Bush Dome Helium Reservoir





Predictions of the Bush Dome reservoir's future performance, plus any other analysis contained within this presentation, are interpretive, using accepted reservoir engineering practices with the data made available for this work. NITEC LLC does not warrant or guarantee that any interpretation or proposed operation will perform as forecast.





- Reservoir Status (Operations: 2016-2017)
- Simulation Model Status
- Predictions
- Conclusions



Tour de France -2017



Field Operations Summary:

- July 2016 2017
- Comparison to prior years
- Bi-A6 Summary
- Production Analysis
- Helium Concentration Maps
- Flowing WHP
- Water Encroachment



Summary – 2016-17 Operations

- Field/HEU currently at or close to minimum suction pressure and maximum flow
- High and low helium demand periods throughout the year (July 2016 – July 2017)
- Central compression installed but not up and running
- Small volume of crude helium was injected in Dec 2016
- Water encroachment impacts some wells
- Overall reservoir performance was as expected, given flowing pressure constraints



Field & HEU Summary									
	July-July								
	2016-17								
HEU Operating	347	days							
HEU Down	18	days							
He rate < 1MM/d	7	days							
He rate > 6.25mm/d	0	days							
Beg. Avg Flowing Press	229.0	psia							
End Avg Flowing Press	197.0	psia							
Change in Flowing Press	-32.0	psi							
Total Gas Produced	6.353	BCF							
Total Gas Injected	-0.010	BCF							
Net Gas	6.343	BCF							
He Produced	1.059	BCF							
He Injected	-0.007	BCF							
He Net	1.052	BCF							





Summary – 2016-17 Operations: High Demand

- High He demand periods: Sep Nov, May Jun
- Current period:
 - 38 Days flowing 190-193 psia at HEU
 - Total Gas rate (MMcf/d): Max 21.1, Min 15.9, Avg 19.5
 - Helium rate (MMcf/d): Max 3.5, Min 2.3, Avg 3.3

8



Summary – 2016-17 Operations: high He rate





Summary – 2016-17 Operations: Low Demand

- Low He demand periods: Dec Feb, Mar May
- Dec-Feb period:
 - Some gas injection in Dec
 - Lowest total gas rate, 6 days at < 12.5 MM/d} helium average rate ~ 1.7 MM/d
- Mar- May period:
 - Initially high total gas rate ~20 MM/d, reduced to 15 MM/d
 - Helium average rate ~2.2 MM/d, lowest ~1.7 MM/d
 - No gas injection



Summary – 2016-17 Operations: low He rate





Summary – 2016-17 Operations: min Pressure

- Current K100 modifications (2016) provide for minimum flowing pressure of ~182 psig (~197 psia FWHP)
- Additional modifications have been proposed but have not yet been implemented
- Central compressor is installed, but not on-line It will provide significantly lower flowing pressures (~50 psig, ~75 psia FWHP)



Summary – 2016-17 Operations: Pmin





Summary – 2016-17 Operations: Bi-A6



Bi-A6 Summary									
July-July									
	2016-17								
Producing	322	days							
Injecting	10	days							
No Flow	33	days							
Total Gas Produced	493.76	MM							
Total Gas Injected	-9.8	MM							
Net Gas	484.0	MM							
He produced	234.879	MM							
He injected	-7.2	MM							
Net He	227.7	MM							
Beginning He %	48.59%								
Ending He%	45.27%								
Change in He%	-3.32%								
Bi-A6 produced 22% of 2016-2017 Helium									
Bi-A6 He % range 45.2% - 74.2%									



Field & HEU Summary										
	July-July									
	2016-17									
HEU Operating	347	days								
HEU Down	18	days								
He rate < 1MM/d	7	days								
He rate > 6.25mm/d	0	days								
Beg. Avg Flowing Press	232.0	psia								
End Avg Flowing Press	197.0	psia								
Change in Flowing Press	-35.0	psi								
Total Gas Produced	6.353	BCF								
Total Gas Injected	-0.010	BCF								
Net Gas	6.343	BCF								
He Produced	1.059	BCF								
He Injected	-0.007	BCF								
He Net	1.052	BCF								

