

FORM 1

**DOCUMENTATION OF BLM WILDERNESS INVENTORY
FINDINGS ON RECORD**

Year: 2010 Inventory Unit Number/Name: Checkerboard/Unnumbered units

1. Is there existing BLM wilderness inventory information on all or part of this area?

No Yes (if more than one unit is within the area, list the names/numbers of those units.): NA

a) Inventory Source: _____

b) Inventory Unit Name(s)/Number(s): _____

c) Map Name(s)/Number(s): _____

d) BLM District(s)/Field Office(s): Lakeview District, Lakeview Resource Area, Lakeview, Oregon

2. BLM Inventory Findings on Record:

Existing inventory information regarding wilderness characteristics (if more than one BLM inventory unit is associated with the area, list each unit and answer each question individually for each inventory unit):

Ownership	Size (historic acres)	Natural Condition? Y/N	Outstanding Solitude? Y/N	Outstanding Primitive & Unconfined Recreation? Y/N	Supplemental Values? Y/N
BLM	>5,000	NA	NA	NA	Unknown

FORM 2

**DOCUMENTATION OF CURRENT WILDERNESS INVENTORY
CONDITIONS**

a. Unit Number/Name: Checkerboard Southwest, Checkerboard South,
Checkerboard Southeast, Checkerboard North, Checkerboard Northwest, Priday
East, Priday North, Priday Northwest, Priday Southwest, Narrows North, and
Narrows South

(1) **Is the unit of sufficient size?** Yes ____ No X

Boundary Determination: In 2008 and 2009, the BLM conducted field inventory of the area to update its road and wilderness inventories. This field work included photo documentation of the boundary routes and some of the interior routes. Using some photos provided by the Oregon Natural Desert Association from adjacent areas, BLM photos, field logs, and staff field knowledge, a BLM inter-disciplinary (ID) team completed an analysis of the motorized routes within the area in January of 2010. The results of the route analysis are documented in the road analysis forms contained in the wilderness evaluation file. The ID team determined that the area was comprised of a number of small inventory units that were dropped from detailed analysis during the previous inventory due to small size or were “obviously lacking wilderness character”.

These units are bounded by a combination of BLM Roads (7105-00, 8125-00, and 8125-B0), County Roads (3-10 and 3-13), private/state land boundaries, and the BPA powerline corridor right-of-way (750 from centerline). These eleven units are all under the minimum 5,000 acres size requirement. None of these units lie immediately adjacent to areas currently managed for wilderness character or meet any of the other exceptions to the size requirements (Map 2). Based on these unit boundary and size determinations, there was no need for the BLM ID team to evaluate these units further. Additional background on the process that the BLM ID team followed during this evaluation is contained in the ID team meeting notes and the document, *Wilderness Inventory Maintenance Process for the Lakeview Resource Area, BLM*. Both documents are contained in the wilderness inventory file.

DESCRIPTION OF CURRENT CONDITIONS: The inventory units are located immediately northwest, west, and south of Plush, Oregon (Map 1).

(2) **Is the unit in a natural condition?** Yes ____ No ____ N/A X

Previous Inventory Unit Description: the natural character within these units was not described in the previous inventory due to the units failing to meet the size criteria.

Current Condition Description: the BLM ID team determined that natural character within these eleven inventory units did not need to be evaluated, as the units still failed to meet the size criteria.

(3) Does the unit have outstanding opportunities for solitude?

Yes ___ No ___ N/A X

Previous Inventory Unit Description: the solitude opportunities within these units was not described in the previous inventory due to the units failing to meet the size criteria.

Current Condition Description: the BLM ID team determined that solitude opportunities within these eleven inventory units did not need to be evaluated, as the units still failed to meet the size criteria.

(4) Does the unit have outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation?

Yes ___ No ___ N/A X

Previous Inventory Unit Description: the primitive recreation opportunities within these units was not described in the previous inventory due to the units failing to meet the size criteria.

Current Condition Description: the BLM ID team determined that primitive recreation opportunities within these eleven inventory units did not need to be evaluated, as the units still failed to meet the size criteria.

(5) Does the unit have supplemental values? Yes ___ No ___ NA X

Previous Inventory Unit Description: The units were not evaluated for supplemental values during the previous inventory.

Description Condition Description: the BLM ID team determined that supplemental values within these eleven inventory units did not need to be evaluated, as the units still failed to meet the size criteria.

Summary of Findings and Conclusion

Unit Name and Number: Checkerboard Southwest, Checkerboard South, Checkerboard Southeast, Checkerboard North, Checkerboard Northwest, Priday East, Priday North, Priday Northwest, Priday Southwest, Narrows North, and Narrows South

Summary Results of Analysis:

- 1. Do the areas meet the size requirements? Yes No
- 2. Do the areas appear to be natural? Yes No NA
- 3. Do the areas offer outstanding opportunities for solitude or a primitive and unconfined type of recreation? Yes No NA
- 4. Do the areas have supplemental values? Yes No NA

Conclusion (*Check One*):

- The areas-or a portion of the areas-have wilderness character.
- The areas do not have wilderness character.

ID Team Members:

Steve Flock Steve Flock Date: 2/16/2010
Geologist

Breanna O'Connor Breanna O'Connor Date: 2/16/2010
Recreation Technician

Paul Whitman Paul Whitman Date: 2/16/2010
Planning and Environmental Coordinator

Jayna Ferrell Jayna Ferrell Date: 2/16/10
Range Management Specialist

Vernon Stoffleth Vernon Stoffleth Date: 2/16/2010
Wildlife Biologist

Jimmy Leal Jimmy Leal Date: 2/16/10
Fisheries Biologist

Todd Forbes Todd Forbes Date: 2/16/10
Associate Field Manager for Wildlife, Fisheries, Riparian, Hydrology, Wilderness,
Recreation, and Cultural

Theresa Romasko Theresa Romasko Date: 2/16/2010
Associate Field Manager for Range and Botany

Approved by:

Tom Rasmussen
Tom Rasmussen, Field Manager

2/16/2010
Date

This form documents information that constitutes an inventory finding on wilderness characteristics. It does not represent a formal land use allocation or a final agency decision subject to administrative remedies under either 43 CFR parts 4 or 1610.5-2.

FORM 1

**DOCUMENTATION OF BLM WILDERNESS INVENTORY
FINDINGS ON RECORD**

Year: 2010 Inventory Unit Number/Name: OR-015-117C/Monument Flat North

1. Is there existing BLM wilderness inventory information on all or part of this area?

No Yes (if more than one unit is within the area, list the names/numbers of those units.): NA

a) Inventory Source: Wilderness Inventory: Oregon and Washington Final Intensive Inventory Decisions, November 1980

b) Inventory Unit Name(s)/Number(s): Monument Flat/OR-015-117A

c) Map Name(s)/Number(s): U.S. Department of the Interior: Bureau of Land Management Oregon: Intensive Wilderness Inventory Final Decisions, November, 1980

d) BLM District(s)/Field Office(s): Lakeview District, Lakeview Resource Area, Lakeview, Oregon

2. BLM Inventory Findings on Record:

Existing inventory information regarding wilderness characteristics (if more than one BLM inventory unit is associated with the area, list each unit and answer each question individually for each inventory unit):

Ownership	Size (historic acres)	Natural Condition? Y/N	Outstanding Solitude? Y/N	Outstanding Primitive & Unconfined Recreation? Y/N	Supplemental Values? Y/N
BLM	16,240	N	N	N	Unknown
Private	560				

FORM 2

**DOCUMENTATION OF CURRENT WILDERNESS INVENTORY
CONDITIONS**

a. Unit Number/Name: **OR-015-117C/Monument Flat North**

(1) **Is the unit of sufficient size?** Yes ____ No X

Boundary Determination: Between 2007 and 2009, the BLM conducted field inventory of the area to update its road and wilderness inventories. This field work included photo documentation of the boundary routes and some of the interior routes. Using some photos provided by the Oregon Natural Desert Association from adjacent areas, BLM photos, field logs, and staff field knowledge, a BLM inter-disciplinary (ID) team completed an analysis of the motorized routes within the area in January of 2010. The results of the route analysis are documented in the road analysis forms contained in the wilderness evaluation file.

In 1980, this area was evaluated as part of the Monument Flat (117A) unit. Since this time there have been changes in land ownership that have resulted in splitting this area into a separate unit. The unit is bounded by BLM Road 7105-00 on the east, and private land boundaries on the west and south (Map 2). The unit does not meet the minimum 5,000-acre size requirement or any of the exceptions to the size requirements. Based on this unit boundary and size determination, there was no need for the BLM ID team to evaluate the unit further. Additional background on the process that the BLM ID team followed during this evaluation is contained in the ID team meeting notes and the document, *Wilderness Inventory Maintenance Process for the Lakeview Resource Area, BLM*. Both documents are contained in the wilderness inventory file.

DESCRIPTION OF CURRENT CONDITIONS: The inventory unit is located about 5 miles southwest of Plush, Oregon (Map 1).

(2) **Is the unit in a natural condition?** Yes ____ No ____ N/A X

Previous Inventory Unit Description: The previous inventory described the majority of the larger Monument Flat unit as a high sage flat with minor depressions and drainages. The vegetation consisted of sagebrush and grasses with scattered western juniper trees on the east and at several springs. The 500-KV BPA power line defining the western boundary was found to have a substantial impact on the naturalness of the area, presumably due to its visibility from almost any point within the unit. The unit contained a fence line, 14 miles of vehicle ways, and nine constructed livestock reservoirs which were determined to cumulatively detract from the natural appearance of the area. The unit was found to be marginal in terms of meeting the naturalness criteria.

Current Condition Description: the BLM ID team determined that natural character within the unit did not need to be evaluated, as the unit failed to meet the size criteria.

(3) Does the unit have outstanding opportunities for solitude?

Yes ___ No ___ N/A X

Previous Inventory Unit Description: During the previous inventory, the majority of the larger Monument Flat unit was identified as offering less than outstanding opportunities for solitude because of the broad, open expanses of flat terrain where the sights, sounds, and presence of others in the unit would be highly noticeable. Some opportunities for solitude were identified on the east boundary of the unit where the vegetative cover was taller and there was more topographic relief; however, even this area was identified as less than outstanding in character.

Current Condition Description: The BLM ID team determined that solitude opportunities within the unit did not need to be evaluated, as the unit failed to meet the size criteria.

(4) Does the unit have outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation? Yes ___ No ___ N/A X

Previous Inventory Unit Description: In the previous inventory, the larger Monument Flat unit was determined to offer limited potential for primitive recreation. Some scenic views of the Warner Mountains were noted *outside* of the unit, but no significant scenic values were found within the unit. The area offered some potential for hunting and wildlife viewing, but did not offer any outstanding potential for primitive and unconfined recreation.

Current Condition Description: The BLM ID team determined that primitive recreation opportunities within the unit did not need to be evaluated, as the unit failed to meet the size criteria.

(5) Does the unit have supplemental values? Yes ___ No ___ NA X

Previous Inventory Unit Description: The previous inventory for the larger Monument Flat unit noted that archeological values were expected, but had not been documented.

Description Condition Description: The BLM ID team determined that supplemental values within the unit did not need to be evaluated, as the unit failed to meet the size criteria.

Summary of Findings and Conclusion

Unit Name and Number: Monument Flat North/OR-015-117C

Summary Results of Analysis:

1. Does the area meet the size requirements? Yes No
2. Does the area appear to be natural? Yes No NA
3. Does the area offer outstanding opportunities for solitude or a primitive and unconfined type of recreation? Yes No NA
4. Does the area have supplemental values? Yes No NA

Conclusion (*Check One*):

The area-or a portion of the area-have wilderness character.

The area does not have wilderness character.

ID Team Members:

Steve Flock Steven Flock Date: 2/16/2010
Geologist

Breanna O'Connor Breanna O'Connor Date: 2/16/2010
Recreation Technician

Paul Whitman Paul Whitman Date: 2/16/2010
Planning and Environmental Coordinator

Jayna Ferrell Jayna Ferrell Date: 2/16/10
Range Management Specialist

Vernon Stofleth Vernon Stofleth Date: 2/16/2010
Wildlife Biologist

Jimmy Leal J. Leal Date: 2/16/10
Fisheries Biologist

Todd Forbes Todd Forbes Date: 2/16/10
Associate Field Manager for Wildlife, Fisheries, Riparian, Hydrology, Wilderness,
Recreation, and Cultural

Theresa Romasko Theresa Romasko Date: 2/16/2010
Associate Field Manager for Range and Botany

Approved by:

Tom Rasmussen
Tom Rasmussen, Field Manager

2/16/2010
Date

This form documents information that constitutes an inventory finding on wilderness characteristics. It does not represent a formal land use allocation or a final agency decision subject to administrative remedies under either 43 CFR parts 4 or 1610.5-2.

