

DECISION RECORD

Turn Point Barn Re-roofing

OR-134-2010-CX-0003

Bureau of Land Management
Wenatchee Field Office
915 N. Walla Walla Ave
Wenatchee, WA 98801

Decision and Rationale

It is my decision to implement the Turn Point Barn Re-roofing as described in the attached document (OR-134-2010-CX-0003) and shown on attached maps & drawings. These actions meet the need and will accomplish the purposes for action.

Performing routine maintenance by replacing the deteriorating roof of the Historic Turn Point Barn with approved roofing materials will comply with the Secretary of the Interior Standards for Historic Preservation and provide long-term protection and stability of the historic structure. As described in the attached Categorical Exclusion Documentation, it is not anticipated that the project actions will have significant effects.

/s/ Karen Kelleher

01/22/10

Karen Kelleher,
Field Manager, Wenatchee Resource Area

Date

Administrative Review or Appeal Opportunities

Any party that is adversely affected and determined to be a party to the case, may appeal the implementation of the proposed action to the Interior Board of Land Appeals, Office of the Secretary, in accordance with the regulations contained in 43 CFR Part 4. A notice of appeal must be filed in this office (at the address below) within 30 days of receipt of this decision. The appellant has the burden of showing that the decision is in error.

An appellant may also file a petition for a stay (suspension) of this decision during the time that the appeal is being reviewed by the Board pursuant to Part 4, Subpart B, 43 CFR Part 4.21. The petition for a stay must accompany the notice of appeal. A petition for a stay is required to show sufficient justification based on the standards listed below. Copies of the notice of appeal and petition for a stay must be submitted to each party named in this decision, to the Interior Board of Land Appeals, and the Office of the Solicitor (see 43 CFR 4.413) at the same time the original documents are filed with this office. The appellant has the burden of proof of demonstrating that a stay should be granted.

Standards for Obtaining a Stay:

Except as otherwise provided by law or other pertinent regulation, a petition for a stay of decision pending appeal shall show sufficient justification based on the following standards:

- (a) The relative harm to the parties if the stay is granted or denied,
- (b) The likelihood of the appellant's success on the merits,
- (c) The likelihood of immediate and irreparable harm if the stay is not granted, and
- (d) Whether the public interest favors granting the stay.

Attachment: Categorical Exclusion Documentation

Categorical Exclusion Documentation

Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management, Spokane District
1103 North Fancher Road
Spokane Valley, WA 99212

A. Background

BLM Office: Wenatchee Field Office

Lease/Serial/Case File No.:

NEPA Log Number: OR134-2010-CX-0003

Proposed Action Title: Turn Point Barn Re-roofing

Location of Proposed Action: Turn Point Light Station, Stuart Island, San Juan Archipelago, Washington State, Township 37 North, Range 4 West, Section 20

Description of Proposed Action: Perform routine maintenance by replacing deteriorating roof of the Historic Turn Point Barn with approved roofing materials which will comply with the Secretary of the Interior Standards for Historic Preservation and provide long-term protection and stability of the historic structure. Work includes fabricating and installing rafter ridge stiffening plates, installing gable brace connectors, replacing damaged rafter collar tie beams and applying new roofing materials.

Project work to be performed May 1st- September 30th, 2010.

B. Land Use Plan Conformance

Land Use Plan Name: Spokane Resource Management Plan

Date Approved/Amended: Approved 1987/Amended 1992

Option 1 (conforms with LUP): The proposed action is in conformance with the applicable LUP because it is specifically provided for in the following LUP decision(s):

OR

(Option 2: not explicitly provided for in the LUP) The proposed action is in conformance with the applicable LUP, even though it is not specifically provided for, because it is clearly consistent with the following LUP decision(s) (*objectives, terms, and conditions*): There is no land use plan covering BLM lands in western Washington. In accordance with land use planning regulations (43 CFR 1610.8 (b)(1)), a proposed action on such lands may be authorized, if supported by appropriate analysis.

C. Compliance with NEPA:

The proposed action is categorically excluded from further documentation under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) in accordance with

516 DM 2, Appendix 1, 1.7: Routine and continuing government business, including such things as supervision, administration, operations, maintenance, renovations, and replacement activities having limited context and intensity (e.g, limited size and magnitude or short term effects)

This categorical exclusion is appropriate in this situation because there are no extraordinary circumstances potentially having effects that may significantly affect the environment. The proposed action has been reviewed, and none of the extraordinary circumstances described in 43 CFR 46.215 apply, as shown in the following table:

CX EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES DOCUMENTATION The proposed categorical exclusion action will:	YES	NO
(a) Have significant impacts on public health or safety.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(b) Have significant impacts on such natural resources and unique geographic characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands (Executive Order 11990); floodplains (Executive Order 11988); national monuments; migratory birds; and other ecologically significant or critical areas.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(c) Have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources [NEPA Section 102(2)(E)].	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(d) Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(e) Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(f) Have a direct relationship to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant environmental effects.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(g) Have significant impacts on properties listed, or eligible for listing, on the National Register of Historic Places as determined by either the bureau.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(h) Have significant impacts on species listed, or proposed to be listed, on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species, or have significant impacts on	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

designated Critical Habitat for these species.		
(i) Violate a Federal law, or a State, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(j) Have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations (Executive Order 12898).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(k) Limit access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites on Federal lands by Indian religious practitioners or significantly adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (Executive Order 13007).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(l) Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of noxious weeds or non-native invasive species known to occur in the area or actions that may promote the introduction, growth, or expansion of the range of such species (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act and Executive Order 13112).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

F: Signature

_____/S/ Karen Kelleher_____ ____ 1/22/10 _____
(Authorizing Official Signature) (Date)

Name: Karen Kelleher
Title: Field Manager

G. Contact Person

For additional information concerning this CX review, contact Nick Teague, Outdoor Recreation Planner, San Juan Islands Field Station, 360.468.3754, gteague@blm.gov

Note: A separate decision document must be prepared for the action covered by the CX.



Turn Point Light Station: Barn/stable