

Appendix C – Agency and Stakeholder Meetings

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX C – AGENCY AND STAKEHOLDER MEETINGS

TABLE C-1 AGENCY AND STAKEHOLDER MEETINGS		
Date	Agency/Organization	Meeting Topic
Bureau of Land Management and U.S. Forest Service		
November 8, 2008	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Utah State Office ▪ BLM Color Country District ▪ BLM Cedar City Field Office ▪ BLM Richfield Field Office 	Introduce and discuss the Project
November 12, 2008	U.S. Forest Service (USFS) Fishlake National Forest	Introduce and discuss the Project
November 13, 2008	USFS Dixie National Forest	Introduce and discuss the Project
November 18, 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ BLM Utah State Office ▪ BLM Cedar City Field Office ▪ BLM Richfield Field Office 	Introduce and discuss the Project
February 25, 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ BLM Utah State Office ▪ BLM Kanab Field Office ▪ BLM Color Country District ▪ BLM Cedar City Field Office ▪ BLM Richfield Field Office ▪ USFS Dixie National Forest 	Introduce and establish working relationships between BLM, USFS District staffs, and Environmental Planning Group (EPG), the third-party National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) contractor; identify BLM resource databases the consultant will need to prepare the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS); and discuss preliminary alternative routes.
March 19, 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ BLM Utah State Office ▪ BLM Fillmore Field Office ▪ BLM Salt Lake Field Office ▪ USFS Uinta-Wasatch-Cache National Forest ▪ USFS Fishlake National Forest ▪ USFS Region 4 ▪ Utah Governor’s Energy Advisor’s Office 	Introduce and establish working relationships between BLM, USFS District staffs, and EPG, the third-party NEPA contractor; identify BLM resource databases the consultant will need to prepare the EIS; and discuss preliminary alternative routes.
Cooperating Agencies		
June 4, 2009	Agency Interdisciplinary (ID) Team Meeting No. 1: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ BLM Wyoming State Office ▪ BLM Cedar City Field Office ▪ BLM Richfield Field Office ▪ BLM Fillmore Field Office ▪ USFS TEAMS ▪ USFS Dixie National Forest ▪ USFS Fishlake National Forest 	Introduce the Project to the Agency ID Team

TABLE C-1 AGENCY AND STAKEHOLDER MEETINGS		
Date	Agency/Organization	Meeting Topic
October 14, 2009	Cooperating Agency Meeting: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ BLM Las Vegas Field Office ▪ BLM Cedar City Field Office ▪ BLM Richfield Field Office ▪ USFS Dixie National Forest ▪ USFS TEAMS ▪ Utah Governor’s Public Lands Policy Coordination Office (PLPCO) ▪ Utah Division of Wildlife Resources (UDWR) Southern Region ▪ Beaver County ▪ Millard County ▪ Sevier County ▪ City of St. George 	Inform the cooperating agencies of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ An environmental assessment will be prepared for the geotechnical investigations ▪ An EIS will be prepared for the Project ▪ Public scoping and upcoming meetings
January 29, 2010	Conference Call: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ BLM Wyoming State Office ▪ BLM Fillmore Field Office ▪ BLM Cedar City Field Office ▪ USFS ▪ Beaver County ▪ Iron County ▪ Sevier County ▪ Washington County ▪ City of St. George 	Prepare for public scoping
March 25, 2010	Agency ID Team Meeting No. 2: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ BLM Wyoming State Office ▪ BLM Fillmore Field Office ▪ BLM Cedar City Field Office ▪ BLM St. George Field Office ▪ USFS Dixie National Forest ▪ USFS TEAMS ▪ USFS Uinta Wasatch Cache National Forest ▪ USFS Caribou-Targhee ▪ PLPCO ▪ UDWR ▪ Fremont Indian State Park ▪ Beaver County ▪ Millard County ▪ Iron County ▪ Enterprise City ▪ City of St. George 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Review results of agency and public scoping ▪ Review approach to impact assessment and mitigation planning ▪ Review alternative route comparison process ▪ Review resource data inventory and collection ▪ Review and discuss alternative routes to be considered in detail in EIS

TABLE C-1 AGENCY AND STAKEHOLDER MEETINGS		
Date	Agency/Organization	Meeting Topic
July 15, 2010	Agency ID Team Meeting No. 3: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ BLM Wyoming State Office ▪ BLM Fillmore Field Office ▪ BLM Cedar City Field Office ▪ BLM Richfield Field Office ▪ USFS Dixie National Forest ▪ USFS Fishlake National Forest ▪ USFS TEAMS ▪ USFS Uinta-Wasatch-Cache National Forest ▪ USFS Caribou-Targhee National Forest ▪ PLPCO ▪ UDWR ▪ Beaver County ▪ Millard County ▪ Iron County ▪ Enterprise City ▪ City of St. George 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Review the approach and results of impact assessment and mitigation planning ▪ Review the approach and results of alternative route screening and comparison ▪ Review and discuss alternative routes to be considered in detail in EIS.
Tribes		
October 2009	BLM initiated consultation meetings/calls: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Navajo Nation ▪ Hopi Tribe ▪ The Moapa Band of Paiutes ▪ Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah ▪ Northwest Band of Shoshone ▪ Confederated Tribes of the Goshute Indian Reservations ▪ Kaibab Band of Paiute Indians ▪ Ute Indian Tribe 	Met with or called the tribes to introduce the Project and invite them to participate in the Section 106 process
August 2, 2010	Council of Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah	Met with Tribal Council to provide an update of the Project and discuss the Tribal Council's issues and concerns about the Project.
Local Governments		
September 17, 2009	Sevier County	Discuss potential land use issues and permitting
September 28, 2009	Millard County	Discuss potential land use issues and permitting
September 29, 2009	Elsinore	Discuss potential land use issues and permitting
September 29, 2009	Joseph	Discuss potential land use issues and permitting
September 29, 2009	Richfield	Discuss potential land use issues and permitting
September 30, 2009	Milford	Discuss potential land use issues and permitting
September 30, 2009	Minersville	Discuss potential land use issues and permitting

