,069,322	\$0	\$91,041,001		
9	3		USDA FS FWS	Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forest, Ely Ranger District, White Pine County, NV.  Ash Meadows National Wildlife Refuge in Nye County, NV.	\$2,069,322 \$4,916,279	\$0 \$0	\$91,041,001 \$91,041,001		
	3	Ward Mountain Bike Trail Project  Crystal Spring Outflow Restoration							
9	3	Ward Mountain Bike Trail Project Crystal Spring Outflow Restoration and Improvements	FWS	Ash Meadows National Wildlife Refuge in Nye County, NV.	\$4,916,279	\$0	\$91,041,001		
9 12 13	3 5 10	Ward Mountain Bike Trail Project Crystal Spring Outflow Restoration and Improvements Great Basin Park Housing	FWS NPS	Ash Meadows National Wildlife Refuge in Nye County, NV.  Great Basin National Park, near Baker in White Pine County, NV.  Spring Mountain National Recreation Area (35 miles SW of Las Vegas) in Clark	\$4,916,279 \$14,879,267	\$0 \$0	\$91,041,001 \$91,041,001		
9 12 13	3 5 10	Ward Mountain Bike Trail Project Crystal Spring Outflow Restoration and Improvements Great Basin Park Housing Mountain Springs Fire Complex	FWS NPS USDA FS	Ash Meadows National Wildlife Refuge in Nye County, NV.  Great Basin National Park, near Baker in White Pine County, NV.  Spring Mountain National Recreation Area (35 miles SW of Las Vegas) in Clark County, NV.	\$4,916,279 \$14,879,267 \$17,141,320	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$91,041,001 \$91,041,001 \$91,041,001		
9 12 13	3 5 10	Ward Mountain Bike Trail Project Crystal Spring Outflow Restoration and Improvements Great Basin Park Housing Mountain Springs Fire Complex	FWS NPS USDA FS	Ash Meadows National Wildlife Refuge in Nye County, NV.  Great Basin National Park, near Baker in White Pine County, NV.  Spring Mountain National Recreation Area (35 miles SW of Las Vegas) in Clark County, NV.  Lake Mead National Recreation Area in Mohave County, AZ and Clark County, NV.	\$4,916,279 \$14,879,267 \$17,141,320 \$10,917,894 \$65,672,988	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$91,041,001 \$91,041,001 \$91,041,001		
9	3 5 10	Ward Mountain Bike Trail Project Crystal Spring Outflow Restoration and Improvements Great Basin Park Housing Mountain Springs Fire Complex	FWS NPS USDA FS	Ash Meadows National Wildlife Refuge in Nye County, NV.  Great Basin National Park, near Baker in White Pine County, NV.  Spring Mountain National Recreation Area (35 miles SW of Las Vegas) in Clark County, NV.  Lake Mead National Recreation Area in Mohave County, AZ and Clark County, NV.  Totals for Round 18 Capital Improvements Not Recommended Funding:	\$4,916,279 \$14,879,267 \$17,141,320 \$10,917,894 \$65,672,988 ry Funding Rec	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 ommendation:	\$91,041,001 \$91,041,001 \$91,041,001 \$91,041,001		

## Southern Nevada Public Land Management Act of 1998 (Public Law 105 - 263) Round 18 Nominations - Parks, Trails, and Natural Areas Preliminary Recommendation

The following is a prioritized list of Parks, Trails, and Natural Areas project nominations that have been received and reviewed for possible funding under Round 18 of the Southern Nevada Public Land Management Act of 1998 (Public Law 105 - 263). The purpose of releasing these lists is to obtain input from interested parties. Beginning March 1, 2021, the BLM is accepting written comments on these nominations until close of business (4:30 PM Pacific Time) on April 14, 2021. Comments should be mailed to the SNPLMA Executive Committee Chair, BLM Southern Nevada District Office, 4701 N. Torrey Pines Dr., Las Vegas, NV 89130, faxed to (702) 515-5110, or emailed to snplma@blm.gov. The SNPLMA Executive Committee will meet following the comment period to review comments received to develop a final recommendation for consideration by the Secretary of the Interior in consultation with the Secretary of Agriculture. Questions may be addressed to the SNPLMA Division, BLM Southern Nevada District Office at (702) 515-5116.

