# Wilderness Inventory Unit Index of Documents Poison Creek OR-034-082, 9 total pages

Page 1: Index Cover Sheet

Page 2: Form 1 – Documentation of BLM Wilderness Inventory: Findings on Record

Page 5: Form 2 – Documentation of Current Wilderness Inventory Conditions

Page 8: Form 2 – Summary of Findings and Conclusion

Page 9: Wilderness Characteristics Overview – Poison Creek OR-034-082 Map 1 of 1

Prepared by:
U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management
Vale District Office
100 Oregon Street
Vale, Oregon 97918



#### H-6300-1-WILDERNESS INVENTORY MAINTENANCE IN BLM OREGON/WASHINGTON

#### APPENDIX B – INVENTORY AREA EVALUATION

#### **Evaluation of Current Conditions:**

- 1) Document and review the existing BLM wilderness inventory findings on file, if available, regarding the presence or absence of individual wilderness characteristics, using Form 1, below.
- 2) Consider relevant information regarding current conditions available in the office to identify and describe any changes to the existing information (use interdisciplinary (ID) team knowledge, aerial photographs, field observations, maps, etc.), and document your findings on Form 2, below.

When Citizen Information has been submitted regarding wilderness characteristics, document the submitted materials including: date of Submission; Name of District(s) and Field Office(s) Affected; Type of material Submitted (e.g. narrative, map, photo). Evaluate any submitted citizen information regarding the validity of proposed boundaries of the unit(s), the existence of roads and other boundary features, the size of the unit(s), and the presence or absence of wilderness characteristics based on relevant information available in the office (prior BLM inventories, ID team knowledge, aerial photographs, field observations, maps, etc.)

Conduct field reviews as necessary to verify information and to ascertain current conditions. Reach conclusions on current conditions including boundaries, size of areas and presence or absence of wilderness characteristics. Fully explain the basis for each conclusion on form 2, including any critical differences between BLM and citizen information.

Document your findings regarding current conditions for each inventoried area. Describe how the present conditions are similar to, or have changed from, the conditions documented in the original wilderness inventory. Document your findings on Form 2 for each inventory area. Cite to or attach data considered, including photographs, maps, GIS layers, field trip notes, project files, *etc*.

Year: 2010 Unit Number/Name: OR-034-082 — Poison Creek

## FORM 1 -- DOCUMENTATION OF BLM WILDERNESS INVENTORY FINDINGS ON RECORD

1. Is there existing BLM wilderness inventory information on all or part of this area?

**Yes X No** (*If yes, and if more than one unit is within the area, list the names of those units.):* 

**A.)** Inventory Source(s) -- (X) Denotes all applicable BLM Inventory files, printed maps, or published BLM Decision documents with information pertaining to this unit.

#### **Wilderness Inventories**

- (X)1978-1980 BLM Wilderness Inventory Unit 3-03 of OR-03-01-25 Poison Creek (unpublished BLM documents in case files)
- (X) April 1979 Wilderness -- Proposed Initial Inventory Roadless Areas and Islands Which Clearly Do Not have Wilderness Characteristics, Oregon and Washington

#### **Wilderness Decision Documents**

- (X) August 1979 Wilderness Review Initial Inventory, Final Decision on Public Lands Obviously Lacking Wilderness Characteristics and Announcement of Public Lands to be Intensively Inventoried for Wilderness Characteristics, Oregon and Washington (green document)
- ( ) October 1979 Wilderness Review Intensive Inventory Oregon, Proposed Decision on the Intensive Wilderness Inventory of Selected Areas (grey document)
- ( ) March 1980 Wilderness Review Intensive Inventory; Final Decisions on 30 Selected Units in Southeast Oregon and Proposed Decisions on Other Intensively Inventoried Units in Oregon and Washington (orange document)
- ( ) November 1980 Wilderness Inventory Oregon and Washington, Final Intensive Inventory Decisions (brown document)
- ( ) November 1981 Stateline Intensive Wilderness Inventory Final Decision, Oregon, Idaho, Nevada, Utah (tan document)

#### B.) Inventory Unit Name(s)/Number(s)

3-03 of BLM OR-03-01-25 Poison Creek

#### C.) Map Name(s)/Number(s)

- (X) Final Decision Initial Wilderness Inventory Map, August 1979, Oregon
- ( ) Proposed Decision -- Intensive Wilderness Inventory of Selected Areas Map, October 1979, Oregon
- ( ) Intensive Wilderness Inventory Map, March 1980, Oregon
- ( ) Intensive Wilderness Inventory -- Final Decisions Map, November 1980, Oregon
- ( ) November, 1981 Stateline Intensive Wilderness Inventory Final Decision, Oregon, Idaho, Nevada, Utah (tan document)

#### D.) BLM District(s)/Field Office(s)

Vale District/Malheur Resource Area

#### 2. BLM Inventory Findings on Record

(Existing inventory information regarding wilderness characteristics (if more than one BLM inventory unit is associated with the area, list each unit and answer each question individually for each inventory unit):

**Inventory Source:** See above.

Unit#/ Name	Size (historic acres)	Natural Condition? Y/N	Outstanding Solitude? Y/N	Outstanding Primitive & Unconfined Recreation? Y/N	Supplemental Values? Y/N
3-03 of OR- 03-01-25 Poison Creek	5,660	N	*	*	*
TOTAL	5,660				

<sup>\* --</sup> These criteria were not addressed during the inventory of this unit since the unit did not meet the natural condition criteria.