Bi-A6 Summary								
July-July								
	2016-17							
Producing	322	days						
Injecting	10	days						
No Flow	33	days						
Total Gas Produced	493.76	MM						
Total Gas Injected	-9.8	MM						
Net Gas	484.0	MM						
He produced	234.879	MM						
He injected	-7.2	MM						
Net He	227.7	MM						
Beginning He %	48.59%							
Ending He%	45.27%							
Change in He%	-3.32%							
Bi-A6 produced 22% of 2016-2017 Helium								
Bi-A6 He % range 45.2% - 74.2%								



Field Production: 2012-2017

Field & HEU Summary										
beginning	July	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016		5 Year		
ending	July	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017		Totals		
HEU Operating	days	361	359	355	307	347		1729		
HEU Down	days	4	6	10	58	18		96		
He rate < 1MM/d	days	4	11	29	45	7		96		
He rate > 6.25mm/d	days	3	0	0	0	0		3		
Beginning Pressure	psia	278**	287**	277**	255**	232**		278**		
Ending Pressure	psia	251**	277**	255**	232**	197**		197**		
Change	psi	-27	-10	-22	-23	-35		-81		
Total Gas Produced	BCF	7.797	6.669	5.322	4.272	6.353		30.413		
Total Gas Injected	BCF	0.000	-0.021	-0.080	-0.100	-0.010		-0.211		
Net Gas	BCF	7.797	6.648	5.242	4.172	6.343		30.202		
He Produced	BCF	1.970	1.428	0.916	0.751	1.059		6.123		
He Injected	BCF	0.000	-0.015	-0.060	-0.074	-0.007		-0.156		
He Net	BCF	1.970	1.412	0.856	0.677	1.052		5.967		
	K10	00 Moc	lified							



Field Production: 2012 – 2017 (5 years)





Field Production: 2004 - 2017

Field & HEU Summary																
beginning	July	2004	2005	2006	2007	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	5 Ye	ar	2004-17
ending	July	2005	2006	2007	2008	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Tota	ls	Totals
HEU Operating	days	332	348	334	351	361	351	355	361	359	355	307	347	172	9	4161
HEU Down	days	33	17	31	15	4	14	0	4	6	10	58	18	96		210
He rate < 1MM/d	days	35	0	0	1	0	2	0	4	11	29	45	7	96		134
He rate > 6.25mm/d	days	30	82	189	43	28	222	242	3	0	0	0	0	3		839
Beginning Pressure	psia	626	601	575	548	362**	334**	310**	278**	287**	277**	255**	232**	278	**	626
Ending Pressure	psia	601	575	548	523	334**	303**	278**	251**	277**	255**	232**	197**	197	**	197**
Change	psi	-25	-26	-27	-25	-28	-31	-32	-27	-10	-22	-23	-35	-81		-429
Total Gas Produced	BCF	5.026	7.226	7.509	7.431	7.155	7.279	8.154	7.797	6.669	5.322	4.272	6.353	30.4	13	80.193
Total Gas Injected	BCF	-0.060	-0.041	-0.060	-0.183	-0.209	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.021	-0.080	-0.100	-0.010	-0.2	11	-0.764
Net Gas	BCF	4.966	7.185	7.449	7.248	6.946	7.279	8.154	7.797	6.648	5.242	4.172	6.343	30.2	02	79.429
He Produced	BCF	1.262	2.077	2.176	1.930	1.817	2.123	2.263	1.970	1.428	0.916	0.751	1.059	6.1	23	19.771
He Injected	BCF	-0.047	-0.033	-0.048	-0.144	-0.163	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.015	-0.060	-0.074	-0.007	-0.1	56	-0.590
He Net	BCF	1.215	2.045	2.128	1.786	1.654	2.123	2.263	1.970	1.412	0.856	0.677	1.052	5.9	67	19.182
**Flowing Pressures K1	00 Mo	dified														



Field Production: 2004 - 2017





Helium concentration maps
July 1 2016
June 30 2017
Change in He %
Flowing WHP – June 30 2017





