

## BLM TIMBER MT OHV PROCESS APPROACH & DATA REQUESTS 5/24/12 VERSION

- = Quoted directly from DEIS
- = Category in DEIS
- = Category added from WG feedback
- = Work Group Members fill out this column

Columns IV & V.

- 1: Essential
- 2: Nice to Have
- 3: Wait until EIS
- 4: Not Likely to Provide

I. Significant Issues	II. Status Quo  No Action Alternative from DEIS	III. WG Data/ Information Requests	IV. WG Triage Data Requests: 1-2-3  (Rank = # of Responses)	V. BLM Triage Data Requests 1-2-3-4 by Time, Difficulty, Cost	VI. Triage Results with References (data locations)	VII. Notes
<b>A. Quality OHV Experience</b>						
1. Total miles of OHV routes (estimated)	375.9 miles	1. Roads and trails – Overlay Map of Existing Conditions	1 = 4 2 = 1 3 = 0	1	WG-Discussion Map	375.9 miles
		2. Document “historical use” (Usage data since 1970)	1 = 3 2 = 1 3 = 1	?	Recreational Uses	
		3. Map of 1995 trails and miles of 1995 trails	1 = 4 2 = 0 3 = 0  To my knowledge, no accurate source	1	DEIS Table 2-9 Current Condition Map Data Inventoried 2000-2003	
		4. Map of lands designated for any use (OHV, Non-motorized Recreation, Other) in the Medford District	1 = 1 2 = 1 3 = 1  -Specifics needed	1	Medford District Recreation Opportunity Map	
2. Miles of OHV routes by land status (estimated)	185.6 miles existing on BLM-administered lands,  190.3 miles existing on private lands	1. Private land information, e.g., a) What they are doing, growing b) Potential land exchanges, sales, clear cutting	1 = 1 2 = 3 3 = 1	1	DEIS Chapter 2 Tables by Alternative Pg. 20, 24,28,32,36	185.6 miles existing on BLM-administered lands, 190.3 miles existing on private lands

I. Significant Issues	II. Status Quo  No Action Alternative from DEIS	III. WG Data/ Information Requests	IV. WG Triage Data Requests: 1-2-3  (Rank = # of Responses)	V. BLM Triage Data Requests 1-2-3-4 by Time, Difficulty, Cost	VI. Triage Results with References (data locations)	VII. Notes
		2. Public and private lands - land acquisition of private land acquired by the MRA - Map	1 = 3 2 = 2 3 = 0	4	DEIS Chapter 2 Tables by Alternative Pg. 20, 24,28,32,36	
		3. Residential Data, over time, in and around TM/JP (Population, Location)	1 = 3 2 = 1 3 = 1	4	NA	
3. Miles of OHV routes by trail class (all ownerships)	Class 1: 111.5 Class 2: 207.8 (Includes existing open system roads) Class 3: 56.5		1 = 3 2 = 0 3 = 2	1	DEIS Pg. 20	Class 1: 111.5 Class 2: 207.8 (Includes existing open system roads) Class 3: 56.5
4. Development or improvement of staging areas	Dispersed unloading and loading of OHVs would continue; no staging area developments or improvements are planned	1. Map showing staging areas and restrooms	1 = 3 2 = 1 3 = 0 n/a = 1	1	DEIS Pg. 39-41  Table 2-7 Summary of Staging Areas facilities DEIS Pg. 41	Dispersed unloading and loading of OHVs would continue; no staging area developments or improvements are planned
5. Meets the minimum miles of OHV trails (60 miles) suggested to satisfy one day OHV user visits	Yes		1 = 1 2 = 0 3 = 2 -Document this stat	1	DEIS Pg. 213	Based on a 1 day trip and the distance an intermediate rider would travel during that time traversing road/ trail.
6. Meets the miles of trails desirable to satisfy multi-day OHV user visits (200 miles)	Yes		1 = 2 2 = 0 3 = 1	1	DEIS Pg. 213	Based on a 3 day overnight stay and the distance an intermediate rider would travel during that time traversing road/trail.

