

Appendix B

Summary of Public Comments from Scoping Meetings and Scoping Letters

B.1.0 Bodega Bay Scoping Meeting

Table B.1-1. Bodega Bay Public Scoping Meeting

Bodega Bay, California August 20, Tuesday, 7–9 p.m. Bodega Marine Laboratory Lecture Hall	
Meeting Staff	
Name, Position	Organization & Office Location
Rick Hanks, CCNM Manager	CCNM, Monterey, CA
Rich Burns, Ukiah Field Office (UFO) Manager	BLM, Ukiah, CA
Jeff Fontana, Northern California Public Affairs Officer	BLM, Susanville, CA
Jonna Hildenbrand, UFO Outdoor Recreation Planner	BLM, Ukiah, CA
Diana Knox, UFO GIS Specialist	BLM, Ukiah, CA
Carl Drake, Fiscal Operations Chief	CDPR, Sacramento, CA
Ryan Watanabe, Marine Region Fisheries Biologist	DFG, Bodega Bay, CA
Mike Rushton, Senior Vice President	Jones & Stokes, Sacramento, CA
Trevor Burwell, Natural Resources Planner	Jones & Stokes, Sacramento, CA
Ingrid Norgaard, Community Affairs Specialist	Jones & Stokes, Sacramento, CA
Selene Jacobs, Community Affairs Specialist	Jones & Stokes, Sacramento, CA
Ron LeValley, Senior Biologist	Mad River Biologists, McKinleyville, CA
Bob Garrison, Tourism Specialist	Nature Tourism Planning, Sacramento, CA
Public Attendees	
Name & Organization (if provided)	City
Susan Williams, Bodega Marine Laboratory	Bodega Bay, CA
Kitty Brown, Bodega Marine Laboratory	Bodega Bay, CA
Peter Connors, University of California, Davis	Bodega Bay, CA
Vic Chow, University of California, Davis	Bodega Bay, CA
Dino Garcia-Rossi, University of California, Davis	Bodega Bay, CA
Elisabeth Brusati, University of California, Davis	Bodega Bay, CA
Richard Charter, Environmental Defense	Bodega Bay, CA
Tony Danna, BLM-Deputy State Director, Resources	Sacramento, CA
Paul Brink, BLM-California NLCS Coordinator	Sacramento, CA
Jeremy Hay, The Press Democrat	Petaluma, CA
Total Public Attendance: 10	

B.1.1 Bodega Bay Public Comment Summary

- Develop interpretive themes and work with other agencies to coordinate messages.
- Make clear to the public what the CCNM is and what it is not.
- Ensure that recreational uses as well as property rights are protected.
- Survey and document archeological/cultural/prehistoric resources.
- Take advantage of and make known the unique research opportunities.
- Link with University of California and California State University marine laboratories for possible research opportunities.
- Develop partnerships with nonprofit organizations to assist with generating funds.
- Ensure that botanical resources and values are considered.
- Recognize the importance of and need for public/environmental education.

B.1.2 Bodega Bay Comment Record

- Should strive to maintain quality of recreation experience.
- Contact: Force Ten kayaks and Department of Boating and Waterways.
- How will BLM enforce the plan? Concern over interagency jurisdiction.
- Increased use of rocks will challenge agencies' management of resources.
- Need to recognize long history of this management process.
- BLM should investigate opportunity to coordinate with Oregon.
- Concern for private property rights – could BLM acquire land from willing sellers? Will the CCNM affect how private landowners can use adjacent land?
- Develop key interpretive themes – should coordinate with other agencies; include both general and local themes – will need to be site-specific, depending on level of interest in recreation versus conservation.
- Ensure that plants are included in habitat considerations. Need to study and protect plant communities from invasive species. Shouldn't prohibit use of herbicides. Consider conducting botanical surveys.
- Archeological/cultural/prehistoric resources need to be surveyed and documented. There are several active tribes that should be contacted.
- Will CCNM impact the ongoing use of resources by Native American tribes?
- Need to preserve opportunities for scientific research, including collection of documents.

- Will local communities have their traditions impacted (i.e., Trinidad, July 4th celebration)?
- Plan must be realistic and implementable.
- Will plan benefit local communities? Will it provide economic viability?
- Consider partnering with nonprofit organizations to generate funds.
- A wilderness designation is desired by the environmental community.
- How will the CCNM be protected from offshore gas drilling?
- How will seaweed harvesting be handled?
- Has water towing been considered?
- Plan should consider indirect effects.
- Need to clarify current regulations/laws that protect coastal regions.
- Are there regulations that guide management of national monuments?
- Ask Bodega Laboratory Marine Reserve about boundaries and regulations.
- Public access is a concern – want to protect monument from human disturbances.
- Important to coordinate the interpretive elements of CCNM.
- Marine Research Labs: UC Irvine, UC Santa Barbara, UC Santa Cruz, UC San Diego, UC Los Angeles (interpretive center), Humboldt State, San Diego State, USC, Moss Landing, Sea World, MBARI, PRBO, MMC, CAS, LB AOP, Oakland Museum (interpretive center, starting Marine Lab), Cabrillo Museum in Los Angeles, Santa Barbara Natural History Museum.
- It will be important to keep good records of data collection.
- Rocks provide great opportunity to study marine ecology. The areas are so untouched, they provide baseline data repositories.
- How do you manage the entire system? Birds and mammals all use water.
- DFG concerns are mostly in the water, not above “mean high tide.”
- Bird Rock is a proposed Marine Life Protection Act (MLPA) site; has bird population that may need protection. There is occasional human interaction now; abalone diving in the area.
- Impacts on birds could come from oil, human disturbances, personal watercraft.
- Important to preserve the rocks, but also to protect and preserve the birds and animals that use them.
- How do you make this process clear to the public? How do you explain what we’re trying to do?
- Need to communicate to the public that this process is not about fish.
- Will access be prohibited?

- Have the rocks been numbered/inventoried?
- Has a list been compiled highlighting all potential recreation uses?
- Sea bird eggs are prized by some cultures.
- Human uses continue to change.
- Offshore marine terminals, anchor points, desalination plants are all potential issues to take into consideration.
- Have there been any biological surveys done on the rocks?
- Three potentially helpful GIS tools include: Channel Islands, NOAA Monterey Bay, Farallon Islands Sanctuary.
- Consider making list available of potential graduate projects.
- Does BLM have information on use trends? General access information, number of visitors for more popular areas, etc.?
- Seaweed harvesters on the coast may impact targeted species.
- Will the RMP/EIS include a range of alternatives?
- The Farallon Sanctuary is looking into kiosks as a new way to introduce interpretive tools.
- Important to tie CCNM and other management efforts together through public education (MLPA, Marine Sanctuary Plans, etc.).
- Currently, there are no local interpretive facilities to help the public learn about the area

B.2.0 Elk Scoping Meeting

Table B.2-1. Elk Public Scoping Meeting

Elk, California August 21, Wednesday, 7–9 p.m. Greenwood Community Center	
Meeting Staff	
Name & Position	Organization & Office Location
Rick Hanks, CCNM Manager	CCNM, Monterey, CA
Rich Burns, Ukiah Field Office (UFO) Manager	BLM, Ukiah, CA
Jeff Fontana, Northern California Public Affairs Officer	BLM, Susanville, CA
Jonna Hildenbrand, UFO Outdoor Rec. Planner	BLM, Ukiah, CA
Diana Knox, UFO GIS Specialist	BLM, Ukiah, CA
Kevin Joe, Park Ranger	CDPR, Elk, CA
Ryan Watanabe, Marine Region Fisheries Biologist	CDFG, Bodega Bay, CA
Mike Rushton, Senior Vice President	Jones & Stokes, Sacramento, CA
Trevor Burwell, Natural Resources Planner	Jones & Stokes, Sacramento, CA
Ingrid Norgaard, Community Affairs Specialist	Jones & Stokes, Sacramento, CA
Selene Jacobs, Community Affairs Specialist	Jones & Stokes, Sacramento, CA
Ron LeValley, Senior Biologist	Mad River Biologists, McKinleyville, CA
Bob Garrison, Tourism Specialist	Nature Tourism Planning, Sacramento, CA
Public Attendees	
Name & Organization (if provided)	City
M.A. Berlincourt	Elk, CA
T.G. Berlincourt	Elk, CA
Mary Bull	Elk, CA
Jan Strand	Sea Ranch, CA
Julie Virran	Gualala, CA
Rosi Acker	Elk, CA
Jeff Gales, Point Arena Lighthouse	Point Arena, CA
Nat Corey-Moran	Elk, CA
Kendall Smith, Congressman Thompson's Office	Fort Bragg, CA
John Lewallen, Mendocino Sea Vegetable Co.	Philo, CA
Ursula Jones, Friends of the Gualala River	Gualala, CA

Charles Acker	Elk, CA
Peter Talbert	Elk, CA
L.T. McKnight	Elk, CA
Norman L. deVall, Redwood Coast Watershed Alliance	Elk, CA
Jim Jordan, Friends of the Gualala River	Gualala, CA
Jerry McGlynn	Gualala, CA
Carolyn Carleton	Elk, CA
Anthony Russell	Fort Bragg, CA
Darwin Christiansen	Elk, CA
Lorene Christiansen, MAPA	Elk, CA
Martin Christiansen	Elk, CA
Peggy Latham	Elk, CA
Kathy Roy	Albion, CA
Dr. Hillary Adams, Navarro-by-the-Sea Center	Elk, CA
Joan Cursey, California Native Plant Society	Mendocino, CA
P. Wilcox, Director of Elk Museum	Elk, CA
Claudia Pederson, Ranch Manager	Elk, CA
Dean Pederson, Ranch Manager	Elk, CA
Rio Russell, Greenwood Watershed Alliance	Elk, CA
Mary Pjerrou, Greenwood Watershed Alliance	Elk, CA
Thomas Cochran	Sea Ranch, CA
Susan M. Clark, Clark Historic Resources, Inc.	Elk, CA
Total Public Attendance: 33	

B.2.1 Elk Public Comment Summary

- Balance access with protection.
- Concerns over offshore oil drilling, traffic impacts, losing the community's rural feel.
- Ensure community input on how the CCNM will be publicized and regulated.
- Determine how to keep pace with conservation, education, and protection needs as the awareness and popularity of the CCNM increases.
- Consider potential impacts on fishing, abalone diving, seaweed harvesting, kayaking, and other commercial and recreational uses.

- Use local museums and facilities (e.g., Pt. Arena Lighthouse) as visitor contact points and local partners for the CCNM.
- Concerns on the effects of “water bags” (i.e., assembling, mooring, filling, and towing of gigantic bags of fresh water for municipal use in southern California) on scenic, physical, and biological aspects of the CCNM.
- Concern related to understanding the purpose of the CCNM and the related planning effort.

B.2.2 Elk Comment Record

- Consider potential partnership with Sea Ranch homeowners.
- Access is a concern – increased recreational use is affecting wildlife. Affects on flora due to human access.
- Navarro Estuary and rocks – there is a 12-year photo survey.
- Great resources in the local community. Residents have a lot of environmental knowledge.
- Seaweed harvesting for 20+ years, mostly at Elk State Beach, www.seaweed.net.
- Scenic values – water bags would affect scenic values – transport, anchoring, mooring. If water bags break and wrap around rocks, what is BLM’s policy on this potential impact?
- David Colfax, County Supervisor – 3 anchor points.
- www.Gualalariver.org – water bag info.
- Sea Ranch opinions: (1) Preserve views, and (2) Access is increasing (kayaking, etc.).
- Increased access and use may affect CCNM and local communities – kayaking, abalone diving, use of rocks during harvesting.
- Concerned about enforcement – illegal fishing, lack of available DFG offices.
- Monitoring and enforcement are critical issues.
- Sea Ranch has docents, mostly for seals. Docents may partner with CCNM.
- Navarro River estuary closure has reduced habitat and preserving habitat for harbor seals. CCNM habitat may then be more critical habitat.
- Sea pal, June–Sept., harvested from rocks.
- Rock climbing potential on rocks.
- Commercial fishing in spawning beds near shore; live fishing industry may be impacted.
- Helicopters flying over or landing on CCNM may directly affect resources.

- Popularity of CCNM may increase, so conservation/education/preservation has to keep pace.
- Affects on individual homeowners when providing access nodes/pull-outs.
- Provide the public with list of agency and contractor staff – web/mail contact information.
- Why an RMP? It should just be left alone.
- RMP in place to protect resources? Plan designed for affects, uses, enforcement, education, research.
- Regarding research – what is BLM’s goal? What types of research topics are being considered?
- Do not support oil drilling.
- Historically, a wharf connected rocks, landings had ownership of rocks.
- Contact Force Ten sea kayakers.
- Local fishing happens year-round. How close can the fishermen get to the rocks?
- Does abalone diving have impacts?
- How will increased publicity impact traffic patterns? Will it cause hazards?
- Balance access with conservation.
- Contact Coast Walk (Sebastapol).
- Why did the Wilderness Designation fail?
- Will the purpose of the RMP be to increase visitors?
- Why do you need an EIS?
- Will there be a draft hearing in Elk?
- What controversies do you foresee?
- Is collecting seaweed regulated?
- Will the monument impact fishing access? Any impacts to sportfishing?
- How does “mean high tide” relate to low tides?
- Will DFG remain in control of waters?
- Why isn’t State Lands Commission a partner?
- What is in the Plan?
- Recreational fishing – how will the monument affect current regulations?
- Commercial fishing – need to have coordination between State Parks and DFG regarding regulations and enforcement (i.e., live catch near shore, shellfish, muscles, and sea vegetable harvesting)
- What’s the point of the monument? Will it protect the waters from oil exploration?

