



United States Department of the Interior



BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

California State Office

2800 Cottage Way, Suite W-1834

Sacramento, CA 95825

www.ca.blm.gov

September 23, 2004

In reply refer to:
1680(CA-944) I

EMS TRANSMISSION: 9/23/04
Instruction Memorandum No. **CA-2004-060**
Expires: 09/30/2005

To: DSDs, DM-CDD, All Field Managers

From: State Director

Subject: Budgeting Process for FY 2005 and 2006 Using the Budget Planning System

DD: 10/01/04; 10/22/04

Program Area: FY 2005 and 2006 Budget Development.

Purpose: This Instruction Memorandum (IM) supplements and highlights guidance contained in the attached WO IM No. 2004-244, dated September 15, 2004 (Attachment 1). Please read the WO IM thoroughly as it provides the majority of the direction and guidance for this process. The key elements of this process are: (1) entry and prioritization of projects for FY 2005 for the Forest Ecosystem Health and Recovery Fund (FEHRF) and Forest Pest Control; and (2) entry and prioritization of projects for FY 2006 to be used in preparing the FY 2006 Budget Justifications.

Policy/Action: The Budget Planning System (BPS) provides the mechanism to supply information needed to develop the BLM budget justifications submitted to Congress, and serves as an information data source for internal budget decisions, allocations and directives. ***It is imperative that California be aggressive in the use of the BPS system to be competitive for scarce funding.*** This will require a concerted effort by all offices to enter project proposals in BPS that clearly meet theme evaluation criteria, are well written to enhance potential for selection, and contain all of the relevant cost and performance information. We have included some general guidelines for BPS project data entry in Attachment 2 to assist users in this effort. Where required, project prioritization shall be done for each BPS theme. *Regional prioritization of projects is not required.* Projects that are fire-funded, and construction projects included in BLM's 2006 5-Year Plan, are excluded from the FY2005/2006 process.

FY 2005 Projects – For the following programs only, Field Offices and NLCS units shall enter, evaluate and prioritize projects, and submit them through BPS by **COB Friday, October 1, 2004.**

Forest Ecosystem Health and Recovery Fund (FEHRF) – Projects under this program must be attached to the 2005 RP-18 theme. Field Offices should note the emphasis in the WO IM on projects that modify forest species composition and structure to improve forest resiliency to fire, insects and disease, thereby helping to achieve the goals contained in the National Fire Plan.

Forest Pest Control – California does not anticipate submitting any projects under this program. If a Field Office is considering a project proposal attached to the 2005 RP-19 theme, contact James Newman, SO Division of Resources (916-978-4635) prior to entry and submission.

FY 2006 Projects – Field Offices, NLCS units, and SO Divisions shall enter, evaluate and prioritize projects, and submit them through BPS by **COB Friday, October 22, 2004**. There is no limit on the number of projects that can be submitted under a particular theme. Base program projects are limited by definition and are exempt from the prioritization requirement.

Specific Themes – Emphasis should be given to submitting project proposals that qualify for one of the 33 specific themes identified in the WO IM associated with the four major DOI Strategic Mission Areas (Resource Protection, Resource Use, Recreation, and Serve Communities) and the planning theme. Do not submit a project under more than one theme. Please note the following highlights applicable to these themes:

- For the first time, CCS and CCI projects are being requested on the same timeframe as other BPS projects.
- Emphasize CCS projects that focus on partnerships addressing invasive species, OHV and transportation management, and sage grouse habitat restoration.
- The Applications of Science theme has been removed for 2006. Project proposals that were applicable to this theme must be submitted using one of the other themes. Ensure that the project meets the criteria of the theme selected.
- A new theme for 2006 (RP-26) is provided for project proposals to be considered for grant funding by the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation.

