

Categorical Exclusion Documentation for All Projects Other Than Hazardous Fuels and Fire Rehabilitation Projects

A. Background

BLM Office: Marys Peak Resource Area **Lease/Serial/Case File No:** _____

Categorical Exclusion Number: DOI-BLM-OR-S050-2011-0007-CX **Date:** 1/10/2012

Proposed Action Title/Type: Special Forest Products Program

Location of Proposed Action: Marys Peak Resource Area

Land Use Allocation(s): Adaptive Management Area, Late Successional Reserve, Matrix, and Riparian Reserves.

Description of Proposed Action: The proposed action is to provide the public with the opportunity to harvest Special Forest Products (SFP) for recreation, personal use, or commercial use. In addition, the program establishes clear policy and direction for these resources, enabling the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) to more effectively manage and regulate the harvest of SFP, thus protecting the resources and reducing possible hazards and environmental impacts.

Under the SFP program, contracts will be offered and administered for harvesting a variety of SFP. Contracts may include Form 5450-5 for the sale of vegetative material up to a value of \$2,499.00 and Form 5450-1 for the sale of vegetative material \$2,500.00 and greater. Products to be harvested include, but are not limited to; firewood, posts and poles, chips, cedar products, transplant stock (tree seedlings, shrubs, and herbaceous plants), cut sticks, moss, bear-grass, boughs, Christmas trees, other floral greenery, cones, burls, bark, roots, pitch, and chanterelle mushrooms.

The collection of SFP will be allowed in accordance with the restrictions on locations and times of the year described in the accompanying Marys Peak Resource Area Standards, Guidelines and Rationalization for Special Forest Products (Appendix A) and the list of Areas with SFP Restrictions (Appendix B). Prospective contracts and permits for collection of SFP will be reviewed to ensure that they comply with all applicable restrictions.

All contracts will include special provisions designed to ensure resource sustainability and protection of other resource values such as special status or special attention plant, fungi or fish and wildlife species. The special provisions will include all mandatory provisions listed in the Special Forest Products Procedure Series, BLM Manual Supplement Handbook 5400-2, Release 5-242, 4/13/94. Other provisions may also be included to meet project-specific needs. Special contract provisions may be revised at any time to incorporate changes required by law, updated legal advice, or recommended by the Interdisciplinary Team (IDT) for adequate protection of resource values.

B. Land Use Plan Conformance

The Salem District initiated planning and design for this project to conform and be consistent with the Salem District's 1995 Resource Management Plan (RMP). Following the March 31, 2011 decision by the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in Douglas Timber Operators et al. v. Salazar, which vacated and remanded the administrative withdrawal of the Salem District's 2008 Record of Decision (ROD) and RMP, we evaluated this project for consistency with both the 1995 RMP and the 2008 ROD and RMP. Based upon this review, the current proposed action contains some design features not mentioned specifically in the 2008 ROD and RMP. The 2008 ROD and RMP did not preclude use of these design features, and the use of these design features is clearly consistent with the goals and objectives in the 2008 ROD and RMP. Accordingly, this project is consistent with the Salem District's 1995 RMP and the 2008 ROD/RMP.

Land Use Plan Name: *Salem District Record of Decision and Resource Management Plan (1995 RMP)* **Date Approved** March 1995 **Date Amended:** The 1995 RMP was amended in January 2001 as documented in the *Record of Decision for Amendments to the Survey and Manage, Protection Buffer, and Other Mitigation Measures Standards and Guidelines*, dated January 2001 (SM/ROD).

The proposed action is in conformance with the Land Use Plan (LUP) because it is specifically provided for in the following LUP decision(s): 1995 RMP p.49 – Manage for the production and sale of Special Forest Products.

C. Compliance with NEPA

The Proposed Action is categorically excluded from further documentation under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) in accordance with 516 DM 11.9 C-2 and C-5. C-2 allows for removal of trees which are dead, diseased, or which constitute a safety hazard. C-5 allows for the disposal of small amounts of miscellaneous vegetation products outside established harvest areas, such as Christmas trees, wildings, floral products (ferns, boughs, etc.), cones, seeds, and personal use firewood.

