

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION REVIEW AND DECISION RECORD**

DOI-BLM-OR-M060-2015-0015-CX

**Project Name:** Right-of-Way OR 68367

**BLM Office:** Ashland R.A., Medford District.

**Prepared By:** Kathy Minor **Title:** Planning and Environmental Coordinator

Allison Hass **Title:** Writer/Editor

**Contact Person:** Leslie Voelkel

**Phone #** (541) 618-2217

**DESCRIPTION & LOCATION OF THE PROPOSED ACTION:**

The proposed project is to issue a FLPMA Right-of-Way Grant which authorizes the use and maintenance/repair of an access road, well, well house and pump, water line, well access spur/driveway and pad and use of an electrical line which provides service to the well and pump. The applicant would like to gravel the well access spur and pad to allow deepening of the well. All facilities other than the graveled well access spur are in place.

**BACKGROUND:**

The applicant has previously held three (3) different right-of-way grants for use the above noted facilities with the exception of the well access spur which is needed to allow deepening of the well. All of the facilities have been in place and operation for years. Through the years, the facilities have been modified or replaced and grants have been amended, expired or will expire. In the prior authorization process it appears that some of the facilities have been omitted in the grant documents. The goal of this project is to consolidate and authorize all of the facilities into one grant, OR 68367 for a thirty (30) year period.

The proposed right of way is noted below:

Access road, water and electrical line r/w = 20 ft x 1500 ft = 30,000 ft<sup>2</sup>

Well and well access\* = 50 ft x 100 ft = 5,000 ft<sup>2</sup>

Total = 35,000 ft<sup>2</sup> or approximately 0.80 acres

\* Includes the well, well house and pump, and access spur and pad to well.

**LOCATION:**

The proposed right-of-way is located on BLM-managed lands noted below:

SE ¼ NE ¼ Section 1

Township 38 South, Range 3 West, W.M.,

Jackson County, Oregon

**PROJECT DESIGN FEATURES**

Project Design Features (PDFs) are an integral part of the Proposed Action and have been developed to avoid or reduce the potential for adverse impacts to resources. The following PDFs are included in this project.

*For the protection of hydrological resources*

- All work should occur during the dry season (May 15-October 15).
- Minimize ground disturbance and the removal of vegetation.
- Mulch all disturbed soil with weed free straw or other native materials (pine needles, duff, slash).

- A minimum of 80 percent ground cover is required. Consider seeding with native grass species if warranted.
- When deepening well, contain all drilling fluids on site. Do not allow drilling fluids or turbid well test water to enter the stream.

*For the protection of botanical resources*

- To prevent the spread of noxious weeds, all equipment will be washed prior to entering BLM lands and free of mud and debris.
- Highly disturbed areas will be seeded, re-vegetated, and/or mulched as requested by the resource area botanist. Only certified weed-free mulch and/or native seed will be used.

*For the protection of cultural resources*

- Significant archaeological sites and paleontological sites occurring within activity areas will be flagged for avoidance and identified to the project proponent/operator as reserve areas where no activity will occur. Site flagging would be placed twenty-five feet from the site perimeter. No disturbance would occur in the buffered areas.
- If during project implementation the contractor encounters or becomes aware of any objects or sites of paleontological or cultural value on federal lands, such as fossils, historical or pre-historical ruins, graves, grave markers, or artifacts, the contractor shall immediately suspend all operations in the vicinity of the cultural value and notify the Authorized Officer of the findings. The project may be redesigned to protect the cultural resource values present, or evaluation and mitigation procedures would be implemented based on recommendations from the resource area archaeologist with concurrence by the Ashland Field Manager and State Historic Preservation Office.

## **PLAN CONFORMANCE**

The proposed action is in compliance with the *1995 Medford District Record of Decision and Resource Management Plan (RMP)*. The 1995 Medford District Resource Management Plan incorporated the *Record of Decision for Amendments to Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management Planning Documents Within the Range of the Northern Spotted Owl and the Standards and Guidelines for Management of Habitat for Late-Successional and Old-Growth Forest Related Species Within the Range of the Northern Spotted Owl (Northwest Forest Plan)* (USDA and USDI 1994). The 1995 Medford District Resource Management Plan was later amended by the *2001 Record of Decision and Standards and Guidelines for Amendments to the Survey and Manage, Protection Buffer, and other Mitigation Measures Standards and Guidelines*.