Mission Area Themes – Projects that do not qualify for one of the 33 specific themes may be submitted using one of the four general themes tied to the DOI and BLM Strategic Mission Areas (Resource Protection, Resource Use, Recreation, and Serve Communities). For a project submitted using a mission area theme, the project title *must* identify the primary subactivity involved followed by a related descriptive name (e.g., 1050-Carrizo Plain Cultural Inventory), and it should be limited to a single subactivity whenever possible.

Base Projects – Each Field Office and NLCS unit shall update/enter and submit a base program project for each MLR subactivity for which it has base funding *except* for 1060-Wild Horses & Burros and 1830-Fixed Costs. The theme selection should be 2006 BASE, and the project name must use the following convention: organization code/subactivity numeric code/BASE (e.g., CA160/1310/BASE). Use the FY 2005 PTA adjusted base funding identified for each organization in the “By Office” worksheet in Attachment 3. This was derived by adjusting the FY 2004 EOY base up or down using the statewide percent increase or decrease in subactivity base funding identified in the FY 2005 PTA. A minimum of one success story shall be provided for each base program project. (*NOTE: The base funding levels identified in Attachment 3 are for the purpose of completing the base project exercise and are not intended as a final base allocation for FY 2005.*)

Using the Field Office feedback, SO Program Leads are responsible for preparing the statewide subactivity base program projects for FY 2006 (this can be accomplished by updating the information contained in base projects that were submitted for FY 2005). The theme selection should be 2006 BASE, and the project name must use the following convention: State initials/subactivity numeric code/BASE (e.g., CA/1010/BASE). Use the FY 2005 PTA base funding identified for the state in the “Statewide” worksheet in Attachment 3. A minimum of one success story must be attached to each subactivity base program project. *Subactivity 1060-Wild Horses & Burros is exempt from preparation of a statewide base program project at this time pending further guidance from the Washington Office.*

SO Program Leads responsible for preparing base program projects should be aware of the additional requirements identified in the WO IM that need to be addressed in the base submissions. These requirements are: (1) an explanation for any 2004 performance or workload targets *by subactivity* that deviated from the target by 10% or more; and (2) an explanation for

2004 end-of-year carryover funding *by subactivity* of 2% or more. Any requests to maintain carryover funding above 2% must include a justification. If either of the above explanations is applicable to a base program project, the narrative should be placed in the Benefits/Results section of the BPS Project Justification screen.

Timeframe: The deadline for submission of FY 2005 projects using BPS is **COB Friday, October 1, 2004**. The deadline for submission of FY 2006 projects using BPS is **COB Friday, October 22, 2004**.

Contacts: Questions concerning the BPS themes for FY 2005/2006 and the methodology to be used to determine state priorities within each theme can be directed to the State Office point of contact identified in Attachment 4. Program-related questions concerning base program projects or other issues should be directed to the appropriate SO Program lead. Policy questions concerning these instructions, including technical questions on the use of BPS, can be directed to the SO Budget Staff.

Signed by:
J. Anthony Danna
Acting State Director

Authenticated by:
Richard A. Erickson
Records Management

Attachments (4)

Attach. 1 - WO IM 2004-244 (8pp)

Attach. 2 – Guidelines for BPS Project Data Entry (1pp)

Attach. 3 - FY 2005 PTA Base Funding Tables (Statewide and By Office) (10pp) (*Excel file*)

Attach. 4 - State Office Points of Contact for FY 2006 BPS Themes (1pp)

cc: ACOs
Regional Budget Coordinators

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20240

September 15, 2004

In Reply Refer To:
1673 (880) I

EMS TRANSMISSION 09/16/2004
Instruction Memorandum No. **2004-244**
Expires: 09/30/2005

To: ADs, SDs, and CDs

From: Budget Officer

Subject: Budgeting Process for Fiscal Years (FY) 2005 and 2006 Using the Budget
Planning System (BPS) **DD: 10/15/2004 and 11/12/2004**

Program Area: Budget Development

Purpose: This Instruction Memorandum (IM) directs State/Center Directors to submit projects through the BPS for FY 2006 for the development of the Bureau of Land Management's (BLM) Budget Justifications for Congress. Submitted projects will be reviewed and selected by the Washington Office (WO) Assistant Directors (ADs) for inclusion in the FY 2006 Justifications. Projects will be selected through a prioritization process based on evaluation criteria specific to each theme. It also directs State/Center Directors to submit Forest Ecosystem Health and Recovery (RP-18) and Forest Pest Control (RP-19) projects through the BPS for FY 2005.