FORM 1

**DOCUMENTATION OF BLM WILDERNESS INVENTORY
FINDINGS ON RECORD**

Year: 2010 Inventory Unit Number/Name: OR-015-117D/Fish Creek North and OR-015-117E/Fish Creek Parcel E

1. Is there existing BLM wilderness inventory information on all or part of this area?

No Yes (if more than one unit is within the area, list the names/numbers of those units.): NA

a) **Inventory Source:** Wilderness Inventory: Oregon and Washington Final Intensive Inventory Decisions, November 1980; Oregon Wilderness Final Environmental Impact Statement, Volume II, December 1989; Draft Lakeview Resource Management Plan/Environmental Impact Statement, Volume 2, Appendix J4, October 2001.

b) **Inventory Unit Name(s)/Number(s):** Fish Creek Rim/OR-015-117B

c) **Map Name(s)/Number(s):** U.S. Department of the Interior: Bureau of Land Management Oregon: Intensive Wilderness Inventory Final Decisions, November, 1980;

d) **BLM District(s)/Field Office(s):** Lakeview District, Lakeview Resource Area, Lakeview, Oregon

2. BLM Inventory Findings on Record:

Existing inventory information regarding wilderness characteristics (if more than one BLM inventory unit is associated with the area, list each unit and answer each question individually for each inventory unit):

Ownership	Size (historic acres)	Natural Condition? Y/N	Outstanding Solitude? Y/N	Outstanding Primitive & Unconfined Recreation? Y/N	Supplemental Values? Y/N
BLM	18,520	Y	Y	Y	Y
Private	0				

FORM 2

**DOCUMENTATION OF CURRENT WILDERNESS INVENTORY
CONDITIONS**

a. Unit Number/Name: **OR-015-117E/Fish Creek Parcel E and OR-015-117D/Fish Creek North**

(1) **Is the unit of sufficient size?** Yes X No

Boundary Determination: Between 2007 and 2009, the BLM conducted field inventory of the area to update its road and wilderness inventories. This field work included photo documentation of the motorized routes in the area. Using some photos provided by the Oregon Natural Desert Association from adjacent areas, BLM photos, field logs, and staff field knowledge, a BLM inter-disciplinary (ID) team completed an analysis of the motorized routes within the area in January of 2010. The results of the route analysis are documented in the road analysis forms contained in the wilderness evaluation file.

In 1980, much of this area was evaluated as part of the Fish Creek Rim (117B) inventory unit. Most of the Fish Creek Rim unit later became a designated wilderness study area. Approximately 11,920 acres was recommended suitable for designation in 1989. It appears that some of the lands on the very northern end of the unit were dropped from the final WSA determination due to inter-mingled private land ownership. Since 1989, there have been changes in land ownership patterns along the northern end of the WSA.

Three parcels (approximately 567 acres) representing recent acquisitions were evaluated for wilderness character during the Lakeview RMP process in 2001. All or portions of two of the units (Lynchs Rim Parcels B and Parcel C) were found to have wilderness character, when considered in conjunction with the adjacent WSA. The third unit (Lynchs Rim Parcel A, approximately 160 acres) was evaluated and found to be in an unnatural condition, but surrounding, contiguous BLM lands were not considered at that time.

The Fish Creek North unit is currently bounded by BLM Road 7105-00 on the west, and private land boundaries on the north and east (Map 2). The unit is about 2,207 acres in size.

Another small, isolated parcel of BLM land (approximately 40 acres) was identified during this inventory about 1 mile to the southeast. This parcel is bounded by (immediately adjacent to) the Fish Creek Rim WSA on the west and south. It is bounded by private lands on the north and east. The unit was assigned the name of Fish Creek Parcel E.

Neither inventory unit meets the minimum 5,000-acre size requirement on their own, but they do meet one of the exceptions to the size requirements by virtue of being immediately adjacent to an existing area being managed for wilderness character (Fish Creek Rim WSA).

Based on the unit boundary determinations, the BLM ID team evaluated the units further for wilderness character. Additional background on the process that the BLM ID team followed during this evaluation is contained in the ID team meeting notes and the document, *Wilderness Inventory Maintenance Process for the Lakeview Resource Area, BLM*. Both documents are contained in the wilderness inventory file.