#### H-6300-1-WILDERNESS INVENTORY MAINTENANCE IN BLM OREGON/WASHINGTON

#### APPENDIX B – INVENTORY AREA EVALUATION

#### **Evaluation of Current Conditions:**

- 1) Document and review the existing BLM wilderness inventory findings on file, if available, regarding the presence or absence of individual wilderness characteristics, using Form 1, below.
- 2) Consider relevant information regarding current conditions available in the office to identify and describe any changes to the existing information (use interdisciplinary (ID) team knowledge, aerial photographs, field observations, maps, etc.), and document your findings on Form 2, below.

When Citizen Information has been submitted regarding wilderness characteristics, document the submitted materials including: date of Submission; Name of District(s) and Field Office(s) Affected; Type of material Submitted (e.g. narrative, map, photo). Evaluate any submitted citizen information regarding the validity of proposed boundaries of the unit(s), the existence of roads and other boundary features, the size of the unit(s), and the presence or absence of wilderness characteristics based on relevant information available in the office (prior BLM inventories, ID team knowledge, aerial photographs, field observations, maps, etc.)

Conduct field reviews as necessary to verify information and to ascertain current conditions. Reach conclusions on current conditions including boundaries, size of areas and presence or absence of wilderness characteristics. Fully explain the basis for each conclusion on form 2, including any critical differences between BLM and citizen information.

Document your findings regarding current conditions for each inventoried area. Describe how the present conditions are similar to, or have changed from, the conditions documented in the original wilderness inventory. Document your findings on Form 2 for each inventory area. Cite to or attach data considered, including photographs, maps, GIS layers, field trip notes, project files, *etc*.

## FORM 2 -- DOCUMENTATION OF CURRENT WILDERNESS INVENTORY CONDITIONS

Unit Number/Name: <u>OR-034-082 — Poison Creek</u>
<b>Description of Current Conditions:</b> [Include land ownership, location, topography, vegetation features and summary of major human uses/activities.]
1. Is the unit of sufficient size?
Yes <u>X</u> No
<b>Description:</b> Refer to this inventory unit's associated Map 1 for its location. The unit has 5,601 acres of public land. The unit has nearly the same bounds as BLM's late 1970's inventory unit. In the late 1970's BLMm identified a section line as a boundary of the 3-03 unit's southwest sector. Since a section line is not a qualifying boundary feature, some additional adjacent public lands have been included in this current OR-034 082 inventory unit. Also, improved methods since the late 1970's to determine accurate measurements are a factor for the variance of the unit's size.
and north sides, and private land and unnamed road traversing public land on its east side A 40 acre private inholding is also a feature of the unit's boundary. The boundary roads are mechanically maintained as needed to provide for relatively regular and continuous use.
2. Is the unit in a natural condition?
Yes <u>X</u> No N/A
<b>Description:</b> Most of the unit is composed of varied drainages flowing generally to the northeast; steeper slopes are associated mostly with the unit's approximate west half. Poison Creek, its upper tributaries and other channels drain generally northeast through the approximate eastern two-thirds width (east-west) of the unit. Further east, sloping terrain draining generally to the northeast becomes of lower gradient and profile between shallower drainages which approach closer to the unit's east and northeast boundary features. Elevations range from 3,100 to 5,520 feet. Vegetation is predominately sagebrush and native grasses.

motorized primitive trails (MPTs), 7.9 miles of rangeland fences, 3 earthen reservoirs with one enclosed by rangeland fence. These are basically the same developments as documented in the late 1970's with the exception that the original inventory identified one of the routes which traverses basically through the unit's middle (totaling about 1.5 miles) as a road. Presently, the route has changed status to an MPT due to no mechanical maintenance performed and BLM's present intent to not mechanically maintain the route

3. Does the unit have outstanding opportunities for solitude?

in the future. Unlike in the late 1970's, the present BLM interdisciplinary team concludes that due to combination of the types, extent, and spatial distribution between the human developments —relative to the unit's size — the area appears to be affected primarily by the forces of nature with the imprint of humans substantially unnoticeable to the average visitor.

