

**WILDERNESS INVENTORY MAINTENANCE
IN BLM OREGON/WASHINGTON
(Source: Oregon State Office Internal Guidance as of July 2007)**

Year: 2008 Inventory Unit Number/Name: West Warm Springs Unit 16

**FORM 1: DOCUMENTATION OF BLM WILDERNESS INVENTORY
FINDINGS ON RECORD:**

1. Is there existing BLM wilderness inventory information on all or part of this area?

No _____ Yes X (if more than one unit is within the area, list the names/numbers of those units):

a) Inventory Source: Wilderness Inventory – OR/WA Final Intensive Inventory Decisions (November 1980), Page 206

b) Inventory Unit Name(s)/Number(s): Syrup Canyon Unit 2-114

c) Map Name(s)/Number(s): November 1980 Map

d) BLM District(s)/Field Office(s): Burns District – Three Rivers Resource Area and Lakeview District – Lakeview Resource Area.

2. BLM Inventory Findings on Record:

Existing inventory information regarding wilderness characteristics (if more than one BLM inventory unit is associated with the area, list each unit and answer each question individually for each inventory unit):

Inventory Source: Wilderness Inventory – OR/WA Final Intensive Inventory Decisions (November 1980), Page 206

Unit#/Name	Size (historic acres)	Natural Condition? Y/N	Outstanding Solitude? Y/N	Outstanding Primitive & Unconfined Recreation? Y/N	Supplemental Values? Y/N
2-114	7,570	Y	N	N	Y

**FORM 2 - DOCUMENTATION OF CURRENT WILDERNESS
INVENTORY CONDITIONS**

Unit Number/Name: West Warm Springs Unit 16

(1) Is the unit of sufficient size?

Current Unit Acres: 11,333 Yes X No _____

[State the BLM acreage of the unit. Describe the boundaries of the unit (roads, property lines, etc.) If the area meets one of the exceptions to the size criterion, check "Yes" and describe the exception. If more than one inventory unit is involved, list the acreage in each and evaluate each unit/subunit separately. Complete the analysis for (1), (2), (3),(4) and (5) for each unit or sub-unit. If you check "No" above, check "N/A" (Not Applicable) in the remaining boxes below, and you need not provide additional evaluation for the unit or subunit. Use additional space as necessary].

Description of the Current Conditions: (Include land ownership, location, topography, vegetation features and summary of major human uses/activities).

Citizen Information Received: On September 19, 2007 the BLM received a citizen proposal from the Oregon Natural Desert Association (ONDA) for the 462,828-acre Buzzard Creek proposed WSA. ONDA included in their information a narrative report, maps, photos, photo and route logs and GIS data with their route and photo point data, all of which were considered as part of the wilderness inventory maintenance process for this BLM Unit. The photos submitted by ONDA were taken in July of 2004 and June of 2005. They identified the Buzzard Creek proposed WSA as having no routes which meet their definition of a boundary road and included state land within their proposal.

As part of BLM's boundary determination process, it was determined that several of ONDA's "ways" are still boundary roads. It is BLM's finding that ONDA's Buzzard Creek proposed WSA is not one large roadless unit, but is made of several smaller units that are similiar, though not exactly the same, as those units identified by the 1979 and 1980 wilderness inventory effort.

Boundary changes since 1980: The boundaries for Unit 16 appear similar to those identified (See Unit Boundary Map 2) for subunit 2-114. The northwest boundary changed due to BLM land becoming state land. The Boundary along the southwest changed due to a route originally identified as a boundary road, being identified as a non-boundary road in the field review, making the current Unit 16 larger.

Current Unit Boundaries:

See Unit Character Map for Photo Point (Pt.) Locations

N: Road 7251-0-H0 (BLM Route Analysis #23, Photo Pt. A13) (ONDA Photo Pts. FU-4 NE, CY-3 S)

E: Road 7256-0-00 (BLM Route Analysis #25, Photo Pt. A15) (ONDA Photo Pts. FU-5 SE, FU-7NW, FU-25 S, FU-26 N, FU-28 NNW, CY-11 S)

S: Road 7251-0-1F0 (BLM Route Analysis #26, Photo Pt. A16) (ONDA Photo Pt. FU-29 SSW) and Road 7251-0-1G0 & 2G0 (BLM Route Analysis #27, Photo Pt. A17 and BLM Route Analysis #28, Photo Pt. A18) (ONDA Photo Pt. CZ-56 W) this road was recently bladed likely after ONDA's photo were taken

W: Road 7251-0-00 which the unit character map indicates has an improvement of a cattleguard and ownership boundary with state land

Note: Other ONDA photo pts. did not appear to be of boundary roads for this BLM unit.

Both ID-teams from the Burns and Lakeview districts confirmed that based on their knowledge of the area and reviewing ONDA information and the BLM information gathered as part of the unit boundary determination process, that these routes do meet boundary road criteria.

ONDA also included state land in their proposed Buzzard Creek WSA. BLM does not have the authority to evaluate wilderness characteristics on state land, so it was not included in this unit.

(2) Is the unit in a natural condition?

Yes X No N/A

1980 Unit Description: The unit is located approximately ten miles southeast of the community of Wagontire. The 1980 Inventory Report described this unit as having topography which consists of flat table land with a few gently rolling hills. The vegetation includes sagebrush and grasses. Though several developments were identified, the unit was found to be in a natural condition.

Current Condition Description: The ID-team reviewed photos from the 1977 inventory effort and landscape related photos provided by ONDA. The general description above is still accurate with the addition of brush beating along some of the boundary roads and a 992-acre brush beating area located within the unit that was completed in 2006. Brushbeating along some of the boundary roads has occurred within the last three years

and consists of mowing sagebrush (24 feet) on either side of the road to assist with providing a fire break. The primary human uses in the unit and the surrounding area are associated with livestock grazing and recreation by the public. The unit currently has the following developments (See **Unit Character Map**):

- 5.8 miles of interior routes (non-boundary roads).
- 6 miles of fencing
- 2 reservoirs and 1 waterhole

The ID-team found that many of these developments and treatments were along the outer edges of the unit or dispersed enough that the imprint of humans is still substantially unnoticeable and that the unit is in a natural condition. This finding is generally consistent with ONDA's proposal for their Buzzard Creek Unit, which they found to be natural as a whole.

(3) Does the unit have outstanding opportunities for solitude?

Yes _____ No X N/A _____

1980 Unit Description: Due to the lack of topographic screening or vegetative screening, the irregular shape, and the relatively small size, this unit does not offer outstanding opportunities for a visitor to obtain a feeling of solitude.

Current Condition Description: While Unit 16 is now larger, the ID-team found it still has very limited topographic and vegetative screening. ONDA's report indicated that the sheer size of their proposed Buzzard Creek WSA with endless rolling hills, rimrock ridges, and juniper stands (not present in this unit) make the opportunities for solitude outstanding.

BLM found that ONDA's proposed Buzzard Creek WSA is not one large roadless area, but rather several smaller units, including this BLM unit. Given the lack of topographic and vegetative screening, the ID-team found this unit still does not offer outstanding opportunities for solitude.

(4) Does the unit have outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation?

Yes _____ No X N/A _____

1980 Unit Description: Opportunities for hunting, viewing wildlife, horseback riding, and hiking are present in this area; however, they are not outstanding.

Current Condition Description: The ID-team found that the recreation opportunities identified above are likely still present. ONDA's report also identified backpacking, camping, rock hounding, and photography.

ONDA indicated that the sheer size of their proposed Buzzard Creek WSA cannot help but provide for a diverse and exceptional recreational experience and that the endless rolling hills, rimrock ridges, juniper stands and multiple water features, and geologic structures present add to the interest of their unit. They also indicated they observed several wildlife species including birds of prey, pronghorn antelope, coyotes, badgers, and numerous birds.

The BLM found that ONDA's proposed Buzzard Creek WSA is not one large roadless area, but rather several smaller units, including this unit. While the recreation opportunities identified by both BLM and ONDA may be present, no unique features of particular interest were identified for this BLM unit and the diversity and quality of the recreational opportunities are not unlike that which can be found on much of the public lands in eastern Oregon in the Northern Great Basin region. None of the game species generally hunted in the area, including and around this unit, are considered by the State as being unique or rare, thus these opportunities are not outstanding.

After reviewing the information submitted, the ID-team found that the recreation opportunities present are not unique and do not present characteristics either individually or collectively that would result in these recreation activities being outstanding within the unit. Below is additional supporting BLM information related to recreation resources for this unit.

Both the 2003 Lakeview Resource Management Plan and Record of Decision (RMP/ROD) and the 1992 Three Rivers RMP/ROD show that this unit is open to off-road use by motorized vehicles; however, currently most motorized vehicle use appears to be occurring along existing roads for this unit.

Recreation opportunities within the entire Lakeview Resource Area have also been addressed through the designation of extensive and special recreation management areas in the Lakeview RMP/ROD. An extensive recreation management area (ERMA) is defined in the Lakeview RMP/ROD (page 113) as an area "where significant recreation opportunities and problems are limited and explicit recreation management is not required." This inventory unit was included in an ERMA designation along with much of the rest of the lands within the Lakeview District (RMP/ROD, page 84 and Map R-9), further indicating that the existing or potential recreation opportunities available in this area are not outstanding when compared with the rest of the public lands in the Lakeview Resource Area. BLM lands in this unit also fall within the Three Rivers ERMA (Three Rivers RMP/ROD, page 2-108-109, Map R-1).

During the development of the Lakeview RMP/ROD, the BLM classified all of the public lands within the planning area into one of six recreation

opportunity spectrum (ROS) classes (primitive, semiprimitive nonmotorized, semiprimitive motorized, roaded natural, rural, and urban). Class definitions and criteria (remoteness, size, evidence of human use, social setting, and managerial setting) used in developing the ROS classification for lands in the Lakeview Resource Area are available in the in Appendix M2 (Pages A-287-288 and A-291) of the 2001 Draft Lakeview Resource Management Plan and Environmental Impact Statement.

Map R-3 of the Lakeview RMP/ROD shows that this unit falls within the ROS class of semi-primitive motorized. This classification was identified in recognition of the fact that motorized recreational use is allowed and expected. In contrast, those areas identified as falling within a semi-primitive non-motorized class are areas that have a high potential for "outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined types of recreation . . . where the use of the area is through non-motorized, non-mechanical means." BLM lands in this unit for the Three Rivers Resource Area have not been classified under the ROS system, but have similar characteristics as those in the Lakeview Resource Area.

(5) Does the unit have supplemental values?

Yes _____ No _____ N/A X

Summary of Findings and Conclusion

Unit Name and Number: West Warm Springs Unit 16

Summary Results of Analysis:

1. Does the area meet any of the size requirements? X Yes ___ No
2. Does the area appear to be natural? X Yes ___ No
3. Does the area offer outstanding opportunities for solitude or a primitive and unconfined type of recreation? ___ Yes X No ___ NA
4. Does the area have supplemental values? ___ Yes ___ No X NA

Conclusion (Check One):

- ___ The area-or a portion of the area-has wilderness character.
- X The area does not have wilderness character

Prepared by: Laura Dowlan, Wilderness Specialist

Team Members:

Laura Dowlan 9/4/08
Laura Dowlan, Outdoor Recreation Planner (Wilderness Specialist) – Burns District Date

Brett Page 8/20/08
Brett Page, Outdoor Recreation Planner – Burns District Date

Nick Miller 8/18/08
Nick Miller, Wildlife Biologist – Burns District Date

Rob Sharp 8/25/2008
Rob Sharp, Range Management Specialist – Burns District Date

Ronda L Purdy 8-13-2008
Ronda Purdy, Range Technician – Burns District Date

Fred McDonald 8/22/08
Fred McDonald, Supervisory Natural Resource Specialist – Burns District Date

Willie Street 8-11-2008
Willie Street, Range Management Specialist – Burns District Date

Autumn Richardson 8-11-2008
Autumn Richardson, SCEP Range Management Specialist – Burns District Date


Kim McLean 9/15/08
Kim McLean, Outdoor Recreation Planner – Lakeview District Date

Paul Whitman 9/12/2008
Paul Whitman, Planning & Environmental Coordinator – Lakeview District Date

Jayna Ferrell 9/12/08
Jayna Ferrell, Range Management Specialist – Lakeview District Date

Todd Forbes 9/12/08
Todd Forbes, Associate Field Manager – Lakeview District Date

Approved by:


Joan M. Suther, Three Rivers Field Manager – Burns District

8/31/08
Date


Thomas E. Rasmussen, Lakeview Field Manager – Lakeview District

9/18/08
Date

This form documents information that constitutes an inventory finding on wilderness characteristics. It does not represent a formal land use allocation or a final agency decision subject to administrative remedies under either 43 CFR parts 4 or 1610.5-2.02

**WILDERNESS INVENTORY MAINTENANCE
IN BLM OREGON/WASHINGTON**

Year: 2008 Inventory Unit Number/Name: West Warm Springs Unit 18

**FORM 1: DOCUMENTATION OF BLM WILDERNESS INVENTORY
FINDINGS ON RECORD:**

1. Is there existing BLM wilderness inventory information on all or part of this area?

No _____ Yes X (if more than one unit is within the area, list the names/numbers of those units):

a) Inventory Source: Wilderness Inventory – OR/WA Final Intensive Inventory Decisions (November 1980), Pages 194-195

b) Inventory Unit Name(s)/Number(s): Dead Horse Subunit 2-92A

c) Map Name(s)/Number(s): November 1980 Map

d) BLM District(s)/Field Office(s): Burns District – Three Rivers Resource Area and Lakeview District – Lakeview Resource Area.