21





22











Water Encroachment – Well Issues

- 2 wells are pulling in water: Bi-A7 & Bi-A11
- Bi-A7:
 - Helium well in north
 - Was #6 in helium prod, now dropped to #10
- Bi-A11:
 - Methane well in outer area, south & west
 - Impact methane availability for HEU startup
 - Currently flowing normally



Water encroachment





Water encroachment MFRACTOT MATRIX (2016 7 1) Case: BD17-HM-001_R2 0.500 0.600 0.700 0.800 0.000 0.400 0.100 0.20 0.900 1.000 Fu-A0 Fu-A Bi-A3 Bu-A Bi-A9 Bu-B1



Water encroachment MERACTOT MATRIX (2016 7 1) Case: BD17-HM-001_R2 0.500 0.600 0.700 0.800 0.000 0.400 0.100 0.900 1.000 Fu-A0 Fu-A Bu-A Bi-A9 Bu-B1



Water encroachment – Bi-A7





Water encroachment – Bi-A11



Pressure: Well String : Bi-A11



Conclusions

- Field is at max total gas / max helium until compression issues are resolved (K100 modification and/or Central Compression)
- Currently in a high He demand period, field flowing at/near maximum ability, Total gas and He rates will decline over time until min flowing pressure is addressed.
- He concentration below 45% for all wells
- Water encroachment impacting more wells Except for Bi-A7, water encroachment in outer wells will only have a small impact on helium production, but does impact methane for startup





Reservoir Status (Operations: 2016-2017) Simulation Model Status

- Predictions
- Conclusions



Tour de France - 2017



- No changes to model in 2016-17 update
- Updated rates and pressures for 2016-17:
 - Helium match:
 - Field Level: 100.7% of 2016-17 He produced Annual volume: 1.052 vs. 1.060 Bcf (measured vs. model)
 - Most wells (19 of 22) within +/- 3% (very good+)
 - The problem wells showed some increase with mismatch on He%, but their mismatch balance each other, one is high, the other low.
 - Pressure match:
 - Very good to excellent reservoir pressure match



Q: How accurate is the simulation model ?

- Field Level most important for He forecast
 - Very good history match on pressure and He Prod
 - Previous predictions track well with historical trends
 - Predictions should be within +/- 5%, for next few years
- Well Level key wells very important
 - Very good match on pressure and He Prod, but more variability
 - Decline trend match for He is also very good
 - 2 wells have weaker He match
 - Mismatches are balanced between wells (Field match)
- Examples



Examples – History Match Graphs

- South Wells
 - Bi-A6 Best producing well, He Injection
 - Bi-A14 2nd best producing
 - Bu-A2 Shows significant methane invasion
 - Bu-A3 weaker match on He concentration (-8%)

Simulation Model Status - 2017



South Wells



Simulation Model Status - 2017



HM Plot – Bi-A6 (South Well)

 BhpGood(obs) pReservoir(obs) pRes1(clc) 8 Pressure (psi) 80 400 He Concentration(obs) He Concentration(clc) HeConcentration (fraction) 0.80 0.60 0.40 0.20 Inj (MMSCF/d) Wdr (MMSCFA) Qgp(clc) 050 140 240 320 AAAAAA NAA 010 010 100 240 1/1980 1/1990 1/2000 1/2010

Date

Pressure: Well String : Bi-A6


HM Plot – Bi-A6 (South Well)



Pressure: Well String : Bi-A6



HM Plot – Bi-A14 (South Well)

Pressure: Well String : Bi-A14





HM Plot – Bu-A2 (South Well)

Pressure: Well String : Bu-A2



39 July 20 2017



HM Plot – Bu-A3 (South Well)

 BhpGood(obs DReservoir(obs) pRes1(clc) 8 Pressure (psi) 600 400. He Concentration(obs) He Concentration(clc) HeConcentration (fraction) 0.40 0.60 0.80 0.20 Inj (MMSCF/d) Wdr (MMSCFA) 140 240 320 Qgp(clc) APPROX PARTY AND 990 140 040 3.20 2.40 1/1980 1/1990 1/2000 1/2010

