# Wilderness Inventory Unit Index of Documents Cottonwood Creek OR-02-16A, 11 total pages

Page 1: Index Cover Sheet

Page 2: Form 1 – Documentation of BLM Wilderness Inventory: Findings on Record

Page 4: Form 2 – Documentation of Current Wilderness Inventory Conditions

Page 9: Form 2 – Summary of Findings and Conclusion

Page 11: Wilderness Characteristics Overview-Cottonwood Creek OR-02-16A Map

Prepared by:
U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management
Vale District Office
100 Oregon Street
Vale, Oregon 97918



#### H-6300-1-WILDERNESS INVENTORY MAINTENANCE IN BLM OREGON/WASHINGTON

#### APPENDIX B – INVENTORY AREA EVALUATION

#### **Evaluation of Current Conditions:**

- 1) Document and review the existing BLM wilderness inventory findings on file, if available, regarding the presence or absence of individual wilderness characteristics, using Form 1, below.
- 2) Consider relevant information regarding current conditions available in the office to identify and describe any changes to the existing information (use interdisciplinary (ID) team knowledge, aerial photographs, field observations, maps, etc.), and document your findings on Form 2, below.

When Citizen Information has been submitted regarding wilderness characteristics, document the submitted materials including: date of Submission; Name of District(s) and Field Office(s) Affected; Type of material Submitted (e.g. narrative, map, and photos). Evaluate any submitted citizen information regarding the validity of proposed boundaries of the unit(s), the existence of roads and other boundary features, the size of the unit(s), and the presence or absence of wilderness characteristics based on relevant information available in the office (prior BLM inventories, ID team knowledge, aerial photographs, field observations, maps, etc.)

Conduct field reviews as necessary to verify information and to ascertain current conditions. Reach conclusions on current conditions including boundaries, size of areas and presence or absence of wilderness characteristics. Fully explain the basis for each conclusion on form 2, including any critical differences between BLM and citizen information.

Document your findings regarding current conditions for each inventoried area. Describe how the present conditions are similar to, or have changed from, the conditions documented in the original wilderness inventory. Document your findings on Form 2 for each inventory area. Cite to or attach data considered, including photographs, maps, GIS layers, field trip notes, project files, *etc*.

# WILDERNESS INVENTORY MAINTENANCE IN BLM OREGON/WASHINGTON

(Source: Oregon State Office Internal Guidance as of July 2007)

Year: 2009 Inventory Unit Number/Name: 2-16A, Cottonwood Cr.

# FORM 1: DOCUMENTATION OF BLM WILDERNESS INVENTORY FINDINGS ON RECORD:

1. Is the this area	O	BLM	wilderness inventory information on all or part of
	YesYes		(if more than one unit is within the area, list the
a) Inven			erness Review Intensive Inventory (March, 1980),
b) Inven	tory Unit N	ame(s)	/Number(s): <u>Cottonwood Cr., 2-16A</u>
· -	Name(s)/Nu nventory Ur	,	s): March, 1980; Final Decision on 30 Selected
,	` '		Office(s): <u>Burns District – Three Rivers Resource</u> <u>alheur Resource Area.</u>

2. BLM Inventory Findings on Record:

Inventory Source: Wilderness Review-Intensive Inventory, March, 1980, Pages 32-33.

Unit#/	Size	Natural	Outstanding	Outstanding	Supplemental
Name	(historic	<b>Condition?</b>	Solitude?	Primitive &	Values?
	acres)	Y/N	Y/N	Unconfined	Y/N
				Recreation?	
				Y/N	
2-16A,	6,410	N	N	N	N
Cottonwood					
Cr.					
				_	

#### H-6300-1-WILDERNESS INVENTORY MAINTENANCE IN BLM OREGON/WASHINGTON

#### APPENDIX B – INVENTORY AREA EVALUATION

#### **Evaluation of Current Conditions:**

- 1) Document and review the existing BLM wilderness inventory findings on file, if available, regarding the presence or absence of individual wilderness characteristics, using Form 1, below.
- 2) Consider relevant information regarding current conditions available in the office to identify and describe any changes to the existing information (use interdisciplinary (ID) team knowledge, aerial photographs, field observations, maps, etc.), and document your findings on Form 2, below.

When Citizen Information has been submitted regarding wilderness characteristics, document the submitted materials including: date of Submission; Name of District(s) and Field Office(s) Affected; Type of material Submitted (e.g. narrative, map, and photos). Evaluate any submitted citizen information regarding the validity of proposed boundaries of the unit(s), the existence of roads and other boundary features, the size of the unit(s), and the presence or absence of wilderness characteristics based on relevant information available in the office (prior BLM inventories, ID team knowledge, aerial photographs, field observations, maps, etc.)

Conduct field reviews as necessary to verify information and to ascertain current conditions. Reach conclusions on current conditions including boundaries, size of areas and presence or absence of wilderness characteristics. Fully explain the basis for each conclusion on form 2, including any critical differences between BLM and citizen information.

