

# **Categorical Exclusion Documentation**

Bureau of Land Management (BLM)  
Prineville District – Deschutes Resource Area

## **A. Background**

**Proposed Action Title:** Grazing Permit Transfer, Reckman Allotment

**CE Number:** DOI-BLM-OR-P060-2009-0041-CX

**Project or Serial Number:** None

**Location:** Approximately five miles west of Grass Valley, Oregon. Map attached.

**Description of the Proposed Action:** The proposed action is to transfer portions of the BLM grazing authorization for the Reckman Allotment (7564) to Karleen M. Perry and Patsy M. Reckman, Henry E. Reckmann, and Katherine Christiansen. The previous lessee was Andy Reckman who passed away in 2007, since then, his heirs having been dividing-up the estate among family members. Based on the documents submitted for the base property, the total active AUMs of 198 have been divided as follows: Karleen M. Perry and Patsy M. Reckman, 107 AUMs; Henry E. Reckmann, 58 AUMs; and Katherine Christiansen, 34 AUMs. The existing Terms and Conditions, and management practices of the lease would remain unchanged.

## **B. Land Use Plan Conformance**

The above proposed action has been found to be in conformance with the following BLM plans.

### **Two Rivers Resource Management Plan (RMP), Record of Decision (ROD), Rangeland Program Summary, June 1986**

Page 10, Goal and Objectives, No. 1: “Maintain forage production and livestock use at 17,778 AUMs.”

Page 44, Appendix C: “7564 Reckman, J.P., Acres Public Land 3,194, Current Active Use 198 AUMs ...”

Page 49, Appendix D: “7564 , Reckman, J.P., ... Grazing Period Begin-End 03/01 – 12/05 ...”

### **Lower Deschutes River Management Plan, Record of Decision, February 1993**

Page 21, C.1.a.1. Proposed Decision, No. 3: “The period of livestock use within the planning area will generally be between November 1 and May 1, unless there is a site specific rationale for an exception, such as use in a non-riparian pasture.”

## **C. Compliance with NEPA**

Proposed Action is categorically excluded from further documentation under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) in accordance with 516 DM 11.5.D (1), effective August 14, 2007, “Approval of transfers of grazing preference”. This categorical exclusion is appropriate in this situation because there are no extraordinary circumstances potentially having effects that may significantly affect the environment. The proposed action has been reviewed and none of the extraordinary circumstances apply as described in 516 DM 2, Appendix 2, and effective June 21, 2005. See attached CX Extraordinary Circumstances Documentation checklist beginning on page 3.

I considered the above Proposed Action, land use plan, compliance with 516 DM 11.5.D (1), and the lack of extraordinary circumstances potentially having effects that may significantly affect the environment information provided. Based on this review, there is no potential for significant impacts so further NEPA analysis is not needed.

**D. Signature**

Authorizing official: /S/ Molly Brown Date 8/7/2009  
Molly M. Brown, Deschutes Field Manager


**Contact Person**

For additional information concerning this review, contact Lyle Andrews, Rangeland Management Specialist at the Prineville District Office, 3050 NE 3<sup>rd</sup> Street, Prineville, OR 97754, 541-416-6715, lyle\_w\_andrews@or.blm.gov.

<b>CX EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES DOCUMENTATION</b>			
The proposed categorical exclusion action will:		YES	NO
2.1	Have significant impacts on public health or safety.		X
Rationale: The proposed action, which would continue livestock grazing on the Reckman Allotment, was determined not to have significant impacts on public health or safety based on “preferability” of the Preferred Alternative in the Two Rivers ROD, June 1986 (No. 3, page 7). In addition, Lower Deschutes River Management Plan did not identify livestock grazing as having significant impacts on public health or safety.			
2.2	Have significant impacts on such natural resources and unique geographic characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands (Executive Order 11990); floodplains (Executive Order 11988); national monuments; migratory birds; and other ecologically significant or critical areas.		X
Rationale: The proposed action does not have significant impacts on the items listed. The proposed action does not have park, refuge, wilderness, national natural landmarks, principal drinking water aquifers, prime farmlands, wetlands, national monuments, and ecologically significant or critical areas. The Two Rivers RMP and the Lower Deschutes River Management Plan provided management guidance for livestock grazing concerning cultural resources, recreation, and wild and scenic rivers.			
2.3	Have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources [NEPA Section 102(2)(E)].		X
Rationale: The proposed action is in conformance with the Two Rivers RMP, ROD and Rangeland Program Summary dated June 1986, which did not identify any highly controversial environmental effects or unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources. Grazing is provided for in the Livestock Grazing Directions, page 14: “The availability of forage will remain at 17,778 AUMs in the short term.” Also, it is specifically provided for in the Reckman Allotment (7564) in Appendix C, Initial and Predicted Long Term Livestock Forage Use, page 45: “Short Term 198 AUMs and Long Term 240 AUMs. In addition, the Lower Deschutes River Management Plan Record of Decision, dated February 1993, page 21, C.1.a.1.3: allows for the continuation of livestock grazing adjacent to the river between November 1 and May 1.			
2.4	Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks.		X
Rationale: Grazing practices, as described in the listed land use planning documents, for			

