Wilderness Inventory Unit Index of Documents Sheep Mountain Contiguous OR-035-018, 13 total pages

Page 1: Index Cover Sheet

Page 2: Form 1 – Documentation of BLM Wilderness Inventory: Findings on Record

Page 5: Form 2 – Documentation of Current Wilderness Inventory Conditions

Page 9: Form 2 – Summary of Findings and Conclusion

Page 10: Wilderness Characteristics Overview—Sheep Mountain Contiguous OR-035-018 Map 1

Page 11: OR-035-018 Sheep Mountain Contiguous BLM Photos

Prepared by:
U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management
Vale District Office
100 Oregon Street
Vale, Oregon 97918



H-6300-1-WILDERNESS INVENTORY MAINTENANCE IN BLM OREGON/WASHINGTON

APPENDIX B – INVENTORY AREA EVALUATION

Evaluation of Current Conditions:

- 1) Document and review the existing BLM wilderness inventory findings on file, if available, regarding the presence or absence of individual wilderness characteristics, using Form 1, below.
- 2) Consider relevant information regarding current conditions available in the office to identify and describe any changes to the existing information (use interdisciplinary (ID) team knowledge, aerial photographs, field observations, maps, etc.), and document your findings on Form 2, below.

When Citizen Information has been submitted regarding wilderness characteristics, document the submitted materials including: date of Submission; Name of District(s) and Field Office(s) Affected; Type of material Submitted (e.g. narrative, map, photo.). Evaluate any submitted citizen information regarding the validity of proposed boundaries of the unit(s), the existence of roads and other boundary features, the size of the unit(s), and the presence or absence of wilderness characteristics based on relevant information available in the office (prior BLM inventories, ID team knowledge, aerial photographs, field observations, maps, etc.)

Conduct field reviews as necessary to verify information and to ascertain current conditions. Reach conclusions on current conditions including boundaries, size of areas and presence or absence of wilderness characteristics. Fully explain the basis for each conclusion on form 2, including any critical differences between BLM and citizen information.

Document your findings regarding current conditions for each inventoried area. Describe how the present conditions are similar to, or have changed from, the conditions documented in the original wilderness inventory. Document your findings on Form 2 for each inventory area. Cite to or attach data considered, including photographs, maps, GIS layers, field trip notes, project files, *etc*.

list

unit.

OR-035-018 - Sheep Mountain **Unit Number/Name: Year:** 2011 **Contiguous**

FORM 1 -- DOCUMENTATION OF BLM WILDERNESS INVENTORY

FINI	DINGS	ON RECORD
1. Is there ex	xisting B	LM wilderness inventory information on all or part of this area?
Yes	X	(If yes, and if more than one unit is within the area, lis the names of those units.):
		entory Source(s) (X) Denotes all applicable BLM Inventory files, printed r published BLM Decision documents with information pertaining to this unit
	Wil	derness Inventories
	(X)	1978 – BLM Wilderness Inventory
	()	April 1979 – Wilderness Proposed Initial Inventory – Roadless Areas and Islands Which Clearly Do Not have Wilderness Characteristics, Oregon and Washington
	Wil	derness Decision Documents
	(X)	August 1979 – Wilderness Review – Initial Inventory, Final Decision on Public Lands Obviously Lacking Wilderness Characteristics and Announcement of Public Lands to be Intensively Inventoried for Wilderness Characteristics, Oregon and Washington (green document)
	()	October 1979 – Wilderness Review – Intensive Inventory - Oregon, Proposed Decision on the Intensive Wilderness Inventory of Selected Areas (grey document).
	(X)	March 1980 – Wilderness Review – Intensive Inventory; Final Decisions on 30 Selected Units in Southeast Oregon and Proposed Decisions on Other Intensively Inventoried Units in Oregon and Washington (orange document)
	(X)	November 1980 - Wilderness Inventory – Oregon and Washington, Final Intensive Inventory Decisions (brown document)
	()	November 1981 <i>Stateline Intensive Wilderness Inventory Final Decision, Oregon, Idaho, Nevada, Utah</i> (tan document).

B.) Inventory Unit Name(s)/Number(s)

BLM Sheep Mountain OR-6-3

C.) Map Name(s)/Number(s)

- () Final Decision Initial Wilderness Inventory Map, August 1979, Oregon
- () Proposed Decision -- Intensive Wilderness Inventory of Selected Areas Map, October 1979, Oregon
- (X) Intensive Wilderness Inventory Map, March 1980, Oregon
- (X) Intensive Wilderness Inventory --Final Decisions Map, November 1980, Oregon
- () November, 1981 *Stateline Intensive Wilderness Inventory Final Decision, Oregon, Idaho, Nevada, Utah* (tan document).

D.) BLM District(s)/Field Office(s)

Vale District Baker Field Office

2. BLM Inventory Findings on Record

(Existing inventory information regarding wilderness characteristics (if more than one BLM inventory unit is associated with the area, list each unit and answer each question individually for each inventory unit):

Inventory Source: See above.