2. BLM Inventory Findings on Record:

Existing inventory information regarding wilderness characteristics (if more than one BLM inventory unit is associated with the area, list each unit and answer each question individually for each inventory unit):

Inventory Source: Wilderness Inventory – OR/WA Final Intensive Inventory Decisions (November 1980), Pages 194-195

Unit#/Name	Size (historic acres)	Natural Condition? Y/N	Outstanding Solitude? Y/N	Outstanding Primitive & Unconfined Recreation? Y/N	Supplemental Values? Y/N
2-92A	28,530	Y	N	N	Y

**FORM 2 - DOCUMENTATION OF CURRENT WILDERNESS
INVENTORY CONDITIONS**

Unit Number/Name: West Warm Springs Unit 18

(1) Is the unit of sufficient size?

Current Unit Acres: 34,263 Yes X No _____

[State the BLM acreage of the unit. Describe the boundaries of the unit (roads, property lines, etc.) If the area meets one of the exceptions to the size criterion, check "Yes" and describe the exception. If more than one inventory unit is involved, list the acreage in each and evaluate each unit/subunit separately. Complete the analysis for (1), (2), (3),(4) and (5) for each unit or sub-unit. If you check "No" above, check "N/A" (Not Applicable) in the remaining boxes below, and you need not provide additional evaluation for the unit or subunit. Use additional space as necessary].

Description of the Current Conditions: (Include land ownership, location, topography, vegetation features and summary of major human uses/activities).

Citizen Information Received: On September 19, 2007 the BLM received a citizen proposal from the Oregon Natural Desert Association (ONDA) for the 462,828-acre Buzzard Creek proposed WSA. ONDA included in their information a narrative report, maps, photos, photo and route logs and GIS data with their route and photo point data, all of which were considered as part of the wilderness inventory maintenance process for this BLM Unit. The photos submitted by ONDA were taken in July of 2004 and June of 2005. They identified the Buzzard Creek proposed WSA as having no routes which meet their definition of a boundary road and included state land within their proposal.

As part of BLM's boundary determination process, it was determined that several of ONDA's "ways" are still boundary roads. It is BLM's finding that ONDA's Buzzard Creek proposed WSA is not one large roadless unit, but is made of several smaller units that are similar, though not exactly the same, as those units identified by the 1979 and 1980 wilderness inventory effort.

Boundary changes since 1980: The boundaries for Unit 18 appear similar to those identified (See Unit Boundary Map 2) for subunit 2-92A. Several non-federal land inholdings that were in 2-92A are now BLM land increasing the number of acres in Unit 18.

Current Unit Boundaries:

See Unit Character Map for Photo Point (Pt.) Locations

N: Road 7256-0-00 (BLM Route Analysis #34, no photo points for this portion of Road 7256) (ONDA Photo Pt. GR-10 W) and private land ownership

E: Road 7256-0-00 (BLM Route Analysis #31, Photo Pt. A22) (ONDA Photo Pts. FV-2 SE, FV-7 SE, CY-31 ESE) and Road 7256-0-E0 (BLM Route Analysis #30, Photo Pt. A20 & A21) (ONDA Photo Pt. CY-33 SSE)

S: Road 6155-0-1F0 (BLM Route Analysis #16, Photo Pt. A13) (ONDA Photo Pt. CZ-38 E)

W: Road 7256-0-DA (BLM Route Analysis #41, Photo Pt. A33) (no ONDA photos identified for this road segment) and Road 7256-0-D0 (BLM Route Analysis #40, Photo Pt. A32) (no ONDA photos identified for this road segment) and Road 7256-0-00 (BLM Route Analysis #35, Photo Pt. A26 and BLM Route Analysis #39, Photo Pt. A30) (no ONDA photos identified for this road segment) (ONDA Photo Pts. CY-17 SW, CY-18 NE, FU-16 NW, FR-22 NE)

Interior Road: (BLM Route Analysis #36, Photo Pt. A28) (no ONDA photos identified for this road segment)

Note: Other ONDA photo pts. did not appear to be of boundary roads for this BLM unit.

Both ID-teams from the Burns and Lakeview districts confirmed that based on their knowledge of the area and reviewing ONDA information and the BLM information gathered as part of the unit boundary determination process, that these routes do meet boundary road criteria.

(2) Is the unit in a natural condition?

Yes X No N/A

1980 Unit Description: The unit is located approximately 18 miles southeast of the community of Wagontire. The 1980 Inventory Report described this unit having topography which consists of an open landscape with rolling hills throughout the entire subunit. Several rim areas and dry lakebeds can be found. Big sagebrush with an understory of perennial bunchgrass, annual grasses, and Sandberg's bluegrass are the common vegetation types. Rabbitbrush occurs on disturbed sites. Though several developments were identified, the unit was found to be in a natural condition.

Current Condition Description: The ID-team reviewed photos from the 1977 inventory effort and landscape related photos provided by ONDA. The general description above is still accurate with the addition of brush beating along some of the boundary roads and a 997-acre brush beating area located within the unit that was completed in 2006. Brush beating along some of the boundary roads has occurred within the last three years and consists of mowing sagebrush (24 feet) on either side of the road to assist with providing a fire break. The primary human uses in the unit and the surrounding area are associated with livestock grazing and recreation by the public. The unit currently has the following developments (See **Unit Character Map**):

- 7 miles of interior routes (non-boundary roads)
- 4 miles of fencing
- 1 reservoir and six waterholes

The ID-team found that many of these developments and treatments were along the outer edges of the unit or dispersed enough that the imprint of humans is still substantially unnoticeable and that the unit is in a natural condition. This finding is generally consistent with ONDA's proposal for their Buzzard Creek Unit, which they found to be natural as a whole.

(3) Does the unit have outstanding opportunities for solitude?

Yes _____ No X N/A _____

1980 Unit Description: The rim areas offer some topographic screening. However, insufficient vegetative screening and the open expanses prevent visitors from achieving an outstanding sense of solitude.

Current Condition Description: The general description above is still accurate. ONDA's report indicated that the sheer size of their proposed Buzzard Creek WSA with endless rolling hills, rimrock ridges, and juniper stands (not present in this unit) make the opportunities for solitude outstanding. The BLM found that ONDA's proposed Buzzard Creek WSA is not one large roadless area, but rather several smaller units, including this BLM unit.

After reviewing the information submitted by ONDA and BLM information, the ID-team found that given the lack of topographic and vegetative screening (juniper stands are not present), the ID-team found this unit does not offer outstanding opportunities for solitude.

(4) Does the unit have outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation?

Yes _____ No X N/A _____

1980 Unit Description: Opportunities for hunting, wildlife viewing, horseback riding, and hiking are present in this area; however, they are not outstanding.

Current Condition Description: The ID-team found that the recreation opportunities identified above are likely still present. ONDA's report also identified backpacking, camping, rock hounding, and photography. ONDA indicated that the sheer size of their proposed Buzzard Creek WSA cannot help but provide for a diverse and exceptional recreational experience and that the endless rolling hills, rimrock ridges, juniper stands and multiple water features, and geologic structures present add to the interest of their unit. They also indicated they observed several wildlife species including birds of prey, pronghorn antelope, coyotes, badgers, and numerous birds.

BLM found that ONDA's proposed Buzzard Creek WSA is not one large roadless area, but rather several smaller units, including this BLM unit. No unique features of interest were identified for this BLM unit and the diversity and quality of the recreational opportunities for this particular unit are not unlike that which can be found on much of the public lands in eastern Oregon in the Northern Great Basin region. None of the game species generally hunted in the area, including and around this unit, are considered by the State as being unique or rare, thus these opportunities are not outstanding.

After reviewing the information submitted by ONDA and BLM information, the ID-team determined that this particular unit's recreational opportunities neither collectively nor individually possess attributes which would provide outstanding opportunities for primitive or unconfined recreation.

Below is additional supporting BLM information related to recreation resources for this unit.

Both the 2003 Lakeview Resource Management Plan and Record of Decision (RMP/ROD) and the 1992 Three Rivers RMP/ROD show that this unit is open to off-road use by motorized vehicles; however, currently most motorized vehicle use appears to be occurring along existing roads for this unit.

Recreation opportunities within the entire Lakeview Resource Area have also been addressed through the designation of extensive and special recreation management areas in the Lakeview RMP/ROD. An extensive recreation management area (ERMA) is defined in the Lakeview RMP/ROD (page 113) as an area "where significant recreation opportunities and problems are limited and explicit recreation management is not required." This inventory unit was included in an ERMA designation along with much of the rest of the lands within the Lakeview District (RMP/ROD, page 84 and Map R-9), further indicating

that the existing or potential recreation opportunities available in this area are not outstanding when compared with the rest of the public lands in the Lakeview Resource Area. BLM lands in this unit also fall within the Three Rivers ERMA (Three Rivers RMP/ROD, page 2-108-109, Map R-1).

During the development of the Lakeview RMP/ROD, the BLM classified all of the public lands within the planning area into one of six recreation opportunity spectrum (ROS) classes (primitive, semiprimitive nonmotorized, semiprimitive motorized, roaded natural, rural, and urban). Class definitions and criteria (remoteness, size, evidence of human use, social setting, and managerial setting) used in developing the ROS classification for lands in the Lakeview Resource Area are available in the in Appendix M2 (Pages A-287-288 and A-291) of the 2001 Draft Lakeview Resource Management Plan and Environmental Impact Statement.

Map R-3 of the Lakeview RMP/ROD shows that this unit falls within the ROS class of semi-primitive motorized. This classification was identified in recognition of the fact that motorized recreational use is allowed and expected. In contrast, those areas identified as falling within a semi-primitive non-motorized class are areas that have a high potential for "outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined types of recreation . . . where the use of the area is through non-motorized, non-mechanical means." BLM lands in this unit for the Three Rivers Resource Area have not been classified under the ROS system, but have similar characteristics as those in the Lakeview Resource Area.

(5) Does the unit have supplemental values?

Yes _____ No _____ N/A X

Summary of Findings and Conclusion

Unit Name and Number: **West Warm Springs Unit 18**

Summary Results of Analysis:

1. Does the area meet any of the size requirements? X Yes ___ No
2. Does the area appear to be natural? X Yes ___ No
3. Does the area offer outstanding opportunities for solitude or a primitive and unconfined type of recreation? ___ Yes X No ___ NA
4. Does the area have supplemental values? ___ Yes ___ No X NA

Conclusion (Check One):

The area-or a portion of the area-has wilderness character.

The area does not have wilderness character.

Prepared by: Laura Dowlan, Wilderness Specialist

Team Members:

Laura Dowlan 9/4/08
Laura Dowlan, Outdoor Recreation Planner (Wilderness Specialist) – Burns District Date

Brett Page 8/20/08
Brett Page, Outdoor Recreation Planner – Burns District Date

Nick Miller 8/18/08
Nick Miller, Wildlife Biologist – Burns District Date

Rob Sharp 8/25/2008
Rob Sharp, Range Management Specialist – Burns District Date

Ronda L. Purdy 8-13-2008
Ronda Purdy, Range Technician – Burns District Date

Fred McDonald 8/22/08
Fred McDonald, Supervisory Natural Resource Specialist – Burns District Date

Willie Street 8-11-2008
Willie Street, Range Management Specialist – Burns District Date

Autumn Richardson 8-11-2008
Autumn Richardson, SCEP Range Management Specialist – Burns District Date


Kim McLean 9/15/08
Kim McLean, Outdoor Recreation Planner – Lakeview District Date


Paul Whitman 9/12/2008
Paul Whitman, Planning & Environmental Coordinator – Lakeview District Date

Jayna Ferrell 9/12/08
Jayna Ferrell, Range Management Specialist – Lakeview District Date

Todd Forbes 9/12/08
Todd Forbes, Associate Field Manager – Lakeview District Date

Approved by:


Joan M. Suther, Three Rivers Field Manager – Burns District 8/31/08
Date


Thomas E. Rasmussen, Lakeview Field Manager – Lakeview District 9/19/08
Date

This form documents information that constitutes an inventory finding on wilderness characteristics. It does not represent a formal land use allocation or a final agency decision subject to administrative remedies under either 43 CFR parts 4 or 1610.5-2.02

**WILDERNESS INVENTORY MAINTENANCE
IN BLM OREGON/WASHINGTON
(Source: Oregon State Office Internal Guidance as of July 2007)**

Year: 2008 Inventory Unit Number/Name: West Warm Springs Unit 19

**FORM 1: DOCUMENTATION OF BLM WILDERNESS INVENTORY
FINDINGS ON RECORD:**

1. Is there existing BLM wilderness inventory information on all or part of this area?

No _____ Yes X (if more than one unit is within the area, list the names/numbers of those units):

a) Inventory Source: Wilderness Inventory – OR/WA Final Intensive Inventory Decisions (November 1980), Pages 148-149

b) Inventory Unit Name(s)/Number(s): Devils Canyon Subunit 2-69

c) Map Name(s)/Number(s): November 1980 Map

d) BLM District(s)/Field Office(s): Burns District – Three Rivers Resource Area and Lakeview District – Lakeview Resource Area.