- Does BLM have eminent domain? Beach access? New access through willing sellers?
- Don't really care to have lots more people here – may impact rural feel of this town.
- “I live here because it’s rural.”
- Is there an ability to have public involved with regulations and resource management?
- Need to have consistent policies so users aren't confused.
- If water is taken, the ecosystem will be impacted.
- Tourism is complex – affects economic development and has impacts – community should have input on how the monument will be publicized.
- Community members have made conscious decisions/sacrifices to live here and we don't want to see it adversely affected.
- Consider potential partnership with Pt. Arena Lighthouse.
- BLM has a bad reputation via mineral/mining; skeptical of BLM.
- Increased access is a concern.
- Local visitor center could handle and disperse monument information.
- No offshore drilling – monument goals and policies should support no drilling.
- Concerned over increased traffic.
- Oppose day use fees.
- Local state park ranger needs boat to patrol area.
- Will there be a staff increase?
- Request that telephone pole gets moved – move to Coffey's Cove.
- Keep the status quo.

B.3.0 Trinidad Scoping Meeting

Table B.3-1. Trinidad Public Scoping Meeting

Trinidad, California August 22, Thursday, 7-9 P.M. Trinidad Town Hall	
Meeting Staff	
Name & Position	Organization & Office Location
Rick Hanks, CCNM Manager	CCNM, Monterey, CA
Lynda Roush, Arcata Field Office (AFO) Manager	BLM, Arcata, CA

Jeff Fontana, Northern California Public Affairs Officer	BLM, Susanville, CA
Jay Harris, Senior Ecologist	CDPR., Eureka, CA
Patrick Collier, Marine Region Biologist	CDFG, Eureka, CA
Mike Rushton, Senior Vice President	Jones & Stokes, Sacramento, CA
Trevor Burwell, Natural Resources Planner	Jones & Stokes, Sacramento, CA
Ingrid Norgaard, Community Affairs Specialist	Jones & Stokes, Sacramento, CA
Selene Jacobs, Community Affairs Specialist	Jones & Stokes, Sacramento, CA
Ron LeValley, Senior Biologist	Mad River Biologists, McKinleyville, CA
Bob Garrison, Tourism Specialist	Nature Tourism Planning, Sacramento, CA

Public Attendees

Name & Organization (if provided)	City
Vic Taylor, Trinidad Chamber of Commerce	Trinidad, CA
Althea Taylor	Trinidad, CA
Sam Morrison	Ferndale, CA
John Nicklas	Trinidad, CA
Betty Nicklas	Trinidad, CA
Don Tuttle, Humboldt County Public Works	Trinidad, CA
Ron Garrett	Trinidad, CA
Jim Cuthbertsen	Trinidad, CA
Steven Phipps	Trinidad, CA
John Wiebe	Trinidad, CA
Dan Blue	Trinidad, CA
Corlene Blue	Trinidad, CA
Heidi Garrett	Trinidad, CA
Ned Simmon, Humboldt NC Land Trust	Trinidad, CA
Scott Shannon	Arcata, CA
Paul Roush, BLM	Arcata, CA
Christy Sabo	Trinidad, CA
Heather Beeler, Mad River Biologists	McKinleyville, CA
Michael Freed	Hayward, CA
T.W. Marlow	Trinidad, CA
Nina Groth, Humboldt NC Land Trust	Trinidad, CA
Bill Devall	Trinidad, CA
W.E. Marshall, Humboldt NC Land Trust	Trinidad, CA

Total Public Attendance: 23

B.3.1 Trinidad Public Comment Summary

- Involve Native American community and fishing groups in the RMP planning process.
- Preserve the coast environment while keeping public access.
- Preserve the aesthetics and beauty of the area.
- Minimize new regulations and maximize interagency coordination.
- Consider both the positive and negative effects of increasing visitor numbers to rural coastal communities.
- Provide for increased and coordinated public information and education, especially on human impacts.
- Coordinate with local marine laboratories and museums, including funding initiatives.
- Conduct long-term biological studies, especially on seabird and pinniped populations.
- Recognize that the CCNM may provide valuable natural history data.
- Review and permit scientific research.
- Prevent a few from ruining it for all. Enforcement may be the key.
- Good idea to just leave the rocks alone.

B.3.2 Trinidad Comment Record

- We live here because we love the beaches.
- Love to view/appreciate the river otters.
- Offshore drilling and the possibility of its reinvigoration is a big concern.
- No more implementation is needed.
- For strong ideals, recommend reading “Walden Pond.”
- Are surfers being represented in this process?
- Native American community needs to be approached.
- Love to watch birds and sea mammals from the bluffs.
- Not interested in generating large numbers of visitors.
- Who decides on the interpretation tools used? Language on the signs needs to be written carefully – language implies ownership.
- Possible to produce publications describing different sections of the coast?
- Problem arises when people impact resources.
- Consider that human beings are sea mammals too.

- What is the BLM really trying to accomplish?
- What if we suggest you do nothing?
- Concerned about preserving air quality, vegetation.
- Local/regional focus is key.
- Sitka spruce grows only on rocks here.
- Clarify how close to shore the rocks are (i.e., how accessible rocks are to public use at low tide and how management may impact those uses – clarify the jurisdictions).
- Management is unnecessary. Rocks are landmarks. Concerned that regulation will restrict current uses.
- Increased tourism over the years may be impacting bird and marine mammal populations. Recommend public education on these resources.
- Abalone divers hit rocks.
- Will CCONM prohibit piers from connecting to rocks?
- Will CCONM impact tourism to St. George's Reef?
- Recommend long-term studies on bird and marine mammal populations.
- Brown Pelicans use rocks.
- Contact UCD representative in Crescent City (Dr. Anderson), Tsuari representatives, Chuck Snell (intertidal expert).
- Coordinate with HSU Marine Laboratory and graduate students.
- Coordinate with Ned Simmons, local historian at Trinidad Museum.
- Crab fishing, whale watching, party boats, and kayaking are popular recreational activities.
- Contact Lowell at Northwest kayaking.
- Trail access is a concern. The city is responsible for maintaining the trails and the Native Americans would like to reduce public access.
- Consider cooperative funding of education/interpretation (BLM with the Trinidad Museum).
- Need signage explaining threat of waves.
- Should try to educate people on the result of human impacts.
- Concerned about lack of local input on plan.
- Concerned about inability to use/swim to or on Camel Rock.
- Would like to see Presidential Proclamation.
- Need to contact/involve Native American community.
- Establish relationship with Coastal Commission, State Lands Commission.
- Preserve coast environment and keep public access.

- Use CCNM as a tool to manage/influence indirect impacts.
- Preserve aesthetics/beauty; rocks increase scenic beauty.
- Minimize new regulations; maximize interagency coordination, protection already exists.
- Humboldt North Coast Land Trust – love Trinidad and the rocks.
- County Board of Supervisors – protect resources and beauty; the key may be enforcement; prevent a few from ruining the rocks for us all.
- Yurok Indians have valid existing rights and traditional uses on rocks.
- BLM should get direct input from tribes and fishermen.
- Sportfishing is a common activity near the rocks, especially in protected coves.
- It is a good idea to just leave the rocks alone.
- Need larger enforcement staff to protect CCNM resources.
- Explore public interpretation and education opportunities with CDPR.
- CDPR is also a key enforcement partner due to coastal presence.
- Review and permit scientific research.
- Important to prevent introduction of exotic species by people on rocks.
- CCNM designation may increase ecotourism.
- Business community would welcome extra business; business growth would be a goal.
- CCNM may provide valuable natural history data.
- Use CCNM as a vehicle to coordinate with other agencies coastal policies and management as a whole.

B.4.0 San Diego Scoping Meeting

Table B.4-1. San Diego Public Scoping Meeting

San Diego, California August 27, Tuesday, 7-9 P.M. Sumner Auditorium, Scripps Institution of Oceanography, UCSD	
Meeting Staff	
Name & Position	Organization & Office Location
Rick Hanks, CCNM Manager	CCNM, Monterey, CA
Greg Hill, San Diego Project Manager	BLM, Jamul, CA
Carl Drake, Fiscal Operations Chief	CDPR., Sacramento, CA
John Ugoretz, Marine Region Sr. Marine Biologist	CDFG, Santa Barbara, CA

Mike Rushton, Senior Vice President	Jones & Stokes, Sacramento, CA
Trevor Burwell, Natural Resources Planner	Jones & Stokes, Sacramento, CA
Ingrid Norgaard, Community Affairs Specialist	Jones & Stokes, Sacramento, CA
Kristin Warren, Community Affairs Specialist	Jones & Stokes, Sacramento, CA
Ron LeValley, Senior Biologist	Mad River Biologists, McKinleyville, CA
Bob Garrison, Tourism Specialist	Nature Tourism Planning, Sacramento, CA
Public Attendees	
Name & Organization (if provided)	City
Karen Garst, Scripps Institute of Oceanography	La Jolla, CA
Kevin Hardy, Scripps Institute of Oceanography	La Jolla, CA
Kevin Quigley, Camp Pendleton	Camp Pendleton, CA
Wayne Harmon, Audubon Society	San Diego, CA
Joyce Schlachter, BLM	Jamul, CA
Tammy Conkle, Navy Region Southwest	San Diego, CA
Patrick McCay, Navy	San Diego, CA
Michael Huber, Navy Region Southwest	San Diego, CA
Total Public Attendance: 8	

B.4.1 San Diego Public Comment Summary

- Develop accurate information on locations of individual rocks and islands, as well as actual boundaries of the CCNM.
- Ensure that the RMP incorporates military concerns and operation needs.
- Preserve ability of military to carry out its mission.
- Are biological inventories being conducted or completed, and will information be available to public?
- Develop agreements on data sharing and use, including GIS.
- Include geologic component (e.g., geomorphic variability and processes).
- Consider CCNM in an ecosystem context.
- Ensure consistency with other plans, including overlap with Marine Life Protection Act.

B.4.2 San Diego Comment Record

- Are there rocks within or among the Channel Islands that are included in the monument?

- Is there a GIS inventory of the rocks that is available to the public?
- Will there be an attempt to secure additional funding?
- Is competition for land/air space an issue?
- What actions are you protecting the rocks from?
- Is there an inventory of biological resources? That information would be very informative.
- Is there an inventory of past military bombing areas?
- Concern over conflicts between special-status species and military actions
- Military is concerned about decrease in mission – need to identify current/existing uses of offshore and adjacent areas; understand direct and indirect effect of those uses.
- Department of Defense recently completed an Integrated Natural Resource Management Plan (San Clemente, San Nicholas, San Miguel areas and onshore facilities). Contact: Dr. Gary White, NPS.
- EIS completed for Point Mugu; Fort Hunter-Liggett INRMP (for offshore rocks).
- Does CCNM include rocks offshore of the islands (e.g., Catalina Island)?
- Recreation uses in the area include scuba diving, fishing, kayaking, boating, abalone diving, private and commercially guided trips
- How will enforcement be handled? DFG wardens, Coast Guard, City of San Diego, volunteer stewards?
- Need to investigate status of rocks connected to the mainland (i.e. Whaler Rock at Crescent City).
- Consider geomorphic variability – sediment/sand accumulation, degradation, faulting uplift.
- Consider management tools to coordinate and share data; develop agreements on data sharing and data use.
- Does NEPA apply beyond 3 nautical miles? Series of NEPA documents applied to OCS.
- Would like access to GIS database containing resources and ownership information.
- What is the adequacy of the existing data? Is there enough to write a meaningful RMP?
- RMP will be a working document and new information will be incorporated as it becomes available. RMP should last approximately 10 years.
- Important to consider the CCNM in an ecosystem context.
- Does the RMP trigger Coastal Zone Management Act compliance? The California Coastal Act? The plan should consider consistency with the California Coastal Commission policies, including local coastal plans.

- According to the Federal Land Policy and Management Act, RMPs need to be consistent with local plans.
- Other groups to contact in area include San Diego Council of Divers, Catalina Conservancy, Vandenberg Air Force Base (confirm ownership, uses, resources), Baykeeper, Coastwatch, Environmental Health Coalition, Harborkeeper, Surfrider Foundation, Audubon Society.
- Need to make sure the plan incorporates military concerns/operations.
- Get the Coast Guard involved in process.
- Sportfishing organizations may have useful information (DFG has list of organizations). United Anglers Association and Sportfishing Association of CA are the two major groups.
- Need to identify where the military operates (land, air uses) and make sure these specifics get incorporated into the plan. Military representatives (Steve Huber) can help identify these locations.
- In-flight military operations (passes) may be more of an issue than “use.”
- Images of GIS layers on the CCNM web site would be a useful tool for the public and military.
- Vandenberg Air Force Base may have database on resources; Camp Pendleton has information on shorebirds, but no rocks or offshore species.
- As with the MLPA, it is likely that the armed forces will give one coordinated response to the CCNM. CCNM and MLPA response likely to be very similar.
- Military typically goes to the regulatory agencies for resource information.
- CCC is concerned about protecting and promoting access – this may become an issue for the CCNM.
- MLPA deals with discreet areas, but could be potential opportunities to overlap with CCNM.
- BLM may consider giving the CCNM over to the state to manage – may be easier.