Unit#/ Name	Size (historic acres)	Natural Condition? Y/N	Outstanding Solitude? Y/N	Outstanding Primitive & Unconfined Recreation? Y/N	Supplemental Values? Y/N
Sheep Mountain OR-6-3 Inventory Area	8,200	Y	Y	Y	Y
Sheep Mtn. OR-6-3 final acreage	7,040	Y	Y	Y	Y
TOTAL	7,040				

H-6300-1-WILDERNESS INVENTORY MAINTENANCE IN BLM OREGON/WASHINGTON

APPENDIX B – INVENTORY AREA EVALUATION

Evaluation of Current Conditions:

- 1) Document and review the existing BLM wilderness inventory findings on file, if available, regarding the presence or absence of individual wilderness characteristics, using Form 1, below.
- 2) Consider relevant information regarding current conditions available in the office to identify and describe any changes to the existing information (use interdisciplinary (ID) team knowledge, aerial photographs, field observations, maps, etc.), and document your findings on Form 2, below.

When Citizen Information has been submitted regarding wilderness characteristics, document the submitted materials including: date of Submission; Name of District(s) and Field Office(s) Affected; Type of material Submitted (e.g. narrative, map, and photos). Evaluate any submitted citizen information regarding the validity of proposed boundaries of the unit(s), the existence of roads and other boundary features, the size of the unit(s), and the presence or absence of wilderness characteristics based on relevant information available in the office (prior BLM inventories, ID team knowledge, aerial photographs, field observations, maps, etc.)

Conduct field reviews as necessary to verify information and to ascertain current conditions. Reach conclusions on current conditions including boundaries, size of areas and presence or absence of wilderness characteristics. Fully explain the basis for each conclusion on form 2, including any critical differences between BLM and citizen information.

Document your findings regarding current conditions for each inventoried area. Describe how the present conditions are similar to, or have changed from, the conditions documented in the original wilderness inventory. Document your findings on Form 2 for each inventory area. Cite to or attach data considered, including photographs, maps, GIS layers, field trip notes, project files, *etc*.

<u>FORM 2</u> -- DOCUMENTATION OF CURRENT WILDERNESS INVENTORY CONDITIONS

Unit Number/Name: OR-035-018 – Sheep Mountain Contiguous

Description of Current Conditions: [Include land ownership, location, topography, vegetation features and summary of major human uses/activities.]

Yes X	No	
Description	: Refer to this inventory unit's associated Map 1 for its location.	The Sheep
Mountain Co	ontiguous is a 961 acre unit includes all of the historic units of OR	2-6-3
(Sheep Mou	ntain WSA) plus additional public lands contiguous with the exist	ing WSA
boundaries.	The unit consists of six parcels, each of them contiguous with the	WSA. For
this inventor	ry maintenance, the parcels are referenced as the north parcel (197	acres), the
east parcel a	rea (39 acres, 4 acres, 32 acres, and 7 acres), and the south parcel	(682

boundary being a legal description that most closely followed topography. For this wilderness characteristic inventory maintenance, because each parcel is contiguous to the

WSA, each parcel of this inventory unit -- regardless of its size meets size criteria.

Boundaries of the unit's parcels include a power transmission line right-of-way (ROW) of the north, east and south parcels, and BLM road #7644-0-00 on the southwest of the southern parcel. Boundary roads of the unit receive mechanical maintenance as needed to keep them passable on a relatively regular and continuous basis.

acres). The boundaries with the WSA are contiguous predominately due to a WSA's prior

2. Is the unit in a natural condition?

1. Is the unit of sufficient size?

Yes X No

Description: The inventory unit's north and east parcels are primarily eastward-facing and dominated by very steep gradient slopes, cut by multiple drainages flowing toward the unit's east ROW boundary after originating from the historic WSA boundary description. The unit's south parcel is also dominated by very steep gradients and very steep sloping terrain that radiates from the BLM ridgeline boundary road to the west and the ROW to the south and drops continually and significantly to the east until meeting the ROW boundary. All of the contiguous parcels to the WSA are dominated by steep easterly sloping terrain with many draws and drainages extending to their boundary features. Together, the 6 parcel areas of the unit range in elevation from 2,554 to 3,598 feet. The dominate vegetation in each parcel of the unit is sagebrush and both native and nonnative grasses.

See this unit's associated Map 1, for locations of human imprints associated with the 6 parcels of this inventory unit which include approximately 1.14 miles of rangeland fencing located within the southernmost unit.