2. BLM Inventory Findings on Record:

Existing inventory information regarding wilderness characteristics (if more than one BLM inventory unit is associated with the area, list each unit and answer each question individually for each inventory unit):

Inventory Source: Wilderness Inventory – OR/WA Final Intensive Inventory Decisions (November 1980), Pages 148-149

Unit#/Name	Size (historic acres)	Natural Condition? Y/N	Outstanding Solitude? Y/N	Outstanding Primitive & Unconfined Recreation? Y/N	Supplemental Values? Y/N
2-69	14,015	Y	N	N	Y

**FORM 2 - DOCUMENTATION OF CURRENT WILDERNESS
INVENTORY CONDITIONS**

Unit Number/Name: West Warm Springs Unit 19

(1) Is the unit of sufficient size?

Current Unit Acres: 14,221 Yes X No _____

[State the BLM acreage of the unit. Describe the boundaries of the unit (roads, property lines, etc.) If the area meets one of the exceptions to the size criterion, check "Yes" and describe the exception. If more than one inventory unit is involved, list the acreage in each and evaluate each unit/subunit separately. Complete the analysis for (1), (2), (3),(4) and (5) for each unit or sub-unit. If you check "No" above, check "N/A" (Not Applicable) in the remaining boxes below, and you need not provide additional evaluation for the unit or subunit. Use additional space as necessary].

Description of the Current Conditions: (Include land ownership, location, topography, vegetation features and summary of major human uses/activities).

Citizen Information Received: On September 19, 2007 the BLM received a citizen proposal from the Oregon Natural Desert Association (ONDA) for the 462,828-acre Buzzard Creek proposed WSA. ONDA included in their information a narrative report, maps, photos, photo and route logs and GIS data with their route and photo point data, all of which were considered as part of the wilderness inventory maintenance process for this BLM Unit. The photos submitted by ONDA were taken in July of 2004 and June of 2005. They identified the Buzzard Creek proposed WSA as having no routes which meet their definition of a boundary road and included state land within their proposal.

As part of BLM's boundary determination process, it was determined that several of ONDA's "ways" are still boundary roads. It is BLM's finding that ONDA's Buzzard Creek proposed WSA is not one large roadless unit, but is made of several smaller units that are similar, though not exactly the same, as those units identified by the 1979 and 1980 wilderness inventory effort.

Boundary changes since 1980: The boundaries for Unit 19 appear similar to those identified (See Unit Boundary Map 2) for subunit 2-69 except for two non-federal inholdings that were in 2-69 which are now BLM land, increasing the number of acres in Unit 19.

Current Unit Boundaries:

See Unit Character Map for Photo Point (Pt.) Locations

N/E: Road 7256-0-00 (ONDA Photo Pts. CY-35 SE, CZ-5 WNW) there is very little vegetation in the center of this road and it is still in a useable condition and does receive relatively regular use.

E: Road 7256-0-1H (BLM Route Analysis #42, Photo Pts. A34 & A35) (ONDA Photo Pts. FK-69 SE, CZ-4 SSW)

S/W: BLM Road (BLM Route Analysis #17, Photo Pts. A14 & A15) (ONDA Photo Pt. CZ-11 E)

W: Road 7256-0-E0 (BLM Route Analysis #30, Photo Pts. A20 & A21) (ONDA Photo Pt. CY-33 SSE)

Note: Other ONDA photo pts. did not appear to be of boundary roads for this BLM unit.

Both ID-teams from the Burns and Lakeview districts confirmed that based on their knowledge of the area and reviewing ONDA information and the BLM information gathered as part of the unit boundary determination process, that these routes do meet boundary road criteria.

(2) Is the unit in a natural condition?

Yes X No _____ N/A _____

1980 Unit Description: The unit is located approximately 23 miles southeast of the community of Wagontire. The 1980 Inventory Report described this unit as being dominated by a flat-top terrace. Along the west side of the unit, a ridge bounds the terrace before dropping into a lower flatland to the west. The top of the terrace contains small, wide, shallow drainages, with the exception of the Devils Canyon, which is a narrow drainage fringed with rimrock. The vegetation is sagebrush and grass. Though several developments were identified, the unit was found to be in a natural condition.

Current Condition Description: The ID-team reviewed photos from the 1977 inventory effort and landscape related photos provided by ONDA. Brush beating has occurred along some of the boundary roads within the last three years. This consists of mowing sagebrush (24 feet) on either side of the road to assist with providing a fire break. The primary human uses in the unit and the surrounding area are associated with livestock grazing and recreation by the public. The unit currently has the following developments (**See Unit Character Map**):

- 7.4 miles of interior routes (non-boundary roads)
- 8 miles of fencing. The fence running east/west in the middle of the unit also has an interior route along it.
- 1 reservoir
- 1 Wildlife Guzzlers (while the original inventory listed two wildlife guzzlers, staff could only confirm the existence of one)

The ID-team found that many of these developments and treatments were along the outer edges of the unit or dispersed enough that the imprint of humans is still substantially unnoticeable and that the unit is in a natural condition. This finding is generally consistent with ONDA's proposal for their Buzzard Creek Unit, which they found to be natural as a whole.

(3) Does the unit have outstanding opportunities for solitude?

Yes _____ No X N/A _____

1980 Unit Description: The broad open expanses of this unit with no vegetative screening do not provide a situation where a visitor could avoid the sights and sounds of others. Devils Canyon area is not sufficient size to provide outstanding solitude on its own.

Current Condition Description: The general description above is still accurate. ONDA's report indicated that the sheer size of their proposed Buzzard Creek WSA with endless rolling hills, rimrock ridges, and juniper stands (not present in this unit) make the opportunities for solitude outstanding.

BLM found that ONDA's proposed Buzzard Creek WSA is not one large roadless area, but rather several smaller units, including this BLM unit. Given the lack of topographic and vegetative screening and the long narrow shape of this unit, the ID-team found this unit does not offer outstanding opportunities for solitude.

(4) Does the unit have outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation?

Yes _____ No X N/A _____

1980 Unit Description: Opportunities for hunting, horseback riding, and hiking are present in this area; however, they are not outstanding.

Current Condition Description: The ID-team found that the recreation opportunities identified above are likely still present. ONDA's report also identified wildlife viewing, backpacking, camping, rock hounding, and photography. ONDA indicated that the sheer size of their proposed Buzzard Creek WSA cannot help but provide for a diverse and exceptional

recreational experience and that the endless rolling hills, rimrock ridges, juniper stands and multiple water features, and geologic structures present add to the interest of their unit. They also indicated they observed several wildlife species including birds of prey, pronghorn antelope, coyotes, badgers, and numerous birds.

The BLM found that ONDA's proposed Buzzard Creek WSA is not one large roadless area, but rather several smaller units, including this BLM unit. While the recreation opportunities identified by both BLM and ONDA may be present, no unique features of interest were identified for this particular BLM unit and the diversity and quality of the recreational opportunities for this particular unit are not unlike that which can be found on much of the public lands in eastern Oregon in the Northern Great Basin region. None of the game species generally hunted in the area, including and around this unit, are considered by the State as being unique or rare, thus these opportunities are not outstanding.

After reviewing the information submitted, the ID-team found the recreation opportunities present are not unique and do not present characteristics either individually or collectively that would result in these recreation activities being outstanding within the unit. Below is additional supporting BLM information related to recreation resources for this unit.

Both the 2003 Lakeview Resource Management Plan and Record of Decision (RMP/ROD) and the 1992 Three Rivers RMP/ROD show that this unit is open to off-road use by motorized vehicles; however, currently most motorized vehicle use appears to be occurring along existing roads for this unit.

Recreation opportunities within the entire Lakeview Resource Area have also been addressed through the designation of extensive and special recreation management areas in the Lakeview RMP/ROD. An extensive recreation management area (ERMA) is defined in the Lakeview RMP/ROD (page 113) as an area "where significant recreation opportunities and problems are limited and explicit recreation management is not required." This inventory unit was included in an ERMA designation along with much of the rest of the lands within the Lakeview District (RMP/ROD, page 84 and Map R-9), further indicating that the existing or potential recreation opportunities available in this area are not outstanding when compared with the rest of the public lands in the Lakeview Resource Area. BLM lands in this unit also fall within the Three Rivers ERMA (Three Rivers RMP/ROD, page 2-108-109, Map R-1).

During the development of the Lakeview RMP/ROD, the BLM classified all of the public lands within the planning area into one of six recreation opportunity spectrum (ROS) classes (primitive, semiprimitive

nonmotorized, semiprimitive motorized, roaded natural, rural, and urban). Class definitions and criteria (remoteness, size, evidence of human use, social setting, and managerial setting) used in developing the ROS classification for lands in the Lakeview Resource Area are available in the in Appendix M2 (Pages A-287-288 and A-291) of the 2001 Draft Lakeview Resource Management Plan and Environmental Impact Statement.

Map R-3 of the Lakeview RMP/ROD shows that this unit falls within the ROS class of semi-primitive motorized. This classification was identified in recognition of the fact that motorized recreational use is allowed and expected. In contrast, those areas identified as falling within a semi-primitive non-motorized class are areas that have a high potential for "outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined types of recreation . . . where the use of the area is through non-motorized, non-mechanical means." BLM lands in this unit for the Three Rivers Resource Area have not been classified under the ROS system, but have similar characteristics as those in the Lakeview Resource Area.

(5) Does the unit have supplemental values?

Yes _____ No _____ N/A X

Summary of Findings and Conclusion

Unit Name and Number: West Warm Springs Unit 19

Summary Results of Analysis:

1. Does the area meet any of the size requirements? X Yes ___ No
2. Does the area appear to be natural? X Yes ___ No
3. Does the area offer outstanding opportunities for solitude or a primitive and unconfined type of recreation? ___ Yes X No ___ NA
4. Does the area have supplemental values? ___ Yes ___ No X NA

Conclusion (Check One):

- ___ The area-or a portion of the area-has wilderness character.
- X The area does not have wilderness character.

Prepared by: Laura Dowlan, Wilderness Specialist

Team Members:

Laura Dowlan 9/4/08
Laura Dowlan, Outdoor Recreation Planner (Wilderness Specialist) – Burns District Date

Brett Page 8/20/08
Brett Page, Outdoor Recreation Planner – Burns District Date

Nick Miller 8/18/08
Nick Miller, Wildlife Biologist – Burns District Date

Rob Sharp 8/25/2008
Rob Sharp, Range Management Specialist – Burns District Date

Ronda L. Purdy 8-13-2008
Ronda Purdy, Range Technician – Burns District Date

Fred McDonald 8/22/08
Fred McDonald, Supervisory Natural Resource Specialist – Burns District Date

Willie Street 8-11-2008
Willie Street, Range Management Specialist – Burns District Date

Autumn Richards 8-11-08
Autumn Richardson, SCEP Range Management Specialist – Burns District Date

Kim McLean 9/15/08
Kim McLean, Outdoor Recreation Planner – Lakeview District Date

Paul Whitman 9/12/2008
Paul Whitman, Planning & Environmental Coordinator – Lakeview District Date

Jayna Ferrell 9/12/08
Jayna Ferrell, Range Management Specialist – Lakeview District Date

Todd Forbes 9/12/08
Todd Forbes, Associate Field Manager – Lakeview District Date

Approved by:


Joan M. Suther, Three Rivers Field Manager – Burns District 8/31/08
Date


Thomas E. Rasmussen, Lakeview Field Manager -- Lakeview District 9/18/08
Date

This form documents information that constitutes an inventory finding on wilderness characteristics. It does not represent a formal land use allocation or a final agency decision subject to administrative remedies under either 43 CFR parts 4 or 1610.5-2.02

**WILDERNESS INVENTORY MAINTENANCE
IN BLM OREGON/WASHINGTON
(Source: Oregon State Office Internal Guidance as of July 2007)**

Year: 2008 Inventory Unit Number/Name: West Warm Springs Unit 20

**FORM 1: DOCUMENTATION OF BLM WILDERNESS INVENTORY
FINDINGS ON RECORD:**

1. Is there existing BLM wilderness inventory information on all or part of this area?

No _____ Yes X (if more than one unit is within the area, list the names/numbers of those units):

a) Inventory Source: Wilderness Inventory – OR/WA Final Intensive Inventory Decisions (November 1980), Page 144

b) Inventory Unit Name(s)/Number(s): Buzzard Creek Subunit 2-64A

c) Map Name(s)/Number(s): November 1980 Map

d) BLM District(s)/Field Office(s): Burns District – Three Rivers Resource Area and Lakeview District – Lakeview Resource Area.