Date

Pressure: Well String : Bu-A3



HM Plot – Bu-A3 (South Well)

pReservoir(obs) •pRes1(clc) BhpGood(obs) 8 Pressure (psi) 000 40 He Concentration(obs) He Concentration(clc) HeConcentration (fraction) 0.80 0.60 0.20 0.40 nj (MMSCF/d) Wdr (MMSCF/d) Qgp(clc) 140 240 330 8 T IT 010 010 120 240 1/2012 1/2013 1/2014 1/2015 1/2016 1/2017 Date

Pressure: Well String : Bu-A3



- Examples History Match Graphs
 - North Wells
 - Bi-A13 Best producing well in north area
 - Bi-A7 Good He concentration, water issue
 - Bu-A4 Weaker match on He concentration (+8%)
 - Bi-A5 Improved match on He conc. vs 2016 (-3%)



North Wells





HM Plot – Bi-A13 (North Well)

Pressure: Well String : Bi-A13



44 July 20 2017



HM Plot – Bu-A4 (North Well)

 BhpGood(obs pReservoir(obs) pRes1(clc) 8 Pressure (psi) <u>6</u> 40 0 He Concentration(obs) He Concentration(clc) HeConcentration (fraction) 8.0 0.60 0.40 0.20 Ini (MMSCF/d) war (MMSCFA) Qgp(clc) 140 240 320 10 120 240 140 040 1/2010 1/1980 1/1990 1/2000 Date

Pressure: Well String : Bu-A4



HM Plot – Bi-A5 (North Well)

 BhpGood(obs pReservoir(obs) pRes1(clc) 80 Pressure (psi) 000 400 ----- He Concentration(clc) He Concentration(obs) HeConcentration (fraction) 0.40 0.60 0.80 0.20 Ini (MMSCF/d) Wdr (MMSCFA) Qgp(clc) 140 240 320 8 120 240 140 040 1/1980 1/1990 1/2000 1/2010 Date

Pressure: Well String : Bi-A5



HM Plot – Bi-A7 (North Well)

 BhpGood(obs) pReservoir(obs) pRes1(clc) 8 Pressure (psi) 000 40 He Concentration(obs) He Concentration(clc) HeConcentration (fraction) 0.20 0.40 0.60 0.80 Inj (MMSCF/d) Wdr (MMSCF/d) Qgp(clc) 140 240 320 8 320 240 140 040 1/1980 1/1990 1/2000 1/2010

Date

Pressure: Well String : Bi-A7



Conclusions

- Model shows very good-excellent match at field level for helium rate, concentrations and pressure
- Individual well match on helium rate / fraction shows wider variations, but averages out at field level
- Some changes in measured water production. Model is OK-good water match
 - Outer edge wells on east produce more water in the model than measured.
 - Other wells show good water match
 - Water match for Bi-A7 needs improvement



Helium Rate / Fraction

- Expect model will continue with same level of accuracy VG-Excellent at Field level (Total Gas and He Rate)
- Water Encroachment
 - Provides indications of effects of water encroachment.
 - At this time the model does not accurately predict which wells could be shut-in due to water encroachment and low flow rates.
 - The model can not predict the sudden water breakthroughs due to unidentified fracture connections.





Reservoir Status (Operations: 2015-2016)
 Reservoir History & Life Cycle (Depletion)
 Simulation Model Status
 Predictions
 Conclusions



Tour de France - 2017



Prediction objectives:

Determine maximum possible annual helium production from July 1,2017 – Sep 30 2021

Evaluate impact of low helium demand

Estimate helium recovery post 2021



Results

- Preliminary results to assist with future planning. Prediction results will be reviewed with BLM.
- Final annual sales volumes will be determined by BLM based on the remaining government inventory, in-kind requirements, HSA-2013 mandates, predicted production volumes, and consideration of other relevant factors.



Results

- Note: all results are simulation model estimates, indicating the future trends.
- These predictions do not take into account production changes or future operational issues that can occur in any gas production field – such as (but not limited to)
 - Changes in He demand
 - Well damage/flow issues, water encroachment
 - Surface facility issues, upgrades, repairs....