B.5.0 Laguna Beach Scoping Meeting

Table B.5-1. Laguna Beach Public Scoping Meeting

Laguna Beach, California August 28, Wednesday, 7-9 P.M. Wells Fargo Bank	
Meeting Staff	
Name & Position	Organization & Office Location
Rick Hanks, CCNM Manager	CCNM, Monterey, CA
Greg Hill, San Diego Project Manager	BLM, Jamul, CA
Carl Drake, Fiscal Operations Chief	CDPR, Sacramento, CA
Mike Rushton, Senior Vice President	Jones & Stokes, Sacramento, CA
Trevor Burwell, Natural Resources Planner	Jones & Stokes, Sacramento, CA
Ingrid Norgaard, Community Affairs Specialist	Jones & Stokes, Sacramento, CA
Kristin Warren, Community Affairs Specialist	Jones & Stokes, Sacramento, CA
Ron LeValley, Senior Biologist	Mad River Biologists, McKinleyville, CA
Public Attendees	
Name & Organization (if provided)	City
Rick Wilson, Surfrider Foundation	Laguna Beach, CA
Paul Moreno, South Coast Audubon	Anaheim, CA
Jan Sattler	Laguna Beach, CA
Ray Halowski, Surfrider Foundation	Corona del Mar, CA
Elizabeth Pearson	Laguna Beach, CA
Scott Diedrich, Laguna Beach Lifeguard	Laguna Beach, CA
Mark Klosterman, Laguna Beach Marine Safety	Los Alamitos, CA
Bruce Baylor	Laguna Beach, CA
Kirk Swayne	Laguna Beach, CA
Total Public Attendance: 9	

B.5.1 Laguna Beach Public Comment Summary

- Develop CCNM signage to help public understand resource values and sensitivity.
- Use education to assist with awareness and enforcement efforts.

- Determine possible effects of water pollution and runoff on the CCNM.
- Identify potential impacts from commercial fishing, especially squid harvesting.
- Establish a volunteer observers and docents program using locally knowledgeable individuals and developing standardized methods.
- Consider opportunities associated with Laguna Beach area as a popular tourist destination with a lot of recreational activities (i.e., diving, kayaking, surfing, fishing, etc.).
- Coordinate management efforts with other agencies to provide consistency.
- Plan for the system as a whole, including prey-base for seabirds and pinnipeds.
- Link with City and State Park initiatives and infrastructure in the area.
- Consider link between CCNM and the various tidepool areas and numerous tidepool users.
- Determine how to address a lack of awareness and understanding of the CCNM by agencies and the public.

B.5.2 Laguna Beach Comment Record

- Who will be responsible for enforcing plan? Could an MOU with the city be developed to cover above mean high tide?
- Are there funding sources available?
- Who approves the Final Plan?
- Does the CCNM incorporate all the rocks along the coast?
- By not protecting fish under the rocks, are we creating a problem with the seals/sea lions?
- Need to look at the system as a whole.
- How will sonar testing by the Navy be incorporated into the plan?
- Will you have enough personnel to cover 11,000+ rocks?
- Problem example – concrete platform to Goff Rock allows human access to island. Sea lions, birds have left. Will wildlife return if access is removed?
- Generate list of CCNM rocks in Laguna Beach to help beach stewards.
- Lack of awareness of the rocks, preservation, sensitive resources.
- City of Laguna Beach lifeguards have primary responsibility for enforcement of protections. Seeking funding for vessel.
- Valid existing rights/uses of historic structures need to be investigated. Investigate effects/benefits of structure removal.
- What is biological integrity of CCNM?

- What are the biological impacts of squid boats with lights?
- What underwater protections are required to protect birds and mammals?
- Evaluate indirect, ecosystem-level impacts on seabirds and marine mammals that use the CCNM.
- Monthly DFG data on principal haulout sites. Review data to find correlations between preservation, use, and human contact.
- Commercial squid harvests/populations – data at San Pablo Marine Institute.
- Squid harvesting increasing; squid are key prey for marine mammals.
- Provide markers/buoys/signs to increase awareness/sensitivity.
- Existing parks and overlooks are great places for interpretive/educational signs.
- Orange County developing a universal “marine protected area” – John Lowengrubb, Marine Life Protection Committee.
- Universal sign will “brand” CCNM so it is more easily recognizable/understood.
- Volunteer docents to help with enforcement and education; put new stand at Rockpile.
- Environmental education is very popular – should do outreach to schools. Resource: Ocean Institute
- “Reporters” in local cities; locally knowledgeable people with long-term observations; should coordinate with empirical data collection, standardize methods.
- CCNM should coordinate data collection, sharing, aggregation, interpretation.
- Red and black abalone are gone; brown pelican has recovered, less kelp today.
- Water pollution/runoff can adversely affect resources.
- Steven Murry, CSU Fullerton – long-term studies of intertidal ecosystem.
- Very large number of users on rocks/tide pools.
- Signage needs to be visual due to many non-English speakers; new ethnic groups bring different eating/harvesting practices.
- Consider importance of rocks to Native Americans; Goff Island was a traditionally used area.
- Can bird surveys coordinate with Audubon?
- Laguna Beach has “vision process.” Ocean Laguna focusing on the “blue belt” – currently working on developing plan and mission.
- Seal and Bird Rock protected now by the city, but still have issues with the public using the rocks. It’s a good thing what the CCNM is doing.

- Enforcement is an issue. Education will be important to assist with enforcement.
- Divers and kayakers are active in the area.
- There are good opportunities for signage in Laguna Beach area.
- Consistency will be important – need to sort out which agencies are responsible for enforcement.
- MLPA has many different organizations/groups involved in their process – probably a good place to get information. Coordination with MLPA actions will be important.
- There appears to be a very low awareness of the CCNM demonstrated by the fact that many DFG staff were unaware of its existence.
- Not a lot of rocks in Newport, but the area is a big polluter. Will BLM be responsible for tracking water quality?
- If the ocean is contaminated or polluted, will BLM be responsible for protecting the rocks from that polluted water?
- Could the plan provide recommendations to other agencies with management responsibilities near to the monument?
- Signage along the coast will help to educate the public (e.g., Surfrider signage in Santa Cruz) – doesn't seem like many people are aware of the monument's existence.
- Name of the monument implies one specific area, an individual place; hard to visualize.
- Are rocks off San Clemente/Catalina included in the monument? Does the 12-mile zone include these rocks? There are 5 or 6 rocks off Catalina that should be investigated for ownership.
- Friends of Irvine Coast is an active organization and would likely be interested in this process.
- Beaches in Laguna area see about 3 million people per year – Laguna lifeguards keep track of this information as well as enforcement contacts.
- www.USLA.org has public access information; Dave Kiff, Assistant City Manager for Newport, is also a good source of information.
- Off-season activities include kayaking and diving; Laguna Sea Sports would be a good source of information.
- www.PADI.com - diving association.
- Contact Kristin Valette regarding project “AWARE.”

B.6.0 Santa Barbara Scoping Meeting

Table B.6-1. Santa Barbara Public Scoping Meeting

Santa Barbara, California August 30, Friday, 1-3 P.M. Santa Barbara Maritime Museum Theater	
Meeting Staff	
Name & Position	Organization & Office Location
Rick Hanks, CCNM Manager	CCNM, Monterey, CA
Larry Mercer, Central California Public Affairs Officer	BLM, Bakersfield, CA
Barbara Fosbrink, Channel Islands District Technical Services Chief	CDPR, Santa Barbara, CA
John Ugoretz, Marine Region Sr. Marine Biologist	CDFG, Santa Barbara, CA
Mike Rushton, Senior Vice President	Jones & Stokes, Sacramento, CA
Trevor Burwell, Natural Resources Planner	Jones & Stokes, Sacramento, CA
Ingrid Norgaard, Community Affairs Specialist	Jones & Stokes, Sacramento, CA
Kristin Warren, Community Affairs Specialist	Jones & Stokes, Sacramento, CA
Public Attendees	
Name & Organization (if provided)	City
Terry Bible, Santa Barbara Maritime Museum	Santa Barbara, CA
Jim Johnston, Vandenberg Air Force Base	Vandenberg Air Force Base, CA
Glen Richardson, Vandenberg Air Force Base	Vandenberg Air Force Base, CA
Jim Rohr, Vandenberg Air Force Base	Vandenberg Air Force Base, CA
Kipp Harmer	Santa Barbara, CA
Sean Hastings, Channel Islands National Marine Sanctuary	Santa Barbara, CA
Alex Stone, U.S. Navy	Point Mugu, CA
Donn Tatum	Santa Barbara, CA
Julia Dyer, Regional Water Quality Control Board	San Luis Obispo, CA
Total Public Attendance: 9	

B.6.1 Santa Barbara Public Comment Summary

- Educate the public as to what the CCNM is (and is not), including how it relates to public use.

- Concern over access restrictions related to boating, surfing, wind surfing, kite surfing, kayaking, and other recreation activities.
- Develop new policies for dealing with new human uses and technologies.
- Study biological trends on rocks.
- Manage to balance values and uses.
- Concern about any CCNM restrictions that may affect military (i.e., Navy and Air Force) training and traditional uses, as well as identifying rocks and islands reserved for military purposes.
- Clarify CCNM relationship with National Marine Sanctuaries.
- Clarify management jurisdiction, coordinate management responsibilities, and correct map boundaries to include 12 nautical miles around the Channel Islands.
- Link CCNM with oil spill legal settlements and funding for restoration, monitoring, environmental education, etc.

B.6.2 Santa Barbara Comment Record

- Concern over recreation uses – hang gliding, wind surfing, and potential impacts on birds.
- Public may lack awareness of CCNM; may be problematic for the preparation and review of RMP.
- Identify existing values that need to be managed.
- How to manage/balance between values and uses?
- Does BLM know how public values the CCNM? If unknown, it's problematic. Need to know values to manage them.
- Existing rules and regulations need to be known and publicized, especially protection of biota.
- Need to know what (if any) ground rules are already in existence.
- Concern over access restrictions for boating, surfboards, kayaks, and other recreation activities.
- CCNM management jurisdiction needs to be verified, and coordination of management responsibilities clarified.
- Ownership of Richardson and Wilson Rock and other rocks off Channel Islands need to be confirmed.
- Public should have access to CCNM managers and resource database.
- Need to clarify CCNM relationship with National Marine Sanctuaries.
- Any studies of biological trends, degradation?
- What is the cost of the RMP plan and process?

- Native Americans have long used rocks. Now people are using radio-controlled airplanes, motorized equipment; new technologies may cause impacts.
- Can motorized equipment be banned?
- Important to have new policies for new uses and new technologies.
- Para-gliding, kite boarding are becoming popular activities.
- People use offshore rocks to stand on and fish from; boat fishing and fishing from the shore is popular.
- Department of Navy concerned about any proposal that would restrict training, traditional uses. Also need to verify ownership, reserved for military purposes.
- Wilderness Act designation possible?
- Enforcement of existing laws is lacking.
- Will maps be clarified to show CCNM around Channel Islands?
- May want to contact Fullsail Windsurfing Club, Paddlesports (company tours), local Santa Barbara Soaring Association.
- In order to educate the public, need to explain what a National Monument is, and what it is not, in terms of public uses.
- Will alternatives focus on regulations?
- Vandenberg Air Force Base is an information resource for the rocks. Will continuing to fly over them be a problem?
- Should contact Coast Guard.
- Vandenberg has a historical site on the rocks (off of Honda Pt.).
- Gaviota National Seashore Study.
- Torch oil legal settlement – money for restoration, birds, etc.
- Channel Island – Marine Sanctuary boundary.
- Central Coast Sanctuary – trying to expand.
- Morro Bay Greenbelt Alliance – National Estuary office – contact Mike Multari 805/772-3834 (Steve Larson of BLM Bakersfield office is aware of the Alliance).
- Water pollution/quality contact: Julia Dyer (805/594-6144) is already involved in monitoring water quality.
- What prompted the Presidential Proclamation?
- Will there be penalties if rocks are damaged?
- How will the rocks be managed? Protected? Enforced?
- What impacts will the management plan have on public uses?
- Consider impacts on fishermen.

B.7.0 Monterey Scoping Meeting

Table B.7-1. Monterey Public Scoping Meeting

Monterey, California September 4, Wednesday, 7-9 P.M. Stanford University Hopkins Marine Station Lecture Hall	
Meeting Staff	
Name & Position	Organization & Office Location
Rick Hanks, CCNM Manager	CCNM, Monterey, CA
George Hill, Assistant Hollister Field Office (HFO) Manager	BLM, Hollister, CA
Larry Mercer, Central California Public Affairs Officer	BLM, Bakersfield, CA
Erik Zaborsky, HFO Archaeologist	BLM, Hollister, CA
Paul Reilly, Marine Region Fisheries Biologist	CDFG, Monterey, CA
Mike Rushton, Senior Vice President	Jones & Stokes, Sacramento, CA
Trevor Burwell, Natural Resources Planner	Jones & Stokes, Sacramento, CA
Ingrid Norgaard, Community Affairs Specialist	Jones & Stokes, Sacramento, CA
Karen Molinari, Community Affairs Specialist	Jones & Stokes, Sacramento, CA
Public Attendees	
Name & Organization (if provided)	City
Bill Douros, Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary	Monterey, CA
Les Jackson	Carmel, CA
Jud Vandevere, Friends of the Sea Otter	Carmel, CA
George Leonard, Monterey Bay Aquarium	Monterey, CA
Don Ingraham, Friends of the Sea Otter	Monterey, CA
Judy Lewis	Carmel, CA
Leland Lewis	Carmel, CA
Shirley Sparling	Pacific Grove, CA
Hebard Rosen	Monterey, CA
Michael Machado	Carmel, CA
Total Public Attendance: 10	

B.7.1 Monterey Public Comment Summary

- Start educating young audiences.
- Concern over low-flying planes and personal watercraft impacts.

