

Secure Rural Schools and Community Self Determination Act of 2000
Bureau of Land Management
Coos Bay District Resource Advisory Committee (RAC)
Fiscal Year 2013 Project Funding Decisions

I recommend the use of funds from the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self Determination Act of 2000 as reauthorized by P.L. 112-141 for the projects identified on the attached list. The recommended projects meet the purpose of the Act and are in the public interest. Due to uncertain Title II funding amounts at the time of the project recommendation meeting, the exact dollar amount allocated to each project may change once the BLM receives the funds.

The five specific requirements essential for approval and funding identified in Section 204 of the Act have been met or will be met in this manner:

1. Compliance with all applicable Federal laws and regulations - Certified by the Line Manager with the signature of the NEPA decision document.
2. Consistency with applicable Resource Management Plan - Certified by the Line Manager with the signature of the NEPA decision document.
3. RAC processes consistent with the Acts requirements - RAC meetings were held in compliance with Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) and RAC Charter requirements. Good attendance ensured that a quorum was present. Votes had a majority support from all three RAC categories. A meeting notice was sent to the Federal Register for publication and time was scheduled for public comment at each RAC meeting.
4. Applications for these projects were submitted to the RAC for their review consistent with the Act's requirements - The applications provide the information required for projects to be funded and were provided to RAC members. The applications included:
 - Purpose of the project.
 - A description of how the project meets the purpose of the Act.
 - A statement of how the project benefits federal lands and resources.
 - Expected duration and cost of the project.
 - Expected outcomes.
 - A monitoring plan.
5. The projects improve infrastructure, enhances forest ecosystems and improves land health and water quality - These projects contribute to improved infrastructure, ecosystems and water quality. The RAC recommendations reflect the projects' expected high contribution in one or more of these areas. They have reviewed these projects and recommend their funding of these projects.

Approved: Mark E. Johnson Date: 9/28/12
 Mark E. Johnson
 District Manager
 Coos Bay

2013 Title II Projects Coos County			9/28/2012
Project Name	Project Type	Recommended funding	
Coos County Canine Patrol	Other	\$22,000	
Coos County Law Enforcement Services	Other	\$79,500	
Helipond Restoration and Improvement	Infrastructure Maint.	\$33,167	
Wildfire and Resource Protection Crews	Maintenance	\$4,094	
Coho Field Studies 2013: Tioga Creek Basin	Fish Habitat	\$15,000	
Boulder Placement In WF Smith River	Watershed Restoration	\$43,385	
		\$197,146	
2013 Title II Projects Douglas County			
Project Name	Project Type	Recommended funding	
Smith River Tribs Instream Restoration	Fish Habitat	\$45,333	
Wildfire Response Management System	Other	\$29,960	
West Fork Smith River Oxbow Fish Access	Fish Habitat	\$4,500	
West Fork Smith River Instream Restoration	Fish Habitat	\$49,500	
Law Enforcement Resource Deputy	Other	\$45,000	
Helipond Restoration and Improvement	Infrastructure Maint.	\$11,832	
		\$186,125	
2013 Title II Projects Curry County			
Project Name	Project Type	Recommended funding	
Wildfire and Resource Protection Crews	Maintenance	\$57,406	
		\$57,406	