2. BLM Inventory Findings on Record:

Existing inventory information regarding wilderness characteristics (if more than one BLM inventory unit is associated with the area, list each unit and answer each question individually for each inventory unit):

Inventory Source: Wilderness Inventory – OR/WA Final Intensive Inventory Decisions (November 1980), Page 144.

Unit#/Name	Size (historic acres)	Natural Condition? Y/N	Outstanding Solitude? Y/N	Outstanding Primitive & Unconfined Recreation? Y/N	Supplemental Values? Y/N
2-64A	14,140	Y	N	N	Y

**FORM 2 - DOCUMENTATION OF CURRENT WILDERNESS
INVENTORY CONDITIONS**

Unit Number/Name: West Warm Springs Unit 20

(1) Is the unit of sufficient size?

Current Unit Acres: 14,945 Yes X No _____

[State the BLM acreage of the unit. Describe the boundaries of the unit (roads, property lines, etc.) If the area meets one of the exceptions to the size criterion, check "Yes" and describe the exception. If more than one inventory unit is involved, list the acreage in each and evaluate each unit/subunit separately. Complete the analysis for (1), (2), (3),(4) and (5) for each unit or sub-unit. If you check "No" above, check "N/A" (Not Applicable) in the remaining boxes below, and you need not provide additional evaluation for the unit or subunit. Use additional space as necessary].

Description of the Current Conditions: (Include land ownership, location, topography, vegetation features and summary of major human uses/activities).

Citizen Information Received: On September 19, 2007 the BLM received a citizen proposal from the Oregon Natural Desert Association (ONDA) for the 462,828-acre Buzzard Creek proposed WSA. ONDA included in their information a narrative report, maps, photos, photo and route logs and GIS data with their route and photo point data, all of which were considered as part of the wilderness inventory maintenance process for this BLM Unit. The photos submitted by ONDA were taken in July of 2004 and June of 2005. They identified the Buzzard Creek proposed WSA as having no routes which meet their definition of a boundary road and included state land within their proposal.

As part of BLM's boundary determination process, it was determined that several of ONDA's "ways" are still boundary roads. It is BLM's finding that ONDA's Buzzard Creek proposed WSA is not one large roadless unit, but is made of several smaller units that are similar, though not exactly the same, as those units identified by the 1979 and 1980 wilderness inventory effort.

Boundary changes since 1980: The boundaries for Unit 20 appear very similar to those identified (See Unit Boundary Map 2) for subunit 2-64A. However a block of non-federal land that was in the subunit 2-64A is now BLM land, making the unit slightly larger.

Current Unit Boundaries:

See Unit Character Map for Photo Point (Pt.) Locations

North Half: Flybee-Moon Lake Road 7256-0-G0 (BLM Route Analysis #43, Photo Pt. A36) (ONDA Photo Pt. FK 34 and CZ3)

SE: Road 7256-0-1J and 7256-0-2J0 (BLM Route Analysis #6, Photo Pt. A8 and A9) (No ONDA Photo Pt.)

SW: Road 7256-0-100 (ONDA Photo Pt. FK 27 and FK 28) there is only minimal vegetation in this road consisting primarily of grasses. It is still in a useable condition and does receive relatively regular use.

Note: Other ONDA photo pts. did not appear to be of boundary roads for this BLM unit.

Both ID-teams from the Burns and Lakeview districts confirmed that based on their knowledge of the area and reviewing ONDA information and the BLM information gathered as part of the unit boundary determination process, that these routes do meet boundary road criteria.

(2) Is the unit in a natural condition?

Yes X No N/A

1980 Unit Description: The unit is located approximately eight miles southwest of Harney Lake, and about 28 miles southeast of the community of Wagontire. The 1980 Inventory Report described this unit as containing an extensive terrace with some scattered rimrock and rolling hills. Deep Canyon, a broad and open drainage, traverses the south and southeast portions. Big and low sagebrush are the dominant vegetation types. Though several developments were identified, the unit was found to be in a natural condition.

Current Condition Description: The ID-team reviewed photos from the 1977 inventory effort and landscape related photos provided by ONDA. The general description above is still accurate with addition of playas being present. Brush beating has occurred along some of the boundary roads within the last three years. This consists of mowing sagebrush (24 feet) on either side of the road to assist with providing a fire break. The primary human uses in the unit and the surrounding area are associated with livestock grazing and recreation by the public. The unit currently has the following developments (**See Unit Character Map**):

- 1.3 miles of interior routes (non-boundary roads)
- 4 water holes

The ID-team found that many of these developments were along the outer edges of the unit or dispersed enough that the imprint of humans is still substantially unnoticeable and that the unit is in a natural condition. This finding is generally consistent with ONDA's proposal for their Buzzard Creek Unit, which they found to be natural as a whole.

(3) Does the unit have outstanding opportunities for solitude?

Yes _____ No X N/A _____

1980 Unit Description: The north portion is flat and open, and it does not provide sufficient area for seclusion. Deep Canyon is in the middle of the area and would provide the best opportunity for solitude. However, there is not enough elevation difference around the drainage for the visitors to sufficiently screen themselves from others in the area. The remaining south portion consists of broad, open rolling hills which would allow the visitor to be highly visible. The area does not offer outstanding solitude.

Current Condition Description: The general description above is still accurate. ONDA's report indicated that the sheer size of their proposed Buzzard Creek WSA with endless rolling hills, rimrock ridges, and juniper stands (not present in this unit) make the opportunities for solitude outstanding.

BLM found that ONDA's proposed Buzzard Creek WSA is not one large roadless area, but rather several smaller units, including this BLM unit. The ID-team concurred with the original inventory finding that this portion of Deep Creek Canyon was not large enough to provide for sufficient topographic screening and that this unit does not offer outstanding opportunities for solitude.

(4) Does the unit have outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation?

Yes _____ No X N/A _____

1980 Unit Description: Opportunities for hunting, viewing wildlife, horseback riding, and hiking are present in this area, however they are not outstanding.

Current Condition Description: The ID-team found that the recreation opportunities identified above are likely still present. ONDA's report also identified backpacking, camping, rock hounding, and photography. ONDA indicated that the sheer size of their proposed Buzzard Creek WSA cannot help but provide for a diverse and exceptional recreational experience and that the endless rolling hills, rimrock ridges, juniper stands and multiple water features, and geologic structures present add to the

interest of their unit. They also indicated they observed several wildlife species including birds of prey, pronghorn antelope, coyotes, badgers, and numerous birds.

The BLM found that ONDA's proposed Buzzard Creek WSA is not one large roadless area, but rather several smaller units, including this BLM unit. While the recreation opportunities identified by both BLM and ONDA may be present, no unique features were identified for this particular BLM unit and the diversity and quality of these recreation opportunities are not unlike that which can be found on much of public lands in eastern Oregon in the Northern Great Basin region. None of the game species generally hunted in the area, including and around this unit, are considered by the State as being unique or rare, thus these opportunities are not outstanding.

After reviewing the information submitted, the ID-team found that the recreation opportunities present are not unique and do not present characteristics either individually or collectively that would result in these recreation activities being outstanding within the unit. Below is additional supporting BLM information related to recreation resources for this unit.

Both the 2003 Lakeview Resource Management Plan and Record of Decision (RMP/ROD) and the 1992 Three Rivers RMP/ROD show that this unit is open to off-road use by motorized vehicles; however, currently most motorized vehicle use appears to be occurring along existing roads for this unit.

Recreation opportunities within the entire Lakeview Resource Area have also been addressed through the designation of extensive and special recreation management areas in the Lakeview RMP/ROD. An extensive recreation management area (ERMA) is defined in the Lakeview RMP/ROD (page 113) as an area "where significant recreation opportunities and problems are limited and explicit recreation management is not required." This inventory unit was included in an ERMA designation along with much of the rest of the lands within the Lakeview District (RMP/ROD, page 84 and Map R-9), further indicating that the existing or potential recreation opportunities available in this area are not outstanding when compared with the rest of the public lands in the Lakeview Resource Area. BLM lands in this unit also fall within the Three Rivers ERMA (Three Rivers RMP/ROD, page 2-108-109, Map R-1).

During the development of the Lakeview RMP/ROD, the BLM classified all of the public lands within the planning area into one of six recreation opportunity spectrum (ROS) classes (primitive, semiprimitive nonmotorized, semiprimitive motorized, roaded natural, rural, and urban). Class definitions and criteria (remoteness, size, evidence of human use,

social setting, and managerial setting) used in developing the ROS classification for lands in the Lakeview Resource Area are available in the in Appendix M2 (Pages A-287-288 and A-291) of the 2001 Draft Lakeview Resource Management Plan and Environmental Impact Statement.

Map R-3 of the Lakeview RMP/ROD shows that this unit falls within the ROS class of semi-primitive motorized. This classification was identified in recognition of the fact that motorized recreational use is allowed and expected. In contrast, those areas identified as falling within a semi-primitive non-motorized class are areas that have a high potential for "outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined types of recreation . . . where the use of the area is through non-motorized, non-mechanical means." BLM lands in this unit for the Three Rivers Resource Area have not been classified under the ROS system, but have similar characteristics as those in the Lakeview Resource Area.

(5) Does the unit have supplemental values?

Yes _____ No _____ N/A X

Summary of Findings and Conclusion

Unit Name and Number: **West Warm Springs Unit 20**

Summary Results of Analysis:

1. Does the area meet any of the size requirements? X Yes ___ No
2. Does the area appear to be natural? X Yes ___ No
3. Does the area offer outstanding opportunities for solitude or a primitive and unconfined type of recreation? ___ Yes X No ___ NA
4. Does the area have supplemental values? ___ Yes ___ No X NA

Conclusion (Check One):

- ___ The area-or a portion of the area-has wilderness character.
- X The area does not have wilderness character.

Prepared by: Laura Dowlan, Wilderness Specialist

Team Members:

Laura Dowlan 9/4/08
Laura Dowlan, Outdoor Recreation Planner (Wilderness Specialist) – Burns District Date

Brett Page 8/20/08
Brett Page, Outdoor Recreation Planner – Burns District Date

Nick Miller 8/18/08
Nick Miller, Wildlife Biologist – Burns District Date

Rob Sharp 8/25/2008
Rob Sharp, Range Management Specialist – Burns District Date

Ronda L. Purdy 8-13-2008
Ronda Purdy, Range Technician – Burns District Date

Fred McDonald 8/22/08
Fred McDonald, Supervisory Natural Resource Specialist – Burns District Date

Willie Street 8-11-2008
Willie Street, Range Management Specialist – Burns District Date

Autumn Richardson 8-11-08
Autumn Richardson, SCEP Range Management Specialist – Burns District Date

Kim McLean 9/15/08
Kim McLean, Outdoor Recreation Planner – Lakeview District Date

Paul Whitman 9/12/2008
Paul Whitman, Planning & Environmental Coordinator – Lakeview District Date

Jayna Ferrell 9/12/08
Jayna Ferrell, Range Management Specialist – Lakeview District Date

Todd Forbes 9/12/08
Todd Forbes, Associate Field Manager – Lakeview District Date

Approved by:

 8/31/08

Joan M. Suther, Three Rivers Field Manager – Burns District Date

 9/19/08

Thomas E. Rasmussen, Lakeview Field Manager – Lakeview District Date

This form documents information that constitutes an inventory finding on wilderness characteristics. It does not represent a formal land use allocation or a final agency decision subject to administrative remedies under either 43 CFR parts 4 or 1610.5-2.02

**WILDERNESS INVENTORY MAINTENANCE
IN BLM OREGON/WASHINGTON
(Source: Oregon State Office Internal Guidance as of July 2007)**

Year: 2008 Inventory Unit Number/Name: West Warm Springs Unit 21

**FORM 1: DOCUMENTATION OF BLM WILDERNESS INVENTORY
FINDINGS ON RECORD:**

1. Is there existing BLM wilderness inventory information on all or part of this area?

No _____ Yes X (if more than one unit is within the area, list the names/numbers of those units):

a) Inventory Source: Wilderness Inventory – OR/WA Final Intensive Inventory Decisions (November 1980), Page 140

b) Inventory Unit Name(s)/Number(s): Foster Flat Subunit 2-61E

c) Map Name(s)/Number(s): November 1980 Map

d) BLM District(s)/Field Office(s): Burns District – Three Rivers Resource Area and Lakeview District – Lakeview Resource Area.

