



# Steens Mountain Advisory Council

## August 11-12, 2011 Summary Minutes

---

The Steens Mountain Advisory Council met on August 11 and 12, 2011 at the Bureau of Land Management building in Hines, Oregon. Council members in attendance included: David Bilyeu (Chair, State Environmental Representative, Bend), Daniel Haak (Vice Chair, Mechanized or Consumptive Recreation, Burns), Richard Angstrom (No Financial Interest, Salem), Paul Bradley (Wild Horse Management, Hines), Tom Davis (Fish & Recreational Fishing, Sisters - *Thursday only*), Stacy Davies (Grazing Permittee, Frenchglen), Pam Hardy (Dispersed Recreation, Bend - *Friday only*), Fred Otley (Private Landowner, Diamond), William Renwick (Local Environmental Representative, Burns), and Hoyt Wilson (Grazing Permittee, Princeton). Members not present included Richard Jenkins (Recreational Permit Holder, Diamond), the Burns Paiute Tribal Member (vacant), and the State Liaison (vacant). Other participants included the Designated Federal Official (DFO) Kenny McDaniel (BLM District Manager, Hines), the Designated Federal Official's Assistant Tara Martinak (SMAC Coordinator, BLM, Hines) and Facilitator Wendy Green Lowe (P2 Solutions, Idaho Falls, ID).

Observers included: Michelle Franulovich (Outdoor Recreation Planner, BLM, Hines), Eric Hawkenson (Wilderness Recreation Planner, BLM, Hines), Rhonda Karges (Planning & Environmental Coordinator, BLM, Hines) Mike Kelly (Outdoor Recreation Planner, BLM, Hines), and Joan Suther (Steens/Andrews Area Manager, BLM, Hines)

Members of the public who attended included John O'Connor (Backcountry Horsemen – *Friday only*) and Pete Runnels (Harney County Commissioner).

This document summarizes the discussions that occurred and the decisions that were made. The tape-recording of the meeting provides a more detailed record and can be accessed by request to Tara Martinak, Public Affairs Specialist in the Burns District of the Bureau of Land Management ([tmartina@blm.gov](mailto:tmartina@blm.gov) or 541-573-4519).

**Thursday, August 11, 2011**

---

### Chairperson Update

Dave Bilyeu reviewed the Agenda for the August meeting (included in the official minutes as Appendix A). It was approved by the SMAC.

Dave then reviewed the Action Items from the June meeting, noting the status of each:

- ✓ Kenny McDaniel/David Bilyeu will send the letter to the Burns Paiute Tribe – **completed, but Kenny and Dave will follow-up on letter to try to get someone appointed**
- ✓ Rich Angstrom will talk with Louise Soliday about the state position – **ongoing, hopeful someone will be identified for this position soon**
- ✓ Kenny McDaniel will put together a summary of what he reported at the beginning of the meeting – **to begin at this meeting**
- ✓ Wendy Lowe/David Bilyeu will distribute a doodle poll for the conference call - **completed**

- ✓ BLM will schedule a conference call to allow for further discussion of the Stonehouse Seeding #2 Pipeline Extension Environmental Assessment by the SMAC - **completed**
- ✓ The SMAC will call for votes from the remaining SMAC members (David Bilyeu, Rich Angstrom, and Pam Hardy – who all abstained from the vote on the motion and Dan Haak who was not present when the vote occurred) on a recommendation to proceed with the preferred alternative presented in that Environmental Assessment – **completed; David Bilyeu sent a letter on behalf of the SMAC transmitting recommendation for Stonehouse Seeding #2 Pipeline Extension EA**
- ✓ David Bilyeu will write a letter on behalf of the SMAC to BLM transmitting the recommendation approved by consensus on the Wildland Juniper Management Area Interpretive Trail Environmental Assessment – **completed**
- ✓ BLM will initiate a meeting with landowners to discuss options related to fencing in the cow-free zone - **Joan Suther will provide update on this in her report**
- ✓ BLM will distribute a map illustrating current winter recreation use areas to SMAC members for consideration in the discussions about the Comprehensive Recreation Plan - **not completed; Joan Suther stated that she wasn't clear on why the map was needed, but agreed to help people understand where winter recreation occurs during this meeting**
- ✓ Wendy Lowe will complete the rest of interviews and would like to have some time to report on her observations – **Wendy has one more interview to complete**

Dave explained that he would like to see the SMAC members discuss each category covered in the Comprehensive Recreation Plan (CRP) and determine what can be agreed about. Those statements of agreement will provide the basis for a recommendation on the CRP that can be finalized on the second day of the meeting.

