

Prineville District
**Land Use Plan Conformance and
Determination of NEPA Adequacy (DNA)**
Review and Approval

Name of Proposed Action: Sid Seale (#2619) grazing allotment lease renewal

DNA Number: OR-054-08-222

Project or Serial Number: not applicable

Location of Proposed Action: Approximately fifteen miles west of Condon, Oregon; T. 2, 3, & 4 S., R. 18 & 19 E. (see map).

Purpose of and Need for Action: The current lease is due to expire on February 28, 2009.

Description of the Proposed Action: The proposed action is to renew the current grazing lease for the Sid Seale grazing allotment for a term of ten years. The management actions and present Terms and Conditions for the allotment would remain unchanged.

Plan Conformance:

The above project has been reviewed and found to be in conformance with one or more of the following BLM plans:

Two Rivers Resource Management Plan, Record of Decision, Rangeland Program Summary, signed June 1986 and the associated Rangeland Program Summary Updates June 1998, August 1997, June 1995, and March 1993. The Two Rivers Resource Management Plan, Record of Decision, Rangeland Program Summary was evaluated in 1998 and found to still provide valid guidance for land use and resource allocations and directions. Record of Decision, John Day River Management Plan, Two Rivers, John Day, and Baker Resource Management Plan Amendments, signed February, 2001.

The proposed action is in conformance with the applicable LUPs because it is specifically provided for in the following LUP decisions:

Record of Decision, John Day River Management Plan, Two Rivers, John Day, and Baker Resource Management Plan Amendments, signed February 2001, page 225. Two Rivers Resource Management Plan, Record of Decision, Rangeland Program Summary, page 47, Allotment Number '2619', Selective Management Category 'Improve', Acres of Public Land '12,597', Livestock Kind 'Cattle', Grazing Period Begin - End '3/01 - 2/28', Active Use '708'. Acres of public land increased by 64 acres and 3 AUMs following the Rock Creek Land Exchange (Federal Register Notice OR 37150) in 1985. In 1994, 303 acres and 9 AUMs of previously unleased public land that lie within the allotment boundary was added to the lease. In January 1995 a Rangeline Agreement between the Seale's and Carlson's adjusted the allotment boundary, adding 507 acres and 8 AUMs to the Sid Seale allotment. Another Rangeline Agreement in 1995 added 205 acres and 5 AUMs to the Sid Seale allotment from the Belshe allotment.

Applicable NEPA document and related documents:

The following NEPA documents and related documents address the proposed action:

Two Rivers Resource Management Plan, Record of Decision, Rangeland Program Summary. Consultation for mid-Columbia steelhead has been completed for grazing actions within this

allotment. Analysis rated actions within the Sid Seale allotment as 'May Affect, Likely to Adversely Affect'. Record of Decision, John Day River Management Plan, Two Rivers, John Day, and Baker Resource Management Plan Amendments, signed February, 2001. Interim Management Policy for Lands Under Wilderness Review, H-8550-1, dated July 1995.

NEPA Adequacy Criteria:

1. Is the current proposed action substantially the same action (or is a part of that action) as previously analyzed?

Yes. Livestock grazing in general was addressed on pages 58 - 72 and 105 - 107 of the Two Rivers Resource Management Plan Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), 1985, pages 17 - 20 of the Proposed Two Rivers Resource Management Plan, Final EIS, 1985, and on the Sid Seale allotment specifically on pages 43 and 47 of the Two Rivers Resource Management Plan, Record of Decision, Rangeland Program Summary.

In addition, Volume 1 of the John Day River Proposed Management Plan, Two Rivers and John Day RMP Amendments and Final Environmental Impact Statement, June 2000 (John Day River FEIS) covers grazing in general on pages 162 - 173 and in Chapter 5. The John Day River Management Plan Record of Decision (ROD) addressed grazing on pages 10, 11, 24 - 27, and the Sid Seale allotment on page 225.

Grazing use was to be continued in the allotment. No portion of the allotment was proposed for livestock exclusion. The Sid Seale grazing allotment contains 13,676 acres of public land and supports 733 AUMs.

2. Is the range of alternatives analyzed in the existing NEPA document(s) appropriate with respect to the current proposed action, given current environmental concerns, interests, resource values, and circumstances?

Yes. Alternatives in the planning document (pages 11 - 25 in the Two Rivers Draft EIS) ranged from an emphasis in commodity production to an emphasis of natural values. On the Sid Seale allotment alternative E proposed decreasing authorized use to 0 AUMs. The John Day River FEIS, completed less than 10 years ago, analyzed the following alternatives with respect to grazing: the existing situation, riparian-oriented grazing, riparian exclusion, and no grazing. The range of alternatives is appropriate given the current issues. No new alternatives or concerns have been raised by the public since completion of the EIS.

3. Is the existing analysis adequate and are the conclusions adequate in light of any new information or circumstances (including, for example, riparian proper functioning condition [PFC] reports; rangeland health standards assessments; Unified Watershed Assessment categorizations; inventory and monitoring data; most recent Fish and Wildlife Service lists of threatened, endangered, proposed, and candidate species; most recent BLM lists of sensitive species)? Can you reasonably conclude that all new information and all new circumstances are insignificant with regard to analysis of the proposed action?

Yes. The Two Rivers Resource Management Plan, Record of Decision, Rangeland Program Summary was formally evaluated in 1998 and found to provide valid guidance for land use and resource allocations and directions. The analysis contained in John Day River FEIS reviewed most pertinent and available information. The BLM has prepared a Biological Assessment (BA) and indicated livestock grazing in this allotment is 'may affect, likely to adversely affect' populations of the Mid Columbia steelhead, now listed as threatened. The National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) has issued a Biological Opinion and they have concurred with the findings of the BA.