This project is not a habitat disturbing activity, as defined in page 22 of the Standards and Guidelines of the *2001 Record of Decision and Standards and Guidelines*, for any Survey and Manage species. Because the project is not habitat disturbing, the Survey and Manage provisions, including pre-disturbance surveys, are not required under the *2001 Record of Decision and Standards and Guidelines*, (Standards and Guidelines, p. 7, 21-22).

The proposed action is also in conformance with the direction given for the management of public lands in the Medford District by the Oregon and California Lands Act of 1937 (O&C Act), Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (FLPMA), the Endangered Species Act (ESA) of 1973, the Clean Water Act of 1987, Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974 (as amended 1986 and 1996), Clean Air Act, and the Archaeological Resources Protection Act of 1979.

## **CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION REVIEW**

Department of the Interior Regulations (43 CFR § 46.205(c)) require that any action that is normally categorically excluded must be evaluated to determine whether it meets any of the extraordinary

circumstances listed in 43 CFR § 46.215. An action would meet one of the extraordinary circumstances if the action may:

<b>CX Extraordinary Circumstances Documentation</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
1. Have significant impacts on public health or safety.		X
<b>Rationale:</b> This right-of-way request is for continued use of existing facilities. It is not anticipated that the Proposed Action will have any effects to public health and safety.		
2. Have significant impacts on such natural resources and unique geographic characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands (Executive Order 11990); floodplains (Executive Order 11988); national monuments; migratory birds; and other ecologically significant or critical areas.		X
<b>Rationale:</b> The proposed action is not anticipated to affect the aforementioned resources.		
3. Have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources [NEPA Section 102(2)(E)].		X
<b>Rationale:</b> Based on past experience from these types of activities, there are no predicted environmental effects from the Proposed Action that are considered to be highly controversial nor are there unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses. This project's Categorical Exclusion Authority allows for activities which utilize existing facilities.		
4. Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks.		X
<b>Rationale:</b> The activities proposed in this CX are not highly uncertain, potentially significant, unique, or unknown risks.		
5. Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects.		X
<b>Rationale:</b> The activities proposed in this CX are addressed and authorized under the Medford ROD/RMP. The proposed activities occur widely on Federal lands throughout Oregon and there is no evidence this type of activity would establish a precedent or decision for future actions that would have significant environmental effects.		
6. Have a direct relationship to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant environmental effects.		X
<b>Rationale:</b> The Proposed Action would not result in a cumulative significant effect when added to relevant past, present, and reasonably foreseeable actions in the area.		
7. Have significant impacts on properties listed, or eligible for listing, on the National Register of Historic Places as determined by either the bureau or office.		X
<b>Rationale:</b> The proposed action will not have significant impacts on properties listed, or eligible for listing, on the National Register of Historic Places.		
8. Have significant impacts on species listed, or proposed to be listed, on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species, or have significant impacts on designated Critical Habitat for these species.		X

CX Extraordinary Circumstances Documentation	Yes	No
<b>Rationale:</b> The location of the proposed action has been reviewed by the BLM botanist, wildlife biologist, and fisheries biologist. The Proposed Action would have no significant impacts on species listed, or proposed to be listed, on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species, or have significant impacts on designated Critical Habitat for these species.		
9. Violate a Federal law, or a State, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment.		X
<b>Rationale:</b> The proposed activities conform to the Medford RMPs' direction for management of public lands on the Medford District and comply with applicable laws, rules, and regulations.		
10. Have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations (Executive Order 12898).		X
<b>Rationale:</b> Similar actions have occurred throughout the District and there is no evidence that this type of activity would have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on said populations.		
11. Limit access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites on Federal lands by Indian religious practitioners or significantly adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (Executive Order 13007).		X
<b>Rationale:</b> The Proposed Action does not significantly or adversely affect the physical integrity of any such sacred sites.		
12. Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of noxious weeds or nonnative invasive species known to occur in the area or actions that may promote the introduction, growth, or expansion of the range of such species (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act and Executive Order 13112).		X
<b>Rationale:</b> The Proposed Action does not result in measurable changes to the current baseline of the risk, or actual introduction, continued existence, or spread of noxious weeds or nonnative invasive species above what would be present from other recreational and visitor activities that occur on federal lands.		