- Develop a coordinated effort related to managing tide pools and associated rocks.
- Capture and record local residents' knowledge of marine and coastal resources.
- Develop a research and monitoring program to track changes, trends, and management needs.
- Consider opportunities for creative funding sources (e.g., name or adopt-a-rock programs).
- Contact diver groups and organizations to learn more about uses and traditional names.
- Anticipate growing access issues.
- Concern about integrating management and protective measures with other jurisdictions.
- Perform outreach through the various aquariums in California.
- Contact the various maritime museums regarding shipwrecks associated with the CCNM.
- Develop partnerships for cost effectiveness.

B.7.2 Monterey Comment Record

- Does the BLM have dedicated funding for implementation, public education, management, monitoring, research, and enforcement?
- Were there any regulations proposed with the original Presidential Proclamation?
- Are the rocks mapped? Is this information available to the public?
- Is there a size limit to the rocks included in the monument? 11,507 seems like a low number.
- Historically, not much attention has been paid to these sites.
- Management plans for local parks include information on the historical and cultural significance of these rocks.
- Are the rocks GIS plotted?
- USFWS has bird surveys.
- How will the public be notified if areas become restricted?
- Sea palms are harvested in the area.
- Important to start public education in schools for young audiences.
- Concern over rock picking, abalone, mud slide impacts, squid fishing.

- A creative funding source would be to offer naming opportunities for the rocks.
- NASA shuttle mapped the coast in early 1990s – may want to get that information.
- May want to contact Bay Area Divers Association for information on diving.
- How will submerged reefs be treated?
- How will public access issues be treated (i.e., flying helicopters over rocks)?
- If necessary, how will road reconstruction be dealt with (i.e., mudslide on Hwy. 1)?
- How will BLM work with organizations responsible for managing tide pools?
- Will there ever be an entrance fee for the CCNM?
- DFG has published two atlases regarding the birds and mammals on the rocks.
- Important to be aware of access provided by personal watercraft.
- Access by people is a growing issue – CCNM should pay attention to it and anticipate it when possible.
- Sea stacks at Bixby may be southernmost nesting spot for common murre.
- Local knowledge of residents should be captured/recorded especially for pinnipeds.
- Will a research and monitoring program to track changes, trends, and management needs be incorporated into the plan?
- Question is how to integrate management and protective measures with other jurisdictions?
- Ventana Wilderness Society Big Sur Ornithology Lab – Craig Hohenberger, Director.
- How do you do outreach for the entire state?
- Partnerships will be required for cost effectiveness.
- Review existing FAA rules and regulations for flights over coast. FAA could be a vehicle for education, distributing information.
- Have biologists reviewed overflight rules for adequacy?
- Harry Carter, Senior Biologist at USFWS in Dixon has information on overflight issues, experiences.
- Point Lobos has existing overflight rules, as well as Año Nuevo, Anacapa, but FAA challenged their jurisdiction.
- Can do outreach through aquaria – education, information, protection messages.
- Rocks and islands have dynamic geomorphic history (and future).

- Scuba diving organizations, dive shops have outreach infrastructure – divers have just about the only access to many rocks.
- Square Black Rock near Black Creek, no longer square or black (i.e., of dynamic geology).
- Who is responsible for naming and cataloging the rocks? The local Monterey County placename book has many names for rocks.
- Jeff Norman, Big Sur historian/biologist, has local knowledge of coast resources, local names.
- Each county has a placename book; local historical societies may be other resources.
- Does BLM have responsibility for shipwrecks? Should connect with maritime museums.
- Recommend calling directors at each aquarium to get contact information and to coordinate outreach efforts.
- Many people do not know about CCNM so BLM may not receive many public comments or questions.
- A videotape of scoping meeting in Monterey will be available for public access channels.
- NMS just went through scoping, so there may be “scoping fatigue.”
- Will the BLM or the contractors write the plan?

B.8.0 San Francisco Scoping Meeting

Table B.8-1. San Francisco Public Scoping Meeting

San Francisco, California September 5, Thursday, 7-9 P.M. Golden Gate Room, Fort Mason Center, Golden Gate National Recreation Area	
Meeting Staff	
Name & Position	Organization & Office Location
Rick Hanks, CCNM Manager	CCNM, Monterey, CA
Rich Burns, Ukiah Field Office Manager	BLM, Ukiah, CA
Jeff Fontana, Northern California Public Affairs Officer	BLM, Susanville, CA
Sara Peterson, Marine Region Fisheries Biologist	CDFG, Belmont, CA
Jim Barry, Natural Resources Division Senior Ecologist	CDPR, Sacramento, CA
Mike Rushton, Senior Vice President	Jones & Stokes, Sacramento, CA
Ingrid Norgaard, Community Affairs Specialist	Jones & Stokes, Sacramento, CA
Ron LeValley, Senior Biologist	Mad River Biologists, McKinleyville, CA
Bob Garrison, Tourism Specialist	Nature Tourism Planning, Sacramento, CA

Public Attendees	
Name & Organization (if provided)	City
Rich Weideman, NPS-GGNRA	San Francisco, CA
Joelle Buffa, FWS-SFBNWR	Newark, CA
Gerry McChesney, FWS-SFBNWR	Newark, CA
Stephanie Burkhart, USCG	Alameda, CA
Charlene McAllister	Little River, CA
Lisa Garrison	Sacramento, CA
Bern Smith, Landsmiths	El Granada, CA
Victoria Seidman, CDPH	San Francisco, CA
Ed W. Regan	San Francisco, CA
Ruth Howell, Gulf of Farallons National Marine Sanctuary	San Francisco, CA
Erin Simmons, Oceana	San Francisco, CA
Total Public Attendance: 11	

B.8.1 San Francisco Public Comment Summary

- Concern over noise and other disturbances to pinnipeds and bird populations.
- Coordinate enforcement activities/strategies, including assistance from U.S. Coast Guard.
- Develop consistent signage with partners for public education and resource protection, including tidepool areas.
- Develop research protocols and coordinate with research institutions.
- Define and clarify management jurisdictions and maintain consistency regarding boundaries and buffers.
- Develop a public education plan.
- Identify and consider the historical significance of the rocks.
- Consider CCNM's role in assisting with future seabird research and monitoring, including annual aerial counts and filling the gaps.
- Consider all marine life included in the CCNM, not just seabirds and pinnipeds.

B.8.2 San Francisco Comment Record

- Has the CCNM considered running a railway along the coast? And a highway?

- Define roles of DFG and CDPR
- Does the CCNM extend up vertically?
- What are some of the current recreational uses? Current threats?
- Where does DFG jurisdiction come in?
- What is the staffing of the CCNM?
- Are parks considered part of the monument?
- Use of jet skis for rescue should not be allowed (Surfrider and other commercial entities currently use them).
- Concern over disturbance to mammals and birds caused by low overflight; BLM and USFWS should get the word out to mitigate the overflight disturbances.
- Murre Project funding – can BLM partner to assist with future murre research? Assist with annual aerial (overflight) research?
- Could transportation increases along the coast affect the habitat?
- What actions are not allowed according to the Presidential Proclamation?
- Coast Guard is moving to a more environmental approach; keep informed – don't want to be left out of the loop.
- Coast Guard to assist with enforcement activities.
- Keep consistent with regards to boundaries/buffers around rocks and islands.
- Identify places along the coast where the Coast Guard has jurisdiction.
- Coast Guard maintenance activities should be scheduled in a way to best protect murre habitat.
- Fitzgerald Marine Reserve – busloads of kids invade the rocks. Can interpretation/messages be given to schools, parks, and municipalities to educate the public about protection of habitats? Fitzgerald promoted as a place to come to for schools; schools should direct kids/teachers to other places able to accommodate educational needs. Fitzgerald in boundary of the GGNRA; NPS can be another partner at Fitzgerald.
- Put up public outreach signs to inform people about why we need to protect rocks.
- Tidepool educational signs (e.g., Cabrillo and Maui, HI) are ideas for supporting and protecting rocks and islands.
- Can BLM play a role in access issues regarding monument visitation/sightseeing (easements, fee title)?
- Is BLM coordinating research and access to rocks and islands? Who does someone go to first – BLM, State Parks, DFG – if interested in research? Need central coordination point.
- Does BLM have protocol for overseeing research activities?

- Need outreach to research institutions to let them know permits are needed to conduct research activities; notify them about agency protocols.
- Can noise affect marine habitat? Possible to protect these areas from noise caused by low overflights?
- Harvesting of seaweed should be addressed – happens certain times of year.
- Marine life included in the monument area should be considered.
- Who has responsibility for waters below mean high tide?
- The coordination between agencies responsible for management activities will be critical element to the plan.
- Is the historical significance of the rocks being considered?
- NAHC has information available on Native American uses.
- Important for various agencies developing management plans to put their plans into context to help the public understand the various efforts.
- USFWS has annual seabird counts – could assist with funding.
- SEALS has information on human impacts on marine mammal populations.
- Beach Watch may lead into Sea Watch – possible partnership opportunity. Contact Jan Roletto, Gulf of Farallons Sanctuary Office in San Francisco.
- Low-flying aircraft are currently the biggest disturbance to many rocks in the area. Important to do outreach to pilots on impacts; funding of outreach/coordination of efforts will be important (i.e., coordinate with USFWS on development of outreach material).
- Consider developing public outreach plan – Oregon’s is a good example.
- Educating users to explain impacts will be very important – understanding impacts will help the public self-regulate behavior.
- Save Our Shores (S.O.S) on the San Mateo coast does boating education.
- Has there been an increase in use by organized tourist groups? Has this use been tracked? Have these groups been reached out to?
- 1976 California Handbook on Natural Areas of Significance (published by Governor’s OPR) may have useful information.
- Opportunity for research project to study how habitats may be impacted by sea level changes – impacts of global warming.
- Canada goose populations are an issue.
- Increasing popularity of kayaking is becoming an issue. Sara Allen conducted a study and found kayakers significantly impact seal populations.
- Incorporate the resource values of the above-water areas with the planning for the MLPA areas. MLPA areas may restrict harvest or consumptive use, but won’t restrict access that could affect birds.
- Roy Lowe, USFWS trainer for military pilots in Oregon, has outreach program posters in airports, etc.

B.9.0 Scoping Letters

Western Environmental Law Center - Simeon Herskovits, November 1, 2002

- Consider the impacts of water bagging operations at the Gualala and Albion Rivers
- Consider the involvement of the National Park Service in management of the CCNM

Scott Shannon, October 15, 2002

- River otters use the rocks and islands north of Point Reyes
- Preserve the vegetation on and around sea cliffs and sea stacks, as it is used by river otters

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Portland Office - Maura Naughton, November 1, 2002

- Coordinate CCNM planning with USFWS Seabird Conservation Plan and California Current Marine Bird Adaptive Conservation Plan
- Work with USFWS to update population information for California seabird colonies
- Coordinate to conserve and manage seabirds and their habitats along the west coast

Save Our Shores – Vicki Nichols, October 22, 2002

- Provide long-term protection of habitats and associated species.
- Protect the monument's geologic features.
- Interpret coastal values for public.
- Protect natural, historic, and prehistoric values.
- Do not compromise protection of monument values by promoting awareness, appreciation.
- Intertidal zone ecosystem is nearly extinct and needs protection.

Point Reyes Bird Observatory – Ellie Cohen, October 24, 2002

- Intertidal zone ecosystem is nearly extinct, needs protection.
- Consider innovative management such as access control.
- Consider innovative restoration.
- Protect subtidal habitat, important to mammals and birds.
- Protect avian species at all life stages.
- Protect nesting areas from all forms of disturbance.
- Protect critical marine mammal haulout sites.
- Minimize overflights, light intrusion at night.
- Control sea kayak and personal watercraft encroachment with buffers.
- Acquire private rocks from willing sellers.
- Provide interpretation for effects of public use.
- Manage and monitor research to avoid harm.
- Consider indirect effects.
- Clarify and refine regulations and laws.
- Consider potentially conflicting uses: kelp harvest, energy development, mineral extraction, desalination plants, LNG terminals.
- Address offshore oil and gas extraction ban.
- Address oil spill response.
- Coordinate management and interpretation with MLPA, MLMA, sanctuary plans.
- Document current and historic use trends.
- Develop comprehensive monitoring programs for sensitive wildlife.

Point Arena Lighthouse Keepers, Inc. – Jeff Gales, September 7, 2002

- Develop a coordinated visitor center with Pt. Arena Lighthouse Keepers.
- Interested in collaboration with BLM.

Jennifer Cheddar, October 22, 2002

- Management should closely adhere to proclamation goals.

- No development or resource extraction should be allowed in monument.

Shane Austin, October 21, 2002

- Management should closely adhere to proclamation goals.
- No development in the monument.
- Place interpretation centers in communities, not in resource areas.
- Consider indirect effects; no offshore drilling.
- Manage the CCNM consistent with NLCS goals.

Judie Benton, October 22, 2002

- Adhere to proclamation directives.
- No development in the monument.
- Place interpretation centers in communities, not in resource areas.
- Consider indirect effects – no offshore drilling.
- Manage the CCNM consistent with NLCS goals.

Jaclyn Sporcic, October 21, 2002

- No further development in the monument.
- Protect natural wonders.
- Protect wildlife.

Santa Cruz County Board of Supervisors – Janet Beautz, October 23, 2002

- Provide more information on costs of being cooperating agency.

Environmental Defense – Richard Charter and Rod Fujita, October 15, 2002

- Protect valuable intertidal zone as a baseline reference.
- Use novel management – control access.
- Conduct innovative restoration.

- Protect shallow water fish and invertebrates.
- Rocks are refuge for nearshore fish, important fishing area.
- Protect all life cycles of marine birds.
- Protect pinniped haulout sites.
- Protect remnant native plant communities.
- Protect birds and marine mammals from low altitude overflights.
- Establish buffers and exclusion areas for kayakers, personal water craft.
- Acquire private rocks from willing sellers.
- Promote stewardship as interpretive theme.
- Monitor and manage research.
- Protect archaeological and cultural resources.
- Consider indirect effects.
- Clarify and refine current legal and regulatory requirements in monument area.
- Coordinate with marine labs, universities, CDPR, national marine sanctuaries.
- Consider the changing human uses of the monument area: seaweed harvest, energy, mining, oil and gas, diesel, LNG terminals.
- Address offshore oil exploration ban, spill response.
- Use GIS to document values.
- Coordinate with MLPA, MLMA, sanctuaries.
- Document use trends.
- Protect aesthetics.

Ocean Conservancy – Kaitilin Gaffney, October 21, 2002

- Stress resource protection: birds, mammals, fish, invertebrates.
- Evaluate and control presence of invasive species.
- Consider land-based pollution, vessels.
- Control over-fishing, recreational encroachment.
- Preserve baseline monitoring activities.
- Conduct comprehensive resource monitoring – identify partners.
- Coordinate with state and federal resource agencies – NMS, CCC, DFG, NPS, CDPR.

- Conduct baseline monitoring
- Coordinate with MLPA, MLMA, sanctuary plans

The Otter Project – Steve Shimek, October 22, 2002

- Protect birds and mammals.
- Southern sea otters use rocks for haulout, foraging.
- Provide access control.
- Support controlled research “mussel watch.”
- Link CCNM management with MLPA, NMS plans.

Mendocino Coast Audubon Society – Warren Wade, October 17, 2002

- Control public behavior to protect birds – get buffers from literature.
- Support public education.
- Sponsor comprehensive bird survey to update 1980 survey.

U.S. Public Interest Research Group, Gulf States Field Office – Monique Sullivan, October 24, 2002

- Protect natural beauty, endangered species.

Elizabeth Van Dyke, no date

- Don't use coastal resources.
- Preserve for future generations.

William Rogers, no date

- Concerned about opening area to resource extractions.

Marisa Morton, no date

- Monument provides essential fueling and nesting habitat.

- Opposes resource extraction.

Joel Bergner, no date

- Concerned about resource extraction.
- Protect resources, endangered species.

San Luis Obispo County Board of Supervisors – Shirley Bianchi, October 23, 2003

- Requests cooperating agency status.

Humboldt County Board of Supervisors – Jimmy Smith, October 15, 2003

- Needs more information to determine interest in cooperating agency status.

Ursula Jones, October 16, 2002

- Concerned about water bag project – offshore structures.
- Concerned about jet skis and rock climbers.

Sierra Club California/Nevada Regional Wilderness Committee – Vicky Hoover, October 25, 2002

- Provide maximum protection of natural resources.
- Retain present wilderness values.
- Retain intertidal habitat as reference habitat.
- Preserve habitats to serve as baseline to gauge success of restoration.
- Monitor research to ensure no harm.
- Protect all life stages of birds, mammals.
- Protect remnant plant communities.
- Control recreational access if necessary.
- Acquire private rocks from willing sellers.

- Provide public education and interpretation at small visitor centers – joint with sanctuaries, others.
- Consider effects on adjacent waters – more controls on oil and gas.
- Protect visual values.
- Inventory, protect, monitor archaeological and prehistoric sites.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, San Francisco Bay National Wildlife Refuge Complex – Margaret Kolar, October 25, 2002

- The CCNM offers a great opportunity for interagency cooperation.
- The CCNM plan should identify a need for updated, comprehensive surveys of seabirds along the California coast.
- USFWS would appreciate help in counts from aerial photos and funding future surveys.
- Reduce bird disturbance from aircraft, boats, other human activity through minimum overflight heights, seasonal exclusions, permitting of access.
- Coordinate with existing public outreach activities and fund new outreach programs.
- Coordinate with the Farallon NWR comprehensive conservation planning effort in 2003.

Comment Number	Issue Category	Issue Subject	Comment
1	1	REC	Should strive to maintain quality of recreation experience.
2	0	REC	Contact: Force Ten kayaks and Department of Boating and Waterways.
3	2	ENF	How will the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) enforce the plan? Concern over interagency jurisdiction.
4	2	ENF	Increased use of rocks will challenge agencies' management of resources.
5	0	RMP	Need to recognize long history of this management process.
6	2	LINKS	BLM should investigate opportunity to coordinate with Oregon.
7	2	PPR	Concern for private property rights – could BLM acquire land from willing sellers? Will the California Coastal National Monument (CCNM) affect how private landowners can use adjacent land?
8	1	INT	Develop key interpretive themes – should coordinate with other agencies; include both general and local themes – will need to be site-specific, depending on level of interest in recreation versus conservation
9	1	BIO	Ensure plants are included in habitat considerations. Need to study and protect plant communities from invasive species. Shouldn't prohibit use of herbicides. Consider performing botany surveys.
10	1	CULT	Archeological/cultural/prehistoric resources need to be surveyed and documented. There are several active tribes that should be contacted.
11	1	CULT	Will CCNM impact the ongoing use of resources by Native American tribes?
12	2	RES	Need to preserve opportunities for scientific research, including collection of documents.
13	1	COMM	Will local communities have their traditions impacted (i.e. Trinidad, July 4th celebration)?
14	2	ENF	Plan must be realistic and implementable.
15	1	COMM	Will plan benefit local communities? Will it provide economic viability?

Comment Number	Issue Category	Issue Subject	Comment
16	2	LINKS	Consider partnering with non-profit organizations to generate funds.
17	2	SPEC	A wilderness designation is desired by the environmental community.
18	1	INDIRECT	How will the CCNM be protected from offshore gas drilling?
19	2	ENF	How will seaweed harvesting be handled?
20	1	INDIRECT	Has water towing been considered?
21	1	INDIRECT	Plan should consider indirect effects.
22	2	ENF	Need to clarify current regulations/laws that protect coastal regions.
23	2	ENF	Are there regulations that guide management of national monuments?
24	2	JUR	Ask Bodega Laboratory Marine Reserve about boundaries and regulations.
25	1	ACCESS	Public access is a concern – want to protect monument from human disturbances.
26	2	INT	Important to coordinate the interpretive elements of CCNM.
27	0	RES	Marine Research Labs: UC Irvine, UC Santa Barbara, UC Santa Cruz, UC San Diego, UC Los Angeles (interpretive center), Humboldt State, San Diego State, USC, Moss Landing, Sea World, MBARI, PRBO, MMC, CAS, LB AOP, Oakland Museum (interpretive center, starting Marine Lab), Cabrillo Museum in Los Angeles, Santa Barbara Natural History Museum.
28	2	RES	It will be important to keep good record of data collection.
29	0	RES	Rocks provide great opportunity to study marine ecology. The areas are so untouched, they provide baseline data repositories.
30	2	ENF	How do you manage the entire system? Birds and mammals all use water.
31	2	ENF	California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG) concerns are mostly in the water, not above “mean high tide.”

Comment Number	Issue Category	Issue Subject	Comment
32	2	SPEC DES	Bird Rock is a proposed Marine Life Protection Act (MLPA) site; has bird population that may need protection. There is occasional human interaction now; abalone diving in the area.
33	1	INDIRECT	Impacts to birds could come from oil, human disturbances, personal watercraft.
34	1	BIO	Important to preserve the rocks, but also to protect and preserve the birds and animals that use them.
35	0	RMP	How do you make this process clear to the public? How do you explain what we're trying to do?
36	0	RMP	Need to communicate to the public that this process is not about fish.
37	1	ACCESS	Will access be prohibited?
38	0	JUR	Have the rocks been numbered/inventoried?
39	0	REC	Has a list been compiled highlighting all potential recreation uses?
40	1	CULT	Sea bird eggs are prized by some cultures.
41	1	ACCESS	Human uses continue to change.
42	1	INDIRECT	Off-shore marine terminals, anchor points, desalination plants are all potential issues to take into consideration.
43	0	BIO	Has there been any biological surveys done on the rocks?
44	0	RMP	Three potentially helpful GIS tools include: Channel Islands, NOAA Monterey Bay, Farallon Islands Sanctuary.
45	2	RES	Consider making list available of potential graduate projects.
46	1	ACCESS	Does BLM have information on use trends? General access information, number of visitors for more popular areas, etc.?
47	1	BIO	Seaweed harvesters on the coast may impact targeted species.
48	1	RMP	Will the Resource Management Plan (RMP)/Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) include a range of alternatives?
49	0	INT	The Farallon Sanctuary is looking into kiosks as a new way to introduce interpretive tools.

Comment Number	Issue Category	Issue Subject	Comment
50	2	LINKS	Important to tie CCNM and other management efforts together through public education (MLPA, Marine Sanctuary Plans, etc.)
51	2	INT	Currently, there are no local interpretive facilities to help the public learn about the area.
52	2	LINKS	Consider potential partnership with Sea Ranch homeowners.
53	1	ACCESS	Access is a concern – increased recreational use is affecting wildlife. Affects on flora due to human access.
54	0	LINKS	Navarro Estuary and rocks – there is a 12-year photo survey.
55	0	LINKS	Great resources in the local community. Residents have a lot of environmental knowledge.
56	1	ACCESS	Seaweed harvesting for 20+ years, mostly at Elk State Beach, www.seaweed.net
57	1	VRM	Scenic values – water bags would affect scenic values – transport, anchoring, mooring. If water bags break and wrap around rocks - what is BLM's policy on this potential impact?
58	0	INDIRECT	David Colfax, County Supervisor – 3 anchor points.
59	0	INDIRECT	www.Gualalariver.org – water bag info.
60	1	VRM	Sea Ranch opinions: 1. Preserve views.
61	1	ACCESS	Sea Ranch opinions: 2. Access is increasing (kayaking, etc.)
62	1	ACCESS	Increased access and use may affect CCNM and local communities - kayaking, abalone diving, use of rocks during harvesting.
63	2	ENF	Concerned about enforcement – illegal fishing, lack of offices available with CDFG.
64	2	ENF	Monitoring and enforcement are critical issues.
65	2	LINKS	Sea Ranch has docents, mostly for seals. Docents may partner with CCNM.
66	1	BIO	Navarro River estuary closure has reduced habitat and preserving habitat for harbor seals. CCNM habitat may then be more critical habitat.
67	1	ACCESS	Sea palm, June-Sept., harvested from rocks.

Comment Number	Issue Category	Issue Subject	Comment
68	1	RECREATION	Rock climbing potential on rocks.
69	1	INDIRECT	Commercial fishing in spawning beds near-shore; live fishing industry may be impacted.
70	1	INDIRECT	Helicopters flying over or landing on CCNM may directly affect resources.
71	1	BIO	Popularity of CCNM may increase, so conservation/education/preservation has to keep pace.
72	2	PPR	Affects on individual homeowners when providing access nodes/pull-outs.
73	0	RMP	Provide the public with list of agency and contractor staff – web/mail contact information.
74	0	RMP	Why an RMP? It should just be left alone.
75	0	RMP	RMP in place to protect resources? Plan designed for affects, uses, enforcement, education, research.
76	2	RES	Regarding research – what is BLM's goal? What types of research topics are being considered?
77	1	INDIRECT	Do not support oil drilling.
78	0	CULT	Historically, a wharf connected rocks, landings had ownership of rocks.
79	0	JUR	Historically, landings had ownership of rocks.
80	0	REC	Contact Force Ten sea kayakers.
81	1	INDIRECT	Local fishing happens year-round. How close can the fishermen get to the rocks?
82	1	INDIRECT	Does abalone diving have impacts?
83	1	COMM	How will increased publicity impact traffic patterns? Will it cause hazards?
84	1	ACCESS	Balance access with conservation.
85	0	REC	Contact Coast Walk (Sebastapol).
86	2	SPEC DES	Why did the Wilderness Designation fail?