It has been reviewed to determine if any of the exceptions described in 516 DM 2, Appendix 2, apply. Any specific project which does not clearly fall within the scope of impacts addressed in this review will be reviewed separately.

Categorical Exclusion Exception Review

Table 1. Extraordinary Circumstances Review

Will the Proposed Action documented in this Categorical Exclusion	Yes	No
A) Have significant impacts on public health or safety?		No
<p>Rationale: The SFP program will have no impacts on public health or safety therefore will have no significant impacts on public health or safety. All activities associated with the proposed SFP program will be conducted in a forested location</p>		

Will the Proposed Action documented in this Categorical Exclusion	Yes	No
outside of population centers and will conform to established Occupational Safety and Health Administration rules concerning health and safety.		
<p>B) Have significant impacts on such natural resources and unique geographic characteristics as: historic or cultural resources, park, recreation or refuge lands, wilderness areas, wild or scenic rivers, national natural landmarks, sole or principal drinking water aquifers, prime farmlands, wetlands, floodplains, national monuments, migratory birds, other ecologically significant or critical areas?</p> <p>Rationale: SFP contracts will not be issued within any park, recreation or refuge lands, wilderness areas, wild or scenic rivers, or national natural landmarks. There are no floodplains, prime farmlands, wetlands, national monuments, or other ecologically significant or critical areas present in the project area. Historic and Cultural resources will not be impacted. The SFP program will not alter the ability of forest stands to provide habitat for migratory birds.</p>		No
<p>C) Have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources [NEPA section 102(2) (E)]?</p>		No
<p>Rationale: The effects of this SFP program are not controversial and there are no unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources. Past experience has shown that the environmental effects of the SFP program in forest stands are not highly controversial. The ROD/RMP established the land use allocation and goals for the affected lands. As such, there is no unresolved conflict regarding other uses of these resources.</p>		
<p>D) Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?</p> <p>Rationale: Past experience from this type of activity has shown no highly uncertain, potentially significant, unique or unknown risks.</p>		No
<p>E) Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?</p> <p>Rationale: The SFP program is addressed and authorized under the existing ROD/RMP, and as such, this project will represent implementation of that land use plan decision, not a decision in principle on future actions.</p>		No
<p>F) Have a direct relationship to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant environmental effects?</p> <p>Rationale: There are no cumulative effects associated with the SFP program, therefore there are no significant cumulative effects as a result of these actions. The SFP program will not alter the forest age class distribution of BLM lands in the watersheds. The SFP program will not create canopy gaps across an area sufficient to alter timing or magnitude of peak and base flows in the watersheds. There will be no increase in road density or flow routing by roads which will affect stream flows.</p>		No
<p>G) Have significant impacts on properties listed or eligible for listing, on the National Register of Historic Places as determined by either the bureau or office?</p>		No

Will the Proposed Action documented in this Categorical Exclusion	Yes	No
<p>Rationale: No properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places will be affected by the proposed the SFP program.</p>		
<p>H) Have significant impacts on species listed (or proposed to be listed) as Threatened or Endangered under the Endangered Species Act, or have significant impacts on designated Critical Habitat for these species?</p>	No	
<p>Rationale: Fish: The project is considered “not likely to adversely affect” listed fish species on the Oregon Coast or Upper Willamette River. Consultation with the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) for fire wood collection and other SFP is being conducted under a programmatic Biological Assessment (BA) of projects that might disturb federally listed fish species. Actions may include permitting activities within riparian areas, but which are not likely to result in ground disturbance or sediment delivery to stream channels. Fisheries, hydrology, or other qualified personnel should review collection areas proposed within riparian areas and set boundaries or other limits as necessary to ensure that collection activities will not adversely affect riparian and aquatic habitat function. Issuance of SFP permits which “may adversely affect” listed fish species will need separate consultation. Wildlife: Restrictions on the timing and location of collection activities will minimize the potential for direct effects to species and habitat. See Appendices A and B.</p>		
<p>I) Violate a Federal law, or a State, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?</p>	No	
<p>Rationale: The SFP program follows all known Federal, State, local, or Tribal laws or requirements imposed for the protection of the environment. The proposed action is in conformance with the direction given for the management of public lands in the Salem District ROD/RMP, which complies with all applicable laws such as the Federal Land Policy Management Act, Endangered Species Act, Historic Preservation Act, Clean Water Act and others.</p>		
<p>J) Have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations (Executive Order 12898)?</p>	No	
<p>Rationale: The proposed action is not anticipated to have any adverse effects on low income or minority populations.</p>		
<p>K) Limit access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites on Federal lands by Indian religious practitioners or significantly adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (Executive Order 13007)?</p>	No	
<p>Rationale: There are no identified sacred, ceremonial, or religious Indian sites within this area.</p>		
<p>L) Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of noxious weeds or non-native invasive species known to occur in the area or actions that may promote the introduction, growth, or expansion of the range of such species (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act and Executive Order 13112)?</p>	No	
<p>Rationale: All soil disrupting equipment will be required to be clean and free of dirt</p>		