Prediction cases

- Case 1: Current operations
 - Pmin for all wells = 192 psia
 - Maximum well rates (max He rate)

Case 2: Central compression online 10/15/2017

- Pmin = 75 psia
- Maximum well rates (max He rate)

Case 3: Low Rate till 9/2021

- Pmin for all wells = 75 psia
- 12 MM/d at 11% He 10/2017 to 9/2021
- All Cases: Maximum well rates 9/2021 to 9/2029



Results

- Case comparisons
 - Graphs with rates and cumulative volumes
 - Tables with rates and cumulative volumes

Prediction Cases 2017



Case 1 Current Conditions – (Pmin 192 psia)

Annual Helium Vol (BCF)			
FY	Case 1		
2017	0.256		
2018	0.825		
2019	0.656		
2020	0.532		
2021	0.433		
'17-'21	2.702		

Annual Total Gas Vol (BCF)					
FY	Case 1				
2017	1.559				
2018	5.268				
2019	4.451				
2020	3.774				
2021	3.177				
'17-'21	18.229				



Date



Case 2 Central Compressor – 10/15/17 (Pmin 75 psia)

Annual Helium Vol (BCF)				
FY		Case 2		
2017		0.256		
2018		1.107		
2019		0.890		
2020		0.735		
2021		0.607		
'17-'21		3.595		

Annual Total Gas Vol (BCF)				
FY		Case 2		
2017		1.559		
2018		7.156		
2019		6.307		
2020		5.590		
2021		4.917		
'17-'21		25.529		





Case 1 & 2 Comparison

Annual Helium Vol (BCF)				
FY	Case 1	Case 2		
2017	0.256	0.256		
2018	0.825	1.107		
2019	0.656	0.890		
2020	0.532	0.735		
2021	0.433	0.607		
'17-'21	2.702	3.595		

Annual Total Gas Vol (BCF)				
FY	Case 1	Case 2		
2017	1.559	1.559		
2018	5.268	7.156		
2019	4.451	6.307		
2020	3.774	5.590		
2021	3.177	4.917		
'17-'21	18.229	25.529		





Case 3 Low Rate – 12 MM/d Total Gas, 1.320 MM/d He

Annual Helium Vol (BCF)				
FY	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	
2017	0.256	0.256	0.256	
2018	0.825	1.107	0.453	
2019	0.656	0.890	0.434	
2020	0.532	0.735	0.436	
2021	0.433	0.607	0.434	
'17-'21	2.702	3.595	2.013	

Annual Total Gas Vol (BCF)				
FY	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	
2017	1.559	1.559	1.559	
2018	5.268	7.156	4.112	
2019	4.451	6.307	3.936	
2020	3.774	5.590	3.949	
2021	3.177	4.917	3.935	
'17-'21	18.229	25.529	17.492	





Total Production July 2017 – Sep 30 2021

Cumulative Helium Vol (BCF)				
FY	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	
2017	0.256	0.256	0.256	
2018	1.081	1.363	0.709	
2019	1.737	2.253	1.143	
2020	2.269	2.988	1.579	
2021	2.702	3.595	2.013	
'17-'21	2.702	3.595	2.013	

Cumulative Total Gas Vol (BCF)				
FY	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	
2017	1.559	1.559	1.559	
2018	6.827	8.715	5.671	
2019	11.278	15.022	9.608	
2020	15.052	20.612	13.556	
2021	18.229	25.529	17.492	
'17-'21	18.229	25.529	17.492	



Prediction Cases 2017



lolium	Annual Production - 95% Helium Produced Since July 1 2017				
Production		Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	
		Current	CC 10/2017	Low Rate	
	(1st of mth)	(Bcf)	(Bcf)	(Bcf)	
	Oct-2017	0.256	0.256	0.256	
	Oct-2018	0.825	1.107	0.453	
	Oct-2019	0.656	0.890	0.434	
	Oct-2020	0.532	0.735	0.436	
	Oct-2021	0.433	0.607	0.434	
		Cumulat	ivo Productiv	an = 0.5%	
				-35/6	
		Hellum Pro	Souced Since J		
		Case 1		Case 3	
		Current	CC 10/2017	Low Rate	
	(1st of mth)	(Bct)	(Bct)	(Bct)	
	Oct-2017	0.256	0.256	0.256	
	Oct-2018	1.081	1.363	0.709	
	Oct-2019	1.737	2.253	1.143	
	Oct-2020	2.269	2.988	1.579	
	Oct-2021	2.702	3.595	2.013	
	Difference	between cases	0.893	-1.582	