Tara Martinak explained that BLM had recently discovered that paperwork associated with reimbursements to SMAC members for their expenses associated with meeting attendance is not in order and up-to-date. It was agreed that each member in attendance would meet with the proper administrative staff over the course of the meeting to get the records updated so that BLM can catch up on reimbursements.

David closed by mentioning he had participated in the recent Steens Rim Run. He enjoyed it and thanked those responsible for putting it on.

## Field Manager's Report

Joan Suther (Steens/Andrews Resource Area Field Manager) provided a handout summarizing what she and Kenny McDaniel planned to report (a copy of which is attached to the official minutes as Appendix B). She noted the following:

- On August 9, 2011 oral arguments were presented by the Oregon Natural Desert Association (ONDA) and the US Department of Justice (on BLM's behalf) to Judge King in the District Court related to the North Steens Ecosystem Restoration Project litigation. BLM is hopeful that the judge will rule on the litigation by the end of August so that BLM can complete projects and contracts relying on Fiscal Year 2011 budgets, including prescribed burning and juniper cutting and additional units in the Riddle Brothers Ranch Historic District.
- On June 6, 2011, ONDA filed a motion for a *permanent* injunction on the Travel Management Plan, including road maintenance plans. As a result, the BLM is under a *temporary* restraining order which prohibits maintenance of many routes within the CMPA, though specifically those evaluated in an Categorical Exclusion addressing road maintenance needed within the Steens Mountain Cooperative Management and

Protection Area (CMPA) signed on June 13, 2011. When granting the temporary restraining order, Judge Papak asked the parties to submit proposal(s), including maps and detailed information, for routes which should be removed because they are non-existent or not in use. The proposals are due on August 12 and they will then become public record. Joan agreed to make BLM's proposal available to the SMAC.

- The contract for rehabilitation of the Burnt Car Road has been completed. The contractor dispersed boulders and other rocks, piled junipers in preparation for future burning, and created additional drainage off the road. Limited work on berms was needed as the vegetation is well established. BLM staff will install a gate and stake a trail from the gate to the Burnt Car camp site. None of the work trespassed the boundary into the Wilderness Area. In response to a question, Joan noted that some of the vegetation is perennial (20%); the rest is annuals (80%).
- Based on the environmental analysis, Joan selected Alternative C for trail construction with road closure for the Wildland Juniper Management Area. Construction of the trail will begin next week. Two youth groups (Oregon Youth Conservation Corps and Northwest Youth Corps) will work under Mike Kelly's guidance.
- Pate Lake Picnic Table Installation: The BLM Operations staff will install picnic tables at Pate Lake after sites are approved by the landowner, staked, and surveyed for cultural resources.
- A contract has been awarded to replace the footbridge at Riddle Brothers Ranch; BLM hopes it will be installed this fall. It will be constructed using concrete and steel; Dan Haak noted that some folks would have preferred log construction.
- No grazing is planned for private parcels within No Livestock Grazing Area (located within the Steens Wilderness) in 2011. BLM met with George Strumple and agreed to pay an incentive payment to not graze this year. It was not possible for BLM to enter into an agreement with Tex Goates as he does not own the land involved.
- Steens Loop Road construction is ongoing and the Rooster Comb section is currently closed. Stacy Davies noted that the completed work looks nice, but that Section 7 looks like it sustained a lot of damage from snow this last winter.
- Steens Rim Run had 250 registered competitors and that is certainly beneficial to the local economy.

Fred Otley reported on a recent event requiring a search and rescue for three fellows who had camped at the Page Springs campground and dropped down into the Kiger. It is pretty treacherous country; they went along the east rim and scaled down the west face. They got down there and realized they needed help. One guy hiked out and called for help for the other two. Fred was asked to help and the BLM was contacted through a 911 call and went in on horseback. A private contractor also went in via ATV to help transport. It was a frustrating experience that took quite a bit of time. He believes that BLM should develop a cooperative agreement with the landowners, the County, and BLM to address cost recovery for the expenses associated with a search and rescue response. The SMAC members agreed that it would be good to have a conversation at some point about search and rescue, to address at least three aspects: 1) policy, 2) reimbursement for associated costs, and 3) communication about policy and cost recovery for those recreating in the area who need help.