2. BLM Inventory Findings on Record:

Existing inventory information regarding wilderness characteristics (if more than one BLM inventory unit is associated with the area, list each unit and answer each question individually for each inventory unit):

Inventory Source: Wilderness Inventory – OR/WA Final Intensive Inventory Decisions (November 1980), Page 140

Unit#/Name	Size (historic acres)	Natural Condition? Y/N	Outstanding Solitude? Y/N	Outstanding Primitive & Unconfined Recreation? Y/N	Supplemental Values? Y/N
2-61E	15,470	Y	N	N	Y

**FORM 2 - DOCUMENTATION OF CURRENT WILDERNESS
INVENTORY CONDITIONS**

Unit Number/Name: **West Warm Springs Unit 21**

(1) Is the unit of sufficient size?

Current Unit Acres: **17,083** Yes **X** No _____

[State the BLM acreage of the unit. Describe the boundaries of the unit (roads, property lines, etc.) If the area meets one of the exceptions to the size criterion, check "Yes" and describe the exception. If more than one inventory unit is involved, list the acreage in each and evaluate each unit/subunit separately. Complete the analysis for (1), (2), (3),(4) and (5) for each unit or sub-unit. If you check "No" above, check "N/A" (Not Applicable) in the remaining boxes below, and you need not provide additional evaluation for the unit or subunit. Use additional space as necessary].

Description of the Current Conditions: (Include land ownership, location, topography, vegetation features and summary of major human uses/activities).

Citizen Information Received: On September 19, 2007 the BLM received a citizen proposal from the Oregon Natural Desert Association (ONDA) for the 462,828-acre Buzzard Creek proposed WSA. ONDA included in their information a narrative report, maps, photos, photo and route logs and GIS data with their route and photo point data, all of which were considered as part of the wilderness inventory maintenance process for this BLM Unit. The photos submitted by ONDA were taken in July of 2004 and June of 2005. They identified the Buzzard Creek proposed WSA as having no routes which meet their definition of a boundary road and included state land within their proposal.

As part of BLM's boundary determination process, it was determined that several of ONDA's "ways" are still boundary roads. It is BLM's finding that ONDA's Buzzard Creek proposed WSA is not one large roadless unit, but is made of several smaller units that are similar, though not exactly the same, as those units identified by the 1979 and 1980 wilderness inventory effort.

Boundary changes since 1980: The boundaries for Unit 21 appear similar to those identified (See Unit Boundary Map 2) for subunit 2-61E. Two non-federal inholdings that were in 2-61E are now BLM land, increasing the number of acres in Unit 21.

Current Unit Boundaries:

See Unit Character Map for Photo Point (Pt.) Locations

N/E: Road 7256-0-2J0 (BLM Route Analysis #2 & 3, Photo Pts. A2, A3 & A4) (ONDA Photo Pts. FK-62 SSW and FL-31 W – it was difficult to determine the direction of this photo, because the log indicated the photo was taken towards the west, but of a way into Buzzard Canyon which is to the east) This road was recently bladed in 2005 or 2006 likely after ONDA photos were taken June of 2005.

SE: Road 8209-0-00 (ONDA Photo Pts. FL-32 S, FL-33 E) and Road 8202-0-00 (ONDA Photo Pt. FP-56 NE)

SW: Road 7256-0-2JA & 1JA and a short unnumbered segment that continues on through to 7256-0-1J (ONDA Photo Pt. FK-3 NW) there is minimal vegetation in the center of the road, but the road is not overgrown and is still in a useable condition and get relatively regular use.

NW: Road 7256-0-2J0 and 1J (BLM Route Analysis #6, Photo Pts. A8 & A9) (No ONDA Photos)

Note: Other ONDA photo pts. did not appear to be of boundary roads for this BLM unit.

Both ID-teams from the Burns and Lakeview districts confirmed that based on their knowledge of the area and reviewing ONDA information and the BLM information gathered as part of the unit boundary determination process, that these routes do meet boundary road criteria.

(2) Is the unit in a natural condition?

Yes X No _____ N/A _____

1980 Unit Description: The unit is located approximately 20 miles northwest of the community of Frenchglen. The 1980 Inventory Report described this unit as consisting mainly of an extensive flat terrace with virtually no relief; a plateau is located in the extreme north. Directly below the plateau's south rim lies a shallow narrow drainage. Five dry lakebed areas are scattered throughout the area. Low sagebrush and an understory of grass comprise the subunit's common vegetative types. Though several developments were identified, the unit was found to be in a natural condition.

Current Condition Description: The ID-team reviewed photos from the 1977 inventory effort and landscape related photos provided by ONDA. Brush beating has occurred along some of the boundary roads within the last three years. This consists of mowing sagebrush (24 feet) on either

side of the road to assist with providing a fire break. The primary human uses in the unit and the surrounding area are associated with livestock grazing and recreation by the public. The unit currently has the following developments (See Unit Character Map):

- 9.1 miles of interior routes (non-boundary roads)
- 8 miles of fencing. The fence running east/west in the southern half of the unit also has an interior route along it.
- 1 reservoir and 6 waterholes

The ID-team found that many of these developments and treatments were along the outer edges of the unit or dispersed enough that the imprint of humans is still substantially unnoticeable and that the unit is in a natural condition. This finding is generally consistent with ONDA's proposal for their Buzzard Creek Unit, which they found to be natural as a whole.

(3) Does the unit have outstanding opportunities for solitude?

Yes _____ No X N/A _____

1980 Unit Description: The greater part of the subunit consists of a flat terrace containing broad shallow drainages that provide no effective screening. There is no vegetative screening. The northern corner of the area has a drainage at the base of a plateau that would provide some solitude from others; however, the area is visible from the gentle slopes above it. Because of the lack of vegetative screening and the flat terrain, the subunit does not offer outstanding opportunities for solitude.

Current Condition Description: The general description above is still accurate. In addition, there is also a motorized route that runs through the drainage to the north, further reducing chances for solitude in this area. ONDA's report indicated that the sheer size of their proposed Buzzard Creek WSA and endless rolling hills, rimrock ridges, and juniper stands (not present in this unit) make the opportunities for solitude outstanding.

BLM found that ONDA's proposed Buzzard Creek WSA is not one large roadless area, but rather several smaller units, including this BLM unit. Given the lack of topographic and vegetative screening and the long narrow shape of this unit, the ID-team found this unit does not offer outstanding opportunities for solitude.

(4) Does the unit have outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation?

Yes _____ No X N/A _____

1980 Unit Description: Opportunities for hunting, horseback riding, and hiking are present in this area; however, they are not outstanding.

Current Condition Description: The ID-team found that the recreation opportunities identified above are likely still present. ONDA's report also identified wildlife viewing, backpacking, camping, rock hounding, and photography. ONDA indicated that the sheer size of their proposed Buzzard Creek WSA cannot help but provide for a diverse and exceptional recreational experience and that the endless rolling hills, rimrock ridges, juniper stands and multiple water features, and geologic structures present add to the interest of their unit. They also indicated they observed several wildlife species including birds of prey, pronghorn antelope, coyotes, badgers, and numerous birds.

The BLM found that ONDA's proposed Buzzard Creek Unit is not one large roadless area, but rather several smaller units, including this unit. While the recreation opportunities identified by both BLM and ONDA may be present, no unique features were identified for this particular BLM unit and the diversity and quality of these recreational opportunities are not unlike that which can be found on much of the public lands in eastern Oregon in the Northern Great Basin region. None of the game species generally hunted in the area, including and around this unit, are considered by the State as being unique or rare, thus these opportunities are not outstanding.

After reviewing the information submitted, the ID-team found that the recreation opportunities present are not unique and do not present characteristics either individually or collectively that would result in these recreation activities being outstanding within the unit. Below is additional supporting BLM information related to recreation resources for this unit.

Both the 2003 Lakeview Resource Management Plan and Record of Decision (RMP/ROD) and the 1992 Three Rivers RMP/ROD show that most of this unit is open to off-road use by motorized vehicles; however, currently most motorized vehicle use appears to be occurring along existing roads for this unit. The only exception is the southwest portion of the unit within the fenced area which has a "Limited" category to protect Foster Flat Research Natural Area.

Recreation opportunities within the entire Lakeview Resource area have also been addressed through the designation of extensive and special recreation management areas in the Lakeview RMP/ROD. An extensive recreation management area (ERMA) is defined in the Lakeview RMP/ROD (page 113) as an area "where significant recreation opportunities and problems are limited and explicit recreation management is not required." This inventory unit was included in an ERMA designation along with much of the rest of the lands within the

Lakeview District (RMP/ROD, page 84 and Map R-9), further indicating that the existing or potential recreation opportunities available in this area are not outstanding when compared with the rest of the public lands in the Lakeview Resource Area. BLM lands in this unit also fall within the Three Rivers ERMA (Three Rivers RMP/ROD, page 2-108-109, Map R-1).

During the development of the Lakeview RMP/ROD, the BLM classified all of the public lands within the planning area into one of six recreation opportunity spectrum (ROS) classes (primitive, semiprimitive nonmotorized, semiprimitive motorized, roaded natural, rural, and urban). Class definitions and criteria (remoteness, size, evidence of human use, social setting, and managerial setting) used in developing the ROS classification for lands in the Lakeview Resource Area are available in the in Appendix M2 (Pages A-287-288 and A-291) of the 2001 Draft Lakeview Resource Management Plan and Environmental Impact Statement.

Map R-3 of the Lakeview RMP/ROD shows that this unit falls within the ROS class of semi-primitive motorized. This classification was identified in recognition of the fact that motorized recreational use is allowed and expected. In contrast, those areas identified as falling within a semi-primitive non-motorized class are areas that have a high potential for "outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined types of recreation . . . where the use of the area is through non-motorized, non-mechanical means." BLM lands in this unit for the Three Rivers Resource Area have not been classified under the ROS system, but have similar characteristics as those in the Lakeview Resource Area.

(5) Does the unit have supplemental values?

Yes _____ No _____ N/A X

Summary of Findings and Conclusion

Unit Name and Number: **West Warm Springs Unit 21**

Summary Results of Analysis:

1. Does the area meet any of the size requirements? X Yes ___ No
2. Does the area appear to be natural? X Yes ___ No
3. Does the area offer outstanding opportunities for solitude or a primitive and unconfined type of recreation? ___ Yes X No ___ NA
4. Does the area have supplemental values? ___ Yes ___ No X NA

Conclusion (Check One):

The area-or a portion of the area-has wilderness character.

The area does not have wilderness character.

Prepared by: Laura Dowlan, Wilderness Specialist

Team Members:

Laura Dowlan 9/4/08
Laura Dowlan, Outdoor Recreation Planner (Wilderness Specialist) – Burns District Date

Brett Page 8/20/08
Brett Page, Outdoor Recreation Planner – Burns District Date

Nick Miller 8/18/08
Nick Miller, Wildlife Biologist – Burns District Date

Rob Sharp 8/25/2008
Rob Sharp, Range Management Specialist – Burns District Date

Ronda L. Purdy 8-13-2008
Ronda Purdy, Range Technician – Burns District Date

Fred McDonald 8/22/08
Fred McDonald, Supervisory Natural Resource Specialist – Burns District Date

Willie Street 8-11-2008
Willie Street, Range Management Specialist – Burns District Date

Autumn Richardson 8-11-2008
Autumn Richardson, SCEP Range Management Specialist – Burns District Date


Kim McLean 9/15/08
Kim McLean, Outdoor Recreation Planner – Lakeview District Date


Paul Whitman 9/12/2008
Paul Whitman, Planning & Environmental Coordinator – Lakeview District Date

Jayna Ferrell 9/12/08
Jayna Ferrell, Range Management Specialist – Lakeview District Date

Todd Forbes 9/12/08
Todd Forbes, Associate Field Manager – Lakeview District Date

Approved by:


Joan M. Suther, Three Rivers Field Manager – Burns District 8/31/08
Date


Thomas E. Rasmussen, Lakeview Field Manager – Lakeview District 9/19/08
Date

This form documents information that constitutes an inventory finding on wilderness characteristics. It does not represent a formal land use allocation or a final agency decision subject to administrative remedies under either 43 CFR parts 4 or 1610.5-2.02

**WILDERNESS INVENTORY MAINTENANCE
IN BLM OREGON/WASHINGTON**
(Source: Oregon State Office Internal Guidance as of July 2007)

Year: 2008 Inventory Unit Number/Name: West Warm Springs Unit 22

**FORM 1: DOCUMENTATION OF BLM WILDERNESS INVENTORY
FINDINGS ON RECORD:**

1. Is there existing BLM wilderness inventory information on all or part of this area?

No _____ Yes X (if more than one unit is within the area, list the names/numbers of those units):

a) Inventory Source: Wilderness Inventory – OR/WA Final Intensive Inventory Decisions (November 1980), Pages 140-141

b) Inventory Unit Name(s)/Number(s): Foster Flat Subunit 2-61F

c) Map Name(s)/Number(s): November 1980 Map

d) BLM District(s)/Field Office(s): Burns District – Three Rivers Resource Area and Lakeview District – Lakeview Resource Area.

