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Prepared by:
U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management
Vale District Office
100 Oregon Street
Vale, Oregon 97918

NATIONAL SYSTEM OF PUBLIC LANDS
Evaluation of Current Conditions:

1) Document and review the existing BLM wilderness inventory findings on file, if available, regarding the presence or absence of individual wilderness characteristics, using Form 1, below.

2) Consider relevant information regarding current conditions available in the office to identify and describe any changes to the existing information (use interdisciplinary (ID) team knowledge, aerial photographs, field observations, maps, etc.), and document your findings on Form 2, below.

When Citizen Information has been submitted regarding wilderness characteristics, document the submitted materials including: date of Submission; Name of District(s) and Field Office(s) Affected; Type of material Submitted (e.g. narrative, map, photo). Evaluate any submitted citizen information regarding the validity of proposed boundaries of the unit(s), the existence of roads and other boundary features, the size of the unit(s), and the presence or absence of wilderness characteristics based on relevant information available in the office (prior BLM inventories, ID team knowledge, aerial photographs, field observations, maps, etc.)

Conduct field reviews as necessary to verify information and to ascertain current conditions. Reach conclusions on current conditions including boundaries, size of areas and presence or absence of wilderness characteristics. Fully explain the basis for each conclusion on form 2, including any critical differences between BLM and citizen information.

Document your findings regarding current conditions for each inventoried area. Describe how the present conditions are similar to, or have changed from, the conditions documented in the original wilderness inventory. Document your findings on Form 2 for each inventory area. Cite to or attach data considered, including photographs, maps, GIS layers, field trip notes, project files, etc.
Year: 2010  Unit Number/Name: OR-03-101 — Pine Tree Ridge

FORM 1 -- DOCUMENTATION OF BLM WILDERNESS INVENTORY
FINDINGS ON RECORD

1. Is there existing BLM wilderness inventory information on all or part of this area?
   Yes ___X___  No ___

   (If yes, and if more than one unit is within the area, list the names of those units.):

   A.) Inventory Source(s) — (X) Denotes all applicable BLM Inventory files, printed maps, or published BLM Decision documents with information pertaining to this unit.

   Wilderness Inventories
   • (X) 1978 -- BLM Wilderness Inventory Unit OR-03-01-05 Pine Tree Ridge (unpublished BLM documents case files)
   • ( ) April 1979 -- Wilderness -- Proposed Initial Inventory -- Roadless Areas and Islands Which Clearly Do Not Have Wilderness Characteristics, Oregon and Washington

   Wilderness Decision Documents
   • ( ) August 1979 -- Wilderness Review -- Initial Inventory, Final Decision on Public Lands Obviously Lacking Wilderness Characteristics and Announcement of Public Lands to be Intensively Inventoried for Wilderness Characteristics, Oregon and Washington (green document)
   • ( ) October 1979 -- Wilderness Review -- Intensive Inventory - Oregon, Proposed Decision on the Intensive Wilderness Inventory of Selected Areas (grey document)
   • ( ) March 1980 -- Wilderness Review -- Intensive Inventory: Final Decisions on 30 Selected Units in Southeast Oregon and Proposed Decisions on Other Intensively Inventoried Units in Oregon and Washington (orange document)
   • ( ) November 1980 - Wilderness Inventory -- Oregon and Washington, Final Intensive Inventory Decisions (brown document)
   • ( ) November 1981 Stateline Intensive Wilderness Inventory Final Decision, Oregon, Idaho, Nevada, Utah (tan document)

   B.) Inventory Unit Name(s)/Number(s)
   Partially of BLM OR-03-01-05 Pine Tree Ridge