Policy/Action: Fiscal Year 2006 will be the fourth consecutive budget cycle in which BPS is used to identify, prioritize, and select site-specific projects for funding in the coming budget year. These projects are arrayed in a way that can be tied directly to the Strategic Plan of the Department of the Interior (DOI) and the BLM.

The development and presentation of a budget request that is clear, concise, and presents defensible program needs requires information and participation from all levels of the BLM. This process begins with the identification of projects in the BPS by Field Offices, with subsequent ranking, review, prioritization and submittal of specific projects by each State/Center. The needed procedures for completing this exercise are available by logging into the BPS at <http://bps.blm.gov>.

2005 Projects

The use of BPS projects in the development of the BLM's 2005 Justifications and Planning Target Allocations (PTA) has allowed the BLM to identify the specific purpose and location of requests for additional funding and to provide a more complete description of the BLM's use of base funding, especially our "success stories". In addition, the ability to allocate new or existing

flexible funds to the States/Centers in the PTA in accordance with our Justification requests and priority program needs has also been effective. Although the BLM used the BPS extensively in developing the 2005 PTA, the following programs were unable to request information previously and are requesting that projects be developed, prioritized and submitted by the States on **October 15, 2004**, for selection and inclusion in the 2005 Annual Work Plan (AWP):

- RP-18; The Forest Ecosystem Health and Recovery Fund (FEHRF) is a permanent operating account which funds projects designed to meet one or more of the following resource management objectives:
 - Salvage dead and dying timber;
 - Modify forest species composition and structure to improve forest resiliency to fire, insects, or disease;
 - Improve the mix of cover types for wildlife habitat; and
 - Re-establish native species and control invasive or exotic species.

Projects that modify forest species composition and structure to improve forest resiliency to fire and insects and disease help achieve the goals contained in the National Fire Plan. As such, these projects may qualify to use one or more of the tools provided by the Healthy Forests Initiative and the Healthy Forests Restoration Act.

- RP-19; Forest Pest Control funds are transferred from the Forest Service to the BLM annually for approved projects and are then allocated to State Offices under subactivity 9620. Pest control project submissions must be coordinated through the WO, Forest and Woodland Management Group. Project proposals are solicited by the WO each year in September and then submitted to the Forest Service for their consideration. Unobligated funds will not automatically carry forward to the following FY, but must be requested in the next AWP process and approved by the Forest Service.

2006 Budget Justifications

One of the primary objectives of the BPS is to replace the historic data and information-gathering methods for BLM's budgeting process, which involved numerous data calls, short timeframes, redundant requests, inconsistency from one budget step to the next, and disruptions of other priority work. The BPS now provides a systematic means to accomplish this objective. It is important that all BLM employees begin and continue to develop project proposals to be included in the 2006 budget request to Congress.

Projects are to be submitted by the States/Centers by **November 12, 2004**. These projects will be ranked by the appropriate AD's prior to use in the development of Justifications in February 2005:

- To meet these information and timeframe needs, offices should begin to enter projects and develop packages immediately based on opportunities, issues and the overarching direction of the DOI Strategic Plan.