TABLE C-1 AGENCY AND STAKEHOLDER MEETINGS		
Date	Agency/Organization	Meeting Topic
October 1, 2009	Beaver City	Discuss potential land use issues and permitting
October 1, 2009	Iron County	Discuss potential land use issues and permitting
October 1, 2009	Enterprise	Discuss potential land use issues and permitting
Interested Parties		
June 7, 2010	Interested Parties Meeting: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ BLM Wyoming State Office ▪ BLM Fillmore Field Office ▪ BLM Richfield Field Office ▪ USFS Dixie National Forest ▪ Utah State Historic Preservation Officer ▪ PLPCO ▪ Old Spanish Trail Association ▪ Old Spanish Trail Association Utah ▪ Old Spanish Trail Association Nevada ▪ Utah Rock Art Research Association ▪ We Nooch Society ▪ LDS Church Historical Department ▪ National Trust for Historic Preservation ▪ Milford Archaeological Research Institute 	Agencies met with the non-governmental interested parties that responded to the BLM’s invitation (letter dated May 19, 2010) to participate in the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) Section 106 process to discuss the Project, the organizations’ concerns and issues, and the Programmatic Agreement
Proponent’s Community Leader Briefings		
January 7, 2009	Six County Association of Government: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Wayne County ▪ Sanpete County ▪ Juab County ▪ Piute County ▪ Sevier County ▪ Millard County ▪ Mt. Pleasant ▪ State Senator Ralph Okerlund ▪ Senator Orrin Hatch’s Office ▪ Senator Robert Bennett’s Office ▪ Representative Jim Matheson’s Office ▪ Division of Homeland Security 	Introduce and discuss the Project
January 21, 2009	Five County Association of Governments: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Kane County Mayor’s Association ▪ Washington County Mayor’s Association ▪ Washington County ▪ Beaver County ▪ Kane County ▪ City of St. George ▪ Representative Jim Matheson’s Office ▪ Representative Jason Chaffetz’s Office ▪ Senator Bob Bennett’s Office 	Introduce and discuss the Project

TABLE C-1 AGENCY AND STAKEHOLDER MEETINGS		
Date	Agency/Organization	Meeting Topic
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Senator Orrin Hatch’s Office ▪ Southern Utah University ▪ Dixie State College ▪ Utah State University Extension ▪ Energy Strategies ▪ Volunteer Center of Southwest Utah ▪ Color County Resource Conservation and Development 	
February 3, 2009	Washington County Mayors’ Association: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ State of Utah ▪ Washington County ▪ Hurricane ▪ Santa Clara ▪ LaVerkin ▪ Toquerville ▪ Enterprise ▪ Rockville ▪ Virgin ▪ Ivins ▪ St. George ▪ Leeds ▪ Winchester ▪ Apple Valley ▪ Representative Jim Matheson’s Office 	Introduce and discuss the Project
February 4, 2009	Iron County	Introduce and discuss the Project
Proponent’s Community Working Groups		
October 15, 2009	Community Working Groups Meeting No.1: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Beaver County ▪ Iron County ▪ Washington County ▪ Millard County ▪ Sevier County ▪ City of St. George ▪ Parowan City ▪ Fillmore City ▪ Landowners ▪ Six County Association of Governments ▪ Wildlife Association ▪ Sevier Citizens for Clean Air and Water ▪ Paiute Tribe ▪ USFS (attended as observers) ▪ BLM Cedar City Field Office (attended as observers) ▪ BLM Richfield Office (attended as observers) ▪ BLM Fillmore Field Office (attended as observers) 	Introduce the Project and review the purpose and roles and responsibilities of the Community Working Groups

TABLE C-1 AGENCY AND STAKEHOLDER MEETINGS		
Date	Agency/Organization	Meeting Topic
April 15, 2010	Community Working Groups Meeting No. 2: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Beaver County ▪ Iron County ▪ Washington County ▪ Millard County ▪ Sevier County ▪ City of St. George ▪ Parowan City ▪ Fillmore City ▪ Landowners ▪ Six County Association of Governments ▪ Wildlife Association ▪ Sevier Citizens for Clean Air ▪ Paiute Tribe ▪ USFS (attended as observers) ▪ BLM Cedar City Field Office (attended as observers) ▪ BLM Richfield Office (attended as observers) ▪ BLM Fillmore Field Office (attended as observers) 	Review landowner meetings and agency and public scoping results, preliminary alternative routes, resource inventory data, impact assessment and mitigation planning approach, and screening and comparison of alternative
January 5 and 6, 2011	Community Working Group Meeting No. 3 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Beaver County ▪ Iron County ▪ Washington County ▪ Millard County ▪ Sevier County ▪ Six County Association of Governments ▪ City of St. George ▪ City of Richfield ▪ Landowners ▪ Paiute Tribe ▪ Sevier Citizens for Clean Air ▪ USFS (attended as observers) ▪ BLM Cedar City Field Office (attended as observers) ▪ BLM Richfield Office (attended as observers) ▪ BLM Fillmore Field Office (attended as observers) 	Obtain feedback from the CWG on the Proponent’s efforts to address issues identified through the public scoping process