

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT
EUGENE DISTRICT OFFICE

1791A
CX-10-10
2812
Roseburg
E-998

CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION REVIEW

Background

Roseburg Resources Co. has applied for a road use permit for BLM controlled Road Nos. 20-6-21.1 Segment B, 20-6-32 Segment A and 20-6-32.3 Segment A. This road will be used to access and haul timber from Roseburg Resources ownership in Sections 18 and 20, T. 20 S., R. 6 W.

Proposed Action

The Proposed action is to issue O. and C. Logging Road Right-of-Way Permit E-998 to Roseburg Resources Co. for a term of ten years pursuant to the authority of Title V of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1761), subject to the terms and conditions in 43 CFR 2812 and those additional stipulations in the attached draft permit. The permit would authorize the hauling of approximately 2,800 MBF of timber over 2.44 miles of BLM controlled Road Nos. 20-6-21.1 Segment B, 20-6-32 Segment A and 20-6-32.3 Segment A. No road improvement or new construction is involved. Road maintenance will be performed by the BLM.

Decision

It is my decision to approve the issuance of O. and C. Logging Road Right-of-Way Permit E-998 to Roseburg Resources Co. for a term of ten years pursuant to the authority of Title V of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1761), subject to the terms and conditions in 43 CFR 2812 and those additional stipulations in the attached draft permit. The permit shall authorize the use of the BLM controlled roads described in the Proposed Action.

Rationale

The Proposed Action meets the criteria for the categorical exclusion in 516 DM 11.5E(16) and does not meet any of the exception criteria in 516 DM 2, Appendix 2. The Proposed Action is in conformance with the Record of Decision for Amendments to Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management Planning Documents Within the Range of the Northern Spotted Owl (April 1994), and the Eugene District Record of Decision and Resource Management Plan (June 1995).

Prepared by: _____
Siuslaw Resource Area

Date: _____

Reviewed by: _____
Planning and Environmental Coordinator

Date: _____

Approved by: _____
Field Manager, Siuslaw Resource Area

Date: _____

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT
EUGENE DISTRICT

CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION REVIEW
Extraordinary Circumstances Checklist

Proposed Action: DOI-BLM-OR-E050-2010-10-CX, O. and C. Logging Road Right-of-Way Permit E-998

Review the proposed action against each of the 12 "extraordinary circumstances" listed below. Any action that is normally categorically excluded must be subjected to sufficient environmental review to determine whether it meets any of the extraordinary circumstances, in which case, further analysis and environmental documents must be prepared for the action. If the criterion does not apply, indicate "Not Applicable." Any mitigation measures (such as contract stipulations or terms and conditions on permits) necessary to ensure that the proposed action qualifies as a categorical exclusion should be identified at the bottom of the page.

Extraordinary Circumstances		Comments
1.	Have significant impacts on public health or safety.	No
2.	Have significant impacts on such natural resources and unique geographic characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands (EO 11990); floodplains (EO 11988); national monuments; migratory birds; and other ecologically significant or critical areas.	No
3.	Have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources [NEPA, Sec. 102(2)(E)]	No
4.	Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks.	No
5.	Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects.	No
6.	Have a direct relationship to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant environmental effects.	No
7.	Have significant impacts on properties listed, or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places as determined by either the bureau or office.	No
8.	Have significant impacts on species listed, or proposed to be listed, on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species, or have significant impacts on designated Critical Habitat for these species.	No
9.	Violate a Federal law, or a State, local or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment.	No
10.	Have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations (EO 12898).	No
11.	Limit access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites on Federal lands by Indian religious practitioners or significantly adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 13007).	No
12.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of noxious weeds or non-native invasive species known to occur in the area or actions that may promote the introduction, growth, or expansion of the range of such species (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act and EO 13112).	No
Mitigation Measures: None		