Comment Number	Issue Category	Issue Subject	Comment
87	0	RMP	Will the purpose of the RMP be to increase visitors?
88	0	RMP	Why do you need an EIS?
89	0	RMP	Will there be a draft hearing in Elk?
90	0	RMP	What controversies do you foresee?
91	2	BIO	Is collecting seaweed regulated?
92	2	INDIRECT	Will the monument impact fishing access? Any impacts to sport fishing?
93	2	JUR	How does "mean high tide" relate to low tides?
94	2	ENF	Will DFG remain in control of waters?
95	2	LINKS	Why isn't State Lands Commission a partner?
96	0	RMP	What is in the Plan?
97	2	INDIRECT	Recreational fishing – how will the monument affect current regulations?
98	2	ENF	Commercial fishing – need to have coordination between State Parks and Fish & Game regarding regulations and enforcement (i.e. live catch near shore, shellfish, muscles and sea vegetable harvesting).
99	3	INDIRECT	What's the point of the monument? Will it protect the waters from oil exploration?
100	2	ACCESS	Does BLM have eminent domain? Beach access? New access thru willing sellers?
101	1	COMM	Don't really care to have lots more people here – may impact rural feel of this town.
102	0	COMM	"I live here because it's rural."
103	2	LINKS	Is there an ability to have public involved with regulations and resource management?
104	2	LINKS	Need to have consistent policies so users aren't confused.
105	1	INDIRECT	If water is taken, the ecosystem will be impacted.

Comment Number	Issue Category	Issue Subject	Comment
106	1	COMM	Tourism is complex – affects economic development and has impacts – community should have input on how the monument will be publicized.
107	1	COMM	Community members have made conscious decisions/sacrifices to live here and we don't want to see it adversely affected.
108	2	LINKS	Consider potential partnership with Pt. Arena Lighthouse.
109	0	ENF	BLM has a bad reputation via mineral/mining; skeptical of BLM.
110	1	ACCESS	Increased access is a concern.
111	2	LINKS	Local visitor center could handle and disperse monument information.
112	3	INDIRECT	No offshore drilling – monument goals and policies should support no drilling.
113	1	COMM	Concerned over increased traffic.
114	3	ENF	Oppose day use fees.
115	2	ENF	Local state park ranger needs boat to patrol area.
116	2	ENF	Will there be a staff increase?
117	0	COMM	Request that telephone pole gets moved – move to Coffey's Cove.
118	2	ENF	Keep the status quo.
119	0	COMM	We live here because we love the beaches.
120	0	BIO	Love to view/appreciate the river otters.
121	1	INDIRECT	Off-shore drilling and the possibility of its reinvigoration is a big concern.
122	2	ENF	No more implementation is needed.
123	0	RMP	For strong ideals, recommend reading "Walden Pond."
124	0	LINKS	Are surfers being represented in this process?

Comment Number	Issue Category	Issue Subject	Comment
125	1	CULT	Native American community needs to be approached.
126	0	BIO	Love to watch birds and sea mammals from the bluffs.
127	1	COMM	Not interested in generating large numbers of visitors.
128	2	INT	Who decides on the interpretation tools used? Language on the signs needs to be written carefully – language implies ownership.
129	2	INT	Possible to produce publications describing different sections of the coast?
130	1	BIO	Problem arises when people impact resources.
131	1	ACCESS	Consider that human beings are sea mammals too.
132	0	RMP	What is the BLM really trying to accomplish?
133	2	ENF	What if we suggest you do nothing?
134	1	BIO	Concerned about preserving air quality, vegetation.
135	2	COMM	Local/regional focus is key.
136	0	BIO	Sitka spruce grows only on rocks here.
137	2	JUR	Clarify how close to shore the rocks are (i.e. how accessible rocks are to public use at low tide and how management may impact those uses – clarify the jurisdictions.
138	2	ENF	Management is unnecessary. Rocks are landmarks. Concerned that regulation will restrict current uses.
139	1	BIO	Increased tourism over the years may be impacting bird and marine mammal populations. Recommend public education on these resources.
140	2	INT	Recommend public education on bird and marine mammal populations.
141	1	BIO	Abalone divers hit rocks.
142	2	ENF	Will CCNM prohibit piers from connecting to rocks?
143	1	COMM	Will CCNM impact tourism to St. George's Reef?

Comment Number	Issue Category	Issue Subject	Comment
144	2	BIO	Recommend long-term studies on bird and marine mammal populations.
145	1	BIO	Brown Pelicans use rocks.
146	0	LINKS	Contact UCD representative in Crescent City (Dr. Anderson), Tsuari representatives, Chuck Snell (intertidal expert).
147	0	LINKS	Coordinate with HSU Marine Laboratory and graduate students.
148	0	LINKS	Coordinate with Ned Simmons, local historian at Trinidad Museum.
149	1	INDIRECT	Crab fishing, whale watching, party boats and kayaking are popular recreational activities.
150	0	LINKS	Contact Lowell at Northwest kayaking.
151	1	ACCESS	Trail access is a concern. The city is responsible for maintaining the trails and the Native Americans would like to reduce public access.
152	2	LINKS	Consider cooperative funding of education/interpretation (BLM with the Trinidad Museum).
153	1	INT	Need signage explaining threat of waves.
154	1	INT	Should try to educate people on the result of human impacts.
155	0	COMM	Concerned about lack of local input on plan.
156	1	ACCESS	Concerned about inability to use/swim to or on Camel Rock.
157	0	RMP	Would like to see Presidential Proclamation.
158	1	CULT	Need to contact/involve Native American community.
159	2	LINKS	Establish relationship with Coastal Commission, State Lands Commission.
160	1	ACCESS	Preserve coast environment and keep public access.
161	2	INDIRECT	Use CCNM as a tool to manage/influence indirect impacts.
162	1	VRM	Preserve aesthetics/beauty; rocks increase scenic beauty.

Comment Number	Issue Category	Issue Subject	Comment
163	2	ENF	Minimize new regulations; maximize interagency coordination, protection already exists.
164	0	VRM	Humboldt North Coast Land Trust – love Trinidad and the rocks.
165	1	ENF	County Board of Supervisors – protect resources and beauty; the key may be enforcement; prevent a few from ruining the rocks for us all.
166	1	CULT	Yurok Indians have valid existing rights and traditional uses on rocks
167	1	CULT	BLM should get direct input from tribes and fishermen
168	1	INDIRECT	Sport fishing is a common activity near the rocks, especially in protected coves
169	2	ENF	It is a good idea to just leave the rocks alone
170	2	ENF	Need larger enforcement staff to protect CCNM resources
171	2	INT	Explore public interpretation and education opportunities with CDP&R
172	2	ENF	CDP&R is also a key enforcement partner due to coastal presence
173	1	RES	Review and permit scientific research
174	1	BIO	Important to prevent introduction of exotic species by people on rocks
175	1	COMM	CCNM designation may increase ecotourism
176	1	COMM	Business community would welcome extra business; business growth would be a goal
177	0	RES	CCNM may provide valuable natural history data
178	2	LINKS	Use CCNM as a vehicle to coordinate with other agencies coastal policies and management as a whole
179	2	JUR	Are there rocks within or among the Channel Islands that are included in the monument?
180	2	JUR	Is there a GIS inventory of the rocks that is available to the public?

Comment Number	Issue Category	Issue Subject	Comment
181	2	ENF	Will there be an attempt to secure additional funding?
182	2	ENF	Is competition for land/air space an issue?
183	1	ACCESS	What actions are you protecting the rocks from?
184	1	BIO	Is there an inventory of biological resources? That information would be very informative.
185	0	ENF	Is there an inventory of past military bombing areas?
186	1	BIO	Concern over conflicts with special status species and military actions
187	1	BIO	Military is concerned about decrease in mission – need to identify current/existing uses of offshore and adjacent areas; understand direct and indirect effect of those uses
188	0	LINKS	Department of Defense recently completed an Integrated Natural Resource Management Plan (San Clemente, San Nicholas, San Miguel areas and on-shore facilities). Contact: Dr. Gary White, NPS.
189	0	LINKS	EIS completed for Point Mugu; Fort Hunter-Liggett INRMP (for offshore rocks)
190	2	JUR	Does CCNM include rocks offshore of the islands (i.e. Catalina Island)?
191	0	INDIRECT	Recreation uses in the area include scuba diving, fishing, kayaking, boating, abalone diving, private and commercially guided trips
192	2	ENF	How will enforcement be handled? CDF&G wardens, Coast Guard, City of San Diego, volunteer stewards?
193	2	JUR	Need to investigate status of rocks connected to the mainland (i.e. Whaler Rock at Crescent City)
194	1	GEO	Consider geomorphic variability – sediment/sand accumulation, degradation, faulting uplift
195	2	LINKS	Consider management tools to coordinate and share data; develop agreements on data sharing and data use
196	1	RMP	Does NEPA apply beyond three nautical miles? Series of NEPA documents applied to OCS

Comment Number	Issue Category	Issue Subject	Comment
197	0	RMP	Would like access to GIS database containing resources and ownership information
198	0	RMP	What is the adequacy of the existing data? Is there enough to write a meaningful RMP?
199	0	RMP	RMP will be a working document and new information will be incorporated as it becomes available. RMP should last approximately 10 years
200	2	BIO	Important to consider the CCNM in an ecosystem context
201	2	RMP	Does the RMP trigger Coastal Zone Management Act compliance? The California Coastal Act? The plan should consider consistency with the California Coastal Commission policies, including local coastal
202	2	RMP	According to the Federal Land Policy and Management Act, RMPs need to be consistent with local plans
203	0	RMP	Other groups to contact in area include San Diego Council of Divers, Catalina Conservancy, Vandenberg Air Force Base (confirm ownership, uses, resources), Baykeeper, Coastwatch, Environmental Health Coalition, Harborkeeper, Surfrider Foundation, Audubon Society
204	2	LINKS	Need to make sure the plan incorporates military concerns/operations
205	2	LINKS	Get the Coast Guard involved in process
206	0	BIO	Sport fishing organizations may have useful information (CDFG has list of organizations). United Anglers Association and Sportfishing Association of CA are the two major groups
207	2	ENF	Need to identify where the military operates (land, air uses) and make sure these specifics get incorporated into the plan. Military representatives (Steve Huber) can help identify these locations
208	1	INDIRECT	In-flight military operations (passes) may be more of an issue than "use"
209	2	ENF	Images of GIS layers on the CCNM web site would be a useful tool for the public and military
210	0	LINKS	Vandenberg Air Force Base may have database on resources; Camp Pendleton has information on shore birds, but no rocks or off-shore species

Comment Number	Issue Category	Issue Subject	Comment
211	0	LINKS	As with the MLPA, it is likely that the armed forces will give one coordinated response to the CCNM. CCNM and MLPA response likely to be very similar
212	0	BIO	Military typically goes to the regulatory agencies for resource information
213	1	ACCESS	The Coastal Commission is concerned about protecting and promoting access – this may become an issue for the CCNM
214	2	ENF	MLPA deals with discreet areas, but could be potential opportunities to overlap with CCNM
215	2	ENF	BLM may consider giving the CCNM over to the state to manage – may be easier
216	2	ENF	Who will be responsible for enforcing plan? Could an MOU with the city be developed to cover above mean high tide?
217	2	ENF	Are there funding sources available?
218	0	RMP	Who approves the Final Plan?
219	0	JUR	Do the rocks in the CCNM, incorporate all the rocks along the coast?
220	2	BIO	By not protecting fish under the rocks, are we creating a problem with the seals/sea lions?
221	0	BIO	Need to look at the system as a whole
222	3	INDIRECT	How will sonar testing by the Navy be incorporated into the plan?
223	2	ENF	Will you have enough personnel to cover 11,000+ rocks?
224	1	BIO	Problem example – concrete platform to Goff Rock allows human access to island. Sea lions, birds have left. Will wildlife return if access is removed?
225	0	ENF	Generate list of CCNM rocks in Laguna Beach to help beach stewards.
226	0	INT	Lack of awareness of the rocks, preservation, sensitive resources.
227	2	ENF	City of Laguna Beach lifeguards have primary responsibility for enforcement of protections. Seeking funding for vessel.