the proposed action have shown no highly uncertain, potentially significant, unique or unknown risks.		
2.5	Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects.	X
Rationale: Thousands of grazing permit/lease transfers have occurred every year since 1935 so the proposed action would not be regarded as precedent setting with potentially significant environmental effects. Due to the management constraints imposed by the Two Rivers Resource Management Plan and Lower Deschutes River Management Plan the proposed action is within the limits of acceptable environmental effects.		
2.6	Have a direct relationship to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant environmental effects.	X
Rationale: Similar past actions, to the proposed action, did not result in significant direct, indirect or cumulative environmental effects. The proposed action does not alter the magnitude of environmental effects because it is an administrative action which involves people completing forms in an enclosed office environment.		
2.7	Have significant impacts on properties listed, or eligible for listing, on the National Register of Historic Places as determined by either the bureau or office.	X
Rationale: The Two Rivers ROD determined grazing, as described in the Plan, would have a low impact on historic places (see Table 1, page iii). The Lower Deschutes River ROD determined grazing to have a moderate impact, but with, later to-be-determined site specific mitigations, it was acceptable (see Table 1, page 7 and C.3.a.3 page 28).		
2.8	Have significant impacts on species listed, or proposed to be listed, on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species, or have significant impacts on designated Critical Habitat for these species.	X
Rationale: The assessment for the Standards for Rangeland Health and Guidelines for Livestock Grazing Management for the Reckman Allotment, dated September 2005, determined that current grazing practices were not having an unacceptable impact on listed species or their habitat (see pages 5-6).		
2.9	Violate a Federal law, or a State, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment.	X
Rationale: The proposed action conforms to the direction given for the management of public lands in the Two Rivers and Lower Deschutes River RODs/RMPs, which complies with all applicable laws, such as the Clean Water Act, Endangered Species Act and others.		


2.10 Have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations (Executive Order 12898).		X
Rationale: The transfer of an active grazing permit/lease would have no measurable effect on low-income or minority populations; however, it would provide public grazing land for a ranch operation which may employ low income or minorities.		
2.11 Limit access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites on Federal lands by Indian religious practitioners or cause significant adverse affect on the physical integrity of such sacred sites (Executive Order 13007).		X
Rationale: There is no documentation of limited access or use of sacred Indian ceremonial sites regarding the proposed action. In addition, there is no documentation of that the physical integrity of such sites, if they exist, has been significantly adversely affected. Should an adverse situation arise, action would be taken to ameliorate the problem.		
2.12 Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of noxious weeds or non-native invasive species known to occur in the area or actions that may promote the introduction, growth, or expansion of the range of such species (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act and Executive Order 13112).		X
Rationale: The proposed action would not measurably change the rate of introduction, continued existence or spread of noxious weeds or invasive species. Livestock entering public lands have the potential to transport some viable undesirable seed via hide or gut; however, the possibility of introducing undesirable plants, not already in the area, is remote.		



## Reckmann Allotment 7564

Department of Interior  
 Bureau of Land Management  
 3050 NE Third Street  
 Prineville, OR 97754  
 541-416-6700

No warranty is made by the Bureau of Land Management as to the accuracy, reliability, or completeness of these data for individual or aggregate use with other data. Original data were compiled from various sources and may be updated without notification.  
 Map Created 07/13/2009  
 Map Modifications Pending  
 MOD: E:\glenn\l\l\l\glenn\prineville\_allot\_map.apr



### Legend

- |                    |         |
|--------------------|---------|
| Allotment Boundary | BLM     |
| Highways           | State   |
| Collector          | Private |
| Local              |         |
| Resource Road      |         |
-