   C.) Map Name(s)/Number(s)
   • ( ) Final Decision -- Initial Wilderness Inventory Map, August 1979, Oregon
   • ( ) Proposed Decision -- Intensive Wilderness Inventory of Selected Areas Map, October 1979, Oregon
   • ( ) Intensive Wilderness Inventory Map, March 1980, Oregon
   • ( ) Intensive Wilderness Inventory -- Final Decisions Map, November 1980, Oregon
   • ( ) November, 1981 Stateline Intensive Wilderness Inventory Final Decision, Oregon, Idaho, Nevada, Utah (tan document)
D.) BLM District(s)/Field Office(s)
Vale District/Malheur Resource Area

2. BLM Inventory Findings on Record
(Existing inventory information regarding wilderness characteristics (if more than one
BLM inventory unit is associated with the area, list each unit and answer each question
individually for each inventory unit):

Inventory Source: See above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit#/ Name</th>
<th>Size (historic acres)</th>
<th>Natural Condition? Y/N</th>
<th>Outstanding Solitude? Y/N</th>
<th>Outstanding Primitive &amp; Unconfined Recreation? Y/N</th>
<th>Supplemental Values? Y/N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Partially of OR-03-01-05 Pine Tree Ridge</td>
<td>7,430*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>7,430</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* -- This unit consisted of several sub-parcels separated by what were determined to be roads, the largest parcel being less than 5,000 acres (4,280 acres). Thus, none of the unit’s sub-parcels met any size criteria, so these criteria were not addressed.
Evaluation of Current Conditions:

1) Document and review the existing BLM wilderness inventory findings on file, if available, regarding the presence or absence of individual wilderness characteristics, using Form 1, below.

2) Consider relevant information regarding current conditions available in the office to identify and describe any changes to the existing information (use interdisciplinary (ID) team knowledge, aerial photographs, field observations, maps, etc.), and document your findings on Form 2, below.

When Citizen Information has been submitted regarding wilderness characteristics, document the submitted materials including: date of Submission; Name of District(s) and Field Office(s) Affected; Type of material Submitted (e.g. narrative, map, photo). Evaluate any submitted citizen information regarding the validity of proposed boundaries of the unit(s), the existence of roads and other boundary features, the size of the unit(s), and the presence or absence of wilderness characteristics based on relevant information available in the office (prior BLM inventories, ID team knowledge, aerial photographs, field observations, maps, etc.)

Conduct field reviews as necessary to verify information and to ascertain current conditions. Reach conclusions on current conditions including boundaries, size of areas and presence or absence of wilderness characteristics. Fully explain the basis for each conclusion on form 2, including any critical differences between BLM and citizen information.

Document your findings regarding current conditions for each inventoried area. Describe how the present conditions are similar to, or have changed from, the conditions documented in the original wilderness inventory. Document your findings on Form 2 for each inventory area. Cite to or attach data considered, including photographs, maps, GIS layers, field trip notes, project files, etc.
FORM 2 -- DOCUMENTATION OF CURRENT WILDERNESS INVENTORY CONDITIONS

Unit Number/Name: OR-034-101 — Pine Tree Ridge

Description of Current Conditions: [Include land ownership, location, topography, vegetation features and summary of major human uses/activities.]

1. Is the unit of sufficient size?

   Yes  X  No

   Description: Refer to this inventory unit’s associated Map 1 for its location. The unit has 6,783 acres of public land. The unit’s boundaries differ somewhat from BLM’s 1978 wilderness characteristics inventory, in part because – unlike the original OR-03-01-05 unit -- this present unit does not include separated public land parcels. Furthermore, two routes of OR-03-01-05 then determined to be roads which further split up this original unit (thus creating sub-parcels, none which met any size criteria) have changed status to motorized primitive trails (MPTs) because no known mechanical maintenance has been performed on them and with BLM presently not intending to conduct such maintenance on those routes in the foreseeable future.

The boundaries of OR-034-101 are private lands on its east side, private lands and County road 1139 on its north side, County road 751 on its east side, and County road 906 on its south side. A mechanically widened strip (about 100 feet) along and abutting most of the south boundary road’s length —serving as a wildfire break -- is apparent and serves as a boundary feature of the unit. A 40 acre private inholding is also a boundary feature of the unit. Boundary roads are mechanically maintained as required to provide for relatively regular and continuous use.

