

4.0 CUMULATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES

Cumulative impacts result from the incremental impacts of an action added to other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions, regardless of who is responsible for such actions. Cumulative impacts can result from individually minor, but collectively significant, actions occurring over time.

This section summarizes the cumulative impacts that are occurring as a result of existing development in the PRB¹ and considers how those impacts would change if a tract is leased and mined under the Proposed Action or Alternative 2, as well as if other projected development in the area occurs. A table is presented at the end of this chapter (table 4-41) to provide a summary of the magnitude and duration of cumulative impacts in the PRB based on upper and lower estimates for coal production in the region, as described in the following discussion. The Proposed Action and alternatives fall within those projections.

The BLM completed three regional EISs evaluating the potential cumulative impacts of surface coal development in the 1970s and early 1980s (BLM 1974, 1979, and 1981). A draft document for a fourth regional EIS was prepared and released in 1984 (BLM 1984). Since those regional EISs were prepared, BLM has prepared a number of NEPA analyses evaluating coal leasing actions and oil and gas development in the PRB. Each of these NEPA analyses includes an analysis of cumulative impacts in the Wyoming PRB.

Currently, the BLM is completing a regional technical study, called the PRB Coal Review, to help evaluate the cumulative impacts of coal and other mineral development in the PRB. The PRB Coal Review consists of three tasks:

- Task 1 identifies existing resource conditions in the PRB for the baseline year (2003) and, for applicable resources, updates the BLM's 1996 status check for coal development in the PRB.
- Task 2 defines the past and present development activities in the PRB and their associated development levels as of 2003 and develops a forecast of reasonably foreseeable development in the PRB through 2020. The reasonably foreseeable activities fall into three broad categories: coal development (coal mine and coal-related), oil and gas development (conventional oil and gas, CBNG, and major transportation pipelines), and other development, which includes development that is not energy-related as well as other energy-related development.
- Task 3 predicts the cumulative impacts that could be expected to occur to air, water, socioeconomic, and other resources if the development occurs as projected in the forecast developed under Task 2.

A series of reports have been prepared to present the results of the PRB Coal Review task studies. The Task 1, 2, and 3 reports represent components of a technical study of cumulative development in the PRB; they do not evaluate specific proposed projects, but they provide

¹ Refer to page xiii for a list of abbreviations and acronyms used in this document.


information that BLM is using to evaluate the cumulative impacts that would be expected to occur if specific projects or applications, such as the Proposed Action, are approved. The Task 1 reports, which include air quality conditions, water resources conditions, social/economic conditions, and other resource conditions have been completed. The Task 2 Report has also been completed, as have the Task 3 reports for air quality conditions, social/economic conditions, and other resource conditions. The Task 3 evaluation of water resource conditions is in progress. The information in these reports is summarized later in this chapter, and the completed reports are available for viewing at the BLM offices in Casper and Cheyenne and on the Wyoming BLM at: http://www.blm.gov/wy/st/en/programs/energy/Coal_Resources/PRB_Coal/prbdocs.html.

The PRB includes portions of northeastern Wyoming and southeastern Montana. The Wyoming portion of the PRB is the primary focus of the PRB Coal Review reports. The Montana portion of the PRB is included in the Task 2 Report and in the Task 1 and 3 air resources studies. For the majority of resources in the Task 1 reports and for the Task 2 Report, the Wyoming portion of the PRB Coal Review study area encompasses all of Campbell County, all of Sheridan and Johnson counties outside of the Bighorn National Forest, and the northern portion of Converse County (map 4-1). For some components of the Task 2 Report and for the Task 1 and 3 air resource studies, the Montana PRB Coal Review study area includes portions of Big Horn, Custer, Powder River, Rosebud, and Treasure counties. For several resources, the Task 1 and Task 3 study areas include only potentially affected portions of the Wyoming PRB Coal Review study area; for other resources, the study area extends outside of Wyoming and Montana because the impacts would extend beyond the PRB. For example, the groundwater drawdown is evaluated in the area surrounding and extending west of the mines, because that is the area where surface coal mining operations would impact groundwater resources; but air quality impacts are evaluated over a multi-state area because they would be expected to extend beyond the PRB.

Section 4.1 summarizes the information presented in the PRB Coal Review Task 1 and Task 2 reports. Section 4.2 summarizes the predicted cumulative impacts on air, water, socioeconomic, and other resources presented in the PRB Coal Review Task 3 reports.

4.1 Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Development

Past, present, and reasonably foreseeable development in the Wyoming PRB are considered in the Task 1 and Task 2 reports for the PRB Coal Review. The Task 1 reports describe the existing situation as of the end of 2003, which reflects the past and present levels of development. The Task 2 Report defines the past and present development activities in the PRB as of the end of 2003 and projects reasonably foreseeable development in the Wyoming PRB through 2020. When available, 2007 development information is included.



No warranty is made by the Bureau of Land Management for the use of the data for purposes not intended by BLM.

Map 4-1
Wyoming Study Area for PRB Coal Review Studies Evaluating Current and Projected Levels of Development

4.1.1 Coal Development


4.1.1.1 Coal Mine Development

The Powder River Federal Coal Region was decertified as a federal coal production region by the PRRCT in 1990. Decertification of the region allows leasing to take place on an application basis, as discussed in the regulations at 43 CFR 3425.1-5. Between 1990 and 2008, the BLM's Wyoming State Office held 25 competitive coal lease sales and issued 19 new federal coal leases containing almost 5.7 billion tons of coal using the LBA process. The lease sales are listed in chapter 1, table 1-1, and the leased tracts are shown on map 1-1. This leasing process has undergone the scrutiny of two appeals to the Interior Board of Land Appeals and one audit by the General Accounting Office. As can be seen on figure 4-1, leasing activity has generally paralleled production since decertification. This is consistent with the PRRCT's objective at the time of decertification, which was to use the LBA process to lease tracts of federal coal to maintain production at existing mines.

The pending applications in the Wyoming PRB are listed in table 1-2.

The BLM has also completed three exchanges involving federal coal resources in the Wyoming PRB since decertification:

- Belco Exchange – an exchange of lease rights for a portion of the former Hay Creek federal coal tract for lease rights to coal near Buffalo, Wyoming, which became unmineable when Interstate 90 was constructed. This exchange was authorized by Public Law 95-554 and completed in 2000.
- Pittsburg and Midway Coal Mining Company (P&M) Exchange – an exchange of federal coal in Sheridan County, Wyoming, for land and mineral rights in Lincoln, Carbon, and Sheridan counties, Wyoming, completed in 2004.
- Powder River Coal Company Alluvial Valley Floor Exchange – an exchange of lease rights underlying an AVF at the Caballo Mine, which cannot be mined, for lease rights of equal value adjacent to existing federal leases at Powder River Coal Company's North Antelope Rochelle Mine, completed in 2006.



No warranty is made by the Bureau of Land Management for the use of the data for purposes not intended by BLM.

Figure 4-1
Tons of Federal Coal Leased Versus Tons of Coal Mined Since 1990

Table 4-1 provides information about the status, ownership and production levels for the existing surface coal mines in the Wyoming PRB in 2003. In 2003, the baseline year for the PRB Coal Review Task 1 and Task 2 studies, there were 12 active surface coal mines and one inactive mine. Since 2003, the Coal Creek Mine has resumed operations and the North Rochelle Mine has ceased operation (as a distinct entity) following its purchase by the operator of the Black Thunder Mine. The North Rochelle Mine leases were divided between Black Thunder and North Antelope Rochelle mines in 2006. Peabody has deferred startup of their new mine, the School Creek Mine which is located between the Black Thunder and North Antelope Rochelle mines, until 2009 or later (Associated Press 2008). The Belle Ayr and Eagle Butte mines changed ownership in July 2009 from Foundation Coal West, Inc. to Alpha Coal West, Inc. (Boger pers. comm.). These mines are all located in Campbell and Converse counties, just west of the outcrop of the Wyodak coal, where the coal is at the shallowest depth (map 1-1). As indicated in table 4-1, there have been numerous changes in mine ownership since decertification, which have resulted in mine consolidations and mine closings within the PRB.

Two recently active surface coal mines in Sheridan County (the Big Horn Coal Mine) and southern Converse County (the Dave Johnston Mine) have ended mining operations, relinquished their federal coal leases, and are reclaiming areas of disturbance.

PacifiCorp owns the lands within the Dave Johnston Mine permit boundary. PacifiCorp requested a change in postmining land use from livestock/wildlife grazing to industrial for the areas that would be affected by a wind project right-of-way. Some of the area was on full reclamation bond release and some area included was on pre-law lands. The WDEQ/LQD approved this change of land use in three stages between September 2007 and May 2008. The Glenrock Wind Energy Project development is underway and, if all permits are granted, it is slated to go online in late 2009. There are existing permits for other surface coal mining-related operations in the PRB. These include the Ash Creek and Welch Mine permits in Sheridan County and the Izita Mine permit in Campbell County. Operations at these sites are completed and the disturbed areas have been reclaimed, and monitoring of the reclaimed areas is no longer ongoing. The KFx Mine, located north of Gillette on privately owned coal, has stopped mining coal for processing at the KFx coal enhancement plant, which is discussed later in the chapter. The Fort Union plant was idled down in March 2008, until further notice.

The active mines in the Wyoming PRB are geographically grouped into three subregions (map 4-1). For purposes of this cumulative impact discussion, these subregions are called North Gillette, South Gillette, and Wright. Table 4-1 lists the mines included in each subregion.

Table 4-1. Status and Ownership of Wyoming PRB Coal Mines for 2003 (PRB Coal Review Baseline Year)

2003 Mine	1994 Mine Owner	2007 Mine Owner	Actual Coal Production (million tons) ¹	Permitted Production Level (million tons) ²	Status and Additional Comments
SUBREGION 1 (NORTH GILLETTE)					
Buckskin	SMC (Zeigler)	Kiewit Mining Properties	17.5	27.5	Active
Dry Fork	Phillips/WFA & Fort Union Ltd	WFA	4.4	24.4	Active (includes former Fort Union Mine)
Eagle Butte	Cyprus-Amax	Foundation Coal West, Inc. ³	24.5	35.0	Active
Rawhide	Carter (Exxon)	Peabody Holding Co.	3.6	24.0	Active
Wyodak	Wyodak Resources	Wyodak Resources	4.8	12.0	Active (includes former Clovis Point Mine)
Total			54.8	122.9	
SUBREGION 2 (SOUTH GILLETTE)					
Belle Ayr	Cyprus-Amax	Foundation Coal West, Inc. ³	17.9	35.0	Active
Caballo	Carter (Exxon) & Western Energy	Peabody Holding Co.	22.7	40.0	Active (includes Rocky Butte and West Rocky Butte leases)
Cordero Rojo	Kennecott & Drummond	Rio Tinto Energy America ⁴	36.1	65.0	Active (consolidation of former Cordero and Caballo Rojo Mines)
Coal Creek	ARCO	Arch Coal Inc.	0	25.0	Inactive in 2003, operations resumed in 2006
Total			76.7	165.0	
SUBREGION 3 (WRIGHT)					
Antelope	Kennecott	Rio Tinto Energy America ⁴	29.5	32.0	Active
Black Thunder	ARCO	Arch Coal Inc.	62.6	90.0	Active
Jacobs Ranch	Kerr-McGee	Rio Tinto Energy America ⁴	36.0	55.0	Active
North Antelope Rochelle	Peabody	Peabody Holding Co.	80.1	85.0-105.0	Active (consolidation of former North Antelope and Rochelle Mines)
North Rochelle	SMC (Zeigler)	Arch Coal Inc.	23.9	35.0	Inactive since 2005, leases split between Black Thunder and North Antelope Rochelle Mines
Total			232.1	297.0-317.0	
TOTAL FOR 3 MINE GROUPS			363.6	584.9-604.9	

¹ Wyoming State Inspector of Mines (Wyoming Department of Employment 2003)

² WDEQ permitting levels

³ Ownership of the Eagle Butte Mine and Belle Ayr Mine changed from Foundation Coal West, Inc., to Alpha Coal West, Inc., as of July 31, 2009. Notification of ownership submitted to BLM in August 2009.

⁴ Kennecott Energy Company changed its name to Rio Tinto Energy America in 2006.

A fourth subregion includes former and proposed mines in Sheridan County, Wyoming, and existing mines just north of Sheridan County, in Montana. There are currently no active mines in the Wyoming portion of the fourth subregion. However, the PRB Coal Review Task 2 Report projected that a new mine would be developed by P&M near Sheridan by 2010. In April, 2007, P&M and CONSOL Energy Inc. announced that they have formed a new company, Youngs Creek Mining Company, LLC, and entered into a joint agreement to develop a new mine in Wyoming north of Sheridan (*Reuters* 2007). According to the announcement, engineering, environmental, and permitting work are in progress, but actual mine construction will not start until the joint venture has enough coal sales under contract to justify the investment. The coal reserves included in this project are privately owned.

The surface coal mines listed in table 4-1 currently produce over 96% of the coal produced in Wyoming each year. Since 1989, coal production in the PRB has increased by an average of 6% per year. The increasing production is primarily due to increasing sales of low-sulfur, low-cost PRB coal to electric utilities who must comply with the phase I requirements of Title III of the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments. Electric utilities account for 97% of Wyoming's coal sales. In the baseline year for the PRB Coal Review (2003), more than 35% of the coal mined in the U.S. came from the Wyoming PRB. By 2007, that amount had increased to about 38% (U.S. Department of Energy 2008).

The BLM estimates that the surface coal mines listed in table 4-1 currently have about 125,180 acres of federal coal leased in Campbell and Converse counties. This represents approximately 4.1% of Campbell County, where the majority of the leases are located.

Task 2 of the PRB Coal Review projected coal development into the future for the years 2010, 2015, and 2020. Due to the variables associated with future coal production, two projected coal production scenarios (representing an upper and a lower production level) were developed to bracket the most likely foreseeable regional coal production level. The basis for the projected production levels included:

1. analysis of historic PRB production levels in comparison to the gross domestic product and national coal demand;
2. analysis of PRB coal market forecasts that model the impact of gross domestic product growth, potential regulatory changes affecting coal-fired power plants, and mining and transportation costs on PRB coal demand;
3. availability, projected production cost, and quality of future mine-specific coal reserves within the PRB region; and
4. availability of adequate infrastructure for coal transportation.

The projected upper and lower production levels subsequently were allocated to the Wyoming PRB subregions, discussed above, and to individual mines based on past market shares. Individual mine production levels were reviewed relative to potential future production constraints (e.g., loadout capacities), permitted production levels, mining costs, and coal quality.

Then the projected future production was aggregated on a subregion basis. The actual 2003 production level, the 2007 production level as a reference point, and the two projected coal production scenarios for 2010, 2015, and 2020 are shown in figure 4-2 and tables 4-2 and 4-3.

Tables 4-2 and 4-3 also show the cumulative coal mining disturbance as of the baseline year and the cumulative coal mine disturbance projected for the future years for the upper and lower coal production scenarios.


In these tables, the baseline year and cumulative projected disturbance areas are broken down into three categories:

- areas that are reclaimed or that are projected to be permanently reclaimed;
- areas that are being mined or that are projected to be undergoing active mining or that have been mined but are not yet reclaimed; and
- areas that already are or that are projected to be occupied by mine facilities, haul roads, stockpiles, and other long-term structures, and that are therefore unavailable for reclamation until mining operations are completed.

The two tables also include estimates of baseline year and projected future coal mining employment, water consumption, and water production.

The Hay Creek II LBA application is associated with the Buckskin Mine in the North Gillette subregion of mines. The analysis assumes that if the proposed tract or an alternative tract configuration is offered and if the applicant becomes the lessee, the mine will increase current production to a level where the five mines collectively will produce at an aggregate production level midway between the low and high projected coal production scenarios for 2015 and 2020 shown in figure 4-2 and tables 4-2 and 4-3; Kiewit does not anticipate an actual increase in production as a result of acquiring a new maintenance tract. The existing and projected coal development levels and associated disturbance shown in tables 4-2 and 4-3 include production at the five North Gillette area mines during the baseline year (2003) and projected production at the mines for 2010, 2015, and 2020.

As discussed above, the projected development levels shown in tables 4-2 and 4-3 are based on projected demand and coal market forecasts, which are not affected by a decision to lease or not to lease the proposed tract or alternative tract configuration. If the Proposed Action or Alternative 2 is implemented, mining of the federal coal reserves would extend the current Buckskin Mine life-of-mine estimate by two years or up to six years, respectively.



No warranty is made by the Bureau of Land Management for the use of the data for purposes not intended by BLM.

Figure 4-2
 Projected Total Coal Production from Campbell and Converse Counties under the Lower and Upper Production Scenarios

Table 4-2. Baseline Year and Projected Wyoming PRB Coal Mine Development, Lower Coal Production Scenario

Subregion	Annual Production (million tons)	Cumulative Disturbed Area (acres)	Cumulative Permanently Reclaimed Area (acres)	Cumulative Active Mining Area and Unreclaimed Mined Area (acres)	Cumulative Area Disturbed and Unavailable For Reclamation ¹ (acres)	Total Mine Employment	Annual Water Consumption (mmgpy)	Annual Water Production (acre-feet)
BASELINE YEAR (2003)								
North Gillette Subregion	55	12,047	3,054	3,360	5,633	746	387	586
South Gillette Subregion	77	21,249	6,783	6,107	8,359	1,174	544	1,373
Wright Subregion	231	35,498	11,401	13,992	10,105	3,090	1,709	2,295
Total for 2003	363	68,794	21,238	23,459	24,097	5,010	2,640	4,254
REASONABLY FORESEEABLE DEVELOPMENT FOR 2010								
North Gillette Subregion	62	15,231	5,004	3,968	6,260	787	441	505
South Gillette Subregion	95	28,021	12,183	6,830	9,008	1,323	656	2,072
Wright Subregion	254	55,410	27,751	16,588	11,070	3,153	1,874	4,354
Total for 2010	411	98,662	44,938	27,386	26,338	5,263	2,971	6,931
REASONABLY FORESEEABLE DEVELOPMENT FOR 2015								
North Gillette Subregion	74	17,457	6,654	4,202	6,601	830	543	505
South Gillette Subregion	112	32,356	15,683	7,314	9,359	1,369	764	2,072
Wright Subregion	281	67,423	38,851	16,983	11,589	3,186	2,077	4,354
Total for 2015	467	117,236	61,188	28,499	27,549	5,405	3,384	6,931
REASONABLY FORESEEABLE DEVELOPMENT FOR 2020								
North Gillette Subregion	78	19,729	8,429	4,350	6,950	840	569	505
South Gillette Subregion	126	36,994	19,683	7,589	9,723	1,476	845	2,072
Wright Subregion	291	80,720	51,351	17,243	12,124	3,215	2,157	4,354
Total for 2020	495	137,443	79,463	29,182	28,797	5,531	3,571	6,931

mmgpy = million gallons per year

Note: Area unavailable for reclamation includes disturbed areas occupied by permanent or long-term facilities such as buildings, roads, topsoil stockpiles, etc.

Source: PRB Coal Review Task 2 Report (BLM 2005b)

4.0 Cumulative Environmental Consequences

Table 4-3. Baseline Year and Projected Wyoming PRB Coal Mine Development, Upper Coal Production Scenario

Subregion	Annual Production (million tons)	Cumulative Disturbed Area (acres)	Cumulative Permanently Reclaimed Area (acres)	Cumulative Active Mining Area and Unreclaimed Mined Area (acres)	Cumulative Area Disturbed and Unavailable For Reclamation ¹ (acres)	Total Mine Employment	Annual Water Consumption (mmgpy)	Annual Water Production (acre-feet)
BASELINE YEAR (2003)								
North Gillette Subregion	55	12,047	3,054	3,360	5,633	746	387	586
South Gillette Subregion	77	21,249	6,783	6,107	8,359	1,174	544	1,373
Wright Subregion	232	35,498	11,401	13,992	10,105	3,090	1,709	2,295
Total for 2003	363	68,794	21,238	23,459	24,097	5,010	2,640	4,254
REASONABLY FORESEEABLE DEVELOPMENT FOR 2010								
North Gillette Subregion	78	15,911	5,404	4,217	6,290	811	570	505
South Gillette Subregion	117	29,279	13,416	7,536	8,328	1,375	807	2,072
Wright Subregion	284	57,258	27,951	18,236	11,070	3,153	2,101	4,354
Total for 2010	479	102,448	46,771	29,989	25,688	5,339	3,478	6,931
REASONABLY FORESEEABLE DEVELOPMENT FOR 2015								
North Gillette Subregion	104	18,490	7,329	4,500	6,660	905	785	505
South Gillette Subregion	138	35,624	18,616	8,248	8,760	1,431	952	2,072
Wright Subregion	301	70,431	39,451	19,391	11,589	3,186	1,834	4,354
Total for 2015	543	124,545	65,396	32,139	27,009	5,522	3,571	6,931
REASONABLY FORESEEABLE DEVELOPMENT FOR 2020								
North Gillette Subregion	121	21,311	9,529	4,766	7,013	1,019	935	505
South Gillette Subregion	148	42,981	25,016	8,758	9,206	1,444	1,018	2,072
Wright Subregion	307	84,797	51,651	21,021	12,124	3,215	2,279	4,354
Total for 2020	576	149,089	86,196	34,545	28,345	5,678	4,232	6,931

mmgpy = million gallons per year.

¹ Area Unavailable for reclamation includes disturbed areas occupied by permanent or long-term facilities such as buildings, roads, topsoil stockpiles, etc.

Source: PRB Coal Review Task 2 Report (BLM 2005b)

As discussed in sections 1.1.3.1, Kiewit estimates that the existing Buckskin Mine had approximately 344.3 million tons of recoverable coal reserves at the end of 2008. Overall, the mine had produced a total of 339.8 million tons of coal as of December 2008, with annual production averaging 20.6 million tons over the previous six years. The mine's current air quality permit as approved by the WDEQ/AQD allows mining of up to 42 million tons of coal per year. If the mine produces coal at the projected estimate of 25 million tons per year, the remaining recoverable reserves would be depleted in less than 14 years (2022). If the mine increases production to the permitted level, the remaining recoverable reserves at the Buckskin Mine would be depleted in about 8.8 years (2016). Kiewit estimates that the proposed tract includes approximately 54.1 million tons of recoverable coal. Based on that estimate, acquisition of the proposed tract would increase the recoverable reserves at the Buckskin Mine by almost 14.6%. At the estimated future production level (25 million tons per year), mine life would be extended by over two years. However, if production levels increase to the currently permitted level (42 million tons per year) or if the WDEQ/AQD approves a higher annual rate of production, the coal would be recovered more quickly.

4.1.1.2 Coal-Related Development

Coal-related development as defined for this analysis includes railroads, coal-fired power plants, major (230-kilovolt) transmission lines, and coal technology projects. Table 4-4 summarizes the estimated disturbance associated with coal-related development activities for the baseline year and the projected disturbance through 2020. The subsequent paragraphs summarize the existing coal-related development in the Wyoming PRB and the reasonably foreseeable development considered in the PRB Coal Review.

Table 4-4. Baseline Year and Projected Wyoming PRB Coal-Related Development Scenario

Coal-Related Disturbance (acres)	2003	2010	2015	2020
	4,891	4,966	5,911	5,911

Source: PRB Coal Review Task 2 Report (BLM 2005b)

Coal Transportation

As discussed above, electric utilities account for about 97% of Wyoming's coal sales. Most of the coal sold to electric utilities is transported to power plants by rail. A small part, about 2% in 2007, of national coal production is exported abroad, but data are not published as to where this export coal is produced. A joint BNSF and UP rail line serves the coal mines in the Wright and South Gillette subregions. For the baseline year of 2003, the existing capacity of the line was estimated at approximately 350 million tons per year. For that same year, the existing capacity of the BNSF line, which services the North Gillette subregion, was estimated at 250 million tons per year. Expansion work completed in 2008 increased the capacity to approximately 450 million tons per year, and plans have been announced to raise BNSF line capacity to 500 million tons per year by 2012 (BNSF 2008; CANAC 2007).

The PRB Coal Review projected that two coal transportation projects would be developed prior to 2020 in Wyoming: expansion of the BNSF and UP rail facilities south of Gillette and the construction of the Dakota, Minnesota and Eastern Railroad Corporation (DM&E) rail line in Wyoming and South Dakota. A third project proposed by the Tongue River Rail Company would be built between Decker and Miles City, Montana.

BNSF and UP have completed work to improve sections of the existing joint rail line and had increased capacity from 350 million tons per year to 450 million tons per year by 2008 with plans to improve additional sections of the existing joint rail line and to further increase capacity to 500 million tons per year by 2012. This work includes construction of third and fourth main line track segments where needed. The increased capacity would accommodate the projected upper and lower production rates at the southern mines, which are projected to produce 439 million tons per year and 455 million tons per year by 2020. The remaining planned expansion projects are considered highly likely to occur.

The proposed DM&E rail line would include new rail construction in South Dakota and Wyoming (approximately 15 and 265 miles, respectively) and 600 miles of rail line rehabilitation in South Dakota and Minnesota. Approximately 78 miles of the new rail construction would occur in the PRB study area, where the project would provide new rail spur services to the mines in the South Gillette and Wright subregions. The Surface Transportation Board released a final supplemental EIS for this project on December 30, 2005, and granted final approval to construct the rail line on February 15, 2006. The supplemental EIS, which addressed issues that were successfully appealed after an EIS was completed in 2001, was also appealed. The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit upheld the appeal of the supplemental EIS in December 2006. In 2007, Canadian Pacific Railway acquired DM&E and plans to integrate DM&E's operations into Canadian Pacific Railway's operations. Those plans were approved by the Surface Transportation Board on September 30, 2008 (Surface Transportation Board 2008). The expansion into the PRB would require a substantial financial commitment, and Canadian Pacific is concentrating on the acquisition of DM&E before making a decision on the expansion project.

The Surface Transportation Board recently announced approval of the final stretch of the rail line proposed by the Tongue River Railroad Company. The company must acquire necessary federal and state permits and ROWs through private and public property before constructing the line. If it is constructed, it would provide a shorter route for some of the mines in the North Gillette subregion, which ship coal on the existing BNSF rail line (Brown 2007).

For the purposes of the PRB Coal Review, it was projected that the DM&E line would be constructed when the total rail haulage requirement from the eastern Wyoming PRB reaches 450 to 500 million tons per year and would potentially be operational by 2015. The construction of this rail line is considered moderately likely to occur. The PRB Coal Review assigned a low likelihood of development by 2010 under the upper coal production scenario, and projected the construction of the Tongue River Railroad Company line would not occur unless the Otter Creek Mine is developed. In July 2008, the Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation initiated an appraisal of two Otter Creek lease tracts on state lands to determine the

fair market value that the state should accept from a qualified bidder. The appraisal was completed in January 2009, but no leasing action has occurred yet.

Electric Power Generation

Five coal-fired power plants are in the Wyoming PRB study area analyzed in Tasks 1 and 2 (map 4-1). Black Hills Power Corporation owns and operates the Neal Simpson Units 1 and 2 (21.7-megawatts [MW] and 80-MW, respectively), Wygen I and II (80-MW and 95-MW, respectively), and Wyodak (330-MW) power plants, all of which are located approximately 5 miles east of Gillette, Wyoming. Pacific Power and Light's Dave Johnston Power Plant is located near Glenrock, Wyoming outside of, but adjacent to, the study area.

Three separate interconnected gas-fired power plants (Hartzog, Arvada, and Barber Creek) are also located near Gillette, Wyoming (map 4-1). Each contains three separate 5-MW-rated turbines that provide electric power to Basin Electric and its customers. In winter, the maximum capacity can reach 22.6-MW from each site. All units are in operating condition, although they do not operate at maximum capacity.

Several additional power plants are projected to be built prior to 2020. The PRB Coal Review assumed that proposed coal-fired power plants that plan to initiate operation by 2010 would have to have been undergoing air quality permit review by 2003 in order to obtain the required construction permits and complete construction by 2010. The following two identified projects are considered likely for development by 2010:

- North American Power Group has permitted a coal-fired power plant (Two Elk Unit 1) at a 40-acre site located approximately 15 miles southeast of Wright, Wyoming. As originally permitted, the project also would include installation of a gas fired turbine. The unit would be dry-cooled, requiring very little water. The state has approved several hundred million dollars in tax-exempt bonds for the power plant. North American Power Group is completing financing for the remaining cost of the plant. The company recently announced that it has signed a transmissions agreement with PacifiCorp and is planning to have the 320-MW plant in operation by October 2011 (Associated Press 2007b, Gartrell 2007b). The air quality permit was originally issued in August 2002, then revoked temporarily and restored by the WDEQ in 2007. In 2008, the Wyoming Environmental Quality Council denied a request by the Sierra Club for a new hearing on the air quality permit allowing construction of the facility. The Sierra Club filed a lawsuit in district court in Cheyenne to reverse the WDEQ decision (Brown 2008).
- Basin Electric Power Cooperative obtained permits from the Wyoming Industrial Siting Council in June 2006, and the WDEQ/AQD in October 2007, to construct and operate the Dry Fork Station Power Plant. As proposed, the Dry Fork Station would be a coal-based, mine-mouth 385-MW power plant located near the Dry Fork Mine, north of Gillette, Wyoming. The issuance of the air quality permit allowed construction of the plant to start in November 2007. In late October 2007, an appeal was filed regarding the air quality permit issued by the WDEQ. The Wyoming Environmental Quality Council denied requests to suspend construction. After due process, on November 20, 2008, the council approved

orders to dismiss the issues before it and terminated the appeal. The orders were signed on December 12, 2008. The protestors announced intent to appeal in Wyoming District Court. Basin Electric estimates that the plant will be operational by 2011 (WDEQ/ISD 2007). At the time of the PRB Coal Review study, it was estimated that 1.2 million tons of coal per year would be required to fuel the facility. Construction of this facility is underway, and operation is expected to begin as scheduled.

The PRB Coal Review assumes that, under the upper coal production scenario, a maximum of one additional 700-MW coal-fired power plant would be constructed by 2020 in the Gillette area or near one or more of the operating coal mines. North American Power Group submitted an application in September 2007 for a 750-MW coal-fired power plant, Two Elk 2, to be located at the same site as the proposed Two Elk plant, which is discussed above. Black Hills Power Corporation has also announced plans to construct the Wygen III power plant, sized at 100MW, which is planned to be similar in design to the Wygen II plant. As of November 2008, the project was on schedule. The air quality permit for this facility was issued in March 2007 and construction started in 2008 (SourceWatch 2008). The study assumes that all existing power plants in the PRB region would remain operational through 2020.

Transmission Lines

Major transmission lines in the Wyoming PRB study area that support the regional distribution system are associated with the Dave Johnston Power Plant located near Glenrock, Wyoming, and the power plants operated by Black Hills Power Corporation, which are located east of Gillette. These 230-kilovolt transmission lines have been in place for several years, and their associated permanent disturbance is minimal. Distribution power lines associated with conventional oil and gas and CBNG development also occur within the study area. For the PRB Coal Review, these lines were included by factoring them in proportionally on a per-well basis.

The PRB Coal Review estimated that by 2020 four major transmission lines would be constructed. Markets would dictate the size and location of such facilities, and these are not known as of this time. Because transmission lines are a necessary supporting infrastructure for power generating facilities to provide connection to the grid, the PRB Coal Review assumes they would be required as part of the overall system development for the proposed power plants discussed earlier. Six specific proposals for these transmission lines have been identified. Information is insufficient to analyze or assign likelihood of development by 2020.

The governors of California, Nevada, Utah, and Wyoming entered into a memorandum of understanding to encourage development of a high voltage power transmission line, the Frontier Line, connecting those states in April 2005. Since that time, no specific plans have been announced as to the location or timing of the Frontier Line. The 345-kilovolt Wyoming-Colorado Intertie as well as the Trans West and Gateway West and South projects have been proposed in Wyoming to move power from Wyoming to Idaho and Nevada and other western U.S. load demand areas (Hodges 2007). The TransWestern Express proposes to move electric power from Wyoming to Arizona through Colorado or Utah. The High Plains Express proposes to move power from Wyoming to New Mexico and Arizona.

Coal Conversion Technology

With rising energy prices, there has been considerable interest in either enhancing the quality of PRB coal and/or converting the coal to other fuels. Test facilities were previously constructed by KFx at the Fort Union Mine (now part of the Dry Fork Mine), by AMAX (now Alpha Coal West, Inc.) at the Belle Ayr Mine, and by ENCOAL at the Buckskin Mine. No commercial production has occurred, and these facilities have either been dismantled or are no longer in use. Although several coal conversion projects have been proposed, as discussed below, only one (the KFx Coal Beneficiation Project) was considered to have a high enough likelihood of proceeding to include it in the PRB Coal Review, based on its status and available information.

The KFx (now Evergreen Energy) coal beneficiation plant, located near the Dry Fork Mine, north of Gillette, was operational but did not reach full capacity. KFx reported making its first production run and shipping coal to two customers for test burns in late December 2005. In August 2006, KFx reported that a trainload of enhanced coal had been loaded and sent to a customer in Ohio. The commercially viable product was produced through 2007 until the plant was idled down in 2008. It was predicted that the plant would eventually produce approximately 750,000 tons of enhanced coal per year. This operation had a high likelihood of proceeding with production given the technology being used and the forecast market conditions in the PRB. Evergreen Energy Inc. and its strategic partner, Bechtel Power Cooperation, have decided to improve the plant design and relocate the operation to a different area with a greater market (Evergreen Energy 2009). The company has suggested that up to five additional units will be built, some perhaps in the PRB, but the likelihood for development of additional units is not known. As a result, the potential development of additional units was not analyzed in the PRB Coal Review.

The following coal conversion projects have been proposed, but were not included in the PRB Coal Review analysis because the likelihood of their occurrence was not known when the coal review analysis was conducted:

- Medicine Bow Fuel and Power, a subsidiary of DKRW Advanced Fuels, LLC, has announced that it plans to build a coal-to-liquids plant with an in-service year of 2013 in northern Carbon County, Wyoming. GE Energy and Rentech Clean Energy Solutions are also involved in the project, which would obtain coal from Saddleback Hills Mine facility. Both the plant and mine are located outside of the PRB. The primary product would be ultra-low-sulfur diesel fuel produced from sub-bituminous coal. The company is in the process of permitting the plant and expects to begin initial site work in 2010, with completion planned for 2011 (Hodges 2007; DKRW Advanced Fuels 2008).
- LUCA Technologies Inc. has developed a method of producing biogenetic methane through methanogenesis. This process uses a group of predominantly anaerobic microorganisms that metabolize the complex organic molecules in hydrocarbon deposits and produce the gas as a waste product. The company transforms uneconomically producing CBNG wells and uses the existing infrastructure for its coal conversion and methane production operations, which are handled by their directly owned subsidiary, Patriot Energy Resources. The company has

completed their test project near Sheridan, Wyoming and has begun operations using a chemical nutrient used to feed existing anaerobic microbacteria currently residing in the PRB coal seams. These microbacterial communities are currently capable of producing up to 30 million cubic feet per day of methane after nutrients are provided. The amount of coal converted through methanogenesis is less than 1% at the current level of technology. The future rate of the technological development and production of methane using microbacteria is unknown at this time but it is expected that, with continued success and public demand for either methane, hydrogen, or other biological metabolic byproducts of the microbial consortia, such operations could remain in place for the foreseeable future and produce some product until the coal has been converted into carbon and other remnant components of PRB coal such as ash and sulfur. LUCA is projecting the possibility of developing the same technology to produce methane and other products from non-coal hydrocarbon substrates and deposits (DeBruyn pers.comm.). Several groups, including the Wyoming Business Council, Campbell County Economic Development Corporation, and Converse Area New Development Organization are actively pursuing coal gasification development projects. Specifically, the Converse Area New Development Organization is pursuing the development of coal gasification leading to production of pure hydrogen with CO₂ as a by-product within five to eight years. While there appears to be substantial interest in these opportunities, it is unknown whether large-scale operations would be developed within the 2010 to 2020 timeframe, given permitting, engineering, and construction time requirements. When the PRB Coal Review was prepared, a project proponent with adequate financing to pursue a project that would use PRB coal had not been identified, and one has not been identified since.

Table 4-5 is a summary of past, present, and reasonably foreseeable coal mines, coal-related facilities, coal production, coal mine employment, and coal and coal-related disturbance in the Wyoming PRB.