Pescription: The unit has insufficient vegetative cover for screening between visitor provide for outstanding opportunities for solitude. The unit's configuration is such that northeast and southeast sectors are restricted to very narrow extensions not exceeding about 0.6 miles in width. Its boundaries are such that the unit is between about 2 and miles maximum in one direction and about 1.25 to 2.75 miles maximum in the other. This configuration results in a relatively small central core area within the unit, and v it partially affected but he 40 acre inholding. While a visitor can experience a sense being isolated — mostly as associated with the small central core area of the unit — the unit's configuration and boundary features combined with its topographic properties lack of vegetative screening results in settings insufficient to provide outstanding opportunities for solitude.  4. Does the unit have outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation.  Yes NoX N/A  Description: The primary recreation is hunting of common game species (primarily and chukar) and associated hiking. The unit possesses no special or unique features which would draw visitors to it. Individually or in combinations, the activities do not provide outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation.  5. Does the unit have supplemental values?  Yes NoX N/A  Description: None known.				0 11			
provide for outstanding opportunities for solitude. The unit's configuration is such the northeast and southeast sectors are restricted to very narrow extensions not exceeding about 0.6 miles in width. Its boundaries are such that the unit is between about 2 and miles maximum in one direction and about 1.25 to 2.75 miles maximum in the other. This configuration results in a relatively small central core area within the unit, and v it partially affected but he 40 acre inholding. While a visitor can experience a sense being isolated — mostly as associated with the small central core area of the unit — the unit's configuration and boundary features combined with its topographic properties lack of vegetative screening results in settings insufficient to provide outstanding opportunities for solitude.  4. Does the unit have outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation.  Yes No X N/A  Description: The primary recreation is hunting of common game species (primarily and chukar) and associated hiking. The unit possesses no special or unique features which would draw visitors to it. Individually or in combinations, the activities do not provide outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation.  5. Does the unit have supplemental values?  Yes No X N/A		Yes	No	<u>X</u>	N/A		
Description: The primary recreation is hunting of common game species (primarily and chukar) and associated hiking. The unit possesses no special or unique features which would draw visitors to it. Individually or in combinations, the activities do not provide outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation.  5. Does the unit have supplemental values?  Yes NoX N/A	4. Do	provide for on northeast and about 0.6 mil miles maxim. This configurit partially af being isolated unit's configuration of veget opportunities.	outstanding of a southeast siles in width. The sum in one directed but the	pportuni ectors are Its boun irection a s in a rela e 40 acre s associa boundary ing result	ties for solitude. The e restricted to very nadaries are such that and about 1.25 to 2.7 atively small central inholding. While a ted with the small certain features combined its in settings insuffic	e unit's configuration is arrow extensions not e the unit is between about 5 miles maximum in the core area within the unit visitor can experience entral core area of the unit with its topographic prient to provide outstan	s such that its exceeding out 2 and 3.5 he other. nit, and with a sense of unit the operties and ding
and chukar) and associated hiking. The unit possesses no special or unique features which would draw visitors to it. Individually or in combinations, the activities do not provide outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation.  5. Does the unit have supplemental values?  Yes NoX N/A		Yes	No	<u>X</u>	N/A		
Yes NoX N/A		and chukar) a which would	and associated draw visitor	ed hiking rs to it. Ir	g. The unit possesses adividually or in con	no special or unique fabinations, the activities	features
	5. Do	oes the unit ha	ve supplem	ental val	ues?		
<b>Description:</b> None known.		Yes	No	<u>X</u>	N/A		
		Description:	None know	vn.			

### **Summary of Findings and Conclusion**

Unit Name and Number: OR-034-082 — Poison Creek			
Summary Results of Analysis:			
1. Does the area meet any of the size requirements?	X	Yes	No
2. Does the area appear to be natural?	X	_Yes _	No
3. Does the area offer outstanding opportunities for s		_	
and unconfined type of recreation? Ye	es X	No	NA
4. Does the area have supplemental values?Yes	s <u>X</u>	No	NA
Conclusion check one:			
The area, or a portion of the area, has wilder	ness ch	aracter.	
V The court described with the control of the court of th			
X The area does not have wilderness character.	•		
Rest of			
Prepared by:  Robert Alward, Wilderness Planner contractor			
Team Members:			
Gans Brown		12/1	10
Garry Brown, Rangeland Management Specialist		Date	
Davidt Llomes		12/1/ Date 12/6/	10
Dan Thomas, Range Technician		Date	
gil Will		12/4	110
Gillian Wigglesworth, Potanist		Date	4
Juniore Silva	11-1	6-20	10
Inn Silva, Weed Coordinator		Date	
CY VIRULU ( OUTLINGS)		Lalle	
Michelle Caviness, Wildlife Biologist		Date	
(in the	/	2-10-	10
Eian Ray, GIS Specialist, contractor		Date	/
Brenth Thush	1	2/9/	in
Brent Grasty, GIS Coordinator		Date	
Approved by:			
Approved by:			
Approved by:		12/12/	1
Pat Ryan, Malheur Resource Area Field Manager	_	12/3/	10

This form documents information that constitutes an inventory finding on wilderness characteristics. It does not represent a formal land use allocation or a final agency decision subject to administrative remedies under either 43 CFR parts 4 or 1610.5-2.