2. BLM Inventory Findings on Record:

Existing inventory information regarding wilderness characteristics (if more than one BLM inventory unit is associated with the area, list each unit and answer each question individually for each inventory unit):

Inventory Source: Wilderness Inventory – OR/WA Final Intensive Inventory Decisions (November 1980), Pages 140-141

Unit#/Name	Size (historic acres)	Natural Condition? Y/N	Outstanding Solitude? Y/N	Outstanding Primitive & Unconfined Recreation? Y/N	Supplemental Values? Y/N
2-61F	7,350	Y	N	N	Y

**FORM 2 - DOCUMENTATION OF CURRENT WILDERNESS
INVENTORY CONDITIONS**

Unit Number/Name: West Warm Springs Unit 22

(1) Is the unit of sufficient size?

Current Unit Acres: 7,389 Yes X No _____

[State the BLM acreage of the unit. Describe the boundaries of the unit (roads, property lines, etc.) If the area meets one of the exceptions to the size criterion, check "Yes" and describe the exception. If more than one inventory unit is involved, list the acreage in each and evaluate each unit/subunit separately. Complete the analysis for (1), (2), (3),(4) and (5) for each unit or sub-unit. If you check "No" above, check "N/A" (Not Applicable) in the remaining boxes below, and you need not provide additional evaluation for the unit or subunit. Use additional space as necessary].

Description of the Current Conditions: (Include land ownership, location, topography, vegetation features and summary of major human uses/activities).

Citizen Information Received: On September 19, 2007 the BLM received a citizen proposal from the Oregon Natural Desert Association (ONDA) for the 462,828-acre Buzzard Creek proposed WSA. ONDA included in their information a narrative report, maps, photos, photo and route logs and GIS data with their route and photo point data, all of which were considered as part of the wilderness inventory maintenance process for this BLM Unit. The photos submitted by ONDA were taken in July of 2004 and June of 2005. They identified the Buzzard Creek proposed WSA as having no routes which meet their definition of a boundary road and included state land within their proposal.

As part of BLM's boundary determination process, it was determined that several of ONDA's "ways" are still boundary roads. It is BLM's finding that ONDA's Buzzard Creek proposed WSA is not one large roadless unit, but is made of several smaller units that are similar, though not exactly the same, as those units identified by the 1979 and 1980 wilderness inventory effort.

Boundary changes since 1980: The boundaries for Unit 22 appear similar to those identified (See Unit Boundary Map 2) for subunit 2-61F.

Current Unit Boundaries:

See Unit Character Map for Photo Point (Pt.) Locations

N: Road 7256-0-1J (No BLM or ONDA Photos)

NE: Road 7256-0-2JA & 1JA and a short unnumbered segment that continues on through to 7256-0-1J (ONDA Photo Pt. FK-3 NW) there is minimal vegetation in the center of the road, but the road is not overgrown and is still in a useable condition and get relatively regular use.

S: Road 8238-0-00 – matches ONDA Unit Boundary

W: Road 7256-0-100 and 1J (BLM Route Analysis #5, Photo Pts. A6 & A7) (ONDA Photo Pts. FK-18 WNW, FK-22 NNW, FK-24 WNW, FK-25 NNE)

Road into unit: Road without number (BLM Route Analysis #5, Photo Pts. A6 & A7)(No ONDA photos)

Note: Other ONDA photo pts. did not appear to be of boundary roads for this BLM unit.

Both ID-teams from the Burns and Lakeview districts confirmed that based on their knowledge of the area and reviewing ONDA information and the BLM information gathered as part of the unit boundary determination process, that these routes do meet boundary road criteria.

(2) Is the unit in a natural condition?

Yes _____ No X N/A _____

1980 Unit Description: The unit is located approximately 23 miles northwest of the community of Frenchglen. The 1980 Inventory Report described this unit as consisting of a few gently rolling hills with little definition to the generally flat terrace. Dry lakebeds covered with sparse vegetation are located through the area. Low sagebrush and bitterbrush are the most common vegetative species found within the area. Though several developments were identified, the unit was found to be in a natural condition.

Current Condition Description: The ID-team reviewed photos from the 1977 inventory effort and landscape related photos provided by ONDA. The primary human uses in the unit and the surrounding area are associated with livestock grazing and recreation by the public. The ID-team found that almost half of the unit has concentrated fencing, routes and water developments. In addition almost all of the boundary roads around the unit have had brush beating within the last three years. This consists of mowing sagebrush (24 feet) on either side of the road to assist

with providing a fire break. The unit currently has the following developments (See Unit Character Map):

- 7.9 miles of interior routes (non-boundary roads)
- 5 miles of fencing. The fence running east/west in the southern half of the unit also has an interior route along it not reflected in the mileage above.
- 1 reservoir and 6 waterholes

Given the small size of the unit and the location and distribution of developments in the unit and the brush beating treatments around the unit, the imprints of humans were found to be substantially noticeable and the unit was found not to have naturalness. This finding is not consistent with ONDA's proposal for their Buzzard Creek Unit, which they found to be natural as a whole; however, much of ONDA's finding of naturalness was due to developments and treatments being substantially unnoticeable across their entire Buzzard Creek Unit.

(3) Does the unit have outstanding opportunities for solitude?

Yes _____ No _____ N/A X

(4) Does the unit have outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation?

Yes _____ No _____ N/A X

(5) Does the unit have supplemental values?

Yes _____ No _____ N/A X

Summary of Findings and Conclusion

Unit Name and Number: West Warm Springs Unit 22

Summary Results of Analysis:

1. Does the area meet any of the size requirements? X Yes ___ No
2. Does the area appear to be natural? ___ Yes X No
3. Does the area offer outstanding opportunities for solitude or a primitive and unconfined type of recreation? ___ Yes ___ No X NA
4. Does the area have supplemental values? ___ Yes ___ No X NA

Conclusion (Check One):

The area-or a portion of the area-has wilderness character.

The area does not have wilderness character.

Prepared by: Laura Dowlan, Wilderness Specialist

Team Members:

Laura Dowlan 9/4/08
Laura Dowlan, Outdoor Recreation Planner (Wilderness Specialist) – Burns District Date

Brett Page 8/20/08
Brett Page, Outdoor Recreation Planner – Burns District Date

Nick Miller 8/18/08
Nick Miller, Wildlife Biologist – Burns District Date

Rob Sharp 8/25/2008
Rob Sharp, Range Management Specialist – Burns District Date

Ronda L. Purdy 8-13-2008
Ronda Purdy, Range Technician – Burns District Date

Fred McDonald 8/22/08
Fred McDonald, Supervisory Natural Resource Specialist – Burns District Date

Willie Street 8-11-2008
Willie Street, Range Management Specialist – Burns District Date

Autumn Richardson 8-11-2008
Autumn Richardson, SCEP Range Management Specialist – Burns District Date

Kim McLean 9/15/08
Kim McLean, Outdoor Recreation Planner – Lakeview District Date


Paul Whitman 9/12/2008
Paul Whitman, Planning & Environmental Coordinator – Lakeview District Date

Jayna Ferrell 9/12/08
Jayna Ferrell, Range Management Specialist – Lakeview District Date

Todd Forbes 9/12/08
Todd Forbes, Associate Field Manager – Lakeview District Date

Approved by:

 8/31/08
Joan M. Suther, Three Rivers Field Manager – Burns District Date

 9/19/08
Thomas E. Rasmussen, Lakeview Field Manager – Lakeview District Date

This form documents information that constitutes an inventory finding on wilderness characteristics. It does not represent a formal land use allocation or a final agency decision subject to administrative remedies under either 43 CFR parts 4 or 1610.5-2.02

**WILDERNESS INVENTORY MAINTENANCE
IN BLM OREGON/WASHINGTON
(Source: Oregon State Office Internal Guidance as of July 2007)**

Year: 2008 Inventory Unit Number/Name: West Warm Springs Unit 23

**FORM 1: DOCUMENTATION OF BLM WILDERNESS INVENTORY
FINDINGS ON RECORD:**

1. Is there existing BLM wilderness inventory information on all or part of this area?

No _____ Yes X (if more than one unit is within the area, list the names/numbers of those units):

a) Inventory Source: Wilderness Inventory – OR/WA Final Intensive Inventory Decisions (November 1980), Pages 48-49

b) Inventory Unit Name(s)/Number(s): Robinson Lake Subunit 1-57A

c) Map Name(s)/Number(s): November 1980 Map

Note: in reviewing the 1980 map and the narrative for the units, it was discovered that the map had incorrectly switched the labeling of subunits 1-57A as 1-57 B

d) BLM District(s)/Field Office(s): Burns District – Three Rivers Resource Area and Lakeview District – Lakeview Resource Area.

2. BLM Inventory Findings on Record:

Existing inventory information regarding wilderness characteristics (if more than one BLM inventory unit is associated with the area, list each unit and answer each question individually for each inventory unit):

Inventory Source: Wilderness Inventory – OR/WA Final Intensive Inventory Decisions (November 1980), Pages 48-49

Unit#/Name	Size (historic acres)	Natural Condition? Y/N	Outstanding Solitude? Y/N	Outstanding Primitive & Unconfined Recreation? Y/N	Supplemental Values? Y/N
1-57A	17,360	N	N	N	N

**FORM 2 - DOCUMENTATION OF CURRENT WILDERNESS
INVENTORY CONDITIONS**

Unit Number/Name: West Warm Springs Unit 23

(1) Is the unit of sufficient size?

Current Unit Acres: 15,257 Yes X No _____

[State the BLM acreage of the unit. Describe the boundaries of the unit (roads, property lines, etc.) If the area meets one of the exceptions to the size criterion, check "Yes" and describe the exception. If more than one inventory unit is involved, list the acreage in each and evaluate each unit/subunit separately. Complete the analysis for (1), (2), (3),(4) and (5) for each unit or sub-unit. If you check "No" above, check "N/A" (Not Applicable) in the remaining boxes below, and you need not provide additional evaluation for the unit or subunit. Use additional space as necessary].

Description of the Current Conditions: (Include land ownership, location, topography, vegetation features and summary of major human uses/activities).

Citizen Information Received: On September 19, 2007 the BLM received a citizen proposal from the Oregon Natural Desert Association (ONDA) for the 462,828-acre Buzzard Creek proposed WSA. ONDA included in their information a narrative report, maps, photos, photo and route logs and GIS data with their route and photo point data, all of which were considered as part of the wilderness inventory maintenance process for this BLM Unit. The photos submitted by ONDA were taken in July of 2004 and June of 2005. They identified the Buzzard Creek proposed WSA as having no routes which meet their definition of a boundary road and included state land within their proposal.

As part of BLM's boundary determination process, it was determined that several of ONDA's "ways" are still boundary roads. It is BLM's finding that ONDA's Buzzard Creek proposed WSA is not one large roadless unit, but is made of several smaller units that are similar, though not exactly the same, as those units identified by the 1979 and 1980 wilderness inventory effort.

Boundary changes since 1980: The boundaries for Unit 23 appear similar to those identified (See Unit Boundary Map 2) for subunit 1-57 A (incorrectly labeled 1-57B on the map) except Robinson Valley Road splits off a portion of the unit along the southwest boundary making it

smaller overall, however additional acres were added to the unit due to a non-federal inholding becoming BLM land.

Current Unit Boundaries:

See Unit Character Map for Photo Point (Pt.) Locations

N & E: Road 7256-0-100 (BLM Route Analysis #5, Photo Pts. A6 & A7) (ONDA Photo Pts. FK-18 WNW, FK-22 NNW, FK-24 WNW, FK-25 NNE, FK-27 SE, FK-28 NNW)

N & W: Road 7256-0-1H (BLM Route Analysis #42, Photo Pts. A34 & A35) (ONDA Photo Pts. FK-69 SE, CZ-4 SSW) and Road 7175-0-C (no BLM but evaluated as part of Route Analysis #42) (no ONDA photos)

S & W: Road 7175-0-D (BLM Route Analysis #18, Photo Pt. R16) (ONDA Photo Pts. FK-75 N) and three interior short spur roads

S: Road 7175-0-00 matches ONDA Unit Boundary (BLM Route Analysis #19, Photo Pt. R17) (ONDA Photo Pts. FK-76)

Note: Other ONDA photo pts. did not appear to be of boundary roads for this BLM unit.

Both ID-teams from the Burns and Lakeview districts confirmed that based on their knowledge of the area and reviewing ONDA information and the BLM information gathered as part of the unit boundary determination process, that these routes do meet boundary road criteria.

(2) Is the unit in a natural condition?

Yes _____ No X N/A _____

1980 Unit Description: The unit is located approximately 30 miles southeast of the community of Wagontire. The 1980 Inventory Report described this unit as having somewhat rolling terrain on the west with broader flat areas in the remaining portions. The unit contains five fairly large lakebeds at Flynn, Robinson and Junction Lakes. The vegetation in the subunit is primarily big sagebrush. There are several developments including a fence line running north and south, and paralleled by a broad vehicle way, that are substantially noticeable. The subunit does not appear to be primarily affected by the forces of nature because the impacts of these developments are substantially noticeable.