Rank	Tab #	Project Name	Requesting Entity	Location	Nomination Request	Funding Recommended	Total Recommendation	
1	7	Drake Street Park	Henderson	East Henderson (Drake/Clipping Tree streets), Clark County, NV.	\$3,876,394	\$3,876,394	\$3,876,394	
2	12	Kiel Ranch Historic Park Phase VI - Family Mesa	North Las Vegas	North Las Vegas (Kiel Way at NE corner of Commerce/Carey) in Clark County, NV.	\$5,281,600	\$5,281,600	\$9,157,994	
4	3	Carson River Trails Phase III - Prison Hill West	Carson City	West side of Prison Hill in Carson City, NV. \$2,403,250 \$2,403,250				
5	19	Slaughterhouse Shared-Use Trail	Lincoln County	Pioche (SW of SR-321) in Lincoln County, NV. \$778,695 \$778,695			\$12,339,939	
7	20	Southwest Ridge Bicycle Skills Park		Southwest Ridge Park (Fort Apache/Warm Springs cross streets), in Las Vegas, Clark County, NV.	\$6,554,836	\$6,554,836	\$18,894,775	
9	8	Heritage Park Acquisition and Construction	White Pine County	Ely (off US-6 and US-93) in White Pine County, NV.	\$24,606,829	\$24,606,829	\$43,501,604	
12	22	Warm Springs Natural Area Trail Improvements	Southern Nevada Water Authority	7 miles NW of Moapa (off Warm Springs Rd.) in Clark County, NV.	iles NW of Moapa (off Warm Springs Rd.) in Clark County, NV. \$800,000 \$800,000		\$44,301,604	
18	18	Regional Pickleball Complex	Las Vegas	NW of Las Vegas (east of Buffalo/north of Constantinople) in Clark County, NV. \$12,0		\$12,009,000	\$56,310,604	
			Totals for R	ound 18 Parks, Trails, and Natural Areas Recommended Primary Funding:	\$56,310,604	\$56,310,604		
Roun	d 18	Parks, Trails, and Natural Area	s Recommen	ded Secondary Funding List				
Rank	Tab #	Project Name	Requesting Entity	Location	Nomination Request	Funding Recommended	Total Recommendation	
3	15	Northern Beltway Trail		North Las Vegas (CC215 Beltway, NE from Decatur to N. 5th St.) in Clark County, NV.	\$5,949,500	\$5,949,500	\$62,260,104	
6	1	Black Mountain Nature Preserve	Henderson	Henderson (McCullough range north end, SW of Horizon Ridge Pkwy) in Clark County, NV.	\$5,608,540	\$5,608,540	\$67,868,644	
11	14	Nevada Northern Railway Phase III	White Pine County	Ely railroad right-of-way (East Ely to McGil Depots) in White Pine County, NV.	\$10,168,421	\$10,168,421	\$78,037,065	
13	10	Indian Springs Park Improvements	Clark County	Indian Springs (south of Gretta Lane/west of Sky Road) in Clark County, NV.	\$5,531,316	\$5,531,316	\$83,568,381	
16	16	Pioneer Park Phase III	Lincoln County	Panaca (at North 5th Street) in east Lincoln County, NV.	\$897,875	\$897,875	\$84,466,256	
20	17	Regional Aquatic Complex	Las Vegas	West of Las Vegas (Alta/Pavilion Center Dr.) in Clark County, NV.	\$8,839,317	\$8,839,317	\$93,305,573	
21	2	Buzzy's Ranch Water Rights and Wetland Enhancement		Carson City's property (Buzzy's Ranch) adjacent to the Carson River on the east side of Carson City, NV.	\$1,883,875	\$95,189,448		
		Trottaira Elitiariociticit		7:				

