WILDERNESS INVENTORY MAINTENANCE IN BLM OREGON/WASHINGTON

Year: 2008 Current Inventory Unit Number/Name: Round Top Butte Unit (5-65A)

FORM 1: DOCUMENTATION OF BLM WILDERNESS INVENTORY FINDINGS ON RECORD:

	Yes X (if more than one unit is within the area, list the mbers of those units.):
	ory Source: Wilderness Inventory – OR/WA Final Intensive
	y Decisions (November 1980), Page 376-377
b) Inver	tory Unit Name(s)/Number(s): Round Mountain Unit (5-65A)
	()
c) Map	lame(s)/Number(s): November 1980 Map

Existing inventory information regarding wilderness characteristics (if more than one BLM inventory unit is associated with the area, list each unit and answer each question individually for each inventory unit):

Inventory Source: Wilderness Inventory – OR/WA Final Intensive Inventory Decisions (November 1980), Page 376-377

Unit#/ Name	Size (historic acres)	Natural Condition? Y/N	Outstanding Solitude? Y/N	Outstanding Primitive & Unconfined Recreation? Y/N	Supplemental Values? Y/N
5-65A	16,065	Y	N	Ň	N

FORM 2 - DOCUMENTATION OF CURRENT WILDERNESS INVENTORY CONDITIONS

Unit Number/Name: Round Top Butte Unit (5-65A)

(1) Is the unit of sufficient size?

Current Unit Acres:	18, 057	Yes	X	No	
Cultont Omt Actos.	10,001	1 00	2.84	110	_

[State the BLM acreage of the unit. Describe the boundaries of the unit (roads, property lines, etc.) If the area meets one of the exceptions to the size criterion, check "Yes" and describe the exception. If more than one inventory unit is involved, list the acreage in each and evaluate each unit/subunit separately. Complete the analysis for (1), (2), (3),(4) and (5) for each unit or sub-unit. If you check "No" above, check "N/A" (Not Applicable) in the remaining boxes below, and you need not provide additional evaluation for the unit or subunit. Use additional space as necessary.]

Description of the Current Conditions: (Include land ownership, location, topography, vegetation features and summary of major human uses/activities). See Attachment 1 for the definition of key terms.

Citizen Information Received: None.

Boundary Road Determination Process: In updating wilderness inventory information for this BLM unit, several resource staff familiar with the area helped identify routes which would meet the boundary road criteria.

Many of the interior routes not identified as boundary roads may have been mechanically improved or maintained at some point and may be maintained in the future as needed. Most are in a useable condition, but currently they may not receive relatively regular use, so they were not identified as boundary roads.

Boundary changes since 1980: The boundaries for the current Round Top Butte Unit appear to be similar to those identified for Unit 5-65A (See Unit Boundary Map). Changes included identifying developed rights-of-way for powerlines as boundaries where present. Most of these powerlines are close to what were originally identified as boundary roads. One of the original boundary roads is now identified as an interior non-boundary route. One non-federal land inholding that existing 1980 is now BLM land. These changes made the unit slightly larger.

Current Unit Boundaries:

Northern Boundary: A right-of-way with a developed power line – a duplicate of lines is shown due to overlapping data from BLM and Harney County Electric Company, however only one line is present.

Eastern Boundary: A right-of-way with a developed power line (similar line duplication), Bushwell Road, Anderl Place Road and private land

Southern Boundary: Hay Lake/Deep Valley Road and private land

Western Boundary: Obsidian Road, Sinks of Lost Creek Road and private land

ID-team members familiar with the area confirmed that these roads have been mechanically improved or maintained at some point, they are currently in a useable condition. These roads would be maintained in the future as needed and do receive relatively regular use primarily in the late spring, summer and fall. Road uses by grazing permittees include motorized access for monitoring and managing cattle, salting and checking and maintaining range improvements. Use by BLM staff includes motorized access for wildfire suppression, maintaining range improvements, monitoring cattle and rangeland/resource conditions and other resource management activities. Use by the public is primarily associated with recreational activities.