- Project proposals at all levels of the BLM should be evaluated and prioritized based on the criteria identified in each of the themes shown below. Project proposals submitted by States and Centers should be evaluated and submitted to the WO by November 12, 2004. There is no limit on the number of projects that can be submitted from each State or Center; however, all projects must be prioritized and ranked (1, 2, 3X), with no two or more projects receiving the same ranking. The BPS Themes for 2006 and the Program and WO Budget Office contacts include the following:

BPS THEME TABLE FOR FY 2006				
Dated August 31, 2004				
Mission Area	THEME NAME	BPS THEME NUMBER FY 2006	Program Contact	WO-880 Budget Analyst
Resource Protection				
Resource Protection	Resource Monitoring	RP-1	Bud Cribley – WO-200	Sterling White
Resource Protection	Challenge Cost Share (CCS)	RP-2	Jill Silvey – WO-200	Rebecca Lasell
Resource Protection	Clean Water Watershed Restoration	RP-3	Rich Bulavinetz - WO-230	Sterling White
Resource Protection	Rangeland Management - Invasive Species	RP-4	Gina Ramos – WO-220	Sterling White
Resource Protection	Threatened and Endangered (T&E) Species	RP-7	Peggy Olwell – WO-230	Rebecca Lasell
Resource Protection	Rangeland Management - Standards and Guidelines	RP-9	Bob Bolton – WO-220	Sterling White
Resource Protection	T&E Species Conservation Plans	RP-10	Peggy Olwell – WO-230	Rebecca Lasell
Resource Protection	T&E Management - Sagebrush and Prairie Restoration	RP-11	Peggy Olwell – WO-230	Rebecca Lasell
Resource Protection	Abandoned Mine Lands	RP-14	Luis Coppa – WO-360	Rebecca Lasell
Resource Protection	Special Cleanup Fund (Haz-Mat)	RP-15	Nancy Dean – WO-360	Rebecca Lasell
Resource Protection	Stewardship of Public Lands - Sage Grouse	RP-16	Peggy Olwell – WO-230	Rebecca Lasell
Resource Protection	Stewardship of Public Lands - Columbia River Fisheries	RP-17	Rick Bulanvinetz – WO-230	Rebecca Lasell
Resource Protection	Forest Health and Recovery Fund	RP-18	Rick Tholen – WO-270	Linda Boody
Resource Protection	Forest Pest Control	RP-19	Rick Tholen – WO-270	Linda Boody

BPS THEME TABLE FOR FY 2006
Dated August 31, 2004

Mission Area	THEME NAME	BPS THEME NUMBER FY 2006	Program Contact	WO-880 Budget Analyst
Resource Protection	Ecoregional T&E Planning	RP-20	Peggy Olwell – WO-230	Rebecca Lasell
Resource Protection	Backlog of T&E Consultations for LUP	RP-21	Peggy Olwell – WO-230	Rebecca Lasell
Resource Protection	Native Plant Materials Development	RP-23	Carol Spurrier – WO-230	Rebecca Lasell
Resource Protection	At risk Cultural/ Paleontological Resources	RP-24	Richard Brooks – WO-240	Rebecca Lasell
Resource Protection	Antiquities Centennial	RP-25	Richard Brooks – WO-240	Rebecca Lasell
Resource Protection	National Fish and Wildlife Foundation	RP-26	Dwight Fielder / Jill -Silvey – WO-230	Rebecca Lasell
Resource Protection	Cooperative Conservation Initiative (CCI)	RP-27	Anita Arends – WO-220	Rebecca Lasell
Resource Use				
Resource Use	Non-Renewable Minerals Management (I&E)	RU-2	Bill Gewecke – WO-310	Bill Gilmore
Resource Use	Energy Rights-of-Way Actions	RU-3	Jeff Holdren - WO350	Rem Hawes
Resource Use	Renewable Energy Resources - Geothermal	RU-4	Gordon Hansen - WO310	Bill Gilmore
Resource Use	Forest Management	RU-5	Kenny McDaniel – WO-230	Linda Boody
Resource Use	Healthy Forests - Stewardship Contracting	RU-7	Kenny McDaniel – WO-230	Linda Boody