		Parks, Trails, and Natural Areas	Not Recom	mended Funding List						
Rank	Tab #	Project Name	Requesting Entity	Location	Location   Nomination Funding   Request Recommended					
8		Cheyenne Sports Complex Enhancements	North Las Vegas	North Las Vegas (Cheyenne/Pecos cross streets) in Clark County, NV.	\$2,590,000	\$0	\$95,189,448			
10	21	Therapeutic Recreation and Inclusion Center	Henderson	Henderson (Russell/Stephanie near I-515) in Clark County, NV.	\$4,666,460	\$0	\$95,189,448			
14	9	Hollywood Regional Park Multi-Use Fields Four-Plex	Clark County	East Las Vegas (NE corner of Sahara/Hollywood Blvd.) in Clark County, NV.	as Vegas (NE corner of Sahara/Hollywood Blvd.) in Clark County, NV. \$26,910,746 \$0					
15	13	Logandale Sports Complex	Clark County	Logandale, Clark County, NV.	\$13,450,305	\$0	\$95,189,448			
17		White Pine County Fairgrounds Upgrade	White Pine County	Ely (near Fairview Lane) in White Pine County, NV.	\$12,955,275	\$0	\$95,189,448			
19		James Regional Park Softball Complex	Clark County	SW part of Las Vegas (Cimarron/Eldorado Lane) in Clark County, NV.	\$35,005,903	\$0	\$95,189,448			
22	5	Desert Breeze Walking Trail	Clark County	SW part of Las Vegas (Flamingo/Durango) in Clark County, NV.	\$5,882,231	\$0	\$95,189,448			
23	6	Downtown Civic Park and Plaza	Las Vegas	Downtown Las Vegas (off Main St. between Clark/Bonneville) Clark County, NV.	\$6,758,159	\$0	\$95,189,448			
			Totals 1	for Round 18 Parks, Trails, and Natural Areas Not Recommended Funding:	\$108,219,079	\$0				
				Totals for Round 18 Prima	ry Funding Rec	ommendation:	\$56,310,604			
				Totals for Roun	d 18 Secondary	/ Funding List:	\$38,878,844			
				Totals for F	Round 18 Recor	nmended List:	\$95,189,448			

## Southern Nevada Public Land Management Act of 1998 (Public Law 105 - 263) Round 18 Nominations - Multi-Species Habitat Conservation Plan (MSHCP) Preliminary Recommendation

The following is a prioritized list of Multi-Species Habitat Conservation Plan (MSHCP) project nominations that have been received and reviewed for possible funding under Round 18 of the Southern Nevada Public Land Management Act of 1998 (Public Law 105 - 263). The purpose of releasing these lists is to obtain input from interested parties. Beginning March 1, 2021, the BLM is accepting written comments on these nominations until close of business (4:30 PM Pacific Time) on April 14, 2021. Comments should be mailed to the SNPLMA Executive Committee Chair, BLM Southern Nevada District Office, 4701 N.

Torrey Pines Dr., Las Vegas, NV 89130, faxed to (702) 515-5110, or emailed to snplma@blm.gov. The SNPLMA Executive Committee will meet following the comment period to review comments received to develop a final recommendation for consideration by the Secretary of the Interior in consultation with the Secretary of Agriculture. Questions may be addressed to the SNPLMA Division, BLM Southern Nevada District Office at (702) 515-5116.

Round 18 Multi-Species Habitat Conservation Plan (MSHCP) Recommended Primary Funding List										
Rank	Tab #	Project Name	Requesting Entity	Location	Nomination Request	Funding Recommended	Total Recommendation			
1	1	Las Vegas Bearpoppy Conservation at Rainbow Gardens	Clark County	Rainbow Gardens (east of Las Vegas) in Clark County, NV.	nbow Gardens (east of Las Vegas) in Clark County, NV. \$3,878,000 \$3,878,000					
2	2	Piute-Eldorado Restoration	Clark County	Piute-Eldorado ACEC (south of Boulder City) in Clark County, NV.	\$3,763,000	\$3,763,000	\$7,641,000			
		Totals for Round	18 Multi-Spe	cies Habitat Conservation Plan (MSHCP) Recommended Primary Funding:	\$7,641,000	\$7,641,000				
Roun	d 18	Multi-Species Habitat Conserva	ation Plan (M	ISHCP) Not Recommended Funding List						
Rank	Tab #	Project Name	Requesting Entity	Location	Nomination Request	Funding Recommended	Total Recommendation			
3	3	State Route 159 Fencing	Clark County	SW of Las Vegas (along SR-159 through Red Rock Canyon NCA) in Clark County, NV.	\$1,149,500	\$0	\$7,641,000			
		Totals for Ro	ound 18 Multi-	Species Habitat Conservation Plan (MSHCP) Not Recommended Funding:	\$1,149,500	\$0				
				Totals for Round 18 Primar	y Funding Rec	ommendation:	\$7,641,000			
				Totals for Round	d 18 Secondar	y Funding List:	\$0			
				Totals for R	Round 18 Reco	mmended List:	\$7.641.000			

### Southern Nevada Public Land Management Act of 1998 (Public Law 105 - 263) Round 18 Nominations - Eastern Nevada Landscape Restoration Project (ENLRP) Preliminary Recommendation