Current Condition Description: The ID-team reviewed photos from the landscape related photos provided by ONDA. The primary human uses in the unit and the surrounding area are associated with livestock grazing and recreation by the public. With the new boundary, the size of the unit is smaller and in addition to the developments present, almost all of the

boundary roads around the unit have had brush beating, which consists of mowing sagebrush (24 feet) on either side of the road to assist with providing a fire break. The unit currently has the following developments or vegetative treatments (**See Unit Character Map**):

- 8.1 miles of interior routes (non-boundary roads)
- 9 miles of fencing. All the fences also have an interior route running along them not reflected in the mileage estimates above.
- 2 reservoirs and 2 waterholes

Given the location and distribution of developments through out the unit, and the irregular shape of the unit and the brush beating treatments around the unit, the imprints of humans were found to be substantially noticeable and the unit was found not to have naturalness. This finding is not consistent with ONDA's proposal for their Buzzard Creek Unit, which they found to be natural as a whole; however, much of ONDA's finding of naturalness was due to developments and treatments being substantially unnoticeable across their entire Buzzard Creek Unit.

(3) Does the unit have outstanding opportunities for solitude?

Yes _____ No _____ N/A X

(4) Does the unit have outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation?

Yes _____ No _____ N/A X

(5) Does the unit have supplemental values?

Yes _____ No _____ N/A X

Summary of Findings and Conclusion

Unit Name and Number: West Warm Springs Unit 23

Summary Results of Analysis:

1. Does the area meet any of the size requirements? X Yes ___ No
2. Does the area appear to be natural? ___ Yes X No
3. Does the area offer outstanding opportunities for solitude or a primitive and unconfined type of recreation? ___ Yes ___ No X NA
4. Does the area have supplemental values? ___ Yes ___ No X NA

Conclusion (Check One):

The area-or a portion of the area-has wilderness character.

The area does not have wilderness character.

Prepared by: Laura Dowlan, Wilderness Specialist

Team Members:

Laura Dowlan 8/4/08
Date
Laura Dowlan, Outdoor Recreation Planner (Wilderness Specialist) – Burns District

Brett Page 8/20/08
Date
Brett Page, Outdoor Recreation Planner – Burns District

Nick Miller 8/18/08
Date
Nick Miller, Wildlife Biologist – Burns District

Rob Sharp 8/25/2008
Date
Rob Sharp, Range Management Specialist – Burns District

Ronda L. Purdy 8-13-2008
Date
Ronda Purdy, Range Technician – Burns District

Fred McDonald 8/22/08
Date
Fred McDonald, Supervisory Natural Resource Specialist – Burns District

Willie Street 8-11-2008
Date
Willie Street, Range Management Specialist – Burns District

Autumn Richardson 8-11-2008
Date
Autumn Richardson, SCEP Range Management Specialist – Burns District


Kim McLean 9/15/08
Date
Kim McLean, Outdoor Recreation Planner – Lakeview District


Paul Whitman 9/12/2008
Date
Paul Whitman, Planning & Environmental Coordinator – Lakeview District

Jayna Ferrell 9/12/08
Date
Jayna Ferrell, Range Management Specialist – Lakeview District

Todd Forbes 9/2/08
Date
Todd Forbes, Associate Field Manager – Lakeview District

Approved by:


Joan M. Suther, Three Rivers Field Manager – Burns District 8/31/08
Date


Thomas E. Rasmussen, Lakeview Field Manager – Lakeview District 9/19/08
Date

This form documents information that constitutes an inventory finding on wilderness characteristics. It does not represent a formal land use allocation or a final agency decision subject to administrative remedies under either 43 CFR parts 4 or 1610.5-2.02

**WILDERNESS INVENTORY MAINTENANCE
IN BLM OREGON/WASHINGTON
(Source: Oregon State Office Internal Guidance as of July 2007)**

Year: 2008 Inventory Unit Number/Name: West Warm Springs Unit 24

**FORM 1: DOCUMENTATION OF BLM WILDERNESS INVENTORY
FINDINGS ON RECORD:**

1. Is there existing BLM wilderness inventory information on all or part of this area?

No _____ Yes X (if more than one unit is within the area, list the names/numbers of those units):

a) Inventory Source: Wilderness Inventory – OR/WA Final Intensive Inventory Decisions (November 1980), Pages 46-47

b) Inventory Unit Name(s)/Number(s): Rawhide Creek Unit 1-53

c) Map Name(s)/Number(s): November 1980 Map

d) BLM District(s)/Field Office(s): Burns District – Three Rivers Resource Area and Lakeview District – Lakeview Resource Area.

2. BLM Inventory Findings on Record:

Existing inventory information regarding wilderness characteristics (if more than one BLM inventory unit is associated with the area, list each unit and answer each question individually for each inventory unit):

Inventory Source: Wilderness Inventory – OR/WA Final Intensive Inventory Decisions (November 1980), Pages 46-47

Unit#/Name	Size (historic acres)	Natural Condition? Y/N	Outstanding Solitude? Y/N	Outstanding Primitive & Unconfined Recreation? Y/N	Supplemental Values? Y/N
1-53	21,600	N	N	N	Y

**FORM 2 - DOCUMENTATION OF CURRENT WILDERNESS
INVENTORY CONDITIONS**

Unit Number/Name: **West Warm Springs Unit 24**

(1) Is the unit of sufficient size?

Current Unit Acres: **6,290** Yes **X** No _____

[State the BLM acreage of the unit. Describe the boundaries of the unit (roads, property lines, etc.) If the area meets one of the exceptions to the size criterion, check "Yes" and describe the exception. If more than one inventory unit is involved, list the acreage in each and evaluate each unit/subunit separately. Complete the analysis for (1), (2), (3),(4) and (5) for each unit or sub-unit. If you check "No" above, check "N/A" (Not Applicable) in the remaining boxes below, and you need not provide additional evaluation for the unit or subunit. Use additional space as necessary].

Description of the Current Conditions: (Include land ownership, location, topography, vegetation features and summary of major human uses/activities).

Citizen Information Received: On September 19, 2007 the BLM received a citizen proposal from the Oregon Natural Desert Association (ONDA) for the 462,828-acre Buzzard Creek proposed WSA. ONDA included in their information a narrative report, maps, photos, photo and route logs and GIS data with their route and photo point data, all of which were considered as part of the wilderness inventory maintenance process for this BLM Unit. The photos submitted by ONDA were taken in July of 2004 and June of 2005. They identified the Buzzard Creek proposed WSA as having no routes which meet their definition of a boundary road and included state land within their proposal.

As part of BLM's boundary determination process, it was determined that several of ONDA's "ways" are still boundary roads. It is BLM's finding that ONDA's Buzzard Creek proposed WSA is not one large roadless unit, but is made of several smaller units that are similar, though not exactly the same, as those units identified by the 1979 and 1980 wilderness inventory effort.

Boundary changes since 1980: The north, west and east boundaries for Unit 24 appear similar to those identified (See Unit Boundary Map 2) for Unit 1-53. The southern portion of Unit 24 is now separated from the rest of Unit 1-53 by roads as described below, making the unit smaller.

Current Unit Boundaries:

See Unit Character Map for Photo Point (Pt.) Locations

N: Road 7251-0-1G0/2G0/2F0 (BLM Route Analysis #26, 27, 28 and Photo Pts. A17 and A18) (ONDA Photo Pt. CZ-56 W) - the 1G/2G0 portion of this route was recently bladed, likely after ONDA's photos were taken.

E: Road 6155-0-02 (BLM Route Analysis #15) (ONDA Photo Pts. FU-36 NNE, FU-40 S) and Road # 7251-0-2FA (BLM Route Analysis #29 and Photo Pt. A19) (ONDA Photo Pt. CW-53 NW) ONDA's photo for this route indicates that it is impassable, however staff that completed the route analysis confirmed it was useable when they drove it, so it is unclear what route this photo was taken of.

S: Unnumbered Road (BLM Route Analysis #13, Photo Pt. R10) (ONDA Photo Pts. CW-45 NE and CW-47 SE) and Unnumbered Road (BLM Route Analysis #14, Photo Pt. R11) (ONDA Photo Pt. CW-48 E) and Warner Valley Road (BLM Route Analysis #15) (ONDA Photo Pts. CZ-51?(no direction indicated in log) and CZ-52 NW) Though the photo points are shown as a slightly different location than CZ-53, these appear to be photos of the same location. Again BLM staff confirmed that this route is useable, so it is unclear what route these photos were taken of.

Interior Boundary Road: This road (BLM Route Analysis #13) (No ONDA Photos) leads into the unit.

W: Road 6165-0-00 (BLM Route Analysis #12) (No ONDA Photos) and ownership boundary with state land

Note: Other ONDA photo pts. did not appear to be of boundary roads for this BLM unit.

Both ID-teams from the Burns and Lakeview districts confirmed that based on their knowledge of the area and reviewing ONDA information and the BLM information gathered as part of the unit boundary determination process that these routes do meet boundary road criteria.

ONDA also included state land in their proposed Buzzard Creek WSA. BLM does not have the authority to evaluate wilderness characteristics on state land, so it was not included in this unit.

(2) Is the unit in a natural condition?

Yes No N/A

1980 Unit Description: The unit is located approximately 12 miles southeast of the community of Wagontire. The 1980 Inventory Report described this unit as basically a high plateau with Rawhide Canyon along the north and east, and several tributaries to the Rawhide Creek forming substantial canyons within the unit. The canyons are the only significant topographic relief and reach a maximum depth of perhaps 200 feet. The canyon walls are generally sloped with few vertical or near-vertical features. Vegetation in the unit is a sagebrush community with low sage over the majority of the unit and big sagebrush occurring in the wetter areas. Several large reservoirs and other developments were found to be substantially noticeable and the unit was found to not have naturalness.

Current Condition Description: The ID-team reviewed landscape related photos provided by ONDA. The current unit does not contain Rawhide Canyon and the drainages present in this unit are smaller in size than those described above. It also does not contain a majority of the developments found to make the 1-53 unit unnatural. The primary human uses in the unit and the surrounding area are associated with livestock grazing and recreation by the public. The unit currently has the following developments (**See Unit Character Map**):

- 2.8 miles of interior routes (non-boundary roads)
- 8 miles of fencing
- 1 Reservoir

The ID-team found that many of these developments and treatments were along the outer edges of the unit or dispersed enough that the imprint of humans is still substantially unnoticeable and that the unit is in a natural condition. This finding is generally consistent with ONDA's proposal for their Buzzard Creek Unit, which they found to be natural as a whole.

(3) Does the unit have outstanding opportunities for solitude?

Yes _____ No X N/A _____

1980 Unit Description: It would be very difficult to achieve solitude in the majority of the plateau area because a visitor would be exposed to the presence of others in the unit. There is some opportunity for solitude in the canyons of the unit although these areas would be concentrated use areas, and as such could not provide an outstanding potential for avoiding the presence of other persons. The canyons are not large enough to provide outstanding opportunities for solitude.

Current Condition Description: The current unit does not contain the larger canyons as described for the larger 1-53 Unit. ONDA's report indicated that the sheer size of their proposed Buzzard Creek WSA with endless rolling hills, rimrock ridges, and juniper stands (not present in this

unit) make the opportunities for solitude outstanding. BLM found that ONDA's proposed Buzzard Creek WSA is not one large roadless area, but rather several smaller units, including this BLM unit.

The ID-team found that because of the lack of topographic screening or vegetative screening, the irregular shape, and the relatively small size of this unit, opportunities for outstanding solitude are not present.

(4) Does the unit have outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation?

Yes _____ No X N/A _____

1980 Unit Description: The area offers opportunities for hunting and horseback riding as well as hiking. The canyons are interesting and offer a potential for recreation. However, the human disturbances in these areas would severely hamper the prospect of a primitive and unconfined experience.

Current Condition Description: The ID-team found that the recreation opportunities identified above are likely still present. ONDA's report also identified backpacking, wildlife viewing, camping, rock hounding, and photography. ONDA indicated that the sheer size of their proposed Buzzard Creek WSA cannot help but provide for a diverse and exceptional recreational experience and that the endless rolling hills, rimrock ridges, juniper stands and multiple water features, and geologic structures present add to the interest of their unit. They also indicated they observed several wildlife species including birds of prey, pronghorn antelope, coyotes, badgers, and numerous birds.

The BLM found that ONDA's proposed Buzzard Creek WSA is not one large roadless area, but rather several smaller units, including this unit. While the recreation opportunities identified by both BLM and ONDA may be present, no unique features were identified for this particular BLM unit and the diversity and quality of these recreational opportunities are not unlike that which can be found on much of the public lands in eastern Oregon in the Northern Great Basin region. None of the game species generally hunted in the area, including and around this unit, are considered by the State as being unique or rare, thus these opportunities are not outstanding.