I. Significant Issues	II. Status Quo  No Action Alternative from DEIS	III. WG Data/ Information Requests	IV. WG Triage Data Requests: 1-2-3  (Rank = # of Responses)	V. BLM Triage Data Requests 1-2-3-4 by Time, Difficulty, Cost	VI. Triage Results with References (data locations)	VII. Notes
			-Document this stat -Supporting docs required			
7. Connectivity of the OHV trail system (Agreements)	Public and private lands—no agreements in place to ensure connectivity of OHV routes and loops.		1 = 2 2 = 0 3 = 1  -Explain	1	DEIS Chapter 2, Tables 2-9	Public and private lands —no agreements in place to ensure connectivity of OHV routes and loops.
8. User safety		Accident data	1 = 0 2 = 3 3 = 2	1	DEIS Pg. 204 Recreation Resources, Public Safety Pg. 224	
<b>B. Quality Non-Motorized Recreation Experience</b>						
1. Miles of Non-Motorized trails		Historical use data	1 = 3 2 = 1 3 = 1	3	Inventory Data compiled from 1999 to 2003 and used in DEIS.	
2. Campgrounds		Historical use data	1 = 1 2 = 2 3 = 3	1		IN the OHV Area, MRA only.
3. Settler Cabins		Locations	1 = 1 2 = 2 3 = 2	4		Sensitive data, archeology
4. User safety		Trail encounters data	1 = 2 2 = 2 3 = 1	4	No Data Available	
5. Other uses in Medford District			1 = 0 2 = 0 3 = 5			

I. Significant Issues	II. Status Quo  No Action Alternative from DEIS	III. WG Data/ Information Requests	IV. WG Triage Data Requests: 1-2-3  (Rank = # of Responses)	V. BLM Triage Data Requests 1-2-3-4 by Time, Difficulty, Cost	VI. Triage Results with References (data locations)	VII. Notes
<b>C. Soil Resources</b>						
1. Acres out of production from roads & trails (BLM)	202		1 = 0 2 = 4 3 = 1	1	DEIS Table 3-7 Pg. 77	
2. Miles of roads/trails on ravel prone soils	94		1 = 4 2 = 0 3 = 1 -Defined -Map	1	DEIS Table 3-5 Pg. 76	
3. Miles of roads/trails on granitic soils	87		1 = 4 2 = 1 3 = 0 -Defined -Map	1	DEIS table 3-3 Pg. 76	
4. Soil types		Maps	1 = 4 2 = 1 3 = 0		WG Discussion Map DEIS Table 3-1 pg. 72	
5. Soil stability		Maps	1 = 4 2 = 1 3 = 0		DEIS Table 3-1 pg. 72	
6. Environmental damage		Map showing existing conditions	1 = 5 2 = 0 3 = 0 -Defined and maps -Types of damage		?	
<b>D. Water Resources</b>						
7. Number of Trail-stream crossings on BLM lands (Includes dry draws that	500	Watershed, creek, density maps	1 = 4 2 = 1	1	DEIS Pg. 130 Table 3-26	

I. Significant Issues	II. Status Quo  No Action Alternative from DEIS	III. WG Data/ Information Requests	IV. WG Triage Data Requests: 1-2-3  (Rank = # of Responses)	V. BLM Triage Data Requests 1-2-3-4 by Time, Difficulty, Cost	VI. Triage Results with References (data locations)	VII. Notes
<i>may carry water seasonally but do not have a defined channel.)</i>			3 = 0  -Map and stream names -Specifics			
2. Miles of trails in Riparian Reserves	21.5	Riparian reserve map	1 = 3 2 = 2 3 = 0  -Map -Specifics	1	WG-Discussion Map DEIS pg. 154 Table 3-30, 3-31	Map produced for June Meeting
3. Miles of trails decommissioned (BLM)	0		1 = 1 2 = 2 3 = 1 n/a = 1	1	DEIS Pg. 125 Table 3-24	Miles of trail proposed for decommissioning under DEIS may differ with WG proposal.
4. Threat for petrochemical pollution to surface or ground water supply	LOW (But greater than the Action Alternatives due to unrestricted riparian access)		1 = 0 2 = 2 3 = 3	1	NA	NA
5. 303D listed streams under Clean Water Act		Maps	1 = 4 2 = 0 3 = 1  -Specifics with Maps		DEIS Pg. __	
6. Environmental damage		Map showing existing conditions	1 = 3 2 = 0 3 = 2  -Specifics -Types of damage	1	DEIS and Existing Condition map. Includes trails removed to date DEIS Pg. __	
7. Hydrologic history		Map, timeline	1 = 3 2 = 1 3 = 1	2	Stream Survey Data Available over 1500.	