DESCRIPTION OF CURRENT CONDITIONS: The inventory units are located about 5 miles south of Plush, Oregon, immediately adjacent to the Fish Creek Rim WSA (Maps 1 and 2). The Fish Creek North unit sits on a sagebrush covered flat adjacent to a steep rim overlooking Fish Creek. Two minor drainages and small pockets of juniper cover are found in the unit. Huff Lake and Lynch Spring are two prominent features located within the unit. The Fish Creek Parcel E sits on the steep northeast facing slope of the rim above Fish Creek and contain scattered western juniper.

(2) **Is the unit in a natural condition?** Yes No N/A

Previous Inventory Unit Description: In 1980, the larger Fish Creek Rim inventory unit was described as containing portions of the sagebrush-covered Monument Flat on the west. The southern end of the unit sloped steeply toward Deep Creek Canyon. The eastern portion was dominated by Lynch's Rim, a fault scarp rising over 1,300 feet above the Warner Valley. The unit contained minor basalt scarps and a variety of sagebrush, bitterbrush, western juniper, aspen, and mountain mahogany vegetation types. In the 1989 wilderness EIS, a number of human disturbances were noted within or just outside the unit including a microwave tower, a TV repeater site, a BPA power corridor, a small utility feeder line, numerous water developments, fencing, and primitive motorized ways. However, the topography and density of vegetative growth was found to mask the works of man across the majority of the unit. The unit was found to generally be in a natural condition where the works of man were substantially unnoticeable.

In 2001, the Lynchs Rim Parcel A (160 acres) was described as being relatively open and flat with small pockets of juniper. Two springs, a small drainage, and 2 primitive motorized routes were noted in the area. One of the springs is developed and has water troughs and a constructed pond. A pasture fence was also noted in the northern portion of the parcel. This parcel was determined to be in an unnatural condition in 2001.

Current Condition Description: The 2,207-acre Fish Creek North unit contains 5 waterholes, 1 reservoir, and 1 developed spring with associated troughs. In addition, there are about 4.2 miles of pasture fencing and 2.3 miles of primitive motorized internal routes. This represents a relatively high density of human disturbances in such a small area. These disturbances are substantially noticeable across much of the unit. For these reasons, the ID team determined that the Fish Creek North unit does not meet the naturalness criteria.

The 40-acre Fish Creek Parcel E contains about 100 yards of pasture fencing on its southern boundary, but otherwise is generally in a natural condition. For this reason, the

BLM ID team determined that the Fish Creek Parcel E is in a predominantly natural condition.

(3) Does the unit have outstanding opportunities for solitude?

Yes No N/A

Previous Inventory Unit Description: In 1980, the previous inventory found that the topography and vegetative cover on the east and southern portions of the larger Fish Creek Rim inventory unit provided an outstanding opportunity for solitude due to the ability to avoid the sights and sounds of others. The 1989 wilderness EIS also noted outstanding opportunities, particularly within the wooded areas. Even the low sagebrush flat in the southern part of the unit offered an opportunity for solitude due to the unit's size.

In 2001, the solitude opportunities within the Lynchs Rim Parcel A (160 acres) was determined to be outstanding when considered in conjunction with the adjacent WSA.

Current Condition Description: Since the Fish Creek North unit did not meet the naturalness criteria, the ID team did not evaluate opportunities for solitude.

The 40-acre Fish Creek Parcel E consists of a steep slope with scattered juniper cover. The ID team determined that the unit does not have an outstanding opportunity for solitude standing on its own merit. However, the team found that an additional 40 acres would minimally enhance solitude opportunities found within the adjacent WSA and these opportunities could be outstanding when considered in conjunction with, or added to, the outstanding opportunities of the WSA.

(4) Does the unit have outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation? Yes No N/A

Previous Inventory Unit Description: In 1980, the larger Fish Creek Rim inventory unit was described as offering a high potential for hunting and wildlife viewing, along with opportunities for photography, hiking, and backpacking. The terrain and character of the area provided an outstanding opportunity for primitive and unconfined recreation. In the 1989 wilderness EIS, outstanding opportunities for hiking, camping, hunting, wildlife observation, and photography were noted within this unit.

In 2001, the Lynchs Rim Parcel A (160 acres) was described as offering an outstanding primitive recreation opportunity for hiking, hunting, and photography when considered in conjunction with, or added to, the outstanding opportunities found in the adjacent WSA.

Current Condition Description: Since the Fish Creek North unit did not meet the naturalness criteria, the ID team did not evaluate opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation.