Will the Proposed Action documented in this Categorical Exclusion and vegetation as directed by the contract administrator.	Yes	No
--	-----	----

This categorical exclusion is appropriate in this situation because there are no extraordinary circumstances potentially having effects that may significantly affect the environment. The proposed action has been reviewed, and none of the extraordinary circumstances described in 516 DM2 (see Table 1, above) apply.

Aquatic Conservation Strategy Review

Table 2 shows the project’s effect on the four components of the Aquatic Conservation Strategy: Riparian Reserves, Key Watersheds, Watershed Analysis, and Watershed Restoration.

Table 2. Aquatic Conservation Strategy Review

Component	Effect	Remarks/References
Riparian Reserves	None	No ground disturbing activities will take place within riparian reserves unless addressed in a project specific EA or CX.
Key Watershed	None	Harvest of SFP is generally minor and on a small scale and is not generally a micro-site altering activity.
Watershed Analysis	None	Collection of SFP is addressed in completed Watershed Analyses.
Watershed Restoration	None	Although the proposed action is not a component of the resource area’s watershed restoration program, it will not have an adverse effect on restoration efforts.

D. Interdisciplinary Team Review

- | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|
| Botany | Ron Exeter |
| Cultural Resources | Heather Ulrich |
| Fisheries and Aquatic Habitat | Scott Snedaker |
| Hydrology/Soils | Steve Wegner |
| NEPA Compliance | Stefanie Larew |
| Recreation | Debra Drake |
| Silviculture/Riparian Ecology | Arlene Roux |
| Special Forest Products program lead | Kevin Foster |
| Wildlife | Scott Hopkins |

Contact Person: For additional information concerning this CX review, contact Stefanie Larew, Natural Resource Specialist, Salem District Office, 1717 Fabry Rd SE, at (503) 375-5601.

Authorized Official: Diane Morris
Diane Morris
Marys Peak Field Manager

Date: 1/10/2012

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT
SALEM DISTRICT, MARYS PEAK RESOURCE AREA

Decision Record

Based on the attached Categorical Exclusion Documentation DOI-BLM-OR-S050-2011-0007-CX, I have determined that the proposed action, the Special Forest Products (SFP) program, involves no significant impacts to the human environment and requires no further environmental analysis.

It is my decision to implement the SFP program, as described in the attached Categorical Exclusion Documentation DOI-BLM-OR-S050-2011-0007-CX, subject to the mitigation measures identified below.

Mitigation Measures:

The harvest or collection of SFP will be allowed in accordance with the restrictions on location, time of year, and harvest techniques described in the attached documents:

- Appendix A – Marys Peak Resource Area Standards, Guidelines, and Rationale for Special Forest Products.
- Appendix B – Areas with SFP harvest restrictions.
- Appendix C – Marys Peak Resource Area Monitoring Form.

Administrative Remedies

Notice of the decision to be made on the action described in this categorical exclusion will be posted on the Salem District internet website. The action is subject to protest under 43 CFR section 4.450-2. A decision in response to a protest is subject to appeal to the Interior Board of Land Appeals under 43 CFR part 4.