Recreation				
Recreation	Transportation and Public Access	RV-2	Mark Goldbach – WO-250	Chris Gordon
Recreation	Partnerships and Gateway Communities	RV-4	Scott Abdon – WO-250	Chris Gordon
Recreation	Public Health and Safety (O&M)(2004) / Visitor Services (O&M) (2005)	RV-5	Mark Goldbach – WO-250	Chris Gordon
Recreation	Recreation Operations	RV-6	Mark Goldbach – WO-250	Chris Gordon

Serve Communities				
Serve Communities	Law Enforcement, Border and Facility Security	SC-1	Rob Smith – WO-170	Matt Quinn
Serve Communities	Mining Law Site Rehabilitation	SC-2	Scott Murrelwright - WO-300	Bill Gilmore
Other				
Other	Planning	PL-1	Mark Spencer – WO-210	Chris Gordon

Items of note within the table above:

1. 2006 projects for CCS (RP-2) and CCI (RP-27) are being requested on the same timeframe as other BLM projects for the first time. Please be conscious of this request date change for these themes;
 2. While no additional themes have been created as a result of the 2006 passback from the DOI some emphasis considerations within the current themes should be considered in project development, ranking, and submittal. Projects submitted within the CCS (RP-2) theme should focus on partnership projects which address invasive species (weeds), Off Road Vehicles and transportation management, or sage grouse habitat restoration. While all applicable CCS projects should be considered for submission, the potential for additional funding in these program areas in 2006 makes the possibility of project selection in these areas enhanced;
 3. The Applications of Science Theme has been removed from the 2006 project requests. Project proposals applicable to this theme should be reconsidered for submittal in other theme areas; and
 4. Projects for presentation to the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation for 2006 should be submitted under theme RP-26 by November 12, 2004.
- Projects can be prepared and submitted in the following theme areas tied to the Departmental and BLM Mission Areas: a) Resource Protection; b) Resource Use; c) Recreation; and d) Serve Communities, even if there is no anticipated new or recurring funding planned for these themes. These projects do not have to respond to any specific selection criteria or project description; however, in order to ensure that they receive appropriate consideration:
 1. All projects to be considered by the WO in FY 2006 must be tied to one of the general BLM theme areas indicated above;
 2. The project title must identify the primary subactivity involved followed by a related descriptive name (i.e. 1050_Saguache FO Cultural Inventory); and
 3. Project proposals should be limited to a single subactivity whenever possible; however, projects with multiple subactivities will be given complete consideration.

Base Projects - The DOI and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) are placing increased emphasis on the use of funding within the BLM's base programs in the development and presentation of our Justification requests. The following is a direct quotation from the 2006 DOI instructions for preparation of the OMB budget submission which demonstrates the significance being placed on describing our "base" capabilities:

"The constrained level of our request requires a shift in documentation emphasis from incremental program changes to the entirety of your budget, with an emphasis on performance.you should document efforts to examine your base programs; work more efficiently and smarter; highlight the importance of funding increased pay costs; and describe how you have reprioritized within the base."

In order to meet the need for enhanced demonstration of how the BLM is using its base funding capabilities for the 2006 Justifications, the WO is requesting that each State/Center continue to provide a description of each Management of Lands and Resources or Oregon and California subactivity through a "base" program project for FY 2006. These "base" program descriptions should provide a narrative that identifies the program priorities for each individual State or Center and the workload within this program that is anticipated to be accomplished in 2006, assuming that base funding similar to that in 2004 will be available. The "base" projects for each subactivity from 2005 can be used as a starting point; however, the additional following items need to be addressed in the State/Center 2006 base submissions:

1. States/Centers must explain the causes for any performance or workload targets, by subactivity that either exceeded or were lower than the target by a margin of 10 percent or more in 2004. This information is needed to provide justification for such significant changes in our performance and workload objectives in our request to Congress.
2. States/Centers should describe the reasons for end-of-year carryover funding of 2 percent or more in any subactivity. Requests to maintain carryover over 2 percent must provide justification.