## Designated Federal Official Update

Referring to the handout already provided by Joan Suther, Kenny McDaniel (Burns District Manager) mentioned the following:

- North Steens Transmission Line Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) – This has been delayed some as the contractor working on the EIS (Entrex) and Columbia Energy had

to work out some financial issues. Meanwhile the state of Oregon has adopted a new sage-grouse strategy and framework, and the EIS will have to be revised to comply with that as well as provisions for bats and bald and golden eagles. Columbia Energy is concerned about costs and changing requirements. The US Fish and Wildlife Service and Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) are involved in discussions about what is necessary. Bottom line: final is not done. In response to a question, Kenny said that he does not know the status of conversations with private landowners regarding areas where the transmission line would traverse private ground.

Fred Otley asked about mitigation and reported that the proponent for the West Butte wind project – which has a sage-grouse lek right in the middle of the project - has chosen to do mitigation off-site rather than on-site. Fred would prefer to see mitigation occur in closer proximity to expected impact. Kenny McDaniel reported that the EIS must address all impacts, including those on private ground, in response to a question from Stacy Davies. Hoyt Wilson added that there have been raptor studies for 3-4 years and the results of those studies should inform the analysis presented in the EIS. Kenny concluded by saying a lot was learned on the West Butte project that will inform the North Steens effort. Off-site mitigation is addressed in the ODFW framework and may make the most sense in some cases.

- Kiger and Riddle Gather – The Kiger/Riddle Mountain Wild Horse Gather was completed on July 11. A total of 116 horses were gathered (49 mares, 48 studs, and 19 foals) from the Kiger herd and 84 horses (32 mares, 39 studs, and 13 foals) from the Riddle Mountain herd.
- Craig Downer, a board member of the Cloud Foundation, filed a Temporary Restraining Order (TRO) against the recently concluded wild horse gathers of the Kiger and Riddle Herd Management Areas on the Burns District. The TRO was denied and now Mr. Downer has filed an amended complaint in the Oregon District Court, Pendleton Division. This complaint includes the Cloud Foundation as a plaintiff and requests immediate repair of the genetic and ecological damage to the herds caused by the recent removal.

## Comprehensive Recreation Plan

The SMAC discussed the various sections in the Comprehensive Recreation Plan (CRP). Discussion was supported by:

- Chapter 2 of the CRP, which was provided to SMAC members in advance of the meeting (included in the official minutes as Appendix C)
- A matrix of the alternatives including the results of a voting process conducted at the June meeting prepared by the SMAC facilitator, which was provided to SMAC members in advance of the meeting (included in the official minutes as Appendix D).

The SMAC members discussed each of the sections and reached agreement on what to recommend to BLM for all except the Winter Recreation section on Thursday.

## Public Comment

Frank Elmer had submitted comments for consideration by the SMAC through an email to Joan Suther. She read the email aloud to the SMAC. An experienced horseman with well-trained horses, he expressed concern about safety issues that arise due to horses being on the same roads as vehicles. He made specific suggestions for changes that would separate horses/riders from motorized vehicles, thereby enhancing safety. He also made several suggestions for trails. The email he sent to Joan is included in the official minutes as Appendix E.

The SMAC agreed to send a letter to Mr. Elmer thanking him for his thoughtful comments.

## Comprehensive Recreation Plan (continued)

Discussion by the Council of its advice on the CRP continued on Friday.

**Winter Recreation.** The Council was not able to reach consensus in support of the alternatives to be evaluated by BLM for winter recreation. Instead, the Council agreed to send a statement from each individual member. The Council may seek a consensus on a recommendation at a future point. If that occurs, an amendment to the other recommendations will be written and submitted. The results of this exercise were documented for inclusion in advice to BLM.

**Prioritization.** It was pointed out that BLM would not be able to implement all actions in the CRP at once. The SMAC decided to prioritize their recommendations for the CRP for BLM's consideration. The rationale for the prioritization effort derived from a belief that BLM would not have adequate funds to do every thing at once. It was agreed that the results of the prioritization would be included in the final advice to BLM.