Although the acreage of the 6 parcel areas as a whole, appear to be affected primarily by the forces of nature, the imprint of humans outside and very close to the unit is substantially noticeable to the average visitor. The imprints from humans that were identified in the 1980 Final review that dropped these parcels from the original inventory acreage still exist and have in fact increased in their direct effect on the naturalness of the unit. The east and southern ROW boundary features of the unit have been upgraded from the initial inventory of wooden lattice 69kv transmission lines to the current largerstructured towers of a 230kv transmission line. The southern ROW boundary is grey lattice structures while the eastern boundary is brown/rust colored single pole structures. Both structures range in height from 85' to 120' and are immediately adjacent to unit boundaries. In addition, the Oxbow-Brownlee road, the oxbow village, campgrounds, Brownlee Dam, Oxbow Reservoir, power transmission substations, transmission line service roads constructed within the ROW (12 miles), and all of their ancillary structures in both Oregon and Idaho, can all or in part, be easily visible from the north, east and southern contiguous parcels. The steepness of the terrain that provides an elevated view, the size of the developments, as well as the close proximity that ranges from \(\frac{1}{2} \) mile, makes these human impacts visually unavoidable, substantial and directly impact the sense of naturalness of the contiguous parcels of the unit.

3. Does the unit have outstanding opportunities for solitude?

Yes	No_	X	N/A
	_		_

Description: The 6 contiguous sectors identified in the inventory unit do not, by themselves, provide for outstanding opportunities for solitude. In addition, although each of these areas of the unit are contiguous to the Sheep Mountain WSA (which does provide outstanding opportunities for solitude), they do not individually or collectively provide for an extension of the outstanding solitude of the WSA, nor does the WSA provide an extension to the wilderness character of the parcels. This is somewhat qualified by the unit's transmission line ROW boundary along its east and southern sides, where the overbearing visual presence of the large power line in conjunction with human activities just outside of the unit diminishes the solitude experience as a visitor approaches the boundary within an associated parcel of this unit. Additionally, the extreme narrowness (from 100 yards to ½ mile) of the parcels, the vertical aspect of the units as well as the human imprints caused by the extensive developments of private land as part of the boundary relative to the configuration of the parcel, also precludes the potential for outstanding solitude. Uses outside the unit but directly associated with its eastern northern boundaries come from the concentrated and highly visited recreational activities of the Oxbow and Brownlee Reservoirs. These activities which include general high use vehicle traffic, motorized watercraft, vehicle-based camping including generators, the developed McCormick and Old Carters Landing campgrounds and

Brownlee Village directly affects the solitude of the unit where the sights and sounds of man existing outside the area cannot easily be avoided. In summary, the unit does not offer outstanding opportunities for solitude.

	Yes	No _	X	N/A		
	photograp within the parcels of described associated for enhan summary uniquenes	ohy. Indivi- e parcels of the unit to opportuni- on with the d with the ced opport , the primit	idually or in f the unit its o draw visito ties for the 6 contiguous WSA. The unities of the tive and unce to consider	elf. There are ors to them specifications of parcels are n WSA, nor do extreme narrow the previously confined recrease.	ng, camping, hunting, sightseeing, are these opportunities are not outstanding no unique sights or attractions within ecifically for the purpose of recreation of enhanced or increased due to its the parcels enhance the opportunities were and size of the parcels do not plescribed recreational activities. In attion opportunities are not of such qualing – individually or in combination, the WSA.	ng n the 3 n. The s provide ality,
5.]	Does the unit	have sup	plemental v	values?		
	Yes_		No X	N/A		

Summary of Findings and Conclusion

Unit Name and Number: OR-035-018 Sheep Mountain	<u>Contig</u>	guous	
Summary Results of Analysis: 1. Does the area most any of the size requirements?	V	Vos	No
1. Does the area meet any of the size requirements?	Λ	r es	1N0
2. Does the area appear to be natural?	X	Yes	_No
3. Does the area offer outstanding opportunities for and unconfined type of recreation? Y		-	
4. Does the area have supplemental values?Y	Yes	X No _	NA
Conclusion check one: The area or a portion of the area, has wilde	erness c	haracter.	
X The area does not have wilderness characte	er.		
Prepared by: 10-3 Kevin McCoy, Outdoor Recreation Planner	-11		
Team Members:			
Hay Timmon)	10/3/11	
Gary Guymon, Rangeland Management Specialist		Date	
Jun 5 Dans		10/03/	(
Marc Pierce, Forester Supervisory Natural Resource Specialist		Date 10 /5/2	011
Katy Coddington, Archeologist		Date /	(1
John Quintela Fisheries Biologist		Date	
Melissa Yzquierdo, Wildlife Biologist/Botanist		Date 10-6-1	/
Eian Ray, GIS		Date	
Approved by			
Ted Davis, Baker Field Office Manager		<u>/0-//-1/</u>	
Tell Linvis. Baker Field Office Manager		Da	ιe

This form documents information that constitutes an inventory finding on wilderness characteristics. It does not represent a formal land use allocation or a final agency decision subject to administrative remedies under either 43 CFR parts 4 or 1610.5-2.