Should either of these narrative discussions be necessary in the base project description, they should be placed in the Benefits/Results section within the Project Justification of the BPS project.

Success stories within each subactivity will provide very positive mechanisms for displaying what the BLM is doing with the funding received by the program in the FY 2006 Justifications. States/Centers should provide a minimum of one "success story" for each subactivity identified by a base project. States/Centers are encouraged to develop success stories that clearly present program accomplishments dealing with partnerships, performance and cost improvements, resource use or protection efforts, and public recognition. Success stories should be attached directly to the base project.

Other BPS Project Informational Items

The Budget Formulation and Planning (BF&P) module to the Financial and Business Management System (FBMS) is currently being developed to replace much of the current functionalities of the BPS. The current schedule for deployment of this BF&P module is November 2005; however, the BPS will continue to be used for the development of projects to be used in the FY 2007 Budget Justifications. The WO and the FBMS Execution Team will

continue to provide information about the implementation, training, and deployment of the FBMS system, during the coming year.

States/Centers should continue to use the National Fire Plan Operations and Reporting System (NFPORS) for the development, evaluation, review, and prioritization of all fire-funded projects in FY 2006.

Deferred Maintenance, Infrastructure Improvement, and Construction projects have already been developed, evaluated, and selected for inclusion in the BLM's 2006 5-Year Plan. This 5-Year Plan represents the BLM's selection process and budgetary submission for these activities and no further project development or project submission in the BPS is necessary.

Timeframe: The due date for submission of the FY 2005 BPS projects for the Forest Ecosystem Health and Recovery Fund and Forest Pest Control is October 15, 2004. The due date for the FY 2006 Theme and Base projects is November 12, 2004.

Budget Impact: None

Background: The BLM developed a redesigned budget process which was approved by the Executive Leadership Team (ELT) in 1999. Principal among the reasons for this redesign was the need to improve and strengthen the content and presentation of its budgeting needs and program capabilities. Fiscal Year 2006 will be the fourth consecutive budget cycle in which BPS will be used to identify, prioritized, and select site-specific projects for funding in the coming budget year. These projects are arrayed in a way that can be tied directly to the Strategic Plan of the DOI and BLM.

Manual/Handbook Sections Affected: None

Coordination: The FY 2006 themes were developed by each AD in coordination with the WO Budget Office.

Contacts: Questions concerning policy issues identified in this IM should be addressed to Mike Ferguson, BLM Budget Officer, at 202-452-7745. All questions regarding the use of the BPS, or the information requested as it relates to the budgeting process, can be directed to Steve Tryon at 202-452-5099, Kevin Andersen at 202-452-7729, or Rebecca Lasell at 202-452-5081.

