

1367

Mr. Ed Sheppard, State Director
Bureau of Land Management
Western Oregon Plan Revision
P. O. Box 2965
Portland, Oregon 97208

RECEIVED
DEC 13 2007

Dear OR/WA BLM State Director Shepard:

Please accept the following comments on the BLM's current DEIS for the Revision of the Resource Management Plans for Western Oregon. I have served six years on the Jackson County Budget Committee and am currently the Chairman of the committee. I am a former Western Oregon Region Manager for Boise Cascade Corp. (Retired 2 years).

1. While the Preferred Alternative 2 best represents the management alternative that I would support due to the fact that it provides the highest harvest level and funding to the Counties of the alternatives presented, none of the alternatives in my opinion meet the requirements set forth by the O&C Act because they do not manage the forested O&C lands for the dominant use of timber production as stated in the Act.
2. The BLM must develop and analyze at least one alternative that maximizes the amount of land in timber production and receipts to local county governments, and meet its no-jeopardy obligation under the endangered species act.
3. The BLM should consider active forest management for the protection of listed species and their habitat before adopting non-management reserve strategies. Disallowing timber management in the majority (52%) of the forest land suitable for timber production is an ill-advised and scientifically unsound method of recovering a listed species and is contrary to the O&C Act. In the Southern Oregon area, timber reserves that do not allow harvesting have little chance of long term survival due to the high fire hazard that often exists in these forested lands. Areas that are designated to preserve characteristics that the owl and other listed species prefer should have a network of fuel breaks, roads and other forest management structures and techniques that will help to prevent the forest from being destroyed by wild fire.
4. Congress and the President must ensure that when the plan is finalized that there is adequate funding to fully implement it. When implemented, the plan must adhere to the following principles to live up to the full commitment made to local counties:
 - a. Timber sale revenues must generate at least an amount equal to the funding that was provided to local counties through the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act on a yearly basis.
 - b. Access should be maintained through BLM administered lands for private land access, fire suppression, as well as recreational used, such as hunting, fishing, boating and sightseeing.

In summary, the economic viability of our rural communities and the overall health of our federal forests are of vital importance to me. I ask that you give these comments full consideration as you prepare the FEIS and select the final management plans.

Sincerely

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Richard H. Rudisile". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Richard" being the most prominent.

Richard H. Rudisile
3531 Ross Lane, Central Point, Oregon 97502