Contact Person: For additional information concerning this CX review, contact Stefanie Larew, Natural Resource Specialist, Salem District Office, 1717 Fabry Rd SE, at (503) 375-5601.

Authorized Official: *Diane Morris*
Diane Morris
Marys Peak Field Manager

Date: 1/10/2012

APPENDIX A

MARYS PEAK RESOURCE AREA STANDARDS, GUIDELINES and RATIONALE for SPECIAL FOREST PRODUCTS

Blanket guidelines: Unless otherwise noted for a specific application, these definitions, restrictions, conditions, and guidelines apply for all Special Forest Products. Each of these is subject to all other guidelines which apply, as described in the Marys Peak Categorical Exclusion Review NEPA Compliance Record and Special Forest Products Procedures Series, BLM Manual Supplement, Handbook 5400-2. Any action outside these Standards and Guidelines will require review for NEPA compliance.

NEPA Documentation: Each SFP contract will include a map showing where harvest is allowed and Special Contract Provisions detailing harvest restrictions and practices. Special contract provisions may be revised at any time to incorporate changes required by law, updated legal advice, or recommended by the IDT for adequate protection of resource values. For each contract issued, the authorized officer issuing the contract shall certify that the action is consistent with this CX or other NEPA documentation as described in Appendix A.

For contracts issued by the receptionist at the front desk under the direction of the a Special Forest Products manager, the SFP manager is responsible for ensuring that the action is covered by appropriate NEPA documentation .

Every fifth contract sold will be subject to monitoring and on-the-ground inspection. The monitoring form listed in Appendix C will be filled out and filed with the Salem District copy of the contract.

“Reasonable Amounts” Pursuant to 43 CFR 8365.1-5: (Handbook, p. V-1) Law and policy allows for harvest (from BLM lands) of small amounts of beargrass, berries, boughs, cones, greenery, moss and mushrooms for personal use, and for collection of firewood for campfire use on the public lands. Since such collection does not require any contract or other direct contact with the BLM it is, by its very nature, an almost completely unregulated recreational activity. As such, harvest of “Reasonable Amounts” is allowed in all locations and at all times unless specifically prohibited or restricted. There are no design features for harvest of “Reasonable Amounts” beyond laws and regulations governing entry and related activities on federal lands and State laws governing harvest, possession, and transport of SFP.

On BLM-administered lands in Oregon, reasonable amounts for noncommercial free use have been established for the products listed below:

Special Forest Product	Unit of Measure (Field Conditions)	Reasonable Amount (Per Person per Year for Oregon)
Beargrass	Pounds	25
Berries	Gallons	5 / species

Boughs, All Conifer Species	Pounds	25
Cones - Ornamental	Bushels	2
Cones - seed	Bushels	1
Greenery - All types	Pounds	25
Moss	Pounds	25
Mushrooms	Gallon	5 / species *

* Note: Mushroom collection is limited to the harvest of one gallon per day per person in Oregon; and not more than five gallons per species per calendar year.

Use of Forest Products for Campfires: Section 43 CFR 8365.1-5(b) (5) allows for the collection of firewood (i.e., limbs, branches, or other woody debris) for campfire use on the public lands.

Federal Threatened and Endangered (T&E) Wildlife and Fish Species: Exceptions to the following restrictions can only be granted with an “incidental take permit” which the Bureau acquires after consultation with the US Fish & Wildlife Service, or National Marine Fisheries Service (refer to the most current Programmatic Biological Assessment and its Biological Opinion for affected species within the North Coast Province). The total number of “takes” permitted under the Biological Opinion is tracked and monitored each year by the Resource Area Wildlife and Fisheries Biologists.

Northern Spotted Owl: Locations of northern spotted owl sites will be reviewed annually. No activity producing noise above ambient forest levels, such as use of mechanized machinery, for harvest of SFP will be permitted from March 1st through July 7th within 0.25 mile of an active northern spotted owl nest or any unsurveyed suitable habitat (80+ year old stands). Tree climbing in or adjacent to the nest area will also be restricted from March 1 through September 30.

