

Analysis of Comments
Resource Area Boundaries Data Standard
February 22, 2008

The draft data standard for Resource Area Boundaries was circulated for review with Information Bulletin OR-2008-018 on December 7, 2007. At the same time a draft geodatabase and associated layer files were made available to aid in the review process. Very few comments on the draft standard were received from the field offices. Those comments that were received are described and analyzed in the following table.

COMMENT	DISCUSSION	DECISION
Split BLM_ORG_CD into SO_ORG_CODE, DO_ORG_CODE, RA_ORG_CODE	This would be for the purpose of being able to create State Office, District, and Resource Area feature classes. This requirement was accommodated by developing publication feature classes and layer files.	No changes made.
Split RAB_LNTYPE into RA, DO, SO LNTYPE's	This would be to allow someone to dissolve, map, and label and select the various boundary arcs. This requirement was accommodated by developing publication feature classes and layer files.	No changes made. However, the State Data Steward does plan to look into representations (which are not part of this standard) to see if there would be value in their use.
Create District Office name attributes (e.g. DO_SA_NAME) and State Office name attributes (e.g. SO_OR_NAME)	This would be to allow someone to dissolve, map, label and select the various State Office or District Office boundary arcs This requirement was accommodated by developing publication feature classes and layer files.	No changes made. However, the State Data Steward does plan to look into representations (which are not part of this standard) to see if there would be value in their use.
Change the name of the feature class to SO_DO_RA_ARC	Not needed if other comments not accepted.	No change made.