Prediction Cases 2017



Total Gas	Annual Production - 95% Total Gas Produced Since July 1 2017				
otar Oas					
Production		Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	
		Current	CC 10/2017	Low Rate	
	(1st of mth)	(Bcf)	(Bcf)	(Bcf)	
	Oct-2017	1.559	1.559	1.559	
	Oct-2018	5.268	7.156	4.112	
	Oct-2019	4.451	6.307	3.936	
	Oct-2020	3.774	5.590	3.949	
	Oct-2021	3.177	4.917	3.935	
		Cumulat	ivo Productiv	n = 0.5%	
				IUII - 3370	
		Total Gas P	roduced Since	July 1 2017	
		Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	
		Current	CC 10/2017	Low Rate	
	(1st of mth)	(Bcf)	(Bcf)	(Bcf)	
	Oct-2017	1.559	1.559	1.559	
	Oct-2018	6.827	8.715	5.671	
	Oct-2019	11.278	15.022	9.608	
	Oct-2020	15.052	20.612	13.556	
	Oct-2021	18.229	25.529	17.492	
	Difference	between cases	7.299	-8.037	





- Reservoir Status (Operations: 2015-2016)
 Simulation Model Status
 Predictions
- Conclusions



Tour de France – 2017

Conclusions



Conclusions

- Producing with only the K100 modification will reduce the total He produced by 9/30/2021 by -0.893 BCF when compared to central compression online by Oct 2017 (Case1)
- With only the current K100 modification, the total gas rate will drop below 10 MM/d around January 2021, which may be less than the current HEU can process.
- Having central compression online by Oct 15 2017, will increase the total gas rate and He rate; the total He produced under this case is 3.595 BCF (July 2017 – Sep 30 2021) (Case2)
- The low helium demand case will produce significant less helium (-1.582 BCF) by Sep 2021; the total He produced with this case is 2.015 BCF

Conclusions



Conclusions – Cases 1 & 2

- Predicted annual He volumes are the sum of the daily production rate, which is on a constant decline from the first day of the FY to the last day of the FY.
- Predicted production volumes represent the maximum volume of helium that could be delivered from the HEU;

It does not equate to future helium sales (determined by BLM)

or actual production volume (determined by operations constraints & demand)

The declining rates will impact the volume per month of helium available for private industry, which will be the helium production volume less in-kind federal requirements through Sep 2021.





Reservoir Status (Operations: 2015-2016)

- Simulation Model Status
- Predictions
- Conclusions
- After Sept 30, 2021



Tour de France - 2017



Disclaimers / Limitations

As of October 1, 2021, the US government will have transferred all delivery responsibilities to the purchasing entity. After the transfer, the US government will no longer be responsible for helium production/delivery from the Bush Dome Reservoir.



Disclaimers / Limitations

- All forecast results are simulation model estimates, indicating the future trends base upon the current model's history match.
- The estimated volumes in these predictions do not take into account possible changes in operations under new ownership.
- The estimated volumes assume that the produced gas mixture can be processed.

- Estimated Production Oct 1 2021– Sep 30 2029
 - Assumes maximum total gas and maximum helium rate
 - Assumes flowing well head pressure at 75 psia (Pmin)
 - Assumes all wells are able to flow, no water encroachment issues, no well integrity issues
- The model estimates that under the most optimistic conditions (Case 2, Max He), where private industry takes all the helium that can be produced from Oct 2017 – Sep 30 2021, the least amount of undelivered purchased helium gas will be ~ 900 MMcf.
- The model estimates for Case 2 that the total gas production rate will drop below 10 MMcf/d, by mid-year 2024