Marbled Murrelet: Locations of marbled murrelet occupied sites will be reviewed annually. Restricted areas will be occupied sites since individual nest trees are very difficult to locate. The restriction will include the occupied stand plus a 0.25 mile buffer around the stand. No activity producing noise above ambient forest levels, such as use of mechanized machinery, for harvest of SFP will be permitted from April 1st through August 5th within 0.25 mile of an occupied marbled murrelet site or any unsurveyed suitable habitat (80+ year old stands). Tree climbing in or adjacent to an occupied marbled murrelet site will also be restricted from April 1 through September 15.

Bald Eagle: Locations of bald eagle nest sites will be reviewed annually. No activity producing noise above ambient forest levels, such as use of mechanized machinery, for harvest of SFP will be permitted from January 1 through August 31 within 0.25 mile of a bald eagle nest site or within 0.50 mile direct line of sight.

Coastal Coho and Upper Willamette Chinook Salmon and Upper Willamette Steelhead Species: The project is considered “not likely to adversely affect” listed fish species on the Oregon Coast or Upper Willamette River. Consultation with the NMFS for firewood collection and other Special Forest Products is being conducted under a programmatic Biological Assessment (BA) of projects that might disturb federally listed fish species. Actions may include permitting

activities within riparian areas, but which are not likely to result in ground disturbance or sediment delivery to stream channels. Fisheries, hydrology, or other qualified personnel should review collection areas proposed within riparian areas and set boundaries or other limits as necessary to ensure that collection activities will not adversely affect riparian and aquatic habitat function. Issuance of SFP permits which “may adversely affect” listed fish species will need separate consultation.

Other Bureau Special Status Animal, Botanical and Fungal Species

The harvest of Bureau Special Status Botanical and Fungal Species are not allowed unless a permit is needed for collections for scientific purposes.

Harvesting of any forest product that involves habitat altering activities will not be covered under this CX. Harvesting of forest products that do not alter existing habitats will be permitted and the appropriate resource specialist(s) will monitor habitat conditions in stands where continued and intensive collecting of SFP occurs, in order to minimize the cumulative effects to habitats. Records of Bureau special status species known sites will be reviewed annually and new known site locations will be added to Appendix B, Areas with SFP Harvest Restrictions.

Botanical sensitive areas, Areas of Critical Environmental Concern (ACEC), Research Natural Areas (RNA), Outstanding Natural Areas (ONA) and Established Recreation Sites

To comply with the existing management plans for all of Marys Peak ACECs, no contracts for the harvest of SFP will be issued in existing or proposed ACECs. Harvesting of SFP within established recreation areas will be limited to “reasonable amounts” or amounts established by the Marys Peak Recreation Planner. Research projects may be exempted with proper documentation.

Land Use Allocations

Guidance given in the Salem RMP (p. 49) includes: manage for the production and sale of SFP when demand is present and where actions taken are consistent with primary objectives for the land use allocation (LUA). Use the principles of ecosystem management to guide the management and harvest of SFP. Also on SEIS appendix 2-61 it states, prior to selling SFP, ensure resource sustainability and protection of other resources values such as special status plants, fish, or wildlife species.

Marys Peak Resource Area wildlife biologist, fisheries biologist, and botanist have agreed that the SFP manager or Authorized Officer should initially determine if there is a potential for each SFP contract to affect the local ecosystem within the guidelines of this document. If the SFP manager determines that harvest of a SFP will not adversely affect existing habitat, a contract may be issued.

Adaptive Management Areas (AMA): In the AMA, all overriding standards and guidelines will be applied such as Aquatic Conservation Strategy and Late Successional Reserve (LSR) standards. All SFP contracts will comply with the Salem District Resource Management Plan and existing NEPA documents.

Late Successional Reserves (LSR): All SFP contracts must comply with the Salem

District Resource Management Plan, Oregon North and South Coast LSR Assessments and existing NEPA documents.