The following is a prioritized list of Eastern Nevada Landscape Restoration Project (ENLRP) project nominations that have been received and reviewed for possible funding under Round 18 of the Southern Nevada Public Land Management Act of 1998 (Public Law 105 - 263). The purpose of releasing these lists is to obtain input from interested parties. Beginning March 1, 2021, the BLM is accepting written comments on these nominations until close of business (4:30 PM Pacific Time) on April 14, 2021. Comments should be mailed to the SNPLMA Executive Committee Chair, BLM Southern Nevada District Office, 4701 N. Torrey Pines Dr., Las Vegas, NV 89130, faxed to (702) 515-5110, or emailed to snplma@blm.gov. The SNPLMA Executive Committee will meet following the comment period to review comments received to develop a final recommendation for consideration by the Secretary of the Interior in consultation with the Secretary of Agriculture. Questions may be addressed to the SNPLMA Division, BLM Southern Nevada District Office at (702) 515-5116.

Roun	d 18	B Eastern Nevada Landscape Res	storation Pro	ject (ENLRP) Recommended Primary Funding List						
Rank	Tab #	Project Name	Requesting Entity	Location	Nomination Request	Funding Recommended	Total Recommendation			
1	5	Sage-Grouse Habitat Improvement	BLM	Lake Valley and one site in the Cave Valley Watersheds, northeast of Lincoln County, NV	\$1,594,820	\$1,594,820	\$1,594,820			
2	8	Vegetation Treatment Ely Ranger District	USFS	White Pine and Lincoln Counties, NV within portions of the Quinn Canyon, White Pine, Schell Creek, and North Snake Ranges.	·					
3	3	Ellison Meadows Restoration	USFS	Ellison Meadow and Tom Plains Springs, southeast of Mt. Hamilton in White Pine Mountain Range, White Pine County, NV						
5	2	Egan and Johnson Basins Restoration	BLM	Egan and Johnson Basins approximately 50 miles northwest of Ely, White Pine County, NV	\$3,780,876	\$3,780,876	\$8,613,201			
6	4	Habitat Improvement and Fuels Reduction - Overland Phase II	USFS	Northwest of Ely, NV, contiguous to prior BLM vegetation treatment on the southern end of the Ruby Mountains, White Pine County, NV						
8	7	Vegetation Mapping Great Basin NP	NPS	South Snake Range in eastern White Pine County, NV of Great Basin National Park	\$580,507	\$580,507	\$15,413,278			
		Totals for Round 18 Ea	astern Nevada	Landscape Restoration Project (ENLRP) Recommended Primary Funding:	\$15,413,278	\$15,413,278				
Roun	d 18	B Eastern Nevada Landscape Res	storation Pro	ject (ENLRP) Not Recommended Funding List						
Rank	Tab #	Project Name	Requesting Entity	Location	Nomination Request	Funding Recommended	Total Recommendation			
4	1	Active Management Using Fire	NPS	East side of Great Basin National Park in the Baker Creek Watershed, White Pine County, NV	\$573,495	\$0	\$15,413,278			
7	6	Vegetation Assessment - BLM Wilderness Areas	BLM	Twenty-two wilderness areas in Lincoln and White Pine Counties.	\$3,466,990	\$0	\$15,413,278			
		Totals for Round	8 Eastern Ne	vada Landscape Restoration Project (ENLRP) Not Recommended Funding:	\$4,040,485	\$0				
	Totals for Round 18 Primary Funding Recommendation:									
				Totals for Roun	d 18 Secondary	y Funding List:	\$0			
				Totals for R	Round 18 Reco	mmended List:	\$15,413,278			

## Southern Nevada Public Land Management Act of 1998 (Public Law 105 - 263) Round 18 Nominations - Hazardous Fuels Reduction and Wildfire Prevention Preliminary Recommendation

The following is a prioritized list of Hazardous Fuels Reduction and Wildfire Prevention project nominations that have been received and reviewed for possible funding under Round 18 of the Southern Nevada Public Land Management Act of 1998 (Public Law 105 - 263). The purpose of releasing these lists is to obtain input from interested parties. Beginning March 1, 2021, the BLM is accepting written comments on these nominations until close of business (4:30 PM Pacific Time) on April 14, 2021. Comments should be mailed to the SNPLMA Executive Committee Chair, BLM Southern Nevada District Office, 4701 N.

Torrey Pines Dr., Las Vegas, NV 89130, faxed to (702) 515-5110, or emailed to snplma@blm.gov. The SNPLMA Executive Committee will meet following the comment period to review comments received to develop a final recommendation for consideration by the Secretary of the Interior in consultation with the Secretary of Agriculture. Questions may be addressed to the SNPLMA Division, BLM Southern Nevada District Office at (702) 515-5116.

