



# United States Department of the Interior

## BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

California State Office  
2800 Cottage Way, Suite W1834  
Sacramento, California 95825

[www.ca.blm.gov](http://www.ca.blm.gov)

September 6, 2000



In Reply Refer To:

8100(P)

CA930.5

EMS TRANSMISSION: 09/06/00

Instruction Memorandum No. CA 2000-094

Expires: 09/30/01

To: AFOs

From: Deputy State Director, Natural Resources

Subject: Information Needed for Cultural Heritage Strategic Plan

**DD: 7/28/00;  
9/25/00**

Please refer to WO IM 2000-151 which requests information with two due dates. Each Field Office needs to provide the Deputy Preservation Officer information by July 28, 2000. The California Desert District Office should respond if they will receive units in either 'BC' or 'HF' program elements. If not, only Field Offices should reply to the following:

1. A descriptive list of priority inventory lands (Program Element 'BC'). A total of 640 acres will be required as a result of the Inspector General's findings on the national cultural program. 440 acres are required as a result of the Washington Office Assessment, 200 additional acres are required as a result of the Protocol with SHPO. Provide a list, by name of area, of the acreage which will be inventoried. If the 640 acres will be divided between two geographical areas, provide the name of all geographical areas.
2. A descriptive list of all sites which will have protection projects accomplished on them (Program Element 'HF'). Name the places and sites which will be protected using either the common name or the trinomial associated with the site. California's target is 25 cultural sites and five paleontology sites. Each Field Office will protect at least one site. Offices containing rich paleontology resources should decide which paleontology resources are at risk or need protection the most. Again, 12 National Register of Historic Places nominations are required under our SHPO Protocol. Please list the name of the site which you plan to nominate to the National Register of Historic Places.

The above will be used to develop the FY 2001 Annual Work Plan and budget request and justification but the numbers specified above will be achieved within existing funding. Any add-on funds will be used for other augmentation projects.

By September 25 all field offices, including the California Desert District Office, are required to submit the detailed information outlined in Attachment 3 to the Deputy Preservation Officer. This will be used to assist in developing out year budget packages and in responding to our FY 2000 Annual Report to Congress.

If you have any questions, please contact the Deputy Preservation Officer, Russell L. Kaldenberg, at 916 978-4635.

**Signed**  
**Timothy E. Smith**  
**Acting DSD, Natural Resources**

Authenticated  
Liza Raymundo  
Records Management

Attachment - WO IM 2001-151 - Development of Cultural Heritage Program Strategic Plan  
(5 pp)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20240  
<http://www.blm.gov>

July 7, 2000

In Reply Refer To:  
8100 (240) P

EMS TRANSMISSION 07/12/2000  
Instruction Memorandum No. 2000-151  
Expires: 09/30/2001

To: All State Directors  
Attn: Cultural Heritage Program Leaders

From: Assistant Director, Renewable Resources & Planning

Subject: Development of Cultural Heritage Program Strategic Plan

**DD: 07/31/2000; 09/30/2000**

The Washington Office, Cultural Heritage, Wilderness, Special Areas & Paleontology Group (WO-240) recently released its Strategic Paper, "Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Cultural Resources At Risk" (June 2000). This paper acknowledges that the threat to BLM's cultural resources has become a crisis, and that urgent action is required on BLM's part to avoid having our Nation's vanishing cultural legacy relegated to the mantelpiece of posterity.

One followup action being implemented is development of a "step-down" Cultural Heritage Program Strategic Plan, which will be a supplement to the Bureau's Strategic Plan. The Cultural Heritage Plan will provide details on how the BLM intends to meet its proactive obligations under Section 110 of the National Historic Preservation Act and Section 14 of the Archaeological Resources Protection Act, and achieve the numerical targets in the Bureau's Strategic Plan.

This Instruction Memorandum outlines information States are being asked to provide for development of the Cultural Heritage Plan, and establishes two due dates for submission of this information.

By July 31, 2000, all BLM State Offices are asked to provide preliminary descriptive lists of their priority inventory (Program Element "BC") and protection (Program Element "HF") projects for Fiscal Year (FY) 2001, naming specific places and sites where they will conduct this work and the units of work which will be accomplished; this information is required for development of the FY 2001 Annual Work Plan. Each State has been assigned a numerical

target for these program elements for FY 2001, split out between cultural resources and paleontology (Attachment 1), which will be achieved within existing funding. The criteria States should apply in identifying and ranking their critical protection and inventory needs and the format to be followed are shown in Attachment 2. The ranking of the inventory and protection projects should be completed by the 2 State's Deputy Preservation Officer (DPO), using input supplied by each BLM Field Office. States must indicate how they will achieve their minimum targets, although they are encouraged to identify areas and units of work that will allow them to exceed these targets. The DPOs are required only to indicate how they will achieve their cultural units, not the paleontological ones.

