

UNITED STATES  
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT  
EUGENE DISTRICT OFFICE

**CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION REVIEW**

OFFICE: EUGENE DISTRICT OFFICE

TRACKING NUMBER: DOI-BLM-OR-E050-2014-0014-CX

PROJECT NAME: MANUAL MAINTENANCE

LOCATION/LLEGAL DESCRIPTION: Eugene District; T19s-06w-sec.33, T16s-08w-sec.01 and T20s-04w-sec.09

**A. Description of Proposed Action and any applicable mitigation measures**

The proposed action is to implement a program of manual maintenance/manual release on approximately (74) acres within the Eugene District. This project will be accomplished primarily by contracting for manual cutting of competing brush and hardwoods in young Douglas fir stands using small mechanical devices (chainsaws). Slash would be pulled back from designated roads.

**B. Land Use Plan (LUP) Conformance**

LUP Name: Eugene District Record of Decision and Resource Management Plan (RMP), as amended. Date Approved: June 1995.

**The proposed action is in conformance with the LUP, even though it is not specifically provided for, because it is clearly consistent with the following LUP decisions:**

Timber Resources, Objective 2, (p. 84): Manage developing stands on available lands to promote tree survival and growth and to achieve a balance between wood volume production, quality of wood, and timber value at harvest.

**C. Compliance with NEPA**

The Proposed Action is categorically excluded under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) in accordance with 516 DM 11.9.C. Forestry (4) Pre-commercial thinning and brush control using small mechanical devices.

This categorical exclusion is appropriate in this situation because there are no extraordinary circumstances potentially having effects that may significantly affect the environment. The proposed action has been reviewed, and none of the extraordinary circumstances described in 516 DM 2 apply.

**D. Signature**

Signature of Project Lead:

/S/ Michael Adcock  
Michael Adcock, Silviculturist

Date: 06/18/2014

Signature of NEPA Coordinator:

/S/ Sharmila Premdas  
Sharmila Premdas, NEPA Planner

Date: 06/18/2014

Signature of the Responsible Official:

/S/ Michael J. Korn  
Michael J. Korn

Date: 06/18/2014

**Contact Person**

For additional information concerning this Categorical Exclusion review, contact: Mike Adcock Upper Willamette Resource Area Forestry Technician, at (541) 683-6285

**EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES CHECKLIST**  
 DOI-BLM-OR-E0-0-2014-0013-CX  
 MANUAL MAINTENANCE

*Review the proposed action against each of the 12 "extraordinary circumstances" listed below. Any action that is normally categorically excluded must be subjected to sufficient environmental review to determine whether it meets any of the extraordinary circumstances, in which case, further analysis and environmental documents must be prepared for the action. If the criterion does not apply, indicate "Not Applicable." Any mitigation measures (such as contract stipulations or terms and conditions on permits) necessary to ensure that the proposed action qualifies as a categorical exclusion should be identified at the bottom of the page.*

Extraordinary Circumstances	YES	NO
<p><b>1. Have significant impacts on public health or safety.</b>  <u>Rationale:</u> All proposed activities follow established Occupational Safety and Health Administration rules concerning health and safety. The proposed manual maintenance project is in remote, forested locations outside of population centers.</p>		X
<p><b>2. Have significant impacts on such natural resources and unique geographic characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands (Executive Order 11990); floodplains (Executive Order 11988); national monuments; migratory birds; and other ecologically significant or critical areas.</b>  <u>Rationale:</u> The project areas are not within and do not contain any of the natural or geographic areas described above. The areas do not contain habitat for any of the migratory birds listed on the Migratory Bird Treaty Act Review form; there would be no effect to migratory birds.</p>		X
<p><b>3. Have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources [NEPA Section 102(2)(E)].</b>  <u>Rationale:</u> Rationale: The proposed action manually cuts competing brush and hardwoods on approximately 74 acres of young Douglas Fir stands. There are no predicted environmental effects from the proposed action which are considered to be highly controversial nor are there unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses.</p>		X
<p><b>4. Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks.</b>  <u>Rationale:</u> The proposed action would manually cut competing brush and hardwoods on approximately 74 acres of young Douglas Fir stands. There are no predicted effects from the proposed action that are highly uncertain, potentially significant, unique, or involve unknown risks.</p>		X
<p><b>5. Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects.</b>  <u>Rationale:</u> Manual cutting of competing brush and hardwoods on young Douglas Fir stands has taken place throughout the State of Oregon and the Eugene District for years. There is no evidence that this action has potentially significant environmental effects; it does not commit the BLM to pursuing further actions; and it would not establish a precedent or decision for future actions with potentially significant environmental effects.</p>		X
<p><b>6. Have a direct relationship to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant environmental effects.</b>  <u>Rationale:</u> Based upon review of the project, and given current conditions on the grounds, the Eugene District did not find any resource issues of concern that would be affected by this action.</p>		X
<p><b>7. Have significant impacts on properties listed, or eligible for listing, on the National Register of Historic Places as determined by either the bureau or office.</b>  <u>Rationale:</u> There are no eligible or listed properties within the proposed treatment areas.</p>		X
<p><b>8. Have significant impacts on species listed, or proposed to be listed, as an Endangered or Threatened Species, or have significant impacts on designated Critical Habitat for these species.</b>  <u>Rationale:</u> The areas to be treated will have no significant impacts to species listed or proposed to be listed as an Endangered or Threatened Species, nor have significant</p>		X

