

## Categorical Exclusion Determination and Decision Record for North Line Blowdown Salvage OR115-08-20

---

### Description of Proposed Action

A landowner with property adjacent to BLM lands requested to remove trees blown down during a recent wind storm and snow storm. The blown down trees originating from public lands are lying on top of trees belonging to the adjacent landowner. The landowner has requested the trees originating from public lands be removed to facilitate the removal of their timber. Some of the trees to be salvaged originated in a previously harvested Double Salt Timber Sale unit. The unit was Regeneration Harvested, leaving 6-8 trees per acre. After harvest, 9 trees per acre remained. Harvesting of the blow down trees will not remove more than 39 trees from the Double Salt unit, leaving a minimum of 6 green trees per acre standing and/or down in the previously harvested unit.

The project is located on matrix lands in the northwest ¼ of the northwest ¼ of section 1, Township 36 South, Range 2 East, Willamette Meridian, Jackson County, Oregon.

### Plan Conformance Review

This proposal was not scoped and the public was not involved in its development. This proposal is consistent with policy directed by the following:

- *Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement and Record of Decision for Amendments to Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management Planning Documents Within the Range of the Northern Spotted Owl* (Northwest Forest Plan FSEIS, 1994 and ROD, 1994);
- *Final-Medford District Proposed Resource Management Plan/Environmental Impact Statement and Record of Decision* (EIS, 1994 and RMP/ROD, 1995);
- *Record of Decision To Remove the Survey and Manage Mitigation Measure Standards and Guidelines from the Bureau of Land Management Resource Management Plans Within the Range of the Northern Spotted Owl* (USDI 2007); and
- *Medford District Integrated Weed Management Plan Environmental Assessment (1998)* and tiered to the *Northwest Area Noxious Weed Control Program* (EIS, 1985).

The proposed action is in conformance with the direction given for the management of public lands in the Medford District by the Oregon and California Lands Act of 1937, Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, Endangered Species Act of 1973, Clean Water Act of 1987, Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974 (as amended 1986 and 1996), Clean Air Act of 1990 (as amended), and Archaeological Resources Protection Act of 1979.

This proposal is consistent with management direction in the Medford District Resource Management Plan that directs the BLM to “Provide for salvage harvest of timber killed or damaged by events such as wildfire, windstorms, insects, or disease, consistent with management objectives for other resources.” (USDI 1995, p. 72).

### Categorical Exclusion Determination

The proposed action qualifies as a categorical exclusion as provided in United States Department of the Interior Departmental Manual 516 DM 11.9 C(2). This section allows for “Sale and removal of individual trees or small groups of trees which are dead, diseased, injured, or which constitute a safety hazard, and where access for the removal requires no more than maintenance to existing roads.”

Before any action described in the list of categorical exclusions may be used, the “extraordinary circumstances,” included in 516 DM 2, Appendix 2, must be reviewed for applicability. After review, the BLM determined no extraordinary circumstances exist that would cause the proposed action to have a significant environmental effect. The action will not require additional analysis.

**Project Design Features**

1. Motorized vehicles will not operate on BLM-administered lands.
2. Logs will be winched/lined to the adjacent landowner's property.
3. Only trees which have fallen across the property line will be removed.
4. Large coarse woody debris of 120 linear feet (16" X 16') per acre will be left on-site.
5. A minimum of six trees per acre (standing and/or down) 20 inches or greater will be retained in the previously harvested Double Salt Unit.
6. Lop and scatter slash.
7. Survey monuments will be protected.

**Contact Person**


For additional information concerning this project, contact Dave Orban, Project Leader, at (541) 944-0112.

**Decision**

It is my decision to implement the removal and sale of blown down trees as described above.

**Decision Rationale**

The proposed action has been reviewed by Butte Falls Resource Area staff and appropriate Project Design Features, as specified above, will be incorporated into the proposal. Based on the attached NEPA (National Environmental Policy Act) Categorical Exclusion Review, I have determined the proposed action involves no significant impact to the human environment and no further environmental analysis is required.