Comment Number	Issue Category	Issue Subject	Comment
228	1	CULT	Valid existing rights/uses of historic structures need to be investigated. Investigate effects/benefits of structure removal
229	2	BIO	What is biological integrity of CCNM?
230	1	INDIRECT	What are the biological impacts of squid boats with lights?
231	2	INDIRECT	What underwater protections are required to protect birds and mammals?
232	1	INDIRECT	Evaluate indirect, ecosystem-level impacts to sea birds and marine mammals that use the CCNM
233	1	BIO	Monthly CDFG data on principal haul out sites. Review data to find correlations between preservation, use, and human contact.
234	0	INDIRECT	Commercial squid harvests/populations – data at San Pablo Marine Institute
235	1	INDIRECT	Squid harvesting increasing; squid are a key prey for marine mammals
236	2	INT	Provide markers/buoys/signs to increase awareness/sensitivity
237	0	INT	Existing parks and overlooks are great places for interpretive/educational signs
238	0	INT	Orange County developing a universal “marine protected area” - John Lowengrubb, Marine Life Protection Committee
239	0	INT	Universal sign will “brand” monument so it is more easily recognizable/understood
240	2	INT	Volunteer docents to help with enforcement and education; put new stand at Rockpile.
241	2	INT	Environmental education is very popular – should do outreach to schools. Resource - Ocean Institute
242	2	BIO	“Reporters” in local cities; locally knowledgeable people with long-term observations; should coordinate with empirical data collection, standardize methods
243	1	LINKS	CCNM should coordinate data collection, sharing, aggregation, interpretation
244	0	BIO	Red and black abalone are gone; brown pelican has recovered, less kelp today

Comment Number	Issue Category	Issue Subject	Comment
245	1	INDIRECT	Water pollution/runoff can adversely affect resources
246	0	BIO	Steven Murry, CSU Fullerton - long-term studies of intertidal ecosystem
247	1	ACCESS	Very large number of users on rocks/tide pools
248	2	INT	Signage needs to be visual due to many non-English speakers; new ethnic groups bring different eating/harvesting practices
249	1	CULT	Consider importance of rocks to Native Americans; Goff Island was a traditionally used area
250	0	LINKS	Can bird surveys coordinate with Audubon?
251	0	LINKS	Laguna Beach has "vision process." Ocean Laguna focusing on the "blue belt" – currently working on developing plan and mission.
252	1	ENF	Seal and Bird Rock protected now by the city, but still have issues with the public using the rocks. It's a good thing what the CCNM is doing.
253	2	ENF	Enforcement is an issue. Education will be important to assist with enforcement
254	0	REC	Divers and kayakers are active in the area
255	0	INT	There are good opportunities for signage in Laguna Beach area
256	2	ENF	Consistency will be important – need to sort out which agencies are responsible for enforcement
257	2	LINKS	MLPA has many different organizations/groups involved in their process – probably a good place to get information. Coordination with MLPA actions will be important.
258	0	RMP	There appears to be a very low awareness of the CCNM demonstrated by the fact that many CDFG staff were unaware of its existence.
259	2	INDIRECT	Not a lot of rocks in Newport, but the area is a big polluter. Will BLM be responsible for tracking water quality?
260	1	INDIRECT	If the ocean is contaminated or polluted, will BLM be responsible for protecting the rocks from that polluted water?

Comment Number	Issue Category	Issue Subject	Comment
261	2	ENF	Could the plan provide recommendations to other agencies with management responsibilities near to the monument?
262	2	INT	Signage along the coast will help to educate the public (e.g. Surfrider signage in Santa Cruz) - doesn't seem like many people are aware of the monument's existence
263	0	RMP	Name of the monument implies one specific area, an individual place; hard to visualize
264	0	JUR	Are rocks off San Clemente/Catalina included in the monument? Does the 12-mile zone include these rocks? There are 5 or 6 rocks off Catalina that should be investigated for ownership
265	0	LINKS	Friends of Irvine Coast is an active organization and would likely be interested in this process
266	0	REC	Beaches in Laguna area see about 3 million people per year – Laguna lifeguards keep track of this information as well as enforcement contacts
267	0	ACCESS	www.USLA.org has public access information; Dave Kiff, Assistant City Manager for Newport, is also a good source of information
268	0	REC	Offseason activities include kayaking and diving; Laguna Sea Sports would be a good source of information
269	0	REC	www.PADI.com - diving association
270	0	LINKS	Contact Kristin Valette regarding project "AWARE"
271	1	INDIRECT	Concern over recreation uses – hang gliding, wind surfing, and potential impacts on birds
272	0	RMP	Public may lack awareness of CCNM; may be problematic for the preparation and review of RMP
273	0	RMP	Identify existing values that need to be managed
274	2	ENF	How to manage/balance between values and uses?
275	0	RMP	Does BLM know how public values the CCNM? If unknown, it's problematic. Need to know values to manage them.
276	2	ENF	Existing rules and regulations need to be known and publicized, especially protection of biota.

Comment Number	Issue Category	Issue Subject	Comment
277	2	ENF	Need to know what (if any) ground rules are already in existence
278	2	INDIRECT	Concern over access restrictions for boating, surfboards, kayaks and other recreation activities
279	0	ENF	CCNM management jurisdiction needs to be verified, and coordination of management responsibilities clarified
280	0	JUR	Ownership of Richardson and Wilson Rock and other rocks off Channel Islands need to be confirmed
281	0	RMP	Public should have access to CCNM managers and resource database
282	2	LINKS	Need to clarify CCNM relationship with National Marine Sanctuaries
283	0	BIO	Any studies of biological trends, degradation?
284	0	RMP	What is the cost of the RMP plan and process?
285	1	INDIRECT	Native Americans have long-used rocks. Now people are using radio-controlled airplanes, motorized equipment; new technologies may cause impacts
286	2	INDIRECTG	Can motorized equipment be banned?
287	2	ENF	Important to have new policies for new uses and new technologies
288	2	INDIRECT	Para-gliding, kite boarding are becoming popular activities
289	1	ACCESS	People use offshore rocks to stand on and fish from; boat fishing and fishing from the shore is popular
290	2	ENF	Department of Navy concerned about any proposal that would restrict training, traditional uses. Also need to verify ownership, reserved for military purposes.
291	2	SPEC DES	Wilderness Act designation possible?
292	2	ENF	Enforcement of existing laws is lacking
293	2	ENF	Will maps be clarified to show CCNM around Channel Islands?
294	0	REC	May want to contact Fulsail Windsurfing Club, Paddlesports (company tours), local Santa Barbara Soaring Association

Comment Number	Issue Category	Issue Subject	Comment
295	1	INT	In order to educate the public, need to explain what a National Monument is, and what it is not, in terms of public uses.
296	0	RMP	Will alternatives focus on regulations?
297	2	INDIRECT	Vandenberg Air Force Base is an information resource for the rocks. Will continuing to fly over them be a problem?
298	2	INDIRECT	Should contact Coast Guard
299	1	CULT	Vandenberg has a historical site on the rocks (off of Honda Pt.)
300	0	LINKS	Gaviota National Seashore Study
301	2	LINKS	Torch oil legal settlement – money for restoration, birds, etc.
302	0	RMP	Channel Island – Marine Sanctuary boundary
303	0	RMP	Central Coast Sanctuary – trying to expand
304	0	LINKS	Morro Bay Greenbelt Alliance – National Estuary office – contact Mike Multari 805-772-3834 (Steve Larson of BLM Bakersfield office is aware of the Alliance)
305	0	INDIRECT	Water pollution/quality contact: Julia Dyer (805-594-6144) is already involved in monitoring water quality
306	0	RMP	What prompted the Presidential Proclamation?
307	2	ENF	Will there be penalties if rocks are damaged?
308	2	ENF	How will the rocks be managed? Protected? Enforced?
309	1	REC	What impacts will the management plan have on public uses?
310	1	COMM	Consider impacts to fishermen
311	0	ENF	Does the BLM have dedicated funding for implementation, public education, management, monitoring, research, and enforcement?
312	2	ENF	Were there any regulations proposed with the original Presidential Proclamation?

Comment Number	Issue Category	Issue Subject	Comment
313	0	RMP	Are the rocks mapped? Is this information available to the public?
314	0	RMP	Is there a size limit to the rocks included in the monument? 11,507 seems like a low number
315	2	ENF	Historically, not much attention has been paid to these sites
316	1	CULT	Management plans for local parks include information on the historical and cultural significance of these rocks
317	0	RMP	Are the rocks GIS plotted?
318	0	BIO	USFWS has bird surveys
319	2	ENF	How will the public be notified if areas become restricted?
320	0	COMM	Sea palms are harvested in the area
321	1	INT	Important to start public education in schools for young audiences
322	2	INDIRECT	Concern over rock picking abalone, mud slide impacts, squid fishing
323	1	LINKS	A creative funding source would be to offer naming opportunities for the rocks
324	0	RMP	NASA shuttle mapped the coast in early 1990s – may want to get that information
325	0	RMP	May want to contact Bay Area Divers Association for information on diving
326	0	JUR	How will submerged reefs be treated?
327	1	ACCESS	How will public access issues be treated (i.e. flying helicopters over rocks)?
328	1	GEO	If necessary, how will road reconstruction be dealt with (i.e. mudslide on Hwy. 1)?
329	1	LINKS	How will the BLM work with organizations responsible for managing tide pools?
330	1	ENF	Will there ever be an entrance fee for the CCNM?
331	0	BIO	CDFG has published 2 atlases regarding the birds and mammals on the rocks

Comment Number	Issue Category	Issue Subject	Comment
332	1	ACCESS	Important to be aware of access provided by personal watercraft.
333	1	ACCESS	Access by people is a growing issue – CCNM should pay attention to it and anticipate it when possible
334	1	BIO	Sea stacks at Bixby may be southern most nesting spot for common murre
335	1	BIO	Local knowledge of residents should be captured/recorded especially for pinnipeds
336	2	ENF	Will a research and monitoring program to track changes, trends, and management needs be incorporated into the plan?
337	2	ENF	Question is how to integrate management and protective measures with other jurisdictions?
338	0	BIO	Ventana Wilderness Society Big Sur Ornithology Lab – Craig Hohenberger, Director
339	0	RMP	How do you do outreach for the entire state?
340	2	ENF	Partnerships will be required for cost effectiveness
341	2	INDIRECT	Review existing FAA rules and regulations for flights over coast. FAA could be a vehicle for education, distributing information
342	1	BIO	Have biologists reviewed over-flight rules for adequacy?
343	0	BIO	Harry Carter, Senior Biologist at USFWS in Dixon has information on over-flight issues, experiences
344	1	BIO	Point Lobos has existing over-flight rules, as well as Ano Nuevo, Anacapa, but FAA challenged their jurisdiction
345	1	INT	Can do outreach through aquaria – education, information, protection messages
346	1	GEO	Rocks and islands have dynamic geomorphic history (and future).
347	1	INT	Scuba diving organizations, dive shops have outreach infrastructure
348	1	ACCESS	Scuba divers have just about the only access to many rocks
349	0	GEO	Square Black Rock near Black Creek, no longer square or black (e.g. of dynamic geology)

Comment Number	Issue Category	Issue Subject	Comment
350	0	RMP	Who is responsible for naming and cataloging the rocks? The local Monterey County placename book has many names for rocks
351	1	CULT	Jeff Norman, Big Sur historian/biologist, has local knowledge of coast resources, local names
352	0	CULT	Each county has a placename book; local historical societies may be other resources
353	0	CULT	Does BLM have responsibility for shipwrecks? Should connect with maritime museums
354	1	INT	Recommend calling directors at each aquarium to get contact information and to coordinate outreach efforts
355	0	RMP	Many people do not know about CCNM so BLM may not receive many public comments or questions
356	0	RMP	A videotape of scoping meeting in Monterey will be available for public access channels
357	0	RMP	NMS just went through scoping, so there may be "scoping fatigue"
358	0	RMP	Will the BLM or the contractors write the plan?
359	2	RMP	Has the CCNM considered running a railway along the coast? And a highway?
360	2	RMP	Define roles of CDFG and CDPR
361	2	JUR	Does the CCNM extend up vertically?
362	1	REC	What are some of the current recreational uses? Current threats?
363	1	BIO	What are some of the current threats?
364	2	ENF	Where does CDFG jurisdiction come in?
365	2	ENF	What is the staffing of the CCNM?
366	0	JUR	Are parks considered part of the monument?
367	2	INDIRECT	Use of jet skis for rescue should not be allowed (i.e. Surf rider and other commercial entities currently use them)
368	1	BIO	Concern over disturbance caused to mammals and birds caused by low over-flight; BLM and USFWS should get the word out to mitigate the over-flight disturbances
369	2	LINKS	Murre Project funding – can BLM partner to assist with future murre research? Assist with annual aerial (over-flight) research?