Table 4-5. Past, Present, and Projected Wyoming PRB Coal Mine and Coal-Related Development Scenario

Year	Coal Production (mmtpy)	Number of Active Coal Mines ¹	Number of Active Power Plants	Number of Active Coal Conversion Facilities ²	Direct Coal Mine Employment	Total Coal Disturbance (acres) ³
PAST AND PRESENT						
1990	163	18	3	1	2,862	na
1995	247	19	4	1	3,177	na
2000	323	12	4	2	3,335	na
2003	363	12	4	0	5,010	73,685
PROJECTED DEVELOPMENT - LOWER COAL PRODUCTION SCENARIO						
2010	411	131	7	12	5,263	103,628
2015	467	131	7	12	5,405	123,147
2020	495	131	7	12	5,531	143,354
PROJECTED DEVELOPMENT - UPPER COAL PRODUCTION SCENARIO						
2010	479	131	7	12	5,339	107,414
2015	543	131	7	12	5,522	130,456
2020	576	131	8	12	5,678	155,000

mmtpy = million tons per year.

¹ Mines have consolidated and may do so in the future. Also, new mines may be permitted to better access the coal reserves projected for mining by 2020.

² Several coal conversion facilities are currently being evaluated; however, only one has the likelihood of future development can be assessed.

³ Disturbance area includes coal mine and coal-related disturbance areas.

Source: Annual Report of the Wyoming State Mine Inspector (Wyoming Department of Employment 1990, 1995, 2000, and 2003) and PRB Coal Review Task 2 Report (BLM 2005b)

4.1.2 Oil and Gas Development

The following information on existing conventional and CBNG development is summarized from the PRB Coal Review Task 2 Report (BLM 2005b). The information reported is for 2003, which was the baseline year for the coal review.

4.1.2.1 Conventional Oil and Gas

Conventional oil and gas development includes all non-CBNG development activity.

Approximately 1,500 conventional oil and gas wells, including producing, non-producing, and injection wells, were drilled between 1990 and 2003 (IHS 2004) in the PRB Coal Review Task 2 study area. Of those, 60% were development wells drilled in established producing areas. The remaining 40% were classified as wildcat wells, which are wells that are drilled in non-producing areas or drilled to evaluate untested prospective zones in producing areas.

Approximately 75% of the wildcat wells were plugged and abandoned. By 2003, the successful new field wildcat wells had resulted in the discovery of 61 new fields that produced 719,000 barrels of oil and 1.45 billion cubic feet of non-CBNG (Wyoming Oil and Gas Conservation Commission 2004).

As of the end of 2003, approximately 3,500 producing conventional oil and gas wells were in the Wyoming PRB study area plus 1,386 seasonally active wells (IHS 2004). The Wyoming Oil and Gas Conservation Commission reported that these wells produced approximately 13 million barrels of oil and 40 billion cubic feet of conventional gas in 2003 (Wyoming Oil and Gas Conservation Commission 2004). The USGS (2002a) estimated that the mean undiscovered noncoal bed hydrocarbon resource in the PRB (including Montana) is 1.8 billion barrels of oil equivalent.

Most of Wyoming’s current oil production is from old oil fields with declining production, and the level of exploration drilling to discover new fields has been low (Wyoming State Geological Survey 2002). This situation is reflected in the PRB where, over the 10-year period from 1992 through 2002, oil production from conventional oil and gas wells in Campbell and Converse counties decreased approximately 60.4% (from 32.8 million barrels in 1992 to 13.0 million barrels in 2002). Oil prices have been increasing, which is reversing projections of a continuing decline in oil and gas production. Thus, production is now expected to increase in the PRB, with a peak around 2010 of approximately 15.7 million barrels (WSO-RMG 2005). Oil production in the short term may also be bolstered by some planned CO₂ flood projects in the PRB (Wyoming State Geological Survey 2003). This projected temporary upward trend in conventional oil and gas development is reflected in the PRB Coal Review projections (table 4-6).

Table 4-6. Baseline Year and Projected Wyoming PRB Conventional Oil and Gas Development Scenario

Category	Existing		Projected for Task 3 Study Area		
	2003 Task 1 Study Area	2003 Task 3 Study Area	2010	2015	2020
Annual Gas Production (billion cubic feet) ¹	39.9	36.3	33.8	30.9	28.0
Annual Oil Production (million barrels)	12.9	11.4	13.8	12.5	11.2
Active and Seasonably Active Wells	5,067.0	3,890.0	5,603.0	5,115.0	4,625.0

¹ Future gas production per well was estimated based on 2003 production levels per subwatershed. A greater number of future well sites were assumed to occur in locations with historically lower production rates, so the projected future conventional gas production varies within the cumulative effects study area relative to the number of projected producing wells.

Source: PRB Coal Review Task 2 Report (BLM 2005b)

The active wells identified in table 4-6 include wells that produce year-round, seasonally producing wells, and service wells (mainly injection wells). It is estimated that there are approximately 2,000 idle conventional oil and gas wells in the PRB study area (Wyoming Oil and Gas Conservation Commission 2005). However, the number of idle wells would gradually be reduced in the future through plugging programs, and the idle well locations (once the wells are abandoned) would be reclaimed, and would no longer represent a disturbance.

4.1.2.2 CBNG Development

Natural gas production has been increasing in Wyoming. In the PRB, this is due to the development of shallow CBNG resources. Commercial development of these resources began in

limited areas west of and adjacent to the northernmost surface coal mines in the late 1980s. Since that time, CBNG development has spread south and west into other parts of the PRB Coal Review Task 1 and Task 2 study area.

On private and state oil and gas leases, the Wyoming Oil and Gas Conservation Commission and the Wyoming SEO authorize CBNG drilling. On federal oil and gas leases, the BLM must analyze the individual and cumulative environmental impacts of all drilling (federal, state, and private), as required by NEPA, before CBNG drilling can be authorized. The BLM does not authorize drilling on state or private leases but must consider the impacts from those wells in their NEPA analyses. In many areas of the PRB, the coal estate is federally owned, but the oil and gas estate is privately owned. A June 7, 1999 Supreme Court decision (98-830) assigned the rights to develop CBNG on a piece of land to the owner of the oil and gas estate.

Annual CBNG production increased rapidly in the PRB between 1999 and 2003 but has leveled off somewhat since then. At the end of 2003, 14,758 producing CBNG wells were in the study area (IHS 2004), and total production for 2003 was 346 billion cubic feet, or 88% of the total gas production from the basin (Wyoming Oil and Gas Conservation Commission 2004). Total production for 2006 was 377 billion cubic feet (Wyoming Oil and Gas Conservation Commission 2007). Average daily CBNG production was 900 million cubic feet of gas per day in 2003 (Holcomb 2003), and it is estimated that it will average 1,150 million cubic feet of gas per day for 2007 (Wyoming Oil and Gas Conservation Commission 2007). From 1987 to 2003, the total cumulative gas production from PRB coals was over 1.2 trillion cubic feet. The total water production for the same period was approximately 2.3 billion barrels (96,600 million gallons). Water production in 2003 amounted to more than 500 million barrels (21,000 million gallons), or about 1.4 million barrels per day. According to the Wyoming Oil and Gas Conservation Commission website, water production in the PRB associated with CBNG production has varied between just over 1.4 million barrels per day and about 2.2 million barrels per day since December 2003.

Since the early 1990s, the Wyoming BLM has completed numerous environmental assessments and two EISs analyzing CBNG projects. The most recent of these is the four-volume final EIS and proposed plan amendment for the PRB oil and gas project, completed in January 2003 (BLM 2003). The level of CBNG development since 2003 appears to be lower than was forecast in that document. New CBNG well numbers fell from a high of slightly more than 4,600 in 2001 to approximately 2,000 in 2004. The PRB Coal Review Task 2 Report discusses the uncertain trends for future CBNG activity in recent years. The methodology used to project future activity is detailed in appendix E of that report. Table 4-7 shows the 2003 and projected 2010, 2015, and 2020 levels of CBNG development used to evaluate projected cumulative environmental impacts in the PRB Coal Review.

Table 4-7. Baseline Year and Projected CBNG Development Scenario for Wyoming PRB

Category	Existing		Projected to Task 3 Study Area		
	2003 Task 1 Study Area	2003 Task 3 Study Area	2010	2015	2020
Annual Production (billion cubic feet)	338	284	480	500	443
Active Wells	14,758	12,152	20,899	21,831	19,366

Source: PRB Coal Review Task 2 Report (BLM 2005b)

4.1.2.3 Oil and Gas-Related Development

Oil and gas-related development activities considered in the PRB Coal Review include major transportation pipelines and refineries. Table 4-8 summarizes the net disturbance, reclamation, and water production associated with oil and gas activity (conventional oil and gas, CBNG, and major transportation pipelines) for 2003 (baseline year) and projects disturbance, reclamation, and water production for future years.

Table 4-8. Wyoming PRB Conventional Oil and Gas, CBNG, and Related Development Disturbance and Water Production

Category	Existing ¹		Projected for Task 3 Study Area ¹		
	2003 Task 1 Study Area	2003 Task 3 Study Area	2010	2015	2020
Cumulative Disturbed Area (acres) ²	187,761	148,602	237,883	304,543	361,331
Cumulative Permanently Reclaimed Area (acres)	115,045	90,548	160,175	225,426	288,536
Cumulative Unreclaimed Area (acres)	72,715	58,053	77,707	79,108	72,794
Annual Water Production (million gallons per year)	26,405	21,204	39,108	41,484	37,350

¹ Minor discrepancies in total acreages are the result of number rounding.

² Inclusive of conventional oil and gas and CBNG activities and major transportation pipelines. Disturbance associated with ancillary facilities (including gathering lines and distribution power lines) has been factored in a per well basis.

Source: PRB Coal Review Task 2 Report (BLM 2005b)

Pipelines

The availability of pipeline capacity for the transport of oil and gas to outside markets is a key factor in the development of CBNG and conventional oil and gas resources in the Wyoming PRB. In 2003, the baseline year for the PRB coal Review, there were 13 major transportation pipeline systems that transported gas resources to markets outside of the basin (Flores et al. 2001). The 2003 capacity of these pipeline systems was 1.9 billion cubic feet per day. The combined natural gas production (CBNG and conventional gas) in the Wyoming PRB Coal Review Task 1 and Task 2 study area was approximately 1.03 billion cubic feet per day.

Major transportation pipelines also provide for transport of CO₂ to conventional oil fields for enhanced oil recovery. Increased recovery of crude oil also may depend somewhat on the

availability of CO₂ for enhanced oil recovery projects, as well as the availability of pipelines to transport oil to refineries for processing.

Gathering lines and power lines associated with conventional oil and gas and CBNG development also occur within the study area; disturbance from these ancillary facilities were factored into the PRB Coal Review analysis on a per well basis.

A 315-mile-long pipeline project, the Bison Pipeline Project, was proposed in 2004 to move natural gas northward, directly out of the PRB and into the Northern Border Pipeline system (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission 2004). Approximately 53 miles of the proposed route is within the Wyoming PRB Coal Review study area. If it is constructed, it would have a 240 million cubic feet per day capacity as proposed. The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission had expected the Bison project proposal to be filed in December 2003, but no filing has been made with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission 2004), and the project is not included as an active project in Wyoming on the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission website. As a result, the Bison Pipeline project was assumed to have a low likelihood rating for the purposes of the PRB Coal Review.

The following two proposed pipeline projects in the PRB were listed on the Wyoming Pipeline Authority webpage (<http://www.wyopipeline.com>) as of October 2007: MDU Resources Group, Inc. Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline 'Grasslands Pipeline' Expansion and ONEOK Cantera Gas Holdings Fort Union Gas Gathering Expansion. These are both expansion projects which involve adding capacity to an existing pipeline. Information on pipeline projects proposed in Wyoming can also be found in the "For Citizens" section of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's website at <http://www.ferc.gov>.

The amount of available pipeline capacity could limit the amount of future CBNG development. In 2003, it was estimated that growth of Wyoming PRB CBNG production could rise from the 2003 level of 900 million cubic feet per day up to 3 to 4 billion cubic feet per day around 2007 and remain at or above those levels until 2015 (Holcomb 2003). If CBNG production levels reach 3 to 4 billion cubic feet per day, it is reasonable to assume that several pipeline projects with up to 1.0 billion cubic feet per day capacity each could be built in the PRB. However, as discussed previously, the actual average production for 2007 is currently projected to be 1.15 billion cubic feet per day and, based on the assumptions in appendix G of the PRB Coal Review Task 2 Report, the basin-wide CBNG production is projected to reach approximately 1.7 billion cubic feet per day in 2020. New pipeline construction projects were not considered in the PRB Coal Review analysis because the likelihood for additional new pipeline construction was unknown when the PRB Coal Review was prepared.

The CO₂ pipeline from Bairoil, Wyoming, to Salt Creek, Wyoming, may be extended into the PRB Coal Review study area to the Sussex Field to support additional enhanced oil recovery. Although it took many years for a CO₂ source to reach the Wyoming PRB, it is very likely that several pipelines could be built in the study area in the near future to provide additional gas for enhanced oil recovery projects. However, no pipeline projects were identified that would

transport CO₂ beyond Salt Creek, and the likelihood for construction of additional CO₂ pipelines was unknown when the PRB Coal Review analysis was prepared, and they were not considered.

Refineries

Construction of a new refinery was completed in the Wyoming PRB study area in 2008. The NorthCut Refinery, owned and operated by Interline Resources, is located in Converse County, approximately 20 miles north of Douglas, Wyoming. Construction of the refinery, which was a conversion of the previously existing Well Draw Gas Plant, included installation of a crude oil pipeline between the company's existing crude gathering system and the refinery.

The NorthCut Refinery is a crude oil topping plant, specifically engineered to process 4,000 barrels per day of sweet crude produced in the PRB. Output from the refinery will include naphtha, off-road diesel, and reduced crude oil. The markets for the products include ethanol manufacturers, mines, and other refineries. The company-owned crude oil pipeline and third-party tanker trucks will be used for delivery of crude stocks. Tanker trucks also will be used to transport finished products from the facility (Interline Resources 2008).

The refinery is adjacent to and east of Wyoming 59, with the joint BNSF and UP rail line located just to the west of the highway. The site previously had been the location of the Well Draw Gas Plant (approximately 20 acres), which shut down in 2002 following a fire. Interline has acquired an additional 12 acres bordering the original site for administrative, maintenance, and transportation-related uses (Interline Resources 2008).

The level and composition of outputs from the existing NorthCut Refinery would respond to various markets, potentially resulting in the construction of additional infrastructure and/or facilities in the future. Any future changes and associated disturbances would occur within the property currently owned by Interline Resources (Williams, pers. comm.). No specific plans for expansion have been identified. As a result, the likelihood for project expansion is considered speculative. Therefore, it has been eliminated from further analysis in this study.

No other reasonably foreseeable plans for construction and operation of new petroleum refineries in the Wyoming portion of the PRB have been identified.

4.1.3 Other Development Activity

4.1.3.1 Other Mining

Uranium, sand, gravel, bentonite, and clinker (or scoria) have been and are being mined in the Wyoming PRB study area.

There are three defined uranium districts in the PRB: Pumpkin Buttes, Southern Powder River, and Kaycee (BLM 2003). Numerous mined out or uneconomic uranium mining sites are present in these districts. Uranium is currently produced in the Southern Powder River District using the in-situ leach method. There is one operating in-situ uranium recovery site in the PRB, the Smith Ranch-Highland Mine in Converse County, but the recent increase in interest in uranium for

power plants here and abroad is generating interest in new development in the PRB. According to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission website (<http://www.nrc.gov>), interest has been expressed in restarting in-situ operations at the Christianson Ranch Site in Johnson County, Wyoming. An application has been received from Energy Metals Corporation to construct and operate an in-situ uranium recovery facility at Moore Ranch in Campbell County, Wyoming. Based on commodity forecasts and uranium activity as of June 2004, the likelihood and potential timing of new uranium mining operations in the PRB was not known, and additional development was not projected in the PRB Coal Review analysis.

In the original Task 2 reports (BLM 2005b), reasonably foreseeable uranium development was eliminated from further consideration because: 1) there were no specific projects with pending applications and 2) no development was anticipated based on market conditions. Due to increased overall demand for energy in recent years, uranium prices have increased from a low of \$7.00 a pound in 2001 to over \$138 a pound in 2007 (Barry 2008). The price fell precipitously after that, but it appears to be stabilizing at approximately \$75 per pound.

In response to the increased price of uranium, a number of uranium mine developments are proposed in the Wyoming PRB study area (table 4-9). These include seven new proposed developments, two proposed expansions, and one proposed restart, all of which would use in situ recovery. Most of the proposed developments are in the Pumpkin Buttes uranium district in southwestern Campbell County. The actual number of the proposed developments that would become operational would depend on several factors including price and approval of permits.

Bentonite is weathered volcanic ash that is used in a variety of products, including drilling mud and kitty litter, because of its absorbent properties. There are three major bentonite producing districts in and around the PRB: the Colony District in the Northern Black Hills, the Clay Spur District in the Southern Black Hills, and the Kaycee District west of Kaycee, Wyoming. Within the PRB Coal Review study area, bentonite is mined at Kaycee (Wyoming Mining Association 2006). The PRB Coal Review assumed that bentonite mining would continue throughout the study period and that production would continue at existing active mines, with no new mines developed through 2020.

Aggregate, which is sand, gravel, and stone, is used for construction purposes. In the PRB, the more important aggregate mining localities are in Johnson and Sheridan counties (Wyoming State Geological Survey 2004). The largest identified aggregate operation is located in northern Converse County. It has an associated total disturbance area of approximately 67 acres, of which four acres have been reclaimed.

Scoria or clinker (which is formed when coal beds burn and the adjacent rocks become baked) is used as aggregate where alluvial terrace gravel or in-place granite/igneous rock is not available. Scoria generally is mined in the Converse and Campbell counties portion of the Wyoming PRB study area.

Table 4-9. U.S. Nuclear Resources Commission Applications for In-Situ Recovery Uranium Projects in the Wyoming PRB Study Area

Project/ Company	Location	Type Application	Watershed/Mining District	Likelihood/ Rationale
Moore Ranch/Uranium One (formerly Energy Metals Corporation)	T41-42N, R74-75W; Campbell and Converse counties.	New	Antelope Creek, Upper Powder River/Pumpkin Buttes District	Moderate for 2010. Application filed with USNRC October 2007.
Nichols Ranch-Hank Unit/Uranerz	Nichols Ranch: T43N, R76W; Campbell and Johnson counties. Hank Unit: T43-44N, R75W; Campbell County.	New	Upper Powder River/Pumpkin Buttes District	Moderate for 2010. Applications filed with USNRC and WDEQ.
Christensen Ranch/Cogema	T44N, R76W; Johnson County.	Restart	Upper Powder River/Pumpkin Buttes District	Moderate for 2010. USNRC application pending, received April 2007.
Smith Ranch/Cameco (Power Resources)	T36N, R74W; Converse County.	Expansion	Middle North Platte River/South Powder	Moderate for 2015. Expansion of existing facility, letter of intent March 2008, application expected 2009.
North Butte/Cameco	T44N, R76W; Campbell County.	Expansion	Upper Powder River/Pumpkin Buttes District	Moderate for 2015. Letter of intent to USNRC March 2008, application expected 2009.
Collins Draw/Uranerz	T42N, T43N, R76W; Campbell County.	New	Upper Powder River/Pumpkin Buttes District	Moderate for 2015. Letter of intent to USNRC March 2008, application expected 2009.
Ludeman-Allemand-Ross/Uranium One	Converse County	New	Antelope Creek	Moderate for 2015. Letter of intent to USNRC March 2008, application expected 2009.
Ruby Ranch/Cameco	T43N, R75W; Campbell County	New	Upper Belle Fourche River/Pumpkin Buttes District	Moderate for 2015. Letter of intent to USNRC March 2008, application expected 2009.
Reno Creek/Strathmore Minerals Corporation	T43N, R73; Campbell County	New	Upper Belle Fourche River, Antelope Creek/Pumpkin Buttes District	Moderate for 2015. Letter of intent to USNRC March 2008, application expected 2010.
Southwest Reno Creek/Strathmore Minerals Corporation	T42-43N, R73-74W	New	Antelope Creek/Pumpkin Buttes District	Speculative. No information on applications available.

USNRC = U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Sources: Strathmore Minerals Corporation (2008), USNRC (2008a, 2008b, 2008c); World Information Service on Energy (2007)

Increased sand, gravel, and scoria production and associated surface disturbance are anticipated in the Wyoming PRB study area in the future because aggregate would be required for road maintenance and new construction activities as other primary resources, such as coal and oil and gas, continue to be developed. New operations and increased production from existing operations can be expected. These operations would vary in size based on the immediate need from the primary industries, but there is no specific information about these projected operations. As a result, new sand, gravel, or scoria operations were not analyzed in detail in the PRB Coal Review.

4.1.3.2 Industrial Manufacturing

A number of existing industrial manufacturing establishments are located in the Wyoming PRB Coal Review study area. Most are relatively small with fewer than 25 employees; they predominately serve regional and local markets, and most are directly or indirectly related to energy resource development and production. Over the years, some of these firms have expanded such that they now support activities and serve markets outside of the region, but those operations remain dependent upon the local and regional markets to sustain their existing operations.

The PRB Coal Review anticipates that increased coal production would result in an increased demand for fuels and explosives. This increased demand could result in the need for the development of new off-site chemical feedstock plants in the study area. Project-specific information is not available, however, and the potential development of new chemical feedstock plants was not considered in the PRB Coal Review.

Local economic development organizations, including Campbell County Economic Development Corporation and Converse Area New Development Organization, are continually engaged in efforts to recruit or assist new business formation in the PRB study area. For example, the latter has pursued development of long-term potential projects; however, the outcomes of those projects are uncertain and little information and detail are available. As a result, they were not considered in the PRB Coal Review.

4.1.3.3 Wind Power

Wind power facilities have been proposed at various sites in Wyoming, including the Powder River Basin region. There is potential in the Wyoming sites for wind power, and these facilities can contribute to meeting forecasted electric power demands; however, they depend on available transmission capacity to send power to users. The transmission capability is a constraining factor (Grasseschi 2008). Wyoming ranks seventh in terms of wind energy potential with current production in 14th place with 459 MW. Although many Wyoming locations having the highest potential are in the southern portion of the state, areas in both Converse and Campbell counties offer sufficient potential to support commercial-scale wind generation projects

- One such project currently is under development in the Wyoming PRB study area, and another is in the planning stages. PacifiCorp is constructing a three-phase project in Converse County, approximately 15 miles north of the existing Dave Johnston Power Plant, on and near the site of the former Dave Johnson Mine. The first two phases, known as the Glenrock Wind Energy Project and the Rolling Hills Wind Energy Project, were scheduled for completion in 2008. The third, currently unnamed phase is anticipated to be constructed between 2009 and 2011, depending on market demands and the performance of the first two phases. Each phase would consist of 66 wind turbine generators (each rated at 1.5 MW [99-MW total]) mounted on 80-meter-tall tubular towers, plus ancillary support facilities (PacifiCorp 2007). This project is considered highly likely.

- Third Planet Windpower is in the initial development phase of a wind generating project in the Pumpkin Buttes area of southwestern Campbell County. This company has acquired 13,000 acres of land leases for the project, installed meteorological towers on site, and is currently doing environmental and feasibility studies. Contingent upon the meteorological data and other results, the company could install up to 167, 1.5-MW towers, yielding a total capacity of 250 MW, if fully constructed (Gartrell 2008). The site for the Reno Junction wind farm is near the Black Hills Power substation, and the companies are seeking an agreement for interconnection. Third Planet Windpower plans to start construction in June of 2010 with an online date in the end of 2010.

4.1.3.4 Solar Power

Although Wyoming has been given a rating of very good for annual solar potential for flat plate collectors, there currently are no utility scale solar power collection facilities on federal, state, or private lands in the state of Wyoming. Furthermore, no applications for the development of utility scale solar energy projects have been filed as of January 1, 2009.

The BLM and the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) are jointly preparing a solar energy programmatic EIS which could facilitate future solar energy development application processes. Wyoming is not covered in the programmatic EIS but still may be affected by it. Information on the programmatic EIS can be found at: <http://solareis.anl.gov>. The BLM currently evaluates solar energy project proposals on a case-by-case basis.

Solar energy use in Wyoming is, as of January 1, 2009, limited to private residences and private commercial establishments. Current Wyoming solar energy incentives include a sales tax rebate on industrial or commercial solar energy generation equipment, a onetime grant of up to \$3,000 offered thru lottery from the Wyoming Business Council, and the utility buy back of unused electricity at the wholesale price. Solar energy production equipment and installation at residential, commercial, and utility sites is expensive. Currently, the electric utility costs in Wyoming are such that the cost of installation does not favor solar energy development over existing forms of energy development.

4.1.3.5 Reservoirs

Currently, there are five key water storage reservoirs in the Wyoming PRB Coal Review study area (Healy, Lake DeSmet, Muddy Guard No. 2, Gillette, and Betty No. 1) (HKM Engineering et al. 2002a and 2002b). The total disturbance associated with these five key water storage areas is 3,263 acres.

Based on the applicable water plans prepared for the Wyoming Water Development Commission for its Basin Planning Program (HKM Engineering et al. 2002a and 2002b), there are long-range projections for development of additional reservoirs in the study area. However, none of these reservoirs have reached the planning stage; therefore, there was not enough information to analyze them in the PRB Coal Review.

4.1.3.6 Other Non-Energy Development

In addition to the specific projects and developments described above, a network of public and private physical infrastructure, private enterprises, and public activities has been developed in the PRB over time. Examples of infrastructure include the highway and road networks, airports, government offices, hospitals, public schools, municipal water systems, and extensive residential and commercial real estate development. Private enterprises include local retail and service establishments, newspaper publishing, and transportation and distribution firms.

The construction, maintenance, and continuing operations associated with this network of development represent an extensive series of public and private investments, as well as changes in land use, surface disturbances, water consumption, and the factors that characterize local air quality. Those investments and changes have occurred over a period of time and in response to many different influences.

Some of the identified and anticipated plans or proposals for future investment in public, private, and commercial infrastructure in the PRB are summarized below.

- The 2008 annual State Transportation Improvement Program includes planned construction for the 2008 fiscal year and preliminary engineering estimates for projects with anticipated construction dates through 2013 in the PRB Coal Review Study Area. In general, Wyoming transportation projects scheduled over the next six years include maintenance, reconstruction, and improvement projects. Airport improvement plans consist primarily of pavement rehabilitation and overlays, with some minor expansion of taxiways, aprons, and parking. No construction of new highways is scheduled, and no new airports are proposed.
- In addition to highway projects included in the 2008 State Transportation Improvement Program, the Eagle Butte Mine has received approval from Wyoming Department of Transportation to relocate a portion of U.S. Highway 14-16 in the vicinity of the Gillette/Campbell County Airport, north of the city of Gillette. The relocation is proposed to facilitate the recovery of approximately 40 million tons of additional coal recently acquired by the mine through the Eagle Butte West LBA tract coal sale. Three alternative alignments, involving the construction of up to 6.8 centerline miles of new roadway, were identified and a preferred alternative was subsequently chosen and approved by the Wyoming Department of Transportation. Construction of the new highway segment is underway, with completion of the project anticipated in 2011/2012 (Wyoming Department of Transportation and Foundation Coal Company 2008).
- A \$10.7 million expansion and renovation of the Campbell County courthouse was completed in late 2005, and a new public health building was completed in 2007.
- Expansion of the county's detention center and remodeling of the sheriff's office were undertaken in 2007.
- Expansion of the CAM-PLEX conference and multi-event center facility in Gillette was approved in a special election in May 2005.

- The 2005 approved master plans for Wyoming public school facilities spending included a total of \$72.3 million in new capital construction for the seven school districts that are completely or partially in the Wyoming PRB study area (Wyoming School Facilities Commission 2005).
- Construction and maintenance projects for the city of Gillette include a recently completed project to renovate and expand the waste water treatment plant.
- Commercial development includes recently completed construction of a Home Depot store and expansion of the Wal-Mart store in Gillette.
- A new \$10 million headquarters for the Campbell County Fire Department providing administrative, training, and storage space in addition to multiple parking bays for firefighting apparatus has been completed.
- A \$55 million county recreation center is being planned, with opening expected in 2010.
- The city completed construction of a new Health Sciences Center at Gillette College. The facility will house the school's nursing program, providing classrooms, labs, faculty offices, and other spaces. The nursing program functions in conjunction with the Campbell County Memorial Hospital.
- The county, city, and Gillette College are partnering on a Campus Housing Complex and Industrial Technical Education Center. These facilities are part of a long-range master plan for the college that is designed to provide a broad college-level curriculum and provide more focused education and training to support local business and industry.
- Campbell County Memorial Hospital is in the planning stage for a major expansion and renovation project (City of Gillette 2008a).

A capital facilities tax ballot question in Campbell County in the 2004 election asking voters to approve the imposition of a \$0.01 sales and use tax (to be used for updated and expanded diesel mechanic and welding programs at the Gillette Campus of the Northern Wyoming Community College (now Gillette College) and for two community development projects in Wright) and an increase in the lodging tax were defeated in 2004. A renewed attempt to get the lodging tax on the ballot for the 2006 primary election failed to gain the approval of the Campbell County Board of Commissioners. In their 2007 session, the Wyoming Legislature committed to pay half of the cost of a technical education center at Gillette College that will house diesel technology, welding, and industrial electrician programs. The Campbell County Board of Commissioners has approved a tax increase to pay for the other half of the cost of the project. Construction of this project is ongoing.

Given the timing, scale, year-to-year variability, relatively short construction timetables associated with such investments, the existence of a relatively large and diversified construction industry in the region and nearby areas, and the limited potential for these projects to alter long-term conditions in the PRB, they are not included in the PRB Coal Review analysis. However, one or more of these and similar projects could warrant consideration in a cumulative analysis for a site-specific project due to proximity or coincidental project schedules and timetables.

4.2 Cumulative Environmental Consequences

Section 4.1 of this chapter discussed existing and projected levels of development in the Wyoming PRB, and included summaries of the results of PRB Coal Review Task 2 studies. This section summarizes the existing conditions resulting from baseline year (2003) development and the cumulative environmental consequences of the projected development for 2010, 2015, and 2020 based on the results of the analyses conducted for PRB Coal Review Task 1 and 3 reports, respectively.

As discussed in the previous section, the Wyoming portion of the PRB is the primary focus of the PRB Coal Review analyses. For the majority of resources in the Task 1 analysis, the Wyoming PRB Coal Review study area encompasses all of Campbell County, all of Sheridan and Johnson counties outside of the Bighorn National Forest, and the northern portion of Converse County (map 4-1). The study areas for the Task 3 analyses are different. For the majority of the resources considered in the PRB Coal Review, the Task 3 study area is based on watershed boundaries in the PRB and includes the portions of the Upper Powder River, Little Powder River, Upper Belle Fourche River, Upper Cheyenne River, Antelope Creek, and Dry Fork Cheyenne River subwatersheds that lie within Sheridan, Johnson, Campbell and northern Converse counties (map 4-2). This study area includes over 4 million acres. Table 4-10 summarizes the total disturbance and reclamation acreages for the baseline year of 2003 and the total projected disturbance and reclamation acreages for 2010, 2015, and 2020 within the Task 3 study area described above.

Table 4-10. Baseline Year and Projected Wyoming PRB Total Development Scenario – Task 3 Study Area

Year	Total Acres Disturbed ¹	Acres Reclaimed ¹	Acres Unreclaimed ¹	Acres Unavailable for Reclamation ²	Acres Affected by Coal Mining
BASELINE YEAR					
2003	220,688	111,786	108,901	27,073	68,794
PROJECTED DEVELOPMENT - LOWER COAL PRODUCTION SCENARIO					
2010	339,912	205,113	134,799	29,389	98,662
2015	426,084	286,614	139,472	31,546	117,236
2020	503,085	367,999	135,085	32,794	137,443
PROJECTED DEVELOPMENT - UPPER COAL PRODUCTION SCENARIO					
2010	343,698	206,946	136,752	28,739	102,448
2015	433,392	290,822	142,570	31,006	124,545
2020	514,732	374,732	139,998	32,342	149,089

¹ Minor discrepancies in total acreages are the result of number rounding.

² Includes coal mine and coal-related disturbance.

Source: PRB Coal Review Task 2 Report (BLM 2005b)

A total of approximately 220,688 acres of this land area had been disturbed by development activities as of 2003, which represents about 5.6% of the Task 3 study area. This is projected to increase to as much as 514,732 acres in 2020 under the upper coal production scenario which would represent approximately 13.1% of the Task 3 study area. This projected disturbance includes coal mining, coal-related development, and oil and gas and related development disturbance in the Task 3 study area. Areas reclaimed during each future period shown in table 4-10 reflect how much of the disturbed acreage is projected to be permanently reclaimed by that point in time. The acres of unreclaimed disturbance would be reclaimed incrementally or following a project's completion, depending on the type of development activity and permit requirements. The acres currently not available for reclamation are occupied by long-term facilities that are needed to conduct mining operations or coal-related activities. These areas would be reclaimed near the end of each mine or facility's life.

Adjustments were made to the study area described above and shown on map 4-2 for several resources as described below:

- The potential air quality impacts were evaluated over a multi-state area (including most of Wyoming, southeastern Montana, southwestern North Dakota, western South Dakota, and northwestern Nebraska) because they would be expected to extend beyond the Wyoming and Montana PRB study area that was used to identify emissions sources for the air quality analysis.
- The groundwater drawdown was evaluated in the area surrounding and extending west of the surface coal mines shown on map 4-2, because that is the area where groundwater drawdown related to surface coal mining operations and CBNG production operations would overlap.
- The socioeconomic impact analysis focused on Campbell County, but also considered Converse, Crook, Johnson, Sheridan, and Weston counties as directly affected and Niobrara and Natrona counties as indirectly affected.

4.2.1 Topography and Physiography

The PRB is located within the Upper Missouri Basin Broken Lands physiographic subprovince that includes northeastern Wyoming and eastern Montana to the Canadian border. The topography generally is of low to moderate relief with occasional buttes and mesas. The general topographic gradient slopes down gently from southwest to northeast with elevations ranging from 5,000 to 6,000 feet above sea level on the southern and western portions of the basin to less than 4,000 feet above sea level on the north and northeast along the Montana state line. The major drainages in the basin are the Tongue, Powder, Belle Fourche, and Cheyenne rivers. Most of the drainages in the area are intermittent and have flows during high precipitation events or during periods of snowmelt. The drainages are part of the upper Missouri River Valley drainage basin.

The disturbance associated with the majority of the past, present, and projected activities have resulted in or would result in the alteration of the surface topography. Surface coal mining,

which is projected to continue in the area of the existing coal mines shown on map 4-2, permanently alters the topography by removing the overburden and coal and then replacing the overburden.

Recontouring during reclamation to match approximate original contours, as required by regulation, reduces the long-term impact on topography. After mined-out areas are reclaimed, the restored land surfaces are typically gentler, with more uniform slopes and restored basic drainage networks. Oil and gas exploration and development has occurred and is projected to continue throughout most of the Task 3 study area. It also results in the alteration of topography to accommodate facilities (e.g., well pads, power plants) and roads, but the disturbance tends to occur in smaller, more discrete areas than coal mining and the development is spread out over a larger area.

The disturbance and reclamation acreages associated with all existing and projected development in the Task 3 study area for the years 2003, 2010, 2015, and 2020 are given in table 4-10.

4.2.2 Geology, Mineral Resources, and Paleontology

The PRB Coal Review Task 3 study area (map 4-2) was used to assess cumulative effects for geology, mineral resources, and paleontology.

The PRB is one of a number of structural basins in Wyoming and the Rocky Mountain area that were formed during the Laramide Orogeny. The basin is asymmetric with a structural axis that generally trends northwest to southeast along the western side of the basin (Flores et al. 1999). Natural earthquakes, landslides, and subsidence do not present a hazard in the PRB based on the lack of active faults in the study area (USGS 2004); the low risk of ground shaking in the region if a maximum credible earthquake were to occur (Frankel et al. 1997); and the absence of evidence of subsidence, landslides, or other geologic hazards in association with CBNG production. USGS monitors the magnitude of blasting activity in the PRB under the Routine Mining Seismicity Earthquake Hazards Program (USGS 2008). Coal mine blasting operations-induced seismic activity does occur throughout the PRB and has reached a USGS local magnitude rating of 3.6 (USGS 2004).

4.2.2.1 Coal

Most of the coal resources of the basin are found in the Fort Union and Wasatch formations. Although coals are present in the Wasatch, they are thinner and less continuous than the coals in the Fort Union. Therefore, they are not as economically important as the coals in the Fort Union for either coal mining or CBNG development. Projected levels of coal production and disturbance under the lower and upper coal production scenarios are listed in tables 4-2 and 4-3.

In the coal mine areas, the overburden and coal would be removed and the overburden replaced, resulting in a permanent change in the geology of the area and a permanent reduction of coal resources.

4.2.2.2 Oil and Gas

Drilling for conventional oil and gas in the Wyoming PRB has declined considerably in the last 15 years. However, as discussed above, increasing prices have led to increased interest in drilling, and there remains potential for finding and developing these resources in the deeper formations of the basin. Conversely, CBNG production increased rapidly from 1999 through 2002 but began to level off in 2003. Projected production rates for conventional oil and gas and CBNG in 2010, 2015, and 2020 are shown in tables 4-6 and 4-7.