## DECISION AND RATIONALE

Based on the NEPA CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION REVIEW above, I have determined that the proposed action qualifies as a categorical exclusion under 516 DM 11.9 E (16) involves no significant impact to the human environment and that no further environmental analysis is required. It is my decision to authorize the issuance of a FLPMA Right-of-Way Grant (OR 68367) to the private land owner. Notice of this decision will be posted on the District internet website.

  
 Kristi Mastrofina  
 Acting Field Manager  
 Ashland Resource Area

5-15-15  
 Date

## ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES

Administrative review of right-of-way decisions requiring National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) assessment will be available under 43 CFR Part 4 to those who have a "legally cognizable interest" to

which there is a substantial likelihood that the action authorized would cause injury, and who have established themselves as a “party to the case.” (See 43 CFR § 4.410 (a) – (c)). Other than the applicant/proponent for the right-of-way action, in order to be considered a “party to the case” the person claiming to be adversely affected by the decision must show that they have notified the BLM that they have a “legally cognizable interest” and the decision on appeal has caused or is substantially likely to cause injury to that interest (See 43 CFR § 4.410(d)).

## **EFFECTIVE DATE OF DECISION**

This is a land decision on a right-of-way application. All BLM decisions under 43 CFR Part 2800 remain in effect pending an appeal (See 43 CFR § 2801.10) unless the Secretary rules otherwise. Rights-of-Way decisions that remain in effect pending an appeal are considered as “in full force and effective immediately” upon issuance of a decision. Thus, this decision is now in effect.

## **RIGHT OF APPEAL**

This decision may be appealed to the U.S. Department of the Interior, Office of Hearings and Appeals, Interior Board of Land Appeals (Board) by those who have a “legally cognizable interest” to which there is a substantial likelihood that the action authorized in this decision would cause injury, and who have established themselves as a “party to the case.” (See 43 CFR § 4.410). If an appeal is taken, a written notice of appeal must be filed with the BLM officer who made the decision in this office by close of business (4:30 p.m.) not more than 30 days after the date of service. Only signed hard copies of a notice of appeal that are delivered to:

**BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT**  
**MEDFORD INTERAGENCY OFFICE**  
Ashland Resource Area  
3040 Biddle Road  
Medford, OR 97504

will be accepted. Faxed or e-mailed appeals will not be considered.

The person signing the notice of appeal has the responsibility of proving eligibility to represent the appellant before the Board under its regulations at 43 CFR § 1.3. The appellant also has the burden of showing that the decision appealed from is in error. The appeal must clearly and concisely state which portion or element of the decision is being appealed and the reasons why the decision is believed to be in error. If your notice of appeal does not include a statement of reasons, such statement must be filed with this office and with the Board within 30 days after the notice of appeal was filed.

According to 43 CFR Part 4, you have the right to petition the Board to stay the implementation of the decision. Should you choose to file one, your stay request should accompany your notice of appeal. You must show standing and present reasons for requesting a stay of the decision. A petition for stay of a decision pending appeal shall show sufficient justification based on the following standards:

1. The relative harm to the parties if the stay is granted or denied,
2. The likelihood of the appellant’s success on the merits,
3. The likelihood of immediate and irreparable harm if the stay is not granted, and
4. Whether the public interest favors granting the stay.

A notice of appeal with petition for stay must be served upon the Board and the Regional Solicitor at the same time such documents are served on the deciding official at this office. Service must be accomplished within fifteen (15) days after filing in order to be in compliance with appeal regulations (43 CFR § 4.413(a)). At the end of your notice of appeal you must sign a certification that service has been or

will be made in accordance with the applicable rules (i.e., 43 CFR §§ 4.410(c) and 4.413) and specify the date and manner of such service.

The IBLA will review any petition for a stay and may grant or deny the stay. If the IBLA takes no action on the stay request within 45 days of the expiration of the time for filing a notice of appeal, you may deem the request for stay as denied, and the BLM decision will remain in full force and effect until IBLA makes a final ruling on the case.

Or for additional information concerning this project, contact Kathy Minor, Planning and Environmental Coordinator, at (541) 618-2245.