By September 30, 2000, All BLM State Offices are asked to submit the detailed information outlined in Attachment 3, not to exceed 4 pages in length. Each of the itemized points must be addressed, although States will need to work with the WO on the submission of graphics and pictures for their States.

For further information about the Cultural Heritage Program Strategic Plan, please contact Richard Brook at (202) 452-0326 or e-mail him at [rbrook@wo.blm.gov](mailto:rbrook@wo.blm.gov).

Signed by:  
Henri R. Bisson  
Assistant Director  
Renewable Resources & Planning

Authenticated by:  
Robert M. Williams  
Directives, Records  
& Internet Group, WO540

### 3 Attachment

- 1 - Cultural Heritage Program Strategic Plan (1 p)
- 2 - Criteria (1 p)
- 3 - Report Outline (1 p)

***Directives forwarded to State Director, CA-930, R. Kaldenberg on 7/18/00***

**CULTURAL HERITAGE PROGRAM STRATEGIC PLAN  
 FY2001 PROPOSED INVENTORY (BC) & SITE MANAGEMENT (HF) TARGETS  
 CULTURAL & PALEONTOLOGICAL RESOURCES**

<b>State</b>	<b>FY2000 BC Planned (MIS)</b>	<b>FY2001 BC Proposed</b>	<b>FY2000 HF Planned (MIS)</b>	<b>FY2001 HF Proposed</b>
<b>AK</b>	2850	2000 cult 400 paleo	3	5 cult 3 paleo
<b>AZ</b>	1300	2000 cult 300 paleo	26	25 cult 3 paleo
<b>CA</b>	15000	4000 cult 400 paleo	119	25 cult 5 paleo
<b>CO</b>	2120	2000 cult 400 paleo	42	25 cult 3 paleo
<b>ES</b>	0	0	0	0
<b>ID</b>	895	500 cult 0 paleo	7	10 cult 1 paleo
<b>MT</b>	5100	2000 cult 600 paleo	62	25 cult 3 paleo
<b>NM</b>	2670	2000 cult 300 paleo	26	25 cult 2 paleo
<b>NV</b>	600	1000 cult 200 paleo	0	15 cult 2 paleo
<b>OR</b>	2105	2000 cult 400 paleo	32	25 cult 2 paleo
<b>UT</b>	3000	3000 cult 600 paleo	7	20 cult 3 paleo
<b>WY</b>	75	500 cult 400 paleo	4	10 cult 3 paleo
<b>TOTAL</b>	35715	21000 cult 4000 paleo	328	210 cult 30 paleo

**Measures**

BC ARPA/110 Cultural Resources Inventory & FLPMA/NEPA Paleontological Inventory (acres)

HF Sites Managed, Stabilized & Protected (# of sites)

# CULTURAL HERITAGE PROGRAM STRATEGIC PLAN

## Inventory and Protection Criteria

### Criteria to be considered in prioritizing proactive inventory projects:

Multiple or overlapping research and/or management needs (e.g., urban sprawl, land exchanges, inventory in specially designated areas)

1. High potential for culturally significant resources.
2. Severity and immediacy of threat to cultural resources from natural processes, land uses and/or human activities.
3. Partnership and/or cost-sharing opportunities.
4. Public and/or professional interest in inventory results.

### Criteria to be considered in prioritizing protection projects:

1. Severity and immediacy of threat to cultural property from natural processes, land uses and/or human activities.
2. Significance of the cultural property.
3. Partnership and/or cost-sharing opportunities.
4. Opportunities for developing interpretive or public outreach products.

## CULTURAL HERITAGE PROGRAM STRATEGIC PLAN

### Format for Submitting Priority Inventory & Protection Projects

(Example)

State Inventory Projects (listed in ranked order)	Selection Criteria	Units of accomplishment
UTAH		
1. Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument	National Monument inventory for planning	3,000 acres
State Protection Projects (listed in ranked order)	Selection Criteria	Units of Accomplishment
UTAH		
1. Cedar Mesa ACEC	Threats from recreational use	6 sites

## **CULTURAL HERITAGE PROGRAM STRATEGIC PLAN Report Outline**

1. State map of public lands showing publicly interpreted resources (map)\*
2. Statistical overview of state cultural program (table based on annual report)
3. Major accomplishments (bullets)
4. Resources that have been or are being lost (bullets; before/after pictures)
5. Ethnic and tribal groups to whom BLM cultural resources are important (brief narrative)
6. Existing partnerships including tribes (bullets)
7. Economic benefits of cultural resources (bullets)
8. State culture history (brief narrative)
9. Major research questions by culture areas (bullets)
10. Priority non-section 106 inventories (descriptive list with units of accomplishment)
11. Priority protection projects (descriptive list)
12. Graphics and pictures

\* refer to State maps included in BLM's report, "Public Rewards from Public Lands 1999"