(2) Is	the	unit in a	natural	condition?
Yes .	X		No_	N/A

1980 Unit Description: 1980 Inventory Report described this unit being 13 miles southeast of the community of Hampton. Round Mountain is the most prominent topographic feature and is located in the northwestern portion of the unit and includes several smaller foothills and ravines. Common vegetation in this portion of the unit consists of denser western juniper, big sagebrush, green rabbitbrush, bluebunch wheatgrass and Idaho fescue. The rest of the unit is dominated by low rolling hills and desert plains. A dry river bed runs east-west in the mid portion of the unit. Common vegetation in this portion of the unit consists of scattered western juniper, big sagebrush, green rabbitbrush, bluebunch wheatgrass and Idaho fescue. Though several developments such as powerlines, ways, water developments, fencing and seedings were found to be present, the majority of the unit was found to have the appearance of being affected primarily by the forces of nature and was found to be natural.

Current Condition Description: The primary human uses in the unit and the surrounding area are associated with livestock grazing and recreation by the public. Since the 1980 report was issued, one new water trough and two new low impact water guzzlers for wildlife with buried tanks were installed. A wildfire in July of 2007 burned approximately 1,605 acres within the unit, killing some of the brush and juniper trees (See Unit Character Map). Approximately 156 acres of the burned area in the unit were seeded as part of the emergency stabilization effort. Current BLM data indicates that the following developments exist:

data indicates th			opments exist:	Current Bish
Water Develop Fencing: 12.36 Corral: 1 Non-Boundary	miles		nd 2 Wildlife Guzzl	ers
of the unit. In t distributed. Ov were not substa	he remainde erall, the tea ntially notice	er of the un am found the eable and t	oments is in the nort it, developments are not the imprints of h he unit still has natu	e fairly well uman activities uralness.
(3) Does the ur	it have out	standing o	pportunities for so	litude?
Yes	No_	X	N/A	
not having outs topographic rel avoiding the sig Current Cond portion of Rour wildfire in 2007	tanding opposite and veget this and sour ition Descripted Top Butte 7 (See Unit Counit. The I	ortunities for tative screends of other ption: Over (Round Machanacter Machana	rentory Report desc or solitude due to the ring preventing a var r people within the er 1,600 acres inclustion fountain) was burned fap) further reducing I not identify any no	e lack of risitor from subunit ding a good ed over by ng vegetative
(4) Does the un unconfined rec		standing o	pportunities for p	rimitive and
Yes	No_	X	N/A	
offering opport None of these o	unities for hi opportunities	king, camp could be e	ventory Report description, sightseeing an experienced in an outation, and wildlife a	d photography. utstanding

The only unique points of interest are the scattered clusters of obsidian.

Practically all of the obsidian locations contain ways.

Current Condition Description: The ID-team also identified some big game hunting as an additional recreation opportunity. BLM finds that recreation opportunities present are not unique and do not present characteristics either individually or collectively that would result in these recreation activities being outstanding within the unit.

(5) Does the unit have supplemental values?

	Yes X	No		N/A		
	1980 Unit Descript having obsidian his today as a supplement	torically used	the state of the s			
	Current Condition providing important Species.					
	Summary	y of Findings	and Conclu	ısion		
Unit Nar	ne and Number: Roun	d Top Butte	Unit (5-65A	\overline{D}		
Summa	y Results of Analysis	<u>u:</u>				
1. D	oes the area meet any	of the size rec	quirements?	X Yes	No	
2. D	oes the area appear to	be natural?		X Yes	No	
	oes the area offer outst			solitude or	-	
4. D	oes the area have supp	lemental valu	es?	X Yes	No	_NA
Conclus	ion (Check One):					
	_ The area-or a portion	n of the area-	has wilderne	ess characte	er.	
<u>X</u>	_The area does not h	ave wildernes	ss character.			
Prenared	by: Laura Dowlan, Ou	ıtdoor Recrea	tion Planner	(Wildeme	es Speciali	nt)

Team Members:		
Michael AS		3/10/0
Mike McGee, Wildlife Biologist – Burns District		Date
Bill andersa		3/10/8
Bill Andersen, District Range Management Specialist - Burns District		Date
Laure Dowlan		3/10/08
Laura Dowlan, Outdoor Recreation Planner (Wilderness Specialist) - Burns District		Date
Approved by:		
Joan zy. Suth	3/11/08	
Three Rivers Field Manager – Burns District	Date	
Christia M. Welch Central Oregon Field Manager - Prineville District	3/17/08	
Central Oregon Field Manager – Prineville District	Date	

This form documents information that constitutes an inventory finding on wilderness characteristics. It does not represent a formal land use allocation or a final agency decision subject to administrative remedies under either 43 CFR parts 4 or 1610.5-2.