Matrix: Harvesting of SFP will be allowed to produce a sustainable supply of forest commodities to provide jobs and contribute to community stability in accordance with the Salem District RMP.

Riparian Reserves: Harvesting of SFP within Riparian Reserves will be allowed in accordance with the Salem District RMP. Harvest of SFP from the aquatic systems will not be permitted.

Land Use Allocation Changes: When LUA changes are recommended as a result of Watershed Analysis or other procedures, SFP harvest restrictions will be based on the most restrictive of either the existing or the proposed LUA. Higher levels of restrictions will be implemented immediately upon approval of the Watershed Analysis or other applicable procedure, if necessary. Reduced levels of restrictions will be implemented only on formal adoption of the changed LUA.

Similar Actions

If a similar action (both action to be taken and location) has already been reviewed by each concerned specialist (Resource Area wildlife biologist, botanist, soil scientist, etc.) and there are no anticipated "cumulative effects" issues, then the SFP manager may issue a contract without additional review or NEPA documentation.

Existing Roads

Special Forest Products, except wildflowers listed under federal or state laws, could be harvested within the limits normally affected by road maintenance operations if the roots and soil are left undisturbed.

Rights-of-Way for Roads to be Constructed and Timber Sales

All SFP may be harvested within the posted clearing limits of roads to be constructed. Seasonal restrictions for the location will apply. If NEPA documentation for the road construction project conflicts with this design feature, the site-specific NEPA document takes precedence.

All SFP may be harvested in logged or proposed timber sale units after appropriate surveys have been completed and no known sites of any special status or special attention species exist within the area.

TIMING FOR THE SALE OF SPECIAL FOREST PRODUCTS

Permits for Special Forest Products will generally be sold on Thursdays of each week at the Bureau of Land Management office in Salem, Oregon from September 30th through December 1st. This time period is mostly to accommodate the demand for commercial harvesting of fungi and firewood permits. The sale of SFP from December 1st thru September 30th will be by appointment only. The SFP manager has the right to adjust the period and dates of sale or terminate the sale of products earlier than noted above.

The sale of SFP (form 5450-1) which are advertised for sale at competitive bid (sealed bid) may be sold at any time.

The SFP manager has the discretion to cease the issuance of SFP permits during times of high fire danger (Oregon Department of Forestry Industrial Fire Precaution Level 3 or 4). Permits issued during fire season may be subject to provisions beyond that required by the Oregon Department of Forestry.

APPENDIX B

AREAS WITH SFP HARVEST RESTRICTIONS

Areas where collections of Special Forest Products are restricted on BLM-managed lands within the Marys Peak Resource Area. December 20, 2011

TOWNSHIP	RANGE	SECTION	SUBDIVISION	REASON
7S	6W	4	SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$	Mill Creek Rec. Site
		5	S $\frac{1}{2}$	ACEC
		7	All	Wildlife
		9	SE $\frac{1}{4}$	Proposed Mill Creek ACEC
		17	All	Wildlife
7S	7W	7	All	Wildlife
		11	All	Wildlife
		13	All	Wildlife
		15	All	Wildlife
		27	S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$	ACEC
		33	S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$	ACEC
		34	NW $\frac{1}{4}$	ACEC
7S	8W	17	All	Wildlife
		18	All	Wildlife
		19	All	Wildlife
		20	All	Wildlife
		28	W $\frac{1}{2}$	Wildlife
		29	All	Wildlife
		30	All	Wildlife
		31	All	Wildlife, ACEC
		32	W $\frac{1}{2}$	Wildlife
7S	9W	2	All	ACEC
		3	S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$	ACEC
8S	6W	31	All	Wildlife
		33	All	Wildlife, ACEC
8S	9W	7	All	Wildlife