The 40-acre Fish Creek Parcel E unit offer some limited opportunities for hiking, camping, hunting, and photography. The Lakeview RMP/ROD (Map R-7) shows the unit as open to off-highway vehicle (OHV) use. The unit is currently managed to promote a semi-primitive, motorized recreation experience (Map R-3). However, the unit is currently inaccessible to OHV use (Map 2B). The types of recreation opportunities found within the unit is similar to those available throughout much of the surrounding BLM-administered lands.

The BLM ID team concluded that the unit does not have an outstanding opportunity for primitive and unconfined recreation standing on its own merit. However, the team determined that the primitive recreation opportunities would minimally enhance the opportunities present in the adjacent WSA and could be outstanding when considered in conjunction with, or added to, the outstanding opportunities found in the WSA.

(5) Does the unit have supplemental values? Yes No NA

Previous Inventory Unit Description: In 1980, the inventory for the larger Fish Creek Rim unit noted that archeological values were known in the area, but detailed inventories had not been completed. The 1989 wilderness EIS noted the presence of special status plant and animal species, deer winter range, scenic values, and a proposed research natural area within unit along with confirming the presence of archeological values.

In 2001, the Lynchs Rim Parcel A (160 acres) was described as potentially having similar supplemental values as the adjacent WSA including archeological values, deer winter range, bighorn sheep, and sagegrouse habitat.

Description Condition Description: Since the Fish Creek North unit did not meet the naturalness criteria, the ID team did not evaluate supplemental values. \

The ID team noted that only marginal scenic and bighorn sheep habitat values are located within the Fish Creek Parcel E unit.

Summary of Findings and Conclusion

Unit Name and Number: Fish Creek North/OR-015-117D and Fish Creek Parcel E/OR-015-117E

Summary Results of Analysis:

1. Does the area meet the size requirements? _X_Yes _No
2. Does the area appear to be natural? _X_Yes _X_No _NA
3. Does the area offer outstanding opportunities for solitude or a primitive and unconfined type of recreation? _X_Yes _No _X_NA
4. Does the area have supplemental values? _X_Yes _No _X_NA

Conclusion (*Check One*):

- _X_ The area-or a portion of the area-have wilderness character.
- _ The area does not have wilderness character.

ID Team Members:

Steve Flock Steven Flock Date: 2/16/2010
Geologist

Breanna O'Connor Breanna O'Connor Date: 2/16/2010
Recreation Technician

Paul Whitman Paul Whitman Date: 2/16/2010
Planning and Environmental Coordinator

Jayna Ferrell Jayna Ferrell Date: 2/16/10
Range Management Specialist

Vernon Stoffeth Vernon Stoffeth Date: 2/16/10
Wildlife Biologist

Jimmy Leal J. Leal Date: 2/16/10
Fisheries Biologist

Todd Forbes Todd Forbes Date: 2/16/10
Associate Field Manager for Wildlife, Fisheries, Riparian, Hydrology, Wilderness, Recreation, and Cultural

Theresa Romasko Theresa Romasko Date: 2/16/2010
Associate Field Manager for Range and Botany


Approved by:

[Signature]
Tom Rasmussen, Field Manager

2/16/2010
Date


This form documents information that constitutes an inventory finding on wilderness characteristics. It does not represent a formal land use allocation or a final agency decision subject to administrative remedies under either 43 CFR parts 4 or 1610.5-2.

Map 1 - Wilderness Character Evaluation Area




Legend

- Resource Area/Field Office Boundaries
- Major Utility Corridors
- Cities
- Major Roads
- Wilderness Character Evaluation Area



No warranty is made by the Bureau of Land Management as to the accuracy, reliability, or completeness of these data for individual or aggregate use with other data. Original data were compiled from various sources. This information may not meet National Map Accuracy Standards. This product was developed through digital means and may be updated without notification.




Map 2 - Wilderness Character Inventory Units - Checkerboard and Fish Creek Rim North Area



0 0.3 0.6 1.2 Miles

No warranty is made by the Bureau of Land Management as to the accuracy, reliability, or completeness of these data for individual or aggregate use with other data. Original data were compiled from various sources. This information may not meet National Map Accuracy Standards. This product was developed through digital means and may be updated without notification.



Map 2B - Wilderness Character Inventory Units - Fish Creek North and Fish Creek Parcel E Area



No warranty is made by the Bureau of Land Management as to the accuracy, reliability, or completeness of these data for individual or aggregate use with other data. Original data were compiled from various sources. This information may not meet National Map Accuracy Standards. This product was developed through digital means and may be updated without notification.