Oil and gas and related development accounts for most of the projected mineral disturbance outside of the coal mining areas. It generally would result in only shallow, discrete areas of surface disturbance. The acreages over which these impacts were occurring (as of 2003) and are projected to occur in the years 2010, 2015, and 2020 are shown in table 4-10.

4.2.2.3 Other Mineral Resources

As discussed in section 4.1.3.1, other mineral resources that are being mined in the Wyoming PRB include uranium, bentonite, clinker, and aggregate. Production of uranium and bentonite is not likely to be affected by development of coal or CBNG in the PRB. Aggregate and clinker production levels are more likely to be affected by other mineral development levels because these resources would be used in construction projects related to other mineral development.

4.2.2.4 Paleontology

Scientifically significant paleontological resources, including vertebrate, invertebrate, plant, and trace fossils, are known to occur in many of the geologic formations within the Wyoming PRB. These fossils are documented in the scientific literature, in museum records, and are known by paleontologists and land managers familiar with the area.

The Wasatch Formation is the most geographically widespread unit exposed on the surface over most of the Task 3 study area. It is underlain by the Fort Union Formation. The fossiliferous Morrison and Lance formations crop out in the western portion of the basin but occur at depth in the vicinity of the coal mines and CBNG activity in the eastern portion of the basin. Within the Task 3 study area, the highly fossiliferous White River Formation occurs only on Pumpkin Buttes in southwestern Campbell County.

Extremely few significant or unique paleontological localities have been recorded on federal lands in the PRB. However, the lack of recorded localities does not mean that scientifically significant fossils are not present, as much of the area within and surrounding the PRB has not been adequately explored. As a result, development activities in the Task 3 study area have the potential to adversely affect scientifically significant fossils, if they are present in or adjacent to disturbance areas.

The potential for impacts on scientifically significant fossils would be greatest in areas where class 4 or 5 formations are present; with a moderate potential for class 3a (see section 3.3). The Wasatch Formation in the Powder River Basin is classified as a class 3 formation, which means

that fossil content varies in significance, abundance, and predictable occurrence. The Fort Union Formation is classified as a class 4 formation. The greatest potential impact on surface and subsurface fossils would result from disturbance of surface sediments and shallow bedrock during construction and/or operations, depending on the type of project. Potential subsurface disturbance of paleontological resources (during drilling operations) would not be visible or verifiable. The areas over which these impacts occurred as of 2003 and are projected to occur because of all projected development in the years 2010, 2015, and 2020 are shown in table 4-10. As only portions of the Task 3 study area have been evaluated for the occurrence of paleontological resources. Discrete locations for development activities cannot be determined at this time; thus, no accurate estimate can be made as to the number of paleontological localities that may be affected by cumulative development activities.

Development activities which involve federally owned surface and/or minerals are subject to federal guidelines and regulations protecting paleontological resources. Protection measures, permit conditions of approval, and mitigation measures would be determined on a project-specific basis at the time of permitting to minimize potential impacts on paleontological resources as a result of these activities.

4.2.3 Air Quality

There is substantial scientific evidence that increased atmospheric concentrations of GHG and land use changes are contributing to an increase in average global temperature. However since these gases are not regulated pollutants, a discussion of this subject has been included in section 4.2.14.

The Task 1A Report for the PRB Coal Review (BLM 2005d) documents the modeled air quality impacts of operations during a baseline year, 2002, using actual emissions and operations for that year. Emissions from permitted minor sources were estimated, because actual emissions data was unavailable. The baseline year analysis evaluated impacts both within the PRB itself and at selected sensitive areas surrounding the region. The analysis specifically looked at impacts of coal mines, power plants, CBNG development, and other development activities. Results were provided for both Wyoming and Montana at the individual receptor areas. The Task 2 Report for the PRB Coal Review (BLM 2005b) identifies reasonably foreseeable development activities for the years 2010, 2015, and 2020.

The Task 3A Report for the PRB Coal Review (BLM 2006c) evaluates the impacts on air quality and air quality-related values for the year 2010 using the development levels projected for 2010. The same model and meteorological data that were used for the baseline year study in the Task 1A Report. The *Update of Task 3A Report for the PRB Coal Review Cumulative Air Quality Effects for 2015* uses a revised base line year of 2004 with revised projected 2015 scenarios. Impacts for 2015 and 2020 were projected qualitatively based on evaluation of anticipated changes in emissions and on modeled impacts for the 2015 lower and upper coal production scenarios. BLM has updated the model and has conducted impact analysis for 2015 (BLM 2008a). A revised baseline year emissions inventory has been developed using 2004

actual emissions data or emissions estimates and has incorporated the recent analyses of emissions in Wyoming and Montana, which were not available when the 2010 modeling study was done.

Existing and projected emissions sources for the baseline year (2004) and 2015 analyses were identified within a study area comprised of the following counties in the PRB in Wyoming and Montana:

- Campbell County, all of Sheridan and Johnson counties except the Bighorn National Forest lands to the west of the PRB, and the northern portion of Converse County, Wyoming.
- Rosebud, Custer, Powder River, Big Horn, and Treasure counties, Montana.

A state-of-the-art, guideline dispersion model was used to evaluate impacts of the existing and projected source emissions on several source groups, as follows:

- Near-field receptors in Wyoming and Montana covering the PRB Coal Review Task 1A and 3A study area in each state. Overall, the near-field receptor grid points were spaced at one kilometer intervals over the study area;
- Receptors in nearby federally designated pristine or class I areas; and,
- Receptors at other sensitive areas (class II sensitive areas).

The EPA guideline CALPUFF model system version 5.8 (Scire et al. 1999) was used for this study, which differs from the version used in the Task 1A and original Task 3A studies. The impacts for the baseline year (2004) and for 2015 lower and upper coal production scenarios were directly modeled. As discussed above, the modeling domain extends over most of Wyoming, southeastern Montana, southwestern North Dakota, western South Dakota, and western Nebraska. An interagency group participated in developing the modeling protocol and related domain that were used for this analysis.

The modeling approach for the updated Task 3A Report used actual emissions from existing sources representative of 2004 operations and projected those emissions for the expected level of development in 2015. Year 2004 emission inventory data were previously developed for the Montana Statewide Oil and Gas Supplemental EIS. No specific emissions data were available for the projected levels of development. The baseline year emissions data were gathered from a variety of sources but mainly relied on data collected by the WDEQ/AQD and the Montana Department of Environmental Quality. Only actual emission sources inside the study area described above were included in the modeling. Key major sources were included, such as the coal-fired power plants, gas-fired power plants, and sources that were included in the Title V (operating permit) program. The Dave Johnston power plant, which is located outside of but adjacent to the study area in Converse County, was included in the baseline year study and in the projected emissions. Some operational adjustments were made to accommodate small sources with air permits that were presumed to be operating at less than full capacity. Emissions from other sources, including estimated construction-related fugitive dust emissions, were computed based on EPA emission factors and on input data from the WDEQ/AQD.

The existing regional air quality conditions generally are very good in the PRB Coal Review Task 1A and Task 3A study area. There are limited air pollution emissions sources (few industrial facilities, including the surface coal mines, and few residential emissions in relatively small communities and isolated ranches) and good atmospheric dispersion conditions. The available data show that the region complies with the ambient air quality standards for NO₂ and SO₂. There have been no monitored exceedances of the annual PM₁₀ standard in the Wyoming PRB.

Air quality modeling indicates the projected mine activities at the Buckskin Mine will comply with the PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} near-field and short-term NO₂ air standards for the 2015 modeled air quality impacts at the currently permitted mining rate. The applicant has indicated that they propose to mine either action alternative at a rate below the permit level. Visibility data collected around the region indicate that, although there are some days with notable impacts at class I areas, the general trend in the region shows little change in visibility impacts at Badlands National Park and at the Jim Bridger Wilderness Area from 1989 to 2003 (figure 3.4-2).

Predicted impacts from baseline year (2004) and projected 2015 emissions were modeled for four air quality criteria pollutants (NO₂, SO₂, PM_{2.5}, and PM₁₀), along with changes in air quality-related values at class I and identified sensitive areas. For regulatory purposes, the class I PSD evaluations are not directly comparable to the air quality permitting requirements, because the modeling effort does not identify or separately evaluate increment-consuming sources that would need to be evaluated under the PSD program. The cumulative impact analysis focuses on changes in cumulative impacts, but not on a comparison to PSD-related evaluations, which would apply to specific sources.

Table 4-11 presents the modeled impacts on ambient air quality at the near-field receptors in Montana and Wyoming. Results shown represent the maximum impact at any point in each receptor group; data are provided for the baseline year (2004) analysis and for both coal production scenarios for 2015.

Based on the modeling results, the baseline year (2004) maximum impacts on ambient air quality were well below the ambient air quality standards for NO₂, and SO₂. The annual PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} in Wyoming is predicted to be over the WAAQS for the 2015 lower and upper development scenarios. The 2004 maximum modeled 24-hour PM₁₀ levels are greater than the 150 µg/m³ ambient air standard for some near-field receptors near PRB sources in Wyoming. The modeling also indicated that visibility impacts in the surrounding class I and class II areas for the modeled year 2015 showed some increase in visibility impacts.

For the Montana near-field projected impacts, the modeling for the 24-hour PM₁₀ and 24-hour PM_{2.5} levels projects a maximum impact below the NAAQS for both coal production scenarios for 2015. The upper coal production scenario shows an increase in the impact of more than 40% above the baseline year for these parameters. Projected impacts for annual NO₂, SO₂, and annual PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ show compliance with the NAAQS and the Montana State Ambient Air Quality Standards. The 1-hour NO₂ projected levels for the lower and upper development scenarios are

above the Montana standards. Small percentage increases in SO₂ impacts are projected, and the impacts themselves are well below the NAAQS.

For the Wyoming near-field receptors, the modeling projects maximum 24-hour PM₁₀ levels greater than the 150 µg/m³ ambient air standard for the 2015 lower and upper coal production scenarios at some receptors. For the 2015 upper development scenario, the modeled levels are above 150 µg/m³ for several relatively small areas surrounding coal mines and CBNG operations in the Wyoming PRB. As shown in table 4-11, the maximum modeled PM₁₀ impacts from all sources for the 2015 upper coal production scenario are nearly three times the 24-hour WAAQS standard. The maximum modeled PM_{2.5} impacts from all sources for the 2015 upper coal production scenario are nearly five times the 24-hour WAAQS standard. As discussed in section 3.4.2.2, modeling tends to over-predict the 24-hour impacts of surface coal mining and, as a result, the WDEQ/AQD does not consider short-term PM₁₀ modeling to be an accurate representation of short-term impacts. In view of this, a memorandum of agreement between the WDEQ/AQD and EPA Region VIII, dated January 24, 1994, allows the WDEQ/AQD to conduct monitoring in lieu of short-term modeling for assessing coal mining-related impacts in the PRB. This agreement also requires the WDEQ to include “best available work practice” mitigation measures in each PRB mining permit. The monitored exceedances at surface coal mines in the Wyoming PRB and the measures that the WDEQ/AQD has implemented or is proposing to implement to prevent future exceedances of the PM₁₀ NAAQS are discussed in chapter 3, sections 3.4.2.1 and 3.4.2.3.

The maximum modeled impacts on the annual PM₁₀ and annual PM_{2.5} levels are projected to be above the standard (15 and 50 µg/m³, respectively) at near-field receptors in Wyoming for the 2015 upper coal production scenario. EPA has revoked the annual PM₁₀ standard of 50 µg/m³, but until Wyoming enters into rulemaking to revise the WAAQS, that standard is still effective. It should be noted that the WDEQ/LQD issues permits to mine coal, with input from the AQD division. The agency cannot issue any permit that violates ambient air quality standards. Impacts of NO₂ and SO₂ emissions are predicted to be below the NAAQS and WAAQS at all Wyoming near-field receptors. A large portion of the impacts for all scenarios would be associated with coal-related sources, although non-coal sources would contribute a notable portion of the impact.

Table 4-12 lists the three class I areas and two class II areas where the modeled impacts are the greatest. Table 4-12 includes a comparison to ambient air quality standards and PSD increments; however, it must be noted that this modeling analysis did not separate PSD increment-consuming sources from those that do not consume increment. The PSD-increment comparison is provided for informational purposes only and cannot be directly related to a regulatory interpretation of PSD increment consumption. For the class I Northern Cheyenne Indian Reservation, modeled impacts for the baseline year (2004) and the two coal production scenarios for 2015 are less than the annual SO₂ PSD class I and class II increment and below the PSD class I and class II increment levels for annual PM₁₀, 24-hour SO₂, and 3-hour SO₂. The levels for 24-hour PM₁₀ are above the class I and class II PSD increment levels in the base line year of 2004 and show potential exceedances in both the lower and upper development scenarios. For annual NO₂, the

modeled impacts for the Northern Cheyenne Reservations are less than the annual increment for the baseline year and lower and upper coal production scenarios. In the other two class I areas, only the 24-hour PM₁₀ impacts are higher than the comparison to the PSD increment levels for the baseline year and both coal production scenarios. In the sensitive class II areas, all modeled impacts are well below the class II PSD increment for the lower coal production scenario. The modeled 24-hour PM₁₀ in both of the class II areas indicates potential exceedances in the upper coal production scenario.

The projected modeled visibility impacts for the baseline year (2004) and for the lower and upper coal production scenarios for 2015 for all analyzed class I and sensitive class II areas are listed in table 4-13. For the baseline year, the maximum visibility impacts at class I areas were determined to be at the Northern Cheyenne Indian Reservation in Montana and at Wind Cave and Badlands National Parks in South Dakota. For these locations, modeling showed more than 200 days of impacts with a change of 10% or more in extinction. A 10% change in extinction corresponds to 1.0 dv.

To provide a basis for discussing the modeled visibility impacts resulting from the projected increased production under the lower and upper coal production scenarios for 2015, the modeled visibility impacts for 2004 were subtracted from the model results for 2015. Table 4-13 shows the number of additional days that the projected impacts were greater than 1.0 dv (10% in extinction) for each site for the upper and lower coal production scenarios. Using Badlands Park as an example, the modeling analysis showed 218 days with impacts greater than 1.0 dv in 2004. Under the 2015 lower coal production scenario, the modeling analysis projects an additional 26 days with impacts greater than 1.0 dv, or a total of 244 days with impacts greater than 1.0 dv.

For acid deposition, all predicted impacts are below the deposition threshold values for both nitrogen and sulfur compounds. There are substantial percentage increases in deposition under the lower and upper coal production scenarios for 2010; however, impacts remain well below the threshold values. The acid neutralizing capacity of sensitive lakes was analyzed, and results are summarized in table 4-14. No significant impacts were projected at any of the lakes for the baseline year study; however, the lower and upper coal production scenarios for 2010 show an increased impact at Florence Lake, leading to an impact that is above the 10% acid-neutralizing capacity. Impacts also are predicted to be above the 1 microequivalent per liter (µeq/L) threshold for Upper Frozen Lake.

The study also modeled impacts of selected hazardous air pollutant emissions (benzene, ethyl benzene, formaldehyde, n-hexane, toluene, and xylene) on the near-field receptors in Montana and Wyoming. Model results for the 2010 upper coal production scenario show that impacts were predicted to be above the acute reference exposure level for formaldehyde (94 µg/m³) at two receptors in Wyoming but are below all reference exposure and reference concentrations for chronic inhalation levels in Montana and for other compounds in Wyoming. Essentially, the modeled impacts for 2010 showed a continuation of the patterns exhibited for the baseline year analysis.

Table 4-11. Projected Maximum Potential Near-field Impacts ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Base Year (2004) Impacts	2015 Lower Coal Development Scenario Impacts	2015 Upper Coal Development Scenario Impacts	NAAQS	Wyoming AAQS	Montana AAQS	PSD Class II Increments
WYOMING NEAR-FIELD								
NO ₂	Annual	31.3	46.7	47.4	100	100	-- ¹	25
SO ₂	Annual	15.3	16.2	16.2	80	60	---	20
	24-hour	112.3	119.6	119.6	365	260	---	91
	3-hour	462.0	814.1	814.1	1,300	1,300	---	512
PM _{2.5}	Annual	13.4	18.7	21.4	15	15	---	---
	24-hour	87.6	179.5	179.5	35	35	---	---
PM ₁₀	Annual	38.4	53.5	61.0	---	50	---	17
	24-hour	250.4	512.8	512.9	150	150	---	30
MONTANA NEAR-FIELD								
NO ₂	Annual	3.3	6.5	6.5	100	---	100	25
	1-hour	409.0	826.3	826.4	---	---	564	---
SO ₂	Annual	1.6	1.7	1.7	80	---	80	20
		16.1	16.5	16.6	365	---	365	91
		65.0	66.5	66.5	1,300	---	1,300	512
	1-hour	162.9	166.6	166.6	---	---	1,300	---
PM _{2.5}	Annual	1.0	1.8	1.9	15	---	15	---
	24-hour	10.2	15.4	20.6	35	---	35	---
PM ₁₀	Annual	2.8	5.2	5.3	---	---	50	17
		3-hour	29.1	44.0	58.5	150	---	150
$\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ = microgram per cubic meter; NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standards; AAQS = Ambient Air Quality Standards; PSD = prevention of significant deterioration; NO = nitrogen oxide; SO ₂ = sulfur dioxide; PM ₁₀ = particulate matter measuring 10 microns or less in diameter; PM _{2.5} = particulate matter measuring 2.5 microns or less in diameter ¹ Not a standard or increment Bold values indicate projected exceedance of ambient air quality standards Source: PRB Coal Review Task 3A Report update (BLM 2008a)								
24-hour								

4.0 Cumulative Environmental Consequences

Table 4-12. Maximum Predicted PSD Class I and Sensitive Class II Area Impacts ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)

Location	Pollutant	Averaging Period	Base Year (2004) Impacts	2015 Lower Coal Development Scenario	2015 Upper Coal Development Production Scenario	PSD Class I/II Increments	
CLASS I AREAS							
Northern Cheyenne Indian Reservation	NO ₂	Annual	0.4	0.6	0.9	2.5	
		24-hour	3.1	3.4	3.4	5	
	SO ₂	3-hour	9.4	9.6	9.6	25	
		Annual	0.3	0.5	0.5	--- ¹	
		24-hour	3.4	5.1	5.1	---	
	PM ₁₀	Annual	0.9	1.5	1.5	4	
		24-hour	9.6	14.4	14.6	8	
	Washakie Wilderness Area	NO ₂	Annual	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.5
24-hour			3.0	3.1	3.1	5	
SO ₂		3-hour	6.3	6.3	6.3	25	
		Annual	0.1	0.1	0.1	---	
		24-hour	1.6	1.6	1.6	---	
PM ₁₀		Annual	0.2	0.2	0.2	4	
		24-hour	4.5	4.6	4.7	8	
Wind Cave National Park		NO ₂	Annual	0.2	0.3	0.3	2.5
			24-hour	3.7	4.1	4.1	5
		SO ₂	3-hour	7.0	7.4	7.4	25
	Annual		0.4	0.5	0.5	---	
	24-hour		3.8	4.6	4.7	---	
	PM ₁₀	Annual	1.0	1.3	1.4	4	
		24-hour	10.9	13.3	13.6	8	

Location	Pollutant	Averaging Period	Base Year (2004) Impacts	2015 Lower Coal Development Scenario	2015 Upper Coal Development Production Scenario	PSD Class I/II Increments
SENSITIVE CLASS II AREAS						
Big Horn Canyon National Recreation Area	NO ₂	Annual	0.6	0.6	0.7	25
		SO ₂	Annual	0.5	0.6	0.6
	SO ₂	24-hour	3.6	3.7	4.0	91
		3-hour	14.3	14.3	14.3	512
		PM _{2.5}	Annual	0.5	0.5	0.7
	PM _{2.5}	24-hour	5.9	7.8	11.9	---
		PM ₁₀	Annual	1.4	1.6	2.1
	24-hour			22.3	34.1	30
Crow Indian Reservation	NO ₂	Annual	0.9	1.4	1.7	25
		SO ₂	Annual	2.3	2.3	2.3
	SO ₂	24-hour	16.9	14.6	14.6	91
		3-hour		77.0	77.0	512
		PM _{2.5}	Annual	0.8	1.0	1.4
	PM _{2.5}	24-hour	7.2	9.4	14.3	---
		PM ₁₀	Annual	2.2	2.9	4.1
	24-hour		76.8	20.5	26.9	40.7

PSD = prevention of significant deterioration; $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ = microgram per cubic meter; NO₂ = nitrogen dioxide; SO₂ = sulfur dioxide; PM₁₀ = particulate matter measuring 10 microns or less in diameter; PM_{2.5} = particulate matter measuring 2.5 microns or less in diameter

¹ No standard or increment.

Bold values indicate exceedance of PSD class I or II standards.

Source: PRB Coal Review Task 3A Report update (BLM 2008a)

Table 4-13. Modeled Change in Visibility Impacts at Class I and Sensitive Class II Areas

Location	Base Year (2004)	2015 Lower Coal Development Scenario	2015 Upper Coal Development Scenario
	No. of Days >10%	Change in No. of Days >10%	Change in No. of Days >10%
CLASS I AREAS			
Badlands National Park	218	26	26
Bob Marshall Wilderness Area	8	0	0
Bridger Wilderness Area	144	2	2
Fitzpatrick Wilderness Area	91	2	2
Fort Peck Indian Reservation	105	10	10
Gates of the Mountain WA	55	0	0
Grand Teton National Park	70	2	2
North Absaroka Wilderness Area	61	3	3
North Cheyenne Indian Reservation	243	32	47
Red Rock Lakes	42	2	2
Scapegoat Wilderness Area	27	1	1
Teton Wilderness Area	57	4	4
Theodore Roosevelt National Park	178	5	9
UL Bend Wilderness Area	77	8	10
Washakie Wilderness Area	83	5	5
Wind Cave National Park	262	18	19
Yellowstone National Park	84	2	2
SENSITIVE CLASS II AREAS			
Absaroka Beartooth Wilderness Area	101	2	3
Agate Fossil Beds National Monument	251	20	20
Big Horn Canyon National Rec. Area	331	1	3
Black Elk Wilderness Area	236	34	36
Cloud Peak Wilderness Area	126	18	18
Crow Indian Reservation	360	4	4
Devils Tower National Monument	274	25	25
Fort Belknap Indian Reservation	66	6	7
Fort Laramie National Historic Site	260	10	10
Jedediah Smith Wilderness Area	79	1	1
Jewel Cave National Monument	261	19	21
Lee Metcalf Wilderness Area	97	2	2
Mount Naomi Wilderness Area	51	1	1
Mount Rushmore National Monument	222	36	36
Popo Agie Wilderness Area	139	4	4
Soldier Creek Wilderness Area	268	18	18
Wellsville Mountain Wilderness Area	130	10	10
Wind River Indian Reservation	217	2	5

Source: PRB Coal Review Task 3A Report update (BLM 2008a)

Table 4-14. Predicted Total Cumulative Change in Acid Neutralizing Capacity of Sensitive Lakes

Location	Lake	Background Acid-Neutralizing Capacity (µeq/L)	Area (hectares)	Base Year 2004 Change (percent)	2015 Lower Coal Development Scenario Change (percent)	2015 Upper Coal Development Scenario Change (percent)	Thresholds (percent)
Bridger Wilderness Area	Black Joe	67.0	890.0	4.00	4.11	4.11	10
	Deep	60.0	205.0	4.70	4.82	4.82	10
	Hobbs	70.0	293.0	3.95	4.03	4.03	10
	Upper Frozen	5.0	64.8	2.42	2.47	2.48	1 ¹
Cloud Peak Wilderness Area	Emerald	55.3	293.0	5.24	5.97	6.02	10
	Florence	32.7	417.0	9.09	10.41	10.48	10
Fitzpatrick Wilderness Area	Ross	53.5	4,455.0	2.72	2.79	2.79	10
Popo Agie Wilderness Area	Lower Saddlebag	55.5	155.0	6.28	6.42	6.43	10

µeq/L = microequivalents per liter

¹ Data for Upper Frozen Lake presented in changes in µeq/L rather than percent change. (For lakes with less than 25 µeq/L background acid-neutralizing capacity)

Source: PRB Coal Review Task 3A Report update (BLM 2008a)

For 2020, the PRB Coal Review Task 3A Report includes a qualitative analysis of potential air quality impacts and the impacts from individual source groups, based on the projected changes from 2002 to 2010 for the respective coal production scenarios. The production from conventional oil and gas and CBNG activities is projected to peak at 2010, with slight declines predicted over the following decade. The production from CBNG activities is projected to peak at 2015, with slight declines predicted over the following decade. Therefore, from these sources, expected impacts would decrease slightly from 2015 to 2020. The coal mining sources would be the major contributors to PM₁₀ impacts in the near-field between 2015 and 2020, and these impacts would result from the proximity of the receptors to the coal mining operations. If coal mines expand or relocate, those impacts likely would follow that development; however, the specific impacts would need to be addressed with a more refined modeling effort.

Power plants are the major contributors to all SO₂ impacts in the near-field in both states. However, the projected impacts are well below any ambient standard or PSD increment. According to the PRB Coal Review Air Quality modeling analysis, predicted future expansion modeled to the year 2020 should not jeopardize the attainment of those standards. Impacts on NO₂ concentrations are the result of emissions from all the source groups. No one source group dominates the NO₂ impacts in the near-field.

A pattern that is similar to the near-field receptors holds true for the class I and sensitive class II receptor groups. Essentially, the mine operations would continue to dominate the PM₁₀ impacts, the power plants would continue to dominate the SO₂ impacts (although they would continue to be below the standards), and the overall source groups would continue to contribute to NO₂ impacts, but impacts should remain below the NO₂ standard for 2015 and 2020.

Based on modeling results, none of the acid deposition thresholds were exceeded at class I areas for either the baseline year or for the lower or upper coal production scenarios for 2010. In general, the projected increases in coal development (and power plants) are not expected to raise the deposition levels above the threshold extended into 2020. The only concern relates to the acid deposition into sensitive lakes. The model results showed that the increased deposition, largely from SO₂ emissions from power plants, exceeded the thresholds of significance for the acid-neutralizing capacity at two sensitive (high alpine) lakes. The results indicate that with increased growth in power plant operations, the reduced acid-neutralizing capacity of the sensitive lakes would become significant and would need to be addressed carefully for each proposed major development project.

The WDEQ/AQD and WDEQ/LQD mitigation and monitoring requirements for coal mine emissions are discussed in sections 3.4.2.3 and 3.4.3.3. The discussion in these sections includes the operational control measures that are currently in place and would be required for mining operations on LBAs that are issued in the future, as well as measures that may be required to avoid future exceedances of the WAAQS and NAAQS and/or future mine-related impacts on the public.

4.2.4 Water Resources

Surface and groundwater are used extensively throughout the PRB for agricultural, municipal, domestic, and industrial water supplies. Surface water use is limited to major perennial drainages, and agricultural areas within the basin are found mainly along these drainages. Municipal water supply comes from a combination of surface and groundwater. Domestic and industrial water supply primarily is from groundwater.

The PRB Coal Review Task 1B Report (BLM 2006d) describes the existing water resource conditions in the PRB Task 1 study area (map 4-1). The Task 3B Report update (BLM 2008a) provides an assessment of the cumulative impact on surface and groundwater resources associated with future projected levels of coal mining, coal mine dewatering, CBNG groundwater withdrawal and surface disposal, and coal mine and conventional oil and gas surface disposal of groundwater in the Task 3 study area (map 4-2). The groundwater portion of the impact analysis has not yet been completed. The surface water analysis addresses the cumulative impacts on surface water quality and channel stability as a result of surface discharge of groundwater by CBNG development and coal mine dewatering. The surface water quality portion of this analysis has been completed, but the channel stability portion is not yet complete. The following discussion includes a summary of the results of the Task 1B Report and the Task 3B surface water quality impact analysis, including a recent channel stability study. The Task 3B groundwater impact analysis will be incorporated into future EIS analyses when completed.

4.2.4.1 Groundwater

Five main aquifers are present in the PRB Coal Review Task 1 study area (map 4-1) that can be used for water supply:

- Madison Aquifer System;
- Dakota Aquifer System;
- Fox Hills/Lance Aquifer System;
- Fort Union/Wasatch Aquifer System; and,
- Quaternary Alluvial Aquifer System.

The Fort Union/Wasatch Aquifer System includes the coal and overburden aquifers that are directly affected by surface coal mining and CBNG development. It is also a major source of local water supply for domestic and stock water use. Table 4-15 shows the recoverable groundwater in the components of the Fort Union/Wasatch Aquifer System. The volumes of recoverable groundwater from the sandstones within the Wasatch/Tongue River Aquifer, the Lebo confining layer, and the Tullock Aquifer were determined from the volume of sandstone in each of these units multiplied by the 13% specific yield value for sandstone. Similarly, the volume of recoverable groundwater from the coals within the Wasatch/Tongue River was calculated from the volume of coal multiplied by the 0.4% specific yield value for coal.

Table 4-15. Recoverable Groundwater in the Fort Union/Wasatch Aquifer System

Hydrogeologic Unit	Surface Area (acres)	Average Formation Thickness (ft)	Percentage of Sand/Coal	Average Sand/Coal Thickness (ft)	Specific Yield (percent)	Recoverable Groundwater (acre-ft) ¹
Wasatch-Tongue River Aquifer Sandstones	5,615,609	2,035	50.0	1,018	13.0	743,169,695
Wasatch-Tongue River Aquifer Coals	4,988,873	2,035	6.2	126	0.4	2,514,392
Lebo Confining Layer Sandstones	6,992,929	1,009	33.0	250	13.0	227,270,193
Tulloch Aquifer Sandstones	7,999,682	1,110	52.0	430	13.0	447,182,224

ft = feet

¹ Calculated by multiplying Surface Area Average Sand/Coal Thickness Specific Yield. These numbers vary slightly from the numbers presented in table 3-5 of the final EIS and proposed plan amendment for the PRB Oil and Gas Project (BLM 2003).

Source: BLM 2003

Because of statutory requirements and concerns, several studies and a number of modeling analyses have been conducted to help predict the impacts of surface coal mining on groundwater resources in the Wyoming portion of the PRB. Some of these studies and modeling analyses are discussed below.

In 1987, the USGS, in cooperation with the WDEQ and OSM conducted a study of the hydrology of the eastern PRB. The resulting description of the cumulative hydrologic effects of all current and anticipated surface coal mining (as of 1987) was published in 1988 in the USGS Water-Resources Investigation Report, *Cumulative Potential Hydrologic Impacts of Surface Coal Mining in the Eastern Powder River Structural Basin, Northeastern Wyoming*, referred to herein as the USGS CHIA (Martin et al. 1988). This report evaluates the potential cumulative groundwater impacts of surface coal mining in the area and is incorporated by reference into this EIS. The USGS CHIA analysis considered the proposed mining at the Antelope Mine. It did not evaluate potential groundwater impacts related to additional coal leasing in this area, and it did not consider the potential for overlapping groundwater impacts from coal mining and CBNG development.

Each mine must assess the probable hydrologic consequences of mining as part of the mine permitting process. The WDEQ/LQD must evaluate the cumulative hydrologic impacts associated with each proposed mining operation before approving the mining and reclamation plan for each mine, and they must find that the cumulative hydrologic impacts of all anticipated mining would not cause material damage to the hydrologic balance outside of the permit area for each mine. Because of these requirements, each existing approved mining permit includes an analysis of the hydrologic impacts of the surface coal mining proposed at that mine. If major amendments to mining and reclamation permits are proposed, then the potential cumulative impacts of the revisions must also be evaluated. If the proposed tract or an alternative tract configuration is leased to the respective applicant, the existing mining and reclamation permit for the mine must be revised and approved to include the new lease before it can be mined.

The PRB Oil and Gas Project final EIS (BLM 2003) includes a modeling analysis of the groundwater impacts if an additional 39,000 new CBNG wells are drilled in the PRB by the end of 2011. The project area for this EIS, which covers all of Campbell, Sheridan, and Johnson counties, as well as the northern portion of Converse County, is similar to the study area for the PRB Coal Review Task 1 and Task 2 study area (map 4-1).

Another source of data on the impacts of surface coal mining on groundwater is the monitoring that is required by the WDEQ/LQD and administered by the mining operators. Each mine is required to monitor groundwater levels and quality in the coal and in the shallower aquifers in the area surrounding their operations. Monitoring wells are also required to record water levels and water quality in reclaimed areas.

The coal mine groundwater monitoring data are published each year by Gillette Area Groundwater Monitoring Organization (GAGMO), a voluntary group formed in 1980. Members of GAGMO include most of the companies with operating or proposed mines in the Wyoming PRB, WDEQ, the Wyoming SEO, BLM, USGS, and OSM. GAGMO contracts with an independent firm each year to publish the annual monitoring results. That group also periodically publishes reports summarizing the water monitoring data collected since 1980 in the Wyoming PRB (Hydro-Engineering 1991, 1996, and 2001a).

The major groundwater issues related to surface coal mining that have been identified are:

- the effect of the removal of the coal aquifer and any overburden aquifers within the mine area and replacement of these aquifers with backfill material;
- the extent of the temporary lowering of static water levels in the aquifers around the mine due to dewatering associated with removal of these aquifers within the mine boundaries;
- the effects of the use of water from the subcoal Fort Union Formation by the mines;
- changes in water quality as a result of mining; and,
- potential overlapping drawdown due to proximity of coal mining and CBNG development.

The impacts of large scale surface coal mining on a cumulative basis for each of these issues are discussed in the following paragraphs.

The effect of replacing the coal and overburden with backfill is the first major groundwater concern. The following discussion of recharge, movement, and discharge of water in the backfill aquifer is quoted from the USGS CHIA (Martin et al. 1988):

Postmining recharge, movement, and discharge of groundwater in the Wasatch aquifer and Wyodak coal aquifer will probably not be substantially different from premining conditions. Recharge rates and mechanisms will not change substantially. Hydraulic conductivity of the spoil aquifer will be approximately the same as in the Wyodak coal aquifer allowing groundwater to move from recharge areas where clinker is present east of mine areas through the spoil aquifer to the undisturbed Wasatch aquifer and Wyodak coal aquifer to the west.

Monitoring data verify that recharge has occurred and is continuing in the backfill (Hydro-Engineering 1991, 1996, 2001a, and 2005). The water monitoring summary reports prepared each year by GAGMO list current water levels in the monitoring wells completed in the backfill and compare them with the 1980 water levels, as estimated from the 1980 coal water-level contour maps. In the 1991 GAGMO 10-year report, some recharge had occurred in 88% of the 51 backfill wells reported at that time (Hydro-Engineering 1991). In the GAGMO 20-year report, 79% of the 82 backfill wells measured contained water (Hydro-Engineering 2001a).

Coal companies are required by state and federal law to mitigate any water rights that are interrupted, discontinued, or diminished by mining.

The cumulative size of the backfill area in the PRB and the duration of mining activity would be increased by mining the currently pending LBA tracts, including the proposed tract or alternative tract configuration. Because the mined-out areas are being backfilled and the monitoring data demonstrate that recharge of the backfill is occurring, substantial additional impacts are not anticipated as a result of the pending leasing actions.

Scoria or clinker, the baked and fused rock formed by prehistoric burning of the Wyodak-Anderson coal seam, occurs all along the coal outcrop area (map 3.5-2) and is believed to be the major recharge source for the backfill aquifer, just as it is for the coal. However, not all clinker is saturated. Some scoria is mined for road-surfacing material, but saturated clinker is not generally mined since abundant clinker exists above the water table and does not present the mining problems that would result from mining saturated clinker. Therefore, current mining is not disturbing the major recharge source for the backfill aquifer. No scoria is present in the proposed tract, but it does occur elsewhere in the BLM study area.

The second major groundwater issue is the extent of water level drawdown in the coal and shallower aquifers in the area surrounding the mine. In general, the saturated sand aquifers in the Wasatch Formation overburden have limited extent and, as a result, the drawdowns in that formation are much smaller and cover much less area than the coal drawdowns. In this EIS, assessment of cumulative impacts on groundwater related to surface coal mining is based on impact predictions made by the Buckskin Mine. Those drawdowns are extrapolated to evaluate the potential impacts of mining under the action alternatives. Map 3.5-2 depicts the extrapolated extent of the 5-foot cumulative drawdown contour within the Anderson and Canyon coal aquifers at the Buckskin Mine. The WDEQ/LQD uses the extent of the 5-foot drawdown contour to assess the cumulative extent of the impact on the groundwater system caused by mining operations.

The GAGMO 20-year report provides actual groundwater drawdown information after 20 years of mining (Hydro-Engineering 2001a). Most of the monitoring wells included in the GAGMO 20-year report (488 wells out of 570) are completed in the coal beds, in the overlying sediments, or in sand channels or interburden between the coal beds at 16 active and proposed mine sites. Since 1996, some BLM monitor wells have been included in the GAGMO reports.

The USGS CHIA predicted the approximate area of five feet or more water level decline in the Wyodak coal aquifer which would result from “all anticipated coal mining.” “All anticipated coal mining” included 16 surface coal mines operating at the time the report was prepared and six additional mines proposed at that time. All of the currently producing mines, including the Buckskin Mine, were considered in the USGS CHIA analysis (Martin et al. 1988). The study predicted that water supply wells completed in the coal may be affected as far away as 8 miles from mine pits, although the effects at that distance were predicted to be minimal.

As drawdown propagates to the west, available drawdown in the coal aquifer increases. Available drawdown is defined as the elevation difference between the potentiometric surface (elevation to which water will rise in a well bore) and the bottom of the aquifer. The coal depth increases faster to the west than the potentiometric surface declines, so available drawdown in the coal increases. Since the depth to coal increases, most stock and domestic wells are completed in units above the coal. Consequently, with the exception of CBNG wells, few wells are completed in the coal in the areas west of the mines. Those wells completed in the coal have considerable available drawdown, so it is unlikely that surface coal mining would cause adverse impacts on wells outside the immediate mine area.

Wells in the Wasatch Formation were predicted to be impacted by drawdown only if they were within 2,000 feet of a mine pit (Martin et al. 1988). Drawdown occurs farther from the mine pits in the coal than in the shallower aquifers because the coal is a confined aquifer that is already extensive. The area in which the shallower aquifers (Wasatch Formation, alluvium, and clinker) experience a 5-foot drawdown would be much smaller than the area of drawdown in the coal because the shallower aquifers are generally discontinuous, of limited areal extent, and often unconfined.

When the USGS CHIA was prepared, there were about 1,200 water supply wells within the maximum impact area defined in that study. Of those wells, about 580 were completed in Wasatch aquifers, about 100 in the Wyodak coal aquifer, and about 280 in strata below the coal. There were no completion data available for the remainder of the wells (about 240) at the time the USGS CHIA was prepared.

If a tract is leased and mined under one of the action alternatives, the groundwater drawdown would be extended into the area surrounding the proposed new leases. When a lease is issued to an existing mine for a maintenance tract, the mine must revise its existing mining permit to include the new tract in its mine and reclamation plans. In order to do that, the lessee would be required to conduct a detailed groundwater analysis to predict the extent of drawdown in the coal and overburden aquifers caused by mining the new lease. The WDEQ/LQD would use the revised drawdown predictions to update their cumulative hydrologic impact analysis for this portion of the PRB. The applicant has installed monitoring wells that would be used to confirm or refute drawdown predicted by analysis. This analysis would be required as part of the WDEQ mine permitting procedure discussed in sections 1.2 and 1.3.

Potential water-level decline in the subcoal Fort Union Formation is the third major groundwater issue. Water level declines in the Tullock Aquifer have been documented in the Gillette area.

According to Crist (1991), these declines are most likely attributable to pumpage for municipal use by Gillette and for use at subdivisions and trailer parks in and near Gillette. Most of the water-level declines in the subcoal Fort Union wells occur within 1 mile of the pumped wells (Crist 1991, Martin et al. 1988). Many of the mines have water supply wells completed in zones below the coal, but the mine facilities in the PRB are separated by a distance of 1 mile or more, so little interference between mine supply wells would be expected.

In response to concerns voiced by regulatory personnel, several mines have conducted impact studies of the subcoal Fort Union Formation. The OSM also commissioned a cumulative impact study of the subcoal Fort Union Formation to address the effects of mine facility wells on this aquifer (OSM 1984). Conclusions from these studies are similar and are summarized below.

- Because of the discontinuous nature of the sands in this formation and because most large-yield wells are completed in several different sands, it is difficult to correlate completion intervals between wells.
- In the Gillette area, water levels in this aquifer have probably declined because the city of Gillette and several subdivisions have used water from the formation (Crist 1991). (Note: Gillette is mixing Fort Union Formation water with water from wells completed in the Madison Formation. Also, because drawdown has occurred, some operators are able to dispose of CBNG water by injecting it into the subcoal Fort Union Formation near the city of Gillette.)
- Because large saturated thicknesses are available (locally) in this aquifer unit, generally 500 feet or more, a drawdown of 100 to 200 feet in the vicinity of a pumped well would not dewater the aquifer.

Most of the existing coal mines in the PRB have permits from the Wyoming SEO for subcoal Fort Union Formation water supply wells. Two industrial water supply wells within Bucksin Mine's existing permit area are completed in the Fort Union Formation. Extending the life of the Bucksin Mine by issuing a new lease would result in additional water being withdrawn from the subcoal Fort Union Formation, but no new subcoal water supply wells would be required. The additional water withdrawal would not be expected to extend the area of water level drawdown over a substantially larger area because of the discontinuous nature of the sands in the Tullock Member and the fact that drawdown and yield reach equilibrium in a well due to recharge effects. Due to the distances separating subcoal Fort Union Formation wells used for mine water supply, these wells have not experienced interference and are not likely to in the future.

Water requirements and sources for proposed power plants are not currently known; however, there are no proposed power plants in the immediate vicinity of the Bucksin Mine. The Wyoming SEO is discouraging further development of the lower Fort Union Formation aquifers, so the most likely groundwater source for future power plants is the Lance-Fox Hills Aquifer System. This would reduce the chances that the power plants would add to cumulative hydrologic impacts of mining and CBNG production.

The fourth issue of concern with respect to groundwater is the effect of mining on water quality. Specifically, what effect does mining have on the water quality in the surrounding area, and what are the potential water quality problems in the backfill aquifer following mining?

In a regional study of the cumulative impacts of coal mining, the median concentrations of dissolved solids and sulfates were found to be higher in water from backfill aquifers than in water from either the Wasatch Formation overburden or the Wyodak coal aquifer (Martin et al. 1988). This is expected because blasting and movement of the overburden materials exposes more surface area to water, increasing dissolution of soluble materials, particularly from the overburden materials that were situated above the saturated zone in the premining environment.

One pore volume of water is the volume of water that would be required to saturate the backfill following reclamation. The time required for one pore volume of water to pass through the backfill aquifer is greater than the time required for the postmining groundwater system to reestablish equilibrium. According to the USGS CHIA, estimates of the time required to reestablish equilibrium range from tens to hundreds of years (Martin et al. 1988).

The major current use of water from the aquifers being replaced by the backfill (the Wasatch Formation overburden and Wyodak coal aquifers) is for livestock because these aquifers are typically too high in dissolved solids for domestic use and well yields are typically too low for irrigation (Martin et al. 1988). Chemical analyses of 336 samples collected between 1981 and 1986 from 45 wells completed in backfill aquifers at 10 mines indicated that the quality of water in the backfill will, in general, meet the state standard for livestock use of 5,000 mg/L for TDS when recharge occurs (Martin et al. 1988).

The 2000 annual GAGMO report (Hydro-Engineering 2001b) evaluated samples from 48 backfill wells in 1999 and found that the TDS in 75% were less than 5,000 mg/L, TDS in 23% were between 5,000 and 10,000 mg/L, and TDS in one well was above 10,000 mg/L. An analysis of about 2,000 samples collected from 95 backfill monitoring wells between 1986 and 2002 found that the water quality in 75% of the wells were within the acceptable range for the Wyoming livestock standard, with 25% exceeding that standard (Ogle 2004).

The WDEQ/LQD calculated a median TDS concentration of 3,293 mg/L for the backfill aquifer in the east-central area of the PRB, which includes the four mines located immediately south of Gillette, based on 1,384 samples (Ogle et al. 2005). These results suggest that the TDS in the backfill aquifer in the middle group of mines meets the requirements for livestock use and is similar to TDS found in the undisturbed Wasatch Formation overburden but typically larger than TDS found in the Wyodak coal aquifer. Results from the Buckskin Mine indicated that overburden groundwater quality meets suitability criteria for livestock, but exceeds TDS and sulfate limits for domestic and irrigation uses (section 3.5.1.1). The GAGMO 25-Year Report (Hydro-Engineering 2007) reported samples collected from 57 backfill monitoring wells, and of the last samples that were collected from those wells in 2005, the TDS concentrations ranged from a low of 656 mg/L at well RW2804 (at the Belle Ayr Mine) to and high of 12,409 mg/L at well SP-4-NA (at the North Antelope Rochelle Mine), with an average of 3,800 mg/L and a median of 3,670 mg/L. The incremental effect on groundwater quality due to leasing and mining

the proposed tract or alternative tract configuration would be to increase the total volume of backfill and, thus, the time for equilibrium to reestablish.

The fifth area of concern is the potential for cumulative impacts on groundwater resources due to the proximity of coal mining and CBNG development. The Wyodak coal is being developed by mining and CBNG production in the same general area. Dewatering activities associated with CBNG development have overlapped with and expanded the area of groundwater drawdown in the coal aquifer in the PRB over what would occur due to coal mining development alone, and this would be expected to continue.

Numerical groundwater flow modeling was used to predict the impacts of the cumulative stresses imposed by mining and CBNG development on the Fort Union Formation coal aquifer in the PRB Oil and Gas Project EIS (BLM 2003). Modeling was necessary because of the large areal extent, variability, and cumulative stresses imposed by mining and CBNG development on the Fort Union coal aquifers. Information from earlier studies was incorporated into the modeling effort for this analysis.

As expected, the modeling indicated that the groundwater impacts from CBNG development and surface coal mining would be additive in nature. The addition of CBNG development would extend the area experiencing a loss in hydraulic head to the west of the mining area. The 20-year GAGMO report stated that drawdowns in all areas have greatly increased due to the water production from the Wyodak coal aquifer by CBNG producers (Hydro-Engineering 2001a).

Drawdowns in the coal caused by CBNG development would be expected to reduce the need for dewatering in advance of mining, which would be beneficial for mining operations. Wells completed in the coal may also experience increased methane emissions in areas of significant aquifer depressurization. There is a potential for conflicts to occur over who (coal mining or CBNG operators) is responsible for replacing or repairing private wells that are adversely affected by the drawdowns; however, the number of potentially affected wells completed in the coal is not large.

As discussed previously, state and federal law requires coal companies to mitigate any water rights that are interrupted, discontinued, or diminished by coal mining. In response to concerns about the potential impacts of CBNG development on water rights, a group of CBNG operators and local landowners developed a standard water well monitoring and mitigation agreement that can be used on a case-by-case basis as development proceeds. All CBNG operators on federal oil and gas leases are required to offer this water well agreement to the surface landowners (BLM 2003).

After CBNG development and coal mining projects are completed, it will take longer for groundwater levels to recover due to the overlapping drawdown impacts caused by the dewatering and de-pressuring of the coal aquifer by both operations.

4.2.4.2 Surface Water

For the PRB Coal Review Task 1B Report, which describes the baseline year (2003) water resource conditions including surface water use and surface water availability, the Wyoming PRB is divided into two major water planning areas: the Powder/Tongue River Basin and the Northeast Wyoming River Basins.

The main rivers in the Powder/Tongue River Basin are the Tongue River and the Powder River. The basin receives substantial surface water runoff from the Big Horn Mountains, leading to major agricultural development along drainages in the Tongue River and Powder River basins. Reservoirs are used throughout the basin for agricultural water supply and for municipal water supply in the Powder/Tongue River Basin. Water use in the Powder/Tongue River Basin as of 2002 is summarized in table 4-16.

The Little Bighorn River, Tongue River, Powder River, Crazy Woman Creek, and Piney Creek carry the largest natural flows in the Powder/Tongue River Basin. Many of the other major drainages are affected by irrigation practices to the extent that their flows are not natural (HKM Engineering et al. 2002a). Water availability in the major sub-basins of the Powder/Tongue River Basin is summarized in table 4-17. This table presents the amount of surface water in acre-feet that is physically available above and beyond allocated surface water in these drainages. As a result of the Yellowstone River Compact, Wyoming must share some of the physically available surface water in the Powder/Tongue River Basin with Montana.

Table 4-16. Water Use as of 2002 in the Powder/Tongue River Basin

Water Use Categories	Dry Year		Normal Year		Wet Year	
	(acre-feet per year)					
	Surface Water	Ground-water	Surface Water	Ground-water	Surface Water	Ground-water
Agricultural	178,000	200	184,000	200	194,000	300
Municipal	2,700	500	2,700	500	2,700	500
Domestic	---	4,400	---	4,400	---	4,400
Industrial ¹	---	68,000	---	68,000	---	68,000
Recreation	Non-consumptive					
Environmental	Non-consumptive					
Evaporation	11,300	--	11,300	--	11,300	--
Total	192,000	73,100	198,000	73,100	208,000	73,200

¹ Includes conventional oil and gas production water and CBNG production water.

Source: HKM Engineering et al. 2002a

Table 4-17. Surface Water Availability in the Powder/Tongue River Basin

Sub-basin	Surface Water Availability (acre-feet per year)		
	Wet Years	Normal Years	Dry Years
Little Bighorn River	152,000	113,000	81,000
Tongue River	473,000	326,000	218,000
Clear Creek	213,000	124,000	80,000
Crazy Woman Creek	69,000	32,000	16,000
Powder River	547,000	324,000	16,000
Little Powder River	48,000	12,000	3,000
Total	1,502,000	931,000	414,000

Source: HKM Engineering et al. 2002a

The main rivers in the northeast Wyoming river basins are the Belle Fourche in Campbell and Crook counties and the Cheyenne River in Converse, Weston, and Niobrara counties. Water in these rivers and their tributaries comes from groundwater baseline flow and from precipitation, especially from heavy storms during the summer months. Water use in the northeast Wyoming river basins as of 2002 is summarized in table 4-18.

Table 4-18. Water Use as of 2002 in the Northeast Wyoming River Basins

Water Use Categories	Dry Year		Normal Year		Wet Year	
	(acre-feet per year)					
	Surface Water	Ground-water	Surface Water	Ground-water	Surface Water	Ground-water
Agricultural	65,000	11,000	69,000	17,000	71,000	17,000
Municipal	---	9,100	---	9,100	---	9,100
Domestic	---	3,600	---	3,600	---	3,600
Industrial (Oil and Gas) ¹	---	46,000	---	46,000	---	46,000
Industrial (Other) ²	---	4,700	---	4,700	---	4,700
Recreation	Non-consumptive					
Environmental	Non-consumptive					
Evaporation (Key Reservoirs)	14,000	---	14,000	---	14,000	---
Evaporation (Stockponds)	6,300	---	6,300	---	6,300	---
Total	85,300	74,400	89,300	80,400	91,300	80,400

¹ Includes conventional oil and gas production water and CBNG production water.

² Includes electricity generation, coal mining, and oil refining.

Source: HKM Engineering et al. 2002b

Stream flow in major drainages of the Northeast Wyoming River Basins is much less than in the Powder/Tongue River basin due to the absence of a major mountain range to provide snow melt

runoff. Water availability in the major sub-basins of the northeast Wyoming river basin is summarized in table 4-19.

Table 4-19. Surface Water Availability in the Northeast Wyoming River Basins

Sub-basin	Surface Water Availability (acre-feet per year)		
	Wet Years	Normal Years	Dry Years
Redwater Creek	34,000	26,000	17,000
Beaver Creek	30,000	20,000	14,000
Cheyenne River	103,000	31,000	5,000
Belle Fourche River	151,000	71,000	13,000
Total	318,000	148,000	49,000

Source: HKM Engineering et al. 2002b

The portions of the PRB Coal Review Task 3B Report (BLM 2008g) that have been completed evaluate cumulative impacts on surface water quality as a result of CBNG, conventional oil and gas, and surface coal mining development in 2003, and projected development in 2010, 2015, and 2020 in that report's study area (map 4-2). The surface water resources in the PRB Coal Review Task 3 study area consist primarily of intermittent and ephemeral streams and scattered ponds and reservoirs. The projected development activities would have a direct impact on these surface water features. Table 4-10 summarizes the cumulative baseline (2003) and projected (in 2010, 2015, and 2020) acres of surface disturbance and reclamation. The projected activities would result in surface disturbance in each of the six Task 3 study area subwatersheds (map 4-2). Discrete locations for development disturbance and reclamation areas cannot be determined based on existing information. However, the projected disturbance would primarily involve the construction of additional linear facilities, product gathering lines, and road systems associated with conventional oil and gas and CBNG activities, plus additional disturbance associated with extending coal mining operations onto lands adjacent to the existing mines.

Surface-disturbing activities can increase sediment levels in local water bodies. This affects water quality parameters such as turbidity and bottom substrate composition. Contaminants also can be introduced into water bodies through chemical characteristics of the sediment. Studies have shown that TDS levels in streams near reclaimed coal mine areas have increased from 1% to 7% (Martin et al. 1988-- TDS levels in streams near reclaimed coal mine areas). Typically, sedimentation effects are short-term in duration and localized in terms of the affected area. Suspended sediment concentrations would stabilize and return to typical background concentrations after construction or development activities have been completed. It is anticipated that sediment input associated with development disturbance areas would be minimized by implementing appropriate erosion control measures, as would be determined during future permitting.

Future coal mining could remove intermittent or ephemeral streams and stockponds in the Little Powder River, Upper Belle Fourche River, Upper Cheyenne River, and Antelope Creek

subwatersheds. As discussed in section 3.5.2, the Buckskin Mine is in the Little Powder River subwatershed. Coal mine permits provide for removal of first- through fourth-order drainages. During reclamation, third- and fourth-order drainages must be restored; first- and second-order drainages often are not replaced (Martin et al. 1988).

Coal mining-related surface water would be discharged into intermittent and ephemeral streams in four subwatersheds (Antelope Creek, Little Powder River, Upper Belle Fourche River, and Upper Cheyenne River). Based on current trends, it is assumed that most, if not all, of the coal mine-produced water would be consumed during operation. As discussed in section 3.5.2.2, changes in surface runoff would occur because of the destruction and reconstruction of drainage channels as mining progresses. Sediment control structures would be used to manage discharges of surface water from the mine permit areas. State and federal regulations require treatment of surface runoff from mined lands to meet effluent standards.

The PRB Coal Review assumes that future permitting would allow a portion of CBNG-produced water to be discharged to intermittent and ephemeral drainages as is currently allowed in the six subwatersheds in the PRB Coal Review Task 3 study area (map 4-2). It is estimated that up to 39,108, 41,899, and 37,390 million gallons per year of water would be produced in 2010, 2015, and 2020, respectively.

The PRB Coal Review Task 3B surface water quality impact analysis uses the surface water model described in the Surface Water Quality Analysis Technical Report (Greystone 2003), which was prepared in support of the PRB Oil and Gas Project EIS (BLM 2003), to evaluate the cumulative impacts on surface water resources from surface discharge of CBNG development. Based on past monitoring in receiving streams, most CBNG discharge water either infiltrates or evaporates within a few miles of the discharge points and generally is not recorded at USGS stream gauging stations. Impacts on surface water flow and quality are generally limited to within a few miles of the discharge point. In view of this, the PRB Coal Review Task 3B water quality impact analysis assumes a conveyance loss of 70% for the water quality assessment and modeling analysis.

Key water quality parameters for predicting the potential effects of CBNG development in the surface water quality impact analysis focused on the suitability of surface water for irrigated agriculture. Sodium adsorption ratio (SAR), and salinity, measured by electrical conductivity (EC), were used for this prediction. Most restrictive proposed limit (MRPL) and least restrictive proposed limit (LRPL) regulatory standards for EC and SAR applicable to the subwatersheds were developed and used in the analysis. The limits presented in table 4-20 were used during the comparison of EC and SAR valued for resulting mixtures of existing streamflows and discharges from CBNG wells under various flow conditions and the CBNG water discharge projections for 2010, 2015, and 2020.

Table 4-20. Summary of Proposed Limits for SAR and EC

Subwatershed	Most Restrictive Proposed Limit		Least Restrictive Proposed Limit	
	SAR	EC ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$)	SAR	EC ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$)
Little Powder	5	2,000	9.75	2,500
Powder	2	2,000	9.75	2,500
Belle Fourche	6	2,000	10	2,500
Cheyenne, Antelope Creek	10	2,000	10	2,500

SAR = sodium adsorption ratio; EC = electrical conductivity; $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ = micro siemens/centimeter
Source: Wyoming DEQ, Montana DEQ, and South Dakota Legislative Council

The impacts on water quality on the receiving drainages assumed two hydrologic conditions: dry year conditions and normal year conditions. The impact analysis, conducted using monthly flows, comparatively evaluated the water quality parameters (SAR and EC) of the receiving drainage before and after mixing with discharge water generated by the CBNG wells within that drainage. In general, the water discharged from the CBNG wells reflected increased levels of SAR and reduced levels of EC compared to the water quality of the receiving drainages. Impacts on water quality are likely to be maximized during the low flow months; consequently, the comparative evaluation of water quality also focused on the minimum monthly flow associated with the dry year and normal year conditions.

The water quality impact analysis made several observations regarding the overall effects of mixing CBNG well production water with surface water in the PRB Coal Review Task 3 study area. These general observations are summarized below.

Before mixing, the surface water in the Upper Powder River exceeds the MRPL for both EC and SAR throughout the majority of the year. Levels of SAR are less than the LRPL while EC values generally exceed the LRPL from July through December. After mixing, a minimal reduction in EC and a minor increase in SAR are projected, which reflects the relatively small contribution of CBNG well production water to the much larger flows in the Upper Powder River. Projected SAR values exceed the MRPL throughout the year while meeting the LRPL. Projected EC values exceed the MRPL throughout the majority of the year and the LRPL from July through December.

For Antelope Creek and the Dry Fork Cheyenne River under the before mixing scenario, the SAR values are relatively low and do not exceed the MRPL. The EC values exceed the MRPL during the low-flow months but are typically less than the LRPL all year. After mixing, SAR levels increase but are projected to continue to meet the MRPL and a reduction in EC is projected that meets the MRPL throughout the year. This is a reflection of the lack of surface water in these streams combined with the relatively low values for EC and SAR in the CBNG well production water.

Before mixing, the surface water in the Little Powder River exceeds the MRPL for EC and SAR throughout the majority of the year. SAR levels remain below the LRPL throughout the year, but EC levels exceed the LRPL during the low flow months. After mixing, the projected SAR

values exceed the MRPL throughout the year and exceed the LRPL from one month (in 2003) to five months (in 2010 and 2015) of the year. The projected EC exceeds the MRPL for four months of the year but meets the LRPL throughout the year.

For the Upper Cheyenne River before mixing, the SAR levels do not exceed the MRPL and the EC levels exceed the MRPL for eleven months of the year and the LRPL for nine months of the year. After mixing, the projected SAR levels continue to meet the MRPL throughout the year and the projected EC levels exceed the MRPL for 10 or more months of the year and the LRPL for six or more months of the year.

Before mixing, the surface water in the Upper Belle Fourche River exceeds the MRPL for SAR from November through January while meeting the LRPL throughout the year. The EC levels exceed the MRPL from September through January and exceed the LRPL from November through January. After mixing, the projected SAR values exceed the MRPL six or more months of the year while continuing to meet the LRPL throughout the year. The projected EC values meet the MRPL throughout the year.

The suitability of the mixed water for irrigation purposes is related to EC and SAR. In general, the water most suitable for irrigation has a relatively low SAR and a relatively high EC. Elevated SAR values may reduce permeability in clayey soils, which reduces the rate of water infiltration. As discussed above, the water discharged from the CBNG wells is generally characterized by higher levels of SAR and reduced levels of EC compared to the water quality of the receiving drainages. In those cases where mixing results in a significant increase in SAR and the EC is moderately low, the water was considered unsuitable. For Antelope Creek, the Dry Fork Cheyenne River, the Little Powder River, and the Upper Belle Fourche River, the projected water quality after mixing demonstrated adequate suitability for irrigation during normal year conditions and unsuitability for irrigation during some to all of the irrigation season during dry year conditions. In general, for periods where CBNG well production water represents the majority of the flow available for irrigation purposes, there is a reduction in the suitability of the water for irrigation purposes.

4.2.5 Channel Stability

A qualitative assessment of the impacts on receiving drainages resulting from the introduction of CBNG well production water was made. The channel of the Belle Fourche River below Moorcroft would change by less than 0.2%, while the channel of the Little Powder River near Weston would change by less than 0.3% (table 4-21). Given the low increase in mean annual discharge from introduced CBNG water, changes in channel geomorphology (width, depth, gradient, bed material transport and meander wavelength) are considered unnoticeable.

Table 4-21. Impact of CBNG Production Water on Perennial Streams

Location	Channel Forming Discharge ¹ (cfs)	CBNG Discharge		Estimated Width		Potential Impact [Increased Width]	
		(cfs)	(%)	Existing Conditions (ft)	Combined Discharge (ft)	(ft)	(%)
Little Powder River above Dry Creek near Weston, Wyoming (USGS Gage 06324970)	270 to 420	2.2	0.5% to 0.8%	47.3 to 56.3	47.4 to 56.4	0.15 to 0.12	0.3%
Belle Fourche River below Moorcroft, Wyoming (USGS Gage 06426500)	652 to 789	3.9	0.5% to 0.6%	66.9 to 72.1	67.0 to 72.2	0.16 to 0.14	0.2%

CBNG = coal bed natural gas; cfs = cubic feet per second; ft = feet; USGS = U.S. Geological Survey

¹ Discharge associated with the 1.5 to 2 year recurrence interval.

Source: The PRB Coal Review Task 3B (BLM 2008h) surface water quality impact analysis uses the surface water model described in the Surface Water Quality Analysis Technical Report (Greystone Environmental Consultants, Inc. 2003)

Discharge of CBNG well production water into ephemeral drainages may start or exacerbate erosion in the channel. Given the potentially greater increase in the occurrence of ephemeral drainages due to a lower natural flow, channel geomorphology is more likely to be evident. Monitoring and mitigation for erosion are included in water management planning for oil and gas drilling approvals. Included in the BLM Task 3B Report (BLM 2008h), a special study was done of the Caballo Creek drainage in the Belle Ayr Mine permit area, to see how reclaimed drainages were impacted by increased CBNG discharges. It was determined that CBNG discharge represented less than 1% of the two-year peak discharge. No active erosion was noted in the natural or diverted portions of the Caballo Creek channel, while an increase in vegetative diversity and density was noted. The minor amount of flow increase would not likely result in increased erosion in streams similar to Caballo Creek. While it is more likely that creeks with smaller drainage areas, like Duck Nest or Bone Pile creeks may experience more erosion due to relatively larger flow increases from CBNG discharge, such effects were not observed in the field.

4.2.6 Alluvial Valley Floors

The identified AVFs for all coal mines in the PRB Coal Review study area are described in the PRB Coal Review Task 1D Report (BLM 2005e), and are based on individual mine state decision documents. Regulatory determinations of AVF occurrence and location are completed as part of the permitting process for coal mining operations, because their presence can restrict mining activities under SMCRA and Wyoming laws. The WDEQ/LQD administers the AVF regulations for coal mining activities in Wyoming. Coal mine related impacts on designated AVFs generally are not permitted if the AVF is determined to be significant to agriculture. If an AVF is determined not to be significant to agriculture or if the permit to affect the AVF was approved prior to the effective date of SMCRA, the AVF can be disturbed during mining but must be restored to essential hydrologic function during reclamation.

The formal AVF designation and related regulatory programs described above are specific to coal mining operations; however, other development-related activities in the study area would potentially impact AVF resources. The portions of the PRB Coal Review Task 3 study area that lie outside of the mine permit areas have generally not been surveyed for the presence of AVFs; therefore, the locations and extent of the AVFs outside of the mine permit areas have not been determined. No AVFs are present in the general analysis area.

4.2.7 Soils

The PRB Coal Review Task 3D Report (BLM 2005f) discusses potential cumulative impacts on soils from projected development activities in the PRB Coal Review Task 3 study area. The area of surface coal mining disturbance and reclamation for the baseline year (2003) and the projected cumulative areas of disturbance and reclamation for 2010, 2015, and 2020 are shown in table 4-2 and table 4-3. The area of disturbance and reclamation for all development for the baseline year and the projected cumulative total areas of disturbance and reclamation for 2010, 2015, and 2020 are shown in table 4-10.

Development activities such as increased vehicle traffic, vegetation removal, soil salvage and redistribution, discharge of CBNG produced groundwater, and construction and maintenance of project-specific components (e.g., roads, ROWs, well pads, industrial sites, and associated ancillary facilities) would result in cumulative impacts on soils in the study area. In general, soil disturbance and handling from these activities would generate both long-term and short-term impacts on soil resources through accelerated wind or water erosion, declining soil quality factors, compaction, and the temporary and, in some instances, the essentially permanent removal of soil resources at industrial sites.

Of the types of development projects in the study area, coal mining activities would create the most concentrated cumulative impacts on soils. This is due to the large acreages involved and the tendency of mining operations to occur in contiguous blocks. These factors would encourage widespread accelerated wind and water erosion. Extensive soil handling would cause compaction and a corresponding loss of permeability to water and air; a decline in microbial populations, fertility, and organic matter; and potential mixing of saline and alkaline soil zones into seedbeds, which would reduce soil quality. There would be a limited availability of suitable soil resources for reclamation uses in some areas.

However, for surface coal mining operations, there are measures that are either routinely required or can be specifically required as necessary to reduce impacts on soil resources and to identify overburden material that may be unsuitable for use in reestablishing vegetation, as discussed in sections 3.3.1.3, 3.4.2.3, and 3.8.3.

As described in appendix E of the PRB Coal Review Task 2 Report (BLM 2005b), a variety of CBNG water disposal methods may be employed in the Task 3 study area. The potential impacts on soils would depend on the water treatment method, if any, and the nature of the disposal method. As discussed in the PRB Coal Review Task 3D Report (BLM 2005f), due to elevated SAR levels in water produced from the Wyodak-Anderson coal zone in the Upper Powder River

and Little Powder River subwatersheds, land applications of CBNG-produced water in those areas could increase soil alkalinity. As discussed above in section 4.2.4.2, the SAR values are generally low for the Little Powder River subwatershed and tend to exceed the MRPL after mixing with discharged CBNG water throughout the year and exceed the LRPL from one to five months of the year. Land application of CBNG-produced water is not anticipated in this area. The specific approaches to CBNG water discharges, the resource conditions and locations in which they occur, the timing of discharges, and the discharge permit stipulations from regulatory and land management agencies would determine the extent and degree of potential impacts on soils.

4.2.8 Vegetation, Wetlands and Riparian Areas

The PRB Coal Review Task 3D Report (BLM 2005f) discusses potential cumulative impacts on vegetation, wetlands, and riparian areas from projected development activities in the PRB Coal Review Task 3 study area. The area of surface coal mining disturbance and reclamation for the baseline year (2003) and the projected cumulative areas of disturbance and reclamation for 2010, 2015, and 2020 related to surface coal mining are shown in table 4-2 and table 4-3. For all projected development, the baseline year area of disturbance and reclamation and the projected cumulative total areas of disturbance and reclamation for 2010, 2015, and 2020 are shown in table 4-10.

4.2.8.1 Vegetation

The PRB is characterized as a mosaic of general vegetation types, including prairie grasslands, shrublands, forested areas, and riparian areas. These broad categories often represent several vegetation types that are similar in terms of dominant species and ecological importance. Fourteen vegetation types were identified within the PRB Coal Review Task 1 study area, of which 10 primarily consist of native vegetation and are collectively classified as rangeland. These vegetation types include short-grass prairie, mixed-grass prairie, sagebrush shrubland, other shrubland, coniferous forest, aspen, forested riparian, shrubby riparian, herbaceous riparian, and wet meadow. The remaining vegetation types support limited or non native vegetation and include cropland, urban/disturbed, barren, and open water. The vegetation types are described in more detail in the Task 1D Report for the PRB Coal Review (BLM 2005e).

Impacts on vegetation can be short-term and long-term. Potential short-term impacts arise from removing and disturbing herbaceous species during a project's development and operation (e.g., coal mining, CBNG drilling and production, etc.), which would cease upon project completion and successful reclamation in a given area. Reclaimed mine land is defined by the WDEQ/LQD as affected land that has been backfilled, graded, topsoiled, and permanently seeded in accordance with the approved practices specified in the reclamation plan (Christensen pers. comm.). Species composition on the reclaimed lands may be different than on the surrounding undisturbed lands. The removal of woody species would be considered a long-term impact since these species take approximately 25 years or longer to attain a size comparable to woody species present within proposed disturbance areas. Potential long-term impacts would

also include permanent loss of vegetation and vegetative productivity in areas that would not be reclaimed in the near term (e.g., power plant sites, etc.).

4.2.8.2 Special Status Plant Species

Special status plant species are those species for which state or federal agencies afford an additional level of protection by law, regulation, or policy. Included in this category are federally listed and federally proposed species (species that are protected under the ESA), BLM sensitive species, USDA-Forest Service sensitive species, and WGF species of special concern in Wyoming. No USDA-Forest Service administered lands are located in the general analysis area. Species protected under the ESA, as well as BLM sensitive species, are discussed further in appendices I and J of this EIS. Two federally listed plant species (Ute ladies'-tresses orchid and blowout penstemon) and three USDA Forest Service sensitive species (Barr's milkvetch, rosy palafox, and lemonscent) are known to occur in the PRB Coal Review Task 3 study area. Three BLM sensitive species may occur in the PRB Coal Review Task 3 study area: Nelson's milkvetch, Laramie columbine (Casper Field Office), and William's wafer-parsnip (Buffalo Field Office).

Potential direct impacts on special status plant species in the study area could include the incremental loss or alteration of potential or known habitat associated with past and projected activities. Direct impacts also could include the direct loss of individual plants within the PRB Coal Review Task 3 study area, depending on their location in relation to development activities. Indirect impacts could occur due to increased dispersal and establishment of noxious weeds, which may result in the displacement of special status plant species in the long term.

4.2.8.3 Noxious and Invasive Weed Species

Once established, invasive and non-native plant species can out-compete and eventually replace native species, thereby reducing forage productivity and the overall vigor and diversity of existing native plant communities. The State of Wyoming has designated the following 25 plant species as noxious weeds:

- Field bindweed (*Convolvulus arvensis*)
- Canada thistle (*Cirsium arvense*)
- Leafy spurge (*Euphorbia esula*)
- Perennial sowthistle (*Sonchus arvensis*)
- Quackgrass (*Agropyron repens*)
- Hoary cress (*Cardaria draba*)
- Perennial pepperweed (giant whitetop) (*Lepidium latifolium*)
- Ox-eye daisy (*Chrysanthemum leucanthemum*)
- Skeletonleaf bursage (*Franseria discolor* Nutt.)

- Russian knapweed (*Centaurea repens* L.)
- Yellow toadflax (*Linaria vulgaris*)
- Dalmatian toadflax (*Linaria dalmatica*)
- Scotch thistle (*Onopordum acanthium*)
- Musk thistle (*Carduus nutans*)
- Common burdock (*Arctium minus*)
- Plumeless thistle (*Carduus acanthoides*)
- Dyers woad (*Isatis tinctoria*)
- Houndstongue (*Cynoglossum officinale*)
- Spotted knapweed (*Centaurea maculosa* Lam.)
- Diffuse knapweed (*Centaurea diffusa* Lam.)
- Purple loosestrife (*Lythrum salicaria* L.)
- Saltcedar (*Tamarix spp.*)
- Common St. Johnswort (*Hypericum perforatum*)
- Common Tansy (*Tanacetum vulgare*)
- Russian olive (*Elaeagnus angustifolia* L.)

Campbell County does not have a declared list of noxious weeds.

Development-related construction and operation activities would potentially result in the dispersal of noxious and invasive weed species within and beyond the surface disturbance boundaries, resulting in displacement of native species and changes in species composition in the long term. The potential for these impacts would be higher in relation to the development of linear facilities (e.g., pipeline right-of-ways, oil- and gas-related road systems, etc.) than for site facilities (e.g., mines, power plants, etc.) due to the potential for dispersal of noxious weeds over a larger area.

Chapter 4, section 2(d)(xiv) of the WDEQ/LQD rules and regulations requires that surface coal mines address weed control on reclaimed areas as follows:

The operator must control and minimize the introduction of noxious weeds in accordance with Federal and State requirements until bond release.

Accordingly, the reclamation plans for all surface coal mines in the Wyoming PRB include steps to control invasion by weedy (invasive nonnative) plant species. As discussed in section 3.9.4, the Buckskin Mine works with the Campbell County Weed and Pest Department and conducts an active noxious weed control program on their existing coal leases. Similar measures to identify and control noxious weeds are used at all of the surface coal mines in the Wyoming PRB as a result of the WDEQ/LQD regulatory requirements.

Mitigation to control invasion by noxious weeds for CBNG developers is determined on a site-specific basis and may include spraying herbicides before entering areas and washing vehicles before leaving infested areas. BLM reviews weed educational material during preconstruction on-site meetings with CBNG operators, subcontractors, and landowners. BLM also attaches this educational information to approved applications for permit to drill or plans of development (BLM 2003). BLM also participates in a collaborative effort with the South Goshen Cooperative Extension Conservation District, the USDA-Natural Resources Conservation Service, private surface owners, WGFD, and the Campbell County Weed and Pest District in a prevention program that includes a long-term integrated weed management plan, public awareness and prevention programs, and a common inventory (BLM 2003).

4.2.8.4 Wetland and Riparian Species

Operations associated with development activities in the study area would result in the use of groundwater. Annually, during 2010-2020, from 30,000-35,000 million gallons per year of CBNG-produced water would be discharged to impoundments or intermittent and ephemeral streams or reinjected. The discharge of produced water could result in the creation of wetlands in containment ponds, landscape depressions, and riparian areas along segments of drainages that previously supported upland vegetation. In addition, existing wetlands and riparian areas that would receive additional water would become more extensive and potentially support a greater diversity of wetland species in the long term. Alternately, the discharge of abnormally high flows or water with SAR values of 13 or more could impact existing vegetation as discussed in the Task 1D Report for the PRB Coal Review (BLM 2005e). For agricultural uses, the current Wyoming water quality standard for SAR is 8.0 (WDEQ/WQD 2005). SAR values of 5 to 10 were observed in discharge waters in the study area (BLM 2003). Once water discharges have peaked and subsequently decrease in the long term, the extent of wetlands and riparian areas and species diversity would decrease accordingly. After the complete cessation of water discharges, artificially-created wetland and riparian areas once again would support upland species, and previously existing wetland and riparian areas would decrease in areal extent.

4.2.9 Wildlife and Fisheries

The PRB Coal Review Task 3D Report (BLM 2005f) discusses potential cumulative impacts on wildlife from projected development activities in the PRB Coal Review Task 3 study area. The area of habitat disturbance and reclamation related to surface coal mining for the baseline year (2003) and the projected cumulative areas of habitat disturbance and reclamation for 2010, 2015, and 2020 are shown in tables 4-2 and 4-3. The baseline year area of total habitat disturbance and reclamation and the projected cumulative total areas of habitat disturbance and reclamation for 2010, 2015, and 2020 are shown in table 4-10.

Impacts on wildlife can be short-term and long-term. Potential short-term impacts arise from habitat disturbance associated with a project's development and operation (coal mines, CBNG wells, etc.) and would cease upon project completion and successful reclamation in a given area. Potential long-term impacts consist of long-term or permanent changes to habitats and the

wildlife populations that depend on those habitats, irrespective of reclamation success, and habitat disturbance related to longer term projects (power plant facilities, rail lines, etc.). Direct impacts on wildlife populations from development activities in the study area could include direct mortalities, habitat loss or alteration, habitat fragmentation, or animal displacement. Indirect impacts could include increased noise, additional human presence, and the potential for increased vehicle-related mortalities.

Habitat fragmentation from activities such as roads, well pads, mines, pipelines, and electrical power lines also can result in the direct loss of potential wildlife habitat. Other habitat fragmentation effects such as increased noise, elevated human presence, dispersal of noxious and invasive weed species, and dust deposition from unpaved road traffic can extend beyond the surface disturbance boundaries. These effects result in overall changes in habitat quality, habitat loss, increased animal displacement, reductions in local wildlife populations, and changes in species composition. However, the severity of these effects on terrestrial wildlife would depend on factors such as sensitivity of the species, seasonal use, type and timing of project activities, and physical parameters (topography, cover, forage, and climate).

4.2.9.1 Game Species

Big game species that are present within the Task 3 study area include pronghorn, white-tailed deer, mule deer, and elk. Potential direct impacts on these species would include the incremental loss or alteration of forage and ground cover associated with construction and operation of the past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future development discussed in section 4.1. Development associated with coal mining, drilling for CBNG, ancillary facilities, agricultural operations, urban areas, and transportation and utility corridors result in vegetation removal. Assuming that adjacent habitats would be at or near carrying capacity and considering the variabilities associated with drought conditions and human activities in the study area, the PRB Coal Review Task 3D study concluded that displacement of big game as a result of development activities would create some unquantifiable reduction in wildlife populations.

A number of big game habitat ranges have been defined within the PRB Coal Review Task 3 study area. In Wyoming, the WGFD and the BLM have established habitat classifications based on seasonal use. Classification types include crucial winter, severe winter, winter yearlong, and yearlong. Crucial winter range areas are essential in determining a game population's ability to maintain itself at a certain level over the long term. As discussed in the PRB Coal Review Task 2 Report, discrete locations for most of the disturbance related to the projected development could not be determined based on the available information. However, identified future coal reserves were used for the Task 3D Report to provide some level of quantification of potential future impacts on big game ranges. Tables 4-22 through 4-25 summarize the effects on pronghorn, deer, and elk game ranges from the predicted lower and upper levels of coal production through 2020.

Table 4-22. Potential Cumulative Disturbance to Pronghorn Ranges from Development Activities—Lower and Upper Coal Production Scenarios (acres/percent affected)

Time Period/Scenario	Pronghorn Ranges ¹			
	Crucial Winter	Severe Winter	Winter Yearlong	Yearlong
2010/Lower	N/A	1,472 / 3%	33,196 / 2%	32,099 / 1%
2010/Upper	N/A	1,472 / 3%	34,760 / 2%	33,172 / 1%
2015/Lower	N/A	1,460 / 3%	32,649 / 2%	34,828 / 1%
2015 Upper	N/A	1,460 / 3%	34,177 / 2%	36,999 / 1%
2020/Lower	N/A	1,422 / 3%	33,637 / 2%	35,714 / 1%
2020/Upper	N/A	1,422 / 3%	33,580 / 2%	37,437 / 2%

¹ Potential coal mine related impacts on big game ranges were determined based on GIS information as follows: the total acres of a big game range (e.g., crucial winter, severe winter, winter yearlong, and yearlong) within the PRB Coal Review Task 3 study area was divided by the sum of the potential disturbance acreage for the period (based on GIS mapping of coal reserves for the lower coal production scenario) and existing (2003) disturbance from coal mine development.

Source: PRB Coal Review Task 3D Report (BLM 2005f)

Table 4-23. Potential Cumulative Disturbance to White-tailed Deer Ranges from Development Activities—Lower and Upper Coal Production Scenarios (acres/percent affected)

Time Period/Scenario	White-tailed Deer Ranges ¹			
	Crucial Winter	Severe Winter	Winter Yearlong	Yearlong
2010/Lower	N/A	N/A	N/A	1,411 / 0.6%
2010/Upper	N/A	N/A	N/A	1,411 / 0.6%
2015/Lower	N/A	N/A	N/A	1,497 / 0.7%
2015 Upper	N/A	N/A	N/A	1,495 / 0.7%
2020/Lower	N/A	N/A	N/A	1,704 / 0.7%
2020/Upper	N/A	N/A	N/A	1,707 / 0.8%

¹ Potential coal mine-related impacts on big game ranges were determined based on GIS information as follows: the total acres of a big game range (e.g., crucial winter, severe winter, winter yearlong, and yearlong) within the PRB Coal Review Task 3 study area was divided by the sum of the potential disturbance acreage for the period (based on GIS mapping of coal reserves for the lower coal production scenario) and existing (2003) disturbance from coal mine development.

Source: PRB Coal Review Task 3D Report (BLM 2005f)

Table 4-24. Potential Cumulative Disturbance to Mule Deer Ranges from Development Activities—Lower and Upper Coal Production Scenarios (acres/percent affected)

Time Period/Scenario	Mule Deer Ranges ¹			
	Crucial Winter	Severe Winter	Winter Yearlong	Yearlong
2010/Lower	NA	NA	6,808 / 0.4%	25,390 / 1%
2010/Upper	NA	NA	6,924 / 0.4%	26,641 / 1%
2015/Lower	NA	NA	6,956 / 0.4%	26,420 / 1%
2015 Upper	NA	NA	7,285 / 0.5%	27,205 / 1%
2020/Lower	NA	NA	6,958 / 0.4%	27,004 / 1%
2020/Upper	NA	NA	7,413 / 0.5%	27,990 / 1%

¹ Potential coal mine-related impacts on big game ranges were determined based on GIS information as follows: the total acres of a big game range (e.g., crucial winter, severe winter, winter yearlong, and yearlong) within the PRB Coal Review Task 3 study area was divided by the sum of the potential disturbance acreage for the period (based on GIS mapping of coal reserves for the lower coal production scenario) and existing (2003) disturbance from coal mine development.

Source: PRB Coal Review Task 3D Report (BLM 2005f)

Table 4-25. Potential Cumulative Disturbance to Elk Ranges from Development Activities—Lower and Upper Coal Production Scenarios (acres/percent affected)

Time Period/Scenario	Elk Ranges ¹			
	Crucial Winter	Severe Winter	Winter Yearlong	Yearlong
2010/Lower	24 / 0.4%	N/A	375 / 1%	1,444 / 0.9%
2010/Upper	24 / 0.4%	N/A	375 / 1%	1,444 / 0.9%
2015/Lower	24 / 0.4%	N/A	351 / 1%	1,161 / 0.7%
2015 Upper	24 / 0.4%	N/A	351 / 1%	1,162 / 0.7%
2020/Lower	24 / 0.4%	N/A	351 / 1%	1,121 / 0.7%
2020/Upper	24 / 0.4%	N/A	351 / 1%	1,168 / 0.7%

¹ Potential coal mine-related impacts on big game ranges were determined based on GIS information as follows: the total acres of a big game range (e.g., crucial winter, severe winter, winter yearlong, and yearlong) within the PRB Coal Review Task 3 study area was divided by the sum of the potential disturbance acreage for the period (based on GIS mapping of coal reserves for the lower coal production scenario) and existing (2003) disturbance from coal mine development.

Source: PRB Coal Review Task 3D Report (BLM 2005f)

Direct and indirect effects to small game species (i.e., upland game birds, waterfowl, small game mammals) within the Task 3 study area as a result of development activities would be the same as discussed above for big game species. Impacts would result from the incremental surface disturbance of potential wildlife habitat, increased noise levels and human presence, dispersal of noxious and invasive weed species, and dust effects from unpaved road traffic.

Operations associated with development activities in the Task 3 study area would result in the use of groundwater. The PRB Coal Review assumes that most, if not all, of the coal mine-produced water would be consumed during operation and anticipates that up to

approximately 39,108, 41,484, and 37,350 million gallons per year of water would be produced in association with oil and gas production in 2010, 2015, and 2020, respectively. The portion of the water that is produced in association with the CBNG and discharged to impoundments or intermittent and ephemeral streams would be available for area wildlife (e.g., waterfowl). Although much of the water would evaporate or infiltrate into the ground, it is anticipated that substantial quantities of water would remain on the surface and would result in the expansion of wetlands, stockponds, and reservoirs, potentially increasing waterfowl breeding and foraging habitats. The median sodium concentration of CBNG-produced water from the Fort Union Formation is 270 mg/L. If sodium concentrations are maintained below 17,000 mg/L in the evaporation ponds, the potential adverse effects to waterfowl would be minimal.

4.2.9.2 Non-game Species

Potential direct impacts on non-game species (e.g., small mammals, raptors, passerines, amphibians, and reptiles) would include the incremental loss or alteration of existing or potential foraging and breeding habitats from construction and operation of past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future development activities (e.g., vegetation removal for coal mines and CBNG wells, ancillary facilities, and transportation and utility corridors). Impacts also could result in mortalities of less mobile species (e.g., small mammals, reptiles, amphibians, and invertebrates), nest or burrow abandonment, and loss of eggs or young in the path of vehicles and heavy equipment. Indirect impacts would include increased noise levels and human presence, dispersal and invasion of noxious weeds, and dust effects from unpaved road traffic. Assuming that adjacent habitats would be at or near carrying capacity, and considering variable factors such as drought conditions and human activities in the study area, the PRB Coal Review concluded that displacement of wildlife species from the Task 3 study area would result in an unquantifiable reduction in wildlife populations.

Numerous migratory bird species have been documented within the PRB over the last two to three decades of wildlife monitoring. Development activities that occur during the migratory bird breeding season (April 1 through July 31) could cause the abandonment of a nest site or territory or the loss of eggs or young, resulting in the loss of productivity for the breeding season. Loss of an active nest site, incubating adults, eggs, or young would not comply with the intent of the Migratory Bird Treaty Act and could potentially affect populations of important migratory bird species that may occur in the PRB. All surface coal mines in the Wyoming PRB are required to conduct annual surveys for migratory bird species of management concern in Wyoming; all mines also must have USFWS-approved monitoring and mitigation plans in place for these species.

Raptor species that regularly nest within the Task 3 study area include the golden eagle, ferruginous hawk, red-tailed hawk, Swainson's hawk, American kestrel, northern harrier, great horned owl, short-eared owl, and burrowing owl. Bald eagles, prairie falcons, merlins, and long-eared owls (*Asio otus*) are rare nesters in the area. Rough-legged hawks are common winter residents, but breed in the arctic regions.

One potential direct impact on raptors is habitat (active nest site and foraging) loss due to additional surface disturbance within the Task 3 study area. In the event that development activities were to occur during the breeding season (February 1 through July 31), these activities could result in nest or territory abandonment, or loss of eggs or young. Such losses would reduce productivity for the affected species during that breeding season. As discussed above, loss of an active nest site, incubating adults, eggs, or young would not comply with the intent of several laws, including the Migratory Bird Treaty Act and the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act. Efforts to minimize impacts on nesting raptors are addressed in each mine's USFWS-approved avian monitoring and mitigation plan.

Additional direct impacts could result from construction of new overhead power lines in the region. New power line segments in the study area would incrementally increase the collision and/or electrocution potential for migrating and foraging bird species (e.g., raptors and waterfowl) (Avian Power Line Interaction Committee 2006). However, the potential for avian collisions with overhead power lines depends on variables such as the location of the structures relative to high-use areas (e.g., nesting, foraging, staging, and roosting habitats), the orientation of the power line to flight patterns and movement corridors, species composition, line visibility, and structure design. Few collisions have been reported in the Task 3 study area due to the limited presence of perennial water bodies and other features that would attract large numbers of migrating waterfowl or other vulnerable species.

In addition, new power lines could pose an electrocution hazard for raptor species attempting to perch on the structure. Configurations greater than 69 kilovolts typically do not present an electrocution potential, based on conductor placement and orientation (Avian Power Line Interaction Committee 2006). Most, if not all, surface coal mines in the Task 3 study area use raptor-safe designs for all new overhead construction; many mines have retrofitted existing lines to make them safer for perching birds. In addition, the primary rural utility service cooperative in the region has voluntarily adopted an Avian Protection Plan that requires all new construction to be built to meet or exceed current recommendations by the Avian Power Line Interaction Committee. Future permitting for power lines may require the use of appropriate raptor-detering designs for areas where they are not already in use, thereby minimizing potential impacts. For example, SMCRA requires that surface coal mine operators use the best technology available to ensure that electric power lines are designed and constructed to minimize electrocution hazards to raptors. Power line impacts on raptors can be reduced with the increased use of underground power lines wherever possible. Many of the power lines for CBNG development currently are being constructed underground; that option is not technically feasible for many projects due, in part, to the distance between the power source and the end user.

4.2.9.3 Fisheries

Potential cumulative effects on fisheries from development activities in the Task 3 study area would be closely related to impacts on ground and surface water resources. In general, development activities could affect fish species in the following ways: 1) alteration or loss of habitat as a result of surface disturbance; 2) changes in water quality as a result of surface

disturbance or introduction of contaminants into drainages; and 3) changes in available habitat as a result of water withdrawals or discharge. The potential effects of development activities on aquatic communities are discussed below for each of these impact topics.

The predominant aquatic habitat type in the Task 3 study area consists of intermittent and ephemeral streams and scattered ponds and reservoirs. In general, perennial streams within the study area are limited to the Little Powder River and Belle Fourche River. Warm water game fish and non-game species are present in some perennial stream segments and numerous scattered reservoirs and ponds. However, the latter features are typically stocked artificially either following construction or annually, depending on the depth of the water body. Due to the lack of constant water in most of the potentially affected streams and static water bodies, existing aquatic communities are mainly limited to invertebrates and algae that can persist in these types of habitats. The removal of stockponds would eliminate habitat for invertebrates and possibly fish species. This loss would be temporary if the stockponds were replaced during reclamation.

Development activities could result in the loss of aquatic habitat as a result of direct surface disturbance. Table 4-10 summarizes the cumulative acres of surface disturbance and reclamation as of 2003 and projects cumulative acres of surface disturbance and reclamation in 2010, 2015, and 2020. Discrete locations for development disturbance and reclamation areas cannot be determined based on existing information. However, projected development that could result in the loss of aquatic habitat would involve construction of additional linear facilities, product gathering lines and road systems associated with conventional oil and gas and CBNG activities, as well as any additional disturbance associated with extending coal mine operations onto lands adjacent to the existing mines. The removal of aquatic habitat eliminates existing and potential habitat for invertebrates and some fish species. This loss would be temporary if such ponds are reconstructed and recharged as part of the reclamation process.

Projected activities would result in surface disturbance in each of the six Task 3 study area subwatersheds. Information relative to the stream crossing locations for the majority of the linear facilities is not available at this time. The initial phases of the proposed Bison Pipeline project commenced in April 2008 and is projected to be completed by November 2010. If the project is constructed as planned, it would cross Cottonwood Creek, a tributary of the Little Powder River. Typically, the associated disturbance corridor would consist of a 100-foot-wide construction ROW; however, site-specific stream crossing methods and reclamation would be determined at the time of project permitting.

Future coal mining also could remove intermittent or ephemeral streams and stockponds in the Antelope Creek, Upper Cheyenne River, Upper Belle Fourche River, and Little Powder River subwatersheds, though not necessarily the streams themselves. Coal mine permits provide for removal of first- through fourth-order drainages. During reclamation, third- and fourth-order drainages must be restored; first- and second-order drainages often are not replaced (Martin et al. 1988). As discussed in section 3.5.2, the Little Powder River and its tributaries drain the existing Buckskin Mine permit area and the general analysis area. All streams in and adjacent to the general analysis area are typical for the region, in that flow events are ephemeral. Under natural

conditions, aquatic habitat is limited by that ephemeral nature of surface waters in the general analysis area.

The PRB Coal Review assumes that surface-disturbing activities would not be allowed in perennial stream segments or reservoirs on public lands that contain game fish species. It also assumes that other types of development operations would not occur within stream channels nor would they remove ponds or reservoirs as part of construction or operation and, therefore, would not result in the direct loss of habitat for these species.

Water quality parameters such as turbidity and bottom substrate composition can be impacted by surface disturbing activities through erosion of sediment into water bodies. Contaminants can also be introduced into those systems through the chemical characteristics of the eroded sediment. Potential related effects on aquatic biota could include physiological stress, movement to avoid affected areas, or alterations of spawning or rearing areas (Waters 1995). Studies have shown that TDS levels in streams near reclamation at surface coal mines have increased from 1% to 7% (Martin et al. 1988). Typically, sedimentation effects are short-term and localized in terms of the affected area. TDS concentrations would stabilize and return to more typical concentrations after construction or development activities have been completed. The PRB Coal Review anticipated that the use of appropriate erosion and spill control measures during both development and reclamation activities, as determined during the permitting process, would minimize the introduction of additional sediments into the subwatershed.

The removal of streamside vegetation would impact both riparian vegetation and stream parameters in those locations. Loss of vegetation along stream channels would reduce the shade and increase bank erosion, both of which would degrade aquatic habitats. Effects on aquatic habitats from linear projects, such as ROWs, would be limited to a relatively small portion of the stream (generally no more than 100 feet in width), whereas mine-related disturbance could affect considerably larger stretches. Because perennial streams are protected from development by a buffer zone on either side of center, these types of impacts would presumably be limited to intermittent and ephemeral creeks. It is anticipated that reclamation practices to restore riparian vegetation would be required during future project permitting, thereby minimizing such impacts.

CBNG and coal mining are the primary types of development activities that use or manage water as part of their operations. Based on current trends, the PRB Coal Review assumes that most, if not all, of the water produced during coal mining would be consumed during operation. As discussed in section 3.5.2.2, changes in surface runoff characteristics and sediment discharges would occur during surface coal mining from the destruction and reconstruction of drainage channels as mining progresses, and the use of sediment control structures to manage discharges of surface water from the mine permit area. State and federal regulations require treatment of surface runoff from mined lands to meet effluent standards. After treatment, coal mine-related surface water in the region would ultimately be discharged into intermittent and ephemeral streams in four subwatersheds (Antelope Creek, Upper Cheyenne River, Upper Belle Fourche River, and Little Powder River). The PRB Coal Review projects that up to approximately 39,108, 41,484, and 37,350 million gallons per year of water would be produced in association

with oil and gas production in 2010, 2015, and 2020, respectively. The review also assumes that a portion of the water that is produced in association with the CBNG would be discharged to intermittent and ephemeral drainages in the general analysis area as is currently allowed in the six subwatersheds in the study area. Based on past monitoring in receiving streams, no change in surface flows would be expected beyond approximately 2 miles from the discharge points (BLM 2003). Water discharged from CBNG wells has supplied some drainages and water bodies in the PRB nearly continuously for several years. Within the general analysis area, Spring Creek has experienced an influx of CBNG water in recent years but has not become perennial. The same is true for other streams elsewhere in the PRB that receive CBNG discharge water.

4.2.9.4 Special Status Species

Special status species are those species for which state or federal agencies afford an additional level of protection by law, regulation, or policy. Included in this category are federally listed and federally proposed species (species that are protected under the ESA), BLM sensitive species, USDA-Forest Service sensitive species, and WGFD species of special concern in Wyoming. No USDA-Forest Service administered lands are present in the general analysis area. Species that are protected under the ESA, as well as BLM sensitive species, are further discussed in appendices I and. The USFWS also has a list of migratory bird species of management concern for surface coal mines in Wyoming, which is discussed in section 3.10. Special status species potentially occurring in the Task 1 study area are identified in section 2.4.3.5 of the PRB Coal Review Task 1D Report (BLM 2005e). Additional information about the occurrence of these species in the general analysis area is contained in the annual wildlife reports for the Buckskin Mine, on file with the Sheridan, Wyoming office of the WDEQ/LQD.

Potential impacts on special status terrestrial species would be similar to those discussed above for non-game wildlife (e.g., small mammals, birds, amphibians, and reptiles). Potential direct impacts would include the incremental loss or alteration of potential habitat (native vegetation and previously disturbed vegetation) from construction and operation of development activities (e.g., vegetation removal for coal mines and CBNG wells, ancillary facilities, and transportation and utility corridors). Impacts could also result in mortalities of less mobile species (e.g., small mammals, reptiles, and amphibians), nest or burrow abandonment, and loss of eggs or young in the path of vehicles and heavy equipment. Indirect impacts would include increased noise levels and human presence, introduction and dispersal of noxious weeds, and dust effects from unpaved road traffic.

In general, direct and indirect impacts on special status species would result in a reduction in habitat suitability and overall carrying capacity for species currently inhabiting the PRB Coal Review Task 3 study area. Development within potential habitat for special status species likely would decrease its overall suitability, and potentially would reduce or preclude use by some species due to increased activity and noise. Future use by a special status species of habitats subject to development would be strongly influenced by the quality and composition of remaining habitat, with the degree of impact dependent on variables such as breeding phenology, nest and den site preferences, the species' relative sensitivity to disturbance, and possibly the

presence of visual barriers (e.g., topographic shielding) between nesting efforts and disturbance activities.

Bird species that have been identified as occurring within the PRB and are on two or more of the special status species lists include the common loon (*Gavia immer*), American bittern (*Botaurus lentiginosus*), white faced ibis (*Plegadis chihi*), trumpeter swan (*Cygnus buccinator*), sandhill crane (*Grus canadensis*), mountain plover, upland sandpiper, long-billed curlew, black tern (*Chlidonias niger*), yellow-billed cuckoo (*Coccyzus americanus*), Lewis' woodpecker (*Melanerpes lewis*), pygmy nuthatch (*Sitta pygmaea*), sage thrasher (*Oreoscoptes montanus*), loggerhead shrike, Baird's sparrow (*Ammodramus bairdii*), sage sparrow (*Amphispiza belli*), Brewer's sparrow, and greater sage-grouse. Only the Brewer's sparrow, sage-grouse, upland sandpiper, long-billed curlew, loggerhead shrike, and sage thrasher (one sighting) have been documented at the Buckskin Mine during 25 years of annual monitoring. Only the Brewer's sparrow is seen with any regularity, and those observations typically occur in a sagebrush stand approximately 1.5 miles south of the general analysis area. Any development activities (oil and gas, coal mining, other operations and associated infrastructure) that occur during the breeding season (April 1 through July 31) could result in the abandonment of a nest site or territory, or the loss of eggs or young. As discussed previously, loss of an active nest site, incubating adults, eggs, or young from any of these development activities would not comply with the intent of the Migratory Bird Treaty Act and could potentially impact populations of important migratory bird species that are known to or may occur in the PRB.

A number of raptor species have been documented in the PRB and are on two or more of the special status species lists including the bald eagle, ferruginous hawk, northern goshawk, merlin, peregrine falcon, western burrowing owl, and short-eared owl. Species that have been documented in the general analysis area are discussed at length in section 3.10.5, with additional information in appendix J. Potential direct impacts on raptors would result from the surface disturbance of nesting and foraging habitat, as well as injury or mortalities due to collisions with vehicles and equipment. Nesting raptors in or adjacent to development activities could abandon their nest sites or territories, or lose eggs or young. As previously described, such losses would constitute non-compliance with the intent of laws such as the Migratory Bird Treaty Act and the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act. The implementation of USFWS-approved avian monitoring and mitigation plans at surface coal mines in the Task 3 study area has minimized impacts on nesting raptors over the years. Any impacts that could occur would likely be limited to individual pairs and, thus, are not likely to affect populations of migratory bird species that are known to or may occur within the region. Incremental construction of new overhead power lines in the area to support energy industries would increase risks of electrocution and collision for perching, migrating, and foraging bird species such as the larger raptors. Use of current Avian Power Line Interaction Committee guidelines for new construction designs and retrofitting measures for existing utility structures would help mitigate these impacts.

At least 477 greater sage-grouse strutting grounds (leks) were identified in the six subwatersheds in the PRB Coal Review Task 3 study area through 2008, though not all leks are counted every year (WGFD 2008b). As discussed in section 3.10 and in the PRB Coal Review Task 1D

Report, the trend in the sage-grouse population for the Sheridan region suggests about a 10-year cycle with periodic highs and lows. More recent population peaks have been lower than previous highs, suggesting a steadily declining sage-grouse population with the Sheridan region (WGFD 2008b). Direct and indirect impacts on sage-grouse from development activities would result from the incremental surface disturbance of existing and potential habitat, increased levels of noise and human presence, introduction or dispersal of noxious and invasive weed species, and effects of dust from increased traffic on unpaved roads. In addition to disturbance-related impacts, sage-grouse are susceptible to infection with West Nile virus. The incidence of infection from this disease has been much higher in northeast Wyoming than the rest of the state in the past, though fewer cases have been reported in recent years.

Based on results from annual counts and lek searches conducted for the Buckskin Mine since 1984, sage-grouse occur but are not abundant in the general analysis area (section 3.10.6). Three sage-grouse leks have been identified in the general analysis area. One of those three sites is classified by the WGFD as historical (abandoned) due to its consistent lack of use over the last 16 consecutive years. The remaining two leks have also been inactive in recent years, but are still classified as occupied by the WGFD. The Hay Creek sage-grouse lek is within the existing Buckskin Mine permit area, approximately 0.5 mile southeast of the general analysis area. This site has been or will be affected by previously permitted disturbance in the permit area. The McGee sage-grouse lek is approximately 1.25 miles north of the general analysis area, on the far side of multiple ridgelines. Two displaying males and three hens were seen at the Hay Creek lek on one morning in 2001, but no grouse were present during subsequent checks that year, or in any year since then. The McGee sage-grouse lek is located beyond the required annual monitoring area for the Buckskin Mine and, therefore, is not included in that monitoring program. A WGFD biologist first recorded the lek in 2001. Three displaying males were observed at the McGee sage-grouse lek in 2004. No grouse have been recorded at that lek since then, but it was not monitored every year.

If the proposed tract or an alternative tract configuration is leased and mined, potential nesting habitat for grouse that were bred at those leks would be affected by mining activity in those areas. However, as discussed in section 3.10.5.2, no sage-grouse nests or broods have been encountered in the general analysis area during specific surveys or incidental to other wildlife surveys conducted there annually since at least 1984. The noise associated with mining operations may also disrupt sage-grouse breeding and nesting activities that might occur in the area. Direct and indirect effects on greater sage-grouse within the general analysis area from development activities are outlined in section 3.10.6.

Based on existing information, the spatial relationship between projected future disturbance and reclamation areas for the coal production scenarios and the resource-specific information in the GIS layers could not be determined for the PRB Coal Review. However, the analysis did use GIS layers for future coal reserves to provide some quantification of potential future coal mining-related impacts on greater sage-grouse. The results of this analysis are summarized in table 4-26. The difference in the number of lek sites that would occur within 2 miles of coal mining activities under the lower coal production scenario versus the upper coal production

scenario is due to slight variations in the projected disturbance areas. An unquantifiable number of lek sites initially could be impacted by CBNG activity, which would occur in advance of coal mine development. Potential direct impacts on sage-grouse, if present, could include loss of foraging areas, abandonment of a lek site, or loss of eggs or young as a result of development activities.

Table 4-26. Potential Cumulative Impacts on Greater Sage-grouse Leks from Coal Mine Development—Upper and Lower Coal Production Scenarios

Lek Categories	2010/Lower	2010/Upper	2015/Lower	2015/Upper	2020/Lower	2020/Upper
Number of Directly Affected Leks	10	10	15	15	15	15
Number of Leks within Two Miles of Coal Mining Activity	47	47	47	49	50	49

Source: PRB Coal Review Task 3D Report (BLM 2005f)

Seven special status fish species potentially occur in the PRB Coal Review Task 3 study area subwatersheds: the flathead chub (*Platygobio gracilis*) (Antelope Creek, Upper Cheyenne River, and Little Powder River subwatersheds), plains topminnow (*Fundulus sciadicus*) (Upper Cheyenne River), goldeye (*Hiodon alosoides*) (Little Powder River), lake chub (*Couesius plumbeus*) (Little Powder River), mountain sucker (*Catostomus platyrhynchus*) (Little Powder River), silvery minnow (*Hybognathus argyritis*) (Little Powder River), and plains minnow (Upper Cheyenne River, Upper Belle Fourche River, and Little Powder River). Potential impacts on special status fish species from development activities would be similar to effects discussed above for fisheries. Surface disturbance in three subwatersheds (Upper Cheyenne River, Upper Belle Fourche River, Little Powder River) could alter habitat or affect water quality conditions for special status fish species. Erosion control measures, as required by existing and future permits, and NPDES permit requirements would be implemented for each project. These efforts would help decrease disturbance-related sediment input into stream segments that may contain one or more of the special status fish species. Therefore, it is anticipated that impacts on special status fish species would be low.

4.2.10 Land Use and Recreation

The PRB Coal Review Task 3D Report (BLM 2005f) discusses potential cumulative impacts on land use and recreation as a result of projected development activities in the PRB Coal Review Task 3 study area (map 4-2). The baseline year (2003) area of disturbance and reclamation related to surface coal mining and the projected cumulative areas of disturbance and reclamation for 2010, 2015, and 2020 are shown in tables 4-2 and 4-3. Table 4-10 shows the total area of disturbance and reclamation for the baseline year and the projected cumulative total areas of disturbance and reclamation for 2010, 2015, and 2020.

The PRB is a predominantly rural, wide open landscape. With little rainfall and limited alternative sources of water, the primary land use is grazing. Nevertheless, there is a range of other land uses. The major categories include agriculture, forested, mixed rangeland, urban,

water, wetlands, coal mines, and barren land. The relative amounts of these lands in the PRB Coal Review Task 1 and Task 2 study area (map 4-1) is tabulated in table 4-27.

Table 4-27. PRB Land Use by Surface Ownership

Use Category	Surface Ownership				Total	
	BLM	USDA-Forest Service	State	Private	Acres	Percent
Agriculture	2,627	14,197	13,770	472,811	503,405	6.3
Barren	165	205	187	9,396	9,953	0.1
Forested	137,555	14,604	48,645	332,062	532,866	6.7
Mixed Rangeland	732,014	218,156	561,363	5,271,644	6,783,177	86.0
Urban	893	17	1,039	25,469	27,418	0.3
Water	35	73	334	4,773	5,215	<0.1
Wetlands	0	104	559	1,566	2,229	<0.1
Coal Mines	149	7,236	2,805	40,917	51,107	0.6
Total	873,438	254,592	628,702	6,158,638	7,915,370	100.0

Source: PRB Coal Review Task 1D Report (BLM 2005e)

A large part of the PRB consists of split-estate lands (privately owned surface lands underlain by federally owned minerals). This results in conflicts between surface users, which are mainly ranching interests and mineral developers.

Conflicts with some dispersed rural residences may also occur, although specific locations cannot be identified until development is proposed.

Much of the Task 3 study area is also used for dispersed recreational activities such as hunting. That study area includes surface lands that are federally, state, and privately owned; the entire general analysis area is under private ownership. With nearly 80% of the overall study area privately owned, public lands provide important open space and recreation resources including both developed recreation facilities and areas to pursue dispersed recreation activities. The private sector contributes the elements of commercial recreation opportunities and tourism services such as motels and restaurants. Some private land owners also allow hunting with specific permission, sometimes for a fee.

4.2.10.1 Grazing and Agriculture

Potential impacts on grazing in the Task 3 study area as a result of development activities can be classified as short term and long term. Potential short-term impacts arise from:

- the temporary loss of forage as a result of vegetation removal/disturbance;
- temporary loss of animal unit months (amount of forage a cow/calf unit or a single bull can eat in a month, used to determine stocking rates for livestock);

- temporary loss of water-related range improvements, such as improved springs, water pipelines, and stockponds;
- temporary loss of other range improvements, such as fences and cattle guards; and
- restricted movement of livestock within an allotment due to the development and operation of projects like surface coal mines, which would cease after successful reclamation had been achieved and replacement of water-related and other range improvements had been completed.

The discharge of produced water could increase the availability of water to livestock, which may offset the temporary loss of water-related range improvements. Potential long-term impacts consist of permanent loss of forage and forage productivity in areas, such as power plants, that would not be reclaimed in the near term. Indirect impacts may include dispersal of noxious and invasive weed species within and beyond the surface disturbance boundaries, which decreases the amount of desirable forage available for livestock grazing in the long term.

Development activities could result in short- and long-term impacts on agricultural land, depending on their spatial relationship. Short-term impacts would include the loss of crop production during development and operational phases of the projects. Long-term impacts would result from the permanent loss of agricultural land due the development of permanent facilities such as power plants and railroads.

Table 4-28 contains an estimate of the number of animal unit months unavailable on lands disturbed and not yet reclaimed through 2020 for the high and low levels of predicted development activity, along with the acreage of cropland estimated to be affected.

Table 4-28. Animal Unit Months and Acres of Cropland Estimated Unavailable on Lands Disturbed and Not Yet Reclaimed as a Result of Development Activities

Category	2003/ Baseline	2010/ Lower	2010/ Upper	2015/ Lower	2015/ Upper	2020/ Lower	2020/ Upper
Unavailable AUMs ¹	18,150	22,467	22,792	23,245	23,761	22,514	23,333
Unavailable Crop Land (acres)	48	59	60	134	139	206	289

AUMs = animal unit months

¹ Based on an average stocking rate of 6 acres per AUM.

Source: PRB Coal Review Task 3D Report (BLM 2005f)

4.2.10.2 Urban Use

It is expected that there would be additional expansion of urban residential and commercial development as a result of the projected 48% growth in population (between 2003 and 2020) in Campbell County. Section 4.2.13 and the Task 3C Report of the PRB Coal Review (BLM 2005a) contain additional information on employment and population issues in the study area. A majority of the new urban development would be expected to occur adjacent to existing communities, primarily Gillette, which accounts for approximately 60% of the Campbell County

population and, to a lesser extent, Wright and other small communities. Most of this development would occur on land that is currently used for grazing or agriculture.

4.2.10.3 Recreation

Accessible public lands provide diverse opportunities for recreation, including hunting, fishing, off-road vehicle use, sightseeing, and wildlife observation. The National System of Public Lands generally provides dispersed recreational uses in the study area. Some developed recreational facilities occur in special management areas, including recreation areas. While opportunities are available on public lands throughout the PRB, the majority of dispersed recreational uses occur in the western part of the PRB Coal Review Task 1 and Task 2 study area, including the South Big Horn Mountains area and along the Powder River. Public lands elsewhere consist mainly of isolated tracts of land that are too small to provide a quality recreational experience. Larger parcels of public lands occur in the southwest part of Johnson County and along the Powder River (administered by BLM) and in the Thunder Basin National Grassland (administered by the USDA Forest Service). Public lands are accessible via public roads or across private land with the landowner's permission.

Hunting is a major recreation use of state and federal lands in the study area. Various big game and upland game bird species are hunted in the region. Fishing is a popular year-round activity for residents of the study area.

Mule deer and pronghorn hunting are by far the most popular hunting activities in the Task 1 study area, accounting for 35,529 and 21,304 hunter days, respectively, in 2003 (Stratham pers. comm.). The next highest were cottontail rabbit (2,348 hunter days) and elk (2,055 hunter days), followed by wild turkey (1,019), sharp-tailed grouse (508), and sage-grouse (38). Consistent trends in hunter activity over the past decade are not discernible from the WGFD data considered in the PRB Coal Review. All of the most prominent species hunted in the study area have had high years and low years. Pronghorn hunting, for example, was greatest from 1993 to 1996, while elk hunting was at its peak in 2001 and 2002. Mule deer hunting has been the most consistent, ranging from a low of 28,311 hunter days in 1996 to a high of 37,307 hunter days in 2002.

Off-road vehicle use in the Task 1 study area is available on most BLM-managed lands. Much of the public land in Johnson, Sheridan, and Campbell counties has been inventoried and designated as open, limited, or closed to off-road vehicle use. For the baseline year, approximately 20,386 acres were open to unlimited vehicle travel on and off roads. There were 4,680 acres in the area that were closed to all off-road vehicle use and approximately 867,534 acres were available for limited use. Limited use typically means off-road vehicles are restricted to existing roads and vehicle routes.

Recreational use of public lands in the Task 1 study area has increased substantially over the past two decades, and is expected to continue to increase by about 5% every five years for most recreational activities (BLM 2003). Total visitor use by residents and nonresident visitors in Campbell and Converse counties in 1980 was projected at 1,276,000 visitor days (BLM 1979).

The total visitor days of 1,881,763 estimated for 1990 was approximately 47% higher than the 1980 visitor days (BLM 2001). Fewer than 3% of visitor days were estimated to occur on public lands.

Few, if any, of the developed recreation sites in the PRB Coal Review Task 3 study area would be affected by development-related disturbance. As most of the projected disturbance area would occur on privately owned surface land, the extent of effects on dispersed recreation activities largely would depend on whether the disturbance areas had been open to public or private lease hunting. It is projected that cumulative development activities, especially the dispersed development of CBNG and, to a lesser extent, conventional oil and gas, would tend to exacerbate the trend toward a reduction in private land available for public hunting, which has been observed by WGFD in recent years (Shorma pers. comm.). A reduction in available private land for dispersed recreation would contrast with the anticipated increase in demand for recreational opportunities and would tend to push more recreationists toward public lands where the BLM has projected a 5% increase in use every five years (BLM 2001). After coal- and oil and gas-related development activities have been completed and the disturbed areas have been reclaimed, many of the adverse effects on dispersed recreation activities would be reduced.

It is expected that the development activities also would tend to expand and exacerbate the qualitative degradation of the dispersed recreation experience, in general, and of the hunting experience, in particular, as reported by the WGFD (Jahnke pers. comm.). As noted in the Task 1D Report of the PRB Coal Review (BLM 2005e), reductions in land available for hunting also make herd management more difficult for the WGFD and reduce its hunting-derived revenues (Shorma pers. comm.).

No direct effects on wilderness or roadless areas would be expected from the projected development activities. There are no designated wilderness areas in the study area, and mineral development would not be permitted in the Fortification Creek Wilderness Study Area until and unless Congress acts to remove it from wilderness consideration.

No Wild and Scenic Rivers would be affected because the only river segment identified as both “eligible” and “suitable” in the Task 1D Report of the PRB Coal Review is outside of the PRB Coal Review Task 3 study area.

4.2.11 Cultural Resources and Native American Concerns

The PRB Coal Review Task 3D Report (BLM 2005f) discusses potential cumulative impacts on cultural resources from projected development activities in the PRB Coal Review Task 3 study area. The baseline year (2003) area of disturbance and reclamation related to surface coal mining and the projected cumulative areas of disturbance and reclamation for 2010, 2015, and 2020 are shown in tables 4-2 and 4-3. Table 4-10 shows the total area of disturbance and reclamation for the baseline year and the projected cumulative total areas of disturbance and reclamation for 2010, 2015, and 2020.

Cultural sites occur throughout the study area. Surface-disturbing activities can result in the loss or destruction of these sites. Table 4-29 contains an estimate of the amount of projected disturbance through 2020 for the projected lower and upper levels of coal development activity, along with the number of cultural sites estimated to be affected. The sites fall into two categories; prehistoric sites and historic sites, as described below. Also below are descriptions of Native American traditional cultural places and a summary of the program to protect sites in any of these categories.

4.2.11.1 Prehistoric Sites

All recognized prehistoric cultural periods, from Clovis through Protohistoric (about 11,500 to 200 years ago), are represented in the PRB Coal Review study area (see section 3.12 for additional discussion about the prehistoric cultural periods.) Only a small number of sites represent the earliest prehistoric cultural periods--Paleoindian through Early Plains Archaic. Archaic and later prehistoric period sites (Archaic to Protohistoric) are represented in increasing numbers because of higher populations through time and better preservation of more recent sites. Important prehistoric site types in the region include artifact scatters, campsites, stone circles, faunal kill and processing sites, rock alignments and cairns, and stone material procurement areas.

Artifact scatters dominate prehistoric sites in the study area. When there is adequate information to evaluate these types of sites, most are not eligible to the NRHP. However, complex sites and sites with buried and dateable material can yield important information and are often field evaluated as eligible. The proportion of unevaluated sites is lower in the subwatersheds in which more studies and more follow-up studies have been conducted, such as Antelope Creek, Upper Cheyenne River, and Upper Belle Fourche River. Some portions of some of the subwatersheds which have more varied habitats or conditions more conducive to preservation are very rich in significant prehistoric sites. Within the PRB Coal Review Task 3 study area, these areas include the lower Antelope Creek drainage and eastern portions of the upper Belle Fourche River. While six prehistoric sites were documented in the general analysis area, it does not appear to be particularly plentiful in significant prehistoric sites. More detailed information on the known cultural sites that are present in the PRB based on the existing surveys is included in the Task 1D Report for the PRB Coal Review (BLM 2005e).

4.2.11.2 Historic Sites

In the PRB region, sites are documented within the broad contexts of rural settlement, urban settlement, mining, transportation, military, exploration, and communication. Each of these site categories and the types of sites they include are detailed in the Task 1D Report for the PRB Coal Review (BLM 2005e). Eight historic sites documented in the general analysis area fall under the context of rural settlement. Evaluation of the importance of historic sites, districts, and landscapes must consider aspects of both theme and period in assessing the historic character and contributing attributes of the resources.

4.2.11.3 Native American Traditional Cultural Places

There are known traditional cultural properties in the region such as the Medicine Wheel in the Big Horn Mountains, Devils Tower, and Pumpkin Buttes. These sites are not only eligible for inclusion in the National Register because of their historic and scientific significance, they are also associated with cultural practices or beliefs of several tribes that are rooted in their histories and are also important in maintaining their continuing cultural identities. Tribes may also attribute a sense of sacredness to more discrete sites such as stone circles, cairns or rock art. Any identification of sacred or traditional localities must be verified in consultation with authorized tribal representatives.

4.2.11.4 Site Protection

At the time an individual project is permitted, the development activities considered in this study would be subject to the following regulations relative to cultural resources. Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 as amended, its implementing regulations (including but not limited to 36 CFR 800, 36 CFR 61, and Executive Order 11593), and NEPA and its implementing regulations, including 40 CFR 1500 - 1508, provide the legal environment for documentation, evaluation, and protection of historic properties (cultural resources eligible for inclusion on the NRHP) that may be affected by development activities. In cases of split estate, cultural resources are the property of the surface owner, although federal agencies must ensure that federal undertakings adhere to applicable laws and regulations. The surface owner must be consulted about investigation, mitigation, or monitoring.

4.0 Cumulative Environmental Consequences

Table 4-29. Square Miles of Projected Cumulative Disturbance and Number of Potentially Affected Cultural Resource Sites in the PRB Coal Review Task 3 Study Area—Lower and Upper Coal Production Scenarios

Subwatershed	Average Number of Sites per Square Mile ¹	Lower Coal Production Scenario						Upper Coal Production Scenario					
		Year 2010		Year 2015		Year 2020		Year 2010		Year 2015		Year 2020	
		Square Miles ²	Sites ³	Square Miles ²	Sites ³	Square Miles ²	Sites ³	Square Miles ²	Sites ³	Square Miles ²	Sites ³	Square Miles ²	Sites ³
Antelope Creek	4.7	74	346	97	484	122	608	75	376	99	496	126	629
Dry Fork Cheyenne River	8.9	8.3	74	12	109	17	151	8.3	74	12	109	17	151
Little Powder River	4.6	90	415	108	495	123	567	91	419	109	502	125	577
Upper Belle Fourche River	4.3	164	704	186	801	209	899	166	713	192	824	219	940
Upper Cheyenne River	5.2	60	314	72	375	83	433	62	321	74	387	85	445
Upper Powder River	5.0	135	674	190	953	232	1,159	135	674	191	953	232	1,159
Total		531	2,527	665	3,217	786	3,817	537	2,577	677	3,271	804	3,901

¹ Average number of sites per square mile based on previous surveys in the study area.

² Calculated based on database disturbance acreages prepared for the Task 2 Report for the PRB Coal Review, Past and Present and Reasonably Foreseeable Development Activities (appendices A and D) (BLM 2005b).

³ The number of sites was calculated by multiplying the average density of known cultural sites per square mile (based on previous surveys) by the number of square miles of projected cumulative disturbance.

Source: Task 3D Report for the PRB Coal Review Cumulative Environmental Effects (BLM 2005f)

4.2.12 Transportation and Utilities

The PRB Coal Review Task 3D Report (BLM 2005f) discusses potential cumulative impacts on transportation and utilities systems as a result of projected development activities in the PRB Coal Review Task 3 study area. The baseline year (2003) area of disturbance and reclamation related to surface coal mining and the projected cumulative areas of disturbance and reclamation for 2010, 2015, and 2020 are shown in tables 4-2 and 4-3. The total area of disturbance and reclamation for the baseline year and the projected cumulative total areas of disturbance and reclamation for 2010, 2015, and 2020 are shown in table 4-10.

Generally, transportation systems in the study area would not be directly affected by the disturbance associated with projected development. Site-specific instances of disturbance may require that segments of highways, pipelines, transmission lines, or railroads be moved to accommodate expansion of certain coal mines. In such cases, the agencies authorized to regulate such actions would have to approve any proposal to move any segments of any transportation systems. Construction of alternative routing would be required prior to closing existing links so that any disruptive effects on transportation systems would be minimized.

The coal mines in the North Gillette subregion currently ship most of their coal via the east-west BNSF rail line through Gillette. That subregion produced 55 million tons per year in the baseline year (2003), which was just 22% of the estimated 250 million tons per year capacity of the BNSF rail line (BLM 2005f). The coal mines in the South Gillette and Wright subregions produced approximately 308 million tons per year in 2003, which was 88% of the estimated 350 million tons per year capacity of the joint BNSF and UP line serving those areas in the baseline year.

Potential effects of development activities on transportation and utilities may be either short- or long-term in nature, varying with the type of development. A power plant or an urban community development would be considered long-term, and the demand for transmission line capacity would be virtually permanent, lasting for the economic life of the activity. The effects of coal production and the related demand for rail capacity would vary with market changes. In recent years, coal production has been increasing, and the PRB Coal Review projects that the trend would continue, as shown in tables 4-2 and 4-3. Similarly, the demand for pipeline capacity would vary with market conditions as well as with the rate of depletion of the oil or gas resource.

Potential direct effects of projected development on roads and highways would include increased vehicular traffic and risk of traffic accidents on existing roadways in the PRB Coal Review Task 3 study area from daily travel by workers and their families. Indirect effects would include increased wear and tear on existing roads, additional air emissions from vehicles, additional fugitive dust from roads, noise, increased potential access to remote areas, and an increased risk of vehicle collisions with livestock and wildlife. Direct effects on railroads, pipelines, and transmission lines primarily would include increased demand for capacity to move coal, oil and gas, and electricity from production locations in the study area to markets outside the area. As

described in section 3.15, Kiewit does not anticipate increasing the current average annual coal production rate or hiring additional employees, so no increases in road or rail traffic are anticipated under either action alternative. Indirect effects would include potential impacts of the accumulation of coal dust and fines blowing or sifting from moving, loaded rail cars. The PRB Coal Review Task 3D Report does not discuss the cumulative effects of coal dust resulting from the transport of coal along rail lines.

The socioeconomic analysis conducted as a part of Task 3C of the PRB Coal Review projects a population increase of approximately 48% between 2003 and 2020 in Campbell County under the upper coal production scenario (BLM 2005a). Campbell County accounts for most of the population in the PRB Coal Review Task 3 study area. Based on traffic studies conducted independently of the PRB Coal Review, vehicle miles traveled tend to increase at or above the rate of population growth. Consequently, highway traffic would be expected to increase by at least 48% by 2020. Approximately 60% of the population growth would occur in or near Gillette, which would indicate that the same proportion of traffic would originate in the Gillette area. The remainder of the traffic growth would be dispersed throughout the study area. Under this scenario, the greatest impact on traffic would occur in the Gillette area, where existing traffic volume to capacity ratios are highest. The increased traffic would be expected to cause delays in the Gillette area and might require widening of some streets and roads or other measures to increase traffic capacity. It is anticipated that there would be an increase in the risk of traffic accidents approximately proportional to the increase in traffic. Highway capacity on major routes away from Gillette would be expected to be sufficient to accommodate the growth without substantial constraints.

Existing rail lines, together with proposed upgrades on the joint BNSF and UP line, would be expected to accommodate the projected coal transportation traffic through 2015 (table 4-30). The PRB Coal Review Task 2 Report (BLM 2005b) projects that the proposed DM&E line would be built and operational by 2015 (pending completion of additional environmental analysis), which would add 100 million tons per year in additional shipping capacity for the South Gillette and Wright subregions. A collaborative effort between the National Coal Transportation Association, the mines, and the BNSF and UP Railroads is resulting in measures to reduce coal dust emissions from loaded, moving rail cars.

Table 4-30. PRB Rail Lines Coal Hauling Capacity and Projected Use

Rail Line	2010 Projected			2015 Projected			2020 Projected		
	2010 Capacity	Rail Use Increase ¹		2015 Capacity	Rail Use Increase ¹		2020 Capacity	Rail Use Increase ¹	
	mmtpy	mmtpy	%	mmtpy	mmtpy	%	mmtpy	mmtpy	%
North BNSF	250	62-78	25-31	250	74-104	30-42	250	78-121	31-48
South BNSF and UP	400	349-401	87-100	500	393-439 ²	79-88 ²	500	417-455 ²	83-91 ²
DM&E	0	0	0	-2	-3	-3	-2	-3	-3

mmtpy = million tons per year; BNSF = Burlington Northern Santa Fe; UP = Union Pacific; DM&E = Dakota, Minnesota & Eastern

¹ The range of increase in use shown for each year reflects the increases that are projected for the lower and upper coal production scenarios, respectively.

² The DM&E is assumed to be built and operational by 2015, adding 100 mmtpy of capacity for the mines served by the BNSF & UP south line.

³ The BNSF & UP south figures represent the projected combined traffic and percent capacity on the BNSF & UP south line and the projected DM&E line.

Source: PRB Coal Review Task 3D Report (BLM 2005f)

The Task 2 Report for the PRB Coal Review projected that basin-wide production of CBNG could double by 2020, which would suggest that additional pipelines could be built. One potential additional pipeline (Bison Project) was identified for completion by November 2010. The filing for this project was made with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission on June 2, 2008. Other potential projects are discussed in section 4.1.2.3.1.

An estimated 1,700 MW of new power production capacity is anticipated in the cumulative effects area by 2020. This level of production would require construction of additional transmission lines. It is assumed that new transmission lines would be constructed to connect new power plants to the grid.

4.2.13 Socioeconomics

The cumulative socioeconomic impact analysis focuses on Campbell County, but also considers Converse, Crook, Johnson, Sheridan, and Weston counties as directly affected and Niobrara and Natrona counties as indirectly affected. Recent and projected socioeconomic conditions are described in more detail in the Task 1C and 3C reports for the PRB Coal Review (BLM 2005c and 2005b).

REMI Policy Insight REMI, a professionally recognized regional economic model, was used to develop the cumulative employment and population projections presented below. The version of the REMI model for the PRB Coal Review was comprised of two economic regions: one being Campbell County alone, the second composed of those Wyoming counties bordering Campbell County and linked to its economy by established industrial and consumer trade and by work force commuting patterns. Results for the second region were analyzed to focus on the five counties (Converse, Crook, Johnson, Sheridan, and Weston) that are the most directly linked. Collectively, these five counties are referred to in the PRB Coal Review Task 3C Report (BLM 2005a) as the surrounding counties. Additional analysis was undertaken to translate the population and employment forecasts for each of the surrounding counties into housing needs and to project future school enrollment.

During the 1970s and early 1980s, the PRB emerged as a major coal producing region. Federal coal leasing has been a high profile activity because over 90% of the coal resources in the PRB are federally owned. The surface coal mines that developed during that time are now mature operations that provide a stable economic and social foundation for the region. While energy development has produced periodic surges in population, followed occasionally by population declines in some communities, the growth in domestic energy consumption, coupled with the PRB's vast energy resource base, has resulted in a 50-year growth trend in the region without the severe economic dislocations that have characterized other western U.S. resource booms.

This period of extended energy development has been accompanied by substantial economic changes and benefits, including economic growth, employment opportunity, tax revenue growth, and infrastructure development for local governments, both locally and across Wyoming, funded by tax revenues generated by coal production and other energy resources. At the same time, periods of rapid growth have stressed communities and their social structures, housing resources, and public infrastructure and service systems.

The emergence of the coal and other energy resource development industries in the PRB has had long-term cumulative effects on regional social and economic conditions. In general, Campbell County and the entire PRB region have developed an enhanced capacity to respond to and accommodate growth. The regional coal industry also provides a measure of insulation from dramatic economic and social dislocations. Key cumulative social and economic conditions identified in the PRB Coal Review are described below.

4.2.13.1 Employment and the Economic Base

Energy resource development since 1970 has resulted in substantial economic expansion across the PRB. Total employment expanded by 163% as 40,674 net new jobs were added between 1970 and 2004. The most rapid expansion occurred between 1975 and 1980. After modest growth and a slight decline in the 1980s and early 1990s, employment growth resumed in the late 1990s, led by increases in coal mine employment, including subcontractors, and CBNG development. Across the six-county area, total employment was 65,597 in 2004. Nearly half of the net job gain occurred in Campbell County, where total employment increased from 6,026 jobs in 1970 to 25,921 jobs in 2004. Strong gains also were posted in Sheridan County (9,821 jobs) and Converse County (4,421 jobs).

The economic stimuli associated with the gains in mining and CBNG employment and the long-term population growth triggered secondary job gains in construction, trade, services, and government. In 2004, business and consumer services accounted for 51% of all jobs in the region, while mining and government accounted for 14% and 16% of all jobs, respectively. Farm employment in the region, as a share of total employment, declined from 14% in 1970 to 5.0% in 2004. However, that shift is primarily due to growth in non-farm employment rather than declines in farming, as total farm employment in the PRB recorded a net decline of only 375 jobs, from 3,571 to 3,196 (U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis 2006).

The largest impetus to future growth over the PRB Coal Review study period (2003 to 2020) is expected to occur by 2010. Under the lower production scenario, employment in 2010 related to coal mining, oil and gas production, and oil field services is projected to increase by one-third, or more than 2,300 jobs, as compared to 2003 levels. Many of the jobs gained would be the result of increased oil and gas development. While the number of coal mining jobs would increase, the projected coal mine-related productivity gains would limit increases in the number of mine employees required for operations.

Beyond 2010, total mining industry employment would decline as major infrastructure development (e.g., additional CBNG compression capacity) is completed and the pace of conventional oil and gas drilling decreases. Increases in CBNG production and coal mining employment would occur thereafter, such that total mining employment would approach pre-2010 levels by the end of the forecast period (2020). Under the development scenarios, construction of three new power plants, having a combined capacity of 1,000 MW and a peak work force of approximately 1,550 in 2007–2008, is assumed to occur concurrently with the increases in mining employment. Under the upper production scenario, a second temporary construction work force impact would occur between 2016 and 2020 in conjunction with the construction of an additional 700-MW power plant.

The net effects of these activities, including secondary effects on suppliers, merchants, service firms, state agencies and local government in the region, would be the creation of more than 8,700 new jobs between 2003 and 2010. Of those, more than 5,600 jobs (a 22% increase over 2003) would be based in Campbell County. The pace of economic expansion, at least in terms of jobs, would moderate after 2010. Total employment growth of 2,017 additional jobs is projected in Campbell County between 2010 and 2020, with 1,741 additional jobs projected in the surrounding counties.

However, to achieve the projected levels of energy and mineral development activity through 2010 assumes that industry has access to the necessary equipment, materials, labor, and other vital inputs. Current oil and gas exploration and development across the Rocky Mountain region has absorbed the available inventory of drilling rigs and crews. A lack of access to resources could delay or limit the job gains below the levels projected, even though prospects for such growth remain. Furthermore, competition for equipment, combined with tight labor markets, could negate the productivity gains that underlie the projections, such that the employment and associated impacts do materialize, but are associated with lower levels of activity (e.g., a lengthier construction period for a power plant or fewer new wells drilled each year).

Employment effects associated with the upper coal production scenario, assuming productivity gains in coal mining equivalent to those in the lower coal production scenario, would result in total employment gains of 11,563 jobs by 2010 in the six-county study area, with an additional 3,667 jobs by 2020¹. As compared to the employment projections under the lower coal

¹ The number of jobs in the coal mining industry under the upper production scenario was estimated assuming future productivity gains comparable to those used for the lower production scenario. This approach differs from that described for the upper production scenario in the Task 2 report of the coal study, whereby a 16% higher production would be achieved with a 2.5% increase in workforce. Although that assumption reflects a continuation of historic productivity gains, it may underestimate population and employment growth and related

production scenario, those gains include 2,821 additional jobs in 2010 and 3,214 additional jobs in 2020. Most of the incremental gains would be in Campbell County, further stressing labor markets, housing, and other community resources. Such pressures could delay or affect the development plans of individual firms and operators, such that the projected employment levels would not be realized in the time frames shown. Nonetheless, substantial growth in employment is expected to occur, and even if the projected total employment levels are not realized, substantial social and economic impacts still would be anticipated.

The economic stimuli associated with the projected development also would stimulate increases in employment in other nearby counties beyond the five surrounding counties identified above. However, the potential effects in these areas are not addressed in the PRB Coal Review Task 3C Report because most of the effects would comprise indirect or induced growth that would be limited in scale relative to the size of the respective economies. Furthermore, the economic outlook for those areas is influenced by factors that are beyond the scope of this study, such as the role of the oil and gas support services industry based in Natrona County in supporting energy development in the south-central and southwestern portions of Wyoming.

4.2.13.2 Labor Market Conditions

Labor market conditions in the PRB reflect a generally healthy economy, with average annual county unemployment rates between 2.1% (Campbell) and 3.5% (Weston) in 2006. Statewide and national unemployment rates for the period were 3.2% and 4.6%, respectively (U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics 2007).

Over time, local unemployment levels and rates have reflected the influences of the large, relatively stable employment baseline associated with the region's coal mining industry and the more transitory and variable influences of natural gas development. Prior to the onset of CBNG development in 1989, unemployment in Campbell County fluctuated between 4.8 and 5.3%, slightly above the corresponding statewide averages. Labor demand associated with CBNG development contributed to a decline in unemployment to below 3.0% in the 2001. As the pace of CBNG development stabilized, labor demand eased and unemployment rates climbed to 3.7% in 2003, before again falling to current record lows.

The employment effects identified above indicate substantial pressures on local labor markets. Strong demand for labor would maintain low unemployment, creating upward pressure on wages and salaries. Those influences would stimulate substantial economic migration into Campbell County, causing impacts on population, housing demand, and other economic and social conditions. Similar influences would occur in surrounding counties, although the implications are less severe because the scale of effects would be smaller and would be distributed over multiple communities and service providers.

socioeconomic effects if the production levels are achieved but productivity lags. Using the productivity gains from the lower production scenario provides a more conservative perspective on potential long-term population growth for purposes of the cumulative analysis.

4.2.13.3 Personal Income

A benefit associated with energy resource development, whether it is mineral mining or oil and gas development, is local wages and salaries that are among the highest in the state. Personal income registered strong gains across the region, but especially in Campbell County, during the late 1970s and early 1980s. In 1981, per capita personal income in Campbell County was \$17,520, compared to the national average of \$11,280 and the statewide average of \$12,879. Personal income growth was tempered by several years of economic stagnation during the late 1980s. Renewed economic vitality since then resulted in per capita personal income in Campbell County reaching \$33,388 in 2004. Those gains notwithstanding, per capita income among Campbell County's residents was below statewide and national norms, as well as that for Sheridan (\$35,716) County. When measured on a median household or family income basis in the 2000 census, Campbell County led statewide, national, and other counties in the PRB by considerable margins. That pattern has been maintained due to the strong economic growth in the region; in 2006, the median household income in Campbell County was \$60,800 compared to a statewide median of \$43,785 and national median of \$44,374. Median household incomes for the other five PRB counties ranged from \$40,195 to \$46,883 (U.S. Census Bureau 2006a).

In terms of total personal income, Campbell County led the six-county region with \$1.22 billion in 2004. Sheridan County residents recorded aggregate personal income of \$972 million in 2004. Total personal income in the other counties was substantially lower, ranging from \$193 million in Crook County to \$389 million in Converse County.

Personal incomes in the region would increase over the period 2007–2020, both in aggregate and on a per capita basis, in conjunction with the economic outlooks foreshadowed by the projected development scenarios. In 2004, total personal income in the six-county area was \$3.24 billion. Under the lower production scenario, total personal income would more than double to \$7.57 billion in 2020 (in nominal dollars). The upper production scenario would generate an additional \$266 million per year in Campbell County and an additional \$35 to \$40 million per year in the surrounding counties by 2020. Annual per capita incomes are projected to increase by approximately 27% (in real terms) across the region between 2003 and 2020. Households with one or more workers employed directly in the energy industry, associated service firms, and the construction industry likely would realize larger shares of the gains (BLM 2005a).

4.2.13.4 Population and Demographics

Population change over time is perhaps the single best indicator of cumulative social and economic change in the PRB. Campbell County was not among the original 13 counties when Wyoming was admitted to statehood, but was carved from Weston and Crook Counties in 1911. Campbell County's 1920 population of 5,233 ranked it seventeenth among Wyoming's counties. Forty years later and prior to the onset of coal development in the region, Campbell County ranked eighteenth among Wyoming's counties in terms of population, with a 5,861 residents. Neighboring Converse, Sheridan, and Weston counties had larger populations.

4.0 Cumulative Environmental Consequences

By 1980, Campbell County’s population had increased by more than 300%, to 24,367, seventh among Wyoming’s counties. Energy development contributed to population growth in Sheridan, Converse, Johnson, and Crook counties during that period. Weston County recorded a population decline during the period; however, the combined population of the PRB climbed from 49,311 in 1960 to 82,598 in 1980.

Annual coal production in the PRB has increased by nearly 560% since 1980, accompanied by expanded mine service and rail transportation capacity, stimulating further growth. The impetus for growth in local employment was tempered by substantial productivity increases in the mining industry, coupled with declining production of other energy resources. Consequently, the region’s population gained a relatively modest 11%, 9,318 residents, between 1980 and 2000, reaching 91,916. Campbell County registered a net gain of 9,331 residents during that period, raising its total population to 33,698 in 2000, fourth highest in the state. Across the PRB, the loss of about 2,000 residents in Converse County was offset by modest gains in the other four counties (U.S. Census Bureau 2001).


More recently, the PRB has seen renewed population growth, primarily linked to CBNG development. Population estimates for 2006 indicate a total regional population of 100,504, a 9.3% increase over the 2000 census population. Gains were reported for all six counties, ranging from 118 persons in Weston County to 5,236 persons in Campbell County (table 4-31).

Table 4-31. Recent and Projected PRB Population

Year	Campbell County	Converse County	Crook County	Johnson County	Sheridan County	Weston County	Six County PRB Total
CENSUS							
2000	33,698	12,104	5,895	7,108	26,606	6,642	92,053
2003	36,438	12,314	5,986	7,554	27,115	6,671	95,078
2007	40,433	12,868	6,284	8,142	27,998	6,854	102,579
LOWER COAL PRODUCTION SCENARIO							
2010	45,925	13,103	6,542	8,389	28,459	7,108	109,526
2015	48,905	13,671	6,759	8,867	30,016	7,174	115,392
2020	50,995	14,193	6,989	9,326	31,467	7,208	120,178
UPPER COAL PRODUCTION SCENARIO							
2010	47,662	13,160	6,570	8,424	28,579	7,137	111,532
2015	51,558	13,763	6,802	8,924	30,214	7,219	118,480
2020	54,943	14,313	7,045	9,403	31,733	7,266	124,703

Source: U.S. Census Bureau (2007-historical data) and PRB Coal Review Task 3C Report (BLM 2005a)

The magnitude and timing of projected employment changes from 2003-2020 under either coal production scenario would trigger corresponding effects to population across the PRB, particularly in Campbell County (figure 4-3).



Source: PRB Coal Review Task 3C Report (BLM 2005f)

No warranty is made by the Bureau of Land Management for the use of the data for purposes not intended by BLM.

Figure 4-3
Projected Campbell County Population and Employment to 2020

Under the lower coal production scenario, Campbell County's population is projected to increase by more than 14,550 residents between 2003 and 2020, nearly 9,500 of which are anticipated by 2010; Kiewit does not anticipate any new hiring under either action alternative. Growth over the next three years will maintain pressures on housing and other community resources. The projected energy and mineral development in the lower coal production scenario would also result in substantial population growth elsewhere in the PRB, with Sheridan, Johnson, and Converse counties projected to gain substantial population. Population growth, like employment growth, would moderate after 2010. Projected population growth (compounded annual growth rate) between 2003 and 2020 ranges from 0.5% in Weston County to 2.0% in Campbell County. In absolute terms, the net change ranges from 537 additional residents in Weston County to a gain of 14,557 residents in Campbell County. The total population of the six-county study area is projected to climb to 120,178 in 2020, a 1.3% compounded annual growth rate.

As with employment, changing development conditions could result in actual population growth varying from projected growth. If project schedules or levels of development vary from the projected levels, corresponding effects on population growth could result (e.g., lower growth). Population demographics could also change due to migration and commuting, with more immigrating construction workers being single-status, rather than accompanied by families. Another possibility is that the spatial distribution of population growth could shift as a result of housing or labor constraints, such that less growth would occur in Gillette and Campbell County, and more growth would occur elsewhere.

Projected population growth through 2020 under the upper coal production scenario is approximately 19% higher than under the lower coal production scenario (28,625 compared to 24,100, with the six-county population reaching 124,703 by 2020). Much of the incremental population growth would occur by 2010 in Campbell County, and in particular in and near Gillette.

Community population growth under the upper coal production scenario generally would mirror growth under the lower coal production scenario. The growth would be higher in Wright, Douglas, and Newcastle due to the effects of higher coal production, coal transportation, and power generation concentrated in the southern portion of Campbell County.

4.2.13.5 Housing

While the population grew by 55% in the 1970s, the housing stock in the study area grew by almost 78%. Housing growth was especially rapid during the 1970s in Campbell County, where population grew by 88% and the housing stock grew by 140%. The expansion in housing supply, combined with the slowdown in the rate of population growth, produced double-digit vacancy rates for rental housing in the late 1980s and early 1990s. After growth resumed in the mid-1990s, most county-level vacancy rates for ownership units were at or below the state levels in 2000. Vacancy rates for rental units declined even more sharply. Vacancy rates have fallen even more as a result of recent growth, with current rates below 1.5% in five of the six-counties, and that in Johnson County at only 2.8% (Table 4-32).

Table 4-32. Rental Housing Vacancy Rates

Year	Campbell County	Converse County	Crook County	Johnson County	Sheridan County	Weston County	Wyoming
2004 (4th quarter)	2.8%	8.3%	10.4%	2.1%	4.5%	5.0%	4.8%
2006 (4th quarter)	0.4%	1.4%	1.0%	2.8%	0.5%	0.0%	2.4%

Source: Wyoming Housing Database Partnership (2007)

In 2000, the housing inventory in the six-county study area was 41,203 units (table 4-33). Total housing inventory had expanded to 43,363 units in 2005, a net addition of 2,160 since 2000. However, new construction has not kept pace with population growth, resulting in tighter market conditions in terms of availability, and higher prices.

Table 4-33. Total Housing Stock in 2000 and 2005

Year	Campbell County	Converse County	Crook County	Johnson County	Sheridan County	Weston County	Six-county PRB Region
2000	13,288	5,669	2,935	3,503	12,577	3,231	41,203
2005	14,085	5,852	3,132	3,694	13,283	3,317	43,363
Change	797	183	197	191	706	86	2,160

Source: U.S. Census Bureau (2006b)

In 2005, the average sales price of homes in the study area varied from \$80,303 in Weston County to \$186,095 in Sheridan County. The average home price statewide in 2006 was \$178,183 (Wyoming Housing Database Partnership 2007). In addition to Sheridan County, Campbell (\$185,874) and Johnson (\$180,209) counties also had average home sale prices above the statewide average in 2006. The average sales price in Converse County was \$149,096, 17% below the statewide average.

Monthly costs for rental housing in the PRB, measured in the fourth quarter of 2006, were highest in Campbell County (table 4-34).

Table 4-34. Monthly Housing Rents in 2006¹ in the PRB Study Area and Percent Change from 2004

County	Apartments		Mobile Home Lots		Houses		Mobile Homes on a Lot	
	Rent	Change	Rent	Change	Rent	Change	Rent	Change
Campbell	\$697	25.8%	\$283	22.0%	\$975	23.0%	\$758	20.5%
Converse	\$515	31.4%	\$152	1.3%	\$545	2.8%	\$452	22.5%
Crook	\$391	17.4%	\$125	5.9%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Johnson	\$477	-5.4%	\$170	16.4%	\$700	15.3%	\$518	5.5%
Sheridan	\$571	14.0%	\$285	4.4%	\$857	27.9%	\$650	26.7%
Weston	\$459	47.1%	\$119	17.8%	\$567	36.3%	\$505	27.5%
Wyoming	\$567	14.1%	\$225	15.4%	\$782	13.0%	\$561	15.2%

NA = information not available due to insufficient sample size


¹ Data are for the fourth quarter of 2006. Change is the percent change since fourth quarter of 2004.

Source: Wyoming Department of Administration and Information (2006)

Temporary housing resources are available in the PRB in the form of hotel-motel rooms, private and public campgrounds, and vacant spaces in mobile home parks. In all, there are more than 70 lodging establishments with a total of more than 2,500 rooms. The temporary housing available, along with apartments, townhouses, and mobile home spaces in Gillette, Wright and Douglas, have accommodated temporary housing needs associated with natural resource and energy projects in the past.

Both projected coal production scenarios indicate a strong demand for housing across the six-county study area through 2020. Net housing requirements under the lower coal production scenario are for approximately 9,110 units through 2020, a 21% increase above the 2006 existing inventory (figure 4-4). New housing requirements under the upper coal production scenario are estimated at 10,900 units, a 25% increase compared to the 2006 inventory and 1,790 units more than for the lower coal production scenario. Approximately 60% of the overall demand for new housing through 2010 would be in Campbell County.

A substantial portion of the near-term housing demand in Campbell County would be associated with the assumed concurrent construction of three power plants. If that occurs, one or more project sponsors may be required by the Wyoming Industrial Siting Administration to pro-actively provide housing (e.g., a construction camp for single-status workers). Such actions could temper the needs for more housing; however, the remaining needs would be substantial, straining public and private sector residential development capacity. Although smaller in scale than those in Campbell County, housing demands in the surrounding counties may also strain the capabilities of the residential construction sector to respond. Furthermore, residential contractors would be competing for available labor, contributing to the population growth and housing demand, and fueling increases in construction costs and housing prices.



No warranty is made by the Bureau of Land Management for the use of the data for purposes not intended by BLM.

Figure 4-4
 Projected Housing Demand in the PRB Study Area under the Lower Coal Production Scenario

The relative scale of the housing needs can be evaluated in comparison to past growth in the study area. One benchmark for comparison is the rapid growth that occurred in the PRB in the 1970s. During that decade, the number of housing units in the six-county study area rose by approximately 14,900 units, approximately 1,500 units per year on average compared to the 850 to 975 new units per year projected under the upper and lower coal production scenarios through 2010. The rapid pace of development in the 1970s coincided with a period of economic expansion and strained the region's construction trade and building supply industries. Although the underlying economies of the region are now larger, the projected needs would tax the ability of communities to respond. Signs of strain are apparent in Gillette and could surface elsewhere as greater housing needs arise in the remaining counties of the six-county study area under the low coal production scenario.

Projected housing demands under either coal production scenario, although lower than what Campbell County and the region experienced in the "boom" years of the 1970s, would exert substantial pressure on housing markets, prices, and the real estate development and construction industries, all at a time when demand for labor and other resources would be high overall.

4.2.13.6 Public Education

There are 10 school districts in the six-county PRB study area, ranging in size from Campbell County School District (CCSD) #1 with 7,337 students in the 2005 school year to Sheridan County School District # 3 (based in Clearmont, Wyoming) with fewer than 100 students. CCSD #1, based in Gillette, and Converse #1 in Douglas, serve the primary energy and resource development region.

Public school enrollment trends mirrored population trends during the period of rapid population growth. District-wide enrollment in Campbell County grew by more than 4,600 students (131%) between 1975 and 1985. Enrollment increased in all districts in Converse and Sheridan counties as well. Enrollment in CCSD #1 subsequently peaked, but remained near record high levels for nearly a decade. Elsewhere in the region enrollments generally declined with a combined enrollment of 9,525 in the other study area districts in 2005, the lowest since 1975 (Wyoming Department of Education 2006). Recent natural gas and mining development has tempered, but not reversed, the trend of declining school enrollments across the region.

Communities across the PRB study area would see population growth due to economic migration from 2003 to 2020; however, the effects of such migration on public school enrollments would vary. As the demographics of the population change, school districts in the PRB would be affected by new trends. In some counties, the size of the school-age population (generally aged five to 17 years) may even trend in the opposite direction of total population in the short-term due to underlying demographics of the established resident population.

The demographic projections for the two coal production scenarios forecast growth in elementary school enrollments in Campbell County through 2010 and after 2010 for most PRB school districts. Projected enrollments in CCSD #1 would be approximately 10% higher by 2020 under the upper coal production scenario, with those in the surrounding districts about 1%


higher. However, several districts still may experience enrollment levels in 2020 below current levels, as growth from 2010 to 2020 would not offset recent declines or those projected to occur before 2010.

Under the lower coal production scenario, Campbell County would experience an increase of 1,587 students, or 22% above recent levels, in school enrollment through 2020. However, the net impact on CCSD #1 would be composed of two trends; a substantial increase in grades K-8 but only small increases in grades 9-12 (figure 4-5). School districts in the surrounding counties are projected to experience declining elementary and middle school enrollments through 2010 and declining high school enrollments through 2015. Thereafter, growth and the associated influences on demographics would generate renewed enrollment growth, particularly in the elementary grades in Johnson, Sheridan, and Converse counties.

Under either scenario, projected enrollments may cause short-term school capacity shortages, depending on the specific grade levels and residential locations of the additional students. Under the Wyoming School Facilities Commission planning guidelines, impacted school districts need to accommodate minor capacity shortages by using temporary facilities such as portable classrooms. For larger and more long-term increases, the Commission's policy is to fund capital expansion where warranted by projections developed during updates of school districts' five-year plans. The approved five-year plan for CCSD #1 has a \$57.4 million budget covering construction of several new schools and numerous major maintenance and facility upgrade projects. The approved five-year plans for the other school districts have combined cost of \$163 million. Capital investment in public education facilities has been a statewide priority in Wyoming for the past decade, with taxes and royalties on mineral and energy resources the primary source of program funding (Wyoming School Facilities Commission 2007 and Wyoming Consensus Revenue Estimating Group 2007).

4.2.13.7 Facilities and Services

The types and levels of facilities and services provided by local governments reflect service demand, revenue availability, and community values regarding appropriate services and service standards. As with most socioeconomic characteristics, the level and availability of local government facilities and services varies by county and community across the PRB. There are literally several hundred separate service providers in the region. Although virtually all local government facilities and services are affected by energy development and the demand related thereto, the critical facilities and services include municipal water and sewer systems, law enforcement at the county level, and hospitals. A comprehensive assessment of facilities and services is beyond the scope of the PRB Coal Review. However, an initial screening revealed no critical needs or shortfalls and indicated that most providers are engaged in an ongoing long-term process to maintain and improve facilities and services to meet community needs and to comply with various regulations and standards.



No warranty is made by the Bureau of Land Management for the use of the data for purposes not intended by BLM.

Figure 4-5
 Projected School Enrollment to 2020 under the Lower Coal Production Scenario

The PRB Coal Review socioeconomic analysis focuses on water supply and wastewater systems (two essential services that are costly and have the longest lead times to develop) and law enforcement, emergency response, and road maintenance (three services that typically are most affected by energy development).

Water supply and wastewater systems in most communities have the capacity to accommodate the cumulative population growth associated with either projected coal production scenario through 2020, assuming ongoing or planned improvements are completed. In Gillette, there may be a timing issue with planned water supply system expansions, as completion of planned improvements would occur when substantial growth is anticipated under both projected coal production scenarios. Consequently, Gillette may experience water shortages in the summer months for several years, particularly if growth follows that under the upper coal production scenario. Douglas is looking to add water treatment capacity to provide additional capacity and management flexibility to address needs during times of drought.

The ability to provide desired levels of services to the projected energy-related population and development is less clear in Campbell County, Gillette, Wright, and outlying rural communities. Campbell County and its communities would experience a 25% increase in population between 2003 and 2010 under the lower coal production scenario and 30% under the upper coal production scenario.

Growth rates and the resultant facility and service demand in other counties within the study area would be substantially less during the 2003 to 2010 period under either scenario; all communities other than Johnson County and Buffalo would grow substantially less than 10% during the period. The populations of Johnson County and Buffalo would increase 10% by 2010, driven primarily by CBNG development.

Growth rates and resultant increases in service demands would slow substantially during both the 2011 to 2015 and 2016 to 2020 periods under either projected coal production scenario. In most communities except Sheridan County and the city of Sheridan, there would be little difference in population growth and service demand between the two scenarios.

4.2.13.8 Fiscal Conditions

Federal mineral royalties and state and local taxes levied on coal and other mineral production are vitally important sources of public revenue in Wyoming. Taxes, fees, and charges levied on real estate improvements, retail trade, and other economic activity supported by energy development provide additional revenues to support public facilities and services. These revenues benefit not only those jurisdictions within which the production or activity occurs, but also the federal treasury, state coffers, school districts, and local governments across the state through revenue-sharing and intergovernmental transfer mechanisms.

Coal and other minerals produced in Wyoming, regardless of ownership, are subject to ad valorem taxation by local taxing entities and a statewide levy to support public education.

Statewide ad valorem taxable valuation on coal production in 2005 was \$2,280.1 million. Of that total, 88% was based on production in the PRB.

The total assessed valuation of Campbell County, boosted by recent increases in CBNG production, was \$4,264 million in 2006. Valuations on aggregate mineral production accounted for 87% of that total. Because Campbell County has been the primary beneficiary of mineral production gains over the past three decades and the recent gains tied to CBNG, the county's assessed valuation in 2006 was nearly 38 times that of Weston County (\$112.5 million) and 31 times that of Crook County (\$137.2 million). The 2006 valuation of 2005 coal production in Campbell County was \$1,995.3 million (Wyoming Department of Revenue 2006).

Wyoming levies a severance tax on coal and many other minerals produced in the state. The severance tax rate, levied on the value of production, has varied from 1.0% to 10.5% over time. The current rate of 7.0% was established in 1992. Cumulative statewide severance tax proceeds on coal production since 1970 exceed \$2.8 billion. Cumulative severance tax revenues on coal produced in Campbell County total \$1.89 billion. Cumulative severance tax revenues for the corresponding period total \$96.5 million from Converse County, \$60.5 million from Sheridan County, and \$758.0 million from the remainder of the state (Wyoming Consensus Revenue Estimating Group 2007; Wyoming Department of Revenue 2006).

Producers pay a 12.5% royalty to the federal treasury on the value of all surface coal production from federal leases. Total federal mineral royalties of nearly \$3.3 billion have been paid on coal produced in Wyoming since 1970, approximately half of which is returned to the state. Estimated 2005 mineral royalties of about \$377 million were paid on federal coal produced in the PRB (Minerals Management Service 2006).

At the foundation of the mineral development revenue projections for the period 2003 to 2020 are projected levels of future energy and mineral resource production. The projected total value of annual mineral production under the lower coal production scenario would climb by \$3.49 billion (2004 dollars) over 2003 levels, reaching \$8.54 billion by 2020, a 69% increase over the 2003 value. The aggregate value of energy and mineral resource production under the upper coal production scenario would increase to \$9.21 billion in 2020. The incremental difference, compared to the value under the lower coal production scenario, would be \$670 million per year, all of which represents the value of higher annual coal output.

The overwhelming majority of future mineral production value is anticipated to be in Campbell County. Over time, the future value of production in Sheridan and Johnson counties would climb. Total annual mineral production value by 2020 is projected to reach \$6.37 billion in Campbell County and \$2.17 billion in the surrounding counties. Between 2005 and 2020, total royalty and tax receipts derived from the key selected sources range between \$21.1 and \$22.6 billion for the lower and upper coal production scenarios, respectively. Receipts derived from coal production would account for the majority of the totals under either scenario, with federal mineral royalties on coal at \$4.9 to \$5.7 billion being the single largest source. Severance taxes, ranging from \$6.3 to \$6.7 billion, also would accrue to the state (tables 4-35 and 4-36).

The federal and state governments also benefit from coal lease bonus bids derived from future coal leasing. Bonus bids have risen over time, with successful bids for recent sales ranging from 30 cents per ton to 97 cents per ton. There is no guarantee of that trend continuing.

Considerable uncertainty also exists with respect to the timing and scale of future leases, although BLM currently has pending applications for more than four billion tons of federal coal, including this application. The state receives 50% of the bonus bid revenue.

Table 4-35. Summary of Mineral Development Tax Revenues Associated with Energy Resource Production under the Lower Coal Production Scenario (million \$)

Industry and Taxes	2005-2010	2011-2015	2016-2020	Total
Coal ¹	\$3,164.8	\$3,178.9	\$3,756.3	\$10,100.0
CBNG	\$2,915.2	\$3,076.4	\$3,288.7	\$9,280.3
Conventional Oil and Gas	\$568.5	\$576.4	\$614.0	\$1,759.0
Totals	\$6,648.5	\$6,831.7	\$7,659.0	\$21,139.3
Severance Tax	\$1,995.9	\$2,012.4	\$2,249.3	\$6,257.6
Federal Mineral Royalties	\$2,754.1	\$2,839.4	\$3,166.3	\$8,759.8
State Mineral Royalties	\$233.5	\$225.8	\$251.4	\$710.7
Ad Valorem Tax (Counties)	\$417.6	\$443.0	\$502.8	\$1,363.3
Ad Valorem Tax (Schools)	\$1,247.5	\$1,311.1	\$1,489.3	\$4,047.9
Totals	\$6,648.6	\$6,831.7	\$7,659.1	\$21,139.3

CBNG = coal bed natural gas

¹ Does not include coal lease bonus bids due to the uncertainty regarding timing.

Source: PRB Coal Review Task 3C Report (BLM 2005a)

Taxes and mineral royalties levied on energy and mineral resource production accruing to the state are disbursed to the Permanent Water Development Trust Fund, Wyoming School Foundation and Capital Facilities funds, capital construction fund for state and local government facilities, and other programs according to a legislatively-approved formula. Through these funds, the revenues derived from resource development benefit the entire state, not just agencies, businesses, and residents of the PRB.

County governments and school districts would realize benefits from future energy and mineral resource development in the form of ad valorem taxes. Such taxes, estimated on the basis of future coal, oil, and natural gas production, are estimated to range between \$5.4 billion and \$5.7 billion through 2020. Those sums do not include future property taxes levied on the new power plants, expanded rail facilities, or new residential and commercial development associated with future growth, or sales and use taxes levied on consumer and some industrial purchases. These latter revenues are not estimated in this study but would be substantially lower than those on resource production.

Table 4-36. Summary of Mineral Development Tax Revenues Associated with Energy Resource Production under the Upper Coal Production Scenario (million \$)

Industry and Taxes	2005-2010	2011-2015	2016-2020	Total ¹
Coal ¹	\$3,538.0	\$3,703.0	\$4,350.0	\$11,591.0
CBNG	\$2,915.2	\$3,076.4	\$3,288.7	\$9,280.3
Conventional Oil and Gas	\$568.5	\$576.4	\$614.0	\$1,759.0
Totals	\$7,021.7	\$7,355.8	\$8,252.7	\$22,630.3
Severance Tax	\$2,104.1	\$2,159.0	\$2,415.4	\$6,678.5
Federal Mineral Royalties	\$2,946.3	\$3,099.9	\$3,461.4	\$9,507.6
State Mineral Royalties	\$233.5	\$225.8	\$251.4	\$710.7
Ad Valorem Tax (Counties)	\$435.8	\$472.0	\$535.0	\$1,442.8
Ad Valorem Tax (Schools)	\$1,302.3	\$1,398.9	\$1,589.8	\$4,291.0
Totals	\$7,022.0	\$7,355.6	\$8,253.0	\$22,630.6

CBNG = coal bed natural gas

¹ Does not include coal lease bonus bids due to the uncertainty regarding timing.

Source: PRB Coal Review Task 3C Report (BLM 2005a)

Local governments would benefit from property taxes on new development as well as from sales and use taxes on taxable sales within their boundaries. Such revenues are not estimated for this study due to the large number of jurisdictions and other analytical considerations.

4.2.13.9 Social Setting

The past 30 years have seen sweeping social change in the U.S. and throughout much of the world. But in addition to the broad forces that have driven social change in the U.S. as a whole, social conditions in some PRB communities have been substantially influenced by energy development. Factors that have affected social conditions in the PRB include industrial and natural resource development, economic and demographic change, housing and public infrastructure development, and institutional change at the local and state government levels.

One of the key drivers of social change in the PRB has been energy-related population growth. When the first oil boom occurred in the late 1950s, Campbell County was a relatively stable, sparsely-populated rural county. Like many places in Wyoming and throughout the rural west, Campbell County was a small, relatively homogeneous ranching community (ROMCOE 1982). The oil booms of the 1950s and 1960s brought an influx of new people. Coal mine development, continued oil and gas drilling, and power plant construction precipitated another round of growth. In all, Campbell County population grew by almost 600% between 1950 and 2000.

On the one hand, this population growth, combined with a robust economy, generated a variety of positive social effects. Financial and technical resources poured into the community as it mobilized to accommodate the new population. Job opportunities were created in the construction industry, as the community responded to demands for housing, public facilities, and retail goods and services. The large and rapid influx of new residents created energy, vitality,

and a sense of economic optimism about the community. Where economic advancement had been limited before the boom, there now was opportunity (Gardiner 1985).

On the other hand, it is likely that many residents had mixed feelings about these changes (Heinecke 1985). New residents brought new ideas, new ways of doing things, new preferences for goods and services, and new demands for government services. Some long-time residents, particularly those who were not directly participating in the economic benefits of energy development, viewed these changes as negative.

Today, almost any organization, committee, or government body is made up of a cross-section of energy employees, ranchers, and other community members whose tenure in the community may be long or short (Bigelow pers. comm., Spencer pers. comm.). Moreover, because of the turnover in the energy companies, the community has become accustomed to newcomers.

Cumulative energy development in the PRB through the year 2020 has the potential to generate both beneficial and adverse effects on community social conditions. Social effects of development activities in the PRB would vary from county to county and community to community under the coal production scenarios developed for this study, based on the existing social setting and the type of development that would occur.

Beneficial social effects would be associated with an expanding economy and employment opportunities associated with energy development and resulting improvements in living standards for those employed in energy-related industries. Adverse social effects could occur as a result of conflicts over land use and environmental values. Negative social effects also could occur if the pace of growth exceeds the abilities of affected communities to accommodate energy-related employees and their families with housing and community services.

In the PRB, social conditions in Campbell County, the city of Gillette, and the town of Wright are most likely to be affected because the county would host much of the cumulative energy development workforce, and the county and its municipalities would receive the largest increments in population growth. Campbell County and its municipalities have a long history of energy development, and they have developed infrastructure and management systems to plan for and manage growth; consequently, major adverse social effects would not be anticipated. However, under either scenario, the county and the two municipalities may face challenges in providing adequate housing and expanding community services in anticipation of population growth through 2010, particularly if several power plant and coal mine construction projects occur simultaneously. As municipalities receive only sales and use tax revenues directly from development and purchases made within their boundaries, Gillette and Wright could face challenges in securing the necessary funding to improve municipal facilities and services. Housing shortages and limitations in public services could contribute to adverse community social effects in these communities.

Many of the people who would immigrate to Campbell County for energy-related jobs are likely to share characteristics with much of the current population; therefore, few barriers to social integration are anticipated.

Social effects on other communities in the PRB are likely to be minimal to moderate. Energy-related population growth is anticipated to be moderate in other communities. Sheridan County, also familiar with coal mining, is the only other county anticipated to host a major construction project under the development assumptions used for either projected coal production scenario. Converse, Weston, and Crook counties could experience spillover growth from projects in Campbell County.

Johnson, Sheridan, and Campbell counties could experience continued conflict over split estate and water issues associated with CBNG development. The pace and scale of energy development across the PRB is likely to continue to generate social and political conflict over environmental issues under either coal production scenario.

4.2.14 Coal Mining and Coal-Fired Power Plant Related Emissions and By-Products

As discussed in chapter 1, the BLM does not authorize mining by issuing a lease for federal coal, but the impacts of mining the coal are considered in this EIS because it is a logical consequence of issuing a maintenance lease to an existing mine. The use of the coal after it is mined is also not determined at the time of leasing; however, almost all of the coal that is being mined in the Wyoming PRB is being used by coal-fired power plants to generate electricity. As a result, a discussion of emissions and by-products that are generated by burning coal to produce electricity is included in this section.

As discussed in chapter 2, under the currently approved mining plan, which represents Alternative 1 (No Action Alternative), from 2009 on, the Buckskin Mine would be able to produce coal at an average production level of 25 million tons per year for another 14 years. Under the Proposed Action and Alternative, production would continue at an average of 25 million tons per year for two years and up to six years, respectively (table 2-5).

Section 3.18.2 contains estimates of GHG emissions resulting from the mining operations at the Buckskin Mine under the Proposed Action and alternatives.

4.2.14.1 Global Climate Change and Greenhouse Gas Emission

Ongoing scientific research has identified the potential impacts of anthropogenic (human-made) GHG emissions and changes in biological carbon sequestration due to land management activities on global climate. Through complex interactions on a regional and global scale, these changes cause a net warming effect of the atmosphere, primarily by decreasing the amount of heat radiated by the earth back into space. Although GHG levels have varied for millennia, recent industrialization and burning of fossil carbon sources have caused CO₂Eq concentrations to increase dramatically and are likely to contribute to overall global climatic changes. As with any field of scientific study, there are uncertainties associated with the science of climate change. This does not imply that scientists do not have confidence in many aspects of climate change science. Some aspects of the science are known with virtual certainty, because they are based on well-known physical laws and documents trends (EPA 2008a). Based on the coal- and oil and

gas-related development in the PRB study area, the potential exists for future development of geologic carbon sequestration in the area. However, no commercial projects specifically targeted at capturing and geologic sequestering carbon have been identified at this time. Therefore, carbon sequestration has been eliminated from further consideration in this study.

Climatic change analyses are comprised of several factors, including GHG emissions, land use management practices, and the albedo effect (the cycle of increased temperature of the environment resulting from increased absorption of normally reflected light). In chapter 3, the effects of recent global climate change on the environment in the area of the Proposed Action have been identified. It is assumed that existing land and resource conditions within the analysis area have been and would continue to be affected by climate change under all alternatives. Existing climate forecast models are not at a high enough resolution sufficient to estimate potential impacts of climate change within the Powder River Basin. Reference has been made to national and regional data that is available, including the recent comprehensive report, *The Effects of Climate Change on Agriculture, Land Resources, Water Resources and Biodiversity in the United States* (U.S. Climate Change Science Program 2008).

Tools necessary to quantify incremental climatic changes associated with those factors for the projected development activities in the PRB are unavailable. Consequently, impact assessments of effects of specific anthropogenic activities cannot be performed. Additionally, specific levels of significance have not been established. Therefore, climate change analysis in this EIS is limited to accounting for and disclosing factors that contribute to climate change. To the extent that emission data were available or could be inferred from representative type data, potential GHG emissions that could result from development of the proposed LBA have been identified, as well as emissions that would result from selection of the no action alternative.

In the following analysis evaluates the contribution of the action alternatives to cumulative effects on the environment of historic and projected development activity. This analysis assumes that coal mining would proceed in accordance with permit conditions, and that the coal would be sold in response to forecasts of demand for the coal. Historically these users have been coal-fired power plants that generate electricity in the U.S., although there is potential for sales outside the U.S. The coal market is open and competitive, and users can buy from the most cost-effective suppliers to meet their needs. The BLM does not determine the destination of this coal, and the use of the coal is determined by the coal consumer. The power plants where this coal has been used are throughout the U.S., and they have a variety of coal combustion technologies and emission controls. All these utility companies are licensed by the appropriate regulatory authorities and operate under necessary permit requirements in compliance with regulations.

Assuming that all coal produced would be burned to generate electricity, the amount of GHG emissions that could be attributed to coal production that could result from leasing of the proposed LBA, as well as from the forecast coal production from all coal mines in the Wyoming PRB has been estimated. This was done by relating the portion of coal mined to the total emission of GHG from all coal mined in the U.S. It is assumed that all PRB coal was used for coal fired electric generation as part of the total U.S. use of coal for electric generation. This

gives an upper estimate of the GHG resulting from use of the coal that would be produced from the proposed LBA, and for forecast total PRB coal production. Specific levels of significance have not been established for GHG emissions, and given the state of the science; it is not yet possible to associate specific actions with the specific climate impacts. Since tools necessary to quantify incremental climatic changes associated with these GHG emissions are presently unavailable, the analysis cannot reach conclusions as to the magnitude or significance of the emissions on climate change. The impacts of climate change represent the cumulative aggregation of all worldwide GHG emissions, land use management practices, and the albedo effect. The analysis does provide a meaningful context and measure of the relative significance of coal use from the proposed LBA and overall projected PRB coal production on total GHG emissions.

The National Assessment of the Potential Consequences of Climate Variability and Change, an interagency effort initiated by Congress under the Global Change Research Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-606), has confirmed that climate change is having impacts on some natural resources that the Department of the Interior has the responsibility to manage and protect (U.S. Department of the Interior 2001). The Synthesis Report, the final part of the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) (available online at <http://www.ipcc.ch>), was released in preliminary form on November 17, 2007. The Synthesis Report (Bernstein et al. 2007) summarizes the results of the assessment carried out by the three working groups of the IPCC. Observations and projections addressed in the report include:

- “Warming of the climate system is unequivocal, as is now evident from observations of increases in global average air and ocean temperature, widespread melting of snow and ice, and rising global average sea level.”
- “Observational evidence from all continents and most oceans show that many natural systems are being affected by regional climate changes, particularly temperature increases.”

From 1850 to present, historic trend data show an increase of 1°C (1.8°F) in global mean temperature. The increase has not been linear over time, and there have been extended periods (decades) where temperature has dropped or stayed constant. This historic warming over that same period has caused sea levels to rise by about 20 centimeters (7.9 inches) on average and has resulted in changes in climate patterns on land. These changes are not uniform. In some areas near the equator, temperatures have cooled by about 5°C (41°F), while closer to the poles, temperatures have risen by equal amounts (Hansen and Lebedeff 1987). In northern latitudes (above 24° N), temperature increases of nearly 1.2°C (2.1°F) have been documented since 1900. Temperature changes can result in shifts of weather patterns (rainfall and winds) which may affect vegetation and habitat. The importance of temperature change and changes in precipitation in species migration and change is being investigated. It is important to note that GHGs will have a sustained climatic impact over different spatial and temporal scales (EPA 2008a).

Variability in radiation output may play a role in global climate change, though the magnitude of the influence of increased sun activity is not well understood. Physical aspects of the sun, like

sunspots and variability in radiation output vary over time. The intensity of energy from the sun has varied through time and has resulted in global temperature variation.

Human population doubled to two billion from the period 1780 to 1930, and then doubled again by 1974. The atmospheric concentrations of GHGs have increased as human populations have increased. More land and resources were used to provide for the needs of these populations. As human activities have increased, carbon-based fuels have been used to provide for those additional energy needs. Forests and vegetation were cleared in order to provide for food production and human use.

CO₂, methane, water vapor, ozone, and N₂O are recognized as the major GHGs, although there are other gases that are considered GHGs. Through complex interactions on a regional and global scale, these GHG emissions and net losses of biological carbon sinks have had a net warming effect of the atmosphere, primarily by decreasing the amount of heat energy radiated by the earth back into space. Like glass in a greenhouse, these gases trap radiation from the sun and act as an insulator around the Earth, holding in the planet's heat.

According to the IPCC's synthesis report (Bernstein et al. 2007):

- Global atmospheric concentrations of CO₂, CH₄, and nitrous oxide have increased markedly as a result of human activities since 1750 and now far exceed pre-industrial values determined from ice cores spanning many thousands of years.
- Most of the observed increase in globally-averaged temperatures since the mid-20th century is very likely due to the observed increase in anthropogenic GHG concentrations. It is likely there has been significant anthropogenic warming over the past 50 years averaged over each continent (except Antarctica).
- There is high agreement and much evidence that with current climate change mitigation policies and related sustainable development practices, global greenhouse gas emission will continue to grow over the next few decades.
- Continued greenhouse gas emissions at or above current rates would cause further warming and induce many changes in the global climate system during the 21st century that would be very likely to be larger than those observed during the 20th century.
- There is high confidence that by mid-century, annual river runoff and water availability are projected to increase at high latitudes and in some tropical wet areas and decrease in some dry regions in the mid-latitudes and tropics. There is also high confidence that many semi-arid areas (e.g., Mediterranean Basin, western U.S., southern Africa and northeast Brazil) will suffer a decrease in water resources due to climate change.
- Anthropogenic warming and sea level rise would continue for centuries due to the time scales associated with climate processes and feedbacks, even if greenhouse gas concentrations were to be stabilized.
- Anthropogenic warming and sea level rise could lead to some impacts that are abrupt or irreversible, depending upon the rate and magnitude of the climate change.

- There is high agreement and much evidence that all stabilization levels assessed can be achieved by deployment of a portfolio of technologies that are either currently available or expected to be commercialized in coming decades, assuming appropriate and effective incentives are in place for their development, acquisition, deployment and diffusion and addressing related barriers.

The National Academy of Sciences has confirmed these findings, but also has indicated there are uncertainties regarding how climate change may affect different regions. Computer model predictions indicate that increases in temperature would not be equally distributed but are likely to be accentuated at higher latitudes. Warming during the winter months is expected to be greater than during the summer, and increases in daily minimum temperatures is more likely than increases in daily maximum temperatures. Increases in temperatures would increase water vapor in the atmosphere, and reduce soil moisture, increasing generalized drought conditions, while at the same time enhancing heavy storm events. Although large-scale spatial shifts in precipitation distribution may occur, these changes are more uncertain and difficult to predict (EPA 2008a).

Relatively steep elevation gradients between valley floors and adjacent mountain ranges in the western U.S. produce considerable geographic climate variability. Warm, dry, semiarid conditions are typical on valley floors; moist and cool conditions are typical in higher parts of mountain ranges. Different plant communities occur within specific elevation zones. There also have been patterns of historic climatic variation in these areas for more than 10,000 years, during which plant communities gradually shift to higher or lower elevations depending on the direction of temperature and precipitation changes (Tausch et al. 2004).

If climate change trends continue into the foreseeable future, Chambers (2006) and the 2008 report by the U.S. Climate Change Science Program (U.S. Climate Change Science Program 2008) indicate that the following changes may be expected to occur in the West:

- The amount and seasonal variability of precipitation would increase over most areas. IPCC (2001) climate model scenarios indicate that by the year 2100, precipitation would increase about 10% in summer, about 30% in fall, and 40% in winter. Less snowfall would accumulate in higher elevations, more precipitation would occur as rain, and snowmelt would occur earlier in the spring because of higher temperatures.
- Streamflow patterns would change in response to reduced snowpack and increasing precipitation. Peak flows in spring are expected to occur earlier and be of lower magnitude because of snowpack changes. Runoff from greater amounts of winter rainfall would cause higher winter flows. Summer flows would be lower, but with higher variability depending on the severity of storm events.
- Some populations of native plants, invasive species, and pests would expand. Increasing amounts of atmospheric carbon dioxide and precipitation during the growing season would provide favorable growth conditions for native grasses, perennial forbs, woody species, and invasive annuals such as cheatgrass. Insect populations also would increase because milder winter temperatures would improve reproduction and survival rates.

- Fire frequency, severity, and extent would increase because of the increased availability of fine fuels (grasses, forbs, and invasives) and accumulation of fuels from previous growing seasons. Higher temperatures would extend the length of fire seasons. Expansion of pinyon-juniper species and increasing tree densities could increase the number of high severity crown fires. Higher rates of insect damage and disease also may increase fuel accumulations.
- Sensitive species and overall biodiversity would be reduced. High-elevation habitats would shrink in area or disappear as lower-elevation plant communities expand. It is probable that some mammalian, avian, and other species that currently inhabit these high-elevation habitats may become extinct. Higher rates of disease and insect damage also may pose threats to other sensitive plant and animal species.

In 2006, transportation sources accounted for approximately 29% of total U.S. GHG emissions (EPA 2008a). Transportation is the fastest growing source of U.S. GHGs, accounting for 47% of the net increase in total U.S. emissions since 1990 (EPA 2008a). Transportation is also the largest end-use source of CO₂, which is the most prevalent anthropogenic GHG (EPA 2008a, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration 2009).

Historically, the coal mined in the PRB has been used as one of the sources of fuel to generate electricity in power plants located throughout the U.S.. Coal-fired power plant emissions include CO₂, which has been identified as a principal anthropogenic GHGs. According to the Energy Information Administration (U.S. Department of Energy 2007b, 2007c):

- CO₂ emissions represent about 84% of the total U.S. GHG emissions.
- Estimated CO₂ emissions in the U.S. totaled 5,934.2 million metric tons in 2006, which was a 1.8% decrease from 2005.
- Estimated CO₂ emissions from the electric power sector totaled 2,343.9 million metric tons, or about 39.5% of total U.S. energy-related CO₂ emissions in 2006.
- Estimated CO₂ emissions from coal electric power generation in 2005 totaled 1,937.9 million metric tons or about 33% of total U.S. energy-related CO₂ emissions in 2006.
- Coal production from the Wyoming PRB represented approximately 42% of the coal used for power generation in 2006, which means that Wyoming PRB surface coal mines were responsible for about 13.9% of the estimated U.S. CO₂ emissions in 2006.

Wyoming PRB coal is primarily shipped nationwide, although it can also be shipped overseas. The mines in the Powder River Basin have sold, and are expected to sell coal into the open coal market. Each mine's ability to sell coal in this market would determine annual production rates at that mine. Historically, the coal buyers have been domestic electric producers, although the coal could be used in other coal applications and it has been exported.

Relatively little PRB coal (about 2%) is burned in Wyoming. In 2005, Wyoming coal was shipped to 35 states besides Wyoming. As noted above, coal represented 50.2% of the fuel mix used by electric generators nationally in 2004. In the North American Electric Reliability

Corporation power regions where PRB coal is sold, coal use ranges from 74.2% in the upper Midwest, to 15.6% in the northeast U.S. (EPA 2007c).

There are methods of generating electricity that result in fewer GHG emissions than burning coal, including natural gas, nuclear, hydroelectric, solar, wind, and geothermal resources. However, coal-burning power plants currently supply about 50% of the electric power generated in the U.S. The demand for power is increasing in the U.S. and throughout the world. According to a recent report by the North American Electric Reliability Council, peak demand for electricity in the U.S. is expected to double in the next 22 years (Associated Press 2007a). Many developing countries, including China and India, are also relying heavily on coal to meet their rapidly increasing power demands as coal is more economical and more available than other sources of electrical generation.

Coal sales are made on short-term contracts, generally to individual power generators, or coal is sold on a spot market. This market is very dynamic and competitive. During the coal leasing EIS process, it is uncertain and speculative to predict who might purchase future PRB coal, how it would be used, and where the coal might be transported.

Technologies for producing cleaner, more efficient and more reliable power from coal are becoming more available, although not yet commercially established. These include advanced pulverized coal, circulating fluidized bed, and integrated gasification combined cycle technologies. The FutureGen project proposes to produce electricity by turning coal into gas, remove impurities, extract CO₂ from the waste stream, and then sequester the CO₂ underground. A site in southeastern Illinois was selected for the plant, which has a goal of being operational in 2012 (Biello 2007).

There is no national policy or law that regulates GHG emissions. A number of bills were introduced in the U.S. Congress in 2007 related to global climate change. The Lieberman-Warner Climate Security Act, which was introduced in October, 2007 by Senators Joseph I. Lieberman (ID-CT) and John W. Warner (R-VA), would establish a cap-and-trade within the U.S.. In short, the “cap” would set a legal limit on the quantity of GHGs that a region can emit each year and “trade” would allow companies to exchange the permission – or permits – to emit GHGs. This program would require a 70% reduction in GHG emissions from covered sources, which represents over 80% of total U.S. emissions. It was voted out of the Senate Environment and Public Works Committee in December 2007 (<http://www.pewclimate.org>, accessed 12/21/2007). The last action on the bill was on May 20, 2008 when it was placed on the Senate Legislative Calendar under General Orders. Additionally, in 2007, the U.S. Supreme Court (*Massachusetts v. EPA*) held that CO₂ qualifies as an air pollutant under the CAA Section 302(g), if EPA determined it to endanger public health or welfare. The case was remanded to EPA to take further action to regulate CO₂ under the CAA unless the EPA determines that CO₂ does not endanger public health or welfare. At this time, EPA has not made that determination.

Federal, state, and local governments are also developing programs and initiatives aimed at reducing energy use and emissions. The 2002 Clear Skies and Global Climate Change Initiative is a voluntary national program to reduce GHG emissions. There are federal tax incentives for

energy efficiency and conservation, and some states have renewable energy and energy efficiency policies. Regional initiatives have started in the northeast (Northeast Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative) as well as the Western Climate Initiative in the western states. It is impossible to predict how all of these programs would be melded into a national regulatory process if one were to be enacted.

A number of U.S. financial and corporate interests have acknowledged that enactment of federal legislation limiting the emissions of CO₂ and other GHGs seems likely (National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners 2007). There is uncertainty about anticipated CO₂ emission limits and carbon capture/sequestration regulations. Some proponents have cancelled or delayed proposed projects that use existing and emerging technologies to produce electricity from coal (Bleizeffer 2007a and 2007b).

The regulatory mechanisms proposed under the Climate Security Act, as well as the past regulation of other pollutants under the CAA, are imposed at the point when coal is burned and converted to electric energy and by-products like CO₂ and other compounds. Over 95% of coal produced in the PRB is sold in an open market where coal is purchased on short term contracts or spot prices based on a coal feed stock that is suitable for each buyer's power generating facility. Coal production at any one mine is not tied in any predictable way over a period to any one power plant. Power plant buyers attempt to buy coal at the most economical prices that meet their needs. PRB coal has competed well in this market due to its low sulfur content. This makes it valuable in lowering sulfur dioxide pollution, as well as competitive mining costs when compared to delivered costs of coal from other coal producing areas.

U.S. coal production increased from 1,029.1 million tons in 1990, when the Powder River Federal Coal Region was decertified, to 1,161.4 million tons in 2006, an increase of 12.9% (U.S. Department of Energy 2007c). Wyoming coal production increased from 184.0 million tons in 1990 to 444.9 million tons in 2006, an increase of 242% (Wyoming Department of Employment 2007). The share of electric power generated by burning coal was consistently around 50% during that time. Also, the percentage of total U.S. CO₂ emissions related to coal consumption was consistently around 36% during that same time. The percentage of U.S. CO₂ emissions related to the coal electric power sector increased from about 31% in 1990 to about 33% in 2006 (U.S. Department of Energy 2007b, 2007c).

In 2006, the Wyoming Powder River Basin coal mines produced approximately 432.0 million tons of coal. Using factors derived from laboratory analyses, it is estimated that approximately 716.9 million metric tons of CO₂ would be generated from the combustion of all of this coal (before CO₂ reduction technologies are applied). This number is based on an average Btu value of 8,600 per pound of Wyoming coal using a CO₂ emission factor of 212.7 pounds of CO₂ per million Btu (U.S. Department of Energy 1994). The estimated 716.9 million metric tons of CO₂ represents approximately 33.6% of the estimated 2,134.1 million metric tons of U.S. CO₂ emission from coal combustion (U.S. Department of Energy 2007c). In 2006, Wyoming PRB mines accounted for approximately 37.2% of the coal produced in the U.S. (U.S. Department of Energy 2007d).

Table 4-37 shows the estimated cumulative annual CO₂Eq emissions produced by all mines in the PRB with pending LBAs. The cumulative emissions calculated are those associated with the actual mining operations and not from the combustion of the coal produced and sold on the open coal market. For more information on the LBAs, please see the individual EISs (South Gillette Area Coal EIS, Wright Area Coal EIS, and West Antelope II EIS).

Table 4-37. Estimated Annual Equivalent CO₂ Emissions (metric tons) from Coal Production at Mines with Pending LBAs

Source	2007	With LBA Tracts
South Gillette area coal mines/LBA tracts	716,210	1,181,920
Wright area coal mines/LBA tracts	1,245,241	2,502,889
Antelope Mine/West Antelope II LBA tract	225,223	347,911
Buckskin Mine/Hay Creek II LBA tract	196,576	196,576
Total	2,534,585	4,229,296

CO₂ = carbon dioxide; LBA = lease by application

Source: BLM 2008e; IML Air Science 2009; Western Water Consultants 2009a, 2009b.

Wyoming coal production has increased at a more rapid rate than other domestic coal. Wyoming coal is low in sulfur, providing a way for electric generators to achieve acid rain reduction requirements. Coal coming out of the Wyoming PRB is mined using surface mining methods which are generally safer and less labor intensive than underground mining. Rural rangelands are the areas that are mainly mined; they are reclaimed according to the WDEQ/LQD's standards (see section 3.9.4). PRB coal reserves are in thick seams, resulting in more production from areas of similar land disturbance, and lower mining and reclamation costs.

As discussed earlier in this chapter, future coal mining impacts are estimated based on two forecast scenarios for PRB coal production through 2020. In the low scenario, the percentage of coal use for electric generation would stay about the same, assuming that all forms of electric generation would grow at a proportional rate to meet forecast electric demand. In the high scenario, percentage of coal use would also remain about the same, but with PRB coal displacing coal from other domestic coal regions.

If public behavior results in changed electric demand, or if GHG emissions are regulated, the demand forecast for coal for electric generation could change. The DOE has forecasted that the coal share of total energy use would increase from 23% in 2006 to 25% in 2030, while the share of natural gas would fall from 22% to 20%, and the liquids share is predicted to fall from 40% to 37%. The combined share of carbon-neutral renewable and nuclear energy is forecasted to grow from 15% in 2006 to 17% in 2030.

Taken together, projected growth in the absolute amount of primary energy consumption and a shift toward a fuel mix with slightly lower average carbon content would cause projected energy-related emissions of CO₂ to grow by 16% from 2006 to 2030. This is slightly lower than the projected 19% increase in total energy use. Over the same period, the economy would

become less carbon-intensive, because the 16% increase in CO₂ emissions is about one-fifth of the projected increase in GDP (79%), and emissions per capita decline by 5%.

In the 2008 study, projected energy-related CO₂ emissions grew from 5,890 million metric tons in 2006 to 6,851 million metric tons in 2030. In the Annual Energy Outlook 2008 study, energy-related CO₂ emissions were projected to grow by about 35%, to 7,950 million metric tons in 2030. This reflects both a higher projection of overall energy use and, to a lesser extent, a different mix of energy sources (U.S. Department of Energy 2008c). This forecast is within the range of the high and low scenarios presented in chapter 4.

The Annual Energy Outlook 2008 report projected that energy-related emissions of CO₂ would grow by 16% from 2006 to 2030. In this projection, the mix of sources for this generation include coal, natural gas, nuclear, liquids (petroleum), hydro-power, and non-hydro renewable (wind, solar, etc.). The forecasted generation mix by 2030 as compared to 2007 is included in table 4-38.

Table 4-38. Projected Percent of CO₂ Emissions by Source (2007 and 2030)

Source	2007	2030
Coal	51%	58%
Nuclear	21%	19%
Natural Gas	18%	11%
Petroleum	1%	1%
Hydro Power	7%	6%
Renewables	2%	5%

CO₂ = carbon dioxide

The Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) attempted to identify a scenario of how the full portfolio of technologies to provide for electric energy would respond if national policy were to require that CO₂ emissions be reduced to 1990 levels (James 2007). As noted earlier, there is no regulatory structure or CO₂ emission levels or limits that have been set by national policy or law. This scenario provides some analysis of the possible effect of regulation as well as decreased demand through energy efficiency at the user end, in transmission, and at the producer end. The forecasted generation mix by 2030 as compared to 2007 is included in table 4-39.

Table 4-39. Projected Percent of CO₂ Emissions by Source (2007 and 2030) Under a Reduced CO₂ Emissions Scenario

Source	2007	2030
Coal	51%	52%
Nuclear	21%	29%
Natural Gas	18%	5%
Petroleum	1%	0%
Hydro Power	7%	5%
Renewables	2%	9%

CO₂ = carbon dioxide

The EPRI study predicts that national policy that forces a reduction of CO₂ emissions to 1990 levels would promote increased energy efficiency, and the growth of “non carbon” sources such as nuclear and renewable. Renewable sources include wind and solar, as well as emerging technologies like tidal power, river turbines, and others reported in the media. Hydropower is limited because most opportunities for hydropower have been used or require large infrastructure. Use of carbon based sources such as natural gas and petroleum are less than forecasted by the Energy Information Administration, while coal use remains about the same in the EPRI forecast, mostly due to forecasted improvement in GHG emission reduction in coal fueled generation. Both the Energy Information Administration and EPRI forecast increases in electricity cost.

The mines in the PRB have sold and are expected to sell coal into the open coal market. In both Energy Information Administration market projections and market projections that contemplate CO₂ regulation, the coal market supplies half or more of the electric generation mix through 2020. Each mine’s ability to sell coal in this market would determine annual production rates at that mine. Historically, the coal buyers have been domestic electric producers, although the coal could be used in other coal applications or be exported.

The Buckskin Mine produced approximately 22.8 million tons of coal in 2006, which represents about 5.3% of the coal produced in the Wyoming PRB in 2006, or about 0.74 percent of the estimated U.S. CO₂ emissions in 2006. Under the No Action Alternative, CO₂ emissions attributable to burning coal produced by the Buckskin Mine would be extended at about this level for approximately 14 years, while the mine recovers the remaining estimated 344.3 million tons of currently leased coal reserves. It is likely that, by that time, regulations limiting CO₂ emissions will be in place and, potentially, projects using the emerging technologies to reduce and/or sequester CO₂ emissions would be more established.

Section 3.18.2 contains estimates of GHG emissions that would result from the mining operations under the action alternatives.

Under the action alternatives, the Buckskin Mine anticipates that the average annual rate of coal production will remain at 25 million tons per year, well below the permitted rate of 42 million

tons per year. Mining associated with a new maintenance tract would continue to use existing production and transportation facilities, which would extend CO₂ emissions related to burning coal from the mine for up to six additional years beyond December 2008. It is not possible to project the level of CO₂ emissions that burning the coal would produce due to the uncertainties about what emission limits will be in place at that time or where and how the coal in the tract would be used after it is mined. It is not likely that selection of the No Action Alternative would result in a decrease of U.S. CO₂ emissions attributable to coal-burning power plants in the longer term. There are multiple other sources of coal that, while not having the cost, environmental, or safety advantages, could meet the demand for coal beyond the time that the Buckskin Mine completes recovery of the coal in their existing leases.

CBNG, which is composed primarily of methane, another GHG, is released into the atmosphere when coal is mined. According to the U.S. Energy Information Administration (U.S. Department of Energy 2007a and 2007b):

- U.S. anthropogenic (human-caused) methane emissions totaled 605 million metric tons CO₂ equivalent in 2006.
- U.S. 2006 methane emissions from coal mining were estimated at 64.7 million metric tons CO₂ equivalent, which represents approximately 10.7% of the U.S. total anthropogenic methane emissions in 2006.
- Surface coal mining operations in the U.S. were estimated to be responsible for methane emissions of about 14.2 million metric tons of CO₂ equivalent in 2006, which represents about 2.35% of the estimated U.S. anthropogenic methane emissions in 2006, and about 22% of the estimated methane emissions attributed to coal mining of all types.
- The Wyoming PRB produced approximately 53.7% of the coal mined in the U.S. in 2006 using surface mining techniques, which means that Wyoming PRB surface coal mines were responsible for approximately 1.26% of the estimated U.S. anthropomorphic methane emissions in 2006. The Buckskin Mine contributed about 5.3 percent of the Wyoming PRB production in 2006.

When BLM began using the LBA process in 1990, total U.S. anthropogenic methane emissions declined from 708.4 million metric tons CO₂ equivalent to 605.1 million metric tons CO₂ equivalent in 2006. Total coal mining related emissions declined from 97.7 million metric tons CO₂ equivalent to 64.7 million metric tons CO₂ equivalent during the same time. The Energy Information Administration (U.S. Department of Energy 2007b) attributes the overall decrease in coal mine emissions of methane since 1990 to the fact that the coal production increases during that time had been largely from surface coal mines that produce relatively little methane.

CBNG is being commercially produced from wells in the general analysis area. CBNG that is not recovered before mining would be vented to the atmosphere during the mining process. Selection of the No Action Alternative would potentially allow more complete recovery of the CBNG from the general analysis area in the short term (10 years), during the time that the mine's currently leased coal is being recovered. However, the BLM's analysis suggests that a large

portion of the CBNG resources that are present in the general analysis area would be recovered prior to mining under either of the action alternatives. Selection of the No Action Alternative would not be likely to directly decrease U.S. methane emissions attributable to coal mining in the long term because there are multiple other sources of coal that could supply the coal demand beyond the time that the Buckskin Mine recovers the coal in their existing leases.

4.2.14.2 Mercury, Coal Combustion Residues, and Other By-Products

To meet the nationwide consumer demand and requirement for energy, coal is burned in power plants to produce electricity in the U.S. Coal is an important component of the U.S. energy supply partly because it is the most abundant domestically available fossil fuel (USGS 2002b). One-quarter of the world's coal reserves are found within the U.S. (U.S. Department of Energy 2008b); the energy content of U.S. coal resources exceeds that of the entire world's known recoverable oil (U.S. Department of Energy 2008b). Coal resources supply more than half of the electricity consumed by Americans (U.S. Department of Energy 2008b). Many countries are even more reliant on coal for their energy needs than is the U.S. (USGS 2000). More than 70% of the electricity generated in China and India comes from coal (USGS 2000). The value of coal is partially offset by the environmental impacts of coal combustion (USGS 2000). As described below, some of these impacts may have direct or indirect effects on human health (USGS 2000).

One of the concerns associated with burning coal for electricity production is the release of elements from coal to the environment (USGS 2002b). When coal is burned, carbon dioxide, sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, mercury, and other compounds and elements, including lead and cadmium, are released (EPA 2007d). The principal pollutants generated by coal combustion that can cause health problems are particulates, sulfur and nitrogen oxides, trace elements (including arsenic, fluorine, selenium, and radioactive uranium and thorium), and organic compounds generated by incomplete coal combustion (USGS 2000).

Concentrations of these elements and compounds vary depending on the chemistry of the coal deposits and the type of air pollution controls in place when the coal is burned. Coal use in developing countries can potentially cause serious human health impacts (USGS 2000). Some coal mined in China caused severe health problems in several local populations because the coal was mined and burned with little regard to its chemical composition (USGS 2000). Chinese coals that contained high levels of arsenic, fluorine, selenium, and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons have caused severe, life-threatening health impacts on some residents that burned the coal in unvented stoves in their homes (USGS 2000).

Coal that is burned in the U.S. generally contains low to modest concentrations of potentially toxic trace elements and sulfur (USGS 2000). Powder River Basin coal is recognized as being a clean burning coal because of its low sulfur and low ash properties. An analysis conducted by the USGS (2002b) found that PRB coal contained, on average, approximately eight times less sulfur than coals being used from the Appalachian and Illinois basins to supply U.S. power plants (feed coal). PRB feed coal was also found to contain nearly half as much uranium (8.9 parts per million), seven times less arsenic (17 parts per million), five times less lead (19 parts per million), and three times less cadmium (1.1 parts per million) when compared to

Appalachian and Illinois basin feed coals. When burned, PRB coal produced, on average, 38% less fly ash than Appalachian and Illinois basin coals (USGS 2002b). The fly ash resulting from combusted PRB coal contained approximately 39 times less mercury than fly ash that was generated from combusted Appalachian and Illinois basin coal (USGS 2002b).

Additionally, many U.S. coal burning power plants use sophisticated pollution-control systems that efficiently reduce the emission of hazardous elements (USGS 2000). The EPA conducted a detailed study of possible health impacts from exposure to emissions of approximately 20 potentially toxic substances from U.S. coal-burning power plants (USGS 2000). The EPA concluded that, with the exception of possibly mercury, there is no compelling evidence to indicate that emissions from U.S. coal-burning power plants cause human health problems (USGS 2000).

Mercury is a naturally occurring element and enters the atmosphere from natural sources, such as active volcanoes, and through human activities such as industrial combustion and mining (EPA 2006). Natural sources of mercury, such as volcanic eruptions and emissions from the ocean, have been estimated to contribute about 33% of the current worldwide mercury air emissions; anthropogenic (human-caused) mercury emissions account for the remaining 67%, though these estimates are highly uncertain (EPA 2007e).

When fossil fuels burn, mercury vapor can be released into the atmosphere where it may drift for a year or more, spreading with air currents over vast regions of the globe (U.S. Department of Energy 2006). In 1995, an estimated 5,500 tons of mercury was emitted globally from both natural and human sources (U.S. Department of Energy 2006). Coal-fired power plants in the U.S. contributed to less than 1% of that total (U.S. Department of Energy 2006).

Mercury is a global problem that knows no national or continental boundaries. It can travel thousands of miles in the atmosphere before it is eventually deposited back to the earth in rainfall or in dry gaseous forms (EPA 2007e). EPA estimates that about one-third of the U.S. human-caused mercury emissions are deposited within the contiguous U.S. and the remainder enters the global cycle (EPA 2007e).

Table 4-40 summarizes how the various continents contributed to worldwide human-caused mercury emissions in 2004. The 2004 emissions were estimated to account for about 3% of the global total (EPA 2007e). EPA (2006a) estimates that 83% of the mercury deposited in the U.S. originates from international sources, with the remaining 17% coming from the U.S. and Canada. These figures include mercury from natural and human-caused sources.

Table 4-40. 2004 Percent Contribution to Worldwide Anthropogenic Mercury Emissions

Continent	Percent
Asia:	53%
Africa:	18%
Europe:	11%
North America:	9%
Australia:	6%
South America:	4%

Source: EPA 2007e

In 2006, EPA estimated that 50% to 70% of global human-caused atmospheric emissions came from fuel combustion, and much of it came from China, India, and other Asian countries (EPA 2006). Coal consumption in Asia is expected to grow significantly over the next 20 years (EPA 2006). This international source of mercury emissions may grow substantially if left unaddressed (EPA 2006).

Over the past decade, addressing environmental and human health mercury risks has been a focus for EPA (EPA 2006). Overall U.S. mercury air emissions have been reduced by 45% since 1990 (EPA 2006). EPA is most concerned with methyl mercury, a potent form of mercury and the form to which humans are primarily exposed (EPA 2006).

Atmospheric mercury can settle into water or onto land where it can be washed into the water. Certain microorganisms can transform mercury into methyl mercury, a highly toxic mercury compound that builds up in fish and shellfish when they feed. Methyl mercury is the only form of mercury that biomagnifies in the food web. Concentrations of methyl mercury in fish are generally on the order of a million times the methyl mercury concentration in the water (EPA 2006). The primary pathway of human exposure to mercury is through eating fish containing methyl mercury (EPA 2006).

There are adverse health effects to humans and other animals that consume these fish and shellfish. Birds and mammals that eat fish may be more exposed to mercury more than other animals in water ecosystems (EPA 2008b). At high levels of exposure, methyl mercury's harmful effects may include death, reduced reproduction, slower growth and development, and abnormal behavior (EPA 2008b). Research has shown that most people's fish consumption does not cause a health concern, but high levels of methyl mercury in the bloodstream of unborn babies and young children may harm the developing nervous systems of those children (EPA 2006).

DOE's Office of Fossil Energy has been sponsoring studies on mercury emissions from coal-based power generators to identify effective and economical control options for the past decade (U.S. Department of Energy 2006). The Office of Fossil Energy manages the largest funded program in the U.S. for developing an understanding of mercury emissions and developing emission control technologies for the coal-fired electric generating industry in the

U.S. (U.S. Department of Energy 2006). Research on advanced and improved mercury control technology is ongoing (U.S. Department of Energy 2006).

In the U.S., coal-burning power plants are the largest human-caused source of mercury emissions being released into the air, accounting for about 40% of all domestic human-caused mercury emissions (EPA 2008b). However, these emissions contribute little to the global mercury pool. EPA estimated that mercury emissions from U.S. coal-fired power plants account for about 1% of the global total (EPA 2007e).

Coal production from the Wyoming PRB represented approximately 42% of the coal used for power generation in 2006, which would represent about 0.4% of the global anthropogenic mercury emissions. The Buckskin Mine produced about 5.3% of the coal produced in the Wyoming PRB in 2006, which would represent about 0.02% of the global mercury emissions. Under the No Action Alternatives, mercury emissions attributable to burning coal produced by the Buckskin Mine would be extended at current levels up to approximately 14 years, while the mine recovers the remaining estimated 344.3 million tons of currently leased coal reserves. Under the Proposed Action or Alternative 2, the Buckskin Mine's contribution to global mercury emissions would be extended from two to six additional years, respectively. Uncertainties about future regulatory requirements and the use of the coal mined under either of the action alternative make it difficult to project the impacts of mercury emissions produced by burning the coal.

Additionally, burning coal in electric utility boilers generates residual materials called coal combustion residues. These residues include non-combustible materials left in the furnaces and ash that is carried up the smokestacks and collected by air pollution control technologies. As previously referenced, coal and coal combustion residues can contain a variety of compounds, metals, and other elements depending on the coal deposit and the site-specific characteristics of where the coal originated. Coal-fired boilers are required to have control devices to reduce the amount of emissions that are released into the atmosphere (EPA 2007d). The use of air pollution control equipment at power plants has resulted in fewer emissions but has also increased the amount of solid residues.

In the past, coal combustion residues have been recycled or disposed of in landfills or surface impoundments. More recently, these residues have been disposed of in mines. There can potentially be risks of contamination of drinking water supplies and surface water bodies by coal combustion residues, particularly when they are disposed of in mines (National Academy of Science 2006, EPA 2002). The EPA is evaluating management options for solid wastes from coal combustion, including whether current management practices pose risks to human health or ecological receptors. A draft report, dated August 6, 2007, prepared for the EPA Office of Solid Waste, and entitled "Human and Ecological Risk Assessment of Coal Combustion Wastes", is available at <http://www.earthjustice.org/library>; however, the report is labeled as a draft document which is not to be cited or quoted.

As stated, the Buckskin Mine produced about 5.3% of the coal produced in the Wyoming PRB in 2006. Under the No Action Alternative, production of coal combustion residue attributable to

burning coal from the Buckskin Mine would be extended at about current levels for up to approximately 14 years, while the mine recovers the remaining estimated 344.3 million tons of currently leased coal reserves. Coal combustion residue related to burning coal mined under the Proposed Action or Alternative 2 would be extended from two to six additional years, respectively. Uncertainties about future regulatory requirements and the use of the coal mined under either action alternative make it difficult to project the impacts of disposing of the related coal combustion residues.

Depending on the size, shape, and chemical composition, some coal combustion residues can be recycled and beneficially reused as components of building materials or as replacement to raw materials that would ordinarily need to be mined (e.g., sand, gravel, or gypsum) (EPA 2007f). Coal combustion products (CCPs) are produced primarily from the combustion of coal in coal-fired power plants (EPA 2007f) and can include the following materials: fly ash, bottom ash, boiler slag, and flue gas desulfurization material (EPA 2007f). Studies and research conducted or supported by the EPA, EPRI, other government agencies, and universities have indicated that the beneficial uses of coal combustion products have not been shown to present significant risks to human health or the environment (EPA 2009c).

Fly ash is a byproduct of burning finely ground coal in a boiler to produce electricity (EPA 2007g). Physically, fly ash is a fine, powdery material composed mostly of silica and nearly all particles are spherical in shape (EPA 2007g). Fly ash is a pozzolan—a siliceous material which, in the presence of water, will react with calcium hydroxide at ordinary temperatures to produce cement-like compounds (EPA 2007g). Because of its spherical shape and pozzolanic properties, fly ash can be useful in cement and concrete applications (EPA 2007g).

Bottom ash is agglomerated ash particles, formed in furnaces burning pulverized coal that are too large to be carried in the flue gases (EPA 2007h). Bottom ash is coarse with grain sizes from fine sand to fine gravel (EPA 2007h). It can be used as a replacement for aggregate and is usually sufficiently well-graded in size to avoid the need for blending with other fine aggregates to meet gradation requirements (EPA 2007h).

Boiler slag is the molten bottom ash collected at the base of slag tap and cyclone type furnaces (EPA 2007i). Boiler slag particles are uniform in size, hard, and durable with a resistance to surface wear (EPA 2007i). The permanent black color of this material is desirable for asphalt applications and aids in melting snow (EPA 2007i).

Flue gas desulfurization is the technology used for removing or reducing SO₂ emissions from the exhaust gas system of a coal-fired boiler (EPA 2007j). SO₂ is an acid gas and the typical materials used to remove the SO₂ from the flue gasses are alkaline. The reaction taking place in wet scrubbing uses a limestone slurry and produces calcium sulfate. When magnesium hydroxide is used as a scrubber, magnesium sulfate is produced. These materials can be used as embankment and road base material, wallboard manufacturing, and in place of gypsum for the production of cement (EPA 2007j). Currently, the largest single market for flue gas desulfurization material is in wallboard manufacturing (EPA 2007j).

Using or recycling CCPs can generate significant environmental and economic benefits (EPA 2007f). CCPs can be used for raw feed for cement clinker, concrete, grout, flowable fill, structural fill, road base/sub-base, soil modification, mineral filler, snow and ice traction control, blasting grit and abrasives, roofing granules, mining applications, wallboard, waste stabilization/solidification, and soil amendment (EPA 2007f).

Using CCPs can reduce energy consumption and GHG emissions and can help reduce the need for landfill space (EPA 2009c). Economic benefits include reduced costs associated with managing coal ash and slag disposal, potential revenue from the sale of CCPs, and savings from using CCPs in place of other more costly raw materials (EPA 2003b).

CCPs offer product-performance benefits as well. Boiler slag is a sought-after replacement for sand in blasting grit because it is free of silica and eliminates the potential health risk of silicosis (EPA 2009c). High coal ash content concrete is used for building pavements designed to last 50 years—twice the lifetime of conventional pavements (EPA 2003b). Coal fly ash can create superior products because of its self-cementing properties (EPA 2007g). Using coal fly ash in concrete can also produce stronger and longer-lasting buildings (EPA 2007g). This not only reduces the costs of maintaining buildings, but provides the additional environmental benefit of reducing the need for new concrete to repair or replace aging buildings. This translates to a significant reduction in future energy consumption and GHG emissions (EPA 2007g).

In 2005, demand had become so strong for coal ash that some power plants were selling all the ash they produced (EPA 2005b). EPA (2008e) estimated that through the use of 15 million tons of coal fly ash, the U.S. reduced their GHG emissions equivalent to the annual emissions of nearly 2.5 million passenger vehicles.

Because of the many potential uses of CCPs, EPA has sponsored the Coal Combustion Products Partnership (C2P2) Program to further the beneficial use of these coal combustion by-products (EPA 2003b). With more than 170 private and public partners (EPA 2009c), the C2P2 Program is a cooperative effort between EPA and various organizations to help promote the beneficial use of CCPs and the environmental benefits which can result from the proper use of these potentially recyclable materials (EPA 2003b). The C2P2 program will help meet the national waste reduction goals of the Resource Conservation Challenge—an EPA effort to find flexible yet more protective ways to conserve valuable natural resources through waste reduction, energy recovery, and recycling (EPA 2009c).

In 2007, the U.S. used approximately 43% of its coal combustion products (EPA 2009c). The C2P2 program aims to reduce adverse effects on air and land by increasing the use of coal combustion products to 50% in 2011 from 31% in 2001 (EPA 2009c). The program also plans to increase the use of CCPs as a supplementary cement-like material in concrete by 50%, from 12.4 million tons in 2001 to 18.6 million tons in 2011 (EPA 2009c). This would decrease GHG emissions from avoided cement manufacturing by approximately 5 million tons (EPA 2008a).

Table 4-41 summarizes the magnitude and duration of cumulative impacts in the PRB based on the upper and lower estimates for coal production in the region. The Proposed Action and

Alternative 2 are within the upper and lower coal production estimates used to project reasonably foreseeable impacts for the PRB Coal Review and to provide a basis for quantification of related impact-causing parameters. As described in section 4.0, the PRB Coal Review is not an analysis of the impacts associated with the development of a specific project in the PRB, such as the Hay Creek II coal lease application discussed in this EIS.

Table 4-41. Summary Comparison of Magnitude and Duration of Cumulative Impacts^{1,2}

Description of Potential Impact by Resource	Magnitude and Duration of Impact	
	No Action Alternative	Proposed Action and Alternative 2 ³
Topography and Physiography		
Alteration of topography following reclamation of coal disturbance areas	Permanent topographic moderation following reclamation	Same as No Action
Alteration of topography to accommodate coal-related, oil and gas, and oil-and-gas-related facilities	Long-term to permanent limited changes in discrete, scattered areas	Same as No Action
Geology and Minerals		
Recovery of coal resulting in reduction in coal resources and disturbance and replacement of overburden and topsoil	Moderate, long-term to permanent	Same as No Action
Surficial disturbance and reclamation on oil and gas well sites and associated facilities	Moderate, long-term to permanent	Same as No Action
Paleontology		
Coal, coal-related, oil and gas, and oil-and-gas-related development disturbance of BLM's potential fossil yield classification class 4 Fort Union and class 3a Wasatch formations	Permanent potential adverse effects to scientifically significant fossils that are present but not visible prior to disturbance	Same as No Action

4.0 Cumulative Environmental Consequences

Description of Potential Impact by Resource	Magnitude and Duration of Impact	
	No Action Alternative	Proposed Action and Alternative 2 ³
Air Quality		
Impacts on Montana near-field receptors	A maximum modeled impact in one area NAAQS for the baseline year and both coal production scenarios for 2010	Same as No Action
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 24 hour PM₁₀ ▪ All other parameters 	Modeled impacts in compliance with NAAQS and Montana AAQS	Same as No Action
Impacts on Wyoming near-field receptors	Modeled impact above NAAQS at some receptors for both coal production scenarios for 2010	Same as No Action
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 24 hour PM₁₀ ▪ Annual PM₁₀ 	Maximum modeled impact above Wyoming AAQS at one receptor for the upper production scenario for 2010	Same as No Action
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ All other parameters 	Modeled impacts in compliance with NAAQS and Wyoming AAQS	Same as No Action
Non-regulatory prevention of significant deterioration impacts at class I and sensitive class II Areas		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Class I Northern Cheyenne Indian Reservation 	Modeled impacts above class I increment levels for 24-hour PM ₁₀ , annual PM ₁₀ , 24-hour SO ₂ , 3-hour SO ₂ for baseline year and both coal production scenarios for 2010; above class I increment for annual NO ₂ for upper coal production scenario for 2010	Same as No Action
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Class I Washakie Wilderness Area and Wind Cave National Park and class II Crow Indian Reservation 	Modeled impacts above class I increment levels for 24-hour PM ₁₀ for baseline year and both coal production scenarios for 2010	Same as No Action
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ All other class I and sensitive class II modeled receptors 	Modeled impacts within class I increment levels for baseline year and both coal production scenarios for 2010	Same as No Action
Visibility Impacts	199 or more days with a change of 1.0 deciview or greater at three class I areas and seven sensitive class II areas for the baseline year and both coal production scenarios for 2010	Same as No Action
Acid deposition impacts	All modeled impacts below the depositions threshold values for nitrogen and sulfur compounds	Same as No Action
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Florence Lake 	Modeled impact above 10% acidification neutralization capacity	Same as No Action
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Upper Frozen Lake 	Modeled impact above 1 microequivalent per liter	Same as No Action
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ All other modeled sensitive lakes 	Modeled impact below threshold values	Same as No Action

Description of Potential Impact by Resource	Magnitude and Duration of Impact	
	No Action Alternative	Proposed Action and Alternative 2 ³
Groundwater Resources		
Removal of coal aquifer and replacement with backfill material	Moderate, permanent for mining areas	Same as No Action
Lowering of water levels in aquifers around the mines	Moderate, long-term in area immediately west of mines	Same as No Action
Water level decline sub-coal aquifers as a result of all development	No cumulative impacts anticipated	Same as No Action
Change in groundwater quality as a result of all development	No cumulative impacts anticipated	Same as No Action
Overlapping drawdown in the coal aquifer caused by surface mining and CBNG development	Additive, long-term in area immediately west of surface coal mines	Same as No Action
Surface Water Resources		
Surface disturbance of intermittent and ephemeral streams and scattered ponds and reservoirs as a result of coal mining, coal-related, oil and gas, and oil-and-gas-related development	Moderate, short-term	Same as No Action
Discharge of coal mining and CBNG produced waters into intermittent and ephemeral streams	Moderate, short-term	Same as No Action
Sediment input into intermittent and ephemeral streams and scattered ponds and reservoirs as a result of coal mining, coal-related, oil and gas, and oil-and-gas-related development	Moderate, short-term	Same as No Action
Alluvial Valley Floors		
Coal mining disturbance of AVFs determined to be significant to agriculture	Not permitted by regulation	Same as No Action
Coal mining disturbance of AVFs determined not to be significant to agriculture	AVFs disturbed by mining must be restored to essential hydrologic function No cumulative impacts anticipated	Same as No Action
Soils		
Coal mining, coal-related, oil and gas, and oil-and-gas-related development and replacement of soil resources	Moderate, short-term and long-term impacts through accelerated wind or water erosion, declining soil quality factors through compaction, reduced microbial populations and organic matter, and potential mixing of soil zones	Same as No Action
CBNG water disposal impacts on soil resources	Potential increase in soil alkalinity depending on sodium absorption rate levels in water and method of water disposal	Same as No Action

4.0 Cumulative Environmental Consequences

Description of Potential Impact by Resource	Magnitude and Duration of Impact	
	No Action Alternative	Proposed Action and Alternative 2 ³
Vegetation		
Coal mining, coal-related, oil and gas, and oil-and-gas-related development and replacement of native vegetation	Moderate, short- to long-term impacts due to potential differences in species composition and presence and size of woody species on reclaimed lands	Same as No Action
Coal mining, coal-related, oil and gas, and oil-and-gas-related impacts on special status plant species	Potential incremental loss or alteration of potential or known habitat	Same as No Action
Coal mining, coal-related, oil and gas, and oil-and-gas-related dispersal of noxious and invasive species	Potential displacement of native species and changes in species composition	Same as No Action
Wetlands and Riparian Vegetation		
CBNG-related discharge of produced water	Moderate, short- to long-term creation of wetlands in areas that previously supported upland vegetation	Same as No Action
Wildlife		
Direct and indirect coal mining, coal-related, oil and gas, and oil-and-gas-related development impacts on game and non-game species, including direct mortality, habitat fragmentation, animal displacement, noise, and increased human presence	Moderate, short-term	Same as No Action
Coal mining, coal-related, oil and gas, and oil-and-gas-related disturbance of game and non-game species during project development and operation	Moderate, short-term loss of all types of habitat present in disturbed areas	Same as No Action
Coal mining, coal-related, oil and gas, and oil-and-gas-related habitat changes after reclamation	Moderate, long-term change in habitat with potential changes in associated wildlife populations	Same as No Action
Fisheries		
Alteration or loss of habitat due to coal mining, coal-related, oil and gas, and oil-and-gas-related development	Moderate, short- to long-term	Same as No Action
Changes in water quality as a result of surface disturbance or introduction of contaminants into drainages caused by coal mining, coal-related, oil and gas, and oil-and-gas-related development	Moderate, short- to long-term	Same as No Action
Changes in available habitat as a result of water withdrawals or discharges related to coal mining, coal-related, oil and gas, and oil-and-gas-related development	Moderate, short-term	Same as No Action

Description of Potential Impact by Resource	Magnitude and Duration of Impact	
	No Action Alternative	Proposed Action and Alternative 2 ³
Special Status Species		
Direct and indirect coal mining, coal-related, oil and gas, and oil-and-gas-related development impacts, including direct mortality, breeding area, nest, or burrow abandonment, noise, and increased human presence	Moderate, short-term	Same as No Action
Coal mining, coal-related, oil and gas, and oil-and-gas-related disturbance of habitat during project development and operation	Moderate, short-term loss of all types of special status species habitat present in disturbed areas	Same as No Action
Coal mining, coal-related, oil and gas, and oil-and-gas-related habitat changes after reclamation	Moderate, long-term change in habitat with potential changes in associated populations of special status species	Same as No Action
Land Use and Recreation		
Loss of forage and range improvements and restriction of livestock movement due to coal mining, coal-related, oil and gas, and oil-and-gas-related development	Moderate, short-term	Same as No Action
Disturbance of developed recreation sites by coal mining, coal-related, oil and gas, and oil-and-gas-related development	Negligible, short-term	Same as No Action
Reduction or degradation of opportunities for dispersed recreation activities related to coal mining, coal-related, oil and gas, and oil-and-gas-related development	Moderate, short-term on existing mine area	Same as No Action
Cultural Resources		
Disturbance of cultural resource sites	Moderate, permanent	Same as No Action
Transportation and Utilities		
Movement of segments of existing highways, pipelines, transmission lines, or railroads to accommodate coal mining development	Moderate, long-term to permanent, disruptive effects would be minimized	Same as No Action
Increased vehicular traffic on roads and highways due to coal mining, coal-related, oil and gas, and oil-and-gas-related development, and associated impacts including traffic accidents, road wear, air emissions, dust, noise, and vehicle collisions with wildlife and livestock	Moderate, short-term	Same as No Action
Construction and operation of additional railroad and pipeline facilities and transmission lines to transport coal, oil and gas, and electricity	Moderate, short- to long-term	Same as No Action

4.0 Cumulative Environmental Consequences

Description of Potential Impact by Resource	Magnitude and Duration of Impact	
	No Action Alternative	Proposed Action and Alternative 2 ³
Socioeconomics		
Increases in employment related to coal mining, coal-related, oil and gas, and oil-and-gas-related development	Significant, short- to long-term	Same as No Action
Increases in personal income due to employment increases related to coal mining, coal-related, oil and gas, and oil-and-gas-related development	Significant, beneficial, short- to long-term	Same as No Action
Increase in population due to employment increases related to coal mining, coal-related, oil and gas, and oil-and-gas-related development	Significant, short- to long-term	Same as No Action
Expansion of housing supply due to employment increases related to coal mining, coal-related, oil and gas, and oil-and-gas-related development	Significant, short- to long-term	Same as No Action
Increases in school enrollment due to employment increases related to coal mining, coal-related, oil and gas, and oil-and-gas-related development	Moderate, short-term	Same as No Action
Need for additional local government facilities and services due to employment increases related to coal mining, coal-related, oil and gas, and oil-and-gas-related development	Moderate, short- to long-term	Same as No Action
Increased federal, state, and local revenues related to coal mining, coal-related, oil and gas, and oil-and-gas-related development	Significant, beneficial, short- to long-term	Same as No Action
<p>NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standards; AAQS = Ambient Air Quality Standards; SO₂ = sulfur dioxide; AVF = alluvial valley floor.</p> <p>¹ The cumulative impacts identified in this table are based on the Powder River Basin Coal Review analyses (BLM 2005a-f, 2006c-d). The PRB Coal Review is a regional technical study to assess current conditions (Task 1 reports), identify reasonably foreseeable development actions and future coal production scenarios (Task 2 report), and predict future cumulative impacts (Task 3 reports) in the PRB. The PRB Coal Review is not an analysis of the impacts associated with the development of a specific project in the PRB, such as the Hay Creek II coal lease application discussed in this EIS.</p> <p>² All impacts are assumed to be adverse unless noted otherwise.</p> <p>³ The Proposed Action and Alternative 2 are within the upper and lower coal production estimates used to project reasonably foreseeable impacts for the PRB Coal Review and to provide a basis for quantification of related impact-causing parameters.</p>		