Document your findings regarding current conditions for each inventoried area. Describe how the present conditions are similar to, or have changed from, the conditions documented in the original wilderness inventory. Document your findings on Form 2 for each inventory area. Cite to or attach data considered, including photographs, maps, GIS layers, field trip notes, project files, *etc*.

Unit Number/Name: 2-16A, Cottonwood Cr.

## FORM 2 - DOCUMENTATION OF CURRENT WILDERNESS INVENTORY CONDITIONS

(1) Is the unit of sufficient si	ize?			
Current Unit Acres: 7,750	Yes _	X	No	

<u>Citizen Information Received:</u> No citizen information for this unit was received.

<u>ID-team Meetings:</u> An ID-team consisting of BLM staff from the Burns District met on

January 29, 2009, to evaluate this unit. The ID-team was provided with BLM's current and past maps and past photographs and other inventory descriptions. The ID-team consisted of wilderness, range and planning staff. Wildlife, archaeology, geology and forestry staff was also consulted. Additionally, with the northeast portion of the unit being within Vale BLM District, inter-district coordination was conducted as needed.

**BLM's Boundary Road Determination Process:** BLM completed a route analysis for the boundary roads identified for the unit. District staff were contacted prior to the ID-team meeting and provided information about current uses of routes by mining personnel, BLM personnel, grazing permittees, and other publics.

Some of the interior routes not identified as boundary roads may have been mechanically improved or maintained at some point and may be maintained in the future as needed. Most are in a useable condition, but supporting information about regular use was not identified in the ID-team meeting. Therefore, these routes were not recognized as boundary roads.

**Boundary changes since 1979:** The northern boundary has changed as the small subunit, 16-D, is part of the unit. The western boundary has also changed as subunits 16-B, 16-C and 16-E are also part of the present unit. The route which separated subunit B and C from the larger subunit A is now used as a stock trail. The route which separated subunit E from subunit A, and was the eastern boundary of a large seeding, is no longer a maintained road.

One interior route change is the addition of a bladed road accessing a private land parcel from the unit's west boundary (CNTY Rd 304; Otis Valley-Squaw Creek Rd.). This cherry-stem route continues through the private land, northward to a livestock reservoir on BLM land.

<u>Current Unit Boundaries:</u> (See BLM Unit Character Map): Routes forming boundaries have been mechanically maintained, improved in the past and will continue to be maintained as needed to allow vehicle passage for public land users. Boundaries of the unit are:

N. boundary: Private land

No Photos

E. boundary: CNTY Rd 301 (Cottonwood Reservoir Road)

ONDA Photos: HJ42-44; BLM Photos: None

S. boundary: Private land

No Photos

W. boundary: CNTY Rd 304 (Otis Valley-Squaw Cr. Road)

No Photos

**Interior Boundary Road:** The cherry-stem route described under **Boundary changes since 1979** will continue to be used to access private property and a livestock reservoir on BLM land. This reservoir has been constructed since the original inventory was completed.

(2)	Is	the	unit	in	a	natural	condition?
-----	----	-----	------	----	---	---------	------------

Yes	X	No	N/A
-----	---	----	-----

**1979 Unit Description:** The unit is located six miles north of the small rural community of Drewsey. The 1979 Inventory Report noted the unit consisted of rolling hills with a general sloping of the area to the east. Vegetation is ponderosa pine and juniper in the north and scattered juniper, big and low sage and grass in the remainder of the unit. Squaw Creek, a main drainage, flows southeast into Cottonwood Creek. Cottonwood Reservoir, on the eastern boundary, covers 85 surface acres when full.

Two livestock reservoirs were located within one-quarter mile of the boundary, three ways were in the central portion, and a road material site was on a hill near the west boundary road. There were two and one-quarter miles of fences. Cottonwood Reservoir was the most substantial intrusion with an earthen dam and spillway. It is one mile long and one-quarter mile wide with a large expanse of exposed, bare shoreline when water is drained during the summer for irrigation of ranch hay fields. The imprints of man were substantially noticeable and the unit was found to not have naturalness.

Current Condition Description: The current unit contains more land area, as it combines a number of the 1979 original, smaller subunits, to create a much larger unit. However, it is long and narrow, being about two and one-half miles wide at its widest point and seven miles long. The well-graded county road (Cottonwood Reservoir Road) which is the unit's eastern boundary greatly influences the naturalness of the area as does the Otis Valley-Squaw Creek Road, a regularly-used route and the unit's western boundary. This road provides access to the Malheur National Forest from the rural, agricultural Drewsey Valley. The material site opened to get rock for surfacing the road, is still obvious, although, it's not been utilized for quite some time. (Note: material site is not depicted on this inventory unit's associated map "Wilderness Inventory Maintenance-Otis Mtn. Fuels EA".)

The primary human uses are associated with livestock grazing. The unit is within two grazing allotments, the southeast area in Cottonwood Creek Allotment and the northern portion in Otis Mountain Allotment. A pasture fence about five miles long divides the unit from N-S with E-W fences division fences in both the northern and southern sections of the unit

There are four livestock reservoirs, a large seeding established in 1960 in the southwest corner, a string of juniper cuts/aspen protection sites in the northwest corner and a 160-acre parcel of private land in the unit's south-central area.