Christopher J. McAlear  
Field Manager  
Butte Falls Resource Area

5/07/08


Date

**Administrative Review or Appeal Opportunities**


This decision is effective upon signing by the authorized officer and shall remain in effect pending any protest. The action is subject to protest under 43 CFR section 4.450-2. A decision in response to a protest is subject to appeal to the Interior Board of Land Appeals under 43 CFR part 4.

**North Line Salvage**  
**T.36S, R.2E, Sec. 1, NW1/4 NW1/4**

OR115-08-20  
4/24/2008



- Salvage Area
  - Double Salt Unit
  - Paved Road
  - Rock or Natural Road
  - Perennial Stream
  - Intermittent Stream
- Land Jurisdiction**
- BLM-Administered
  - Private



**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**  
**Bureau of Land Management**  
**MEDFORD DISTRICT**



No warranty is made by the Bureau of Land Management as to the accuracy, reliability, or completeness of these data for individual or aggregate use with other data. Original data were compiled from various sources. This information may not meet National Map Accuracy Standards. This product was developed through digital means and may be updated without notification.

### NEPA Categorical Exclusion Review

**Proposed Action:** Removing and using 53 blown down trees.

Department of the Interior Manual 516 2.3.A(3) provides for a review of the following criteria for categorical exclusion to determine if exceptions apply to the proposed action based on actions which may:

1. *Have significant impacts on public health or safety.*

Yes  No

Initial JS Remarks:

2. *Have significant impacts on such natural resources and unique geographic characteristics as historic or cultural resource; park, recreation, or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands (Executive Order 11990); floodplains (Executive Order 11988); national monuments; migratory birds; and other ecologically significant or critical areas.*

Yes  No

Initial JCM Remarks:

3. *Have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources [NEPA Section 102(2)(E)].*

Yes  No

Initial JS Remarks:

4. *Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks.*

Yes  No

Initial JS Remarks:

5. *Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects.*

Yes  No

Initial JS Remarks:

6. *Have a direct relationship to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant environmental effects.*

Yes  No

Initial SLS Remarks:

7. *Have significant impacts on properties listed, or eligible for listing, on the National Register of Historic Places as determined by either the bureau or office.*

Yes  No

Initial JCM Remarks:

8. *Have significant impacts on species listed, or proposed to be listed, on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species, or have significant impacts on designated Critical Habitat for these species.*

Plants  Yes  No Initial mw Remarks:

Animals  Yes  No Initial DR Remarks:

Fish  Yes  No Initial DS Remarks:

9. *Violate a Federal law, or a State, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment.*

Yes  No

Initial JCM Remarks:

10. *Have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations (Executive Order 12898).*

Yes  No

Initial JW Remarks:

11. *Limit access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites on Federal lands by Indian religious practitioners or significantly adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (Executive Order 13007).*

Yes  No

Initial JW Remarks:

12. *Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of noxious weeds or nonnative invasive species known to occur in the area or actions that may promote the introduction, growth, or expansion of the range of such species (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act and Executive Order 13112).*

Yes  No

Initial MW Remarks:

**Reviewers:**

| Name                              | Title                      | Date   | Initials  |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|--------|-----------|
| Jean Williams                     | NEPA Coordinator           | 5/4/08 | JW        |
| Marcia Wineteer                   | Botanist                   | 5/5/08 | mw        |
| <del>Linda Hale</del> Dave Poulos | Wildlife Biologist         | 5-5-08 | DP        |
| Steve Liebhardt                   | Fisheries Biologist        | 5-6-08 | SL for SL |
| Shawn Simpson                     | Hydrologist                | 5/5/08 | SS        |
| Ken Van Etten                     | Soil Scientist             | 5/5/08 | KVE       |
| Leanne Mruzik                     | Fire/Fuels Specialist      | 5/5/08 | LM        |
| John McNeel                       | Cultural Technician        | 5/6/08 | JM        |
| Randy Bryan                       | Engineer                   | 5-6-08 | RRB       |
| Trish Lindaman                    | Outdoor Recreation Planner | 5/2/08 | TL        |