CITIZEN PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM

(EVALUATION OF NEW INFORMATION REGARDING WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS ON AN AREA OF PUBLIC LANDS)

Directions: Keep this record as part of the inventory file. Use these findings as part of the

your findings. Notify the proponent of						
Date of Review or Submission: Interdisciplinary (ID) Team Meeting held on 1/10/2007 Proponent: NA – Internally Identified by BLM ID Team Name of Area Identified by the Proponent: Buck Mountain Unit (Subunit 2-1N) BLM Field Office(s) Affected: Three Rivers RA, Burns DO						
					EVALUATION	
					1) Does the submission incl	ude the required:
						fies the specific boundaries of the area in question? ied Unit (Subunit 2-1N)
Yes	No					
of the area and do information in pri	that describes the presence or absence of wilderness characteristics cuments bow that information differs significantly from the for inventories conducted by the BLM regarding the wilderness ? NA – BLM Identified Unit (Subunit 2-1N)					
Yes	No					
c) photographic doc	umentation? NA - BLM Identified Unit (Subunit 2-1N)					
Yes	No					
2. Is there an existing wilde	erness inventory on this area?					
Yes X						
Reference: March 1980 V Unit: Unit 2-1 – Coleman	Wilderness Review –Intensive Inventory (Unit 2-1N) Creek, Subunit Unit 2-1N					
	Existing inventory findings					
	Has wilderness character					
	X Doesn't have wilderness character					

in	vent	ation of the proposal based on relevant information available in the office (prior BLM tories, interdisciplinary team knowledge, aerial photographs, field observations, maps, <u>Explain the basis for each conclusion</u> (use additional space as necessary).
	a)	Are the boundary roads and any roads separating subunits still roads? Yes X No
		Explanation: The boundaries for the current Buck Mountain Unit and the 1980 inventory subunit 2-1N were reviewed and compared by the ID team (see attached map) and found to be very similar. The general boundary description are as follows:
		 To the north unit boundaries are defined by private land and non-pave roads that are maintained when needed to ensure relatively regular and continuous use.
		 To the east unit boundaries are defined by private land.
		 To the south unit boundaries are defined by a railroad right-of-way and private land.
		 To the west unit boundaries are defined by private land and Opie Homestead and Warm Springs Creek Road, both of which are maintained when needed to ensure relatively regular and continuous use.
	b)	Does the unit/subunit meet the minimum acre requirements? Yes X No
		Explanation: The Buck Mountain unit contains approximately 22,217 acres of BLM-administered lands.
	c)	Have there been changes in the area since the initial/intensive inventory that may affect naturalness? Yes X No
		Explanation: Since the 1980 subunit 2-1N was inventoried, the following change were identified by the ID-team:
		 There are 3,893 acres of seeding within the unit, portions of the Coyote Creek Seeding and the Buck Mountain Fire Rehabilitation Seeding still remain substantially noticeable.
		 Five new reservoirs/water holes have been constructed.
		 Seven new spring developments have been constructed.
		 Approximately ½ mile of new power line has been constructed along the
		north boundary. Approximately two miles fence line has been removed.
		Approximately eight miles new fence line has been constructed.
		 Approximately 14 miles of new roads/routes have been added to the unit
		 Medusahead has established significantly throughout the unit with large solid patches and isolated patches throughout.
		 Gold mineral exploration occurred throughout the 1980's and early

1990's, however most of the pits have been reclaimed.

d) Does the unit/subunit appear to meet the definition of naturalness? Yes X No
Explanation: The existing developments and non-native vegetation are still dispersed throughout the unit and are substantially unnoticeable.
e) Does the unit/subunit appear to contain outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation?
Explanation: The 1980 inventory found that the opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation in subunit 2-1N were not outstanding. The ID-team did not identify any new unusual or unique features or other changes that would modify the inventory findings. The ID-team confirmed that today, the Buck Mountain Unit (subunit 2-1N) continues to offer opportunities for several types of primitive recreational activities including hunting, horseback riding, hiking and rock collecting, but they are not outstanding.
f) Does the unit/subunit contain appear to have outstanding opportunities for solitude? Yes NoX
Explanation: The 1980 inventory found that opportunities for solitude in the subunit 2-1N were not outstanding. The ID-team did not identify any changes that would modify the inventory findings. The opportunity for a visitor to achieve solitude continues to be limited by a lack of topographic and vegetative screening. The ID-team identified that since the 1980 inventory vehicle traffic in the Buck Mountain Unit (Subunit 2-1N) has likely increased due to the additionaroads/routes across the unit as well as increased traffic associated with extended hunting seasons. Train tracks along the south end of the unit have been remove which removes the noises associated with trains passing by.
g) Does the unit/subunit contain appear to have supplemental values? Yes X No
Explanation: The 1980 inventory found that the northern end of subunit 2-1M is inhabited by wild horses and the subunit provides crucial winter range for mule deer and antelope. The ID-team found that a 1983 fire removed much of the shrub species from the unit and it's now dominated by perennial grass species and medusahead with very few shrub species re-established, so the unit no longe provides the quality of winter range it did in 1980. However, Stanleya confertiflora (Malbeur prince's plume) a Bureau sensitive species is present with the unit.
4. From the evidence presented by the proponent, as well as other relevant information (prior BLM inventories, aerial photographs, field observations, maps, etc.), does the WSA Inventory Team conclude that the information is significantly different from the information in prior inventories conducted by BLM regarding the wilderness values of the area or a portion of the area?
Yes No X

5. From the evidence presented by the proponent and from other re BLM inventories, aerial photographs, field observations, maps), the Team concludes: (<i>Check a or b below</i> .)	levant information (prior undersigned WSA Inventory
X a) the decision reached is that the area lacks wilderness charge be considered further. (or)	aracteristics and should not
b) there is a reasonable probability that the area in question thereof) may have wilderness characteristics and a field	n (or a significant portion(s) inventory is necessary.
The Buck Mountain Unit (subunit 2-1N) was found to be nature possess outstanding opportunities for solitude or primitive and Therefore, no wilderness characteristics were found to be present	unconfined recreation.
Interdisciplinary Team	
Laura Dowlan, Outdoor Recreation Planner (Wilderness Specialist) – Burns District	1/30/07
Lui Gnant	1/30/07
Lisa Grant, Rangeland Management Specialist – Burns District	Date 1/30/07
Rick Hall, Natural Resource Specialist (Botany) – Burns District	Date
Jeff Kox, Eine Ecologist – Burns District	1/35/07
Rill Anderse	1/30/07
Bill Andersen, District Range Management Specialist	Date
Terri Geisler, Geologist (Hazmat) – Burns District	1/30/2007 Date
Lindsay Davies, Eisheries Biologist – Burns District	1/30/07 Date
Dindsay Davies, pishenes Biologist - Builts District	1/30/07
Douglas Linn, Botanist – Burns District	Date

Shoot Them	1-30-07	
Scott Thomas, Archeologist - Burns District	Date	
I Concur:		
Field Manager - Three Rivers Resource Area	1/30/07 Date	
NA -not shared		

This determination is part of an interim step in the BLM's internal resource inventory process and does not constitute an appealable decision.

Date

Field Manager - Malheur Resource Area