Location

**Nomination** 

Request

**Funding** 

Recommended

**Total** 

Recommendation

Round 18 Hazardous Fuels Reduction and Wildfire Prevention Recommended Primary Funding List

Requesting

**Entity** 

1	13	Hazardous Fuels Planning - Spring Mountains West	BLM	Las Vegas, Pahrump Field, and Red Rock/Sloan Field Offices, public lands of the six wildland urban interface areas	\$875,362	\$875,362	\$875,362				
2	5	Fuels Reduction - California non- Federal WUI	CTC	California side of the Lake Tahoe Basin WUI	\$5,993,615	\$2,996,808	\$3,872,170				
3	2	Defense Zone Improvement	NLTFPD	Wildland Urban Interface of Incline Village and Crystal Bay, NV	\$1,222,527	\$1,222,527	\$5,094,697				
4	15	Prescribed Fire - FS Lake Tahoe Basin	USFS	North, South, and West Shores of Lake Tahoe on National Forest System lands	\$2,444,225	\$2,444,225	\$7,538,922				
5	8	Fuels Reduction - North Tahoe WUI	NTFPD	Defense Zone of the Wildland-Urban Interface (non-federal WUI) on the California side of the Lake Tahoe Basin within NTFP and MBFP Districts.	\$2,776,162	\$2,776,162	\$10,315,084				
6	7	Fuels Reduction - National Forest Priority WUI	USFS	Southwest and west portions of the Lake Tahoe Basin in Eldorado and Placer Counties, CA	\$10,489,750	\$5,244,875	\$15,559,959				
7	1	California Community Forestry	СТС	Communities on the California side of the Lake Tahoe Basin	\$4,159,180	\$2,079,590	\$17,639,549				
8		Utility Corridors Resilience - Zone 2 and Zone 3	USFS	North, South, and West Shores of Lake Tahoe Basin	\$7,575,350	\$6,000,000	\$23,639,549				
9	11	Fuels Reduction Planning - SMNRA Eastside	USFS	Eastside of the Spring Mountains National Recreation Area, adjacent to the communities of Lee and Kyle Canyons	\$2,190,688	\$2,190,688	\$25,830,237				
10	14	Hazardous Fuels Reduction - Carson City	CCFD	Carson City, NV	\$2,036,493	\$2,036,493	\$27,866,730				
11	6	Fuels Reduction - Hwy 50	TDFPD	US Highway 50 corridor between Glenbrook and Zephyr Cove, NV	\$432,470	\$432,470	\$28,299,200				
12	4	Fire Adapted Communities - Lake Tahoe Basin	TRCD	Lake Tahoe Basin, CA and NV	\$8,705,302	\$4,352,651	\$32,651,851				
		Totals for Round	18 Hazardous	$\label{thm:commended} \textbf{Fuels Reduction and Wildfire Prevention Recommended Primary Funding:}$	\$48,901,124	\$32,651,851					
₹oun	d 18	Hazardous Fuels Reduction an	d Wildfire Pr	evention Recommended Secondary Funding List							
Rank	Tab #	Project Name	Requesting Entity	Location	Nomination Request	Funding Recommended	Total Recommendation				
2	5	Fuels Reduction - California non- Federal WUI	СТС	California side of the Lake Tahoe Basin WUI	\$5,993,615	\$2,996,808	\$35,648,659				
6	7	Fuels Reduction - National Forest Priority WUI	USFS	Southwest and west portions of the Lake Tahoe Basin in Eldorado and Placer Counties, CA	\$10,489,750	\$5,244,875	\$40,893,534				
7	1	California Community Forestry	СТС	Communities on the California side of the Lake Tahoe Basin	\$4,159,180	\$2,079,590	\$42,973,124				
12	4	Fire Adapted Communities - Lake Tahoe Basin	TRCD	Lake Tahoe Basin, CA and NV							
		Totals for Round 18	Hazardous Fu	rels Reduction and Wildfire Prevention Recommended Secondary Funding:	\$29,347,847	\$14,673,924					
≀ound	18 H	azardous Fuels Reduction and Wildfire	Prevention Non	ninations							