<b>Extraordinary Circumstances</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>
<p>impacts on designated Critical Habitat for these species. Mitigation measures for reducing impacts to an Endangered or Threatened Species or Critical Habitat for these species would be implemented as necessary (see list below).</p>		
<p><b>9. Violate a Federal law, or a State, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment.</b>  <u>Rationale:</u> The proposed action conforms to the direction given for the management of public lands in the Eugene District ROD/RMP, which complies with all applicable laws, such as the Clean Water Act and others.</p>		X
<p><b>10. Have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations (Executive Order 2898).</b>  <u>Rationale:</u> There would be no adverse effect on low income minority populations.</p>		X
<p><b>11. Limit access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites on Federal lands by Indian religious practitioners or significantly adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (Executive Order 13007).</b>  <u>Rationale:</u> The project is located within the Oregon Coast Range Physiographic Province, and the terms of Protocol D as defined in the National Programmatic Agreement in Oregon (USDI,1998) apply.</p>		X
<p><b>12. Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of noxious weeds or non-native invasive species known to occur in the area or actions that may promote the introduction, growth, or expansion of the range of such species (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act and Executive Order 13112).</b>  <u>Rationale:</u> The proposed action would not result in measurable changes to the current baseline of the risk, or actual introduction, continued existence, or spread of noxious weeds or non-native invasive species in or from the project area. Existing and likely continuing activities including, but not limited to, motor vehicle traffic, recreation use, rural and urban development, road construction, timber harvest, and natural processes can contribute to the introduction, existence, and spread of noxious weeds/invasive species. Vehicles accessing the project area for the proposed action would stay on existing roads (no additional roads are proposed); reducing the potential of picking up and dispersing noxious weeds or seed. The proposed action would not introduce any vector for spread or introduction beyond such vectors already found.</p>		X
<p><b>Mitigation measures:</b>  <b>S-1, FOI 843822, 10 acres in 19s-6w-33:</b> Restricted within 110 yards of marbled murrelet habitat. (see below for specific design standards)  <b>S-2, FOI 843938, 35 acres in 16s-8w-01:</b> No restrictions.  <b>S-3, FOI 843816, 29 acres in 20s-4w-09:</b> No restrictions.</p> <p><b>Project design standards</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Use of power equipment (including chainsaws) within 110 yards of murrelet nesting habitat and implemented between April 1 and September 15, shall not begin until 2 hours after sunrise and shall end 2 hours before sunset.</li> <li>• Minimize the risk of attracting predators to activity areas, all garbage (especially food products) would be contained or removed daily from the vicinity of any activity.</li> </ul> <p>Project activities within 110 yards of murrelet nesting habitat will be of short duration (3 days or less).</p>		

UNITED STATES  
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT  
EUGENE DISTRICT OFFICE

**DECISION RECORD**  
DOI-BLM-OR-E050-2014-0014-CX  
MANUAL MAINTENANCE

**Decision**

It is my decision to implement this action as described in the categorical exclusion documentation DOI-BLM-OR-E060-2014-0014-CX.

**Decision Rationale**

The proposed action has been reviewed by BLM staff. The Proposed Action is in conformance with the 1995 Eugene District Record of Decision and Resource Management Plan (as amended). Based on the Categorical Exclusion Review, I have determined that the proposed action involves no significant impact to the human environment and no further analysis is required.

**Administrative Remedies**

This forest management decision may be protested under 43 CFR 5003 - Administrative Remedies. This decision record constitutes the decision document for the purpose of protests. Protests of this decision must be filed with this office within fifteen (15) days after the date of this decision record. As interpreted by BLM, the regulations do not authorize the acceptance of protests in any form other than a signed, written hard copy that is delivered to the physical address of the BLM Eugene District Office.

Signature of the Responsible Official:

/S/ Michael J. Korn  
Michael J. Korn  
Siuslaw Field Manager  
Eugene District Office

06/18/2014  
Date: