

Meeting Minutes

Sierra Front - Northwestern Great Basin Resource Advisory Council

November 9, 2001

Elko Convention Center
700 Moren Way, Elko, Nevada
(800) 248-ELKO

I. RAC ATTENDANCE AND APPROVAL OF PREVIOUS MEETING MINUTES	<u>2</u>
II. SUMMARY OF MOTIONS	<u>3</u>
III. SUMMARY OF ACTION ASSIGNMENTS	<u>3</u>
IV. INTRODUCTIONS	<u>4</u>
VI. FIELD OFFICE OVERVIEW REPORTS	<u>4</u>
A. WINNEMUCCA FIELD MANAGER TERRY REED'S REPORT	<u>4</u>
B. CARSON CITY FIELD MANAGER JOHN SINGLAUB'S REPORT	<u>7</u>
VII. FOLLOW UP ON KNOTT CREEK ALLOTMENT TOUR AND CONFERENCE CALL	<u>8</u>
A. OBJECTIVES FOR INCLUSION IN KNOTT CREEK ALLOTMENT AMP	<u>8</u>
B. WAYS TO CORRECT RESOURCE DAMAGE ASSOCIATED WITH LONG MEADOW ROAD	<u>9</u>
VIII. JOINT RAC NCA SUBGROUP REPORT	<u>10</u>
IX. RAC SCHEDULE & PLANS FOR 2002	<u>10</u>
A. DISCUSSION ON FY2002 RAC AGENDA	<u>10</u>
B. PROPOSED MEETING DATES	<u>11</u>
X. APPENDICES (Available in hard copy only)	

I. RAC Attendance and Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes

8:08 a.m. Friday, November 9, 2001 - Meeting Called to Order by Chairman Etchegoyhen with the following members present:

COUNCIL MEMBER	RESOURCE/EXPERTISE	FRI 11-9-2001
Jacques Etchegoyhen - CHAIR	Elected Official	X
Tina Nappe - VICE CHAIR	Environment	X
Susie Askew	Wild Horses & Burros	
Karen Boeger	Recreation	X
Gerry Emm	Native Americans	
John Falen	Nevada Cattlemen	X
Mark Farman	State Agency	X
Jerry Hepworth	Energy/Minerals	X
Hugh Judd	Wildlife	X
Robert 'Bob' Kautz	Archaeology	X
Tebeau Piquet	Mining	X
Bennie Romero	Livestock	X
William 'Bill' Roullier	Transportation/ROW	
Sherm Swanson	Academic	X
Larie Trippet	Public-at-Large	X

Minutes of the July 26-27 RAC meeting were approved by acclamation.

II. Summary of Motions

MOVED - By Tina Nappe, that Jacques Etchegoyhen be nominated to continue as chairman of the Sierra Front - Northwestern Great Basin Resource Advisory Council for 2002.

SECONDED - By Larry Trippet

DISCUSSION -

No other nominations having been received, Jacques Etchegoyhen was elected as chairman for 2002 by acclamation.

MOVED - By Tebeau Piquet, that Tina Nappe be nominated as Vice Chair for 2002.

SECONDED - by Karen Boeger

DISCUSSION -

No other nominations having been received, Tina Nappe was elected as Vice Chair for 2002 by acclamation.

MOVED by Tina Nappe that division/drift fences between use areas and allotments be used to control livestock movements (Management Consideration #5 - See Appendix 4) and that the fencing of Knott Creek Reservoir, Big Onion and Little Onion Reservoirs and associated meadows to reduce the existing conflict between livestock and recreation, improve vegetation condition, and improve water quality (Management Consideration #6) be reviewed and analyzed with the operator.

SECONDED by John Falen

DISCUSSION -

The motion passed by acclamation.

MOVED by Tebeau Piquet that the recommendation be submitted that the Long Meadow Road, south of Little Onion Reservoir in the Pine Forest Range, be moved out of the meadow to avoid causing further resource damage.

SECONDED by Sherm Swanson

DISCUSSION -

Motion passed by majority vote of the members.

III. Summary of Action Assignments

1. BLM asked to provide the group with a smaller map of the boundary adjustments included in the Technical Amendment to the NCA.
2. Winnemucca Field Office (WFO) will bring back to the RAC in the spring a plan to correct resource damage associated with the road at Long Meadow.
3. The Winnemucca Field Office and the Carson City Field Office (CCFO) will give the RAC an in depth presentation on disposal and acquisition criteria, how it fits into the current land use plan and the current disposal plan. Maps of disposal areas will be provided, and an overview be presented on the process of identifying parcels and the differences between the WFO and the CCFO processes.
4. Tina will generate a list of landowners or inholders who live close to Reno and could easily attend the Wilderness Training Workshop to discuss their concerns.
5. BLM asked to present a weeds and fire rehab report to the group at the January meeting including a presentation from Dawn Rafferty, Nevada Weed Program.
6. RAC members will participate in local sage grouse planning groups and report back to the full RAC.
7. BLM to provide hard copy information on Great Basin Restoration Initiative (GBRI) weed control funding to RAC members for informational purposes only.

IV. Introductions

Members of the RAC and BLM employees introduced themselves.

V. Officer Elections for 2002

MOVED - By Tina Nappe, that Jacques Etchegoyhen be nominated to continue as Chairman of the Sierra Front - Northwestern Great Basin Resource Advisory Council for 2002.

SECONDED - By Larry Trippet.

DISCUSSION -

No other nominations having been received, Jacques Etchegoyhen was elected as chairman for 2002 by acclamation.

MOVED - By Tebeau Piquet, that Tina Nappe be nominated as Vice Chair for 2002

SECONDED - by Karen Boeger

DISCUSSION -

No other nominations having been received, Tina Nappe was elected as Vice Chair for 2002 by acclamation.

VI. Field Office Overview Reports

A. Winnemucca Field Manager Terry Reed's Report

1. Written Summary of the Report is Appendix 2.

2. Items covered orally, but not included in the written report.

a. Terry pointed out the similarity between the picture of Calavera Meadow on the front of his report and Long Meadow.

b. Terry told the group that the NCA public planning workshops will be held in Sacramento, Gerlach, Cedarville, Reno and Winnemucca.

A member asked why a meeting was being held in Sacramento.

Terry said that it was being held there because of interest in California and because BLM administration of the NCA is shared among the Nevada and California state and district BLM offices. He commented that due to conditions that are not ideal, an internal decision was made to keep the public comment process going and have two official scoping meetings within 15 days of the publication of the Notice of Intent (NOI).

c. Terry showed the group a map of the NCA that showed boundary adjustments made in the Technical Amendment to the NCA including an adjustment around private land owned by Irv Brown.

A member of the group asked for a smaller map.

Another member asked if boundary changes were made on John DeLong's private land.

John Falen told the group that John DeLong was satisfied with the changes, that he went on the tour and looked at the land that might be under contention. John thanked Tebeau Piquet for also going along on the tour.

A question was asked of how many cherrystem roads go all the way to the springs.

Terry said he didn't have a map with him.

d. Terry outlined changes made in the NCA Act. (A copy of the Act as amended is Appendix 3.) He commented that BLM will be under intensified pressure to maintain primitive roads not maintained in the past. The planning process will address how, when, and if roads will be maintained, and for what kind of use. There will be a higher standard of fire protection in the wilderness.

He also said, in answer to a question, that hunter concerns over maintaining guzzlers, and issues such as removing bighorn sheep die-off carcasses by helicopter are the type of concerns that need to be addressed in the NCA Resource Management Plan (RMP). Any value that enhances or furthers the purpose of the designation enhances the plan.

Terry told the group, in answer to another question, that the issue of removing pinon, juniper and weeds in the NCA will also be addressed during the planning process.

A member asked in reference to Section 8, (d) Grazing, if permits already in force or being used before the Act will be reevaluated, and, if there is a chance of the intent of the Act changing as time passes.

Terry told the group that as the BLM works through the RMP, all of the decisions made before the Act will also be worked through. He said that some areas have not been reevaluated and some will be redone but he does not expect significant changes. The permittee will have the same opportunity to be involved in the reevaluation process as in the original process.

e. The wilderness study release outlined in Section 8, (g) Wilderness Study Release, is shown on the map distributed at the meeting.

f. On the subject of Lands and Realty Terry told the group that a significant application from Coeur Rochester for an access road is being processed. The public has identified an interest in approximately 8,000 acres that the BLM is selling in the Orovada area. There have been applications for wind energy initiatives and increased interest in geothermal leases.

A member of the group asked about land in the Orovada area that is under permit.

Terry told the group that several tracts of land identified with potential buyers are under permit and that it is an issue that the BLM will have to address. A market analysis of the land will be done by a contractor so that permittees can get a better idea of whether they will be interested in the land up to a certain value.

John Falen said that he knows of two permittees who are dependent on the land for winter use and are against selling what they are using. He said the original talk was of selling land that was difficult for the BLM to administer.

Terry said that there may be portions of tracts that may have significant agricultural value if there is water that can be used. Water may only be available by changing crops or through other methods.

g. John asked why the entire Calavera Meadow pasture was closed off for so long before the work was done on one headcut.

Gene Seidlitz, WFO supervisory rangeland management specialist, told him that the work is still in progress, that before the land goes back to the permittee, sometime in the spring before the turnout of the animals, the area will be fenced, but not the entire pasture.

h. Jerry Hepworth asked about geothermal leases on more sensitive areas.

Terry told him that there will be a programmatic EA, that there was a stipulation in the leases that said development would only occur if significant environmental assessment was done.

i. Terry told the group that there were some improvements in the Burning Man event this year. Some burn scars were looked at and they were significantly reduced. A fairly intense evaluation of burn scars will take place in the future.

There was a question of holding the event at the exact same site for the next two years.

Terry told the group that it would actually be one more year, that the event was being held at the same site for three years so that an evaluation of the site could be done. The same NEPA document will be used this year as last year. This event will be looked at in the planning process as part of the RMP.

Karen Boeger asked if fees that go beyond costs of administering the event build in incentives to keep the number of people at the event high.

Terry told her that the fee schedule is set at the national level. Each event is evaluated for the fee schedule it will fit into. BLM is required to charge the greater of \$4.00 per person per day, or three per cent of the gross collected by the permittee for the event. The cost per person/per event was recently raised from \$2.00 to \$4.00. This is a fee demonstration area and the fee goes back into the area. The planning process may address this issue.

Karen asked how much impact was seen at other times of the year because of people introduced to the area through this event.

Terry told her that a direct connection cannot be made between this event and an increase in visitors to the area. He asked what she would want done with the information if the BLM had it.

Karen said she would want the numbers limited.

Tebeau commented that the BLM has a responsibility to justify their activities on public land such as a national conservation area.

Karen commented that there is nothing like this event.

Terry told them that there will be time to address this issue in the planning process.

Several comments were made by the members concerning their good impression of the work and organization on the part of the Burning Man event staff and BLM staff during the event, the coordination and professionalism and, according to newspaper accounts, the outstanding cleanup efforts.

j. Terry told the group that there is a proposal by Humboldt County to upgrade the Jungo Road. The county has been asked to develop preliminary figures for proposals to upgrade from a minimum of a two-lane road with a decent base to a two-lane paved road. Costs range from \$2 million to \$30 million.

k. Terry discussed the use of surveillance cameras as a law enforcement tool to protect wilderness boundary signs in the NCA when no other effective use has been found. He told the group that approximately 100 to 150 or more of the 700 to 800 signs placed have been lost. He said the cameras were being used not only to catch the person responsible, but to protect the person suspected but not involved. There was no cost involved in obtaining the cameras as they were already on hand. They have now been taken back by the BLM. The alternative to using them is to keep replacing the signs.

John Falen commented that the cameras were found by the adjacent landowners causing some concern because they didn't know why the cameras were out there. A Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request was sent by the landowners to the BLM state office and several other government offices, resulting in an article on the issue being printed in the Elko paper. John told the group that he shares the concern for trying to protect the property but he feels that BLM should go to the private landowners ahead of the placement and let them know about it.

Terry answered that even though there were letters generated, no one contacted him to voice their concern

l. Terry told the group that in the last few days, the WFO completed an emergency gather of approximately 50 horses in the Spaulding fire area.

m. The WFO has been working on a land exchange involving the Nevada Land and Resource Company (NLRC), for scattered parcels in the strip of the NCA between Rye Patch Reservoir and Rabbit Hole Springs. The strip has been identified as land that the WFO would be interested in acquiring.

B. Carson City Field Manager John Singlaub's Report

1. Written Summary of the Report is Appendix 4.

2. Items covered orally, but not included in the written report.

a. John told the group that approximately 38,000 acres burned in the District during the 2001 fire season.

b. A member of the group asked if the planning partners for the Pine Nut Plan Amendment will include public groups.

John answered that the second tier will.

c. John pointed out on the map handed out at the beginning of the meeting where the Tracy Powerplant, initiation point of the Tuscarora Gas Company's proposed 14-mile buried gas pipeline, is located.

d. A question was asked if the BLM is planning to greatly increase the size of the Palamino Valley wild horse facility.

John answered that they need to decide that issue.

e. John told the group that the Virginia City water pipeline project will replace the existing pipeline with a buried line, below the original wooden flume, restored to the original contour. Construction should begin in two months.

f. The Carson City Field Office has received a \$350,000 grant from Save America's Treasures to preserve the Comstock Cemetery.

A member asked if there will be more security at the site to protect the ironwork from theft.

John answered that installing improvements may deter stealing of the tombstones.

g. A volunteer Friends of the Silver Saddle group has been established.

h. A shared horse-mounted law enforcement position has been established between the CCFO and the City of Carson City.

i. Bennie asked how it was determined that some of the horses captured were private and should be turned over to the State of Nevada.

John answered that they worked with the State on that.

j. Bennie told the group that the Carson City District Grazing Board has asked the University of Nevada to do a study side-by-side with the BLM to recommend alternative management and provide more information to the agency.

VII. Follow Up on Knott Creek Allotment Tour and Conference Call

A. Objectives for Inclusion in Knott Creek Allotment AMP

Gene Seidlitz, WFO supervisory rangeland specialist, told the group that the outcome of the Knott Creek Allotment conference call that took place on September 26, was that BLM would put together some management considerations for the RAC and the RAC would provide a final proposal for BLM. He gave the group a sheet, Management Considerations for Inclusion in the Allotment Evaluation for the Knott Creek Allotment, (document is attached as Appendix 5) and went over the points with the members. Point one, maintain or improve wildlife habitat, is an objective that the WFO wants to happen on the ground. Point two, livestock rest and/or deferment for habitats on allotments, came out of the tour and conference call. Point three, prescribed burns, was brought up by the group at Onion Reservoir concerning the old sage brush in the area. The burn will be a mosaic pattern. Point four, Proper Functioning Conditions, was an area of concern. Gene defined lentic as upland meadows and lotic as streams. Point five, use of division/drift fences, or a similar alternative, may be done to keep cows from going up into the high country too early in the spring or staying too late in the fall. Point six, fencing, addresses Big Onion and Little Onion Reservoirs which were on the tour taken by the RAC. There will need to be additional water in the uplands for livestock if this fencing is done. This process will also have to be well coordinated with wilderness specialists if it is done in the Wilderness Study Area (WSA). Gene pointed out on point ten, utilization limits, that measuring weight is used, not stubble height. Utilization limits will be 30 per cent on creeks and up to 50 per cent in other areas. Point twelve, involve operators and cooperators, refers to organizations such as Nevada Department of Wildlife (NDOW) or the Sierra Club.

Larie Trippet asked what is expected in a submittal from the RAC. Terry told the group that during the conference call the WFO offered to give the RAC more information so that they could better pursue the issue. Larie then asked if the RAC is capable of creating the document that the BLM wants. Bennie Romero asked if this is leading to an AMP. Gene answered that how this issue is treated is leading to an AMP.

Sherm commented that this information was to get the group grounded in the small issues facing the Great Basin, to get them familiar with the larger issues such as cheat grass at the base of the mountains, the lack of fire at the top of the mountains, the sage grouse issue, weed control, etc. He said he saw this as an opportunity to comment on Gene's suggestions and add to them if necessary, then hand the issue off to the BLM.

Gene told the group that this issue is a huge challenge with the number of specialists and the publics involved, that he is asking the group to make a recommendation to the BLM.

Karen commented that she is concerned about the group making a proposal for the AMP, that it should be science driven. Gene told her that it is up to the specialists to make the call as to numbers for livestock management.

Larie asked for changes to be made to the document and then a motion be made to give the recommendations to the BLM.

Tebeau commented that controlled burns will be coordinated with the permittee, that fuel loading would be a consideration. Gene told him that there is a check list for project burning.

Jerry Hepworth commented that there is a question on improvements to be made which are fairly expensive. He asked if they are stipulated in the permit to be done over time. Gene said that the end management process will be spelled out in the AMP.

Richard Drake was introduced and presented comments on his land proposal. (See map Appendix 6.) He said that he would be willing to sell some of the land and acquire other land between the two Wilderness Study Areas (WSAs) and his home place (see yellow areas on map), making this his private ground. He would also like to complete some water projects with the BLM. He told the group that he is concerned with the fencing on the mountain, that it is very expensive to maintain and he would never sign an agreement to maintain it. He stated that if he doesn't sell or trade his land to the BLM, he will sell it to private interests. Gene commented that the area Drake is willing to acquire is desert land production-wise. Drake concluded by asking the RAC to look at his proposal.

Tina Nappe told the group that she thought they had already made a decision to support the acquisition of Drake's land under the Southern Nevada Public Land Management Act (SNPLMA) that grazing management is the only thing before the group, that Drake's presentation was an information item only.

Drake commented that he is concerned about time constraints on taking his livestock off the land, that if he has to take them off immediately, he will sell the land. He said in answer to a question that if it takes time to work out sale of the land around the reservoir, he will still be willing to buy the lower portion of land. Terry said that the disposal would go through the NEPA process. A case by case analysis will be done. The BLM is working with the conservation fund so that an appraisal can be completed. There are two separate legal actions involved, a purchase and a sale.

MOVED by Tina Nappe that division/drift fences between use areas and allotments be used to control livestock movements (Management Consideration #5) and review with the operator and analyze the fencing of Knott Creek Reservoir, Big Onion and Little Onion Reservoirs and associated meadows to reduce the existing conflict between livestock and recreation, improve vegetation condition, and improve water quality (Management Consideration #6).

SECONDED by John Falen
DISCUSSION -
The motion passed by acclamation.

B. Ways to Correct Resource Damage Associated with Long Meadow Road

Terry told the group that the Long Meadow road should be closed and the same approach used as on Calavera Meadow, or the road should be left open and hardened and stabilized until the wilderness designation is decided. The group discussed leaving the road alone or hardening it.

Karen asked about the possibility of seasonal closure. Jacques commented that seasonal closure requires a lot of people on the ground to enforce it. Tebeau asked if hardening wouldn't close the upper parts of the meadow and change the sheet water directly below the surface. Terry told him that an engineering proposal has not been done at this point. Sherm commented that if there is a road, it ought to be right.

Tina suggested that Terry bring a plan back to the RAC at a future meeting. Terry told her that seasonal considerations make it impossible to bring a plan back at the next meeting. Jacques said that there seemed to be a consensus to bring the plan back to the group in the spring. Jamie reminded the group that there was a recommendation during the conference call on September 26 to move the road. It was recognized that this takes legislative action.

Tebeau suggested that the group recommend that moving the road be presented as a legislative request. Terry said that he was not sure that it would go anywhere. He recommended that the language outlined in the conference call minutes be incorporated into the motion.

MOVED by Tebeau Piquet that the recommendation be submitted that the Long Meadow Road, south of Little Onion Reservoir in the Pine Forest Range, be moved out of the meadow to avoid causing further resource damage.

SECONDED by Sherm Swanson
DISCUSSION -
Motion passed by majority vote of the members.

Tina proposed that it be put on the RAC agenda that the WFO and the CCFO give the RAC an in-depth presentation on disposal and acquisition criteria, how it fits into the current land use plan and the current disposal plan. The group agreed. A request was also made that maps of disposal areas be provided, and an overview be presented on the process of choosing parcels and the differences between the WFO and the CCFO processes.

VIII. Joint RAC NCA Subgroup Report

Mark Farman presented a report on the NCA Subgroup meetings on September 21st in Winnemucca and November 2nd and 3rd in Cedarville. (The minutes of the subgroup's meeting in September are attached as Appendix 7.)

Mark told the RAC that the subgroup will be attending a Wilderness Planning Workshop in Reno on December 13.

A member of the RAC asked if private land owners and inholders can attend the wilderness training to express their concerns. Terry asked Tina to generate a list of landowners or inholders who live close to Reno and could easily attend the workshop to discuss their concerns and give it to the workshop organizers.

Mark told the members that on the first day of the November meeting, the subgroup discussed the addition of cherrystem roads, the zone planning concept, and joint transportation ideas with counties. They also added a new member, Marian Goodell, representing Burning Man and Special Recreation Permits (SRPs).

Terry told the RAC that he thought it unusual that a member of the subgroup had agreed to become an alternate to accommodate the addition of a new member for SRPs when they had already put a cap on the number of members in their group.

Mark continued his report, telling the RAC that the subgroup also discussed development of alternatives and road classifications and spent the balance of the day discussing OHV designations. On the second day they finished access overview designations, and began a discussion of SRPs. Roger Farschon, acting NCA manager, introduced the classification system for SRPs to the subgroup which led to a very lengthy discussion of maximum user numbers and resulted in the addition of two new classifications to the system. Members were asked to fill in, on their own, a blank matrix referencing alternatives in visitor services, tourism and wildlife, or whatever other topics they wanted to focus on, and return it to Jamie before the December 13 wilderness training workshop.

IX. RAC Schedule & Plans for 2002

A. Discussion on FY2002 RAC Agenda

Mark Struble reminded the group of the dates for the next meeting, January 23rd and 24th, which are a Wednesday and Thursday rather than the usual Thursday and Friday. The group agreed that the dates will work well.

The group agreed that the FY02 agenda should adopt the focus and substance suggested by BLM Nevada State Director Bob Abbey with possible discussion points being OHV standards and guidelines from the subgroup, weeds and fire (rehab report due back to the group at the January meeting including a presentation from Dawn Rafferty, Nevada State Weed Program), sage grouse (RAC members will participate in local planning groups and report back to the group), Black Rock NCA planning, Walker River Basin EIS, the Pine Nut Plan amendment, WFO and CCFO lands disposal and acquisitions process criteria, and GBRI funds for weed control. RAC members will also consider scheduling more field tours. Energy initiatives, especially geothermal and wind were added to the possible agenda items at Terry's suggestion.

In answer to the question of separating issues that are in process from issues that are not in process, John Singlaub told the group that he would like the RAC to give their recommendations on issues that are in progress.

Mark commented that since funding is a factor in the state weed action plan, he would like to see an informational item on the RAC agenda on suggestions from the group to help fund the plan. John Singlaub said that the weed issue fits into GBRI. Jacques said that he thought enough people were working on the weed issue, that he would like to have hard copy information on the GBRI weed control funding issue provided to the RAC members for them to look at but not discuss. The issue was removed from consideration as an agenda item.

The RAC agreed to support Larie Trippet and Karen Boeger as leads on the OHV issue.

DRAFT JANUARY AGENDA

Item Description	Expected Outcome
Weeds - Dawn Rafferty, Nevada State Weed Program	Information
Lands Disposal Process and Criteria	BLM Item
Drought - Possible Changes in Resource Programs	BLM Item
Fire Rehab Progress Reports	BLM Item
Walker River Basin EIS	Counsel
Old Business - Knott Creek	Counsel
Black Rock Update	Counsel

Later meeting agendas will include alternative energy projects and plans, the Pine Nut Mountain Plan, OHV subcommittee report and action including standards and guidelines, sage grouse subcommittee reports, and in April SNPLMA round III rankings and recommendations. Members suggested that the group also look at the drought in depth, brainstorming as well as information and decision items, and the issue of taking 6,640 acre feet of water from the Las Vegas area. John Singlaub told the members that he would like them to give him alternatives on the Walker River Basin EIS. The group decided that at a future meeting, after an information session, they will look at the lands disposal issue as a counsel item.

Tina commented that it was interesting that there has been little interest in SNPLMA land in northern Nevada. Other members of the group commented that most of the necessary land is in the southern area of the state.

Terry suggested that the group consider at least one field trip a year.

A list of proposed topics for next year's agendas will be presented at the Tri-RAC meeting to be held after the lunch break.

B. Proposed Meeting Dates

The group discussed and approved proposed meeting dates of January 23-24 in Reno, April 25-26 in Winnemucca, and July 25-26 in Carson City. They agreed to also meet in July in Hawthorne for a field tour of Mt. Grant.

Karen invited the group to come out to her land the night before the January meeting.

The group was reminded that Susan Lynn invites them to get together with the subgroup and Friends of the Black Rock at her house the evening of December 13th following the Wilderness Training Workshop.

12:40 p.m. - Meeting Adjourned.