In 2003 public lands on the allotment were reviewed for conformance with the Standards for Rangeland Health and Guidelines for Grazing Management (43 CFR 4180, available for review

at the Prineville District BLM). An interdisciplinary team of BLM specialists found that conditions on public lands in this allotment did not meet the standards though current grazing management was not the cause.

Some public lands contained within this allotment lie within a Wilderness Study Area (WSA). Public lands lying outside of a WSA were evaluated for wilderness characteristics. The evaluation found that those parcels do not have wilderness character because they lack sufficient size and do not meet any of the exceptions to the size criteria.

There is no new information and the circumstances are unchanged.

4. Do the methodology and analytical approach used in the existing NEPA document(s) continue to be appropriate for the current proposed action?

Yes. The Two Rivers Resource Management Plan, Record of Decision, Rangeland Program Summary addressed impacts of continued grazing and provided objectives and recommendations to facilitate maintenance of existing ecological condition trends (page 14-17). This approach is still valid. The Two Rivers Resource Management Plan, Record of Decision, Rangeland Program Summary was evaluated in 1998 and found to still provide valid guidance for land use and resource allocations and directions. In addition, the recent John Day River Management Plan ROD contains plan amendments that update the Two Rivers, John Day, and Baker Resource Management Plans.

5. Are the direct and indirect impacts of the current proposed action substantially unchanged from those identified in the existing NEPA document(s)? Does the existing NEPA document sufficiently analyze site-specific impacts related to the current proposed action?

Yes. Impacts resulting from grazing are essentially unchanged from those analyzed in the Two Rivers Draft EIS. The Draft EIS (pages 57-72) stated grazing would produce no change, or slight to moderate, generally positive, impacts on soils, water quality, vegetation, cultural resources and wildlife habitat, and no impact on air quality, water, forest land, wild horses, recreation, Areas of Critical Environmental Concern, visual resources, energy and minerals, or socio-economics. Further detail is provided for the current actions in the John Day River FEIS, Volume 1, Chapter 5.

6. Can you conclude without additional analysis or information that the cumulative impacts that would result from implementation of the current proposed action are substantially unchanged from those analyzed in the existing NEPA document(s)?

Yes. The Two Rivers Draft EIS does not specifically address the cumulative impacts of grazing but does address long term impacts of the action with the assumption that the grazing activity would continue. Recommendations and objectives in the document reflect the impacts and expected improvements that would continue with the ongoing grazing. The proposed action is substantially unchanged from those analyzed impacts. The John Day River FEIS addresses cumulative impacts on pages 336 - 338.

7. Are the public involvement and interagency review associated with existing NEPA document(s) adequately for the current proposed action?

Yes. Many of the individuals and organizations on the current "interested publics" list are the same as those on the mailing list for the NEPA documents referenced in this plan conformance document.

Interdisciplinary Analysis:

Identify those team members conducting or participating in the preparation of this worksheet.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Resource Represented</u>	<u>Initials/Date</u>
JoAnne Armson	Special Status Plants	SAJ 11/17/08
Jeff Moss	Fisheries/Special Status Fishes	JDM 11/17/08
Heidi Mottl	Wilderness/Recreation	Hm 1/12/09
Craig Obermiller	Rangelands	CWO 4 NOV 08
Rick Demmer	Wildlife/Special Status Animals	RJD 11/21/08
John Zancanella	Cultural/Paleontological	JZ 11/7/08


Mitigation Measures:

The following mitigation measures will be implemented as part of the proposed action:

No mitigation measures have been identified.

Recommendation:


Issue a ten year grazing lease showing authorized grazing period of March 1 to February 28.

Prepared By: 
Craig W. Obermiller
Rangeland Management Specialist

Date: 20 JAN 2009

Plan Conformance/DNA Determination:


The proposed action and any specified mitigation measure(s) has been determined to meet the criteria for a Determination of NEPA Adequacy (DNA). No additional environmental analysis required. All cultural, T&E plant, and T&E wildlife specialists have provided clearances for the proposed project.

Reviewed By: 
Amy Shlisky, Assistant Field Manager
Central Oregon Field Office

Date: 2/6/09

Approval:

Based on the review documented above, I conclude that this proposal conforms to the applicable land use plan and that the NEPA documentation fully covers the proposed action and constitutes BLM's compliance with the requirements of NEPA.

Approved By: 
Christina M. Welch, Field Manager
Central Oregon Field Office

Date: 2/10/2009

Attachments: allotment maps

Note: The signature on this Worksheet is part of an interim step in the BLM's internal decision process and cannot be appealed

RIBE


RIE


SID SEALE #2619



PUBLIC LAND

PRIVATE LAND





T.3S.
T.4S.

T.4S.
T.5S.

R.18E. R.19E.

JOHN

SHERMAN CO

SHERMAN CO

CO
CO32

JOHN DAY RAILWAY RANCH
Thirtymile

GULL AZ 2535

GULL 2700

2632 Horse Pasture Spr

TROUGH 2576

McIntosh Spr 29

Schilling Spr

PETE 1550

WELLS

KNIFE 2428

TAZ 2608

WELL

McInnes Spr

Stakely Spr

Fitzwater Spr

SID SEALE RANCH

JOHN DAY RAILWAY RANCH

Dipping Vat Spr

Cushman

RICHMOND ROAD

JOHN DAY RAILWAY RANCH

Nelson Butte

CISTERN 15

McInnes Spr

PETE 1550

Jackknife

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359