

PINEDALE ANTICLINE WORKING GROUP  
CULTURAL/HISTORICAL RESOURCES TASK GROUP

1 of 3

Meeting # 13  
5:10 PM  
February 20 , 2007  
Pinedale BLM , Pinedale Field Office Conference Room

Attendance : Clint Gilchrist (Resident ,CLG ) ,Fred Linton (OCTA, Secretary  
for working group ) ,Dave Vlcek (BLM,PFO ) ,Sam Drucker (BLM)

Dave Crowley ( BLM ) , Paul Haggstein ( PAWG member ) . Fern  
Linton ( OCTA )

Phone Conference : Matt Bennett (SHPO ) , Joe Daniele (SHPO )  
and Debra Stanberry ( Questar )

Absent : Jonita Sommers {Teacher, Rancher }

Minutes : Fred Linton

Handouts : 1. Meeting Agenda  
2 . Minutes from December 11, 2006  
3 . 2007 Cultural & Historical Task Group Review  
4 . Task Group Recommendation Development Checklist  
5 . Pinedale Anticline Draft SEIS Chapter 3 page 3-47 thru 54 ,  
Chapter 4 page 4-53 thru 60 , also 4-80 thru 82 ,  
Appendix D page D-11 & 12 ,Appendix F page F-2

Minutes of December 11, 2006 approved with changes under Project status number 1.  
changed \$6,000to \$60,000 .Also two misspelled names Dave Crouly to  
Dave Crowley and Jonita Summers to Jonita Sommers

Review PAWG January 26 , 2007 meeting .

1. Dave Vlcek went over what happened at meeting and his presentation  
to PAWG Board of our group 2006 summary .Clint Gilchrist asked  
Dave to do it because of personal reason he could not make meeting .  
We were asked by PAWG to make recommendations to the SEIS  
that pertain to  
our area of Historical / Cultural in the Pinedale area .

SHPO making working on making agreement with Wyoming State Lands and drilling  
close to Trails .

Review the DEIS pertaining to monitoring and historical/cultural items that we want to  
recommend changes.

1. Chapter 3-47 what is SRMZ (Special Resource Management Zone )  
Matt question what development on 1/4 mile no surface occupancy on each  
side of Trail meant.

2. Clint question 3-49 where it states 5 to 6,000 sites he was wondering if there were more but Dave thinks there is more like 1,000 to 1,500 .
3. Dave has problem with DEIS and referring to sites on North side of New Fort River when actually they are on South side .
4. Last day to make recommendations is 90 days after air quality report (ozone) comes out .
5. Can make recommendations solely to BLM if BLM will handle as public comment ,but better to go through PAWG .
6. Sam would like address the original EIS Anticline keeping the 3-mile buffer zone and the 1/4 mile no disturbance . What does 0.25 mile buffer no surface occupancy as needed mean . To us this leaves wiggle room for development .We recommend remove this 4-54 (4.8.3.1 Summary of Impact) .
7. Discussed mention of 800 acres of disturbance in 4-57 4.8.3.3.alternate B.
8. Need to get wiggle words out of Lander SEIS especially where it refers to the 1/4 mile .

Discussion on operators wanting to change pad size from 6 1/2 acres to 20 acres with up to 36 wells off pad recommend changing this in PA to address this situation Dave recommend making a amendment to PA Clint question is where do we stand on this PA. It is a Historical but the PA was made outside this group .BLM Field Manager can over ride the technician as long as the manager stays within the law no matter what the agreement says .

#### Discussion on Recommendations to PAWG on Pinedale Anticline Draft SEIS

1. Get rid of wiggle words in 1/4 mile buffer on either side of Lander Trail  
( Fred & Fern to get Lander Trail PA to Clint by March 5, 2007 )
2. Recommend mega pads be 1-mile from Trail which gives them capability to drill under Trail with no visible disturbance to Trail.
3. Pipe lines do reduce truck traffic but does not stop other traffic ( pick-ups, maintenance trucks , etc ) .
4. BLM wants Alternate C of the Pinedale Anticline Draft SEIS
5. Cultural sites Section 2A how close are operators to constructing 20-acre well pads ? How is this going to be addressed or should we a recommend changes ? Could we swap three 6.5 acre well pads to one 20-acre well pad .
6. Centralize production facilities off site beyond 3-mile view shed .
7. Biggest problem in area is spoil piles and enormous pits . On piles it is possible to paint with non harmful paint or use colored netting .

#### Other issues

1. Unexpected discoveries that soil studies .be tied into sites .
2. Chapter 4 recommend sending our previous recommendations section 4 page 55 under impact Keep Recommending RANGER
- 3..4-59 Cumulative impact we need to monitor any historical/cultural sites within 2-miles of any road built another reason for a RANGER .
4. Institute monitoring program for indirect impact.
5. Cultural site discovery needs to be documented and analyzed .
6. On new discoveries we need to have analysis done .
7. Reviewed 4-5-7 winter drilling good clause about discoveries the site will be shut down .

Five Recommendations

1. Clarify & hold to no development in 1/4 mile buffer zone of Lander Trail & review three mile view shed recommendations , keep up video of Trail yearly.
2. Soil analysis integrated with prediction of new cultural site discovery
3. NEED RANGER FOR MONITORING
4. Indirect impact sites monitored
5. New data needs to be analyzed and held

Other

1. Is Lander Trail PA going to be changed ?
2. Are we going to take on paleontology?

No public comment

Meeting adjourned at 7:55 PM