Comment Number	Issue Category	Issue Subject	Comment
370	2	INDIRECT	Could transportation increases along the coast affect the habitat?
371	2	ENF	What actions are not allowed according to the Presidential Proclamation?
372	2	ENF	Coast Guard is moving to a more environmental approach; keep informed - don't want to be left out of the loop
373	2	ENF	Coast Guard to assist with enforcement activities
374	2	ENF	Keep consistent with regards to boundaries/buffers around rocks and islands
375	2	ENF	Identify places along the coast where the Coast Guard has jurisdiction
376	1	BIO	Coast Guard maintenance activities should be scheduled in a way to best protect murre habitat
377	2	INT	Fitzgerald Marine Reserve – bus loads of kids invade the rocks. Can interpretation/messages be given to schools, parks, municipalities to educate the public about protection of habitats? Fitzgerald promoted as a place to come to for schools; schools should direct kids/teachers to other places able to accommodate educational needs. Fitzgerald in boundary of the GGNRA; NPS can be another partner at Fitzgerald
378	2	INT	Put up public outreach signs to inform people about why we need to protect rocks
379	1	INT	Tide pool educational signs (i.e. Cabrillo and on Maui, Hawaii) are ideas for supporting and protecting rocks and islands
380	1	ACCESS	Can BLM play a role in access issues regarding monument visitation/sightseeing (easements, fee title)?
381	2	RES	Is BLM coordinating research and access to rocks and islands? Who does someone go to first – BLM, State Parks, Fish and Game if interested in research? Need central coordination point
382	2	RES	Does BLM have protocol for overseeing research activities?
383	1	RES	Need outreach to research institutions to let them know permits are needed to conduct research activities; notify them about agency protocols
384	1	INDIRECT	Can noise affect marine habitat? Possible to protect these areas from noise caused by low over-flights?
385	1	BIO	Harvesting of sea weed should be addressed – happens certain times of year

Comment Number	Issue Category	Issue Subject	Comment
386	1	BIO	Marine life included in the monument area should be considered
387	2	JUR	Who has responsibility for waters below mean high tide?
388	2	ENF	The coordination between agencies responsible for management activities will be critical element to the plan
389	1	CULT	Is the historical significance of the rocks being considered?
390	0	CULT	Native American Heritage Commission has information available on Native American uses
391	0	RMP	Important for various agencies developing management plans to put their plans into context so it helps the public understand the various efforts
392	2	LINKS	USFWS has annual sea bird counts – could assist with funding
393	1	BIO	SEALS has information on human impacts to marine mammal populations
394	2	LINKS	Beach Watch may lead into Sea Watch – possible partnership opportunity. Contact Jan Roletto, Gulf of Farallon Sanctuary Office in San Francisco
395	1	INDIRECT	Low-flying aircraft is currently the biggest disturbance to many rocks in the area. Important to do outreach to pilots on impacts; funding of outreach/coordination of efforts will be important (i.e. coordinate with USFWS on development of outreach material)
396	1	INT	Consider developing public outreach plan – Oregon's is a good example
397	1	INT	Educating users to explain impacts will be very important – understanding impacts will help the public self-regulate behavior
398	0	INT	Save Our Shores (S.O.S) on the San Mateo coast does boating education
399	1	INT	Has there been an increase in use by organized tourist groups? Has this use been tracked? Have these groups been reached out to?
400	0	BIO	1976 California Handbook on Natural Areas of Significance (published by Governor's OPR office) may have useful information

Comment Number	Issue Category	Issue Subject	Comment
401	1	BIO	Opportunity for research project to study how habitats may be impacted by sea level changes – impacts of global warming
402	1	BIO	Canada Geese populations are an issue
403	1	REC	Increasing popularity of kayaking is becoming an issue. Sara Allen conducted a study and found kayakers significantly impact seal populations.
404	2	ENF	Incorporate the resource values of the above-water areas with the planning for the MLPA areas. MLPA areas may restrict harvest or consumptive use, but won't restrict access that could affect birds
405	0	INT	Roy Lowe, USFWS trainer for military pilots in Oregon, has outreach program posters in airports, etc.
406	1	INDIRECT	Consider the effects of and restrict water bagging operations at the Albion and Gualala Rivers
407	2	LINKS	Manage the CCONM in close coordination with the National Park Service
408	0	BIO	River otters frequently use the offshore rocks for resting, foraging and mating
409	2	BIO	Manage the vegetation on the rocks, as it is used by the river otter population along the coast
410	2	LINKS	Coordinate RMP preparation with USFWS Seabird Conservation Plan and Calif. Current Marine Bird Adaptive Cons. Plan
411	2	RES	Work with USFWS to update seabird monitoring
412	2	LINKS	Coordinate seabird conservation and management along the coast
413	1	BIO	Protect habitat long-term
414	1	GEO	Protect geologic features
415	2	INT	Interpret values for public
416	1	CULT	Protect natural, historic, and prehistoric values
417	2	ENF	Don't compromise protection of values by promoting awareness, appreciation
418	1	BIO	Intertidal zone ecosystem nearly extinct, needs protection

Comment Number	Issue Category	Issue Subject	Comment
419	2	ENF	Consider innovative management such as access control
420	2	ENF	Consider innovative restoration
421	1	BIO	Protect subtidal habitat, important to mammals and birds
422	1	BIO	Protect avian species at all life stages
423	1	BIO	Protect nesting areas from all forms of disturbance
424	1	BIO	Protect critical marine mammal haul-out sites
425	1	IND	Minimize overflights, light intrusion at night
426	1	ACC	Control sea kayak and personal watercraft encroachment with buffers
427	2	JUR	Acquire private rocks from willing sellers
428	2	INT	Provide interpretation for effects of public use
429	1	RES	Manage and monitor research to avoid harm
430	1	IND	Consider indirect effects
431	2	ENF	Clarify and refine regulations and laws
432	1	IND	Consider potentially conflicting uses - kelp harvest, energy dev't., mineral extraction, desalination plants, LNG terminals
433	3	IND	Address offshore oil and gas extraction ban
434	1	IND	Address oil spill response
435	2	LINKS	Coordinate management and interpretation with MLPA, MLMA, sanctuary plans
436	2	ENF	Document current and historic use trends
437	2	ENF	Develop comprehensive monitoring programs for sensitive wildlife
438	2	LINKS	Develop a coordinated visitor center with Pt. Arena Lighthouse Keepers
439	0	LINKS	Collaboration with BLM

Comment Number	Issue Category	Issue Subject	Comment
440	2	ENF	Adhere to proclamation
441	2	ENF	No development or resource extraction
442	2	ENF	Adhere to proclamation
443	2	ENF	No development
444	2	INT	Place interpretation centers in communities, not in resource areas
445	1	IND	Consider indirect effects; no offshore drilling
446	2	IND	Manage the CCNM consistent with NLCS
447	2	ENF	Adhere to proclamation directives
448	2	ENF	No development
449	2	INT	Place interpretation centers in communities, not in resource areas
450	1	IND	Consider indirect effects - no offshore drilling
451	2	LINKS	Manage the CCNM consistent with NLCS
452	2	ENF	No further development
453	1	ENF	Protect natural wonders
454	1	BIO	Protect wildlife
455	3	N/A	Provide more info on costs of being cooperating agency
456	0	N/A	Same as earlier letter
457	1	BIO	Protect valueable intertidal zone as a baseline reference
458	2	ACC	Use novel management - control access
459	2	BIO	Conduct innovative restoration
460	1	BIO	Protect shallow water fish and invertebrates

Comment Number	Issue Category	Issue Subject	Comment
461	1	BIO	Rocks are refuge for nearshore fish, important fishing area
462	1	BIO	Protect all life cycles of marine birds
463	1	BIO	Protect pinniped haul-out sites
464	1	BIO	Protect remnant native plant communities
465	1	IND	Protect birds and marine mammals from low altitude overflights
466	1	ACC	Establish buffers and exclusion areas for kayakers, personal water craft
467	2	JUR	Acquire private rocks from willing sellers
468	2	INT	Promote stewardship as interpretive theme
470	2	RES	Monitor and manage research
471	1	CULT	Protect archeology and cultural resources
472	1	IND	Consider indirect effects
473	2	ENF	Clarify and refine current legal and regulatory requirements in monument area
474	0	LINKS	Coordinate with marine labs, universities, CDPR, NMS's
475	1	ACC	Consider the changing human uses of the monument area - seaweed harvest, energy, mining, oil and gas, diesel, LNG terminals
476	3	IND	Address off-shore oil exploration ban, spill response
477	0	RMP	Use GIS to document values
478	0	LINKS	Coordinate with MLPA, MLMA, sanctuaries
479	0	ENF	Document use trends
480	1	VRM	Protect aesthetics
481	1	BIO	Stress resource protection -birds, mammals, fish, invertebrates
482	1	BIO	Evaluate and control presence of invasive species

Comment Number	Issue Category	Issue Subject	Comment
483	1	IND	Consider land-based pollution, vessels
484	1-Jan	ACC	Control overfishing, recreational encroachment
485	2	ENF	Preserve baseline monitoring activities
486	2	ENF	Conduct comprehensive resource monitoring - identify partners
487	0	LINKS	Coordinate with state and federal resource agencies - NMS, CCC, DFG, NPS, C DPR
488	2	ENF	Conduct baseline monitoring
489	0	LINKS	Coordinate with MLPA, MLMA, sanctuary plans
490	1	BIO	Protect birds and mammals
491	0	BIO	Southern sea otters use rocks for haul-out, foraging
492	2	ACC	Provide access control
493	0	RES	Support controlled research "mussel watch"
494	2	LINKS	Link C CNM management with MLPA, NMS plans
495	1	ACC	Control public behavior to protect birds - get buffers from literature
496	0	INT	Support public education
497	2	RES	Sponsor comprehensive bird survey to update 1980 survey
498	1	VRM	Protect natural beauty, endangered species
499	2	ENF	Don't use coastal resources
500	2	ENF	Preserve for future generations
501	1	ENF	Concerned about opening area to resource extractions
502	0	BIO	Monument provides essential fueling and nesting habitat
503	1	ENF	Opposes resource extraction

Comment Number	Issue Category	Issue Subject	Comment
504	1	ENF	Concerned about resource extraction
505	2	BIO	Protect resources, endangered species
506	0	LINKS	Requests cooperating agency status
507	1	IND	Concerned about water bag project - offshore structures
508	1	ACC	Concerned about jet skis and rock climbers
509	2	ENF	Provide maximum protection of natural resources
510	2	ENF	Retain present wilderness values
511	2	BIO	Retain intertidal habitat as reference habitat
512	2	RES	Preserve habitats to serve as baseline to gauge success of restoration
513	2	RES	Monitor research to insure no harm
514	1	BIO	Protect all life stages of birds, mammals
515	1	BIO	Protect remnant plant communities
516	1	ACC	Control recreational access if necessary
517	2	JUR	Acquire private rocks from willing sellers
518	2	INT	Provide public education and interpret at small visitor centers - joint with sanctuaries, others
519	1	IND	Consider effects on adjacent waters - more controls on oil and gas
520	2	VRM	Protect visual values
521	2	CULT	Inventory, protect, monitor archeology and prehistoric sites
522	2	ENF	Develop "important" and "not as important" rock categories
523	2	ENF	Avoid creating problems for other agencies in meeting missions
524	0	ENF	Focus on resources above mean high tide

Comment Number	Issue Category	Issue Subject	Comment
525	2	LINKS	Coordinate with all groups
526	2	INT	Determine appropriate education approach and who does best
527	0	LINKS	Works with Coastal America Group
528	2	ENF	Plan components should include - education, resources, biology, geology, common use enforcement, historical/cultural, recreation, human use.
529	2	RMP	Develop atlas
530	0	N/A	Contact Lee Thormaklen in Denver MMS regarding Federal and State boundary, int'l waters
531	0	JUR	There are rocks off of Channel Islands that are part of monument
532	1	BIO	Avoid seabird habitat disturbance
533	2	INT	Focus education on habitat sensitivity
534	1	ACC	Consider increase in recreation use
535	2	ENF	Inventory sea caves
536	2	INT	Coordinate education and research
537	2	INT	Need seabird disturbance video
538	2	RES	Sponsor seabird count
539	0	RES	Channel Is. Nat. Park has monitoring and research program
540	0	BIO	NPS has conducted botanical study of Prince Island, Santa Barbara Island
541	2	RES	Coordinate long-term research with CINP (and monitoring)
542	2	ENF	Create public assistance group ("friends")
543	0	LINKS	Contact maritime museums
544	2	RES	Focus on research and seabird habitat
545	2	RES	Develop research protocols

Comment Number	Issue Category	Issue Subject	Comment
546	2	ENF	Focus on restoration of seabird habitat
547	2	ENF	The Plan should have an inventory focus
548	2	LINKS	Link CCNM monitoring with MBNMS Integrated Monitoring Network
549	2	LINKS	What link will there be with state Marine Protected Area Program?
550	2	LINKS	Coordinate with federal consistency staff, ocean resources
551	0	LINKS	Contact CCC district offices for local policies
552	0	ENF	Review coastal LCPs
553	0	ENF	Supports protection
554	2	LINKS	Wants to be partner
555	0	*N/A	Marina Carlorda is CCC contact

Issue Category

- 0-Statement providing a general comment, information, or a question, but not really addressing or raising an issue.
- 1- Issues that will be analyzed in the EIS.
- 2- Issues that will be addressed in the RMP through administrative action or policies, or through existing laws and regulations.
- 3- Issues that are beyond the scope of the proposed action.

Issue Subject

ACCESS- comment related to access to the CCNM.

BIO- comment related to biological resources in the CCNM.

COMM- comment related to effects on local communities, including economics, quality of life, traffic, and community identity.

CULT- comment related to cultural or historic resources, including valid existing rights.

ENF- comment related to the enforcement of regulations or the management of the CCNM. While this subject will typically deal with coordination with other agencies to enforce rules and regulations, the comments specifically deal

GEO- comment related to the geology of the CCNM.

INDIRECT- comment related to the effects of actions beyond the immediate jurisdiction of the CCNM, but that may directly or indirectly affect biological or other resources in the CCNM.

Comment Number	Issue Category	Issue Subject	Comment
----------------	----------------	---------------	---------

INT- comments related to outreach, education, and interpretation for the public or specific interest groups or user groups.

JUR- comment related to the legal authority or geographic jurisdiction of the CCNM.

LINKS- comment related to the formation of partnerships, collaboration, or cooperation with other state, federal, or local agencies and interest groups for the purpose of RMP planning, data collection, or CCNM management.

PPR- comment related to private property rights.

REC- comment related to recreational use of the CCNM or immediate vicinity.

RES- comment related to scientific research in the CCNM or pertinent to the CCNM.

RMP- comment referring to the preparation of the RMP, NEPA compliance or the planning processes in general.

SPEC DES- comment related to special designations in the CCNM, such as a wilderness area, ACEC, or other the creation of some other special use zone.

VRM-comment related to the management of aesthetics or visual resources.