Rank Tab

**Project Name** 

Round 18 Hazardous Fuels Reduction and Wildfire Prevention Not Recommended Funding List									
Rank	Tab #	Project Name	Requesting Entity	Location	Nomination Request	Funding Recommended	Total Recommendation		
13	9	Fuels Reduction - South Lake Tahoe	SLTFR	City of South Lake Tahoe, El Dorado County, CA	\$851,700	\$0	\$47,325,775		
14	10	Fuels Reduction - Tri-County	USFS	Humboldt Toiyabe National Forest in Washoe, Douglas and Carson City Counties, \$1,173,190 \$0					
15	12	Hazardous Fuels Collection - Carson City	CCFD	Private lands within the Carson City area	\$147,290	\$0	\$47,325,775		
16	3	East Mount Rose Corridor Nonfederal	WC	Vicinity of Sky Tavern Ski Resort, Mount Rose corridor, northeast of Lake Tahoe	\$786,435	\$0	\$47,325,775		
		Totals for Ro	und 18 Hazard	lous Fuels Reduction and Wildfire Prevention Not Recommended Funding:	\$2,958,615	\$0			
	Totals for Round 18 Primary Funding Recommendation:								
				Totals for Roun	d 18 Secondary	y Funding List:	\$14,673,924		
				Totals for F	Round 18 Recor	nmended List:	\$47.325.775		

## Southern Nevada Public Land Management Act of 1998 (Public Law 105 - 263) Round 18 Nominations - Environmentally Sensitive Land Aquisitions Preliminary Recommendation

The following is a prioritized list of Environmentally Sensitive Land Acquisition project nominations that have been received and reviewed for possible funding under Round 18 of the Southern Nevada Public Land Management Act of 1998 (Public Law 105 - 263). The purpose of releasing these lists is to obtain input from interested parties. Beginning March 1, 2021, the BLM is accepting written comments on these nominations until close of business (4:30 PM Pacific Time) on April 14, 2021. Comments should be mailed to the SNPLMA Executive Committee Chair, BLM Southern Nevada District Office, 4701 N. Torrey Pines Dr., Las Vegas, NV 89130, faxed to (702) 515-5110, or emailed to snplma@blm.gov. The SNPLMA Executive Committee will meet following the comment period to review comments received to develop a final recommendation for consideration by the Secretary of the Interior in consultation with the Secretary of Agriculture. Questions may be address to the SNPLMA Division, BLM Southern Nevada District Office at (702) 515-5116.

Roun	d 18 E	Environmentally Sensitiv	e Land A	quisitions R	ecommende	ed for SNPI	MA for Fu	nding		
Rank	Tab #	Property Name	Acres	County	Acquiring Agency	Field Office	Nominating Entity	Resource Values	*Nomination Request	Funding Recommened
1	1	McCullough Springs Land Acquisition	40.00	Clark, NV	BLM	SNDO-LVFO	BLM	Wildlife, Recreation, Hunting, Cultural, Hydrologic, access, improved connectivity, desert bighorn sheep and yellow two-toned penstemon both sensitive species, improve access to the South McCullough Wilderness.	\$323,385	\$323,385
		Round 18 En	vironment	ally Sensitive	Lands Acqui	sitions Reco	ommended f	or SNPLMA Totals: Acres: 40.00 Funding:	\$323,385	\$323,385
Roun	d 18 E	Environmentally Sensitiv	e Land A	quisitions N	ot Recomm	ended for F	unding			
Rank	Tab #	Property Name	Acres	County	Acquiring Agency	Field Office	Nominating Entity	Resource Values	*Nomination Request	Funding Recommened
2	2	Torino Ranch Conservation Easement	42.44	Clark, NV	USDA FS	USFSR4	USDA FS	Palmer's Chipmunk, Northern Goshawk, Phainopepla, elk, deer, bighorn sheep, bobcats, mountains lions, foxes, squirrels, rabbits, an abundance of birds, including golden eagles and hawks, bees, butterflies, dragonflies, frogs, toads, and salamanders.	\$10,103,900	\$0
		Rou	nd 18 Envi	ronmentally	Sensitive Lar	ds Acquisiti	ons Not Red	commended Totals: Acres: 42.44 Funding:	\$10,103,900	\$0
				Ro	ound 18 Env	ironmental	ly Sensitiv	e Lands Acquisitions Recommended for SNPLMA	Total Acres:	40.00
				Roui	nd 18 Enviro	nmentally	Sensitive L	ands Acquisitions Recommended for SNPLMA To	otal Funding:	\$323,385

<sup>\*</sup> Nomination Request includes the owner's asking price, plus an estimated amount for associated expenses such as appraisals, surveys, and other allowed costs.