**Historical Recreation and Potential Future Recreation.** The SMAC members wanted to make sure the CRP was informed by a complete understanding of all recreation that had occurred in the Steens historically as well as by some forward thinking about new recreational activities that might be introduced to the Steens in the future. Based on a brainstorming exercise, the SMAC developed this list of recreational activities that have occurred in the past in the Steens Mountains. Recreational activities that could conceivably be pursued in the Steens Mountains in the future were also brainstormed. It was agreed that both lists would be included in the advice to BLM.

**Preservation and Promotion of Recreational Opportunities.** SMAC members noted that some recreational opportunities are more appropriate and in keeping with the vision for Steens Mountain. Members were asked which recreational opportunities should be "protected" to assure the opportunity to pursue them continues into the future. In addition, the SMAC members were asked which recreational opportunities they would like to see BLM promote in brochures, etc. It was agreed that both the list of "protected recreational opportunities and the list of activities that should be promoted would be included in the advice to BLM.

Following all of the conclusions presented above, it was agreed that Dave Bilyeu would draft advice and send it by his fellow SMAC members for final consideration. It will be submitted to BLM as soon as possible.

***Note: Dave Bilyeu drafted a letter communicating all that had been agreed to and sent it to the rest of the SMAC for review and comment. It was submitted to BLM on September 8, 2011. It is included in the official minutes as Exhibit 1.***

## Draft South Steens Environmental Assessment

Joan Suther provided a presentation summarizing the alternatives being evaluated in the South Steens EA. She referred to a draft of Chapter 2 from the Draft EA, describing the alternatives to be evaluated in the EA, which had been provided to SMAC members in advance of the meeting. It is included in the official minutes as Appendix F. Six alternatives are fully analyzed in the EA, including Alternative A which evaluates the impacts of taking no action (doing nothing) and Alternative G which would entail a reduction in the number of stocking rates authorized for the allotment. She referred the SMAC members to a table that summarizes the water developments included in each alternative. She noted that there is also a long list of alternatives considered but not analyzed which are briefly mentioned in Chapter 2.

Alternative B is the proposed action; it includes a variety of water developments and was developed based on conversations between the permittee on the allotment, Stacy Davies, and BLM staff over the last several years. Alternative B is a mid-range alternative; Alternative C would include even more new water developments. Alternative D would concentrate new water developments along existing roads to minimize disturbance. Alternative E focuses development along the edges of the WSA. Joan noted that although Alternative E is considered to be more consistent with BLM's Interim Management Policy for WSA's, it may have greater impacts as there would be more cross-county travel to access the water developments. Table 7 also outlines new fences, fence removal, etc. that would be required under each alternative. In response to questions, Joan explained that:

- The rationale for BLM's proposing water developments in the Wilderness Study Areas (WSAs) is to spread the utilization around and thereby minimize degradation in range condition. She added that BLM believes range condition would improve if utilization is spread out and water developments can be designed to look natural.
- BLM's solicitors have reviewed the alternatives being evaluated and they think the EA is legally defensible. The EA has been completely redone, and legal counsel believe this EA is less vulnerable to litigation than the prior document had been.

Joan read two sections of the IMP aloud which are summarized below:

- Because wild horse and burro populations fluctuate dramatically within WSAs, BLM must be prepared to develop new sources of water to provide adequate water to those populations without detriment to the wilderness values.
- For livestock, new water developments and fencing may be constructed if such developments would enhance wilderness values and are not noticeable.

Concerns that were raised included:

- New water developments will have impacts on wildlife impacts (antelope and deer, for example, that rely on the water)
- Power needs associated with reservoirs versus troughs connected to wells
- Permanent construction is not allowed in WSAs
- There are inconsistencies between the IMP and the law
- Social and legal implications – which are not the same
- The grazing system in the proposed action appears to be different from what had been discussed in the past
- The Steens Act directed creative and innovative solutions, particularly in cases where it appears there are discrepancies between various laws; however BLM may not be as inclined to take risks to support actions that appear to be out of compliance with the IMP
- Developments in WSAs are supposed to enhance wilderness characteristics
- Water is needed on the allotment as there is greater demand (wildlife, livestock, and wild horses) than currently available supply
- Biology is one consideration, but other wilderness characteristics are important as well. Each assertion should be well substantiated and documented.
- Negative impacts associated with any alternative should be noted. Any given alternative may have both positive and negative impacts. Alternatives which would result in overall enhancement of wilderness values may be acceptable, even if they have some negative impacts
- The size of the Horse Management Area was reduced in the last round of negotiations, and the horses need water. More horses on a smaller area will have greater impact, especially without adequate water