Cottonwood Reservoir is located on the east unit boundary. The dam and spillway are obvious to the casual observer when viewed from the CNTY 301 Road (Cottonwood Reservoir Road) near the reservoir. This water development is not obvious from greater distances, as it is confined within a drainage with rims and hills rising above the reservoir. It can be easily seen from nearby high elevations. The ID-team found that the imprint of humans is not substantially noticeable and that the unit is natural. The unit currently has the following developments: (See Unit Character Map):

Reservoirs: 4 Fences: 21 miles Cattleguards: 1

Non-Boundary routes: 12 miles

Thinnings (Ponderosa Pine; pre-commercial): 349 acres

(3)	) Does	the	unit	have	outstanding	opportunities	for	solitude?

N/A

**1979 Unit Description:** The subunit is two miles wide or less. The ponderosa pine and juniper provided good screening in the north, but the low vegetation in the remaining three-quarters of the area did not. The report indicated the unit was only one-half mile wide where the tree screening was located. Overall, the unit does not offer outstanding opportunities for solitude due to narrowness and the limited topographic and vegetative screening.

**Current Condition Description:** The original description is still accurate. The unit continues to be long and narrow (two to two and one-half miles wide) even with additional acreage. About three-quarters of the east-sloping area would not offer opportunities for solitude with its open, far-viewing landscape. The sights and sounds of people and their activities can be seen and heard from many locations.

(4) Does the u	ınit have outstanding	opportunities for	primitive and	unconfined
recreation?				

Yes	No <u>X</u>	N/A
-----	-------------	-----

**1979 Unit Description:** The subunit offered opportunities for hiking, backpacking, fishing and horseback riding, but none were outstanding.

Current Condition Description: The ID-team found that the recreation opportunities originally identified are likely still present. People fish in Cottonwood Reservoir in the spring and early summer. This impoundment was built for irrigation and most of the water is drained when alfalfa fields, hay fields and pastures are watered throughout the summer. The water quality for fisheries is poor due to extreme water fluctuation, lack of vegetation and a rocky reservoir bottom. The diversity and quality of primitive and unconfined recreation are not unlike those which can be found on public lands in the much of eastern Oregon in the Northern Great Basin region. They are not unique and do not present characteristics either individually or collectively that would result in their being outstanding.

(5) <b>D</b>	oes th	e unit ha	ve supplemental values?
Yes	X	No	N/A

**1979 Unit Description:** None known.

**Current Condition Description:** Greater Sage Grouse have been seen along the western boundary of this unit and a lek is located in the southwest corner. This area is also deer and elk winter range.

Redband Trout can be found in Cottonwood Creek on BLM land just above Cottonwood Reservoir and in a long section of creek on private land between the Cottonwood Creek and Rocky Basin Units. Although not a Federal Special Status Species, it is a BLM Special Status Species in the Northern Great Basin Region.

### **Summary of Findings and Conclusion**

Unit Name and Number: Cottonwood Cr., 2-16

<b>Summary Results of Analysis</b>
------------------------------------

1. Does the area meet any of the size requirements? <u>X</u> Yes No
2. Does the area appear to be natural? X Yes No
3. Does the area offer outstanding opportunities for solitude or a primitive and unconfined type of recreation?YesXNoNA
4. Does the area have supplemental values? X Yes No NA
Conclusion (Check One):
The area-or a portion of the area-has wilderness character.
X The area does not have wilderness character.

Prepared by: David E. Vickstrom, Wilderness Specialist

### Wilderness Characteristics Inventory; 2-16A, Cottonwood Creek

### **Team Members:**

Willie Star	1-20-2010
Willie Street, Range Specialist, Burns District	Date
Bill andersen	1-20-10 Date
Bill Andersen, Range Specialist, Burns District	Date
W	2/8/10
Bill Lutjens, Management Specialist, Vale District	Date
Shaney Rockefeller, Soil Scientist, Vale District	2/18/10
Shaney Rockefeller, Soil Scientist, Vale District	Date
En Mankerson	01/20/10
Eric Haakenson, Wilderness Specialist, Burns District	Date
Dave Vickstrom, Wilderness Specialist, Burns District	1-20-2010 Date
Dave Vickstrom, Wilderness Specialist, Burns District	Date
hobert alward	2/5/2010
Robert Alward, Consultant-Wilderness, Vale District	Date
Approved by:	
Richard Ray	1-25=10 Date
Rick Roy, Field Manager, Three Rivers Resource Area, Burns District	Date
	3/9/2010
Pat Ryan, Field Manager, Malheur Resource Area, Vale District	Date

This form documents information that constitutes an inventory finding on wilderness characteristics. It does not represent a formal land use allocation or a final agency decision subject to administrative remedies under either 43 CFR parts 4 or 1610.5-2.02