I. Significant Issues	II. Status Quo  No Action Alternative from DEIS	III. WG Data/ Information Requests	IV. WG Triage Data Requests: 1-2-3  (Rank = # of Responses)	V. BLM Triage Data Requests 1-2-3-4 by Time, Difficulty, Cost	VI. Triage Results with References (data locations)	VII. Notes
<b>E. Threatened &amp; Endangered</b>						
1. Miles of OHV use in spotted owl habitat	52		1 = 3 2 = 2 3 = 1 Specifics with maps	1	DEIS Pg. 192 Table 3-40	
2. Number of spotted owl sites within 195 feet of OHV use	2		1 = 3 2 = 2 3 = 1 Specifics with maps	1	DEIS Pg. 192	Note: 195 ft is a chainsaw noise buffer used for timber harvesting. Chainsaws operate and over 115db Text: Alt 1: Two known owl sites are within 195 feet of a gated road used by OHV, and 4 sites are within existing trails.
3. <i>Fritillaria gentneri</i> sites potentially affected	15		1 = 4 2 = 1 3 = 1 Specifics with maps	1	DEIS Botany section, Pg. 163	
4. Coho Salmon	<i>Indicators for water resources used as indicators for benefits/impacts to Coho habitat</i>	Potential impacts, including downstream	1 = 4 2 = 1 3 = 0 Define, Map	1	DEIS Fish and Aquatic Habitat Section, Pg. 132 <i>Indicators for water resources used as indicators for benefits/impacts to Coho habitat</i>	
5. Wildlife habitat		Impacts	1 = 4 2 = 1 3 = 0 Define, Map	1	DEIS Pg. 179 Terrestrial Wildlife Section	
6. Threatened and endangered species		List	1 = 4 2 = 0 3 = 1 Define, Map	1	DEIS Pg. 180 ESA Spotted Owl	US Fish and Wildlife Service Bird's of Conservation Concerns 2002 Pg. 183-187

I. Significant Issues	II. Status Quo  No Action Alternative from DEIS	III. WG Data/ Information Requests	IV. WG Triage Data Requests: 1-2-3  (Rank = # of Responses)	V. BLM Triage Data Requests 1-2-3-4 by Time, Difficulty, Cost	VI. Triage Results with References (data locations)	VII. Notes
7. Environmental damage		Map showing existing conditions	1 = 3 2 = 1 3 = 1 Define, Map	1	WG Discussion Map	
8. Endangered plant species		Impacts	1 = 3 2 = 1 3 = 1 Define, Map	1	DEIS Pg. 163	
9. Rare plants		Locations, surveys	1 = 3 2 = 1 3 = 1 Define, Map	1	DEIS Pg.166	
10. Vegetation/ trees		Map DEIS	1 = 3 2 = 1 3 = 1 Define, Map	1	DEIS Pg. __	
11. Native uses that preclude and/or predate OHV use		Map	1 = 3 2 = 1 3 = 1 Define, Map	4	-	-
<b>F. Noise</b>						
1. No. of residents exposed to sound levels greater than 50dBA (within 1,707 ft. or 0.3 mile of an OHV route) (50 dBA is average ambient sound level for a quiet rural neighborhood.)	305	Site specific noise analysis Completed 4/20/12	1 = 5 2 = 0 3 = 0 Map and specifics	2	Available on BLM Website	Base levels should be 30dBA, as measured by residents and should include frequency response
2. No. of residents exposed to sound levels greater than 60dBA (within 427 ft. or 0.08 mile of an OHV route) (Sound levels of 60 dBA or greater (10 dBA increase over	47	Site specific noise analysis	1 = 5 2 = 0 3 = 0 Map and specifics	2 Final Sound Analysis for Timber Mt.	DEIS Pg. __	Base levels should be 30dBA, as measured by residents and should include frequency response  Thus, 40dBA would be

I. Significant Issues	II. Status Quo  No Action Alternative from DEIS	III. WG Data/ Information Requests	IV. WG Triage Data Requests: 1-2-3  (Rank = # of Responses)	V. BLM Triage Data Requests 1-2-3-4 by Time, Difficulty, Cost	VI. Triage Results with References (data locations)	VII. Notes
<i>ambient sound level) could be perceived as noise or annoying sound level.)</i>						perceived as noise
3. Ability to contain OHV noise		Options	Expert needed  1 = 5 2 = 0 3 = 0	2		
<b>G. Nomenclature</b>						
1. Term	"Emphasis Area"		1 = 3 2 = 1 3 = 1	1	WOPR DEIS pg.119, Table 55 pg.143, WOPR DEIS Appendix J-1203	"Trail System"
2. Definition of "Trail Encounters"			1 = 2 2 = 1 3 = 2	1	Wernex, Joseph 1994  OHV&ATV Trail Guide	
3. Definition of "Managed Area"		B. DEIS-	1 = 4 2 = 0 3 = 1	1, Discuss	Cite needed	
4. Definition of "Quality OHV Experience"		B. DEIS PG. 211-212 Each user has a set of <b>experiences</b> or <b>elements</b> that make up their OHV recreation experience	1 = 4 2 = 0 3 = 1	1, Discuss	DEIS Pg. 211-212 Cites: Wernex Report (1994), Lockwood, Cam(2008), Crimmins State of Colorado Survey, (1999)	Multiple elements comprise the experience an OHV recreationist has during a visit to public lands. Elements such as; trail condition, trail diversity and availability, amenities, social interactions (level of solitude sought) create the experience individual users seek during a visit.
5. Acceptable Characteristic of an OHV Trail		Description by element	1 = 4 2 = 1 3 = 0		Cite needed	