- Allowing the wild horses access to the cow-free wilderness might be considered
- Several of the developments in Alternative B are on private ground and do not require environmental analysis, although they will require some negotiation
- The proposed action may not provide enough new water to the allotment to be worth the effort; only some of the proposed developments have adequate flows to result in significant additional water
- Autumn Toelle did some good analysis and has some good tools available to her, but she may need to work with Stacy Davies to confirm the modeling results
- There are conflicts between the requirements (i.e., what BLM must do to manage wilderness areas and WSAs, wild and scenic rivers, wild horses, livestock grazing as allowed by the Steens Act, etc.) as well as settlements from past lawsuits which result in a reality that there is not enough water in this allotment. The document needs to acknowledge any irreconcilable conflicts and justify the choices are being made
- The impacts of the alternatives are not yet known to the SMAC; the SMAC members need to see Chapter 3 as well
- The fence along the river provided the basis for the purpose and need for this proposed action, not just why the fence was constructed but also the consequences of the fence.

Joan Suther agreed to provide the entire document (the rest of the chapters) to the SMAC to allow full review at the September meeting. It was agreed that the SMAC would appreciate having a panel of presenters at the September meeting to present considerations related to what is allowed in WSAs, the needs of wild horses and wildlife, how much water is needed in the allotment, etc. That information will help the SMAC evaluate the alternatives and provide advice to BLM.

## June 2011 Minutes

A few minor changes were noted to the draft minutes from the June 2011 meeting.

- Motion:** Stacy Davies moved that the SMAC approve the minutes from the June meeting.
- Second:** Rich Angstrom seconded the motion.
- Objections:** David Bilyeu called for objections; there were none.
- Vote:** The minutes were approved.
- Action:** Tara Martinak will make the changes and print a final version for signature by Dave Bilyeu. The final minutes will be posted on the Internet as soon as possible.

## SMAC Minutes

SMAC members expressed strong negative reactions to the June minutes, many stating that the document is too long and too detailed. The minutes should describe what was discussed and summarize conclusions. Wendy Lowe explained the challenges associated with creation of the minutes. It was agreed that Wendy will make an effort to develop a much shorter summary level document for future meetings. The tape recordings will continue and will suffice to meet any requirements for detailed minutes for federal advisory committees.

## Public Comment

John O'Connor (Backcountry Horsemen) introduced himself as a local activist, environmentalist, and wilderness proponent. BLM needs some support for the good work they do. The recent construction on the South Steens is really nice. The equestrian side of the South Steens

campground was full. He would like to see more corrals put in there. He met some ladies from western Oregon who had come to see the wild horses. One in their party had broken her leg, but the others had stayed and spoken favorably about all of the amenities. He also reported finding a huge rock in the middle of one of the trails, which he said he appreciated as he likes the natural environment.

The Backcountry Horsemen would like to see a trailhead facility like the facility at South Steens in the vicinity of Fish Lake; they are willing to help. He rode some of the trails that have been identified by ONDA for conversion to trails and he liked what he saw. The “gearheads” had put a roof on the Cold Spring Cabin which is nice, but the Nye Cabin has a twist and a lean to it; it needs someone to look at it if it is to be preserved. He added that he would like to see a study to quantify the economic benefit of visitors to the area; that might help open the eyes of any who think recreation is a bad thing. As far as snowmobiles in the winter, they don’t get along with wildlife. However, he didn’t see any wildlife (no deer and no elk) on this recent visit. He likes the idea of a round pen up in the equestrian campground.

### September 2011 Meeting Objectives

It was agreed that the primary objective of the September 22-23, 2011 meeting should be to develop advice on the South Steens EA. The discussion would benefit from a series of presentations (perhaps a panel presentation) addressing relevant issues including wilderness, wild horses, and wildlife. It might be best if the panel includes outsiders – people who are not on staff for BLM. A work session around a map might be appropriate to look at water development options and how they might affect wilderness values. It was suggested that ONDA could be invited to serve on the panel and provide their insight to the discussion.

It was also agreed that the SMAC members would benefit from an optional tour/field trip of the South Steens Allotment on the day before the meeting.