TOWNSHIP	RANGE	SECTION	SUBDIVISION	REASON
8S	10W	27	All	Wildlife
9S	4W	23	W½	Wells Island-Rec. Lease
9S	6W	7	All	Wildlife
9S	7W	1	All	Wildlife
		11	All	Wildlife
		13	All	Wildlife
		29	All	Wildlife
		31	All	Wildlife, ACEC
		33	All	Wildlife
9S	8W	35	All	Wildlife
9S	9W	34	All	Wildlife
10S	5W	19	All	Wildlife
		29	SW¼	ACEC
10S	11W	29	All	ACEC
		30	All	ACEC
12S	7W	20	NE¼NE¼ N½SW¼	ACEC
		28	NE¼NW¼	ACEC
		29	All	ACEC
13S	6W	7	W½	Wildlife
		31	E½	Wildlife
13S	7S	3	S½	Wildlife
		7	All	Wildlife
		9	N½	Wildlife
		11	W½	Wildlife
13S	8W	20	E½	ACEC
		21	All	ACEC
		25	All	Wildlife
		26	All	Wildlife
		29	All	Wildlife
		31	All	Wildlife
		33	All	Wildlife

TOWNSHIP	RANGE	SECTION	SUBDIVISION	REASON
13S	9W	20	All	Wildlife
14S	6W	5	All	Wildlife
14S	7W	1	All	Wildlife
		17	S½	Wildlife
		21	N½	Wildlife
		23	All	Wildlife
		25	W½	Alsea Falls Recreation Site
		26	SE¼NE¼	Alsea Falls Recreation Site
		29	All	Wildlife
		30	All	Wildlife
14S	8W	27	All	Wildlife
		31	All	Wildlife
14S	9W	13	S½SE¼	Missouri Bend Recreation Site
15S	8W	2	All	Wildlife
		11	All	Wildlife
		15	All	Wildlife
		16	All	Wildlife
		24	All	Wildlife
		25	All	Wildlife
		26	All	Wildlife

Note: All areas marked as wildlife have seasonal restrictions (see p. 4). If an activity is being planned in a wildlife area, consultation will occur with the area wildlife biologist. SFP collection is prohibited in all ACECs. If an activity is being planned adjacent to an ACEC, the management plan and/or area botanist will be consulted. Activities planned in or adjacent to recreation sites require consultation with the area recreation planner.

The following plants are restricted from harvest (Oregon Wildflower Law).

- Members of the genus *Lilium*
- Members of the genus *Calochortus*
- Members of the genus *Fritillaria*
- Members of the genus *Erythronium*
- Members of the genus *Cypripedium*
- Members of the genus *Calypso*
- Members of the genus *Lewisia*

Members of the genus *Douglasia*

For a list of the plants and wildlife that are restricted from harvest see federal Threatened and Endangered and Bureau Special Status Species list included in, *2007 Record of Decision To Remove the Survey and Manage Mitigation Measure Standards and Guidelines from Bureau of Land Management Resource Management Plans Within the Range of the Northern Spotted Owl* (July 2007) and Instruction Memorandum No. OR-2010-040 (Draft State Director's Special Status Species List, May 2010).

APPENDIX C

Marys Peak SFP Monitoring Form Salem District

Contract # _____ Date Sold: _____

Product sold _____

NEPA Compliance: Marys Peak Special Forest Products CX and/OR _____

Monitoring questions:	YES	NO
Have the SFP Harvest Restrictions (CX, Appendix B) been reviewed prior to issuing this contract?		
Have the appropriate special provisions sheets been issued under this contract?		
Does the harvest of the special forest product involve power tools or mechanized machinery? (If yes, answer A & B below)		
A. Have the noise restrictions been met for special status species?		
B. Is this action considered a "take" under the USFWS Biological Opinion? If yes, how many remain for this product?		
Is the contract for a product which is considered to be a ground disturbing project? (If yes, answer A below)		
A. Has the appropriate botany and wildlife surveys been completed?		
Does the contract issued comply with the Salem District Resource Management Plan including the aquatic conservation strategies and Special Forest Products Handbook?		

Signature of the Authorized Officer below indicates that it is their professional judgment that the contract issued falls under the Marys Peak Special Forest Products CX or other previously prepared NEPA documentation and is not a risk for any additional impacts to resources sufficient to warrant further NEPA documentation or monitoring of this contract in the field.

Authorized Officer: _____ **Date:** _____