After reviewing the information submitted, the ID-team found that the recreation opportunities present are not unique and do not present characteristics either individually or collectively that would result in these recreation activities being outstanding within the unit. Below is additional supporting BLM information related to recreation resources for this unit.

Both the 2003 Lakeview Resource Management Plan and Record of Decision (RMP/ROD) and the 1992 Three Rivers RMP/ROD show that this unit is open to off-road use by motorized vehicles; however, currently most motorized vehicle use appears to be occurring along existing roads for this unit.

Recreation opportunities within the entire Lakeview Resource Area have also been addressed through the designation of extensive and special recreation management areas in the Lakeview RMP/ROD. An extensive recreation management area (ERMA) is defined in the Lakeview RMP/ROD (page 113) as an area "where significant recreation opportunities and problems are limited and explicit recreation management is not required." This inventory unit was included in an ERMA designation along with much of the rest of the lands within the Lakeview District (RMP/ROD, page 84 and Map R-9), further indicating that the existing or potential recreation opportunities available in this area are not outstanding when compared with the rest of the public lands in the Lakeview Resource Area. BLM lands in this unit also fall within the Three Rivers ERMA (Three Rivers RMP/ROD, page 2-108-109, Map R-1).

During the development of the Lakeview RMP/ROD, the BLM classified all of the public lands within the planning area into one of six recreation opportunity spectrum (ROS) classes (primitive, semiprimitive nonmotorized, semiprimitive motorized, roaded natural, rural, and urban). Class definitions and criteria (remoteness, size, evidence of human use, social setting, and managerial setting) used in developing the ROS classification for lands in the Lakeview Resource Area are available in the in Appendix M2 (Pages A-287-288 and A-291) of the 2001 Draft Lakeview Resource Management Plan and Environmental Impact Statement.

Map R-3 of the Lakeview RMP/ROD shows that this unit falls within the ROS class of semi-primitive motorized. This classification was identified in recognition of the fact that motorized recreational use is allowed and expected. In contrast, those areas identified as falling within a semi-primitive non-motorized class are areas that have a high potential for "outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined types of recreation . . . where the use of the area is through non-motorized, non-mechanical means." BLM lands in this unit for the Three Rivers Resource Area have not been classified under the ROS system, but have similar characteristics as those in the Lakeview Resource Area.

(5) Does the unit have supplemental values?

Yes _____ No _____ N/A X

Summary of Findings and Conclusion

Unit Name and Number: **West Warm Springs Unit 24**

Summary Results of Analysis:

1. Does the area meet any of the size requirements? Yes No
2. Does the area appear to be natural? Yes No
3. Does the area offer outstanding opportunities for solitude or a primitive and unconfined type of recreation? Yes No NA
4. Does the area have supplemental values? Yes No NA

Conclusion (Check One):

- The area-or a portion of the area-has wilderness character.
- The area does not have wilderness character.

Prepared by: Laura Dowlan, Wilderness Specialist

Team Members:

Laura Dowlan 9/4/08
Laura Dowlan, Outdoor Recreation Planner (Wilderness Specialist) – Burns District Date

Brett Page 8/20/08
Brett Page, Outdoor Recreation Planner – Burns District Date

Nick Miller 8/18/08
Nick Miller, Wildlife Biologist – Burns District Date

Rob Sharp 8/25/2008
Rob Sharp, Range Management Specialist – Burns District Date

Ronda L. Purdy 8-13-2008
Ronda Purdy, Range Technician – Burns District Date

Fred McDonald 8/22/08
Fred McDonald, Supervisory Natural Resource Specialist – Burns District Date

Willie Street 8-11-2008
Willie Street, Range Management Specialist – Burns District Date

Autumn Richardson 8-11-08
Autumn Richardson, SCEP Range Management Specialist – Burns District Date

Kim McLean 9/15/08
Kim McLean, Outdoor Recreation Planner – Lakeview District Date

Paul Whitman 9/12/2008
Paul Whitman, Planning & Environmental Coordinator – Lakeview District Date

Jayna Ferrell 9/12/08
Jayna Ferrell, Range Management Specialist – Lakeview District Date

Todd Forbes 9/12/08
Todd Forbes, Associate Field Manager – Lakeview District Date

Approved by:

Joan M. Suther 8/31/08
Joan M. Suther, Three Rivers Field Manager -- Burns District Date

Thomas E. Rasmussen 9/16/08
Thomas E. Rasmussen, Lakeview Field Manager -- Lakeview District Date

This form documents information that constitutes an inventory finding on wilderness characteristics. It does not represent a formal land use allocation or a final agency decision subject to administrative remedies under either 43 CFR parts 4 or 1610.5-2.02

**WILDERNESS INVENTORY MAINTENANCE
IN BLM OREGON/WASHINGTON**

Year: **2008**

Inventory Unit Number/Name: **NA**

**FORM 1: DOCUMENTATION OF BLM WILDERNESS INVENTORY
FINDINGS ON RECORD:**

1. Is there existing BLM wilderness inventory information on all or part of this area?

No _____ Yes _____ (if more than one unit is within the area, list the names/numbers of those units.):

a) Inventory Source: **NA**

b) Inventory Unit Name(s)/Number(s): **NA**

c) Map Name(s)/Number(s): **NA**

d) BLM District(s)/Field Office(s): **Burns District – Three Rivers Resource Area**

2. BLM Inventory Findings on Record:

Existing inventory information regarding wilderness characteristics (if more than one BLM inventory unit is associated with the area, list each unit and answer each question individually for each inventory unit):

Inventory Source: NA

Unit#/ Name	Size (historic acres)	Natural Condition? Y/N	Outstanding Solitude? Y/N	Outstanding Primitive & Unconfined Recreation? Y/N	Supplemental Values? Y/N
NA					

**FORM 2 - DOCUMENTATION OF CURRENT WILDERNESS
INVENTORY CONDITIONS**

Unit Number/Name: West Warm Springs Unit

(1) Is the unit of sufficient size?

Current Unit Acres: See attached sheet Yes _____ No X _____

The units found to be under 5,000 acres in size do not meet any of the size exception criteria and do not have any characteristics that would make them practicable for preservation and use in an unimpaired condition.

[State the BLM acreage of the unit. Describe the boundaries of the unit (roads, property lines, etc.) If the area meets one of the exceptions to the size criterion, check "Yes" and describe the exception. If more than one inventory unit is involved, list the acreage in each and evaluate each unit/subunit separately. Complete the analysis for (1), (2), (3),(4) and (5) for each unit or sub-unit. If you check "No" above, check "N/A" (Not Applicable) in the remaining boxes below, and you need not provide additional evaluation for the unit or subunit. Use additional space as necessary.]

Description of the Current Conditions: (Include land ownership, location, topography, vegetation features and summary of major human uses/activities).

(2) Is the unit in a natural condition?

Yes _____ No _____ N/A X _____

(3) Does the unit have outstanding opportunities for solitude?

Yes _____ No _____ N/A X _____

(4) Does the unit have outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation?

Yes _____ No _____ N/A X _____

(5) Does the unit have supplemental values?

Yes _____ No _____ N/A X _____

Summary of Findings and Conclusion

Unit Name and Number: West Warm Springs Unit 1

Summary Results of Analysis:

- 1. Does the area meet any of the size requirements? ___ Yes X No
- 2. Does the area appear to be natural? ___ Yes ___ No X NA
- 3. Does the area offer outstanding opportunities for solitude or a primitive and unconfined type of recreation? ___ Yes ___ No X NA
- 4. Does the area have supplemental values? ___ Yes ___ No X NA

Conclusion (Check One):

___ The area-or a portion of the area-has wilderness character.

X The area does not have wilderness character.

Prepared by: Laura Dowlan, Wilderness Specialist

Approved by:


Joan M. Suther, Three Rivers Field Manager – Burns District 9/5/08
Date


Thomas E. Rasmussen, Lakeview Field Manager – Lakeview District 9/13/08
Date

This form documents information that constitutes an inventory finding on wilderness characteristics. It does not represent a formal land use allocation or a final agency decision subject to administrative remedies under either 43 CFR parts 4 or 1610.5-2.02

West Warm Springs WIM Unit Acres
Individual Units of BLM land Outside of a 5K unit

Unit Name	Acres	
OUT	8.62	
OUT	7.57	
OUT	7.64	
OUT	0.41	
OUT	1.07	
OUT	3.51	
OUT	1.72	
OUT	0.82	
OUT	1.40	
OUT	1.67	
OUT	3.59	
OUT	0.77	
OUT	7.48	
		46.27 TOTAL OUT acres (Buffered OUT ROADS)
less than 5K acres	164.31	
less than 5K acres	278.16	
less than 5K acres	323.60	
less than 5K acres	1,276.79	
less than 5K acres	3,191.55	
less than 5K acres	1,565.28	
less than 5K acres	2,810.92	
less than 5K acres	217.97	
less than 5K acres	294.86	
less than 5K acres	373.26	
less than 5K acres	4,038.59	
less than 5K acres	3,667.73	
less than 5K acres	1,872.41	
less than 5K acres	707.77	
less than 5K acres	440.07	
less than 5K acres	20.01	
less than 5K acres	2,125.74	
less than 5K acres	279.63	
less than 5K acres	267.52	
less than 5K acres	1,696.39	25,612.56 TOTAL less than 5K acres
TOTAL OUT & less than 5K	25,658.83	

Coverage: \\ilmorbu3gi1\gisbns\$\projects\wsainventoryreview\weswarmsp\wim_units
 \\ilmorbu3gi1\gisbns\$\projects\wsainventoryreview\weswarmsp\UnitsAsOfMay7-2008BLMOut.dbf.xls
 Kelly Hazen, Burns BLM GIS, May 7, 2008

West Warm Springs Unit Character Map


Units	CATTLE GUARD	Powerline	Burns District Boundary
West Warm Springs Allotment Perimeter	CORRAL	Paved Road	Bureau of Land Management
BLM Photo Points	DAM	Non-Paved Improved Road	BLM Wilderness Study Area
ONDA Photo Points	DUGOUT	Unit Boundary Roads	BLM Wilderness
ONDA Unit Boundary - Buzzard Creek	GATE	Non-Boundary Routes	State
China Cap Mine	GUZZLER	Wildfires 2002 to Present	U.S. Fish and Wildlife
Mineral Materials Sites	RESERVOIR	Seedings	Private
DIKE	SPRING	EXCLOSURE FENCE	All legend elements may not appear on this map.
FENCE	STUDY PLOT		
PIPELINE	TANK		
SNOW FENCE	TROUGH		
STOCK TRAIL	WATERHOLE		
	WELL		



Note: No warranty is made by the Bureau of Land Management as to the accuracy, reliability or completeness of these data for individual or aggregate use with other data. Original data was compiled from various sources. This information may not meet National Map Accuracy Standards. This product was developed through digital means and may be updated without notification. Ownership boundaries are accurate to within plus or minus 200 feet. Make local inquiry of road conditions in remote areas. Some roads are impassible following severe weather. Roads shown may not be all existing roads. Always seek private landowner permission before using or crossing their lands.

US DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Bureau of Land Management
Burns District, Oregon
Three Rivers Resource Area

\\ilmorbu3g11g1sbns\$projects\WSAInventory\Review\WestWarmSp\UnitCharacterFINAL.mxd
for Laura Dowlan June 16, 2008



Burns District
Lakeview District

Lakeview District Burns District

West Warm Springs Unit Brush Beating Map

Units	CATTLE GUARD	Powerline	Burns District Boundary
BLM Photo Points	CORRAL	Paved Road	Bureau of Land Management
ONDA Photo Points	DAM	Non-Paved Improved Road	BLM Wilderness Study Area
China Cap Mine	DUGOUT	Unit Boundary Roads	BLM Wilderness
Mineral Materials Sites	GATE	Non-Boundary Routes	State
BRUSHBEAT	GUZZLER	Wildfires 2002 to Present	U.S. Fish and Wildlife
DIKE	RESERVOIR	Seedings	Private
FENCE	SPRING	BRUSHBEAT	ENCLOSURE FENCE
PIPELINE	STUDY PLOT		
SNOW FENCE	TANK		
STOCK TRAIL	TROUGH		
	WATERHOLE		
	WELL		

All legend elements may not appear on this map.



Note: No warranty is made by the Bureau of Land Management as to the accuracy, reliability or completeness of these data for individual or aggregate use with other data. Original data was compiled from various sources. This information may not meet National Map Accuracy Standards. This product was developed through digital means and may be updated without notification. Ownership boundaries are accurate to within plus or minus 200 feet. Make local inquiry of road conditions in remote areas. Some roads are impassible following severe weather. Roads shown may not be all existing roads. Always seek private landowner permission before using or crossing their lands.

US DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Bureau of Land Management
Burns District, Oregon
Three Rivers Resource Area

\\ilmorbu3g11g1sbns\projects\WSAInventoryReview\WestWarmSp\UnitBrushBeatFINAL.mxd
for Laura Dowlan June 16, 2008