In addition, the following objectives may be added to the September meeting or other future meetings, as deemed appropriate by Dave Bilyeu and Dan Haak, in consultation with BLM management:

- Discuss the roads proposed for closure and the roads proposed for conversion to trails under Alternative D in the CRP
- Discuss search and rescue, including policy, reimbursement for associated costs, and how to communicate relevant information with users – if time allows
- Discuss observations from Wendy Lowe’s interviews with each of the SMAC members and her recommendations based on those interviews – if time allows
- Receive a status report on the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act project being implemented on the Steens Loop Road – if time allows.

### Action Items

New action items recorded over the course of the August meeting included:

- ✓ Bill Renwick will report to Kenny McDaniel on his meeting with the Burns Paiute tribe
- ✓ Dave Bilyeu and Kenny McDaniel will continue to work on getting the Burns Paiute tribe to identify a representative to sit on the SMAC
- ✓ Dave Bilyeu to send a letter to Frank Elmer thanking him for providing comments to the SMAC. Joan Suther (who had received the comments to the SMAC) will develop a draft response for Dave’s use.

- ✓ Joan Suther will send an updated map for Alternative D in the CRP (addressing Steens Mountain Backcountry Byway, Other Roads, and OHV Uses) that shows which routes were selected for closure and the criteria used to make that selection
- ✓ Tara Martinak will send a packet of all relevant materials from the meeting to SMAC member Dick Jenkins
- ✓ Wendy Lowe will try to do a better job producing summary level minutes for future meetings
- ✓ Once it becomes public record, BLM will provide the SMAC with a copy of the final brief and map of the proposals provided to Judge Papak on the litigation related to the Travel Management Plan road maintenance
- ✓ BLM to respond to the SMAC's recommendations on the Stonehouse Seeding #2 Pipeline Extension Environmental Assessment and the Wildland Juniper Management Area Interpretive Trail Environmental Assessment
- ✓ Rich Angstrom will continue working with the State of Oregon to get the state representative position on the SMAC filled
- ✓ Tara Martinak will provide the SMAC's letterhead to Dave Bilyeu for his use in drafting official correspondence from the SMAC
- ✓ Tara Martinak to provide member applications to SMAC members whose terms are ending (Paul Bradley, Pam Hardy, Bill Renwick, and Hoyt Wilson) as soon as possible
- ✓ SMAC members whose terms are ending that want to reapply to submit their applications as soon as possible
- ✓ Tara Martinak will support scheduling a meeting between Pam and Amy to resolve any late reimbursements for Pam's travel expenses associated with attending SMAC meetings.

### August Meeting Evaluation

SMAC members provided feedback to Wendy Lowe regarding the August meeting related to what they believed had gone well as well as opportunities for improvement in the future.

What Went Well at the August 2011 Meeting	Opportunities for Improvement at Future Meetings
Friendly give and take among SMAC members	The leadership should decide about the processes to be used to support SMAC discussion
Good progress on the advice related to the CRP	Facilitator should "breathe" with the group more
Good process for identifying what was agreed about	Facilitator should get clarification if needed from the SMAC
Adaptable process	
The CRP recommendation was built efficiently	

Meeting was adjourned at: 2:30 pm

Next meeting date: September 22-23, 2011, Frenchglen, OR

Exhibit:

1. Final SMAC advice on the Comprehensive Recreation Plan

Appendices:

- A. Final Agenda
- B. Steens Mountain Advisory Council Meeting: August 11-12, 2011 handout summarizing presentations to be provided by the Designated Federal Official and the Field Manager
- C. Draft Comprehensive Recreation Plan Chapter 2 – Alternatives (excerpt) provided to the SMAC in advance of the August 11-12, 2011 meeting
- D. Matrix of the alternatives being considered in the CRP including SMAC member preferences, prepared by the SMAC facilitator and provided to the SMAC in advance of the August 11-12, 2011 meeting
- E. Public comment submitted by Frank Elmer.
- F. Draft South Steens Environmental Assessment Chapter 2 – Alternatives (excerpt) provided to the SMAC in advance of the August 11-12, 2011 meeting

Submitted by: Wendy Green Lowe, Facilitator

The Steens Mountain Advisory Council approved the minutes on: September 23, 2011

Signed by David Bilyeu, SMAC Chair: /signature on file/