I. Significant Issues	II. Status Quo  No Action Alternative from DEIS	III. WG Data/ Information Requests	IV. WG Triage Data Requests: 1-2-3  (Rank = # of Responses)	V. BLM Triage Data Requests 1-2-3-4 by Time, Difficulty, Cost	VI. Triage Results with References (data locations)	VII. Notes
<b>H. Economics</b>						
1. Property Values		Impacts of OHV use	1 = 4 2 = 1 3 = 0	3	-	-
2. Comparative Costs with Other Managed Areas		Costs of "model" areas (e.g., Shotgun Creek, Eugene District)	1 = 4 2 = 1 3 = 0	3 OPRD	-	-
3. Costs to Others for OHV Managed Area		Maintenance costs to others (e.g., County roads)	1 = 2 2 = 3 3 = 0	2- OPRD	Discuss- OPRD Provide?	
4. Income and Expenditures		<p>A graph that charts, specifically for Jackson/Josephine Counties:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Annual expenditures by OHV users over time (1970-2010, in five-year increments)</li> <li>• Annual gvt expenditures on OHV issues (primarily BLM), over time;</li> <li>• Annual income, broken down by OHV registrations and gas tax monies, of the State ATV Fund over time;</li> <li>• Annual expenditures from the ATV Fund, showing administrative costs, funds used for education, law enforcement and land purchases (the three stated purposes of the fund in the ORS).</li> </ul>	1 = 3 2 = 2 3 = 0	4, discuss  Defer to OPRD?	OPRD	

I. Significant Issues	II. Status Quo No Action Alternative from DEIS	III. WG Data/ Information Requests	IV. WG Triage Data Requests: 1-2-3 <i>(Rank = # of Responses)</i>	V. BLM Triage Data Requests 1-2-3-4 by Time, Difficulty, Cost	VI. Triage Results with References (data locations)	VII. Notes
<b>I. Enforcement</b>						
1. Challenges		Description	1 = 3 2 = 1 3 = 0 n/a = 1	1	DEIS Pgs. 41, 28	
2. Costs		Graph over time of enforcement costs per square mile, trail mile, etc.	1 = 3 2 = 2 3 = 0	2	OPRD	
3. Trespass –Control		Opportunities, Mechanisms	1 = 4 2 = 1 3 = 0	1	LEO	Stats provided by LEO May meeting
4. Trail Encounters		Number and type	1 = 1 2 = 4 3 = 0	1	LEO	Stats provided by LEO May meeting
5. Tickets issued		Data	1 = 2 2 = 1 3 = 1 n/a = 1	1	By type LEO	
<b>J. Fire</b>						
1. Fire hazard considerations		Map of fire danger, outbreaks, extreme danger	1 = 2 2 = 2 3 = 1	1 DEIS pg. 199 Map- Fire Intensity	ODF and local area Fire Depts. DEIS Pg. 199-204	
<b>K. Additional Issues Not Noted Above</b>						
1. Categorical exclusions	# issued		1	Group Discuss		Reasons why; # trail miles/acreage covered
2. Traffic Impacts to communities on Access and Staging Area roads			1 Independent, site specific	1	DEIS Pg. 244	

I. Significant Issues	II. Status Quo  No Action Alternative from DEIS	III. WG Data/ Information Requests	IV. WG Triage Data Requests: 1-2-3  (Rank = # of Responses)	V. BLM Triage Data Requests 1-2-3-4 by Time, Difficulty, Cost	VI. Triage Results with References (data locations)	VII. Notes
3. Noise impacts from canyon echo noise (a Holler effect)			1 Independent, site specific	2	DEIS Consultant Analysis, March 2012	Not specifically Addressed by Analysis
4. Air Quality impacts and existing conditions			1 Historical, existing and projects Air Quality impacts	1 Discuss Needs	DEIS Pg. 39, 226 locations	
5. Impacts of a catastrophic fire analysis			1	1	DEIS Pg. 199	