2. Is the unit in a natural condition?

   Yes  X  No  N/A

   Description: The unit is dominated by broken terrain of rolling hills, low-profiled ridgelines and multidirectional drainage patterns. Elevations range from 2,280 to 3,380 feet. Vegetation is predominately sagebrush and both native and non-native grasses.

Refer to this unit’s associated Map 1 and Map 2 for human imprints. There are 6.5 miles of rangeland fences, one developed spring and 11 miles of 8 MPTs (4 which are segments of one route dissected by private land parcels). There are also barely distinguishable segment remnants of an abandoned motorized vehicle route, and remnant debris associated with the now abandoned Redskull Well windmill located close to the unit’s west boundary. There remains virtually no visual contrast of 2001 disc-drill and aerial seedings which, combined, affected substantial portions of the unit; there also is no visual contrast of a 2006 disc-drill seeding of both native and non-native grasses which covered a small corridor along or near the unit’s south boundary road. The unit appears to be
affected primarily by the forces of nature with the imprint of humans substantially unnoticeable to the average visitor.

3. Does the unit have outstanding opportunities for solitude?

Yes ______ No ___X___ N/A _______

Description: The unit has insufficient vegetative cover for screening between visitors to provide for outstanding opportunities for solitude. The unit’s configuration is such that its northern approximate quarter is joined with the rest of the unit by only a narrow neck of public land, affectively providing no settings in this northern sector of sufficient size, configuration and/or adequate topographic relief for outstanding opportunities for solitude to the average visitor. The remainder of the unit is 1.5 to 2.2 miles wide by 3 to 4.5 miles in length. While this area of the unit provides some settings where a visitor would sense being isolated due to the nature of its varied terrain, this area’s dimensions and size (just over 5,000 acres) – in combination with its continuity of public lands disrupted by the centrally located private inholding and the area’s narrow width – does not possess sufficient topographic diversity or magnitude to provide outstanding opportunities for solitude to the average visitor.

4. Does the unit have outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation?

Yes ______ No ___X___ N/A _______

Description: The primary recreation is hunting of common game species (primarily deer and chukar) and associated hiking. The unit possesses no special or unique features which would draw visitors to it. Individually or in combinations, the activities do not provide outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation.

5. Does the unit have supplemental values?

Yes ___X_____ No _______ N/A ______

Description: Two small sites of Oregon Princesplume (Stanleya confertiflora) – a BLM special status plant species -- have been documented. The unit’s west boundary road is the same route as the congressionally designated Tub Mountain segment of the National Historic Oregon Trail. Respectively, a small portion of the Trail’s corridor setting is within the inventory unit (there are no known traces of the traveled trail, itself, within the inventory unit) and is included in BLM Vale District’s administratively designated National Historic Oregon Trail Area of Critical Environmental Concern (not displayed on Map 1 or Map 2).
Summary of Findings and Conclusion

Unit Name and Number: OR-034-101 — Pine Tree Ridge

Summary Results of Analysis:
1. Does the area meet any of the size requirements?  ___X__Yes   ______No
2. Does the area appear to be natural?           ___X__Yes  ______ No
3. Does the area offer outstanding opportunities for solitude or a primitive and unconfined type of recreation?    _____Yes ___X___No   ______ NA
4. Does the area have supplemental values?  ___X__Yes   _____No   ______ NA

Conclusion -- check one:
_______  The area, or a portion of the area, has wilderness character.

____ X___ The area does not have wilderness character.
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This form documents information that constitutes an inventory finding on wilderness characteristics. It does not represent a formal land use allocation or a final agency decision subject to administrative remedies under either 43 CFR parts 4 or 1610.5-2.
Wilderness Inventory Unit

Pine Tree Ridge OR-034-101

Note: The land treatments represented by many blue dots on the map are not related to the map.