Signed by:
Michael A. Ferguson
Acting Budget Officer

Authenticated by:
Barbara J. Brown
Policy & Records Group, WO-560

Guidelines for BPS Project Data Entry

- Projects with smaller dollar requests are likely to be funded over those with large dollar amounts (79% of all FY2004 funded projects Bureau-wide requested \$100,000 or less; 57% requested \$50,000 or less).
 - For CCS, no FO should expect more than \pm \$80,000 in funding for the sum of all projects asking for >\$5,000; therefore, FOs should not ask for more than \$80,000 for any individual CCS project to allow the SO to adhere to FO priority rankings.
- Landscape level projects involving large dollar amounts should be broken down into phases with smaller dollar requests over two or more fiscal years, e.g. multi-year projects.
- All information requested by WO should be entered for each project submitted; otherwise it will not be given any further consideration for funding. Partnership, detail funding, and workload measure data has consistently been lacking in California's BPS project data.
- Make sure the project qualifies for the theme under which it will be submitted. Too often the project does not qualify, e.g., CCS vs. CCI, or it does not fit the theme description.
 - If the theme for a project funded in the previous year(s) is no longer available, select a new theme from those available for which the project qualifies.
- Attach projects to a specific theme where feasible before using one of the general themes.
- Projects meeting the DOI, BLM, and CA Strategic mission areas and priorities will compete more favorably for funding.
- Consider submitting project proposals under one of the Recreation (RV) themes that involve revenue generation/enhancement, e.g., preparation and/or implementation of business plans and/or activity plans.
- Labor costs (permanent and/or non-permanent personnel) directly related and necessary to complete the project should be factored into the annual funding requested.
- Indirect costs (e.g., costs of performing work of a general nature that supports multiple program areas) should be factored into the annual project funding requested. A general rule of thumb for indirect costs is 20%.
 - For CCS and CCI projects, indirect costs are limited to 10% and 2%, respectively, of total project cost.
- Be sure to match workload measures to the appropriate subactivity using the priority PE/subactivity concept.
 - Since the 1770 (CCS) and 1771 (CCI) subactivities do not have priority PEs identified by WO, be sure to use PEs identified as appropriate.
- Do NOT submit a project attached to more than one theme. This creates confusion and multiplies the workload required to prioritize and submit projects.
- Project narratives (description, benefits/results, feasibility, external support) should be clear, concise and provide the ability to easily discern what is being proposed, how the project will be enacted, the resulting benefits, the timeframe for implementation, how the project satisfies the theme criteria, and whether the project requires funding from multiple subactivities.

State Office Points of Contact for FY 2006 BPS Themes

Mission Area	Theme Name	BPS #	Program Contact
Resource Protection			Tom Pogacnik
	Resource Monitoring	RP-1	Lenore Thomas
	Challenge Cost Share (CCS)	RP-2	John Willoughby
	Clean Water Watershed Restoration	RP-3	Lenore Thomas
	Rangeland Mgt – Invasive Species	RP-4	Dianna Brink
	T&E Species	RP-7	Ed Lorentzen
	Rangeland Mgt – Standards and Guidelines	RP-9	Dianna Brink
	T&E Species Conservation Plans	RP-10	Ed Lorentzen
	T&E Mgt – Sagebrush and Prairie Restoration	RP-11	Ed Lorentzen
	Abandoned Mine Lands	RP-14	Lenore Thomas
	Special Cleanup Fund (Haz Mat)	RP-15	Lenore Thomas
	Stewardship of Public Lands–Sage Grouse	RP-16	Ed Lorentzen
	Stewardship of Public Lands–Columbia River Fisheries	RP-17	Lenore Thomas
	Forest Health and Recovery Fund	RP-18	James Newman
	Forest Pest Control	RP-19	James Newman
	Ecoregional T&E Planning	RP-20	Ed Lorentzen
	Backlog of T&E Consultations for LUP	RP-21	Ed Lorentzen
	Native Plan Materials Development	RP-23	John Willoughby
	At Risk Cultural/Paleontological Resources	RP-24	Ken Wilson
	Antiquities Centennial	RP-25	Ken Wilson
National Fish and Wildlife Foundation	RP-26	John Willoughby	
Cooperative Conservation Initiative (CCI)	RP-27	John Willoughby	
Resource Use			Steve Kupferman
	Non-Renewable Minerals Mgt (I&E)	RU-2	James Haerter
	Energy Rights-of-Way Actions	RU-3	Duane Marti
	Renewable Energy Resources–Geothermal	RU-4	Sean Hagerty
	Forest Management	RU-5	James Newman
	Healthy Forests-Stewardship Contracting	RU-7	Craig Barnes
Recreation			Tim Smith
	Transportation and Public Access	RV-2	Tim Smith
	Partnerships and Gateway Communities	RV-4	Tim Smith
	Public Health and Safety/Visitor Services	RV-5	Tim Smith
	Recreation Operations	RV-6	Tim Smith
Serve Communities			Howard Stark
	Law Enforcement, Border and Facility Security	SC-1	Richard Smith
	Mining Law Site Rehabilitation	SC-2	James Hamilton
Other			
	Planning	PL-1	Jack Mills