

**L1010 FY2010 - 2012 Field Office Performance Review
February 2013**

Per BST recommendation, a performance criterion for the L1010 functional area has been developed for the L1010 BAM. Performance is based on a field office's reporting the beneficial use of water assigned to the BLM under Appropriated Licenses and Permits (LP), and Statements of Diversion and Use (SDU) to the State Water Resources Control Board as required under California water law and BLM policy. Program element EC documents this work.

Licenses and Permit water rights require annual report filing, and SDU claims require reporting once every three years. Thus, a minimum EC workload for a field office can be calculated by multiplying the total number of LPs by 3, and adding the total number of SDUs as illustrated with the formula $(3*LP) + SDU = \text{Total EC over three years}$. The following table summarizes, by field office, their 3 Year EC potential (as defined by the formula) and a three year total of EC reported from FY2010 – 2012. If all ECs were reported, a field office would achieve a minimum of 100% EC reported to EC potential. Because EC also includes work besides annual reporting of water rights, it is possible for a field office to exceed 100% (see Bishop).

For purposes of BAM calculations, the percentage completed is converted into an integer, so that a total for the state can be summed. The integer, divided by the total yields a proportion of statewide accomplishment. If all field offices ultimately achieve 100% of their reporting requirements, all will share equally the money allocated in this criterion, regardless of the total number of water rights held. Supporting data can be found on the SWARF sharepoint site at: [POD Attribute Table BAM Calculations](#).

Table 1: Total possible EC compared to actual EC claimed in PMDS over a three year period.

	App L/P	SDU	3 Year Total	2010	2011	2012	3 YR EC Total
Alturas	116	79	427	20	3	20	43
Arcata	12	1	37	0	5	2	7
Bakersfield	5	798	813	0	0	0	0
Barstow	1	35	38	0	0	0	0
Bishop	9	298	325	288	0	45	333
Eagle Lake	164	20	512	15	47	30	92
El Centro	1	37	40	0	0	0	0
Hollister	28	77	161	0	0	0	0
Mother Lode	10	9	39	9	9	5	23
Needles	3	14	23	0	0	0	0
Palm Springs	0	2	2	0	0	0	0
Redding	15	18	63	0	5	15	20
Ridgecrest	13	24	63	0	0	0	0
Surprise	1	0	3	0	1	0	1
Ukiah	13	145	184	14	68	5	87
	391	1557	2730				606

Table 2: Allocation percentage based on Total 3 year EC completed compared to total EC possible.

FO	Total EC Potential	Total 3 YR EC Completed	%3YR EC to Total EC	Integer	Allocation Fraction
Alturas	427	43	10%	10	0.031447
Arcata	37	7	19%	19	0.059748
Eagle Lake	512	92	18%	18	0.056604
Redding	63	20	32%	32	0.100629
Surprise	3	1	33%	33	0.103774
Ukiah	184	87	47%	47	0.147799
Bakersfield	813	0	0%	0	0
Bishop	325	333	102%	100	0.314465
Mother Lode	39	23	59%	59	0.185535
Hollister	161	0	0%	0	0
Ridgecrest	63	0	0%	0	0
Palm Springs	2	0	0%	0	0
El Centro	40	0	0%	0	0
Barstow	38	0	0%	0	0
Needles	23	0	0%	0	0
	2707	606		318	