After Sept 30, 2021: Prediction Cases 2017

- For the low helium demand case (Case 3), where private industry only requires helium at the rate of 1.320 MM/d (Oct 2017 – Sep 2021) with a total helium production of 2.013 BCF by Sep 2021, the model estimates that there will be 2.595 BCF of undelivered purchased helium gas on Sep 30, 2021.
- For this case, the model estimates that the total gas production rate will drop below 10 MMcf/d, by 4th quarter 2025 (calendar year)

Forecasted helium production, 2021 - 2029

2017 Prediction Case Results								
	Cumulative Production - 95%							
	Helium Produced Since Oct 1, 2021							
	0	0	0 Low Rate					
	Current	CC 10/2017						
(1st of mth)	(Bcf)	(Bcf)	(Bcf)					
Oct-2022	0.374	0.530	0.874					
Oct-2023	0.683	0.972	1.553					
Oct-2024	0.939	1.347	2.100 2.549 2.924 3.241 3.512					
Oct-2025	1.151	1.665						
Oct-2026	1.329	1.938						
Oct-2027	1.479	2.173						
Oct-2028	1.606	2.377						
Oct-2029	1.713	2.554	3.743					
Difference between cases		0.841	1.189					
Jul 2017 - Sep 2021	2.702	3.595	2.013					
Oct 2021 - Sep 2029	1.713	2.554	3.743					
Total Jul 2017 - Sep 2029	4.415	6.149	5.756					
Difference	between cases	1.734	-0.393					

2017 Prediction Case Results							
	Annual Production - 95%						
	Helium Produced Since Oct 1, 2021						
	0	0 0					
	Current	CC 10/2017	Low Rate				
(1st of mth)	(Bcf)	(Bcf)	(Bcf)				
Oct-2022	0.374	0.530	0.874				
Oct-2023	0.309	0.443	0.679				
Oct-2024	0.256	0.375	0.548 0.449				
Oct-2025	0.212	0.318					
Oct-2026	0.178	0.273	0.375				
Oct-2027	0.150	0.235	0.317				
Oct-2028	0.127	0.204	0.271				
Oct-2029	0.107	0.177	0.231				

72 July 20 2017

Forecasted total gas production, 2021 - 2029

Cumulative Production - 95%			Annual Production - 95%				
	Total Gas Produced Since Oct 1, 2021				Total Gas Produced Since Oct 1, 2021		
	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3		Case 1	Case 2	Case 3
	Current	CC 10/2017	Low Rate		Current	CC 10/2017	Low Rate
(1st of mth)	(Bcf)	(Bcf)	(Bcf)	(1st of mth)	(Bcf)	(Bcf)	(Bcf)
Oct-2022	2.838	4.516	5.781	Oct-2022	2.838	4.516	5.781
Oct-2023	5.257	8.457	10.692	Oct-2023	2.419	3.941	4.910
Oct-2024	7.306	11.917	14.941	Oct-2024	2.049	3.460	4.249
Oct-2025	9.042	14.943	18.628	Oct-2025	1.736	3.026	3.687
Oct-2026	10.517	17.605	21.846	Oct-2026	1.475	2.662	3.218
Oct-2027	11.777	19.951	24.668	Oct-2027	1.260	2.345	2.822
Oct-2028	12.857	22.011	27.154	Oct-2028	1.080	2.060	2.486
Oct-2029	13.766	23.834	29.325	Oct-2029	0.909	1.824	2.172
Difference	between cases	10.069	5.491				
Jul 2017 - Sep 2021	18.229	25.529	17.492				
Oct 2021 - Sep 2029	13.766	23.834	29.325				
Total Jul 2017 - Sep 2029	31.995	49.363	46.817				
Difference	between cases	17.368	-2.546				

73 July 20 2017




Questions, comments, concerns ?



AN INTIMATE PORTRAIT OF THE TOUR DE FRANCE



Celebrating at the end of stage 21, 2016, Tour de France

... you always feel smarter after a few beers (Cliff Clavin, Cheers)

Bushdome Helium Reservoir

Thank

You!

He Concentration

2015

0



2002

July 20 2017

202